Токуо J. Матн. Vol. 26, No. 1, 2003

Analytic Singularities of Solutions to Certain Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations Associated with *p*-Laplacian

Lorna I. PAREDES and Koichi UCHIYAMA

University of the Philippines and Sophia University

Abstract. Analytic singularities of local solutions to the nonlinear ordinary differential equation $(|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + |u|^{q-2}u = 0$ are obtained through Briot-Bouquet type nonlinear analytic differential equations with regular singularity.

1. Introduction

In connection with the determination of the best possible constant for Sobolev - Poincaré inequalities, the following one dimensional nonlinear Dirichlet problem (1) and (2) associated with the so-called *p*-Laplace operator has been studied by M. Ôtani ([3], [4]) and T. Idogawa and M. Ôtani ([1]) and by others (e.g. P. Lindqvist [5]):

$$(|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + |u|^{q-2}u = 0$$
⁽¹⁾

on (a, b) and

$$u(a) = u(b) = 0 \tag{2}$$

where 1 .

The existence of a unique positive solution in (a, b), determination of the set of the nontrivial solutions and classical differentiability of solutions are established in [3] and [4], when $u \in W_0^{1,p}(a, b)$ satisfies (1) in the distribution sense.

We consider in this paper local solutions. Let I be a subinterval contained in [a, b]. A real-valued function u is said to be a local solution to (1) on I, if $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$ and u satisfies (1) in distribution sense. The objective of this paper is to give local analytic singularity of solutions on I to (1), making use of Briot-Bouquet type nonlinear differential equations with regular singularity. Our analytic expression provides convergent expansions when x tends to a point x_0 where $u(x_0) = 0$ or $u_x(x_0) = 0$. They also reproduce easily the known differentiability and analyticity obtained in [3], [4] and [1].

Received July 11, 2002

CASE 1. Analytic Expression of a local solution u(x) on I near a point $\sigma \in I$ where $u(\sigma) = 0$ and $u_x(\sigma) = A \neq 0$. We can assume A > 0 without loss of generality, since -u is also a solution when u is a solution.

THEOREM 1.1. For any p and q satisfying $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function $F(\xi)$ near the origin such that we have near $x = \sigma$

$$u(x) = (x - \sigma)F(|x - \sigma|^q).$$
(3)

 $F(\xi)$ is a unique holomorphic solution to

$$(p-1)[F(\xi) + q\xi F'(\xi)]^{p-2}[q(q+1)F'(\xi) + q^2\xi F''(\xi)] + (F(\xi))^{q-1} = 0$$
(4)

with F(0) = A and $F'(0) = \frac{-A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}$.

Consequently, u(x) *has a convergent expansion near* $x = \sigma$:

$$u(x) = A(x - \sigma) - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}(x - \sigma)|x - \sigma|^q + \cdots$$
 (5)

CASE 2. Analytic expression of a local solution u(x) on I near $\tau \in I$ where $u(\tau) = A \neq 0$ and $u_x(\tau) = 0$. We can assume A > 0 without loss of generality as before.

THEOREM 1.2. For any p and q satisfying $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function $G(\xi)$ near the origin such that we have near $x = \tau$

$$u(x) = G\left(|x-\tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right).$$
(6)

 $G(\xi)$ is a unique holomorphic solution to a nonlinear equation:

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \left(-G'(\xi)\right)^{p-2} [G'(\xi) + p\xi G''(\xi)] + (G(\xi))^{q-1} = 0$$
(7)

with G(0) = A and $G'(0) = -\frac{p-1}{p}A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}$.

Consequently, we have a convergent expansion near $x = \tau$ *:*

$$u(x) = A - \frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} |x - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \cdots .$$
(8)

In the extreme case p = q = 2, the equation (1) reduces to

$$u_{xx} + u = 0. (9)$$

We explain our heuristic procedure by this simplest case.

Assume $\sigma = 0$ for simplicity. The solution u(x) with u(0) = 0 and $u_x(0) = A$ has a convergent power series expansion $u(x) = A\{\frac{x}{1!} - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots\}$, which is equal to $A \sin x$. If we put $xF(x^2) = A \sin x$, then $F(\xi)$ is a well defined analytic function and satisfies a linear equation with regular singularity

$$4\xi F''(\xi) + 6F'(\xi) + F(\xi) = 0$$

with

$$F(0) = A$$
, $F'(0) = -\frac{A}{6}$

Next, we suppose u(0) = A and $u_x(0) = 0$, assuming $\tau = 0$. The solution u(x) has a convergent expansion $u(x) = A\{1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\}$, which is equal to $A \cos x$. If we put $G(x^2) = A \cos x$, $G(\xi)$ is a well defined analytic function and satisfies a linear equation with regular singularity

$$4\xi G''(\xi) + 2G'(\xi) + G(\xi) = 0$$

with

$$G(0) = A$$
, $G'(0) = -\frac{A}{2}$.

Thus appear analytic differential equations with regular singularity. For general p and q, the nonlinear equations with regular singularity (4) and (7) describe the solution near $x_0 = \sigma$ or τ .

This research is a continuation of a joint work done in the framework of Japan and the Philippines (JSPS-DOST) Joint Scientific Cooperation Program in the field of Breeder Sciences. We thank Prof. M. Ôtani for his comment on related results and Prof. H. Tahara for his advice on nonlinear ordinary differential equations in the complex domain.

2. Local uniqueness

We need local uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problem to (1). This will be proved through localizing the energy equality obtained in [4] for global problems. Let *I* be a subinterval and $[c, d] = \overline{I}$, its closure in [a, b].

PROPOSITION 2.1. Every nonzero local solution u on I has $C^1(\overline{I})$ regularity and satisfies for some positive constant C the energy equality

$$(p-1)|u_x(x)|^p/p + |u(x)|^q/q = C$$
(10)

for all $x \in \overline{I}$.

PROOF. At first, we recall an expression (11) below, which was obtained in [4] and is a key equality in our discussion. Since $W^{1,p}(I)$ is embedded in $C(\overline{I})$, u is continuous on \overline{I} . Therefore, $\langle (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + |u|^{q-2}u, \varphi \rangle = \langle |u_x|^{p-2}u_x, -\varphi_x \rangle + \langle -\int_{x_0}^{\bullet} |u(\sigma)|^{q-2}u(\sigma)d\sigma, \varphi_x(\cdot) \rangle = 0$ for arbitrarily fixed $x_0 \in [c, d]$ and for every $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(c, d)$. Hence, there exists a constant K such that $|u_x(x)|^{p-2}u_x(x) = -\int_{x_0}^{x} |u(\sigma)|^{q-2}u(\sigma)d\sigma + K$. It follows that u is in $C^1([c, d])$ and

$$|u_x(x)|^{p-2}u_x(x) = -\int_{x_0}^x |u(\sigma)|^{q-2}u(\sigma)d\sigma + |u_x(x_0)|^{p-2}u_x(x_0).$$
(11)

We see that *u* is in $C^2([c, d])$ where u_x does not vanish.

If u(x) does not identically vanish on [c, d], |u(x)| is positive for a certain open subinterval (c_0, d_0) in *I*. We assume u(x) is positive on (c_0, d_0) . Then, $u_x(x)$ is strictly decreasing in (c_0, d_0) . Since $u_x(x)$ vanishes at most once at $x = x_1$ in (c_0, d_0) , u(x) is of C^2 in (c_0, x_1) and (x_1, d_0) . Multiplying the equation (1) by u_x , we have $((p-1)|u_x(x)|^p/p + |u(x)|^q/q)_x = 0$ for all $x \in (c_0, d_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$. Hence, by continuity, we have a positive constant *C* such that $(p-1)|u_x(x)|^p/p + |u(x)|^q/q = C$ for all $x \in [c_0, d_0]$. In case u(x) is negative, we have similarly (10) on $[c_0, d_0]$.

Next, starting with (c_0, d_0) where u(x) is positive, we enlarge the subinterval (c_0, d_0) , as long as u is positive. If $(c_0, d_0) = (c, d)$, we have (10) on [c, d] and the proof is complete. We assume c_0 be the first zero point of u in continuation to the negative direction. Note that the right derivative $u_x(c_0)$ is positive. u(x) is negative in a left neighborhood of c_0 , since $u_x(c_0) > 0$. While u is negative, we have the energy equality as in the positive case. We have the same conclusion, starting with (c_0, d_0) where u(x) is negative.

At last, repeating this process, we arrive at *c* after at most finite zero points. In fact, if there exists accumulation of zero points at $\xi \ge c$, we have $u(\xi) = 0$ and $|u_x(\xi)| > 0$ by continuity and the energy equality (10). This contradicts to accumulation of zero points. Thus, the energy equality (10) holds in $[c, d_0]$. Similar argument to the right direction leads us the energy equality (10) on [c, d].

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Local uniqueness). Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in I. Local solutions on I are uniquely determined by initial data $u(x_0)$ and $u_x(x_0)$.

PROOF. Take any two solutions $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ on I such that $u_1(x_0) = u_2(x_0)$ and $u_{1,x}(x_0) = u_{2,x}(x_0)$. Define a subset of I by $U = \{x \in I; u_1(x) = u_2(x), \text{ and } u_{1,x}(x) = u_{2,x}(x)\}$. We will show that U is a closed and open set in I. Then, U = I and the desired conclusion is proved.

Since U is clearly closed, we will show that U is open. Take any point $x_1 \in U$. We consider the three cases.

(a): if $u_i(x_1)u_{i,x}(x_1) \neq 0$, x_1 is an interior point of U by the usual uniqueness theorem for the Cauchy problems of explicit analytic differential equations.

(b): if $u_i(x_1) = u_{i,x}(x_1) = 0$, $u_i(x)$'s identically vanish on I in virtue of the energy equality (10). Hence, U = I.

(c): if either $u_i(x_1)$ or $u_{i,x}(x_1)$ is 0 and if the other is not zero, we will show as follows that x_1 is an interior point of U.

If $u_{i,x}(x_1) = 0$, then $|u_{i,x}(x)|^{p-2}u_{i,x}(x) = -\int_{x_1}^x |u_i(\sigma)|^{q-2}u_i(\sigma)d\sigma$ by (11). If $u_i(x_1) = 0$, then $u_i(x) = \int_{x_1}^x u_{i,x}(\sigma)d\sigma$. We see through these equalities that there exists ε_0 in the case (c) such that $u_1(x)u_2(x)$ and $u_{1,x}(x)u_{2,x}(x)$ are positive on a deleted neighborhood D of x_1 , that is, $D = (x_1 - \varepsilon_0, x_1) \cup (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_0)$. Especially, if $u_1(x_2) = u_2(x_2)$ for some $x_2 \in D$, then $x_2 \in U$ by (10). Moreover, x_2 is an interior point of U by the case (a).

Assume that x_1 is not an interior point of U. Then, there exists $\xi \in D$ such that $\xi \notin U$. Therefore, $u_1(\xi) \neq u_2(\xi)$. We assume that $\xi \in (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_0)$.

We claim that $u_1(x) \neq u_2(x)$ on $(x_1, \xi]$. Put $Y = \{x \in (x_1, \xi); u_1(x) = u_2(x)\}$. Assume that $Y \neq \phi$. Since Y is open and closed in $(x_1, \xi), Y = (x_1, \xi)$. Hence, $u_1(\xi) = u_2(\xi)$. This contradicts to the definition of ξ . Hence, $Y = \phi$. We can then assume that $u_1(x) < u_2(x)$ on $x \in (x_1, \xi]$. We have from (11) $|u_{1,x}(x)|^{p-2}u_{1,x}(x) - |u_{2,x}(x)|^{p-2}u_{2,x}(x) = -\int_{x_1}^x \{|u_1(\sigma)|^{q-2}u_1(\sigma) - |u_2(\sigma)|^{q-2}u_2(\sigma)\}d\sigma$. Therefore, $u_{1,x}(x) > u_{2,x}(x)$ on $(x_1, \xi]$. On the other hand, $u_1(x) - u_2(x) = \int_{x_1}^x \{u_{1,x}(\sigma) - u_{2,x}(\sigma)\}d\sigma$. Hence, $u_1(x) > u_2(x)$, which contradicts to the above inequality.

Similarly, we have contradiction, when $\xi \in (x_1 - \varepsilon_0, x_1)$. Therefore, x_1 is an interior point of U. Since x_1 is arbitrary, U is an open set. The proof of the proposition is complete.

3. Analytic singularities

We shall now describe local analytic singularities of the solution u(x) to (1). If $u(x_0) \neq 0$ and $u_x(x_0) \neq 0$, the solution u is real analytic at $x = x_0$ through Cauchy's theorem and the local uniqueness in the previous section. Therefore, we restrict ourselves near a point $x_0 = \sigma$ where $u(\sigma) = 0$ and $u_x(\sigma) = A \neq 0$ and at a point $x_0 = \tau$ where $u(\tau) = A \neq 0$ and $u_x(\tau) = 0$.

We quote a classical Briot-Bouquet type theorem on the unique existence of analytic solution to a system of analytic nonlinear ordinary differential equations with singularity of regular type (e.g. [1] p.261, Prop.1.1.1).

THEOREM 3.1. Consider a system of equations

$$\xi y'_i(\xi) = U_i(\xi, y_1, y_2) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$
(12)

where the $U_i(\xi, y_1, y_2)$ are analytic at $\xi = 0$, $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = 0$ and satisfy

$$U_i(0, 0, 0) = 0$$
 $(i = 1, 2).$

If none of the eigenvalues of the matrix $\{\partial U_i/\partial y_j; i, j = 1, 2\}$ at (0, 0, 0) is a positive integer, then the equation (12) has a unique analytic solution at $\xi = 0$ satisfying $y_i(0) = 0$, i = 1, 2.

CASE 1. Analytic Expression of a local solution u(x) on I near a point σ where $u(\sigma) = 0$ and $u_x(\sigma) = A \neq 0$. We assume A > 0 without loss of generality, since -u is also a solution when u is a solution.

THEOREM 3.2. For any p and q satisfying $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function $F(\xi)$ in a neighborhood of the origin such that we have near $x = \sigma$

$$u(x) = (x - \sigma)F(|x - \sigma|^q).$$
(13)

 $F(\xi)$ is a holomorphic solution to

$$(p-1)[F(\xi) + q\xi F'(\xi)]^{p-2}[q(q+1)F'(\xi) + q^2\xi F''(\xi)] + (F(\xi))^{q-1} = 0$$
(14)

with

$$F(0) = A \text{ and } F'(0) = B,$$
 (15)

where $B = \frac{-A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}$. Consequently, u(x) has an expansion near $x = \sigma$:

$$u(x) = (x - \sigma)\{A + B|x - \sigma|^{q} + C|x - \sigma|^{2q} + \cdots\},$$
(16)

and $C = \frac{1+3q-p-pq}{2(q+1)q^2(2q+1)(p-1)^2} A^{2q-2p+1}$.

PROOF. At first, we prove unique existence of the solution $F(\xi)$ to (14) with (15). We reduce equation (14) by change of variable

$$F(\xi) = A + B\xi + y(\xi) = A - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}\xi + y(\xi)$$

into

$$\xi y''(\xi) = -\frac{q+1}{q} y'(\xi) + \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q^2(p-1)} - \frac{\left(A - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}\xi + y(\xi)\right)^{q-1}}{q^2(p-1) \left[A - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(p-1)}\xi + y(\xi) + q\xi y'(\xi)\right]^{p-2}}$$
(17)

with

$$y(0) = y'(0) = 0.$$
 (18)

Introducing $y_1 = y(\xi)$ and $y_2 = y'(\xi)$ will convert (17) into the following system of first order equations:

$$\xi y_1'(\xi) = U_1(\xi, y_1, y_2) = \xi y_2, \tag{19}$$

$$\xi y_2'(\xi) = U_2(\xi, y_1, y_2) = -\frac{(q+1)}{q} y_2 + \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q^2(p-1)}$$

$$-\frac{\left(A - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}\xi + y_1\right)^{q-1}}{q^2(p-1)\left[A - \frac{A^{q-p+1}}{q(p-1)}\xi + y_1 + q\xi y_2\right]^{p-2}} \tag{20}$$

with

$$y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 0.$$
 (21)

We have clearly $U_1(0, 0, 0) = U_2(0, 0, 0) = 0$. Since we have also

0.77

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial y_1}(0,0,0), & \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial y_2}(0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial y_1}(0,0,0), & \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial y_2}(0,0,0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0 \\ \frac{(p-q-1)A^{q-p}}{q^2(p-1)}, & 0 \\ -\frac{q+1}{q} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have nonpositive eigenvalues 0 and -(q+1)/q. By Theorem 3.1, we have a unique analytic solution $y(\xi)$ to (17) with (18). This gives an analytic solution $F(\xi) = A + B\xi + y(\xi)$ to (14) with (15).

Next, $(x - \sigma)F(|x - \sigma|^q)$ is a C^2 function near σ . It satisfies (1) with the prescribed Cauchy data. By Proposition 2.2, it is equal to the unique solution u(x) with the same Cauchy data.

Putting

$$y_1 \sim C\xi^2 + o(\xi^2), \quad y_2 \sim 2C\xi + o(\xi),$$
 (22)

we substitute them into (20). We have

$$C = \frac{1+3q-p-pq}{2(q+1)q^2(2q+1)(p-1)^2} A^{2q-2p+1}.$$
(23)

COROLLARY 3.1 ([1], [4]). (i) When q is an even integer more than 1, the solution u(x) is real analytic near σ .

(ii) When q is not an even integer, the solution u(x) is of class $C^{\langle q \rangle}$ at σ , where $\langle q \rangle$ is the least integer greater than or equal to q.

CASE 2. Analytic expression of a local solution u(x) on I near a point τ where $u(\tau) =$ A and $u_x(\tau) = 0$. As in the case 1, we can assume without loss of generality that A > 0 by symmetry of the equation.

THEOREM 3.3. For any p and q satisfying $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function $G(\xi)$ in a neighborhood of the origin such that we have near $x = \tau$

$$u(x) = G(|x - \tau|^{\frac{\nu}{p-1}}), \qquad (24)$$

where $G(\xi)$ is a holomorphic solution to the nonlinear equation:

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \left(-G'(\xi)\right)^{p-2} \left[G'(\xi) + p\xi G''(\xi)\right] + (G(\xi))^{q-1} = 0$$
(25)

with

$$G(0) = A \quad and \quad G'(0) = B$$
, (26)

where $B = -\frac{p-1}{p}A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}$.

Consequently, we have a convergent expansion near $x = \tau$:

$$u(x) = A + B|x - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + C|x - \tau|^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} + \cdots,$$
(27)

where $C = \frac{q-1}{2(2p-1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 A^{1 + \frac{2(q-p)}{p-1}}.$

PROOF. We show unique existence of the solution $G(\xi)$. Setting

$$G(\xi) = A - \frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} \xi + z(\xi) \,,$$

we obtain an equation for $z(\xi)$:

$$\xi z'' = \frac{p-1}{p^2} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} - \frac{1}{p} z' - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1} \frac{(A - \frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} \xi + z)^{q-1}}{(\frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} - z')^{p-2}}$$
(28)

with

$$z(0) = 0$$
 and $z'(0) = 0$. (29)

If we let $z_1 = z(\xi)$ and $z_2 = z'(\xi)$, the corresponding system of first order equations is

$$\xi z_1'(\xi) = V_1(\xi, z_1, z_2) = \xi z_2,$$
(30)

$$\xi z_2'(\xi) = V_2(\xi, z_1, z_2) = \frac{p-1}{p^2} A^{\frac{p-1}{p-1}} - \frac{z_2}{p} - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\left(A - \frac{p-1}{p}A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}\xi + z_1\right)^{q-1}}{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} - z_2\right)^{p-2}}$$
(31)

with

$$z_1(0) = z_2(0) = 0. (32)$$

Note that $V_1(0, 0, 0) = V_2(0, 0, 0) = 0$. Since we have also

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial z_1}(0,0,0) & \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial z_2}(0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial z_1}(0,0,0) & \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial z_2}(0,0,0) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{p^2} A^{\frac{q-p}{p-1}} & -\frac{p-1}{p} \end{array}\right),$$

we have nonpositive eigenvalues 0 and -(p-1)/p. By Theorem 3.1, we have a unique analytic solution $z(\xi)$ to (28) with (29). This gives an analytic solution $G(\xi) = A + B\xi + z(\xi)$ to (25) with (26).

Next, we show $v(x) = G(|x - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})$ is a solution near τ . By construction, v(x) is a real analytic solution to (1) in $(\tau, \tau + \varepsilon)$ and in $(\tau - \varepsilon, \tau)$ for sufficiently small positive ε , where v(x) and $v_x(x)$ have constant signature. We notice that $v_x(x)$ is continuous and $v_{xx}(x)$ is integrable on $(\tau - \varepsilon, \tau + \varepsilon)$, since p - 1 is positive. Hence, v(x) is a local solution on $(\tau - \varepsilon, \tau + \varepsilon)$ with the prescribed Cauchy data. By Proposition 2.2, v(x) = u(x). Using the equation (30) and (31), *C* is determined as before.

COROLLARY 3.2 ([1], [4]). (i) If p/(p-1) is an even integer, i.e. p = (2m + 2)/(2m + 1) $(m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$, u(x) is real analytic at τ .

(ii) If p/(p-1) is not an even integer, the solution u(x) is of class $C^{\left(\frac{2-p}{p-1}\right)+1}$ at τ , where $\langle r \rangle$ is the least integer greater than or equal to r. Especially, when 1 , <math>u(x) is of class C^2 at τ . When 2 < p, u(x) is not of class C^2 at τ .

Derivation of equations for F and G with the prescribed Cauchy data is given in the appendix A and B as below.

A. Asymptotic expansion at σ

We compute assuming $\sigma = 0$. Since $u(0^+) = 0$ and $u_x(0^+) = A > 0$, we assume a differentiable asymptotic expansion of the form

$$u(x) \sim Ax + Bx^{\beta} + o(x^{\beta}) \quad \text{as } x \to 0^+$$
(33)

where $1 < \beta$.

Since $|u_x| = u_x$ and |u| = u, (1) becomes

$$(p-1)(u_x)^{p-2}u_{xx} + u^{q-1} = 0.$$
(34)

If we differentiate (33) and substitute this in (34), we get

$$(p-1)(A + B\beta x^{\beta-1} + o(x^{\beta-1}))^{p-2}(B\beta(\beta-1)x^{\beta-2} + o(x^{\beta-2})) + (Ax + Bx^{\beta} + o(x^{\beta}))^{q-1} \sim 0.$$

Expanding the left hand side, we have

$$(p-1)(A^{p-2} + (p-2)A^{p-3}B\beta x^{\beta-1} + o(x^{\beta-1}))(B\beta(\beta-1)x^{\beta-2} + o(x^{\beta-2})) + x^{q-1}(A^{q-1} + (q-1)A^{q-2}Bx^{\beta-1} + o(x^{\beta-1})) \sim 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(p-1)A^{p-2}B\beta(\beta-1)x^{\beta-2} + o(x^{\beta-2}) + A^{q-1}x^{q-1} + o(x^{q-1}) \sim 0$$

LORNA I. PAREDES AND KOICHI UCHIYAMA

We get the following:

- 1. $\beta 2 = q 1$ and hence $\beta = q + 1$,
- 2. $(p-1)A^{p-2}B\beta(\beta-1) + A^{q-1} = 0$ and hence $B = \frac{-A^{q-p+1}}{q(q+1)(p-1)}$. Next, we assume $u(x) \sim (-A)(-x) + B'(-x)^{\beta} + o(x^{\beta})$ as $x \to 0^-$.

Since
$$|u_x| = u_x$$
 and $|u| = -u$, (1) becomes

$$(p-1)(u_x)^{p-2}u_{xx} - (-u)^{q-1} = 0.$$

(35)

We have $\beta = q + 1$ and B' = -B as above.

We postulate a solution of the form $u(x) = xF(|x|^q)$ with F(0) = A and F'(0) = B. When x > 0, substituting $u(x) = xF(x^q)$ into the equation (34) we get

$$(p-1)(F(\xi) + q\xi F'(\xi))^{p-2}(q(q+1)x^{q-1}F'(\xi) + q^2x^{2q-1}F''(\xi)) + x^{q-1}(F(\xi))^{q-1} = 0$$

where $\xi = x^q$. Dividing both sides by x^{q-1} , we have

$$(p-1)[F(\xi) + q\xi F'(\xi)]^{p-2}[q(q+1)F'(\xi) + q^2\xi F''(\xi)] + (F(\xi))^{q-1} = 0,$$
(36)

for x > 0.

When x < 0, substituting $u(x) = x F((-x)^q)$ into (35), we get

$$(p-1)[F(\xi) + q(-x)^{q}F'(\xi)]^{p-2}[-q(q+1)(-x)^{q-1}F'(\xi) - q^{2}(-x)^{2q-1}F''(\xi)] - (-x)^{q-1}(F(\xi))^{q-1} = 0,$$

where $\xi = (-x)^q$. Simplifying this, we obtain the same equation for F as (36).

B. Asymptotic expansion near $x = \tau$

We compute assuming $\tau = 0$. Since $u(0^-) = A > 0$ and $u_x(0^-) = 0$, we assume this time that we have a differentiable asymptotic expansion

$$u(x) \sim A + B(-x)^{\beta} + o((-x)^{\beta}) \text{ as } x \to 0^{-},$$
 (37)

where $1 < \beta$. Since |u| = u and $|u_x| = u_x$ by (11), (1) becomes (34).

If we differentiate (37) and substitute this in (34), we get

$$(p-1)(-B\beta(-x)^{\beta-1} - o((-x)^{\beta-1}))^{p-2}(B\beta(\beta-1)(-x)^{\beta-2} + o((-x)^{\beta-2})) + (A+B(-x)^{\beta} + o((-x)^{\beta}))^{q-1} \sim 0.$$

Expanding the left hand side, we have

ANALYTIC SINGULARITIES OF SOLUTIONS

$$(p-1)(-B\beta)^{p-2}(-x)^{(\beta-1)(p-2)}[1+o(1)][B\beta(\beta-1)(-x)^{\beta-2} + o((-x)^{\beta-2})] + A^{q-1}\left[1+(q-1)\frac{B}{A}(-x)^{\beta}+o((-x)^{\beta})\right] \sim 0$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} -(p-1)(-B\beta)^{p-1}(\beta-1)(-x)^{\beta(p-1)-p} + o((-x)^{\beta(p-1)-p}) \\ &+ A^{q-1} + (q-1)A^{q-2}B(-x)^{\beta} + o((-x)^{\beta}) \sim 0 \,. \end{split}$$

We have necessarily:

1. $\beta(p-1) - p = 0$ and hence $\beta = \frac{p}{p-1}$, 2. $-(p-1)(-B\beta)^{p-1}(\beta-1) + A^{q-1} = 0$ and hence $B = -\frac{p-1}{p}A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}$. Next, we assume

$$u(x) \sim A + B'x^{\beta} + o(x^{\beta})$$
 as $x \to 0^+$.

Since $|u_x| = -u_x$ and |u| = u, (1) becomes

$$(p-1)(-u_x)^{p-2}u_{xx} + u^{q-1} = 0.$$
(38)

We have $\beta = p/(p-1)$ and B' = B as above. Based on this trial computation, we seek for a solution of the form

$$u(x) = G(|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})$$

with G(0) = A and G'(0) = B. When x < 0, we get from (34)

$$\begin{split} (p-1) \Bigg[\frac{-p}{p-1} (-x)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} G'(\xi) \Bigg]^{p-2} \Bigg[\frac{p}{(p-1)^2} (-x)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} G'(\xi) + \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 (-x)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} G''(\xi) \Bigg] \\ &+ (G(\xi))^{q-1} = 0 \,, \end{split}$$

where $\xi = (-x)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. Simplifying this, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \left(-G'(\xi)\right)^{p-2} \left[G'(\xi) + p\xi G''(\xi)\right] + \left(G(\xi)\right)^{q-1} = 0.$$
(39)

When x > 0, substituting $u(x) = G(x^{\frac{p}{p-1}})$ into (38), we get

$$(p-1)\left[\frac{-p}{p-1}x^{\frac{1}{p-1}}G'(\xi)\right]^{p-2}\left[\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}x^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}G'(\xi) + \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2x^{\frac{2}{p-1}}G''(\xi)\right] + (G(\xi))^{q-1} = 0,$$

where $\xi = x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$.

LORNA I. PAREDES AND KOICHI UCHIYAMA

Simplifying this, we obtain the same equation for G as (39).

References

- T. IDOGAWA and M. ÔTANI, Analyticity and the best possible constants for Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities, Advances in Math. Sci. and Appl. 4 (1994), 71–78.
- [2] K. IWASAKI, H. KIMURA, S. SHIMOMURA and M. YOSHIDA, From Gauss to Painlevé: a modern theory of special functions, Vieweg (1991).
- [3] M. ÔTANI, A Remark on Certain Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, Proc. Fac. Sci. Tokai University 19 (1984), 23–28.
- [4] M. ÔTANI, On certain second order ordinary differential equations associated with Sobolev-Poincaré-type inequalities, Nonlinear Anal. 8 (1984), 1255–1270.
- [5] P. LINDQVIST, Note on a Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problem, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 23 (1993), 281–288.

Present Addresses:

LORNA I. PAREDES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES, DILIMAN, QUEZON CITY, PHILIPPINES.

KOICHI UCHIYAMA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY, KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102–8554 JAPAN.