# Specifying the unitary evolution of a qudit for a general nonstationary Hamiltonian via the generalized Gell-Mann representation

 <sup>1,2</sup>Elena R. Loubenets and <sup>1</sup>Christian Käding
 <sup>1</sup>Applied Mathematics Department, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, 101000, Russia,
 <sup>2</sup>Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991, Russia

April 22, 2020

#### Abstract

Optimal realizations of quantum technology tasks lead to the necessity of a detailed analytical study of the behavior of a *d*-level quantum system (qudit) under a time-dependent Hamiltonian. In the present article, we introduce a new general formalism describing the unitary evolution of a qudit ( $d \ge 2$ ) in terms of the Bloch-like vector space and specify how in a general case this formalism is related to finding time-dependent parameters in the exponential representation of the evolution operator under an arbitrary time-dependent Hamiltonian. Applying this new general formalism to a qubit case (d = 2), we specify the unitary evolution of a qubit via the evolution of a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and this allows us to derive the precise analytical expression of the qubit unitary evolution operator for a wide class of nonstationary Hamiltonians. This new analytical expression includes the qubit solutions known in the literature only as particular cases.

#### 1 Introduction

Optimal realizations of many quantum technology tasks need a detailed analysis of the evolution of a  $d \geq 2$  dimensional quantum system (a qudit) under a time-dependent Hamiltonian H(t). In mathematical terms, the evolution of a qudit under a Hamiltonian H(t) is described on the complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^d$  by the unitary operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  – the solution of the Cauchy problem for the nonstationary Schrödinger equation with the initial condition  $U_H(t_0, t_0) = \mathbb{I}$ . For a time-independent Hamiltonian H, the solution of this Cauchy problem is well-known and reads  $U_H(t, t_0) = \exp\{-iH(t - t_0)\}$ .

If a Hamiltonian H(t) depends on time, then  $U_H(t, t_0)$  is formally given by the *T*chronological exponent [1, 2] – the infinite Volterra series (see Eq. (4) in Section 2) – which however converges only under some suitable conditions on H(t). For some nonstationary Hamiltonians beyond these conditions, the analytical expressions for  $U_H(t, t_0)$  via parameters of H(t) are also known, for example, for a free electron [3] in a magnetic field spinning around the x<sub>3</sub>-axis. However, for an arbitrary time-dependent H(t), the analytical expression for  $U_H(t, t_0)$  via parameters of H(t) is not known even in a qubit case.

On the other hand, every unitary operator V on the complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^d$  has the form  $\exp\{-i\alpha\}\widetilde{V}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , where a unitary operator  $\widetilde{V}$  is an element of the SU(d)group and, hence, admits the exponential parametrization via the SU(d) group generators. Therefore, for a *d*-dimensional quantum system, the exponential representation for  $U_H(t, t_0)$  must also exist and there arises the problem of how to determine timedependent parameters of this exponential representation via characteristics of a given qudit Hamiltonian H(t). To our knowledge, the solution of this problem has not been reported in the literature even for a qubit case.

In this article, we introduce a new general formalism describing the unitary evolution of a qudit  $(d \ge 2)$  in terms of the Bloch-like vector space and specify how in a general case this formalism is related to finding time-dependent parameters in the exponential representation of  $U_H(t, t_0)$  under an arbitrary time-dependent Hamiltonian.

Applying this general formalism to a qubit case (d = 2), we specify the unitary evolution of a qubit via the evolution of a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and find the precise analytical expression of  $U_H(t, t_0)$  for a wide class of nonstationary qubit Hamiltonians. This new analytical expression includes the qubit solutions known in the literature only as particular cases.

The article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we analyze the known representations for  $U_H(t, t_0)$  and discuss the properties of the generalized Gell-Mann representation<sup>1</sup> for an arbitrary Hamiltonian and an arbitrary unitary operator on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .

In Section 3, we derive (Theorem 1) the new general equations specifying the unitary evolution of a qudit  $(d \ge 2)$  under a Hamiltonian H(t) in terms of parameters in the generalized Gell-Mann representation and in the exponential representation of  $U_H(t, t_0)$ .

In Sections 4 and 5, we specify (Theorem 2) the forms of these new general equations in a qubit case (d = 2) and derive the novel precise analytical expression of  $U_H(t, t_0)$  for a wide class of qubit Hamiltonians H(t).

The main results of the article are summarized in Section 6.

# 2 Unitary evolution of a qudit $(d \ge 2)$

Let  $H(t) : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $H(t) = H^{\dagger}(t)$ ,  $d \ge 2$ , be a Hamiltonian of a *d*-level quantum system (qudit). The evolution of a qudit state

$$\rho(t) = U_H(t, t_0)\rho(t_0)U_H^{\dagger}(t, t_0), \quad t \ge t_0,$$
(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Different aspects of the Bloch-like representations for qudits were considered in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

under a Hamiltonian H(t) is determined by the unitary operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  – the solution of the Cauchy problem for the nonstationary Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}U_{H}(t,t_{0}) = H(t)U_{H}(t,t_{0}), \quad t > t_{0},$$

$$U_{H}(t_{0},t_{0}) = \mathbb{I},$$
(2)

which satisfies the cocycle property

$$U_H(t,t_0) = U_H(t,s)U_H(s,t_0), \quad s \in [t,t_0],$$
(3)

and is represented by the chronological operator exponent

$$U_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \operatorname{T} \exp\left\{-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(\tau) d\tau\right\} :=$$

$$= \mathbb{I} - i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} (-i)^{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{2} \operatorname{T} \left\{H(\tau_{1})H(\tau_{2})\right\} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n!} (-i)^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{2} \operatorname{T} \left\{H(\tau_{1})H(\tau_{2}) \cdot \dots \cdot H(\tau_{n})\right\} + \dots,$$
(4)

where symbol  $T\{\cdot\}$  means

$$T\{H(\tau_1)\cdot\ldots\cdot H(\tau_m)\} := H(\tau_{\alpha_1})\cdot\ldots\cdot H(\tau_{\alpha_m}), \quad \tau_{\alpha_1} \ge \tau_{\alpha_1} > \ldots > \tau_{\alpha_m}.$$
 (5)

If a Hamiltonian H(t) satisfies the condition

$$\left[H(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(\tau) d\tau\right] = 0, \quad t > t_0,$$
(6)

then the series in (2) reduces to

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t H(\tau)d\tau\right\}.$$
(7)

Recall (see, for example, in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]) that any linear operator A on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  admits the representation via the generalized Gell-Mann matrices – the generalized Gell-Mann representation:

$$A = a_0 \mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} a \cdot \Lambda, \quad a \cdot \Lambda := \sum_{j=1,\dots,d^2-1} a_j \cdot \Lambda_j, \tag{8}$$
$$a_0 = \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{tr}[A] \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \operatorname{tr}[A\Lambda_j] \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a = (a_1,\dots,a_{d^2-1}),$$

where  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, ... \Lambda_{d^2-1})$  is a tuple of traceless Hermitian operators on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ :

$$\Lambda_k = \Lambda_k^{\dagger}, \quad \text{tr}[\Lambda_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, ..., (d^2 - 1),$$
(9)

satisfying the relations

$$\Lambda_k \Lambda_m = \frac{2}{d} \delta_{km} \mathbb{I} + \sum_j (d_{kmj} + if_{kmj}) \Lambda_j, \qquad (10)$$
$$[\Lambda_k, \Lambda_m] = 2i \sum_j f_{kmj} \Lambda_j, \quad \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda_k \Lambda_m) = 2\delta_{km},$$

and constituting generators of group SU(d). In (10),  $\delta_{km}$  is the Kronecker symbol and  $f_{jkm}$ ,  $d_{jkm}$  are antisymmetric and symmetric structure coefficients of SU(d), respectively. The matrix representations of the operators  $\Lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, ..., (d^2 - 1)$ , in the computational basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  constitute the higher-dimensional extensions of the Pauli matrices in the qubit case (d = 2) and the Gell-Mann matrices in the qutrit case (d = 3).

Under the normalization  $chosen^2$  for a vector a in (8),

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{\dagger}A\right] = d\left(|a_{0}|^{2} + \left\|a'\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d^{2}-1}}^{2}\right).$$
(11)

Here and in what follows, by the upper prime  $r' \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2-1}$  we denote the column-vector comprised of components of a vector  $r = (r_1, ..., r_{d^2-1})$ .

Note that representation (8) constitutes the decomposition of a linear operator A on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  in the orthogonal basis

$$\{ \mathbb{I}, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{d^2 - 1} \}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

of the vector space  $\mathcal{L}$  where linear operators  $A : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d$  constitute vectors and the scalar product is defined by  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} := \operatorname{tr}[A_1^{\dagger}A_2]$ .

For a Hamiltonian H(t) on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , the generalized Gell-Mann representation (8) reads

$$H(t) = b_0(t)\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} (b_H(t) \cdot \Lambda), \qquad (13)$$
  

$$b_0(t) = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}[H(t)] \in \mathbb{R}, \quad b_H^{(j)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \text{tr}[H(t)\Lambda_j] \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad (13)$$
  

$$b_H(t) = (b_H^{(1)}(t), ..., b_H^{(d^2-1)}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}, \qquad (13)$$

and condition (6) implies the following limitations on a vector  $b_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$ :

$$\sum_{k,m} f_{kmj} b_H^{(k)}(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t b_H^{(m)}(\tau) d\tau \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \left( d^2 - 1 \right).$$
(14)

Therefore, if a vector  $b_H(t)$  satisfies conditions (14), then by (7)

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\int_{t_0}^t b_H(\tau)d\tau\right)\cdot\Lambda\right\}.$$
 (15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We take the same normalization of a vector a in representation (8) as for traceless qudit observables in [8].

However, for an arbitrary qudit Hamiltonian H(t), condition (6) (equivalently, conditions (14)) does not need to be fulfilled, so that the exponential representation (15) of  $U_H(t, t_0)$  via the decomposition coefficients  $b_0(t)$ ,  $b_H(t)$  of a Hamiltonian H(t) by (13) does not, in general, hold.

On the other hand, as it is the case for every unitary operator on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  must have the form

$$U_H(t, t_0) = \exp\{-i\alpha(t, t_0)\} \, \tilde{U}_H(t, t_0), \quad \alpha(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{R},$$
(16)

where  $\widetilde{U}_H(t, t_0) \in SU(d)$  and, hence, as any element of SU(d), admits (see, for example, [12] and references therein) the exponential parametrization

$$\widetilde{U}_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \left(n_H(t,t_0) \cdot \Lambda\right)\right\}$$
(17)

via generators  $\Lambda_1, ... \Lambda_{d^2-1}$  of group SU(d) and a vector  $n_H(t, t_0) = (n_1, ... n_{d^2-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$ , which in case of solution  $\widetilde{U}_H(t, t_0) \in SU(d)$  depends also on a Hamiltonian H(t), time t and an initial moment  $t_0$ . In (17), similarly as in decomposition (8), we use the following normalization for a vector  $n_H(t, t_0)$ :

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}n_{H}(t,t_{0})\cdot\Lambda\right)^{2}\right] = d \|n_{H}(t,t_{0})\|_{\mathbb{R}^{d^{2}-1}}^{2}.$$
(18)

Relations (16), (17) imply that, for every<sup>3</sup> qudit Hamiltonian H(t), the unitary evolution operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  admits the exponential representation

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\alpha(t,t_0)\right\} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(n_H(t,t_0)\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\},$$
(19)  

$$\alpha(t_0,t_0) = 0, \quad n_H(t_0,t_0) = 0,$$

where parameters  $\alpha(t, t_0)$ ,  $n_H(t, t_0)$  can be presented in the form

$$\alpha(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \beta_0(\tau) d\tau, \quad \beta_0(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n_H(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \beta_H(\tau) d\tau, \quad \beta_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2 - 1}.$$
(20)

This implies

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t \beta_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\int_{t_0}^t \beta_H(\tau)d\tau\right) \cdot \Lambda\right\}.$$
 (21)

The form of this representation is quite similar to the one of representation (15), which is valid if a Hamiltonian H(t) satisfies condition (14). However, for an arbitrary Hamiltonian H(t), a vector  $\beta_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  in (21) does not need to be equal to a vector  $b_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  in representation (13) for this H(t).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For which a unique solution of (2) exists.

Therefore, in order to specify the unitary evolution operator  $U_H(t,t_0)$  under an arbitrary nonstationary Hamiltonian H(t), we need to express parameters  $\beta_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  in (21) via coefficients  $b_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  in the generalized Gell-Mann representation (13) for a given H(t).

In the proceeding sections, we consider this problem for an arbitrary  $d \ge 2$  and further study the case d = 2 in detail.

#### 3 Evolution equations in the Bloch-like vector space

Together with the generalized Gell-Mann representation (13) for a Hamiltonian H(t), let us also specify decomposition (8) for a unitary operator (17) on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ :

$$\widetilde{U}_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(n_{H}(t,t_{0})\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\}$$

$$= u_{0}(t,t_{0})\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}u_{H}(t,t_{0})\cdot\Lambda, \quad u_{H}(t,t_{0}) = (u_{H}^{(1)},...,u_{H}^{(d^{2}-1)}),$$

$$u_{0}(t,t_{0}) = \frac{1}{d}\mathrm{tr}[\widetilde{U}_{H}(t,t_{0})] \in \mathbb{C}, \quad u_{H}^{(j)}(t,t_{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}}\mathrm{tr}[\widetilde{U}_{H}(t,t_{0})\Lambda_{j}] \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(22)

The initial conditions in (19) and the unitary property of  $\widetilde{U}_H(t, t_0)$  imply

$$u_0(t_0, t_0) = 1, \quad u_H^{(j)}(t_0, t_0) = 0,$$
 (23)

and

$$|u_{0}(t,t_{0})|^{2} + \left\|u_{H}'(t,t_{o})\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d^{2}-1}}^{2} = 1,$$

$$0 = u_{0}(t,t_{0}) \left(u_{H}^{(j)}(t,t_{0})\right)^{*} + u_{0}^{*}(t,t_{0})u_{H}^{(j)}(t,t_{0})$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{k,m} \left(d_{kmj} + if_{kmj}\right) u_{H}^{(k)}(t,t_{0}) \left(u_{H}^{(m)}(t,t_{0})\right)^{*},$$

$$(24)$$

for all  $t \ge t_0$  and all  $j = 1, ..., (d^2 - 1)$ .

Substituting (22) into (19) and (19) into (2) and taking  $u_H(t,t_0) = i\widetilde{u}_H(t,t_0)$ , we derive

$$\alpha(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\}$$
(25)

and the following system of ordinary differential equations for  $u_0(t, t_0)$  and  $\tilde{u}_H(t, t_0)$ :

$$\dot{u}_{0}(t,t_{0}) = b_{H}(t) \cdot \tilde{u}_{H}(t,t_{0}),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u}_{H}^{(j)}(t,t_{0}) = -u_{0}(t,t_{0})b_{H}^{(j)} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{m,k} \left( f_{kmj} - id_{kmj} \right) b_{H}^{(k)}(t) \tilde{u}_{H}^{(m)}(t,t_{0}),$$

$$u_{0}(t_{0},t_{0}) = 1, \quad \tilde{u}_{H}(t_{0},t_{0}) = 0.$$
(26)

Relations (24) constitute the functionally independent first integrals of these ODEs.

Thus, for an arbitrary  $d \ge 2$ , the unitary evolution operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  under a Hamiltonian H(t) is given by

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(n_H(t,t_0)\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\}$$
(27)  
$$= \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \left(u_0(t,t_0)\ \mathbb{I} + i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\widetilde{u}_H(t,t_0)\cdot\Lambda\right),$$

where  $u_0(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tilde{u}'_H(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2-1}$  satisfy the Cauchy problem (26) for the nonautonomous system of linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs).

On the other hand, due to the results in [12], we can explicitly represent  $u_0(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\widetilde{u}'_H(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2-1}$  in (27) via a vector  $n_H(t, t_0)$ .

Namely, for each group element  $V_d \in SU(d)$  with the exponential parametrization

$$V_d(r) = \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}(r\cdot\Lambda)\right\}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1},$$
(28)

let us consider the generalized Gell-Mann representation (8):

$$\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}(r\cdot\Lambda)\right\} = v_0(r) \mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}(v(r)\cdot\Lambda), \qquad (29)$$
$$|v_0(t)|^2 + \|v'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d^2-1}}^2 = 1,$$

where

$$v_{0}(r) = \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \exp \left\{ -i \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} (r \cdot \Lambda) \right\} \right],$$

$$v(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \Lambda \exp \left\{ -i \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} (r \cdot \Lambda) \right\} \right].$$
(30)

Denote by  $E(\lambda_m(r))$  the spectral projection of a Hermitian operator  $(r \cdot \Lambda)$  corresponding to its eigenvalue  $\lambda_m(r) \in \mathbb{R}$  with multiplicity  $k_{\lambda_m(r)}$ . The spectral decomposition of  $V_d(r) = \exp\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}(r \cdot \Lambda)\}$  reads

$$V_d(r) = \sum_{\lambda_m} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\lambda_m(r)\right\} E(\lambda_m(r)).$$
(31)

Substituting this into relations (30) and taking into account the cyclic property of the

trace and relation  $\operatorname{tr}[\operatorname{E}(\lambda_m(r))] = k_{\lambda_m(r)}$ , we derive<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbf{v}_0(r) = \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{tr} \left[ V_d(r) \right] = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda_m} k_{\lambda_m(r)} \exp\left\{ -i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \lambda_m(r) \right\},\tag{32}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{j}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \Lambda_{j} V_{d}(r) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \Lambda_{j} \left( \sum_{m=0,1,\dots} \frac{(-i)^{m}}{m!} \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} (r \cdot \Lambda)^{m} \right) \right]$$
(33)  
$$= \frac{i}{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}} \operatorname{tr} \left[ V_{d}(r) \right],$$

which imply

$$\mathbf{v}_0(r) = \frac{1}{d} K_d(r), \quad \mathbf{v}_j(r) = \frac{i}{d} \left( \nabla_r \ K_d(r) \cdot \Lambda \right), \tag{34}$$
$$\mathbf{V}_d(r) = \frac{1}{d} K_d(r) \mathbb{I} + i \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{d} \nabla_r \ K_d(r) \cdot \Lambda \right),$$

where  $\nabla_r := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1}, ..., \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{d^2-1}}\right)$  and

$$K_d(r) := \sum_{\lambda_m(r)} k_{\lambda_m(r)} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\lambda_m(r)\right\}.$$
(35)

From (29) and (34) it follows that, in relation (27),

$$u_0(t,t_0) = \frac{1}{d} K_d(n_H(t,t_0)), \quad \tilde{u}_H(t) = \frac{1}{d} \nabla_{n_H} (K_d(n_H(t,t_0))), \quad (36)$$

for some vector  $n_H(t,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$ , so that

$$\widetilde{U}_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(n_{H}(t,t_{0})\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d}K_{d}\left(n_{H}(t,t_{0})\right) \mathbb{I} + i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}\frac{1}{d}}\nabla_{n_{H}}\left(K_{d}(n_{H}(t,t_{0}))\right)\cdot\Lambda.$$
(37)

The substitution of (36) into the first and the second equations of the system of linear ODEs (26) gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_H} K_d(n_H(t,t_0)) \cdot \frac{dn_H(t,t_0)}{dt}$$

$$= b_H(t) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H} K_d(n_H(t,t_0))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{dn_H(t,t_0)}{dt} - b_H(t) \perp \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H} K_d(n_H(t,t_0))$$
(38)

<sup>4</sup>These expressions differ by normalizations from those in [12].

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_H} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H^{(j)}} \left( K_d(n_H(t, t_0)) \right) \cdot \frac{dn_H(t, t_0)}{dt} \right)$$

$$= -b_H^{(j)}(t) K_d(n_H(t, t_0)) + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{k,m} \left( f_{kmj} - id_{kmj} \right) b_H^{(k)}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H^{(m)}} K_d(n_H(t, t_0)),$$

$$j = 1, ..., (d^2 - 1),$$
(39)

respectively.

Relations (19) and (22)–(39) prove the following statement.

**Theorem 1** Let  $H(t) = b_0(t)\mathbb{I} + b(t) \cdot \Lambda$ ,  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$ , be a Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . For each  $d \geq 2$ , the unitary operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  describing the evolution of a qudit under a Hamiltonian H(t) has the form

$$U_H(t,t_0) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\left(n_H(t,t_0)\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\}$$
(40)  
$$= \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau)d\tau\right\} \left(u_0(t,t_0)\mathbb{I} + i\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}\widetilde{u}_H(t,t_0)\cdot\Lambda\right).$$

Here, the scalar function  $u_0(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{C}$  and vector  $u_H(t, t_0) = (u_H^{(1)}, ..., u_H^{(d^2-1)}), u_H^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$ , are the solutions of the Cauchy problem (26), equivalently,

$$u_0(t,t_0) = \frac{1}{d} K_d(n_H(t,t_0)), \quad \tilde{u}_H(t,t_0) = \frac{1}{d} \nabla_{n_H} \left( K_d(n_H(t,t_0)), \right)$$
(41)

where function  $K_d(n)$  is given by (35) and vector  $n_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{dn_H(t,t_0)}{dt} = b_H(t) + n_\perp(t,t_0), \quad n_H(t_0,t_0) = 0,$$
(42)

with  $n_{\perp}(t,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  satisfying for all  $t > t_0$  the orthogonality relation  $n_{\perp}(t,t_0) \cdot \nabla_{n_H}(K_d(n_H(t,t_0)) = 0$  and determined via the equation

$$\frac{dn_H(t,t_0)}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial n_j} K_d(n_H(t,t_0)) \right)$$

$$= -b_H^{(j)}(t) K_d(n_H(t,t_0)) + \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{k,m} (f_{kmj} - id_{kmj}) b_H^{(k)}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_H^{(m)}} K_d(n_H(t,t_0)).$$
(43)

In Sections 4 and 5, we specify Eqs. (26), (42), (43) for a general qubit case.

#### 3.1 Finding $K_d(n)$ for d=2,3

In this subsection, we consider the characteristic function  $K_d(r)$ , given by (35), and also, representation (29) for d = 2, 3.

• For d = 2, the matrix representations of generators  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  of SU(2) in the computational basis in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  are given by the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$
$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3), \quad \operatorname{tr}[\sigma_k \sigma_j] = 2\delta_{jk},$$
$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = i\sigma_3, \quad \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = i\sigma_1, \quad \sigma_3 \sigma_1 = i\sigma_2,$$

and, for each vector  $r \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the traceless Hermitian operator  $n \cdot \sigma$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has eigenvalues  $\pm \|n\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ . Therefore, by (35), the characteristic function  $K_2(r)$  and its derivatives are given by

$$K_{2}(r) = \exp \{-i \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\} + \exp \{i \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\}$$

$$= 2 \cos \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}} K_{2}(r) = -2 \sin (\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}) \frac{r_{j}}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}},$$
(45)

and representation (29) reduces to the well-known formula

$$\exp\{-i \ (r \cdot \sigma)\} = \mathbb{I}\cos\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^3} - i\sin(\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}) \frac{r \cdot \sigma}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}},\tag{46}$$

see, for example, in [14].

• For d = 3, the matrix representations of the SU(3) generators in the computational basis in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  constitute the Gell-Mann matrices. For each  $r \in \mathbb{R}^8$ , the traceless Hermitian operator  $(r \cdot \Lambda)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  has eigenvalues [12]

$$\lambda_{1,2}(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \sin\left(\phi(r) \pm \frac{\pi}{3}\right),$$

$$\lambda_3(r) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \sin\left(\phi(r)\right),$$
(47)

where

$$\sin(3\phi(r)) = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2 ||r||_{\mathbb{R}^8}^3} \det(r \cdot \Lambda).$$
(48)

From relations (35) and (47) it follows that, for d = 3,

$$K_{3}(r) = \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_{1}(r)\right\} + \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_{2}(r)\right\} + \exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_{3}(r)\right\}$$
(49)  
$$= \sum_{k=0,1,2} \exp\{-i\sqrt{2} ||r||_{\mathbb{R}^{8}} \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\}$$

and (see Appendix B)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K_3(r) = -3i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left(F_1(r)\ p(r) + F_2(r)\frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}}\right),\tag{50}$$

where

$$p^{(m)}(r) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{8} \frac{r^{(i)} r^{(j)} d_{ijm}}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{8}}^{2}}, \quad p'(r) \in \mathbb{C}^{8},$$

$$F_{1}(r) := \sum_{k=0,1,2} \frac{\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{2} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{8}} \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\right\}}{1 - 2\cos(2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3))},$$

$$F_{2}(r) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{k=0,1,2} \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)$$

$$\times \frac{\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{2} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^{8}} \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\right\}}{1 - 2\cos((2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)))}.$$
(51)

Taking into account (37), (49), (50) we derive that, for any vector  $r \in \mathbb{R}^8$ ,

$$\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\left(r\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\} = \frac{\mathbb{I}}{3}K_{3}(r) + \left\{F_{1}\left(\phi(r)\right)\left(p(r)\cdot\Lambda\right) + F_{2}\left(\phi(r)\right)\left(r\cdot\Lambda\right)\right\}$$
(52)

In view of relations (10) and (51), this expression can be represented otherwise in the form

$$\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (r \cdot \Lambda)\right\} = \sum_{k=0,1,2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}^2} (r \cdot \Lambda)^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} (r \cdot \Lambda) \sin\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right)\right. \right.$$
(53)  
$$\left. - \frac{\mathbb{I}}{3} \left[1 + 2\cos\left(2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\right)\right] \right\} \\ \left. \times \frac{\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{2} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \sin\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right)\right\}}{1 - 2\cos\left(2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\right)},$$

which agrees with formula (5) in [13].

## 4 General nonstationary qubit case

In this section, based on the new general results derived in Sections 2 and 3, we specify the unitary evolution operator (40) for d = 2.

In the qubit case,  $\Lambda \equiv \sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  and a general Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has the form

$$H(t) = b_0(t)\mathbb{I} + b(t) \cdot \sigma, \quad b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(54)

Here and in what follows, for short, we suppress the lower index H in notations  $n_H(t)$ ,  $b_H(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and the lower index  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in notation  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ .

Let us specify the main issues of Theorem 1 if d = 2. In this case:

• The structure coefficients  $d_{kmj} = 0$ , for all k, m, j = 1, 2, 3, and coefficients  $f_{kmj} = \epsilon_{kmj}$  constitute the Levi-Civita symbol. Therefore, the system of linear ODEs (26) reduces to

$$\dot{u}_{0}(t,t_{0}) = b(t) \cdot \widetilde{u}(t,t_{0}), \quad \widetilde{u}_{0}(t_{0},t_{0}) = 1,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\dot{\widetilde{u}}(t,t_{0}) = -u_{0}(t,t_{0})b_{j} + b(t) \times \widetilde{u}(t,t_{0}), \quad \widetilde{u}(t_{0},t_{0}) = 0,$$

$$(u_{0}(t,t_{0}))^{2} + \|\widetilde{u}(t,t_{0})\|_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}^{2} = 1,$$
(55)

with  $u_0(t,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{u}(t,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and notation  $b \times \tilde{u}$  for a vector product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . By introducing a 4-dimensional real-valued unit vector  $q(t,t_0) = (u_0(t,t_0), \tilde{u}(t,t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and denoting by  $q'(t,t_0)$  the column-vector with elements comprised of components of vector  $q(t,t_0)$ , we rewrite the system of linear ODEs (55) in the normal form

$$\frac{d}{dt}q'(t,t_0) = \mathbf{A}(t)q'(t,t_0), \quad q(t,t_0) = (1,0,0,0), \tag{56}$$

with the skew-symmetric matrix

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1(t) & b_2(t) & b_3(t) \\ -b_1(t) & 0 & -b_3(t) & b_2(t) \\ -b_2(t) & b_3(t) & 0 & -b_1(t) \\ -b_3(t) & -b_2(t) & b_1(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (57)

• For d = 2, function (35) and its gradient are given due to (45) by  $K_2(n) = \cos \|n(t)\|$ ,  $\nabla_n K_2(n) = -2 \sin (\|n\|) \frac{n}{\|n\|}$ , so that by (41)

$$u_0(t,t_0) = \cos \|n(t,t_0)\| \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \widetilde{u}(t,t_0) = -\sin \left(\|n(t,t_0)\|\right) \frac{n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (58)$$

and the first and the second equations in (55) take the forms

$$\frac{d \|n(t,t_0)\|}{dt} = \frac{b(t) \cdot n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t)\|}$$
(59)

and

$$\left(\frac{\sin\|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} - \cos\|n(t)\|\right) \frac{d\|n(t,t_0)\|}{dt} \frac{n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} - \frac{\sin\|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} \frac{dn(t,t_0)}{dt} \quad (60)$$

$$= -b\cos\|n(t,t_0)\| + \frac{b(t) \times n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} \sin\|n(t,t_0)\|,$$

respectively.

• The Cauchy problem (42) in Theorem 1 reduces to

$$\frac{dn(t,t_0)}{dt} = b(t) + n_{\perp}(t,t_0) \quad t > t_0,$$

$$n(t_0,t_0) = 0,$$
(61)

where vector  $n_{\perp}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is orthogonal for all  $t > t_0$  to vector  $n(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and is determined via Eq. (43). For d = 2, the latter equation reduces to

$$\left(\frac{\sin\|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} - \cos\|n(t,t_0)\|\right) \left(\frac{b(t) \cdot n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|^2} n(t,t_0) - b(t)\right) \quad (62)$$

$$= \frac{\sin\|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} n_{\perp}(t,t_0) + (b(t) \times n(t,t_0)) \frac{\sin\|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|}.$$

Noting that, on the left-hand side of (62),

$$\frac{b \cdot n}{\|n\|^2} n - b = -\frac{1}{\|n\|^2} (n \times b \times n), \qquad (63)$$

and vectors

$$n \times b \times n, \quad b \times n$$
 (64)

are mutually orthogonal and are both in the plane orthogonal to vector  $n(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we represent vector  $n_{\perp}(t, t_0)$  in (61) and (62) as

$$n_{\perp}(t,t_0) = \alpha(t) \left( b(t) \times n(t,t_0) \right) + \beta(t,t_0) \left( n(t,t_0) \times b(t) \times n(t,t_0) \right)$$
(65)

and find via (62) that

$$\alpha(t,t_0) = -1, \qquad \beta(t,t_0) = -\frac{1 - \|n(t,t_0)\| \operatorname{ctg} \|n(t,t_0)\|}{\|n(t,t_0)\|^2}.$$
(66)

Therefore, Eqs. (61)–(66) imply

$$\frac{dn(t,t_0)}{dt} = b(t) - (b(t) \times n(t,t_0)) - \frac{1 - ||n(t,t_0)|| \operatorname{ctg} ||n(t,t_0)||}{||n(t,t_0)||^2} (n(t,t_0) \times b(t) \times n(t,t_0)),$$

$$n(t_0,t_0) = 0.$$
(67)

Theorem 1 and relations (55)–(67) prove the following statement on the unitary evolution of a qubit in a general nonstationary case.

**Theorem 2** Let  $H(t) = b_0(t)\mathbb{I} + b(t) \cdot \sigma$ ,  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , be a qubit Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The unitary operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  describing the evolution of a qubit under Hamiltonian H(t) takes the form

$$U_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i(n(t,t_{0})\cdot\sigma)\right\}$$
(68)  
$$= \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} (u_{0}(t,t_{0}) \mathbb{I} + i\widetilde{u}(t,t_{0})\cdot\sigma),$$

where the unit vector  $(u_0(t,t_0), \tilde{u}(t,t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  is the solution of the Cauchy problem (55) (equivalently, (56)), vector  $n(t,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the solution of the Cauchy problem (67) and the following relations hold

$$u_0(t,t_0) = \cos \|n(t,t_0)\| \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \widetilde{u}(t,t_0) = -\sin \left(\|n(t,t_0)\|\right) \frac{n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|}, \quad (69)$$
$$\frac{n(t,t_0)}{\|n(t,t_0)\|} = -\frac{\widetilde{u}(t,t_0)}{\|\widetilde{u}(t,t_0)\|}, \quad \|n(t,t_0)\| = \arccos \left(u_0(t,t_0)\right).$$

The cocycle property (3) implies that, in the qubit case, the unit vector  $(u_0(t, t_0), \tilde{u}(t, t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  in (68) – which is solution of the Cauchy problem (56) – must satisfy the relations

$$u_0(t,s)u_0(s,t_0) - \widetilde{u}(t,s) \cdot \widetilde{u}(s,t_0) = u_0(t,t_0),$$
(70)  
$$u_0(t,s)\widetilde{u}(s,t_0) + u_0(s,t_0)\widetilde{u}(t,s) - \widetilde{u}(t,s) \times \widetilde{u}(s,t_0) = \widetilde{u}(t,t_0).$$

For d = 2, relation (14) reduces to the condition

$$b(t) \times \left(\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) d\tau\right) = 0, \tag{71}$$

which is necessary and sufficient for the Cauchy problem (55) (equivalently, (56)) and the Cauchy problem (67) to have the solutions

$$n(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau, \qquad u_0(t,t_0) = \cos\left(\left\|\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau\right\|\right), \tag{72}$$
$$\widetilde{u}(t,t_0) = -\frac{\sin\left(\left\|\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau\right\|\right)}{\left\|\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau\right\|} \left(\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau\right),$$

and the unitary evolution operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  to be given by

$$U_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(b(\tau)\cdot\sigma\right)d\tau\right)\right\}$$
(73)
$$= \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} \left[\mathbb{I}\cos\left(\left\|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b(\tau)d\tau\right\|\right) - i\frac{\sin\left(\left\|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b(\tau)d\tau\right\|\right)}{\left\|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b(\tau)d\tau\right\|} \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b(\tau)d\tau\cdot\sigma\right)\right]$$

The expression standing in the first line of (73) is consistent with expression (15) valid under the general qudit condition (6) and specified for d = 2.

Condition (71) is, in particular, true if  $b(t) = e_b ||b(t)||$  where a unit vector  $e_b$  does not vary in time. Substituting this b(t) into (73) we have

$$U_{H}(t,t_{0}) = \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} \exp\left\{-i\left(e_{b}\cdot\sigma\right)\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|b(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right\}$$
(74)  
$$= \exp\left\{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}b_{0}(\tau)d\tau\right\} \left[\mathbb{I}\cos\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|b(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right) - i\sin\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|b(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)(e_{b}\cdot\sigma)\right].$$

In the following section, based on the general result formulated in Theorem 2, we specify classes of nonstationary Hamiltonians H(t), for which we can find the precise solutions of the Cauchy problem (55) (equivalently, (56)) and, hence, explicitly specify the unitary operator (68) via coefficients  $b_0(t)$ , b(t) of a Hamiltonian H(t).

### 5 Special classes of qubit Hamiltonians

Let, for a qubit Hamiltonian (54), components  $(||b(t)||, \theta_b(t), \varphi_b(t))$  of a vector  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the spherical coordinate system be such that<sup>5</sup>

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_1 = 0, \quad \text{where } J_1 := \frac{1}{\Omega_b(t)} \left( \cos\left(\theta_b(t)\right) - \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{2 \|b(t)\|} \right), \tag{75}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}J_2 = 0, \quad \text{where } J_2 := \frac{\sin\left(\theta_b(t)\right)}{\Omega_b(t)},$$

where

$$\Omega_b(t) := \sqrt{\left(\cos\left(\theta_b(t)\right) - \frac{\dot{\varphi_b}(t)}{2 \left\|b(t)\right\|}\right)^2 + \sin^2\left(\theta_b(t)\right)},\tag{76}$$

so that  $J_1^2 + J_2^2 = 1$ .

The class of Hamiltonians specified by conditions (75) is rather broad and includes, in particular, all cases studied in the literature for which:

$$\theta_b(t) = 0, \quad \ddot{\varphi_b}(t) = 0. \tag{77}$$

Represented otherwise, constant  $J_1$  takes the form

$$J_{1} = \frac{1}{\|b(t)\| \Omega_{b}(t)} \left( b_{3}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{b}(t) \right),$$
(78)  
$$\|b(t)\| \Omega_{b}(t) = \sqrt{\left( b_{3}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{b}(t) \right)^{2} + b_{1}^{2}(t) + b_{2}^{2}(t)},$$
$$tg(\varphi_{b}(t)) = b_{2}(t)/b_{1}(t),$$

from which it is immediately clear that the class of Hamiltonians specified by conditions (75) is defined via the special time behavior of a vector b(t) with respect to the x<sub>3</sub>-axis.

Quite similarly we can introduce the class of Hamiltonians specified via the property of  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  which is similar by its form to (78) but with respect to the x<sub>1</sub>-axis or the x<sub>2</sub>-axis.

Though, in the following statement, we explicitly specify only the unitary qubit evolution (68) under a Hamiltonian satisfying conditions (75), the new result of this statement can be easily reformulated for the classes of nonstationary Hamiltonians specified by conditions on  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with respect to the x<sub>1</sub>-axis and the x<sub>2</sub>-axis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Here, we suppose that b(t) is twice differentiable.

**Theorem 3** Let, for a qubit Hamiltonian  $H(t) = b_0(t)\mathbb{I} + b(t) \cdot \sigma$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  the conditions (75) be fulfilled. Then, for the unitary operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$  given by relations (68), (69) and describing the evolution of a qubit state under a Hamiltonian H(t), the unit vector  $(u_0(t, t_0), \tilde{u}(t, t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  – the solution of the Cauchy problem (55), equivalently, (56), takes the form

$$u_0(t,t_0) = \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) - \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \cos\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right) - J_1 \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) - \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \sin\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right),\tag{79}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{u}_1(t,t_0) &= -J_2 \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) + \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \sin\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right),\\ \widetilde{u}_2(t,t_0) &= -J_2 \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) + \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \sin\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right),\\ \widetilde{u}_3(t,t_0) &= -J_1 \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) - \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \sin\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_b(t) - \varphi_b(t_0)}{2}\right) \cos\left(\gamma_b(t,t_0)\right), \end{split}$$

satisfying the cocycle property (70). In (79),

$$\gamma_b(t, t_0) := \int_{t_0}^t \|b(\tau)\| \,\Omega_b(\tau) \,\,\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{80}$$

and  $\theta_b(t)$ ,  $\varphi_b(t)$  are angles specifying at time t vector  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  in the spherical coordinate system.

The proof of this statement is given in Appendix A. Note that the Cauchy problem with a skew-symmetric matrix – like the one in (56)– arises in many fields of mathematical physics, for example, in the solid body theory, in the quaternions models [15], etc. If we reformulate conditions (78) (equivalently, (75)) with respect to the x<sub>1</sub>-axis, then the corresponding solution  $(u_0(t, t_0), \tilde{u}(t, t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  of the Cauchy problem for the ODEs (56) would agree with the treatment in Section 5.10 of Ref. [15].

Let, for example,  $b(t) = e_b ||b(t)||$  where a unit vector  $e_b$  does not vary in time – the case we have analyzed above in (74) and where the general condition (71) is true. In this case,

$$\varphi_b(t) = \varphi_b(t_0) = \varphi_b, \quad \theta_b(t) = \theta_b(t_0) = \theta_b, \quad (81)$$
$$J_1 = \cos \theta_b, \quad J_2 = \sin \theta_b,$$

conditions (75) are also fulfilled and the substitution of (81) into expression (78) leads exactly to relation (73).

However, in general, conditions (71) and (75) do not need to be fulfilled simultaneously.

As an application of the result of Theorem 3, consider some examples important for applications where conditions (75) are fulfilled while condition (71) is violated.

1. Let, for a qubit Hamiltonian (54), the spherical coordinates of a vector  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfy the relations

$$\theta_b(t) = \theta_b, \quad \varphi_b(t) = \omega t + \eta, \quad \|b(t)\| = b, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R},$$
(82)

- the case where a vector b(t) rotates around the x<sub>3</sub>-axis with an angular velocity  $\omega$  and has a norm constant in time. Based on approaches different to ours, this case was considered in many papers in connection with the evolution of a pure qubit state, see for example, in [3]. For case (82), conditions (75) and parameters in (79) take the forms:

$$J_{1} = \frac{\cos \theta_{b} - \omega/2b}{\Omega_{b}}, \quad J_{2} = \frac{\sin \theta_{b}}{\Omega_{b}},$$

$$\Omega_{b} = \sqrt{(\cos \theta_{b} - \omega/2b)^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta_{b}} = Const,$$

$$\|b(t)\| \Omega_{b} = \sqrt{(2b\cos \theta_{b} - \omega)^{2} + 4b^{2}\sin^{2} \theta_{b}} := \widetilde{\Omega}_{b}.$$
(83)

Therefore, for case (82) we have by Theorem 3:

$$u_{0}(t,t_{0}) = \cos\left(\frac{\omega(t-t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})) - J_{1}\sin\left(\frac{\omega(t-t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})),$$
  

$$\widetilde{u}_{1}(t,t_{0}) = -J_{2}\cos\left(\frac{\omega(t+t_{0})}{2} + \eta\right)\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})),$$
  

$$\widetilde{u}_{2}(t,t_{0}) = -J_{2}\sin\left(\frac{\omega(t+t_{0})}{2} + \eta\right)\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})),$$
  

$$\widetilde{u}_{3}(t,t_{0}) = -J_{1}\cos\left(\frac{\omega(t-t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega(t-t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}(t-t_{0})),$$
  
(84)

so that the unitary evolution operator (68) with the unit vector  $(u_0(t), \tilde{u}(t))$  given by (84) completely defines the evolution of every qubit state under a nonstanionary Hamiltonian specified by relations (82).

Taking, for example,  $t_0 = 0$  and an initial pure state  $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |0\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$  we find that at any moment t > 0 the pure state is

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle &= U_H(t)|0\rangle = u_0(t,0)|0\rangle + i\widetilde{u}_1(t,0)|1\rangle - \widetilde{u}_2(t,0)|1\rangle + i\widetilde{u}_3(t,0)|0\rangle \\ &= (u_0(t,0) + i\widetilde{u}_3(t,0))|0\rangle + i\left(\widetilde{u}_1(t,0) + i\widetilde{u}_2(t,0)\right)|1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$
(85)

where  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$  are elements of the computational basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Substituting (84) into (85), we have

$$u_0(t,0) + i\widetilde{u}_3(t,0) = \left(\cos\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_b t\right) - iJ_1\sin\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_b t\right)\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}\right\}, \quad (86)$$
$$\widetilde{u}_1(t,0) + i\widetilde{u}_2(t,0) = -J_2\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_b t) \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega t}{2} + \eta\right\},$$

so that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \left\{ \cos\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}t\right) - iJ_{1}\sin\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}t\right) \right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$-iJ_{2}\sin(\widetilde{\Omega}_{b}t) \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega t}{2} + \eta\right\} |1\rangle.$$
(87)

where constants  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are given by (83). For  $\eta = 0$ , the pure state (86) coincides with the pure state given by Eq. (138.11) in Ref. [3] and found by another approach.

2. Consider further a more general case, where, for a vector  $b(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  in (54):

$$b_1(t) = q \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda} \cos\left(\varphi_b(t)\right), \quad b_2(t) = q \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda} \sin\left(\varphi_b(t)\right), \tag{88}$$
$$b_3(t) = p \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda},$$

with function  $\frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda} > 0$  for all  $t > t_0$  and some constants  $\lambda$ , q, p. In this case,

$$\|b(t)\| = \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda} \sqrt{q^2 + p^2}, \quad \cos(\theta_b(t)) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{q^2 + p^2}} = Const, \quad (89)$$

$$\Omega_b(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2 + p^2}} \sqrt{\left(p - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 + q^2} = Const,$$

$$\|b(t)\| \Omega_b = \frac{\dot{\varphi}_b(t)}{\lambda} \sqrt{\left(p - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 + q^2} = \frac{\zeta}{\lambda} \dot{\varphi}_b(t),$$

$$\zeta := \sqrt{\left(p - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 + q^2} = Const$$

Hence, by (75) the constants

$$J_1 = \frac{p - \lambda/2}{\zeta}, \quad J_2 = \frac{q}{\zeta}, \tag{90}$$

and, in Theorem 3, the vector  $(u_0(t), \tilde{u}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , which specifies by (68) the unitary

evolution of a qubit, is given by

$$u_{0}(t,t_{0}) = \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \cos\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0}))\right\}$$
(91)  
$$-\frac{p - \lambda/2}{\zeta} \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \sin\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0}))\right\},$$
  
$$\widetilde{u}_{1}(t,t_{0}) = -\frac{q}{\zeta} \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \sin\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})\right\},$$
  
$$\widetilde{u}_{2}(t,t_{0}) = -\frac{q}{\zeta} \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \sin\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0}))\right\},$$
  
$$\widetilde{u}_{3}(t,t_{0}) = -\frac{p - \lambda/2}{\zeta} \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \sin\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0}))\right\},$$
  
$$+ \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \cos\left\{\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}(\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0}))\right\},$$

where  $\lambda$ , q, p are some constants and angle  $\varphi_b(t)$  is an arbitrary function of t, such that  $\frac{\varphi_b(t)}{\lambda} > 0$ . If, in particular,  $\varphi_b(t) = \omega$  and  $\lambda = \omega$ , then relations (91) reduce to relations (84).

#### 6 Conclusions

In the present article, we introduced a new general formalism that allows for the analysis of the unitary evolution of a qudit  $(d \ge 2)$  under an arbitrary time-dependent Hamiltonian H(t) in terms of the Bloch-like vector space. Via this formalism we derived (Theorem 1, Section 3) the new general equations specifying the evolution of the Bloch-like vector in the generalized Gell-Mann representation of  $U_H(t, t_0)$  and the vector  $n(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2-1}$  in the exponential representation of  $U_H(t, t_0)$ .

Applying the general equations (26), (42), (43) to a qubit case (d = 2), we then derived (Theorem 2, Section 4) a new general result on the qubit evolution under a nonstationary Hamiltonian. This general result allowed us to find (Theorem 3, Section 5) the new precise analytical solutions for a wide class of nonstationary Hamiltonians which comprise the qubit cases already known in the literature only as particular ones.

The general formalism presented in this article is valid for a qudit of an arbitrary dimension d > 2, in particular, for a qutrit and the analysis of the evolution of a qutrit under a time-dependent Hamiltonian within this new formalism is a subject of our future research.

### Acknowledgments

E.R.L. is grateful to Professor A. Khrennikov and Professor A. Borisov for useful discussions. The study by E.R.L. in Sections 2 and 3 is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under the grant No 19-11-00086 and performed at the Steklov Mathematical

Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences. The study by E.R.L. and C.K. in Sections 4 and 5 is performed at the National Research University Higher School of Economics.

## 7 Appendix A

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 3, namely, we show that functions  $u_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , given by (79), constitute solutions of the Cauchy problem (55), equivalently (56), under conditions (75) and satisfy also the cocycle property (70).

Under conditions (75), the derivative of function  $u_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  in (79) has the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{0}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$- \|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$- \frac{J_{1}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$- J_{1}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$= -\left(J_{1}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$- \left(\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{J_{1}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right).$$
(A1)

Similarly, for the derivatives of  $\widetilde{u}(t) = (\widetilde{u}_1(t), \widetilde{u}_2(t), \widetilde{u}_3(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , given by (79), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{u}_{1}(t) &= -J_{2}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t)+\varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}) \qquad (A2) \\ &+ \frac{J_{2}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi(t)+\varphi(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}), \\ \frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{u}_{2}(t) &= -J_{2}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t)+\varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}) \\ &- \frac{J_{2}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi(t)+\varphi(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}), \\ \frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{u}_{3}(t) &= -\left(J_{1}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t)-\varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}) \\ &+ \left(\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t)+\frac{J_{1}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t)-\varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Next: (i) substituting (79) into the terms standing on the right-hand sides of the equations in (56); (ii) expressing  $b_1(t)$ ,  $b_2(t)$ ,  $b_3(t)$  in spherical coordinates; (iii) using the trigonometric addition theorems and the explicit expressions for  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $\Omega_b(t)$  (see (75) and (76)), we derive the following expressions:

• for the right-hand side of the first differential equation in (56)

$$b_{1}(t)\widetilde{u}_{1}(t) + b_{2}(t)\widetilde{u}_{2}(t) + b_{3}(t)\widetilde{u}_{3}(t)$$

$$= -\left(J_{1}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t, t_{0})\right)$$

$$-\left(\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{J_{1}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t, t_{0})\right);$$
(A3)

• for the right-hand side of the second differential equation in (56)

$$-b_{1}(t)u_{0}(t) + b_{2}(t)\widetilde{u}_{3}(t) - b_{3}(t)\widetilde{u}_{2}(t)$$
(A4)  
=  $-J_{2}||b(t)||\Omega_{b}(t)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$   
+  $\frac{J_{2}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi(t) + \varphi(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right);$ 

• for the right-hand sides of the third and the fourth differential equations in (56):

$$-b_{2}(t)u_{0}(t) + b_{3}(t)\widetilde{u}_{1}(t) - b_{1}(t)\widetilde{u}_{3}(t)$$

$$= -J_{2}||b(t)||\Omega_{b}(t)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$

$$-\frac{J_{2}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi(t) + \varphi(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$
(A5)

and

$$-b_{3}(t)u_{0}(t) + b_{1}(t)\widetilde{u}_{2}(t) - b_{2}(t)\widetilde{u}_{1}(t)$$

$$= -\left(J_{1}\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t, t_{0})\right)$$

$$+ \left(\|b(t)\|\Omega_{b}(t) + \frac{J_{1}}{2}\frac{d\varphi_{b}(t)}{dt}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t, t_{0})\right).$$
(A6)

Clearly, the expressions for  $\frac{d}{dt}u_0(t)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{u}_1(t)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{u}_2(t)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{u}_3(t)$ , derived in (A1) and (A2), coincide with the corresponding expressions in (A3)–(A6). This proves that functions (79) constitute the solutions to the Cauchy problem (56), equivalently, (55).

Taking into account that (see in Section 2) the unitary evolution operator  $U_H(t,s) = u_0(t,s)\mathbb{I} + i\tilde{u}(t,s) \cdot \sigma$ , for each  $s \in [t,t_0]$ , let us now prove that solutions (79) satisfy the cocycle property (3) for  $U_H(t,t_0)$ . In terms of  $u_0(t,s)$ ,  $\tilde{u}(t,s)$ , the cocycle property leads to relations (70), which read:

$$u_0(t,s)u_0(s,t_0) - \tilde{u}(t,s) \cdot \tilde{u}(s,t_0) = u_0(t,t_0),$$
(A7)  
$$u_0(t,s)\tilde{u}(s,t_0) + u_0(s,t_0)\tilde{u}(t,s) - \tilde{u}(t,s) \times \tilde{u}(s,t_0) = \tilde{u}(t,t_0).$$

Substituting solutions (79) into (A7), applying the addition rules for trigonometric functions and taking into account that  $J_1^2 + J_2^2 = 1$ , for the left-hand side of the first equation in (A7), we derive:

$$u_{0}(t,s)u_{0}(s,t_{0}) - \widetilde{u}(t,s) \cdot \widetilde{u}(s,t_{0})$$
(A8)  

$$= \cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$- J_{1}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\left[\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\right]$$
  

$$+ \sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$= \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$
  

$$- J_{1}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right).$$
(A9)

By the same procedure, for the left-hand sides of the remaining equations in (A7), we have

$$u_{0}(t,s)\widetilde{u}_{1}(s,t_{0}) + u_{0}(s,t_{0})\widetilde{u}_{1}(t,s) - (\widetilde{u}(t,s) \times \widetilde{u}(s,t_{0}))_{1}$$
(A10)  

$$= -J_{2}[\sin(\gamma_{b}(t,s))\cos(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0}))] \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$+ \cos(\gamma_{b}(t,s))\sin(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0}))[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$+ \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$+ \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$- \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)]$$
  

$$= -J_{2}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0}))$$
(A11)

and

$$u_{0}(t,s)\widetilde{u}_{2}(s,t_{0}) + u_{0}(s,t_{0})\widetilde{u}_{2}(t,s) - (\widetilde{u}(t,s) \times \widetilde{u}(s,t_{0}))_{2}$$
(A12)  

$$= -J_{2}[\sin(\gamma_{b}(t,s))\cos(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0}))] + \cos(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})) \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) + J_{1}J_{2}\sin(\gamma_{b}(t,s))\sin(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) + \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(s) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right) \right]$$
  

$$= -J_{2}\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) + \varphi_{b}(s)}{2}\right)\sin(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})),$$
(A13)

and

$$u_{0}(t,s)\widetilde{u}_{3}(s,t_{0}) + u_{0}(s,t_{0})\widetilde{u}_{3}(t,s) - (\widetilde{u}(t,s) \times \widetilde{u}(s,t_{0}))_{3}$$
(A14)  

$$= -\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$-J_{1}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\left[\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\right]$$
  

$$+\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$+J_{2}^{2}\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,s)\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(s,t_{0})\right)\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)$$
  

$$= -J_{1}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\sin\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right)$$
  

$$-\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{b}(t) - \varphi_{b}(t_{0})}{2}\right)\cos\left(\gamma_{b}(t,t_{0})\right).$$
(A15)

The comparison of (A9), (A11), (A13) and (A15) with the expressions for functions  $u_0(t), \tilde{u}(t)$  in Theorem 3 proves that the unitary evolution qubit operator  $U_H(t, t_0)$ , specified in Theorem 3, satisfies the cocycle property (70).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

# 8 Appendix B

In this section, we show that the gradient of  $K_3(r)$  is given by (50). By (49) we have

$$\frac{\partial K_3(r)}{\partial r} = -i\sqrt{2} \sum_{k=0,1,2} \left[ \frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}} \sin\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right) + \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \frac{\partial \phi(r)}{\partial r} \cos\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right) \right] \\ \times \exp\left\{ -i\sqrt{2} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \sin\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right) \right\}.$$
(B1)

Using further (48), we derive

$$\frac{\partial\phi(r)}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{\cos(3\phi(r))} \left( \frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}^2} \sin(3\phi(r)) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{1}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \det(r \cdot \Lambda) \right) \right), \tag{B2}$$

where

$$\det(r \cdot \Lambda) = 2(r^{(1)}r^{(4)}r^{(6)} + r^{(1)}r^{(5)}r^{(7)} + r^{(2)}r^{(5)}r^{(6)} - r^{(2)}r^{(4)}r^{(7)})$$
(B3)  
+  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}r^{(8)} \left(2(r^{(1)})^2 + 2(r^{(2)})^2 + 2(r^{(3)})^2 - (r^{(4)})^2 - (r^{(5)})^2 - (r^{(6)})^2 - (r^{(7)})^2\right)$   
+  $r^{(3)} \left((r^{(4)})^2 + (r^{(5)})^2 - (r^{(6)})^2 - (r^{(7)})^2\right) - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(r^{(8)})^3.$ 

Taking into account that the symmetric structure constants  $d_{ijk}$  of SU(3) have the form (see, e.g., in [16]):

$$d_{146} = d_{157} = d_{256} = d_{344} = d_{355} = -d_{247} = -d_{366} = -d_{377} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$d_{118} = d_{228} = d_{338} = -d_{888} = -2d_{448} = -2d_{558} = -2d_{668} = -2d_{778} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$
(B4)

we derive

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r^{(l)}} \left( \det(r \cdot \Lambda) \right) = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{8} r^{(i)} r^{(j)} d_{ijl}.$$
(B5)

Hence, (B2) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial\phi(r)}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{\cos(3\phi(r))} \left(\frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}^2} \sin(3\phi(r)) + \sqrt{3}\frac{p(r)}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}}\right) \tag{B6}$$

and, for (B1), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial K_3(r)}{\partial r} = -i\sqrt{2} \sum_{k=0,1,2} \left[ \frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}} \left( \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3) - \sin(3\phi(r)) \frac{\cos(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)}{\cos(3\phi(r))} \right) - \sqrt{3}p(r) \frac{\cos(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)}{\cos(3\phi(r))} \right] \times \exp\left\{ -i\sqrt{2} \|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8} \sin(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3) \right\}.$$
(B7)

Noting that, on the right-hand side of (B7),  $\cos(3\phi(r)) = \cos(3(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3))$ ,

$$-\frac{\cos(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)}{\cos(3(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3))} = -\frac{1}{4\cos^2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3) - 3}$$
(B8)  
$$= \frac{1}{1 - 2\cos(2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3))}$$

and

$$\sin\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right) - \frac{\sin(3\phi(r))}{\cos(3\phi(r))}\cos\left(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3\right)$$
(B10)  
=  $-\frac{\sin\left(2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)\right)}{\cos(3\phi(r))} = \frac{2\sin((\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3))}{1 - 2\cos((2(\phi(r) + 2\pi k/3)))},$ 

for the second and the first terms in the right-hand side of (B7), we come correspondingly to the following expressions

$$-i\sqrt{6}p(r)\sum_{k=0,1,2} \frac{\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{2}\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}\sin(\phi(r)+2\pi k/3)\right\}}{1-2\cos(2(\phi(r)+2\pi k/3))}$$
(B11)  
=  $-i\sqrt{6}F_1(r)p(r)$ 

and

$$-i\sqrt{2}\frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}}\sum_{k=0,1,2}\sin\left(\phi(r)+2\pi k/3\right)\frac{\exp\left\{-i\sqrt{2}\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}\sin(\phi(r)+2\pi k/3)\right\}}{1-2\cos(\left(2(\phi(r)+2\pi k/3)\right)}$$
(B12)  
=  $-i\sqrt{6}F_2(r)\frac{r}{\|r\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}},$ 

where functions  $F_1(r)$  and  $F_2(r)$  are given by (51). Relations (B7), (B11) and (B12) prove Eq. (50).

# References

- Wu, T-Y, Ohmura T. Quantum Theory of Scattering, Section IV; Prentice-Hall Inc., New-York, 1969.
- [2] Agrachev, A. A.; Sachkov, Y. L. *Elements of Chronological Calculus*; Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, 84. Springer, 2004.
- [3] Flügge, S. Practical Quantum Mechanics, Volume II; Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [4] Kimura, G. The Bloch Vector for N-Level Systems. Physical Letters A 2002, 314, 339.

- [5] Kimura, G.; Kossakowski, A. The Bloch-vector space for N-level systems the spherical-coordinate point of view. Open Sys. Information Dyn. 2005, 12, 207.
- [6] Kryszewski, S.; Zachcial M. Alternative representation of  $N \times N$  density matrix. Eprint arXiv: quant-ph/ 0602065, **2006**.
- [7] Bertlmann, R.A. and Krammer, P. Bloch vectors for qudits. Journal of Physics A: Math. Theor. 2008, 41, 235303.
- [8] Loubenets, E.R. The generalized Gell-Mann representation and violation of the CHSH inequality by a general two-qudit state. *Journal of Physics A: Math. Theor.* 2020, 53, 045303.
- [9] Jakóbczyk, L. and Siennicki, M. Geometry of Bloch vectors in a two-qubit system. *Phys. Lett. A* 2001, 286, 383.
- [10] Mendas, I. P. The classification of three-parameter density matrices for a qutrit. J. Phys. A:Math. Theor. 2006, 39, 11313.
- [11] Kurzyński, P. Multi-Bloch Vector Representation of the Qutrit. Quantum Inf. Comp. 2011, 11, 361.
- [12] Kusnezov, D. Exact matrix expansions for group elements of SU(N), Journal of Mathematical Physics 1995, 36, 898.
- [13] Curtright, T.L. and Zachos C.K. Elementary results for the fundamental representation of SU(3). *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 2015, 76, No 3, 401.
- [14] Nielsen, M. A.; Chuang, I.L. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2000.
- [15] Chelnokov, Y. N. Quaternion and Biquaternion Models and Methods of Rigid Body Mechanics and Their Applications; Physmathlit: Moscow, Russia, 2006 (in Russian).
- [16] Gell-Mann, M. Symmetries of Baryons and Mesons. Phys. Rev. 1962, 125, 1067.