





Guide to the Use of Scores

Inside, find all the facts you need about:

- the value of using GRE® scores
- skills measured, test administration and scoring
- using and interpreting GRE scores
- statistical information regarding the GRE test-taker population and GRE tests

2021-22

www.ets.org/gre/institutions

Communicating with the GRE® Program

	Inquiries from Educators	Inquiries from Test Takers
By Email	gretests@ets.org	gre-info@ets.org
By Phone	1-609-683-2002	1-609-771-7670

To communicate by mail, both educators and test takers can send inquiries to this address:

GRE Program
Educational Testing Service
PO Box 6000
Princeton, NJ 08541-6000

Attention GRE Score Users: Make sure that you have access to the $ETS^{\textcircled{\$}}$ Data Manager, which helps GRE and $TOEFL^{\textcircled{\$}}$ score users access score reports online.

The ETS Data Manager is available through a secure online portal exclusively for official GRE and TOEFL score users. Institutions and organizations that have a GRE or TOEFL score reporting code can use the ETS Data Manager to access score information, test-taker data and more, free of charge. To learn more and request access to the ETS Data Manager for your institution, visit www.ets.org/portal.

This publication can be downloaded at www.ets.org/gre/guide.

Contents

Communicating with the GRE® Program	2
Introduction	4
Rooted in the ETS Mission	4
Benefits of Using GRE® Scores in Admissions Decision Making	4
Using GRE Scores as Part of Holistic Admissions	5
GRE Board and Committees	6
GRE Business School Advisory Council	6
About the GRE® Tests	7
GRE® General Test	7
GRE® Subject Tests	9
Using GRE Scores	11
Validity	11
Guidelines for Using GRE Scores	11
Score Interpretation Resources	14
Considerations in Score Interpretation	14
Policies and Other Information	16
Statistical Tables	19
GRE® General Test Interpretive Data	19
GRE® Subject Test Interpretative Data	21
Major Field Code List	25
GRE General Test Interpretive Data by Broad Graduate Major Field	31
Reliability and Standard Error of Measurement	35
Appendix A	38
GRE® Analytical Writing Section Score Level Descriptions	38

Introduction

Thousands of graduate and professional school programs around the world, including business and law, use $GRE^{\text{(B)}}$ test scores to successfully identify applicants who are academically prepared for graduate-level work and to help them enroll a diverse student body. That success is due, in part, to understanding what the GRE tests measure, how the tests are scored, the benefits and limitations of the tests, and how to use the tests within the context of a holistic admissions process.

The GRE Program is committed to providing information and guidance to help graduate programs achieve their goals, including enhancing diversity and inclusion. GRE tests and services help programs advise prospective students, create smart recruitment strategies, and evaluate and compare applicants.

Rooted in the ETS Mission

The GRE tests were created over 70 years ago to have an objective lens through which all applicants could be compared, regardless of their background. Our dedication to fairness exemplifies nonprofit ETS's mission to help advance quality and equity in education for all people.

Today *GRE*® General Test and/or a *GRE*® Subject Test scores are used by admissions and fellowship panels to supplement undergraduate records, including grades and recommendations, and other qualifications for graduate-level study.

Benefits of Using *GRE*[®] Scores in Admissions Decision Making

The GRE General Test and GRE Subject Tests were designed to achieve a specific intended purpose that adds value to the admissions decision-making process. Understanding what the tests were designed to measure and predict can help administrators and faculty assign an appropriate role for the use of test scores, without over-relying upon them to accomplish more than they can.

Value of Using GRE Scores

 The scores support institutions' efforts to identify which applicants are academically prepared for graduate-level study.

The GRE General Test measures skills that graduate and professional schools, including business and law, have identified as necessary for academic success: verbal reasoning, quantitative reasoning, critical thinking and analytical writing. Institutions receive separate scores for each of the test's three sections, which allows graduate programs to place greater weight on some skills than others, if desired. Scores identify which potential students are likely to struggle academically in a particular skill, which can help programs prepare to offer extra support to help those students be successful. Some GRE Subject Tests also yield subscores that provide additional information about strengths and weaknesses, which can be useful for guidance and placement purposes.

 The scores provide a common, objective measure to help programs compare students from different backgrounds.

Of all of the pieces of evidence institutions collect from applicants, only GRE scores are standardized and objective, giving faculty committees a way to directly compare applicants with different backgrounds and experiences. The GRE tests are also the only measures that are research based — developed in accordance with standards set by reputable institutions such as the American Educational Research Association (AERA), the National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME), and the American Psychological Association (APA) — and subject to extensive fairness guidelines, processes and reviews.

Other components submitted as part of an application package can be useful for the unique information they provide about a person's skills, experiences and attributes, but they are not standardized or objective, do not

undergo a rigorous fairness review process and do not yield comparative data. Used alone, these measures can heighten the role that implicit bias plays in the review and selection processes and result in other unintended consequences that are potentially harmful to applicants and institutions. The clearest picture of an applicant — and the fairest admissions program — may be achieved by considering both standardized and non-standardized measures.

Important Considerations

 The scores do not and cannot offer insight about all of the qualities that are important in predicting academic success or in confirming undergraduate achievement.

The GRE tests are an important measure of academic readiness but cannot measure everything that an admissions committee would like to know about an applicant. Logically, it makes sense that a test designed to measure verbal reasoning, quantitative reasoning, critical thinking and analytical writing skills would not be the best indicator of how long it will take a student to graduate or how often that student will publish new research. A better place to find indicators of those types of outcomes might be in personal statements and letters of recommendation, which give applicants a platform for showing attributes like creativity, conscientiousness and perseverance, and to discuss their academic and work experiences.

 The scores need to be interpreted carefully because, like all tests, they are not exact measures.

All assessments have limitations that affect their ability to exactly measure a person's knowledge, skills and abilities. See guideline #3, on page 12 for more information.

Using GRE Scores as Part of Holistic Admissions

Getting a Clearer Picture of Potential

The graduate community has become increasingly interested in making changes to their admissions

processes so that applicants are viewed more holistically. In general, this means that the entirety of an applicant's application package is evaluated at once for evidence that the applicant is a good fit for the program and is likely to be successful. Evaluating all quantitative and qualitative evidence at once gives those involved in the admissions process a clearer picture of the value that an applicant brings to a program.

The practice of using cut scores, especially one that uses GRE scores as the sole criteria, is contradictory to a holistic admissions process because it puts too much weight on one measure and does not allow applicants the opportunity to show other evidence of their potential value to the program.

What Role Do GRE Scores Play?

GRE scores are essential in the holistic admissions process since only GRE tests provide a research-based, objective, directly comparable measure that institutions can use to fairly evaluate applicants from different backgrounds. A holistic admissions practice ensures that GRE scores have an appropriate role in the process, rather than an inflated role.

Resources to Help

Although many people agree that applicants should be viewed holistically, challenges and constraints that admissions teams and faculty committees face — such as application volume, time, and financial and staff resources — make it difficult to initiate changes to long-standing processes and systems. To help, ETS is sharing a number of resources on its site, www.holisticadmissions.org. These resources were developed, with the support of the GRE Board, from in-person conversations with faculty and staff involved in admissions at 58 graduate programs across the United States in 2017, as well as an extensive review of related literature.

By revisiting program goals and aligning practices and processes with those goals, faculty committees can design an admissions process that fairly considers the multiple pieces of evidence that applicants submit to demonstrate their knowledge, skills and attributes and enrolls applicants with the best chances to be successful.

GRE Board and Committees

Formed in 1966, the GRE Board is an independent board affiliated with the Association of Graduate Schools (AGS) and the Council of Graduate Schools (CGS). The GRE Board oversees GRE tests, services and research in consultation with its committees. It establishes all policies for the GRE Program, which ETS administers. ETS provides information, technical advice and professional counsel to the board and develops proposals to achieve its program, research and service goals. Additional information about the GRE Board and Committees is available at www.ets.org/gre/greboard.

ETS is currently working with the current GRE Board and Committees to create two new entities designed to provide advice, insight, and market intelligence to the Global Higher Education leadership team at ETS—namely, a Graduate Education Advisory Council (GEAC) that will include and oversee a Research & Technical Advisory Committee (R-TAC). This change from the GRE Board to the Graduate Education Advisory Council is responsive to the desires of the current GRE Board to continue to provide ETS with consistent advice and also the focus on developing and delivering products and services that meet needs beyond the ETS primary historical focus on admissions.

The primary role of the GEAC will be to advise and to provide ETS Global Higher Education leadership with critical insight into the global higher education market, in general, and into how best to engage and influence the current debate within the graduate communities about the value of the GRE, specifically. The GEAC is being conceptualized and is to be comprised of appointees who are in alignment with the GRE Program's current focus on the entire graduate student life cycle and the new ways ETS and the GRE Program are engaging in the higher, graduate, and professional education markets.

The hope and expectation of ETS Global Higher Education leadership is that the GEAC will help us to reimagine the GRE Program and to expand and enhance the role of ETS in the global higher education market space. We plan for the GEAC to be comprised of forward looking and innovative thought leaders, practitioners, and entrepreneurs who will help us to navigate the challenging waters of our times and to map out the future of the GRE Program and ETS Global Higher Education. We are also committed to ensuring that the GEAC amplifies the tradition of the GRE Board's focus on diversity, equity and inclusion.

GRE Business School Advisory Council

The GRE Program also obtains input from the GRE Business School Advisory Council, which is composed of admissions leaders from business schools around the world. The GRE Business School Advisory Council does not directly oversee any aspect of the GRE Program, but instead provides insight, perspective and information related to domestic and international graduate business school programs. Additional information about the Advisory Council is available at www.ets.org/gre/greboard.

About the GRE® Tests

GRE® General Test

Test Content

The GRE General Test consists of three measures: Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning, and Analytical Writing.

The **Verbal Reasoning** measure assesses the ability to analyze and draw conclusions from discourse and reason from incomplete data, understand multiple levels of meaning, such as literal, figurative and author's intent, summarize text and distinguish major from minor points, understand the meanings of words, sentences and entire texts, and understand relationships among words and among concepts. In each test edition, there is a balance among the passages across three different subject matter areas: humanities, social sciences (including business) and natural sciences. There is an emphasis on complex reasoning skills.

The **Quantitative Reasoning** measure assesses the ability to understand, interpret and analyze quantitative information, solve problems using mathematical models, and apply the basic concepts of arithmetic, algebra, geometry and data analysis. There is an emphasis on quantitative reasoning skills.

The **Analytical Writing** measure assesses critical thinking and analytical writing skills, including the ability to articulate and support complex ideas with relevant reasons and examples, and examine claims and accompanying evidence. The measure does not assess specific content knowledge and there is no single best way to respond.

Individuals who are interested in reviewing the content of the General Test can download a *POWERPREP®* Online practice test free-of-charge (see www.ets.org/gre/tpresources).

Test Administration

The GRE General Test is administered on computer at more than 1,000 ETS-authorized test centers in more than 160 countries. The test is given in a secure testing environment and, in most regions of the world, is available on a continuous basis. In Mainland China; Hong Kong, China; Taiwan, China; and Korea, the test is offered one to three times per month.

The GRE General Test at home is now a continuing part of the GRE portfolio of products. It is taken on the test taker's own computer at home in most locations around the world, with the exception of Mainland China and Iran. The at home test is the same valid and reliable GRE General Test you know and trust, and is identical in content, format and onscreen experience to the GRE General Test taken at a test center. Students can prepare for the test using the same prep materials. Only the delivery method has changed.

The GRE General Test contains one Analytical Writing section with two separately timed tasks, two Verbal Reasoning sections and two Quantitative Reasoning sections. In addition, some questions on the General Test are being pretested for possible use in the future. These questions are included in an unidentified unscored section of the test. In other instances, other questions may appear in identified research sections. Answers to pretest and research questions are not used in the calculation of scores for the test. Total testing time is approximately 3 hours and 45 minutes.

The Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures are adaptive at the section level. This test design provides a flexible test-taking experience that allows test takers to move freely about within any timed section, skipping questions, changing answers, and using their own personal test-taking strategies.

The Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures each have two operational sections. Overall, the first operational section is of average difficulty. The second operational section of each of the measures is administered based on a test taker's overall performance on the first section of that measure.

An on-screen calculator is provided in the Quantitative Reasoning sections to reduce the emphasis on computation.

In the Analytical Writing section an elementary word processor developed by ETS is used so that individuals familiar with specific commercial word-processing software do not have an advantage or disadvantage. This software contains the following functionalities: inserting text, deleting text, cut and paste and undoing the previous action. Tools such as a spelling checker

and grammar checker are not available in the ETS software.

How the Sections of the GRE General Test are Scored

<u>Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning</u> Sections

Scores on the Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures depend on performance on the questions given and on the number of questions answered in the time allotted.

The Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures of the GRE General Test are section-level adaptive. This means the computer selects the second section of a measure based on the performance on the first section. Within each section, all questions contribute equally to the final score. For each of the two measures, a raw score is computed. The raw score is the number of questions answered correctly.

The raw score is converted to a scaled score through a process known as equating. The equating process accounts for minor variations in difficulty among the different test editions as well as differences in difficulty among individuals' tests introduced by the section-level adaption. Thus a given scaled score for a particular measure reflects the same level of performance regardless of which second section was selected and when the test was taken.

Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning scores are reported on 130 to 170 score scales, in one-point increments. If no answers are given for a measure, an NS (No Score) is reported for that measure.

The scales for the General Test Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures were developed based on the performance of 146,504 individuals who tested between August 1, 2011, and October 2, 2011. While this group was reasonably representative of the GRE population's demographic characteristics, they tended to be slightly more able than the overall population, which is typical with the launch of a new test. Therefore, when the scales were set, the scale means were adjusted so that the full year mean for both measures would be equal to 150 and the standard deviation equal to 8.75.

Analytical Writing Section

For the Analytical Writing section of the GRE General Test, each essay receives a score from a trained reader using a six-point holistic scale. In holistic scoring, readers are trained to assign scores on the basis of the overall quality of an essay in response to the assigned task. The essay is then scored by the *e-rater*® scoring engine, a computerized program developed by ETS that is capable of identifying essay features related to writing proficiency. If the human score and the erater score closely agree, the average of the two scores is used as the final score. If they disagree, a second human score is obtained and the final score is the average of the two human scores. The resulting scores on the two essays are then averaged and rounded to produce an Analytical Writing score that is reported on a 0-6 score scale in half-point increments.

If an essay response is provided for only one of the two writing tasks, the task for which no essay response is provided will receive a score of zero. If no responses are given for either of the two writing tasks, an NS (No Score) is reported for the measure.

The primary emphasis in scoring the Analytical Writing section is on critical thinking and analytical writing skills rather than on grammar and mechanics. Scoring guides for each essay task are available at

www.ets.org/gre/institutions/about/general/scoring. Score Level Descriptions that describe, for each score level, the overall quality of analytical writing demonstrated across both of the Analytical Writing tasks are presented in Appendix A, on page 40.

Test takers' essay responses on the Analytical Writing section are reviewed by ETS essay-similarity-detection software and by experienced essay readers during the scoring process.

GRE[®] Subject Tests

Test Content

The GRE Subject Tests are paper-delivered tests in four subject areas that are administered at ETS-authorized test centers worldwide. Subject Tests measure achievement in specific subject areas and assume undergraduate majors or extensive background in those disciplines. Brief descriptions of the Subject Tests follow.

Each Subject Test is developed and updated regularly by a committee of examiners who are actively teaching in the field. Departments are encouraged to periodically review the test content description in order to verify the appropriateness of the content for their programs. Individuals who are interested in reviewing the content of a particular Subject Test can download a copy of the corresponding Subject Test practice book free-of-charge at www.ets.org/gre/subject/prepare.

Chemistry

The test consists of approximately 130 questions that are classified approximately as follows: analytical chemistry (15%), inorganic chemistry (25%), organic chemistry (30%) and physical chemistry (30%).

Mathematics

The test consists of approximately 66 questions, drawn from courses commonly offered at the undergraduate level. Approximately 50 percent of the questions involve calculus and its applications subject matter that can be assumed to be common to the backgrounds of almost all mathematics majors. About 25 percent of the questions in the test are in elementary algebra, linear algebra, abstract algebra, and number theory. The remaining 25% of the questions deal with other areas of mathematics currently studied by undergraduates at many institutions, including discrete mathematics and algorithmic processes, differential equations, topology and modern geometry, complex analysis, probability and statistics, logic and foundations and numerical analysis.

Physics

The test consists of approximately 100 questions, some of which are grouped in sets and based on such materials as diagrams, graphs, experimental data, and descriptions of physical situations.

There is increased emphasis on the understanding of fundamental theoretical principles of physics. Topics include classical mechanics (20%), electromagnetism (18%), optics and wave phenomena (9%), thermodynamics and statistical mechanics (10%), quantum mechanics (12%), atomic physics (10%), special relativity (6%) and laboratory methods (6%). The remaining 9% of the test covers specialized topics such as nuclear and particle physics, condensed matter physics and astrophysics. For test editions administered beginning in September 2020, three subscores will be reported: (1) Classical Mechanics, (2) Electromagnetism, and (3) Quantum Mechanics and Atomic Physics.

Psychology

The test consists of approximately 205 questions drawn from courses most commonly offered at the undergraduate level. For test editions administered beginning in September 2017, questions are distributed between six subscore areas: Biological (17-21%), Cognitive (17-24%), Social (12-14%), Developmental (12-14%), Clinical (15-19%), and Measurement/ Methodology/Other (15-19%). For test editions administered prior to September 2017, questions are distributed between two subscore areas: Experimental (40%) and Social (43%). The remaining 17% of the test covers general topics and measurement/methodology.

Test Administration

The Subject Tests are offered at paper-delivered administrations up to three times a year at test centers throughout the world (in September, October, and April).

How the GRE Subject Tests are Scored

Each score on a Subject Test depends on the number of questions answered correctly in the time allotted. The number of questions answered correctly is converted to a scaled score through a process known as equating. The equating process accounts for minor variations in difficulty among the different test editions.

Every Subject Test yields a total score on a 200 to 990 score scale, in 10-point increments. Note that each of the individual test scales occupies only a portion of the 200 to 990 score range.

The Physics and Psychology Tests also yield subscores. For each test, the number of questions answered correctly that belong to each content area and the number of questions answered correctly on the whole test both contribute to each subscore. In most cases, questions that belong to a content area also require some ability in other content areas. By using the number of correct answers on the whole test in the computations of each subscore, the responses to the questions that belong to other content areas are allowed to contribute and the quality of the subscore is enhanced.

Subscores are also scaled through a process known as equating, which accounts for minor variations in difficulty among the different test editions.

The Physics and Psychology Tests yield subscores on a 20-99 score scale, in one-point increments. Note that the subscore scales for each of the individual Subject Tests occupy only a portion of the 20 to 99 score range. Subscores enable assessment of strengths and weaknesses and can be used for guidance and placement purposes.

Using GRE Scores

Validity

Validity research is essential to verify that the GRE General Test and GRE Subject Test scores are valid for any intended use. ETS and numerous external parties¹ have conducted validity research to verify that it is appropriate to use GRE scores for graduate and professional school admissions, including business and law; fellowship selection and guidance; and counseling for graduate study.

Departments and programs using GRE scores for these purposes may wish to conduct their own studies to collect validity information. ETS researchers will provide advice on the design of appropriate validation studies without charge. For additional assistance, contact gretests@ets.org.

GRE scores may be appropriate for purposes other than those described above, but it is important for the user to validate the use of scores for those purposes.

Guidelines for Using GRE Scores

These Guidelines were revised and approved by the GRE Board Executive Committee in June 2018, for implementation in July 2018.

GRE scores are typically used to make decisions that affect people's educational and career paths, so all score users have an obligation to adhere to published GRE Program guidelines. Departments and programs have a responsibility to ensure that all score users are aware of the GRE guidelines, monitor the use of scores, and correct any instances of misuse. The GRE Program staff are available to assist institutions in resolving scoremisuse issues.

The following guidelines provide information about the appropriate use of GRE test scores for those who use the scores in graduate and professional school admissions, including business and law, for fellowship selection processes and for guidance and counseling for graduate-level study. Adhering to these guidelines can help protect applicants and programs from unfair decisions that may result from inappropriate uses of scores.

1. Use Multiple Sources of Information When Making Decisions

GRE scores have an important role in the admissions process because they serve as a common, objective measure to compare students from different backgrounds. However, no single test or source of information can provide all the information that a decision maker would like to know about an applicant. Therefore, it is important to use multiple sources of information during the decision-making process to ensure fairness and to balance the limitations of any single measure of knowledge, skills or abilities. Undergraduate grade point average, letters of recommendation, personal statement, samples of academic work and professional experience can also have an important role in the admissions process because they can be sources to learn about other desired experiences and applicant attributes, such as perseverance, integrity and work ethic. Using a minimum GRE score as the only criterion for denial or acceptance for admission or a fellowship award is not good practice because it overinflates the role of one measure of an applicant's value over others.

To ensure that all applicants have the opportunity to show evidence of the value they would bring to a program, ETS supports institutions' efforts to move toward a holistic admissions approach, in which every component of an applicant's application package is evaluated for evidence that the applicant is a good fit for a program.

2. Consider Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning and Analytical Writing Scores as Three Separate and Independent Measures

Although all students in graduate and professional programs, including business and law, would benefit from having ability in verbal reasoning, quantitative reasoning and analytical writing, the skill level required for success in each of these three areas is unique to each program. Some programs may require a higher level of skills in one area but place lower emphasis on skills in another area. For this reason, ETS encourages

Graduate Record Examinations: Implications for graduate student selection and performance. Psychological Bulletin, 127 (1), 162-181.

¹ Kuncel, N. R., Hezlett, S. A. and Ones, D. S. (2001). A comprehensive meta-analysis of the predictive validity of the

programs to consider Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning and Analytical Writing scores as three separate and independent measures.

3. Interpret GRE Scores Carefully Because, Like All Tests, They Are Not Exact Measures

Errors of measurement occur when a test taker performs differently on one occasion or test form than on another for reasons that may or may not be related to the purpose of the test. A test taker may try harder, be more (or less) tired or anxious compared to some other occasion, have greater familiarity with the content of questions on one test edition than on another test edition, or simply guess more questions correctly on one occasion than on another. These reasons for inconsistency are generally referred to as errors of measurement.

For both the GRE General and Subject tests, the Standard Error of Measurement (SEM) for individual scores reported in Tables 5A-5D provide an easy way to account for measurement error. For example, consider a test taker who obtained a GRE Quantitative test score of 153. According to Table 5A, the SEM for individual scores for the GRE Quantitative Reasoning measure is 2.2, which means that we can be 68% confident that the test taker's true score would be between 151 and 155. For 95% confidence, we can double the SEM of individual scores; that is we can be 95% confident that the test taker's true score would be between 149 and 157.

4. Understand What Score Differences are Meaningful When Evaluating Applicants

Different scores among test takers may not reflect significant differences in abilities. As described in guideline #3 above, every test has measurement error. It is important for a decision maker to know whether the differences between two scores is meaningful.

The SEM for score differences provides an easy way to account for measurement error, and can serve as a reliable indication of real differences in applicants' academic knowledge and developed abilities. For example, in Table 5A, the SEM of score differences for the Quantitative Reasoning measure is 3.1, which means that if there is a score difference of 3.1 points or more between two test takers' Quantitative Reasoning scores, we can be 68% confident that the score differences are meaningful. For 95% confidence, we can

double the SEM of score differences; that is, if there were a score difference of 6.2 points or more points between two test takers' Quantitative Reasoning scores, we can be 95% confident that the score differences are meaningful.

5. Use the Appropriate Percentile Ranks when Comparing Candidates

Percentile ranks can provide more information about an individual's performance relative to the performance of other people who took a test in a given time period (called the reference group). Percentile ranks indicate the percent of test takers in the reference group who obtained scores below a specified score. For example, a percentile rank of 70% indicates that the test taker performed better than 70% of the test takers within the reference group.

Percentile ranks for GRE tests may change over time because they are always based on the population of test takers who took the test within a given three-year period. Thus, when two or more applicants are being compared, the comparison should always be made on the basis of the most recent percentile rank tables available at www.ets.org/gre/scoreresources.

6. Subject Test Scores and Percentile Ranks Should Only Be Compared with Other Scores and Percentile Ranks on the Same Subject Test

Subject Test scores should only be compared with other scores on the same Subject Test because each Subject Test is scaled separately. For example, a 680 on the Physics Test is not equivalent to a 680 on the Chemistry Test.

In addition, Subject Test percentile ranks should only be compared with other percentile ranks on the same Subject Test because the percentile ranks for each Subject Test are based on a different reference population. For example, a 79th percentile rank on the Physics Test is not equivalent to a 79th percentile on the Chemistry Test.

Appropriate and Inappropriate Uses of GRE Scores and Uses Without Supporting Validity Evidence

ETS supports the use of GRE scores for purposes supported by validity evidence and advises against using GRE scores for purposes that have not been supported by validity evidence.

Appropriate Uses

Provided that the aforementioned guidelines are adhered to — particularly Guideline #1, using multiple sources of information in the decision-making process — General Test and Subject Test scores are suitable for the following uses:

- 1. Selection of applicants for admission to graduate-level programs
- 2. Selection of graduate fellowship applicants for awards
- 3. Guidance and counseling for graduate study

Departments and programs using GRE scores for these purposes may wish to conduct their own studies to collect validity information. ETS researchers will provide advice on the design of appropriate validation studies without charge. For additional assistance, contact gretests@ets.org.

Programs interested in using Subject Test scores as a factor in awarding undergraduate credit may do so in the field of the test. However, such programs need to develop a rationale that clearly describes the relationship between GRE Subject Test scores and the amount of credit awarded, and make this rationale available to users of transcripts that contain credit awarded in this manner.

Inappropriate Uses

Uses and interpretations of General Test and Subject Test scores without supporting validity evidence are inappropriate, including the following:

 Requirement of a minimum score on the General Test for conferral of a degree, creditby-examination, advancement to candidacy or any non-educational purpose

- Requirement of scores on the General Test or Subject Tests for employment decisions, including hiring, salary, promotion, tenure or retention
- 3. Use of the Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning or Analytical Writing measures as an outcomes assessment

Uses without Supporting Validity Evidence

Should an institution wish to use GRE scores for purposes other than the "Appropriate Uses" listed above, please consult with GRE Program staff regarding the goals and how GRE scores are envisioned to help achieve those goals. If it is determined that there is no validity evidence to support the intended use, ETS researchers can offer advice on the design of a validity study or they may be able to suggest alternate ways for the institution to achieve its goals. ETS's objective is always to protect test takers and programs from unintended consequences and unnecessary risks due to score misuse. Please contact gretests@ets.org with any questions about the appropriate use of scores.

Confidentiality and Authenticity of GRE Scores

GRE scores are confidential and should not be released by an institutional recipient without the explicit permission of the test taker. GRE scores should not be included in academic transcripts or other documents sent outside the institution. Dissemination of score records should be kept at a minimum, and all staff who have access to them should be advised of the confidential nature of the scores.

To ensure the authenticity of scores, the GRE Program urges that institutions accept only official reports of GRE scores received directly from ETS. The only official reports of GRE scores are those issued by ETS and sent directly to approved institutions and organizations designated by the test takers and to vendors the score recipients might designate to process the scores they receive. Scores obtained from other sources should not be accepted. If there is a question about the authenticity of a score report, the question should be referred to ETS. ETS will verify whether an official report was issued and the accuracy of the scores.

Encouragement to Report Score Ranges Rather than Average Scores

Test takers may want to know what test scores they need to achieve to be considered for a particular program and will likely look for signs of a score requirement or average on a school website or rankings list. Reporting an average test score may cause an applicant to self-select out of applying for a program or scholarship for which the applicant may have been considered. For this reason, the GRE Program strongly urges that departments and programs report GRE scores in ranges, such as the highest and lowest scores of the middle 50 percent of the admitted applicants and avoid reporting a precise mean, median, or minimum score. Presenting score ranges emphasizes the diversity of individual scores for any one graduate department or program.

Score Interpretation Resources

The GRE Program provides GRE interpretive data and resources to assist graduate and professional schools, including business and law, in using scores for admissions purposes. Resources include GRE interpretative data and information, the ETS Data Manager, the GRE Comparison Tool for Business Schools and the GRE Comparison Tool for Law Schools. For more information about these resources, visit www.ets.org/gre/scoreresources.

Considerations in Score Interpretation

Officials responsible for admissions at each institution must determine the significance of GRE scores in relation to other components of an applicant's file. Considering students holistically ensures a fairer admissions process for everyone and is important to ensure that all applicants have the opportunity to present multiple aspects of their potential value to the program. Programs that are not able to do a full holistic file review for all applicants should pay special attention to applicants who may have had experiences somewhat different from those of the traditional majority as discussed below.

Test Takers from Underrepresented Groups

On average, members of different racial, ethnic and economic backgrounds perform differently on standardized tests. These differences do not necessarily mean that tests are biased. Extensive research by ETS and other organizations has shown that these performance differences can be the result of a number of factors, such as variation in course-taking patterns, interests, knowledge and skills, or differential educational, economic and social systems in which everyone does not receive equal opportunity. These score differences are seen in all standardized tests.

Despite the extensive work that ETS does to ensure that the GRE tests are as free from bias as possible, disparities in performance among underrepresented groups still exist. A review of all components of an applicant's file, in which GRE scores are considered as one piece of information among many, enables each applicant to be evaluated as fairly as possible.

Before considering any applications, we advise that institutions/programs determine the significance of GRE scores in relation to other components of an applicant's file. Considering students holistically ensures a more fair admissions process and is important to ensure that all applicants have the opportunity to present multiple aspects of their potential value to the program. If you are not able to do a full holistic file review for all applicants, it is important to pay particular attention to applicants who may have had experiences somewhat different from those of the traditional majority.

For additional information about scores of test takers from underrepresented groups, visit www.ets.org/gre/institutions/admissions/using_scores. Performance information for underrepresented groups can be found in the publication entitled *A Snapshot of the Individuals Who Took the GRE General Test* at https://www.ets.org/s/gre/pdf/snapshot_test_taker_data_2019.pdf. For more information about ETS's extensive efforts to ensure that the GRE tests are as free from bias as possible, visit

https://www.ets.org/gre/institutions/about/fairness. For more information of ETS's policy work to reduce achievement gaps, visit www.ets.org/s/achievement gap.

Test Takers Who are Nonnative English Speakers

Although the GRE tests are not designed to assess English-language proficiency (ELP), they measure skills important for graduate and professional education at institutions where the language of instruction is English. Considering GRE and ELP test scores (such as $TOEFL^{®}$ scores) together will enable score users to determine if English proficiency may have affected an applicant's performance on the GRE tests. For example, a test taker's ELP test scores can help score users determine whether a low score on the GRE Analytical Writing measure is due to lack of familiarity with English or lack of ability to produce and analyze logical arguments.

Test takers whose native language is not English often find the Analytical Writing section more challenging than native speakers of English. ETS takes steps to ensure that these performance differences are not due to differences on the crosscultural accessibility of the prompts.

- Fairness reviews occur for all prompts to ensure that the content and tasks are clear and accessible for all groups of test takers, including students whose native language is not English.
- Scorers are trained to focus on the analytical logic of the essays more than on spelling, grammar or syntax.
- The mechanics of writing are weighed in their ratings only to the extent that these errors impede clarity of meaning.

Since the Analytical Writing measure is tapping into different skills than the Verbal Reasoning measure, it may not be surprising that the strength of performance of individuals whose native language is not English differs between the Analytical Writing measure and the Verbal Reasoning measure. Given that graduate faculty have indicated that analytical writing is an important component of work in most graduate schools, including the Analytical Writing measure should increase the validity of the General Test.

Score users should be aware that the GRE Analytical Writing measure and the TOEFL Writing measure are very different. The GRE Analytical Writing measure is designed to measure critical thinking and analytical writing skills. The TOEFL Writing measure emphasizes fundamental writing skills as well as the ability to organize and convey, in writing, information that has been understood from

spoken and written text. Therefore, the scores on the two tests are not comparable.

For additional information about scores of test takers who are nonnative English speakers, visit www.ets.org/gre/institutions/admissions/using_scores. Learn more about the TOEFL test at www.ets.org/toefl.

Test Takers with Disabilities

ETS provides accommodations for individuals with disabilities or health-related needs and works continuously to ensure that as new technologies become available, ETS's offerings evolve. Individuals who have currently documented visual, physical, hearing or learning disabilities and are unable to take the tests under standard conditions can apply for accommodations, which include extended testing time, extra breaks, screen magnification, screen readers and more.

The accommodations offered are intended to minimize any adverse effect that the individual's disability might have upon test performance and to help ensure that, insofar as possible, the resulting scores represent his or her educational achievement. Reviewing an applicant's entire file will provide more information about the individual's ability to succeed in a graduate program than any one test can provide.

Learn more about accommodations available for test takers with disabilities or health-related needs at www.ets.org/gre/disabilities.

Repeat Test Takers

Test takers may take a GRE test more than once. There are several ways in which graduate departments and programs can judge multiple scores for an applicant (e.g., use most recent score, use highest score, use average score). Whatever approach is adopted, it is best to use it consistently with all applicants.

Essay Responses on the Analytical Writing Section

While all GRE General Test score reports contain an Analytical Writing score, score users who have access to the ETS Data Manager can also view test takers' actual essay responses.

A GRE Analytical Writing essay response can be considered a rough first draft since test takers do not have time to fully revise their essays during the test. Individuals taking the computer-delivered test do not

have spell-checking or grammar-checking software available to them.

Essay responses at computer-delivered administrations are typed, while essay responses at paper-delivered administrations are handwritten. Typed essays often appear shorter than handwritten essays; handwritten essays can appear to be more heavily revised than typed essays. GRE readers are trained to evaluate the content of essays and to give the same score to a handwritten essay as they would to its typed version of the same quality.

To learn more and request access to the ETS Data Manager for your institution, visit www.ets.org/portal.

Policies and Other Information

Score Reporting Policies

With the *ScoreSelect*® option, test takers who retake a GRE test can decide which GRE scores to send to designated institutions. This option is available for both the GRE General Test and the GRE Subject Tests and can be used by anyone with reportable scores from the last five years. Scores for a test administration must be reported in their entirety. Institutions receive score reports that show the scores that test takers selected to send to them. There are no special notations to indicate whether or not other GRE tests have been taken.

For more information, visit www.ets.org/gre/institutions/scoreselect.

GRE score reporting policies have been adopted by the GRE Board to encourage the appropriate use of GRE scores and to protect the right of individuals to control the distribution of their own score reports. Current GRE Board policy states that for tests taken on or after July 1, 2016, scores are reportable for five years following the individual's test date. For tests taken prior to July 1, 2016, scores are reportable for five years following the testing year in which the individual tested. Departments and programs are advised not to use scores that are older than five years due to changes in ability that may occur over extended periods of time.

Score reports are sent to test takers and to institutions of higher education granting the baccalaureate or higher degrees, to approved graduate fellowship-granting sponsors designated by the test takers and to vendors the score recipients might designate to process the scores

they receive. Score reports are also available to approved GRE score recipients in the ETS Data Manager. For more information, visit www.ets.org/portal.

Score reports for the computer-delivered GRE General Test are sent to institutions and available in the ETS Data Manager approximately 10–15 days after the test date. Score reports for the Subject Tests are sent to institutions and available in the ETS Data Manager approximately five weeks after the test date.

Revising Reported Scores

ETS routinely follows extensive review and quality control procedures to detect and avoid flawed questions and consequent errors in scoring. Nonetheless, occasionally an error is discovered after scores have been reported. Whenever this happens, the specific circumstances are reviewed carefully, and a decision is made about how best to take corrective action that is fairest to all concerned. Revised scores reported during the current year are reported directly to graduate, business and law schools and graduate fellowship sponsors as well as to students because such scores are likely to be part of current applications for admission. Revisions to scores reported in the previous five years are sent to the affected students, who may request that ETS send the revised scores to any graduate and professional schools or fellowship sponsors still considering their applications.

Confidentiality of Information

The GRE Program recognizes the right of institutions as well as individuals to privacy with regard to information supplied by and about them. ETS therefore safeguards from unauthorized disclosure all information stored in its data or research files. Information about an institution (identified by name) will be released only in a manner consistent with a prior agreement, or with the consent of the institution.

Protecting the Integrity of GRE Tests

ETS has developed and continues to refine its threepronged approach of prevention, detection and communication over its 70-year history as the world's largest nonprofit educational measurement and research organization. Many of the test security practices pioneered by ETS have become the industry standard and have been adopted by other companies and organizations around the world.

The ETS Office of Testing Integrity constantly monitors testing, investigates security issues, conducts unannounced audits and works to ensure score validity worldwide. ETS spends over \$50 million annually on security for at home testing, test center operations, test-taker identification and monitoring, internet security, proctor and supervisor training, final score reporting, and post-testing analytics.

ETS has procedures in place to prevent testing and scoring fraud. These can be seen from the test design right through to the score reporting process, including using the highest standards to create and deliver test content, establishing secure test locations, ensuring the training of test center administrators, instituting and enforcing test-taker rules and requirements, and maintaining the quality of scoring and score reporting through extensive training of GRE raters, as well as security measures implemented for the paper score reports.

In the GRE General Test at home option, ETS employs multiple best-in-class security measures that use both real-time human monitoring and artificial intelligence technology to see and respond to even the hardest-to-detect incidents:

- Live proctors will ensure constant vigilance, including confirming the test taker's identity and scanning their home environment before testing begins, flagging any suspicious activity, and intervening if necessary.
- Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology—
 such as facial recognition, gaze tracking
 and video recording of the entire session
 will guard against malicious activity.
 Examples of test taker activities that AI
 will flag as possible cheating incidents
 include attempts to impersonate another
 test taker, attempts to open a new browser,
 run unpermitted software and use
 unpermitted objects, such as a cell phone
 during the test administration and breaks.

In addition, ETS is vigilant in identifying and taking action against fraudulent activity. All reported incidents of fraud are taken seriously and investigated thoroughly by the ETS Office of Testing Integrity. Statistical analysis methods are also used to help ensure that valid scores are reported. The ETS Psychometric Analysis and Research team monitors score trends by test center, country and region and reports any suspicious anomalies to the Office of Testing Integrity for review. In terms of communication, ETS will continue to inform institutions that are designated score recipients when scores have been cancelled. In addition, any concerns regarding test results can be reported to ETS and will be investigated.

Cancellation of Scores by ETS

ETS strives to report scores that accurately reflect the performance of every test taker. Accordingly, ETS's standards and procedures for administering tests have two primary goals:

- giving test takers equivalent opportunities to demonstrate their abilities
- preventing any test takers from gaining an unfair advantage over others

To promote these objectives, ETS reserves the right to cancel any test score, whether or not it has already been reported, and to take such other actions as ETS deems appropriate, including banning the test taker from taking any future ETS test and referring the matter to law enforcement authorities, when in ETS's judgment:

- a testing irregularity occurs
- there is an apparent discrepancy in a test taker's identification
- the test taker may have engaged in misconduct, including without limitation having someone else take the test for him/her, obtaining improper access to test questions or answers, disclosing test questions or answers to third parties, plagiarism, or copying or communication
- the score is invalid for another reason

ETS reserves the right to share any and all information in its possession about a test taker and the terms and conditions of test taking with (a) any entity which ETS recognizes as an authorized user of test scores, including without limitation any entity to which ETS reports test scores at the test taker's request, and (b) any government agency

with responsibility for administration or enforcement of U.S. criminal and/or immigration laws. When ETS cancels a test score that has already been reported, it notifies score recipients that the score has been canceled and may also explain why the score has been canceled. We will provide a copy of the cancellation letter the test taker received to recipients of the test taker's scores.

For additional security questions, or concerns, please contact the ETS Office of Testing Integrity by email at CommunicateTestSecurity@ets.org, or by phone at 1-800-750-6991 (United States, U.S. Territories, and Canada) or 1-609-406-5430 (all other locations).

For additional information about cancellation of scores by ETS, visit www.ets.org/gre/institutions/admissions/policies/cancellation.

Statistical Tables

GRE® General Test Interpretive Data

To help interpret scaled scores, the GRE Program describes scores in terms of their standing in appropriate reference groups. Table 1A provides summary statistics for this reference group for each of the three GRE General Test measures: means and standard deviations of scaled scores, and number of test takers. The table is based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. Test takers who received a No Score (NS) on a specific measure are excluded from the data reported in that specific measure's accompanying tables.

Although each GRE General Test measure assesses different developed abilities, scores on the measures are moderately related. The correlation between Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning scores is .33, the correlation between Verbal Reasoning and Analytical Writing scores is .66, and the correlation between Quantitative Reasoning and Analytical Writing scores is .12.

Table 1A: Performance Statistics on the *GRE*® General Test (Based on the performance of all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Test	Number of Test Takers	Mean	Standard Deviation
Verbal Reasoning Measure	1,548,211	150.37	8.59
Quantitative Reasoning Measure	1,551,107	153.66	9.44
Analytical Writing Measure	1,543,418	3.60	0.85

Note: A total of 55 percent of test takers indicated they were female, 45 percent indicated they were male, and less than 1 percent did not provide any classification with regard to gender.

Tables 1B and 1C provide percentile ranks (i.e., the percentages of test takers in a group who obtained scores lower than a specified score) for the GRE General Test measures. The tables are based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020.

Table 1B: *GRE*[®] Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning Interpretative Data Used on Score Reports

(Percent of test takers scoring lower than selected scaled scores. Based on the performance of all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020^a)

Scaled Score	Verbal Reasoning	Quantitative Reasoning
170	99	96
169	99	94
168	98	91
167	98	89
166	97	86
165	96	84
164	94	81
163	92	79
162	90	76
161	88	74
160	85	70
159	82	67
158	79	64
157	75	61
156	72	57
155	67	54
154	63	50
153	59	46
152	53	43
151	49	39
150	44	35
149	39	32
148	35	28
147	31	25
146	28	21
145	25	18
144	22	15
143	19	13
142	16	11
141	14	9
140	12	7
139	10	6
138	8	4
137	7	3
136	5	2
135	4	2
134	3	1
133	2	1
132	2	1
131	1	
130		

Table 1C: *GRE*[®] Analytical Writing Interpretative Data Used on Score Reports

(Percent of test takers scoring lower than selected score. Based on the performance of all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020^a)

Score Levels	Analytical Writing
6.0	99
5.5	98
5.0	91
4.5	80
4.0	54
3.5	37
3.0	13
2.5	5
2.0	1
1.5	
1.0	
0.5	
0.0	

Note for Tables 1B and 1C: Blank cells imply that percentile information was not reported because there were no test takers above or below specified scale score range.

^aA total of 1,548,211 test takers took the Verbal Reasoning measure, 1,551,107 took the Quantitative Reasoning measure, and 1,543,418 took the Analytical Writing measure between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020.

GRE® Subject Test Interpretative Data

Subject Test Total Score Information

To help interpret scaled scores, the GRE Program describes scores in terms of their standing in appropriate reference groups. Table 2A provides summary statistics for each of the GRE Subject Tests, including number of test takers, mean and standard deviation of scaled scores, and percent of the group by gender. The table is based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. Test takers who received a No Score (NS) are excluded from the data reported in the accompanying tables.

Table 2A: Performance Statistics on the GRE® Subject Tests

(Based on the performance of all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Test	Number of Test Takers	Mean	Standard Deviation	Percent Women	Percent Men
Chemistry Test	7,815	692	125	42	57
Mathematics Test	14,666	669	153	28	72
Physics Test	19,955	717	165	23	76
Psychology Test	11,417	622	109	79	20

Table 2B on the following page provides percentile ranks for the Subject Test total scores. The percentile ranks are based on the percent of test takers scoring below a particular scale score. The data are based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020.

Table 2B: *GRE*® Subject Test Total Score Interpretive Data Used on Score Reports (Percent of test takers scoring lower than selected scaled scores. Based on the performance of all

individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Blank cells imply that percentile information was not reported because there were no test takers above or below the specified scale score range.

Scaled Score	Chemistry	Mathematics	Physics ^{a,b}	Psychologya
980			95	
960	99	97	92	
940	99	96	88	
920	98	95	85	
900	96	94	81	
880	94	91	77	
860	90	87	74	
840	86	83	70	
820	81	79	67	99
800	77	75	64	97
780	71	72	61	95
760	66	67	58	91
740	61	64	54	86
720	56	60	51	79
700	50	56	47	72
680	44	52	43	64
660	39	48	39	56
640	34	43	36	49
620	28	40	32	42
600	24	36	28	36
580	19	32	24	31
560	15	27	20	26
540	12	23	16	22
520	9	19	12	18
500	6	15	9	14
480	5	11	7	11
460	3	8	4	8
440	2	6	3	6
420	1	3	2	5
400		2	1	3
380		1	1	2
360		1		1
340				1
320				
300				
280				
260				
240				
220				
200				

Note: Percentile ranks for each Subject Test are based on the test volumes provided in Table 2A. ^aSee Tables 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D for subscore performance statistics and interpretive information for these tests. ^bFor the Physics Test, the percent of test takers scoring lower than 990 is 97.

Subject Test Subscore Information

Tables 3A and 3B provide subscore means and standard deviations on the GRE Physics Test and the GRE Psychology Test, respectively, and are based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020.

Table 3A: Performance Statistics on the GRE® Physics Test Subscores

(Based on the performance of 22,619 individuals who tested between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2020)

Subscore	Mean	Standard Deviation
Classical Mechanics	71	16
Electromagnetism	71	16
Quantum Mechanics & Atomic Physics	71	16

Table 3B: Performance Statistics on the GRE® Psychology Test Subscores

(Based on the performance of 11,417 individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Subscore	Mean	Standard Deviation
Biological	62	11
Cognitive	62	11
Social	62	11
Developmental	62	11
Clinical	62	11
Measurement/Methodology/Other	62	11

On the following pages, Tables 3C and 3D present the percentile ranks for the Physics Test subscores and Psychology Test subscores, respectively, and are based on all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. The percentile ranks are based on the percent of test takers scoring below a particular subscore.

The percentile ranks given in Table 3C for the Physics Test subscores and in Table 3D for the Psychology Test subscores may be used for diagnostic interpretation of the total score. For example, a test taker who obtains a score of 680 on the GRE Psychology Test is likely to have subscores of 68, assuming he or she is similarly able in the content areas measured by each subscore. For that test taker, scores much above or below 68 on a subscore would indicate strength or weakness in the content area associated with that subscore. Note that the strength or weakness could possibly reflect training that was targeted toward specific content areas.

Table 3C: *GRE*® Physics Test Interpretive Data for Subscores (Percent of test takers scoring lower than selected scaled scores. Based on the performance of 22,619 individuals who took the GRE Physics Test between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Blank cells imply that percentile information was not reported because there were no test takers above or below the specified scale score range.

Subscore	Classical Mechanics	Electromagnetism	Quantum Mechanics & Atomic Physics
98	95	96	96
96	93	92	93
94	90	90	90
92	85	86	87
90	83	82	83
88	79	79	79
86	76	75	76
84	72	73	73
82	66	69	70
80	66	65	67
78	63	63	62
76	58	58	60
74	55	54	56
72	52	52	52
70	49	48	49
68	46	46	44
66	41	40	42
64	37	37	36
62	33	33	33
60	29	28	29
58	23	25	23
56	19	20	18
54	16	16	16
52	12	12	11
50	10	10	9
48	6	7	7
46	5	4	4
44	3	2	3
42	2	2	2
40	1	1	1
38	1	1	
36			
34			
32			
30			
28			
26			
24			
22			
20			

Table 3D: \textit{GRE}^{\circledR} Psychology Test Interpretive Data for Subscores (Percent of test takers scoring lower than selected scaled scores. Based on the performance of 11,417 individuals who took the GRE Psychology Test between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020)

Blank cells imply that percentile information were not reported because there were no test takers above or below specified scale score range.

Subscore	Biological	Cognitive	Social	Developmental	Clinical	Measurement/ Methodology/ Other
98						
96						
94						
92						
90						
88						
86						
84				99		99
82	99	99	99	99	99	99
80	97	98	98	97	98	97
78	94	95	96	95	96	95
76	91	91	92	92	92	91
74	87	86	87	84	87	86
72	79	79	80	79	79	80
70	74	73	73	72	72	73
68	64	63	64	64	64	65
66	57	56	58	57	55	57
64	50	49	49	49	48	50
62	44	42	43	42	42	42
60	37	37	37	36	35	38
58	32	31	30	31	31	31
56	27	26	26	26	25	26
54	22	21	21	21	21	22
52	18	17	18	17	17	19
50	14	14	15	14	14	14
48	11	11	11	11	11	11
46	8	8	9	8	9	9
44	6	6	6	6	6	6
42	4	5	5	5	5	4
40	3	3	3	3	3	3
38	1	2	2	2	2	2
36	1	1	1	1	1	1
34		1	1	1	1	1
32					1	
30						
28						
26						
24						
22						
20						

Major Field Code List

The following Major Field Code List contains the fields of study from which test takers select their intended graduate major. These fields are grouped into broad graduate major fields (Life Sciences, Physical Sciences, Engineering, Social and Behavioral Sciences, Humanities & Arts, Education, Business, Law and Other Fields).

Table 4a (on pages 31-34) contains score data by intended graduate major field and broad graduate major field (e.g., aggregation of the fields of study that constitute Agriculture) and also for the following aggregated groups of broad graduate major fields: Life Sciences, Physical Sciences, Engineering, Social Sciences, Arts and Humanities, Education, Business, and Other Fields. Score data presented includes number of test takers (N), means (M), standard deviations (SD), and the percentage of students in each of seven score ranges for verbal and quantitative scaled scores. However, only the number of test takers is reported for the broad major field "Other" or the "Other Fields" grouping (e.g., the aggregation of Fire Protection, Homeland Security, Interdisciplinary Studies, Legal Research and Professional Studies, Military Technologies, Multidisciplinary Studies).

<u>LIFE SCIENCES</u>		Entomology	0209
		Evolution	0228
Agriculture, Natural Resources and Conservation		Genetics	0210
Agricultural and Domestic Animal Services	.0116	Marine Biology	0211
Agricultural and Food Products Processing	.0117	Microbiological Sciences	0212
Agricultural Business and Management	.0118	Molecular Biology	0229
Agricultural Economics		Molecular Medicine	
Agricultural Mechanization		Neurosciences	0213
Agricultural Production		Nutrition	
Agricultural Public Services		Parasitology	0231
Agriculture, General		Pathology	
Agronomy		Pharmacology	
Animal Sciences		Physiology	0217
Applied Horticulture	.0121	Radiobiology	
Fishing and Fisheries Sciences and Management		Population Biology	
Food Science and Technology		Systematics	
Forestry		Toxicology	
Horticulture Business Services	.0109	Zoology	
International Agriculture	.0122	Biological and Biomedical Sciences—Other	
Parks, Recreation, and Leisure Facilities Mgmt	.0111	•	
Parks, Recreation, and Leisure Studies	.0123	Health and Medical Sciences	
Plant Sciences (Except Agronomy, see 0104)	.0112	Allied Health	0601
Natural Resources and Conservation	.0113	Alternative and Complementary Medicine	0624
Natural Resources Management and Policy	.0110	Athletic Training	
Soil Sciences	.0114	Audiology	0602
Wildlife and Wildlands Science and Management	.0115	Bioethics/Medical Ethics	0625
Agriculture, Nat Resources, and		Chiropractic	0603
Conservation—Other	.0199	Clinical/Medical Laboratory Science/Research	0626
		Communication Disorders Sciences and Services	0627
Biological and Biomedical Sciences		Dentistry and Oral Sciences	0604
Anatomical Sciences	.0201	Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Services	0628
Animal Biology	.0223	Environmental Health	0605
Bacteriology	.0221	Epidemiology	
Biochemistry	.0202	Exercise Science	0629
Bioinformatics	0224	Health and Medical Administrative Services	
Biology, General	.0203	Immunology	0608
Biomathematics	.0225	Health Sciences	0630
Biometry	.0204	Health/Medical Preparatory Programs	0631
Biophysics	.0222	Kinesiology	0623
Biotechnology	.0226	Medical Sciences	0609
Botany/Plant Biology	.0205	Medicinal Chemistry	0621
Cell/Cellular Biology	.0206	Mental and Social Health Services	0632
Computational Biology		Nursing	
Developmental Biology	.0208	Occupational Therapy	0618
Ecology	0207	Ontometry	0611

Osteopathic Medicine	0612	Actuarial Science	0701
Pharmaceutical Sciences		Applied Mathematics	
Physical Therapy		Mathematics	
Physician Assistant		Probability	
Podiatry		Statistics	
Pre-Medicine		Mathematical Sciences—Other	
Public Health		Mathematical Sciences - Other	0177
Rehabilitation and Therapy		Physics and Astronomy	
		Acoustics	0000
Speech-Language Pathology			
Veterinary Medicine		Astronomy	
Veterinary Science		Astrophysics	0802
Health and Medical Sciences—Other	0099	Atomic/Molecular Physics	
DINALGAL ACTENICEA		Condensed Matter and Materials Physics	
PHYSICAL SCIENCES		Elementary Particle Physic	
		Nuclear Physics	
Chemistry		Optics/Optical Sciences	
Analytical Chemistry		Physics	
Chemical Plastics		Planetary Astronomy and Science	
Chemistry, General		Plasma and High-Temperature Physics	
Environmental Chemistry	0308	Solid State Physics	
Forensic Chemistry	0309	Theoretical and Mathematical Physics	0813
Inorganic Chemistry	0303	Physics and Astronomy—Other	0899
Organic Chemistry	0304		
Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry	0305	Natural Sciences—Other	
Physical Chemistry	0306	Natural Sciences, General	0901
Polymer Chemistry		Physical Sciences, General	
Theoretical Chemistry		Science Technologies	
Chemistry—Other		Natural Sciences—Other	
Computer and Information Sciences		ENGINEERING	
Computer and Information Sciences, General	0407	ENGINEERING	
		Engineering—Chemical	
Computer Programming		Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering	1004
Computer Software and Media Applications		Chemical Engineering	
Computer Systems Analysis	0409	Pulp and Paper Production	
Computer Systems Networking and	0.410	Wood Science	
Telecommunications		Chemical Engineering—Other	1099
Computer/Information Technology Admin and		T. I. C. II	
Mgmt		Engineering—Civil	
Data Processing		Architectural Engineering	
Information Sciences/Studies		Civil Engineering	
Microcomputer Applications		Construction Engineering	
Systems Analysis		Environmental/Environmental Health Engineering	
Computer and Information Sciences—Other	0499	Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering	1105
		Structural Engineering	1106
Earth, Atmospheric, and Marine Sciences		Surveying Engineering	1107
Aquatic Biology/Limnology	0509	Transportation and Highway Engineering	1108
Atmospheric Sciences		Water Resources Engineering	1109
Biological Oceanography		Civil Engineering—Other	
Environmental Sciences	0502		
Geochemistry		Engineering—Electrical and Electronics	
Geological Sciences		Communications Engineering	1202
Geophysics and Seismology		Computer Engineering	
Geosciences		Computer Hardware Engineering	
		Computer Software Engineering	
Hydrology			
		Electronics Engineering	
Meteorology		Electronics Engineering	
Oceanography		Laser and Optical Engineering	
Paleontology		Telecommunications Engineering	
Earth, Atmospheric, and Marine Sciences—Oth	er0599	Electrical & Electronics Engineering—Other	1299

Engineering—Industrial

Industrial Engineering	1301	Psychology, General	
Manufacturing Engineering		Psychometrics	2012
Operations Research	1302	Psychopharmacology	2013
Industrial Engineering—Other	1399	Quantitative Psychology	2014
		Research and Experimental Psychology	2019
Engineering—Materials		Social Psychology	2015
Ceramic Sciences and Engineering	1401	Psychology—Other	
Materials Engineering			
Materials Science		Sociology	
Metallurgical Engineering		Demography	2101
Polymer/Plastics Engineering		Rural Sociology	
Materials Engineering—Other		Sociology	
Engineering—Mechanical		Social and Behavioral Sciences—Other	
Engineering Mechanics	1501	American Studies	2206
Mechanical Engineering		Adult Development and Aging	2208
Mechanical Engineering—Other		Area, Ethnic, Cultural, Gender, and Group Studies	
		Criminal Justice/Criminology	
Engineering—Other		Geography and Cartography	
Aeronautical Engineering	1614	Gerontology	
Aerospace Engineering		Public Affairs	
Agricultural Engineering		Social Sciences, General	
Biochemical Engineering		Urban Studies/Affairs	
Biomedical/Medical Engineering		Social and Behavioral Sciences—Other	
Electromechanical Engineering		Social and Benavioral Sciences Office	
Engineering Chemistry		HUMANITIES & ARTS	
Engineering Physics		HUMANTIES & ARTS	
Engineering Science		Auto History Theory and Critisism	
Engineering Science	1003	Arts—History, Theory, and Criticism	2201
COCIAL AND DEHAVIORAL CCIENCES		Art History, Criticism, and Conservation	
SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES		Music History, Literature, and Theory	
		Musicology	
Anthropology & Archaeology	4=04	Theatre Literature, History and Criticism	
Anthropology		Arts—History, Theory, and Criticism—Other	2399
Archaeology			
Anthropology and Archaeology, Other	1799	Arts—Performance and Studio	
		Arts, Entertainment, and Media Management	
Economics		Crafts/Craft Design	2408
Applied Economics	1803	Dance	
Econometrics	1802	Design and Applied Arts	2405
Economics	1801	Drama/Theatre Arts	2403
International Economics	1804	Film/Video and Photographic Arts	2409
Economics, Other	1899	Fine and Studio Arts	
		Industrial Design	2407
Political Science		Music	
International Relations	1901	Arts—Performance and Studio—Other	
Political Science and Government			
Public Policy Analysis		English Language and Literature	
Political Science—Other		American Literature	2502
Psychology	1777	Creative Writing	
• 0•	2017	•	
Applied Psychology		English Literature	
Clinical Psychology		English Literature	
Cognitive Psychology		Rhetoric and Composition/Writing Studies	
Community Psychology		English Language and Literatures—Other	2599
Comparative Psychology			
Counseling Psychology		Foreign Languages and Literatures	_
Developmental and Child Psychology		African Languages and Literatures	
Experimental Psychology	2007	American Sign Language	
Forensic Psychology	2018	Asiatic Languages and Literatures	2601
Industrial and Organizational Psychology		Celtic Languages and Literatures	2612
Personality Psychology		Classics and Classical Languages and Literatures	
Physiological Psychology		Foreign Literature	
Psycholinguistics	2011	French	2603

Germanic Languages and Literatures26	
Italian	8
Russian26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Semitic Languages	
Spanish	
Iranian/Persian Languages and Literatures26	
Modern Greek Language and Literature26	14 Education—Secondary
Romance Languages and Literatures26	Secondary Education and Teaching3601
Slavic, Baltic, and Albanian Languages and Lit26	16 Secondary Level Teaching Fields
Foreign Languages and Literatures—Other26	99
	Education—Special
History	Education of the Gifted and Talented3701
American History27	Education of Students with Specific Disabilities3702
European History27	Educ. of Students with Specific Learn Disabilities 3703
History and Philosophy of Science and Technology 27	Remedial Education3704
History, General27	Special Education and Teaching
History—Other27	
·	•
Philosophy	Education—Student Counseling and Personnel Services
Ethics	
Logic	
Philosophy28	
All Philosophy Fields	ĕ
Philosophy—Other	
1 7	Education—Other
Arts and Humanities—Other	Adult and Continuing Education3901
Classics	
Linguistic, Comparative and Related Lang Studies29	
Linguistics	
Religious Studies	
Humanities/Humanistic Studies	
Liberal Arts and Sciences/Liberal Arts29	
Arts and Humanities—Other	2
	Physical Education
EDUCATION	Pre-Elementary Education
	Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education 3906
Education—Administration	Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language3907
Educational Administration30	
Educational Leadership30	
Educational Supervision30	
244-4-1-014-1	BUSINESS
Education—Curriculum and Instruction	<u> </u>
Curriculum and Instruction31	O1 Accounting
	Accounting4001
Education—Early Childhood	Taxation
Early Childhood Education and Teaching32	
Kindergarten/Preschool Education and Teaching32	
Trindergarcent resentor Education and Teaching	Banking and Finance
Education—Elementary	Banking and Financial Support Services4101
Elementary Education and Teaching33	
Elementary Level Teaching Fields	
Elementary Level Teaching Fields	Financial Planning and Services4105
Education—Evaluation and Research	International Finance
Educational Evaluation and Research	
Educational Psychology	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Educational Assessment, Testing, and	Business Administration and Management
Measurement 34	*
Elementary and Secondary Research	
Higher Education Research	
Learning Sciences 34	
School Psychology34	Health Care Administration4211

Hospitality Administration/Management4	208 Mass Communications	4508
Human Resource Development4		4504
Human Resources Management4	203 Publishing	4509
Labor and Industrial Relations4	Radio, Television, and Digital Communication	4505
Logistics and Supply Chain Management4	Speech Communication	4506
Manufacturing and Technology Management4		
Operations Management4		
Organizational Leadership		
Organizational Management		4604
Project Management		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Small Business Operations		
Sport and Fitness Administration/Management4	•	
Telecommunications Management		
Business Administration and Management—Other4		
	Human Development	
Business—Other	Human Sciences	
Actuarial Science—Business4		
Business/Corporate Communications4	Family and Consumer Sciences—Other	4699
Business/Managerial Economics4		
Business Statistics4	319 Library and Archival Studies	
Consulting4	Archives/Archival Administration	4702
Data Analytics4	Library and Information Science	470
Insurance4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
International Business4		
Leadership4		
Management Information Systems4		4802
Management Science		
Marketing4		100.
Marketing Management and Research		
Public Policy—Business4		4901
Merchandizing4		
Real Estate	* *	
Risk Management		
Sales		
		1 223
Sports Management		
*		500
Strategy4	315 Social Work	
G 1 G 1 M		
Supply Chain Management		3095
Transportation		
Business—Other4		
	Law	520
OTHER FIELDS		
	Other Fields	
Architecture and Environmental Design	Fire Protection	
Architectural History and Criticism4		
Architectural Sciences and Technology4		5104
Architecture4	401 Interdisciplinary Studies	510
City, Urban, Community, and Regional	Law	5102
Planning4	Legal Research and Professional Studies	5105
Environmental Design4	403 Military Technologies	5106
Interior Architecture4		
Landscape Architecture4		
Real Estate Development4		
Urban Design4		0000
Architecture and Environmental Design—Other4		
č		
Communications and Journalism		
Advertising4	501	
Communications and Media Studies4		
Communications Technologies4		

GRE ® General Test Interpretive Data by Broad Graduate Major Field

Table 4A presents Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning and Analytical Writing data for seniors and nonenrolled college graduates who stated that they intended to do graduate work in one of approximately 300 major fields. The score data are summarized by 51 broad graduate major field categories so that applicants can be compared to others likely to be most similar to them in educational goals. To view score data summarized by the 300 major fields (Table 4B), see www.ets.org/s/gre/pdf/gre table4B.pdf.

Table 4A: GRE ® General Test Percentage Distribution of Scores Within Intended Broad Graduate Major Field Based on Seniors and Nonenrolled College Graduates Who Tested Between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020

(VR = Verbal Reasoning, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, AW = Analytical Writing, N = Number of Test Takers, M = Mean, and SD = Standard Deviation)

	VR 130- 134	VR 135- 139	VR 140- 144	VR 145- 149	VR 150- 154	VR 155- 159	VR 160- 164	VR 165- 169	VR 170	VR N	VR M	VR SD	QR 130- 134	QR 135- 139	QR 140- 144	QR 145- 149	QR 150- 154	QR 155- 159	QR 160- 164	QR 165- 169	QR 170	QR N	QR M	QR SD	AW 0	AW 0.5 & 1	AW 1.5 & 2	AW 2.5 & 3	AW 3.5 & 4	AW 4.5 & 5	AW 5.5 & 6	AW N	AW M	AW SD
LIFE SCIENCES	1.1	3.9	11.7	24.9	26.8	20.0	8.7	2.6	0.3	262,724	151	7	0.8	4.4	13.6	26.5	28.2	16.3	6.7	3.0	0.5	262,799	151	7	0.0	0.1	2.0	21.4	52.0	22.4	2.0	262,296	3.8	0.7
Agriculture, Natural Res. & Conservation	1.8	5.2	11.8	23.2	26.5	19.8	8.8	2.6	0.3	12,104	151	7	0.6	3.1	11.2	24.6	28.9	17.5	8.4	4.9	0.8	12,108	152	7	0.0	0.2	3.8	29.0	48.0	17.6	1.4	12,067	3.6	0.8
Biological & Biomedical Sciences	1.0	2.8	7.6	17.7	24.8	25.4	14.8	5.3	0.7	69,634	153	7	0.4	2.2	7.8	18.8	27.3	22.2	12.7	7.2	1.5	69,654	154	7	0.0	0.1	1.8	19.9	48.4	26.7	3.1	69,552	3.9	0.8
Health & Medical Sciences	1.1	4.2	13.3	27.8	27.5	18.0	6.4	1.5	0.1	180,986	150	7	1.0	5.3	15.9	29.6	28.5	14.0	4.2	1.3	0.2	181,037	149	6	0.0	0.1	2.0	21.5	53.7	21.1	1.6	180,677	3.8	0.7
PHYSICAL SCIENCES	4.6	7.7	11.0	15.6	21.3	20.1	13.3	5.6	0.8	148,909	151	9	0.3	1.1	2.9	6.9	13.1	18.5	22.0	26.3	8.8	149,037	160	8	0.0	0.2	4.5	34.7	41.5	16.6	2.4	148,744	3.6	0.8
Chemistry	1.9	4.7	8.8	16.5	23.5	24.3	14.8	5.0	0.6	13,425	153	8	0.1	0.8	3.1	9.6	21.4	24.8	19.8	16.2	4.2	13,440	158	7	0.0	0.2	3.0	26.3	44.2	23.3	3.0	13,412	3.8	0.8
Computer & Information Sciences	6.8	10.6	13.6	17.0	20.4	16.4	10.3	4.3	0.6	81,441	149	9	0.5	1.6	3.2	6.8	11.6	18.3	22.9	26.7	8.5	81,516	160	8	0.0	0.3	6.0	40.9	39.6	11.7	1.6	81,358	3.4	0.8
Earth, Atmospheric, & Marine Sciences	0.9	2.2	6.2	15.4	24.8	27.1	16.4	6.2	0.7	13,647	154	7	0.2	1.4	6.3	17.1	28.1	22.8	13.7	8.6	1.9	13,657	154	7	0.0	0.1	1.7	20.9	47.8	26.1	3.3	13,639	3.9	0.8
Mathematical Sciences	2.6	5.4	9.4	13.7	21.9	22.6	15.6	7.5	1.3	26,007	153	9	0.0	0.2	0.7	2.5	6.8	13.5	22.9	38.0	15.3	26,023	163	6	0.0	0.2	3.3	33.5	41.5	18.5	3.0	25,956	3.6	0.8
Physics & Astronomy	1.2	3.0	5.7	10.5	20.0	26.4	21.8	9.8	1.6	14,131	155	8	0.0	0.2	1.0	3.6	11.2	19.1	25.5	29.1	10.2	14,143	162	7	0.0	0.2	2.5	23.3	44.0	25.8	4.1	14,121	3.9	0.8
Natural Sciences — Other	3.5	10.9	12.4	22.1	23.3	17.1	7.8	3.1	0.0	258	150	8	1.2	8.1	9.3	22.1	25.6	15.5	9.7	6.2	2.3	258	152	9	0.0	1.2	5.4	28.7	47.7	14.7	2.3	258	3.5	0.8
ENGINEERING	5.3	9.0	12.4	16.9	21.4	19.5	11.3	3.7	0.4	126,765	150	9	0.2	1.0	2.5	6.2	13.0	20.6	24.8	25.0	6.5	127,152	160	7	0.0	0.3	5.5	36.9	40.0	15.3	1.8	126,408	3.5	0.8
Chemical	3.0	4.8	9.8	15.7	21.3	23.3	15.5	5.8	0.5	8,764	153	8	0.0	0.5	1.5	4.5	11.6	20.9	27.1	27.4	6.3	8,796	161	7	0.1	0.2	3.2	28.1	42.1	23.0	3.2	8,739	3.7	0.8
Civil	7.9	10.6	13.4	17.2	20.9	17.9	9.2	2.6	0.2	13,394	149	9	0.5	1.6	3.8	8.6	16.4	24.0	23.6	17.6	4.0	13,482	158	8	0.0	0.6	8.0	37.6	38.0	14.3	1.4	13,298	3.4	0.9
Electrical & Electronics	6.7	11.5	14.9	18.2	21.7	16.2	8.0	2.6	0.3	42,479	149	9	0.3	1.2	2.6	6.0	11.5	17.8	23.2	28.6	8.9	42,580	160	8	0.0	0.4	6.7	44.8	37.7	9.5	1.0	42,393	3.3	0.8
Industrial	4.2	10.1	15.2	20.4	22.6	16.8	8.2	2.2	0.3	6,066	149	8	0.1	0.9	2.8	7.5	14.5	21.1	23.8	23.2	6.1	6,087	159	8	0.0	0.2	4.4	41.3	41.7	11.3	1.0	6,045	3.4	0.7
Materials	2.2	4.8	9.3	14.6	23.9	23.3	15.3	5.8	0.8	5,068	153	8	0.0	0.2	0.7	3.2	9.2	17.2	25.3	34.2	10.1	5,076	162	6	0.0	0.1	2.9	33.8	40.5	19.8	2.8	5,063	3.7	0.8
Mechanical	6.1	9.8	12.8	17.1	20.7	18.9	10.9	3.5	0.3	30,092	150	9	0.3	1.2	2.8	6.6	13.3	21.2	25.2	23.8	5.5	30,182	159	8	0.0	0.4	6.2	37.2	40.1	14.5	1.6	30,017	3.5	0.8
Engineering — Other	1.9	4.3	7.3	13.9	21.4	26.5	17.9	6.1	0.6	20,902	154	8	0.1	0.6	1.9	5.6	14.6	23.9	27.6	21.8	4.0	20,949	159	7	0.0	0.2	2.7	23.4	44.5	25.8	3.4	20,853	3.8	0.8

Note: This table does not include summary information on the approximately 20 test takers whose response to the department code question was invalid (misgrids, blanks, ets.) or the approximately 57,000 test takers whose response was "Undecided".

Table 4A: GRE® General Test Percentage Distribution of Scores Within Intended Broad Graduate Major Field Based on Seniors and Nonenrolled College Graduates Who Tested Between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020

(VR = Verbal Reasoning, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, AW = Analytical Writing, N = Number of Test Takers, M = Mean, and SD = Standard Deviation.)

Intended Graduate Major	VR 130- 134	VR 135- 139	VR 140- 144	VR 145- 149	VR 150- 154	VR 155- 159	VR 160- 164	VR 165- 169	VR 170	VR N		VR SD	QR 130- 134	QR 135- 139	QR 140- 144	QR 145- 149	QR 150- 154	QR 155- 159	QR 160- 164	QR 165- 169	QR 170	QR N	QR M	QR SD	AW	0.5	AW 1.5 & 2	AW 2.5 & 3	AW 3.5 & 4	AW 4.5 & 5	AW 5.5 & 6	AW N	AW M	AW SD
SOC. & BEHAVIORAL SCI.	1.3	3.5	8.6	17.5	23.1	23.7	15.2	6.1	0.9	123,916	153	8	1.4	6.1	14.1	21.9	21.3	15.7	10.0	7.7	1.8	124,055	152	9	0.0	0.1	2.0	19.9	45.0	28.1	4.9	123,711	3.9	0.8
Anthropology & Archaeology	0.5	1.1	4.5	14.9	21.9	28.9	20.2	7.1	1.0	5,367	155	7	1.6	6.9	17.7	28.6	24.6	13.2	4.9	2.2	0.3	5,370	149	7	0.0	0.0	1.1	15.8	47.0	31.2	4.8	5,364	4.0	0.8
Economics	2.7	4.8	8.2	12.7	20.7	23.7	17.9	8.2	1.3	20,745	154	9	0.1	0.6	2.0	5.4	11.7	19.0	24.4	28.9	7.9	20,852	161	7	0.1	0.3	2.7	28.7	41.0	22.4	4.8	20,650	3.8	0.9
Political Science	0.6	1.7	4.2	10.1	17.9	26.1	24.0	13.1	2.3	19,605	157	8	1.0	3.9	10.4	19.5	23.5	21.0	13.1	6.5	1.0	19,641	153	8	0.0	0.1	0.9	11.6	39.1	38.2	10.0	19,574	4.2	0.8
Psychology	1.0	3.7	10.0	21.3	25.8	23.0	11.5	3.3	0.3	66,875	152	7	1.7	7.8	18.2	27.0	23.7	13.6	5.4	2.3	0.3	66,874	149	7	0.0	0.1	2.1	19.4	48.0	26.9	3.5	66,798	3.9	0.8
Sociology	1.9	3.9	9.4	16.9	21.3	23.1	16.3	6.2	0.9	4,771	153	8	2.6	8.6	16.9	23.6	20.1	13.4	8.2	5.8	0.8	4,769	150	9	0.0	0.2	2.5	19.5	43.6	28.8	5.3	4,770	3.9	0.8
Soc. & Behaviorial Sci., Other	2.4	5.8	12.1	19.1	21.0	19.4	13.5	5.8	0.9	6,553	152	8	2.7	10.1	17.7	23.1	19.7	14.0	6.9	4.7	1.0	6,549	149	9	0.0	0.3	3.6	24.6	43.2	24.1	4.3	6,555	3.8	0.9
HUMANITIES & ARTS	0.9	2.0	4.7	10.4	18.3	25.4	22.9	12.8	2.6	34,142	156	8	2.1	7.1	15.2	23.2	22.6	15.5	8.6	5.0	0.8	34,095	150	8	0.0	0.1	1.7	14.4	39.9	35.3	8.6	34,113	4.1	0.8
Arts — History, Theory, & Criticism	0.7	0.7	2.8	8.4	18.3	27.6	25.6	13.9	2.0	1,936	157	7	1.0	4.2	12.5	23.3	25.2	19.9	9.0	4.4	0.5	1,930	151	7	0.0	0.1	0.7	10.7	42.0	38.8	7.7	1,936	4.2	0.7
Arts — Performance & Studio	2.5	4.6	9.4	15.9	22.3	22.8	15.0	6.7	0.8	4,523	153	8	1.6	5.7	12.5	19.8	22.0	17.1	12.2	7.7	1.5	4,525	152	9	0.0	0.4	3.7	27.3	42.4	22.5	3.6	4,516	3.7	0.9
English Language & Literature	0.6	1.5	3.8	9.4	17.8	26.6	24.7	13.0	2.6	11,319	157	7	2.8	8.9	17.5	25.7	22.4	13.2	6.3	2.8	0.4	11,289	149	8	0.0	0.1	1.2	11.3	38.3	39.1	10.0	11,310	4.2	0.8
Foreign Languages & Literatures	1.6	3.3	5.0	10.7	17.6	23.0	21.7	14.6	2.4	2,140	156	9	1.8	5.8	13.2	18.5	24.8	17.8	10.5	6.9	0.7	2,140	152	8	0.0	0.2	2.7	16.2	38.8	34.4	7.8	2,139	4.1	0.9
History	0.5	1.3	4.3	11.1	20.1	27.0	22.0	11.4	2.2	7,698	156	7	2.5	8.8	19.3	26.1	21.8	13.0	5.5	2.7	0.3	7,686	149	8	0.0	0.1	1.4	13.5	41.4	34.9	8.7	7,692	4.1	0.8
Philosophy	0.2	1.0	2.4	5.9	11.9	23.2	27.5	21.9	6.1	3,190	160	7	0.7	3.3	8.6	17.6	22.7	19.7	14.8	10.7	1.9	3,190	154	8	0.0	0.1	0.9	9.9	36.0	40.0	13.0	3,188	4.3	0.8
Humanities & Arts, Other	1.1	2.3	5.3	9.6	16.6	24.1	24.3	13.6	3.1	3,336	157	8	1.3	4.8	10.7	21.3	23.2	18.8	11.1	7.3	1.6	3,335	152	8	0.0	0.2	1.7	14.6	41.2	34.7	7.6	3,332	4.1	0.8

Note: This table does not include summary information on the approximately 20 test takers whose response to the department code question was invalid (misgrids, blanks, ets.) or the approximately 57,000 test takers whose response was "Undecided".

Table 4A: GRE ® General Test Percentage Distribution of Scores Within Intended Broad Graduate Major Field Based on Seniors and Nonenrolled College Graduates Who Tested Between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020

(VR = Verbal Reasoning, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, AW = Analytical Writing, N = Number of Test Takers, M = Mean, and SD = Standard Deviation.)

Intended Graduate Major	VR 130- 134	V 135- 139	VR 140- 144	VR 145- 149	VR 150- 154	VR 155- 159	VR 160- 164	VR 165- 169	VR 170	VR N	VR M	VR SD	QR 130- 134	QR 135- 139	QR 140- 144	QR 145- 149	QR 150- 154	QR 155- 159	QR 160- 164	QR 165- 169	QR 170	QR N	QR M	QR SD	AW 0	AW 0.5 & 1	AW 1.5 & 2	AW 2.5 & 3	AW 3.5 & 4	AW 4.5 & 5	AW 5.5 & 6	AW N	AW M	AW SD
EDUCATION	2.2	6.2	13.6	23.5	22.9	18.6	9.3	3.2	0.4	37,091	150	8	3.1	10.4	20.6	26.5	20.1	10.9	5.3	2.7	0.4	37,089	148	8	0.0	0.2	3.8	24.9	46.0	22.1	2.9	36,979	3.7	0.8
Administration	2.5	5.8	14.0	23.2	23.4	18.1	9.3	3.3	0.4	2,551	150	8	3.4	11.4	19.6	26.3	19.4	11.6	5.2	2.7	0.4	2,551	148	8	0.0	0.4	3.5	28.1	44.0	21.4	2.6	2,547	3.7	0.8
Curriculum & Instruction	0.8	5.4	11.7	20.9	24.5	22.9	10.5	3.4	0.0	503	151	7	2.4	7.8	17.5	26.2	22.7	13.3	7.0	2.8	0.4	503	149	8	0.0	0.4	3.0	20.5	48.6	24.3	3.2	502	3.8	0.8
Early Childhood	6.4	12.7	26.8	24.2	17.8	7.6	3.2	1.3	0.0	157	146	7	8.9	14.0	27.4	20.4	14.0	4.5	5.7	5.1	0.0	157	146	9	0.0	1.3	10.1	42.4	37.3	8.2	0.6	158	3.2	0.8
Elementary	3.3	8.2	16.7	26.1	22.0	14.2	6.8	2.6	0.2	4,352	149	8	3.6	12.0	23.0	28.1	20.1	8.9	3.3	1.0	0.1	4,352	147	7	0.1	0.4	5.9	28.4	45.1	18.1	2.0	4,287	3.6	0.8
Evaluation & Research	1.1	4.4	13.4	26.2	25.5	19.5	7.5	2.0	0.2	7,678	151	7	1.8	9.3	22.1	29.9	20.6	10.1	3.8	1.9	0.4	7,680	148	7	0.0	0.1	2.1	21.9	51.5	21.9	2.4	7,671	3.8	0.8
Higher	1.2	4.3	11.0	20.1	24.8	23.1	11.2	4.0	0.4	4,338	152	7	2.0	8.3	16.9	25.3	24.7	13.3	6.4	2.9	0.4	4,338	149	8	0.0	0.1	1.7	18.2	44.3	31.0	4.7	4,336	4.0	0.8
Secondary	1.3	2.5	7.5	17.0	24.3	24.1	18.0	4.7	0.7	1,117	154	7	2.3	5.7	11.7	22.6	22.3	17.8	11.8	5.2	0.4	1,116	151	8	0.0	0.1	2.3	18.8	45.3	29.0	4.5	1,117	3.9	0.8
Special	4.8	10.8	18.3	27.5	19.4	12.9	4.9	1.4	0.1	4,118	148	8	6.3	15.9	27.4	26.3	15.5	6.1	1.9	0.6	0.0	4,117	145	7	0.0	0.6	7.9	34.1	42.5	13.6	1.2	4,092	3.4	0.9
Student Counseling & Personnel Srvcs	2.1	7.1	16.1	29.5	23.7	14.8	5.4	1.4	0.1	4,238	149	7	4.0	13.8	26.6	29.9	16.8	6.4	2.0	0.4	0.0	4,238	146	7	0.0	0.2	3.6	28.7	49.5	16.3	1.7	4,233	3.6	0.8
Education, Other	2.2	5.4	10.5	17.5	21.1	21.8	14.7	5.8	1.0	8,039	152	8	2.6	7.5	14.8	22.1	21.3	15.2	9.5	6.1	0.9	8,037	151	9	0.0	0.2	3.6	22.6	42.9	26.4	4.3	8,036	3.8	0.9
BUSINESS	2.9	6.3	12.2	19.7	23.5	20.0	11.2	3.9	0.4	75,898	151	8	0.7	3.2	8.0	15.1	18.7	18.1	15.9	15.9	4.4	76,028	156	9	0.1	0.3	3.5	31.9	43.3	18.2	2.7	75,393	3.6	0.8
Accounting	5.1	8.6	15.8	22.1	23.7	16.0	6.8	1.6	0.3	3,071	149	8	0.7	3.8	8.6	17.8	18.9	16.1	13.8	16.0	4.1	3,078	155	9	0.1	0.6	5.9	42.6	39.1	11.0	0.7	3,048	3.4	0.8
Banking & Finance	3.7	6.7	12.1	17.9	25.7	20.9	10.5	2.3	0.2	13,621	151	8	0.2	1.0	2.7	6.6	11.5	13.9	18.8	32.5	12.7	13,667	161	8	0.1	0.3	3.9	41.1	43.5	9.9	1.1	13,534	3.4	0.7
Business Admin & Management	2.0	4.5	10.0	18.6	22.0	22.1	14.0	6.1	0.7	30,400	153	8	0.9	3.9	10.3	19.5	22.7	20.3	13.5	7.7	1.2	30,443	153	8	0.1	0.2	3.0	22.9	43.4	25.9	4.5	30,179	3.8	0.9
Business, Other	3.2	7.8	14.1	21.3	23.9	17.7	8.9	2.7	0.3	28,806	150	8	0.8	3.4	8.1	14.2	17.9	18.0	17.2	16.5	3.8	28,840	156	9	0.1	0.3	3.6	36.0	43.4	14.8	1.9	28,632	3.5	0.8
LAW	1.3	3.1	7.2	13.9	17.8	20.2	21.3	13.3	1.9	2,034	155	9	1.8	4.7	10.4	19.2	19.7	19.8	12.8	9.8	1.9	2,035	153	9	0.0	0.4	1.7	17.9	36.4	33.0	10.6	2,031	4.1	0.9

Note: This table does not include summary information on the approximately 20 test takers whose response to the department code question was invalid (misgrids, blanks, ets.) or the approximately 57,000 test takers whose response was "Undecided".

Table 4A: GRE ® General Test Percentage Distribution of Scores Within Intended Broad Graduate Major Field Based on Seniors and Nonenrolled College Graduates Who Tested Between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020

(VR = Verbal Reasoning, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, AW = Analytical Writing, N = Number of Test Takers, M = Mean, and SD = Standard Deviation.)

Intended Graduate Major	VR 130- 134		VR 140- 144	VR 145- 149	VR 150- 154	VR 155- 159	VR 160- 164	VR 165- 169	VR 170				QR 130- 134		QR 140- 144	QR 145- 149	QR 150- 154	QR 155- 159	QR 160- 164	QR 165- 169	QR 170	QR N	_	QR SD	\mathbf{AW}	0.5		AW 2.5 & 3				AW N	AW M	AW SD
OTHER FIELDS										156,651												156,793										156,584		
Architecture & Environmental Design	3.1	6.8	13.0	20.1	23.1	19.2	10.2	4.1	0.5	14,575	151	8	0.5	2.8	8.2	16.8	21.6	19.5	15.7	12.5	2.3	14,606	155	8	0.0	0.3	4.3	35.2	42.2	16.3	1.7	14,557	3.6	0.8
Communications & Journalism	2.8	5.8	13.1	21.5	23.6	19.6	10.0	3.1	0.4	10,721	151	8	2.7	8.6	16.8	22.8	17.8	12.5	9.7	7.7	1.3	10,711	150	9	0.0	0.2	2.8	27.6	45.8	20.6	3.0	10,700	3.7	0.8
Family & Consumer Sciences	1.6	7.0	15.2	27.3	24.3	16.8	6.3	1.4	0.1	1,394	149	7	2.5	9.7	18.6	30.0	21.4	10.5	4.6	2.1	0.5	1,395	148	7	0.0	0.1	2.4	24.6	51.1	19.4	2.4	1,396	3.7	0.8
Library & Archival Sciences	0.7	0.8	3.4	10.5	18.8	27.1	23.1	13.3	2.4	1,607	157	7	1.7	7.0	16.0	28.4	25.1	13.4	6.0	2.3	0.1	1,607	149	7	0.0	0.1	1.4	14.7	47.7	31.8	4.4	1,607	4.0	0.7
Public Administration	1.6	3.7	8.6	16.2	23.1	24.3	15.7	5.8	0.9	5,094	153	8	1.9	7.9	15.7	23.9	22.9	13.6	7.8	5.4	0.9	5,094	150	8	0.0	0.2	2.2	20.8	45.8	26.6	4.4	5,086	3.9	0.8
Religion & Theology	0.7	1.8	3.9	9.3	14.5	26.6	24.7	15.0	3.6	1,672	158	8	2.2	5.9	12.1	21.4	25.9	18.7	9.4	3.8	0.6	1,667	151	8	0.0	0.2	1.2	11.0	39.0	38.5	10.2	1,671	4.2	0.8
Social Work	4.7	9.2	16.2	23.4	20.5	16.0	7.5	2.4	0.2	6,705	149	8	7.7	18.4	24.6	24.5	15.1	6.4	2.4	0.8	0.0	6,669	145	7	0.0	0.3	6.0	29.9	43.7	18.4	1.7	6,994	3.6	0.8
Other Fields, Other*										114,883												115,044										114,573		

Note: This table does not include summary information on the approximately 20 test takers whose response to the department code question was invalid (misgrids, blanks, ets.) or the approximately 57,000 test takers whose response was "Undecided". *Performance information is not reported for "Other Fields, Other" as this group represents a number of diverse majors.

Reliability and Standard Error of Measurement

Tables 5A, 5B, 5C and 5D provide reliability estimates for GRE General Test, GRE Subject Tests, GRE Physics Test subscores, and GRE Psychology Test subscores, respectively. Reliability indicates the degree to which individual test takers would keep the same relative standing if the test were administered more than once to each test taker. The reliability index ranges from zero to one; a reliability index of one indicates that there is no measurement error in the test and therefore the test is perfectly reliable.

The Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures of GRE General Test are intended to have reliabilities of at least .90. The reliability of the Analytical Writing measure is similar to the reliability for other writing measures where the reported score is based on a test taker's performance on two tasks. Reliability is influenced by the consistency of the ratings assigned to each essay. Overall, the two ratings used in each essay score are in agreement about 93 percent of the time; they differ by one score point about 6 percent of the time; and they differ by two or more score points about one percent of the time.

The Subject Tests are intended to have reliabilities of at least .90 for the total test scores. For each of the Subject Tests, the reliability coefficient of the total scores is at least .90, and the reliability coefficient of the subscores is at least .80.

Tables 5A, 5B, 5C and 5D also provide data on the standard errors of measurement (SEM) and SEM of score differences. SEM is an index of the variation in scores to be expected due to errors in measurement. For a group of test takers, it is an estimate of the average difference between observed scores and "true" scores (i.e., what test takers' scores on a test would hypothetically be if there was no measurement error). Approximately 95 percent of test takers will have obtained scores that are within a range extending from two standard errors below to two standard errors above their true scores.

The SEM of score differences is an index used to determine whether the difference between two scores is meaningful. Small differences in scores may be due to measurement error and not to real differences in the abilities of the test takers. This index incorporates the error of measurement in each score being compared. To use the SEM of score differences, multiply the value by 2. Score differences exceeding this value are likely to reflect real differences in ability at approximately a 95 percent confidence level.

Table 5A: Reliability Estimates and Standard Errors of Measurement (SEM)^a for Individual Scores and Score Differences for the *GRE*[®] General Test

Score	Reliability Estimate	SEM of Individual Scores	SEM of Score Differences
Verbal Reasoning	0.93	2.4	3.4
Quantitative Reasoning	0.95	2.2	3.1
Analytical Writing	0.87	0.30	0.43

^aThe reliability estimates and SEMs for the Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures of the General Test are based on item response theory (IRT). The reported values are an average of all the estimates obtained for all the multi-stage tests delivered between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. The reliability estimates and SEMs for the Analytical Writing measure are computed based on split-half analyses using the performance of all individuals who tested between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020.

Table 5B: Reliability Estimates and Standard Errors of Measurement (SEM)^a for Individual Scores and Score Differences for *GRE*[®] Subject Tests

Score	Reliability Estimate	SEM of Individual Scores	SEM of Score Differences	Sample Size
Chemistry Test	0.94	25	35	756
Mathematics Test	0.89	47	66	1,991
Physics Test	0.93	41	57	2,781
Psychology Test	0.95	25	35	1,641

^aThe reliability for all the Subject Tests scores are estimated using the Kuder-Richardson formula (KR-20). The reported reliability, SEM, and sample size values are based on a test edition that is representative of recent test editions.

Table 5C: Reliability Estimates and Standard Errors of Measurement (SEM)^a for Individual Scores and Score Differences for *GRE*[®] Physics Test Subscores

Subscore	Reliability Estimate	SEM of Individual Scores	SEM of Score Differences	Sample Size
Classical Mechanics	0.89	5.3	7.5	2,781
Electromagnetism	0.89	5.2	7.3	2,781
Quantum Mechanics and Atomic Physics	0.88	5.5	7.8	2,781

^aThe reliability of the Physics subscores are estimated as the proportional reduction in mean square error (a subscore reliability statistic that provides estimates comparable to Cronbach's alpha). The reported reliability, SEM, and sample size values are based on a test edition that is representative of recent test editions.

Table 5D: Reliability Estimates and Standard Errors of Measurement (SEM)^a for Individual Scores and Score Differences for *GRE*[®] Psychology Test Subscores

Subscore	Reliability Estimate	SEM of Individual Scores	SEM of Score Differences	Sample Size
Biological	0.90	3.6	5.0	1,641
Cognitive	0.93	3.0	4.2	1,641
Social	0.92	3.2	4.5	1,641
Developmental	0.91	3.4	4.8	1,641
Clinical	0.90	3.5	4.9	1,641
Measurement/Methodology/Other	0.88	3.9	5.6	1,641

^aThe reliability of the Psychology subscores are estimated as the proportional reduction in mean square error (a subscore reliability statistic that provides estimates comparable to Cronbach's alpha). The reported reliability, SEM, and sample size values are based on a test edition that is representative of recent test editions.

Conditional Standard Errors of Measurement for the GRE Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning Measures

Tables 5E and 5F contain estimates of the conditional standard errors of measurement (CSEM) at selected reported scores for the GRE Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures. While the SEMs presented in Table 5A address the average measurement precision of the test, the measurement precision actually varies across the score scale. The CSEM reflects this variation by indicating the amount of error in a reported score at a given point on the scale. Like the SEM, the CSEM can be used to compute a confidence band around a test taker's score. Such a band would help to determine the score range in which the test taker's "true" score probably lies. Unlike the SEM, the CSEM takes the variation in measurement precision across the score scale into account.

The CSEM of individual scores incorporates the measurement error in each score. The CSEM of score differences should be used when comparing the scores of two individuals because small differences in scores may not represent real differences in the abilities of the two individuals. To use the CSEM of score differences, take the larger of the two values and multiply by 2. Score differences exceeding this value are likely to reflect real differences in ability at approximately a 95 percent confidence level.

Table 5E: Conditional Standard Errors of Measurement (CSEM) of Individual Scores at Selected Scores for the *GRE*® Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning Measures^a

Measure	130	135	140	145	150	155	160	165	170
Verbal Reasoning	3.5	3.2	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.4
Quantitative Reasoning	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.0

^aThe CSEM of individual scores and CSEM of score differences for the Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures of the GRE General Test are based on item response theory (IRT). The reported values are an average of all the estimates obtained for all the multi-stage tests delivered between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. The CSEM of individual scores and CSEM of score differences are not available for the Analytical Writing measure.

Table 5F: Conditional Standard Errors of Measurement (CSEM) of Score Differences at Selected Scores for the *GRE*® Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning Measures^a

Measure	130	135	140	145	150	155	160	165	170
Verbal Reasoning	4.9	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.0
Quantitative Reasoning	4.9	4.2	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	1.3

^aThe CSEM of individual scores and CSEM of score differences for the Verbal Reasoning and Quantitative Reasoning measures of the GRE General Test are based on item response theory (IRT). The reported values are an average of all the estimates obtained for all the multi-stage tests delivered between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2020. The CSEM of individual scores and CSEM of score differences are not available for the Analytical Writing measure.

Appendix A

GRE® Analytical Writing Section Score Level Descriptions

Although the GRE Analytical Writing measure contains two discrete analytical writing tasks, a single combined score is reported because it is more reliable than is a score for either task alone. The reported score ranges from 0 to 6, in half-point increments.

The statements below describe, for each score level, the overall quality of analytical writing demonstrated across both the Issue and Argument tasks. The test assesses "analytical writing," so critical thinking skills (the ability to reason, assemble evidence to develop a position and communicate complex ideas) are assessed along with the writer's control of grammar and the mechanics of writing.

Scores 6 and 5.5

Sustains insightful, in-depth analysis of complex ideas; develops and supports main points with logically compelling reasons and/or highly persuasive examples; is well focused and well organized; skillfully uses sentence variety and precise vocabulary to convey meaning effectively; demonstrates superior facility with sentence structure and usage but may have minor errors that do not interfere with meaning.

Scores 5 and 4.5

Provides generally thoughtful analysis of complex ideas; develops and supports main points with logically sound reasons and/or well-chosen examples; is generally focused and well organized; uses sentence variety and vocabulary to convey meaning clearly; demonstrates good control of sentence structure and usage but may have minor errors that do not interfere with meaning.

Scores 4 and 3.5

Provides competent analysis of ideas in addressing specific task directions; develops and supports main points with relevant reasons and/or examples; is adequately organized; conveys meaning with acceptable clarity; demonstrates satisfactory control of sentence structure and usage but may have some errors that affect clarity.

Scores 3 and 2.5

Displays some competence in analytical writing and addressing specific task directions, although the writing is flawed in at least one of the following ways: limited analysis or development; weak organization; weak control of sentence structure or usage, with errors that often result in vagueness or a lack of clarity.

Scores 2 and 1.5

Displays serious weaknesses in analytical writing. The writing is seriously flawed in at least one of the following ways: serious lack of analysis or development; unclear in addressing specific task directions; lack of organization; frequent problems in sentence structure or usage, with errors that obscure meaning.

Scores 1 and 0.5

Displays fundamental deficiencies in analytical writing. The writing is fundamentally flawed in at least one of the following ways: content that is extremely confusing or mostly irrelevant to the assigned tasks; little or no development; severe and pervasive errors that result in incoherence.

Score Level 0

The examinee's analytical writing skills cannot be evaluated because the responses do not address any part of the assigned tasks, are merely attempts to copy the assignments, are in a foreign language or display only indecipherable text.

Score NS

The test taker produced no text whatsoever.

Fulfill Your Program's Potential



Find, attract and recruit a diverse pool of prospective applicants with the *GRE*® Search Service.

Enhance your recruitment campaigns by searching a robust database of 500,000+ individuals who have demonstrated graduate-level readiness through their GRE test performance.

ONLY WITH THE:



No annual fee

Pay only for the names you need.

A cost-effective way to boost your outreach plan.

The **GRE®** Search Service helps you filter and find prospects who are actively looking for programs like yours.

- Recruit a diverse class tailored to enrollment goals and program needs using 30+ different criteria — there are thousands of possible combinations!
- Geotarget using the Google Maps™ mapping service to pinpoint searches and find students in specific geographic areas for more precise, location-based recruitment.
- Identify potential students who are academically prepared by using GRE score bands in combination with undergraduate grade-point average (UGPA).
- Deploy discipline-specific campaigns based on undergraduate majors or intended graduate studies.
- Optimally time your campaigns based on planned date of enrollment or GRE test date.

Contact us for a FREE demo: gresearch@ets.org



www.ets.org