## Yadolah Dodge

# The Concise <br> Encyclopedia of Statistics 

4 Springer

The Concise Encyclopedia of Statistics

Yadolah Dodge

## The Concise Encyclopedia of Statistics

With 247 Tables

Author<br>Yadolah Dodge<br>Honorary Professor<br>University of Neuchâtel<br>Switzerland<br>yadolah.dodge@uninc.ch

A C.i.P. Catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress Control
ISBN: 978-0-387-32833-1
This publication is available also as:
Print publication under ISBN 978-0-387-31742-7and
Print and electronic bundle under ISBN 978-0-387-33828-6

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is only permitted under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media
springer.com
© 2008 Springer Science + Business Media, LLC.
The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use

To the memory of my beloved wife K , my caring mother, my hard working father and
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## Preface

With this concise volume we hope to satisfy the needs of a large scientific community previously served mainly by huge encyclopedic references. Rather than aiming at a comprehensive coverage of our subject, we have concentrated on the most important topics, but explained those as deeply as space has allowed. The result is a compact work which we trust leaves no central topics out.
Entries have a rigid structure to facilitate the finding of information. Each term introduced here includes a definition, history, mathematical details, limitations in using the terms followed by examples, references and relevant literature for further reading. The reference is arranged alphabetically to provide quick access to the fundamental tools of statistical methodology and biographies of famous statisticians, including some currents ones who continue to contribute to the science of statistics, such as Sir David Cox, Bradley Efron and T.W. Anderson just to mention a few. The critera for selecting these statisticians, whether living or absent, is of course rather personal and it is very possible that some of those famous persons deserving of an entry are absent. I apologize sincerely for any such unintentional omissions.
In addition, an attempt has been made to present the essential information about statistical tests, concepts, and analytical methods in language that is accessible to practitioners and students and the vast community using statistics in medicine, engineering, physical science, life science, social science, and business/economics.
The primary steps of writing this book were taken in 1983. In 1993 the first French language version was published by Dunod publishing company in Paris. Later, in 2004, the updated and longer version in French was published by Springer France and in 2007 a student edition of the French edition was published at Springer.
In this encyclopedia, just as with the Oxford Dictionary of Statistical Terms, published for the International Statistical Institute in 2003, for each term one or more references are given, in some cases to an early source, and in others to a more recent publication. While some care has been taken in the choice of references, the establishment of historical priorities is notoriously difficult and the historical assignments are not to be regarded as authoritative. For more information on terms not found in this encyclopedia short articles can be found in the following encyclopedias and dictionaries:

International Encyclopedia of Statistics, eds. William Kruskal and Judith M. Tanur (The Free Press, 1978).
Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, eds. Samuel Kotz, Norman L. Johnson and Cambell Reed (John Wiley and Sons, 1982).
The Encyclopedia of Biostatistics, eds. Peter Armitage and Ted Colton (Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1998).
The Encyclopedia of Environmetrics, eds. A.H. El-Sharaawi and W.W. Paregoric (John Wiley and Sons, 2001).
The Encyclopedia of Statistics in Quality and Reliability, eds. F. Ruggeri, R.S. Kenett and F.W. Faltin (John Wiley and Sons, 2008).

Dictionnaire- Encylopédique en Statistique, Yadolah Dodge, Springer 2004
In between the publication of the first version of the current book in French in 1993 and the later edition in 2004 to the current one, the manuscript has undergone many corrections. Special care has been made in choosing suitable translations for terms in order to achieve sound meaning in both the English and French languages. If in some cases this has not happen, I apologize. I would be very grateful to readers for any comments regarding inaccuracies, corrections, and suggestions for the inclusion of new terms, or any matter that could improve the next edition. Please send your comments to Springer-Verlag.
I wish to thank many people who helped me throughout these many years to bring this manuscript to its current form. Starting with my former assistants from 1983 to 2004, Nicole Rebetez, Sylvie Gonano-Weber, Maria Zegami, Jurg Schmid, Severine Pfaff, Jimmy Brignony Elisabeth Pasteur, Valentine Rousson, Alexandra Fragnieire, and Theiry Murrier. To my colleagues Joe Whittaker of University of Lancaster, Ludevic Lebart of France Telecom, and Bernard Fisher, University of Marseille, for reading parts of the manuscript. Special thanks go to Gonna Serbinenko and Thanos Kondylis for their remarkable cooperation in translating some of terms from the French version to English. Working with Thanos, my former Ph.D. student, was a wonderful experience. To my colleague Shahriar Huda whose helpful comments, criticisms, and corrections contributed greatly to this book. Finally, I thank the Springer-Verlag, especially John Kimmel, Andrew Spencer, and Oona Schmid for their meticulous care in the production of this encyclopedia.

Yadolah Dodge
Honorary Professor
University of Neuchâtel
Switzerland
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## Acceptance Region

The acceptance region is the interval within the sampling distribution of the test statistic that is consistent with the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ from hypothesis testing.
It is the complementary region to the rejection region.
The acceptance region is associated with a probability $1-\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the significance level of the test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See rejection region.

## EXAMPLES

See rejection region.

## FURTHER READING

- Critical value
- Hypothesis testing
- Rejection region
- Significance level


## Accuracy

The general meaning of accuracy is the proximity of a value or a statistic to a reference value. More specifically, it measures the proximity of the estimator $T$ of the unknown parameter $\theta$ to the true value of $\theta$.

The accuracy of an estimator can be measured by the expected value of the squared deviation between $T$ and $\theta$, in other words:

$$
E\left[(T-\theta)^{2}\right] .
$$

Accuracy should not be confused with the term precision, which indicates the degree of exactness of a measure and is usually indicated by the number of decimals after the comma.

FURTHER READING

- Bias
- Estimator
- Parameter
- Statistics


## Algorithm

An algorithm is a process that consists of a sequence of well-defined steps that lead to the solution of a particular type of problem. This process can be iterative, meaning that it is repeated several times. It is generally a numerical process.

## HISTORY

The term algorithm comes from the Latin pronunciation of the name of the ninth century mathematician al-Khwarizmi, who lived in Baghdad and was the father of algebra.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The word algorithm has taken on a different meaning in recent years due to the advent of computers. In the field of computing, it refers to a process that is described in a way that can be used in a computer program.
The principal goal of statistical software is to develop a programming language capable of incorporating statistical algorithms, so that these algorithms can then be presented in a form that is comprehensible to the user. The advantage of this approach is that the user understands the results produced by the algorithm and trusts the precision of the solutions. Among various statistical reviews that discuss algorithms, the Journal of Algorithms from the Academic Press (New York), the part of the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C (Applied Statistics) that focuses on algorithms, Computational Statistics from Physica-Verlag (Heidelberg) and Random Structures and Algorithms edited by Wiley (New York) are all worthy of special mention.

## EXAMPLES

We present here an algorithm that calculates the absolute value of a nonzero number; in other words $|x|$.
Process:
Step 1. Identify the algebraic sign of the given number.

Step 2. If the sign is negative, go to step 3. If the sign is positive, specify the absolute value of the number as the number itself:

$$
|x|=x
$$

Step 3. Specify the absolute value of the given number as its opposite number:

$$
|x|=-x
$$

and stop the process.

## FURTHER READING

- Statistical software
- Yates' algorithm


## REFERENCES
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## Alternative Hypothesis

An alternative hypothesis is the hypothesis which differs from the hypothesis being tested.
The alternative hypothesis is usually denoted by $H_{1}$.

## HISTORY

See hypothesis and hypothesis testing.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

During the hypothesis testing of a parameter of a population, the null hypothesis is presented in the following way:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \theta=\theta_{0},
$$

where $\theta$ is the parameter of the population that is to be estimated, and $\theta_{0}$ is the presumed value of this parameter. The alternative hypothesis can then take three different forms:

1. $H_{1}: \theta>\theta_{0}$
2. $H_{1}: \theta<\theta_{0}$
3. $H_{1}: \theta \neq \theta_{0}$

In the first two cases, the hypothesis test is called the one-sided, whereas in the third case it is called the two-sided.
The alternative hypothesis can also take three different forms during the hypothesis testing of parameters of two populations. If the null hypothesis treats the two parameters $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ equally, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \theta_{1}=\theta_{2} \text { or } \\
& H_{0}: \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The alternative hypothesis could then be

- $H_{1}: \theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$ or $H_{1}: \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}>0$
- $H_{1}: \theta_{1}<\theta_{2}$ or $H_{1}: \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}<0$
- $H_{1}: \theta_{1} \neq \theta_{2}$ or $H_{1}: \theta_{1}-\theta_{2} \neq 0$

During the comparison of more than two populations, the null hypothesis supposes that the values of all of the parameters are identical. If we want to compare $k$ populations, the null hypothesis is the following:

$$
H_{0}: \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\ldots=\theta_{k}
$$

The alternative hypothesis will then be formulated as follows:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : the values of $\theta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ are not all identical.

This means that only one parameter needs to have a different value to those of the other parameters in order to reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis.

## EXAMPLES

We are going to examine the alternative hypotheses for three examples of hypothesis

## testing:

1. Hypothesis testing on the percentage of a population
An election candidate wants to know if he will receive more than $50 \%$ of the votes. The null hypothesis for this problem can be written as follows:

$$
H_{0}: \pi=0.5
$$

where $\pi$ is the percentage of the population to be estimated.
We carry out a one-sided test on the righthand side that allows us to answer the candidate's question. The alternative hypothesis will therefore be:

$$
H_{1}: \pi>0.5
$$

2. Hypothesis testing on the mean of a population
A bolt maker wants to test the precision of a new machine that should make bolts 8 mm in diameter.
We can use the following null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \mu=8
$$

where $\mu$ is the mean of the population that is to be estimated.
We carry out a two-sided test to check whether the bolt diameter is too small or too big.
The alternative hypothesis can be formulated in the following way:

$$
H_{1}: \mu \neq 8
$$

3. Hypothesis testing on a comparison of the means of two populations
An insurance company decided to equip its offices with microcomputers. It wants
to buy these computers from two different companies so long as there is no significant difference in durability between the two brands. It therefore tests the time that passes before the first breakdown on a sample of microcomputers from each brand.

According to the null hypothesis, the mean of the elapsed time before the first breakdown is the same for each brand:

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=0
$$

Here $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are the respective means of the two populations.
Since we do not know which mean will be the highest, we carry out a two-sided test. Therefore the alternative hypothesis will be:

$$
H_{1}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2} \neq 0
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Hypothesis
- Hypothesis testing
- Null hypothesis


## REFERENCE

Lehmann, E.I., Romann, S.P.: Testing Statistical Hypothesis, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (2005)

## Analysis of Binary Data

The study of how the probability of success depends on expanatory variables and grouping of materials.
The analysis of binary data also involves goodness-of-fit tests of a sample of binary variables to a theoretical distribution, as well as the study of $2 \times 2$ contingency tables
and their subsequent analysis. In the latter case we note especially independence tests between attributes, and homogeneity tests.

## HISTORY

See data analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y$ be a binary random variable and $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be supplementary binary variables. So the dependence of $Y$ on the vari$\operatorname{ables} X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ is represented by the following models (the coefficients of which are estimated via the maximum likelihood):

1. Linear model: $P(Y=1)$ is expressed as a linear function (in the parameters) of $X_{i}$.
2. Log-linear model: $\log P(Y=1)$ is expressed as a linear function (in the parameters) of $X_{i}$.
3. Logistic model: $\log \left(\frac{P(Y=1)}{P(Y=0)}\right) \quad$ is expressed as a linear function (in the parameters) of $X_{i}$.
Models 1 and 2 are easier to interpret. Yet the last one has the advantage that the quantity to be explained takes all possible values of the linear models. It is also important to pay attention to the extrapolation of the model outside of the domain in which it is applied. It is possible that among the independent variables $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$, there are categorical variables (eg. binary ones). In this case, it is necessary to treat the nonbinary categorical variables in the following way: let $Z$ be a random variable with $m$ categories. We enumerate the categories from 1 to $m$ and we define $m-1$ random variables $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{m-1}$. So $Z_{i}$ takes the value 1 if $Z$ belongs to the category represented by this index. The variable $Z$ is therefore replaced by these $m-1$ variables, the coefficients of which express the influence of
the considered category. The reference (used in order to avoid the situation of collinearity) will have (for the purposes of comparison with other categories) a parameter of zero.

## FURTHER READING

- Binary data
- Data analysis


## REFERENCES

Cox, D.R., Snell, E.J.: The Analysis of Bina-
ry Data. Chapman \& Hall (1989)

## Analysis of Categorical Data

The analysis of categorical data involves the following methods:
(a) A study of the goodness-of-fit test;
(b) The study of a contingency table and its subsequent analysis, which consists of discovering and studying relationships between the attributes (if they exist);
(c) An homogeneity test of some populations, related to the distribution of a binary qualitative categorical variable;
(d) An examination of the independence hypothesis.

## HISTORY

The term "contingency", used in the relation to cross tables of categorical data was probably first used by Pearson, Karl(1904). The chi-square test, was proposed by Barlett, M.S. in 1937.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See goodness-of-fit and contingency table.

## FURTHER READING

- Data
- Data analysis
- Categorical data
- Chi-square goodness of fit test
- Contingency table
- Correspondence analysis
- Goodness of fit test
- Homogeneity test
- Test of independence


## REFERENCES

Agresti, A.: Categorical Data Analysis. Wiley, New York (1990)
Bartlett, M.S.: Properties of sufficiency and statistical tests. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 160, 268-282 (1937)
Cox, D.R., Snell, E.J.: Analysis of Binary Data, 2nd edn. Chapman \& Hall, London (1990)

Haberman, S.J.: Analysis of Qualitative Data. Vol. I: Introductory Topics. Academic, New York (1978)
Pearson, K.: On the theory of contingency and its relation to association and normal correlation. Drapers' Company Research Memoirs, Biometric Ser. I., pp. 1-35 (1904)

## Analysis of Residuals

An analysis of residuals is used to test the validity of the statistical model and to control the assumptions made on the error term. It may be used also for outlier detection.

## HISTORY

The analysis of residuals dates back to Euler (1749) and Mayer (1750) in the middle of
the eighteenth century, who were confronted with the problem of the estimation of parameters from observations in the field of astronomy. Most of the methods used to analyze residuals are based on the works of Anscombe (1961) and Anscombe and Tukey (1963). In 1973, Anscombe also presented an interesting discussion on the reasons for using graphical methods of analysis. Cook and Weisberg (1982) dedicated a complete book to the analysis of residuals. Draper and Smith (1981) also addressed this problem in a chapter of their work Applied Regression Analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a general model of multiple linear regression:
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} X_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$,
where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the nonobservable random error term.
The hypotheses for the errors $\varepsilon_{i}$ are generally as follows:

- The errors are independent;
- They are normally distributed (they follow a normal distribution);
- Their mean is equal to zero;
- Their variance is constant and equal to $\sigma^{2}$.
Regression analysis gives an estimation for $Y_{i}$, denoted $\hat{Y}_{i}$. If the chosen model is adequate, the distribution of the residuals or "observed errors" $e_{i}=Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}$ should confirm these hypotheses.
Methods used to analyze residuals are mainly graphical. Such methods include:

1. Representing the residuals by a frequency chart (for example a scatter plot).
2. Plotting the residuals as a function of time (if the chronological order is known).
3. Plotting the residuals as a function of the estimated values $\hat{Y}_{i}$.
4. Plotting the residuals as a function of the independent variables $X_{i j}$.
5. Creating a $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{Q}$ plot of the residuals.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To validate the analysis, some of the hypotheses need to hold (like for example the normality of the residuals in estimations based on the mean square).
Consider a plot of the residuals as a function of the estimated values $\hat{Y}_{i}$. This is one of the most commonly used graphical approaches to verifying the validity of a model. It consists of placing:

- The residuals $e_{i}=Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}$ in increasing order;
- The estimated values $\hat{Y}_{i}$ on the abscissa. If the chosen model is adequate, the residuals are uniformly distributed on a horizontal band of points.


However, if the hypotheses for the residuals are not verified, the shape of the plot can be different to this. The three figures below show the shapes obtained when:

1. The variance $\sigma^{2}$ is not constant. In this case, it is necessary to perform a transformation on the data $Y_{i}$ before tackling the regression analysis.

2. The chosen model is inadequate (for example, the model is linear but the constant term was omitted when it was necessary).

3. The chosen model is inadequate (a parabolic tendency is observed).


Different statistics have been proposed in order to permit numerical measurements that are complementary to the visual techniques
presented above, which include those given by Anscombe (1961) and Anscombe and Tukey (1963).

## EXAMPLES

In the nineteenth century, a Scottish physicist named Forbe, James D. wanted to estimate the altitude above sea level by measuring the boiling point of water. He knew that the altitude could be determined from the atmospheric pressure; he then studied the relation between pressure and the boiling point of water. Forbe suggested that for an interval of observed values, a plot of the logarithm of the pressure as a function of the boiling point of water should give a straight line. Since the logarithm of these pressures is small and varies little, we have multiplied these values by 100 below.

| $X$ boiling point | $Y$ 100 $\cdot$ log (pressure) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 194.5 | 131.79 |
| 194.3 | 131.79 |
| 197.9 | 135.02 |
| 198.4 | 135.55 |
| 199.4 | 136.46 |
| 199.9 | 136.83 |
| 200.9 | 137.82 |
| 201.1 | 138.00 |
| 201.4 | 138.06 |
| 201.3 | 138.05 |
| 203.6 | 140.04 |
| 204.6 | 142.44 |
| 209.5 | 145.47 |
| 208.6 | 144.34 |
| 210.7 | 146.30 |
| 211.9 | 147.54 |
| 212.2 | 147.80 |

The simple linear regression model for this problem is:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\epsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 17 .
$$

Using the least squares method, we can find the following estimation function:

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=-42.131+0.895 X_{i}
$$

where $\hat{Y}_{i}$ is the estimated value of variable $Y$ for a given $X$.
For each of these 17 values of $X_{i}$, we have an estimated value $\hat{Y}_{i}$. We can calculate the residuals:

$$
e_{i}=Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i} .
$$

These results are presented in the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{r}_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{i}$ | $Y_{i}$ | $\hat{Y}_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}=$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | $Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}$ |
| 1 | 194.5 | 131.79 | 132.037 | -0.247 |
| 2 | 194.3 | 131.79 | 131.857 | -0.067 |
| 3 | 197.9 | 135.02 | 135.081 | -0.061 |
| 4 | 198.4 | 135.55 | 135.529 | 0.021 |
| 5 | 199.4 | 136.46 | 136.424 | 0.036 |
| 6 | 199.9 | 136.83 | 136.872 | -0.042 |
| 7 | 200.9 | 137.82 | 137.768 | 0.052 |
| 8 | 201.1 | 138.00 | 137.947 | 0.053 |
| 9 | 201.4 | 138.06 | 138.215 | -0.155 |
| 10 | 201.3 | 138.05 | 138.126 | -0.076 |
| 11 | 203.6 | 140.04 | 140.185 | -0.145 |
| 12 | 204.6 | 142.44 | 141.081 | 1.359 |
| 13 | 209.5 | 145.47 | 145.469 | 0.001 |
| 14 | 208.6 | 144.34 | 144.663 | -0.323 |
| 15 | 210.7 | 146.30 | 146.543 | -0.243 |
| 16 | 211.9 | 147.54 | 147.618 | -0.078 |
| 17 | 212.2 | 147.80 | 147.886 | -0.086 |



Plotting the residuals as a function of the estimated values $\hat{Y}_{i}$ gives the previous graph.
It is apparent from this graph that, except for one observation (the 12th), where the value of the residual seems to indicate an outlier, the residuals are distributed in a very thin horizontal strip. In this case the residuals do not provide any reason to doubt the validity of the chosen model. By analyzing the standardized residuals we can determine whether the 12 th observation is an outlier or not.

## FURTHER READING

- Anderson-Darling test
- Least squares
- Multiple linear regression
- Outlier
- Regression analysis
- Residual
- Scatterplot
- Simple linear regression
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## Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance is a technique that consists of separating the total variation of data set into logical components associated with specific sources of variation in order to compare the mean of several populations. This analysis also helps us to test certain hypotheses concerning the parameters of the model, or to estimate the components of the variance. The sources of variation are globally summarized in a component called error variance, sometime called within-treatment mean square and another component that is termed "effect" or treatment, sometime called between-treatment mean square.

## HISTORY

Analysis of variance dates back to Fisher, R.A. (1925). He established the first fundamental principles in this field. Analysis of variance was first applied in the fields of biology and agriculture.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The analysis of variance compares the means of three or more random samples and determines whether there is a significant difference between the populations from which the samples are taken. This technique can only be applied if the random samples are independent, if the population distributions are approximately normal and all have the same variance $\sigma^{2}$.
Having established that the null hypothesis, assumes that the means are equal, while the alternative hypothesis affirms that at least one of them is different, we fix a significant level. We then make two estimates of the unknown variance $\sigma^{2}$ :

- The first, denoted $s_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}$, corresponds to the mean of the variances of each sample;
- The second, $s_{\mathrm{Tr}}^{2}$, is based on the variation between the means of the samples.
Ideally, if the null hypothesis is verified, these two estimations will be equal, and the $F$ ratio ( $F=s_{\mathrm{Tr}}^{2} / s_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}$, as used in the Fisher test and defined as the quotient of the second estimation of $\sigma^{2}$ to the first) will be equal to 1 . The value of the $F$ ratio, which is generally more than 1 because of the variation from the sampling, must be compared to the value in the Fisher table corresponding to the fixed significant level. The decision rule consists of either rejecting the null hypothesis if the calculated value is greater than or equal to the tabulated value, or else the means are equal, which shows that the samples come from the same population.
Consider the following model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j}= & \mu+\tau_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, t, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{i j}$ represents the observation $j$ receiving the treatment $i$,
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i s}$ the general mean common to all treatments,
$\tau_{i}$ is the actual effect of treatment $i$ on the observation,
$\varepsilon_{i j}$ is the experimental error for observation $Y_{i j}$.

In this case, the null hypothesis is expressed in the following way:

$$
H_{0}: \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\ldots=\tau_{t}
$$

which means that the $t$ treatments are identical.
The alternative hypothesis is formulated in the following way:

$$
H_{1}: \text { the values of } \tau_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, t)
$$

are not all identical.
The following formulae are used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}, & s_{\mathrm{Tr}}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\mathrm{Tr}}}{t-1}, \\
S S_{\mathrm{E}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned} \quad s_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\mathrm{E}}}{N-t}, ~ \$
$$

and

$$
S S_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
S S_{T}=S S_{\mathrm{Tr}}+S S_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{Y}_{i .}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \frac{Y_{i j}}{n_{i}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { is the mean of } \\
\text { the } i \text { th set }
\end{array} \\
\bar{Y}_{. .}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{i j} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { is the global mean } \\
\text { taken on all the } \\
\text { observations, and }
\end{array} \\
N=\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { is the total number } \\
\text { of observations. }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

and finally the value of the F ratio

$$
F=\frac{s_{\mathrm{Tr}}^{2}}{s_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}} .
$$

It is customary to summarize the information from the analysis of variance in an analysis of variance table:

| Source <br> of varia- <br> tion | Degrees <br> of <br> freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean <br> of <br> squares | $F$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

An analysis of variance is always associated with a model. Therefore, there is a different analysis of variance in each distinct case. For example, consider the case where the analysis of variance is applied to factorial experiments with one or several factors, and these factorial experiments are linked to several designs of experiment.
We can distinguish not only the number of factors in the experiment but also the type of hypotheses linked to the effects of the treatments. We then have a model with fixed effects, a model with variable effects and a model with mixed effects. Each of these requires a specific analysis, but whichever model is used, the basic assumptions of additivity, normality, homoscedasticity and independence mustbe respected. This means that:

1. The experimental errors of the model are random variables that are independent of each other;
2. All of the errors follow a normal distribution with a mean of zero and an unknown variance $\sigma^{2}$.
All designs of experiment can be analyzed using analysis of variance. The most common designs are completely randomized designs, randomized block designs and Latin square designs.
An analysis of variance can also be performed with simple or multiple linear regression.
If during an analysis of variance the null hypothesis (the case for equality of means) is rejected, a least significant difference test is used to identify the populations that have significantly different means, which is something that an analysis of variance cannot do.

## EXAMPLES

See two-way analysis of variance, oneway analysis of variance, linear multiple regression and simple linear regression.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Factor
- Fisher distribution
- Fisher table
- Fisher test
- Least significant difference test
- Multiple linear regression
- One-way analysis of variance
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
- Two-way analysis of variance
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## Anderson, Oskar

Anderson, Oskar (1887-1960) was an important member of the Continental School of Statistics; his contributions touched upon a wide range of subjects, including correlation, time series analysis, nonparametric methods and sample survey, as well as econometrics and statistical applications in social sciences.
Anderson, Oskar received a bachelor degree with distinction from the Kazan Gymnasium and then studied mathematics and physics for a year at the University of Kazan. He then entered the Faculty of Economics at the Polytechnic Institute of St. Petersburg, where he studied mathematics, statistics and economics.
The publications of Anderson, Oskar combine the traditions of the Continental School of Statistics with the concepts of the English Biometric School, particularly in two of his works: "Einführung in die mathematische Statistik" and "Probleme der statistischen Methodenlehre in den Sozialwissenschaften".
In 1949, he founded the journal Mitteilungsblatt für Mathematische Statistik with Kellerer, Hans and Münzner, Hans.

Some principal works of Anderson, Oskar:
1935 Einführung in die Mathematische Statistik. Julius Springer, Wien

1954 Probleme der statistischen Methodenlehre in den Sozialwissenschaften. Physica-Verlag, Würzberg

## Anderson, Theodore W.

Anderson, Theodore Wilbur was born on the 5th of June 1918 in Minneapolis, in the state of Minnesota in the USA. He became a Doctor of Mathematics in 1945 at the University of Princeton, and in 1946 he became a member of the Department of Mathematical Statistics at the University of Columbia, where he was named Professor in 1956. In 1967, he was named Professor of Statistics and Economics at Stanford University. He was, successively: Fellow of the Guggenheim Foundation between 1947 and 1948; Editor of the Annals of Mathematical Statistics from 1950 to 1952; President of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in 1963; and Vice-President of the American Statistical Association from 1971 to 1973. He is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, of the National Academy of Sciences, of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics and of the Royal Statistical Society. Anderson's most important contribution to statistics is surely in the domain of multivariate analysis. In 1958, he published the book entitled An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis. This book was the reference work in this domain for over forty years. It has been even translated into Russian.

Some of the principal works and articles of Theodore Wilbur Anderson:

1952 (with Darling, D.A.) Asymptotic theory of certain goodness of fit criteria based on stochastic processes. Ann. Math. Stat. 23, 193-212.
1958 An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis. Wiley, New York.
1971 The Statistical Analysis of Time Series. Wiley, New York.

1989 Linear latent variable models and covariance structures. J. Econometrics, 41, 91-119.

1992 (with Kunitoma, N.) Asymptotic distributions of regression and autoregression coefficients with Martingale difference disturbances. J. Multivariate Anal., 40, 221-243.

1993 Goodness of fit tests for spectral distributions. Ann. Stat. 21, 830-847.

## FURTHER READING

- Anderson-Darling test


## Anderson-Darling Test

The Anderson-Darling test is a goodness-offit test which allows to control the hypothesis that the distribution of a random variable observed in a sample follows a certain theoretical distribution. In particular, it allows us to test whether the empirical distribution obtained corresponds to a normal distribution.

## HISTORY

Anderson, Theodore W. and Darling D.A. initially used Anderson-Darling statistics, denoted $A^{2}$, to test the conformity of a distribution with perfectly specified parameters (1952 and 1954). Later on, in the 1960s and especially the 1970s, some other authors (mostly Stephens) adapted the test to a wider range of distributions where some of the parameters may not be known.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let us consider the random variable $X$, which follows the normal distribution with an expectation $\mu$ and a variance $\sigma^{2}$, and has a distribution function $F_{X}(x ; \theta)$, where $\theta$ is a parameter (or a set of parameters) that
determine, $F_{X}$. We furthermore assume $\theta$ to be known.
An observation of a sample of size $n$ issued from the variable $X$ gives a distribution function $F_{n}(x)$. The Anderson-Darling statistic, denoted by $A^{2}$, is then given by the weighted sum of the squared deviations $F_{X}(x ; \theta)-$ $F_{n}(x)$ :

$$
A^{2}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F_{X}(x ; \theta)-F_{n}(x)\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Starting from the fact that $A^{2}$ is a random variable that follows a certain distribution over the interval $[0 ;+\infty[$, it is possible to test, for a significance level that is fixed a priori, whether $F_{n}(x)$ is the realization of the random variable $F_{X}(X ; \theta)$; that is, whether $X$ follows the probability distribution with the distribution function $F_{X}(x ; \theta)$.

## Computation of $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathbf{2}}$ Statistic

Arrange the observations $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in the sample issued from $X$ in ascending order i.e., $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n}$. Note that $z_{i}=$ $F_{X}\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right), \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Then compute, $A^{2}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{2}=-\frac{1}{n}( & \sum_{i=1}^{n}(2 i-1)\left(\ln \left(z_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\ln \left(1-z_{n+1-i}\right)\right)\right)-n .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the situation preferred here ( $X$ follows the normal distribution with expectation $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ ), we can enumerate four cases, depending on the known parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}(F$ is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution):

1. $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are known, so $F_{X}\left(x ;\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)\right)$ is perfectly specified. Naturally we then have $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$ where $w_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}$.
2. $\sigma^{2}$ is known but $\mu$ is unknown and is estimated using $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)$, the mean of
the sample. Then, let $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$, where $w_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{\sigma}$.
3. $\mu$ is known but $\sigma^{2}$ is unknown and is estimated using $s^{\prime 2}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-u\right)^{2}\right)$. In this case, let $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$, where $w_{i}=$ $\frac{x_{(i)}-\mu}{s^{\prime}}$.
4. $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are both unknown and are estimated respectively using $\bar{x}$ and $s^{2}=$ $\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}\right)$. Then, let $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$, where $w_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s}$.
Asymptotic distributions were found for $A^{2}$ by Anderson and Darling for the first case, and by Stephens for the next two cases. For last case, Stephens determined an asymptotic distribution for the transformation: $A^{*}=$ $A^{2}\left(1.0+\frac{0.75}{n}+\frac{2.25}{n^{2}}\right)$.
Therefore, as shown below, we can construct a table that gives, depending on the case and the significance level $(10 \%, 5 \%, 2.5 \%$ or $1 \%$ below), the limiting values of $A^{2}$ (and $A^{*}$ for the case 4) beyond which the normality hypothesis is rejected:

|  | Significance level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case: | 0.1 | 0.050 | 0.025 | 0.01 |
| $1: A^{2}=$ | 1.933 | 2.492 | 3.070 | 3.857 |
| $2: A^{2}=$ | 0.894 | 1.087 | 1.285 | 1.551 |
| $3: A^{2}=$ | 1.743 | 2.308 | 2.898 | 3.702 |
| $4: A^{*}=$ | 0.631 | 0.752 | 0.873 | 1.035 |

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As the distribution of $A^{2}$ is expressed asymptotically, the test needs the sample size $n$ to be large. If this is not the case then, for the first two cases, the distribution of $A^{2}$ is not known and it is necessary to perform a transformation of the type $A^{2} \longmapsto A^{*}$, from which $A^{*}$ can be determined. When $n>20$, we can avoid such a transformation and so the data in the above table are valid.
The Anderson-Darling test has the advantage that it can be applied to a wide range
of distributions (not just a normal distribution but also exponential, logistic and gamma distributions, among others). That allows us to try out a wide range of alternative distributions if the initial test rejects the null hypothesis for the distribution of a random variable.

## EXAMPLES

The following data illustrate the application of the Anderson-Darling test for the normality hypothesis:
Consider a sample of the heights (in cm ) of 25 male students. The following table shows the observations in the sample, and also $w_{i}$ and $z_{i}$. We can also calculate $\bar{x}$ and $s$ from these data: $\bar{x}=177.36$ and $s=4.98$. Assuming that $F$ is a standard normal distribution function, we have:

| Obs: | $x_{i}$ | $w_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s}$ | $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 169 | -1.678 | 0.047 |
| 2 | 169 | -1.678 | 0.047 |
| 3 | 170 | -1.477 | 0.070 |
| 4 | 171 | -1.277 | 0.100 |
| 5 | 173 | -0.875 | 0.191 |
| 6 | 173 | -0.875 | 0.191 |
| 7 | 174 | -0.674 | 0.250 |
| 8 | 175 | -0.474 | 0.318 |
| 9 | 175 | -0.474 | 0.318 |
| 10 | 175 | -0.474 | 0.318 |
| 11 | 176 | -0.273 | 0.392 |
| 12 | 176 | -0.273 | 0.392 |
| 13 | 176 | -0.273 | 0.392 |
| 14 | 179 | 0.329 | 0.629 |
| 15 | 180 | 0.530 | 0.702 |
| 16 | 180 | 0.530 | 0.702 |
| 17 | 180 | 0.530 | 0.702 |
| 18 | 181 | 0.731 | 0.767 |
| 19 | 181 | 0.731 | 0.767 |
| 20 | 182 | 0.931 | 0.824 |
| 21 | 182 | 0.931 | 0.824 |


| Obs: | $x_{i}$ | $w_{i}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s}$ | $z_{i}=F\left(w_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 182 | 0.931 | 0.824 |
| 23 | 185 | 1.533 | 0.937 |
| 24 | 185 | 1.533 | 0.937 |
| 25 | 185 | 1.533 | 0.937 |

We then get $A^{2} \cong 0.436$, which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{*} & =A^{2} \cdot\left(1.0+\frac{0.75}{25}+\frac{0.25}{625}\right) \\
& =A^{2} \cdot(1.0336) \cong 0.451 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have case 4, and a significance level fixed at $1 \%$, the calculated value of $A^{*}$ is much less then the value shown in the table (1.035). Therefore, the normality hypothesis cannot be rejected at a significance level of $1 \%$.

## FURTHER READING

- Goodness of fit test
- Histogram
- Nonparametric statistics
- Normal distribution
- Statistics
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## Arithmetic Mean

The arithmetic mean is a measure of central tendency. It allows us to characterize the center of the frequency distribution of a quantitative variable by considering all of the observations with the same weight afforded to each (in contrast to the weighted arithmetic mean).
It is calculated by summing the observations and then dividing by the number of observations.

## HISTORY

The arithmetic mean is one of the oldest methods used to combine observations in order to give a unique approximate value. It appears to have been first used by Babylonian astronomers in the third century BC . The arithmetic mean was used by the astronomers to determine the positions of the sun, the moon and the planets. According to Plackett (1958), the concept of the arithmetic mean originated from the Greek astronomer Hipparchus.
In 1755 Thomas Simpson officially proposed the use of the arithmetic mean in a letter to the President of the Royal Society.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ quantities or $n$ observations relating to a quantitative variable $X$.
The arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is the sum of these observations divided by the number $n$ of observations:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n} .
$$

When the observations are ordered in the form of a frequency distribution, the arith-
metic mean is calculated in the following way:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \cdot f_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}}
$$

where $x_{i}$ are the different values of the variable, $f_{i}$ are the frequencies associated with these values, $k$ is the number of different values, and the sum of the frequencies equals the number of observations:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}=n
$$

To calculate the mean of a frequency distribution where values of the quantitative variable $X$ are grouped in classes, we consider that all of the observations belonging to a certain class take the central value of the class, assuming that the observations are uniformly distributed inside the classes (if this hypothesis is not correct, the arithmetic mean obtained will only be an approximation.)
Therefore, in this case we have:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \cdot f_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}},
$$

where the $x_{i}$ are the class centers, the $f_{i}$ are the frequencies associated with each class, and $k$ is the number of classes.

## Properties of the Arithmetic Mean

- The algebraic sum of deviations between every value of the set and the arithmetic mean of this set equals 0 :

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)=0 .
$$

- The sum of square deviations from every value to a given number " $a$ " is smallest when " $a$ " is the arithmetic mean:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} .
$$

## Proof:

We can write:

$$
x_{i}-a=\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)+(\bar{x}-a) .
$$

Finding the squares of both members of the equality, summarizing them and then simplifying gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} & \left(x_{i}-a\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+n \cdot(\bar{x}-a)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n \cdot(\bar{x}-a)^{2}$ is not negative, we have proved that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} .
$$

- The arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of a sample $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is normally considered to be an estimator of the mean $\mu$ of the population from which the sample was taken.
- Assuming that $x_{i}$ are independent random variables with the same distribution function for the mean $\mu$ and the variance $\sigma^{2}$, we can show that

1. $E[\bar{x}]=\mu$,
2. $\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$,
if these moments exist.
Since the mathematical expectation of $\bar{x}$ equals $\mu$, the arithmetic mean is an estimator without bias of the mean of the population.

- If the $x_{i}$ result from the random sampling without replacement of a finite population with a mean $\mu$, the identity

$$
E[\bar{x}]=\mu
$$

is still valid, but the variance of $\bar{x}$ must be adjusted by a factor that depends on the size $N$ of the population and the size $n$ of the sample:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \cdot\left[\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right],
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the variance of the population.

Relationship Between the Arithmetic Mean and Other Measures of Central Tendency

- The arithmetic mean is related to two principal measures of central tendency: the mode $M_{\mathrm{o}}$ and the median $M_{\mathrm{d}}$.
If the distribution is symmetric and unimodal:

$$
\bar{x}=M_{\mathrm{d}}=M_{\mathrm{o}} .
$$

If the distribution is unimodal, it is normally true that:
$\bar{x} \geq M_{\mathrm{d}} \geq M_{\mathrm{o}}$ if the distribution is stretched to the right,
$\bar{x} \leq M_{\mathrm{d}} \leq M_{\mathrm{o}}$ if the distribution is stretched to the left.
For a unimodal, slightly asymmetric distribution, these three measures of the central tendency often approximately satisfy the following relation:

$$
\left(\bar{x}-M_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=3 \cdot\left(\bar{x}-M_{\mathrm{d}}\right) .
$$

- In the same way, for a unimodal distribution, if we consider a set of positive numbers, the geometric mean $G$ is
always smaller than or equal to the arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$, and is always greater than or equal to the harmonic mean $H$. So we have:

$$
H \leq G \leq \bar{x}
$$

These three means are identical only if all of the numbers are equal.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The arithmetic mean is a simple measure of the central value of a set of quantitative observations. Finding the mean can sometimes lead to poor data interpretation:

If the monthly salaries (in Euros) of 5 people are $3000,3200,2900,3500$ and 6500 , the arithmetic mean of the salary is $\frac{19100}{5}=3820$. This mean gives us some idea of the sizes of the salaries sampled, since it is situated between the biggest and the smallest one. However, $80 \%$ of the salaries are smaller then the mean, so in this case it is not a particularly good representation of a typical salary.

This case shows that we need to pay attention to the form of the distribution and the reliability of the observations before we use the arithmetic mean as the measure of central tendency for a particular set of values. If an absurd observation occurs in the distribution, the arithmetic mean could provide an unrepresentative value for the central tendency. If some observations are considered to be less reliable then others, it could be useful to make them less important. This can be done by calculating a weighted arithmetic mean, or by using the median, which is not strongly influenced by any absurd observations.

## EXAMPLES

In company A, nine employees have the following monthly salaries (in Euros):

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3000 & 3200 & 2900 & 3440 & 5050 \\
4150 & 3150 & 3300 & 5200
\end{array}
$$

The arithmetic mean of these monthly salaries is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{(3000+3200+\cdots+3300+5200)}{9} \\
& =\frac{33390}{9}=3710 \text { Euros }
\end{aligned}
$$

We now examine a case where the data are presented in the form of a frequency distribution.
The following frequency table gives the number of days that 50 employees were absent on sick leave during a period of one year:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ : Days of illness | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ : Number of <br> employees |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 7 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 2 | 19 |
| 3 | 8 |
| 4 | 4 |
| Total | 50 |

Let us try to calculate the mean number of days that the employees were absent due to illness.
The total number of sick days for the 50 employees equals the sum of the product of each $x_{i}$ by its respective frequency $f_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_{i} \cdot f_{i}= & 0 \cdot 7+1 \cdot 12+2 \cdot 19+3 \cdot 8 \\
& +4 \cdot 4=90
\end{aligned}
$$

The total number of employees equals:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}=7+12+19+8+4=50
$$

LThe arithmetic mean of the number of sick days per employee is then:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_{i} \cdot f_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}}=\frac{90}{50}=1.8
$$

which means that, on average, the 50 employees took 1.8 days off for sickness per year.
In the following example, the data are grouped in classes.
We want to calculate the arithmetic mean of the daily profits from the sale of 50 types of grocery. The frequency distribution for the groceries is given in the following table:

| Classes <br> (profits | Mid- <br> points | Frequencies <br> $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ (number | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| in Euros) | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | of groceries) |  |

The arithmetic mean of the profits is:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_{i} \cdot f_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{6} f_{i}}=\frac{31950}{50}=639
$$

which means that, on average, each of the 50 groceries provide a daily profit of 639 Euros.

## FURTHER READING

- Geometric mean
- Harmonic mean
- Mean
- Measure of central tendency
- Weighted arithmetic mean
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## Arithmetic Triangle

The arithmetic triangle is used to determine binomial coefficients $(a+b)^{n}$ when calculating the number of possible combinations of $k$ objects out of a total of $n$ objects $\left(C_{n}^{k}\right)$.

## HISTORY

The notion of finding the number of combinations of $k$ objects from $n$ objects in total has been explored in India since the ninth century. Indeed, there are traces of it in the

Meru Prastara written by Pingala in around 200 BC.
Between the fourteenth and the fifteenth centuries, al-Kashi, a mathematician from the Iranian city of Kashan, wrote The Key to Arithmetic. In this work he calls binomial coefficients "exponent elements".
In his work Traité du Triangle Arithmétique, published in 1665, Pascal, Blaise (1654) defined the numbers in the "arithmetic triangle", and so this triangle is also known as Pascal's triangle.
We should also note that the triangle was made popular by Tartaglia, Niccolo Fontana in 1556, and so Italians often refer to it as Tartaglia's triangle, even though Tartaglia did not actually study the arithmetic triangle.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The arithmetic triangle has the following form:


Each element is a binomial coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}^{k} & =\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \\
& =\frac{n \cdot(n-1) \cdot \ldots \cdot(n-k+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This coefficient corresponds to the element $k$ of the line $n+1, k=0, \ldots, n$.
Any particular number is obtained by adding together its neighboring numbers in the previous line.


For example:

$$
C_{6}^{4}=C_{5}^{3}+C_{5}^{4}=10+5=15 .
$$



More generally, we have the relation:

$$
C_{n}^{k}+C_{n}^{k+1}=C_{n+1}^{k+1},
$$

because:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}^{k}+C_{n}^{k+1}= & \frac{n!}{(n-k)!\cdot k!} \\
& +\frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!\cdot(k+1)!} \\
= & \frac{n!\cdot[(k+1)+(n-k)]}{(n-k)!\cdot(k+1)!} \\
= & \frac{(n+1)!}{(n-k)!\cdot(k+1)!} \\
= & C_{n+1}^{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial
- Binomial distribution
- Combination
- Combinatory analysis
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## ARMA Models

ARMA models (sometimes called BoxJenkins models) are autoregressive moving average models used in time series analysis. The autoregressive part, denoted $A R$, consists of a finite linear combination of previous observations. The moving average part, $M A$, consists of a finite linear combination in $t$ of the previous values for a white noise (a sequence of mutually independent and identically distributed random variables).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

1. AR model (autoregressive)

In an autoregressive process of order $p$, the present observation $y_{t}$ is generated by a weighted mean of the past observations up to the $p$ th period. This takes the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A R(1): y_{t}=\theta_{1} y_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t} \\
& \operatorname{AR}(2): y_{t}=\theta_{1} y_{t-1}+\theta_{2} y_{t-2}+\varepsilon_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A R(p): y_{t}= & \theta_{1} y_{t-1}+\theta_{2} y_{t-2}+\ldots \\
& +\theta_{p} y_{t-p}+\varepsilon_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{p}$ are the positive or negative parameters to be estimated and $\varepsilon_{t}$ is the error factor, which follows a normal distribution.
2. MA model (moving average)

In a moving average process of order $q$, each observation $y_{t}$ is randomly generated by a weighted arithmetic mean until the $q$ th period:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M A(1): y_{t}= & \varepsilon_{t}-\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{t-1} \\
M A(2): y_{t}= & \varepsilon_{t}-\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{t-1}-\alpha_{2} \varepsilon_{t-2} \\
& \ldots \\
M A(p): y_{t}= & \varepsilon_{t}-\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{t-1}-\alpha_{2} \varepsilon_{t-2} \\
& -\ldots-\alpha_{q} \varepsilon_{t-q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}$ are positive or negative parameters and $\varepsilon_{t}$ is the Gaussian random error.
The MA model represents a time series fluctuating about its mean in a random manner, which gives rise to the term "moving average", because it smoothes the series, subtracting the white noise generated by the randomness of the element.
3. ARMA model (autoregressive moving average model)
ARMA models represent processes generated from a combination of past values and past errors. They are defined by the following equation:
$\operatorname{ARMA}(p, q)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t}= & \theta_{1} y_{t-1}+\theta_{2} y_{t-2}+\ldots \\
& +\theta_{p} y_{t-p}+\varepsilon_{t}-\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{t-1}-\alpha_{2} \varepsilon_{t-2} \\
& -\ldots-\alpha_{q} \varepsilon_{t-q},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\theta_{p} \neq 0, \alpha_{q} \neq 0$, and $\left(\varepsilon_{t}, t \in Z\right)$ is a weak white noise.

## FURTHER READING

- Time series
- Weighted arithmetic mean
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## Arrangement

Arrangements are a concept found in com-

## binatory analysis.

The number of arrangements is the number of ways drawing $k$ objects from $n$ objects where the order in which the objects are drawn is taken into account (in contrast to combinations).

## HISTORY

See combinatory analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

1. Arrangements without repetitions

An arrangement without repetition refers to the situation where the objects drawn are not placed back in for the next drawing. Each object can then only be drawn once during the $k$ drawings.
The number of arrangements of $k$ objects amongst $n$ without repetition is equal to:

$$
A_{n}^{k}=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}
$$

2. Arrangements with repetitions

Arrangements with repetition occur when each object pulled out is placed back in for the next drawing. Each object can then be drawn $r$ times from $k$ drawings, $r=$ $0,1, \ldots, k$.

The number of arrangements of $k$ objects amongst $n$ with repetitions is equal to $n$ to the power $k$ :

$$
A_{n}^{k}=n^{k} .
$$

## EXAMPLES

1. Arrangements without repetitions

Consider an urn containing six balls numbered from 1 to 6 . We pull out four balls from the urn in succession, and we want to know how many numbers it is possible to form from the numbers of the balls drawn. We are then interested in the number of arrangements (since we take into account the order of the balls) without repetition (since each ball can be pulled out only once) of four objects amongst six. We obtain:

$$
A_{n}^{k}=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}=\frac{6!}{(6-4)!}=360
$$

possible arrangements. Therefore, it is possible to form 360 different numbers by drawing four numbers from the numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6$ when each number can appear only once in the four-digit number formed.
As a second example, let us investigate the arrangements without repetitions of two letters from the letters A, B and C. With $n=3$ and $k=2$ we have:

$$
A_{n}^{k}=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}=\frac{3!}{(3-2)!}=6
$$

We then obtain:
$\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{CA}, \mathrm{CB}$.
2. Arrangements with repetitions Consider the same urn as described previously. We perform four successive drawings, but this time we put each ball drawn back in the urn.

We want to know how many four-digit numbers (or arrangements) are possible if four numbers are drawn.
In this case, we are investigating fthe number of arrangements with repetition (since each ball is placed back in the urn before the next drawing). We obtain

$$
A_{n}^{k}=n^{k}=6^{4}=1296
$$

different arrangements. It is possible to form 1296 four-digit numbers from the numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6$ if each number can appear more than once in the four-digit number.
As a second example we again take the three letters A, B and C and form an arrangement of two letters with repetitions. With $n=3$ and $k=2$, we have:

$$
A_{n}^{k}=n^{k}=3^{2}=9 .
$$

We then obtain:
$\mathrm{AA}, \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{BB}, \mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{CA}, \mathrm{CB}, \mathrm{CC}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Combination
- Combinatory analysis
- Permutation


## REFERENCES

See combinatory analysis.

## Attributable Risk

The attributable risk is the difference between the risk encountered by individuals exposed to a particular factor and the risk encountered by individuals who are not exposed to it. This is the opposite to avoid-
able risk. It measures the absolute effect of a cause (that is, the excess risk or cases of illness).

## HISTORY

See risk.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

By definition we have:
attributable risk $=$ risk for those exposed - risk for those not exposed.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The confidence interval of an attributable risk is equivalent to the confidence interval of the difference between the proportions $p_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $p_{\mathrm{NE}}$, where $p_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $p_{\mathrm{NE}}$ represent the risks encountered by individuals exposed and not exposed to the studied factor, respectively. Take $n_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $n_{N E}$ to be, respectively, the size of the exposed and nonexposed populations. Then, for a confidence level of ( $1-\alpha$ ), is given by:

$$
\left(p_{\mathrm{E}}-p_{\mathrm{NE}}\right) \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot\left(1-p_{\mathrm{E}}\right)}{n_{\mathrm{E}}}+\frac{p_{\mathrm{NE}} \cdot\left(1-p_{\mathrm{NE}}\right)}{n_{N E}}},
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ the value obtained from the normal table (for example, for a confidence interval of $95 \%, \alpha=0.05$ and $z_{\alpha}=1.96$ ). The confidence interval for $(1-\alpha)$ for an avoidable risk has bounds given by:

$$
\left(p_{\mathrm{NE}}-p_{\mathrm{E}}\right) \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot\left(1-p_{\mathrm{E}}\right)}{n_{\mathrm{E}}} \cdot \frac{p_{\mathrm{NE}} \cdot\left(1-p_{\mathrm{NE}}\right)}{n_{N E}}} .
$$

Here, $n_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $n_{N E}$ need to be large. If the confidence interval includes zero, we cannot rule out an absence of attributable risk.

## EXAMPLES

As an example, we consider a study of the risk of breast cancer in women due to smoking:

| Group | Incidence <br> rate <br> $(/ 100000$ <br> $/$ year $)$ | Attributable to risk <br> from smoking |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 57.0 | $57.0-57.0=0$ |
| Nonex- <br> posed | 126.2 | $126.2-57.0=69.2$ |
| Passive <br> smokers | 138.1 | $138.1-57.0=81.1$ |
| Active <br> smokers | 114.7 | $114.7-57.0=57.7$ |
| Total |  |  |

The risks attributable to passive and active smoking are respectively 69 and 81 (/100000 year). In other words, if the exposure to tobacco was removed, the incidence rate for active smokers (138/ 100000 per year) could be reduced by $81 / 100000$ per year and that for passive smokers ( $126 / 100000$ per year) by 69/100000 per year. The incidence rates in both categories of smokers would become equal to the rate for nonexposed women (57/100000 per year). Note that the incidence rate for nonexposed women is not zero, due to the influence of other factors aside from smoking.

| Group | No. <br> indiv. <br> observed <br> over two <br> years | Cases <br> attrib. to <br> smoking <br> (for <br> two-year <br> period) | Cases <br> attrib. to <br> smoking <br> (per <br> year) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonex- <br> posed <br> Passive <br> smok- <br> ers | 110860 | 70160 | 0.0 |

We can calculate the number of cases of breast cancer attributable to tobacco exposure by multiplying the number of individuals observed per year by the attributable risk. By dividing the number of incidents attributable to smoking in the two-year period by two, we obtain the number of cases attributable to smoking per year, and we can then determine the risk attributable to smoking in the population, denoted PAR, as shown in the following example. The previous table shows the details of the calculus.
We describe the calculus for the passive smokers here. In the two-year study, 110860 passive smokers were observed. The risk attributable to the passive smoking was $69.2 / 100000$ per year. This means that the number of cases attributable to smoking over the two-year period is (110860 . $69.2) / 100000=76.7$. If we want to calculate the number of cases attributable to passive smoking per year, we must then divide the last value by 2 , obtaining 38.4. Moreover, we can calculate the risk attributable to smoking per year simply by dividing the number of cases attributable to smoking for the two-year period (172.9) by the number of individuals studied during these two years (299656 persons). We then obtain the risk attributable to smoking as $57.7 / 100000$ per year. We note that we can get the same result by taking the difference between the total incidence rate (114.7/100000 per year, see the examples under the entries for incidence rate, prevalence rate) and the incidence rate of the nonexposed group (57.0/100000 per year).
The risk of breast cancer attributable to smoking in the population (PAR) is the ratio of the number of the cases of breast cancer attributable to exposure to tobacco and the number of cases of breast cancer diag-
nosed in the population (see the above table). The attributable risk in the population is $22.3 \%$ (38.4/172) for passive smoking and $28 \%(48.1 / 172)$ for active smoking. For both forms of exposure, it is $50.3 \%$ ( $22.3 \%+$ $28 \%$ ). So, half of the cases of breast cancer diagnosed each year in this population are attributable to smoking (active or passive).

| Group | Case <br> attrib. to <br> smoking <br> (for a two- <br> year period) | Case <br> attrib. to <br> smoking <br> (per year) | PAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonex- <br> posed <br> Passive <br> smok- <br> ers | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 |
| Active <br> smok- <br> ers | 48.1 | 70 | 22.3 |
| Total | 86.5 | 82 | 28.0 |

## FURTHER READING

- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
- Risk
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## Autocorrelation

Autocorrelation, denoted $\rho_{k}$, is a measure of the correlation of a particular time series with the same time series delayed by $k$ lags (the distance between the observations that are so correlated). It is obtained by dividing the covariance between two observations, separated by $k$ lags, of a time series (autocovariance) by the standard deviation of $y_{t}$ and $y_{t-k}$. If the autocorrelation is calculated for all values of $k$ we obtain the autocorrelation function. For a time series that does not change over time, the autocorrelation function decreases exponentially to 0 .

## HISTORY

The first research into autocorrelation, the partial autocorrelation and the correlogram was performed in the 1920s and 1930s by Yule, George, who developed the theory of autoregressive processes.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We define the autocorrelation of time series $Y_{t}$ by:

$$
\rho_{k}=\frac{\operatorname{cov}\left(y_{t}, y_{t-k}\right)}{\sigma_{y_{t}} \sigma_{y_{t-k}}}
$$

$$
=\frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)\left(y_{t-k}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{t=k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(y_{t-k}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

Here $\bar{y}$ is the mean of the series calculated on $T-k$ lags, where $T$ is the number of observations.
We find out that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{0} & =1 \quad \text { and } \\
\rho_{k} & =\rho_{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is possible to estimate the autocorrelation (denoted $\widehat{\rho}_{k}$ ) provided the number of observations is large enough $(T>30)$ using the following formula:

$$
\widehat{\rho}_{k}=\frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)\left(y_{t-k}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{t=1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}
$$

The partial autocorrelation function for a delay of $k$ lags is defined as the autocorrelation between $y_{t}$ and $y_{t-k}$, the influence of other variables is moved by $k$ lags $\left(y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \ldots, y_{t-k+1}\right)$.

## Hypothesis Testing

When analyzing the autocorrelation function of a time series, it can be useful to know the terms $\rho_{k}$ that are significantly different from 0 . Hypothesis testing then proceeds as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \rho_{k}=0 \\
& H_{1}: \rho_{k} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

For a large sample $(T>30)$, the coefficient $\rho_{k}$ tends asymptotically to a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$. The Student test is based on the comparison of an empirical $t$ and a theoretical $t$.

The confidence interval for the coefficient $\rho_{k}$ is given by:

$$
\rho_{k}=0 \pm t_{\alpha / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} .
$$

If the calculated coefficient $\widehat{\rho}_{k}$ does not fall within this confidence interval, it is significantly different from 0 at the level $\alpha$ (generally $\alpha=0.05$ and $t_{\alpha / 2}=1.96$ ).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The partial autocorrelation function is principally used in studies of time series and, more specifically, when we want to adjust an ARMA model. These functions are also used in spatial statistics, although in the context of spatial autocorrelation, where we investigate the correlation of a variable with itself in space. If the presence of a phenomenon in a particular spatial region affects the probability of the phenomenon being present in neighboring regions, the phenomenon displays spatial autocorrelation. In this case, positive autocorrelation occurs when the neighboring regions tend to have identical properties or similar values (examples include homogeneous regions and regular gradients). Negative autocorrelation occurs when the neighboring regions have different qualities, or alternate between strong and weak values for the phenomenon. Autocorrelation measures depend on the scaling of the variables which are used in the analysis as well as on the grid that registers the observations.

## EXAMPLES

We take as an example the national average wage in Switzerland from 1950 to 1994, measured every two years.

We calculate the autocorrelation function between the data; we would like to find a positive autocorrelation. The following figures show the presence of this autocorrelation.


We note that the correlation significance peaks between the observation at time $t$ and the observation attime $t-1$, and also between the observation at time $t$ and the observation at time $t-2$. This data configuration is typical of an autoregressive process. For two first values, we can see that this autocorrelation is significant, because the Student statistic $t$ for the $T=23$ observations gives:

$$
\rho_{k}=0 \pm 1.96 \frac{1}{\sqrt{23}}
$$

| Year | National average wage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 11999 |
| 52 | 12491 |
| 54 | 13696 |
| 56 | 15519 |
| 58 | 17128 |
| 60 | 19948 |
| 62 | 23362 |
| 64 | 26454 |
| 66 | 28231 |
| 68 | 30332 |
| 70 | 33955 |
| 72 | 40320 |
| 74 | 40839 |
| 78 | 37846 |
| 80 | 39507 |


| Year | National average wage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 42108 |
| 84 | 44095 |
| 86 | 48323 |
| 88 | 51584 |
| 90 | 55480 |
| 92 | 54348 |
| 94 | 54316 |

Source: Swiss Federal Office of Statistics

## FURTHER READING
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- Time series
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## Avoidable Risk

The avoidable risk (which, of course, is avoidable if we neutralize the effect of exposure to a particular phenomenon) is the opposite to the attributable risk. In other words, it is the difference between the risk encountered by nonexposed individuals and that encountered by individuals exposed to the phenomenon.

## HISTORY

See risk.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

By definition we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { avoidable risk }= & \text { risk if not exposed } \\
& - \text { risk if exposed } .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The avoidable risk was introduced in order to avoid the need for defining a negative attributable risk. It allows us to calculate the number of patients that will need to be treated, because:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Number of patients } \\
& \text { to be treated }
\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{\text { Avoidable risk }} .
$$

## See also attributable risk.

## EXAMPLES

As an example, consider a study of the efficiency of a drug used to treat an illness.
The 223 patients included in the study are all at risk of contracting the illness, but they have not yet done so. We separate them into two groups: patients in the first group (114 patients) received the drug; those in the second group ( 109 patients) were given a placebo. The study period was two years. In total, 11 cases of the illness are diagnosed in the first group and 27 in the placebo group.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
- Risk
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| Group | Cases <br> of <br> illness | Number of <br> patients in <br> the group | Risk for <br> the <br> two-year <br> period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A $/$ B in $\%)$ |
| 1st group | 11 | 114 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 2nd group | 27 | 109 | $24.8 \%$ |

So, the avoidable risk due to the drug is 24.8 $9.6=15.2 \%$ per two years.

## Bar Chart

Bar chart is a type of quantitative graph. It consists of a series of vertical or horizontal bars of identical width but with lengths relative to the represented quantities.
Bar charts are used to compare the categories of a categorical qualitative variable or to compare sets of data from different years or different places for a particular variable.

## HISTORY

See graphic representation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A vertical axis and a horizontal axis must be defined in order to construct a vertical bar chart.
The horizontal axis is divided up into different categories; the vertical axis shows the value of each category.
To construct a horizontal bar chart, the axes are simply inverted.
The bars must all be of the same width since only their lengths are compared.
Shading, hatching or color can be used to make it easier to understand the the graphic.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

A bar chart can also be used to represent negative category values. To be able to do this, the scale of the axis showing the category values must extend below zero.
There are several types of bar chart. The one described above is called a simple bar chart. A multiple bar chart is used to compare several variables.
A composite bar chart is a multiple bar chart where the different sets of data are stacked on top of each other. This type of diagram is used when the different data sets can be combined into a total population, and we would like to compare the changes in the data sets and the total population over time.
There is another way of representing the subsets of a total population. In this case, the total population represents $100 \%$ and value given for each subset is a percentage of the total (also see pie chart).

## EXAMPLES

Let us construct a bar chart divided into percentages for the data in the following frequency table:

Marital status in a sample of the Australian female population on the 30th June 1981 (in thousands)

| Marital <br> status | Fre- <br> quency | Relative <br> fre- <br> quency | Percent- <br> age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bachelor | 6587.3 | 0.452 | 45.2 |
| Married | 6836.8 | 0.469 | 46.9 |
| Divorced | 403.5 | 0.028 | 2.8 |
| Widow | 748.7 | 0.051 | 5.1 |
| Total | 14576.3 | 1.000 | 100.0 |

Source: ABS (1984) Australian Pocket Year Book. Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra, p. 11


## FURTHER READING

- Graphical representation
- Quantitative graph


## Barnard, George A.

Barnard, George Alfred was born in 1915, in Walthamstow, Essex, England. He gained a degree in mathematics from Cambridge University in 1936. Between 1942 and 1945 he worked in the Ministry of Supply as a scientific consultant. Barnard joined the Mathematics Department at Imperial College London from 1945 to 1966. From 1966 to 1975 he was Professor of Mathematics in the University of Essex, and from 1975 until his retirement in 1981 he was Professor of Statistics at the University of Waterloo, Canada.

Barnard, George Alfred received numerous distinctions, including a gold medal from the Royal Statistical Society and from the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications. In 1987 he was named an Honorary Member of the International Statistical Institute. He died in 2002 in August.

## Some articles of Barnard, George Alfred:

1954 Sampling inspection and statistical decisions. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 16, 151-174.

1958 Thomas Bayes-A biographical note. Biometrika 45, 293-315.

1989 On alleged gains in power from lower $p$-values. Stat. Med., 8, 1469-1477.

1990 Must clinical trials be large? The interpretation of $p$-values and the combination of test results. Stat. Med., 9, 601-614.

## Bayes' Theorem

If we consider the set of the "reasons" that an event occurs, Bayes' theorem gives a formula for the probability that the event is the direct result of a particular reason.
Therefore, Bayes' theorem can be interpreted as a formula for the conditional probability of an event.

## HISTORY

Bayes' theorem is named after Bayes, Thomas, and was developed in the middle of eighteenth century. However, Bayes did not publish the theorem during his lifetime; instead, it was presented by Price, R. on the 23rd December 1763, two years after his death, to the Royal Society of London,
which Bayes was a member of during the last twenty last years of his life.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ be a partition of the sample space $\Omega$. We suppose that each event $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ has a nonzero probability. Let $E$ be an event such that $P(E)>0$.
So, for every $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$, Bayes' theorem (for the discrete case) gives:

$$
P\left(A_{i} \mid E\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(E \mid A_{i}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} P\left(A_{j}\right) \cdot P\left(E \mid A_{j}\right)} .
$$

In the continuous case, where $X$ is a random variable with density function $f(x)$, said also to be an a priori density function, Bayes' theorem gives the density a posteriori according to

$$
f(x \mid E)=\frac{f(x) \cdot P(E \mid X=x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \cdot P(E \mid X=t) \mathrm{d}_{t}} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Bayes' theorem has been the object of much controversy, relating to the ability to use it when the values of the probabilities used to determine the probability function a posteriori are not generally established in a precise way.

## EXAMPLES

Three urns contain red, white and black balls:

- Urn A contains 5 red balls, 2 white balls and 3 black balls;
- Urn B contains 2 red balls, 3 white balls and 1 black balls;
- Urn C contains 5 red balls, 2 white balls and 5 black balls.

Randomly choosing an urn, we draw a ball at random: it is white. We wish to determine the probability that it was taken from urn A.
"choose urn A", $A_{2}$ be the event where we "choose urn B," and $A_{3}$ be the event where we "choose urn C." $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ forms a partition of the sample space.
Let $E$ be the event where "the ball taken is white," which has a strictly positive probability.
We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(A_{1}\right)=P\left(A_{2}\right)=P\left(A_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \\
& P\left(E \mid A_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{10}, \quad P\left(E \mid A_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{6} \\
& \text { and } \quad P\left(E \mid A_{3}\right)=\frac{2}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bayes' formula allows us to determine the probability that the drawn white ball comes from the urn A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(A_{1} \mid E\right) & =\frac{P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(E \mid A_{1}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(E \mid A_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{10}}{\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{10}+\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{6}+\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{12}}=\frac{3}{13} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Conditional probability
- Probability
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## Bayes, Thomas

Bayes, Thomas (1702-1761) was the eldest son of Bayes, Joshua, who was one of the first six Nonconformist ministers to be ordained in England, and was a member of the Royal Society. He was privately schooled by professors, as was customary in Nonconformist families. In 1731 he became reverend of the Presbyterian chapel in Tunbridge Wells, a town located about 150 km south-west of London. Due to some religious publications he was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society in 1742.
His interest in mathematics was well-known to his contemporaries, despite the fact that he had not written any technical publications, because he had been tutored by De Moivre, A., one of the founders of the theory of probability. In 1763, Price, R. sorted through the papers left by Bayes and had his principal work published:

1763 An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London, 53, pp. 370-418. Republished with a biography by Barnard, G.A. (1958). In: Pearson, E.S. and Kendall, M (1970). Studies in the History of Statistics and Probability. Griffin, London, pp. 131-153.

## FURTHER READING

- Bayes' theorem


## Bayesian Statistics

Bayesien statistics is a large domain in the field of statistics that differs due to an axiomatization of the statistics that gives it a certain internal coherence.

The basic idea is to interpret the probability of an event as it is commonly used; in other words as the uncertainty that is related to it. In contrast, the classical approach considers the probability of an event to be the limit of the relative frequency (see probability for a more formal approach).
The most well-known aspect of Bayesian inference is the probability of calculating the joint probability distribution (or density function) $f\left(\theta, X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n}\right)$ of one or many parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (one parameter or a vector of parameters) having observed the data $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ sampled independently from a random variable $X$ on which $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ depends. (It is worth noting that it also allows us to calculate the probability distribution for a new observation $x_{n+1}$ ).
Bayesian statistics treat the unknown parameters as random variables not because of possible variability (in reality, the unknown parameters are considered to be fixed), but because of our ignorance or uncertainty about them.
The posterior distribution $f\left(\theta \mid X=x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $X=x_{n}$ ) is direct to compute since it is the prior $(f(\theta))$ times the likelihood $f(X=$ $\left.x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n} \mid \theta\right)$.
posterior $\propto$ prior $x$ likelihood
The second expression does not cause problems, because it is a function that we often use in classical statistics, known as the likelihood (see maximum likelihood).
In contrast, the first part supposes a prior distribution for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We often use the initial distribution of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to incorporate possible supplementary information about the parameters of interest. In the absence of this information, we use areference function that maximizes the lack of information (which is then the most "objective" or "noninformative"
function, following the common but not precise usage).
Once the distribution $f\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is calculated, all of the information on the parameters of interest is available. Therefore, we can calculate plausible values for the unknown parameter (the mean, the median or some other measure of central tendency), its standard deviation, confidence intervals, or perform hypothesis testing on its value.

## HISTORY

See Bayes, Thomas and Bayes' theorem.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $D$ be the set of data $X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=$ $x_{n}$ independently sampled from a random variable $X$ of unknown distribution. We will consider the simple case where there is only one interesting parameter, $\theta$, which depends on $X$.
Then a standard Bayesian procedure can be expressed by:

1. Identify the known quantities $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
2. Specify a model for the data; in other words a parametric family $f(x \mid \theta)$ of distributions that describe the generation of data.
3. Specify the uncertainty concerning $\theta$ by an initial distribution function $f(\theta)$.
4. We can then calculate the distribution $f(\theta \mid D)$ (called the final distribution) using Bayes' theorem.
The first two points are common to every statistical inference.
The third point is more problematic. In the absence of supplementary information about $\theta$, the idea is to calculate a reference distribution $f(\theta)$ by maximizing a function that specifies the missing information on the
parameter $\theta$. Once this problem is resolved, the fourth point is easily tackled with the help of Bayes' theorem.
Bayes' theorem can be expressed, in its continuous form, by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\theta \mid D) & =\frac{f(D \mid \theta) \cdot f(\theta)}{f(D)} \\
& =\frac{f(D \mid \theta) \cdot f(\theta)}{\int f(D \mid \theta) f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $x_{i}$ are independent, we can write:

$$
f(\theta \mid D)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cdot f(\theta)}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right) f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}
$$

Now we have the means to calculate the density function of a new (independent) observation $x_{n+1}$, given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(X=x_{n+1} \mid D\right) \\
& =\frac{f\left(X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n+1}\right)}{f\left(X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\int f\left(X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n+1} \mid \theta\right)}{\int f(D \mid \theta) \cdot f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta} \\
& =\frac{\int f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(X=x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cdot f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta} \\
& =\int f\left(X=x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cdot f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now briefly explain the methods that allow us to:

- Find a value for the estimated parameter that is more probable than the others;
- Find a confidence interval for $\theta$, and;
- Perform hypothesis testing.

These methods are strictly related to decision theory, which plays a considerable role in Bayesian statistics.

## Point Estimation of the Parameter

To get a point estimation for the parameter $\theta$, we specify a loss function $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ that comes from using $\hat{\theta}$ (the estimated value) instead of the true value (the unknown) $\theta$. Then we minimize this function of $\hat{\theta}$. For example, if $\theta$ is real and "the loss is quadratic" (that is, $\left.l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)=(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}\right)$, then the point estimation of $\theta$ will be the mean of the calculated distribution.

## Confidence Intervals

The concept of a confidence interval is replaced in bayesian statistics by the concept of an $\alpha$-credible region (where $\alpha$ is the "confidence level"), which is simply defined as an interval $I$ such that:

$$
\int_{I} f(\theta \mid D) \mathrm{d} \theta=\alpha
$$

Often, we also require that the width of the interval is minimized.

## Hypothesis Testing

The general approach to hypothesis testing:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \theta \in I \quad \text { versus } \quad H_{1}: \theta \notin I .
$$

is related to decision theory. Based on this, we define a loss function $l\left(a_{0}, \theta\right)$ that accepts $H_{0}$ (where the true value of the parameter is $\theta$ ) and a loss function $l\left(a_{1}, \theta\right)$ that rejects $H_{0}$. If the value for the true value obtained by accepting $H_{0}$, that is,

$$
\int l\left(a_{0}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} \theta,
$$

is smaller than to the one obtained by rejecting $H_{0}$, then we can accept $H_{0}$.
Using this constraint, we reject the restrictions imposed on $\theta$ by the null hypothesis $(\theta \in I)$.

## EXAMPLES

The following example involves estimating the parameter $\theta$ from the Bernoulli distribution $X$ with the help of $n$ independent observations $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, taking the value 1 in the case of success and 0 in the case of failure. Let $r$ be the number of successes and $n-r$ be the number of failures among the observations.
We have then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\theta) & =P\left(X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n} \mid \theta\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X=x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \\
& =\theta^{r} \cdot(1-\theta)^{n-r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An estimation of the maximum likelihood of $\theta$, denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{\text {mle }}$, maximizes this function. To do this, we consider the logarithm of this function, in other words the loglikelihood:
$\log L(\theta)=r \log (\theta)+(n-r) \log (1-\theta)$.
We maximize this by setting its derivative by $\theta$ equal to zero:

$$
\frac{\partial(\log L)}{\partial \theta}=\frac{r}{\theta}-\frac{n-r}{1-\theta}=0
$$

This is equivalent to $r(1-\theta)=(n-r) \theta$, and it simplifies to $\hat{\theta}_{\text {mle }}=\frac{r}{n}$. The estimator for the maximum likelihood of $\theta$ is then simply the proportion of observed successes. Now we return to the bayesian method. In this case, the Bernoulli distribution, the a priori reference distribution of the parameter $\theta$, is expressed by:

$$
f(\theta)=c \cdot(h(\theta))^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $c$ is an appropriate constant (such as $\left.\int f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=1\right)$ and where $h(\theta)$ is called the

Fisher information of $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\theta) & =-E\left[\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right] \\
& =-E\left[-\frac{X}{\theta^{2}}-\frac{1-X}{(1-\theta)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{E[X]}{\theta^{2}}+\frac{1-E[X]}{(1-\theta)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\theta}{\theta^{2}}+\frac{1-\theta}{(1-\theta)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{1}{1-\theta}=\frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The distribution will then be:

$$
f(\theta)=c \cdot \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot(1-\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

The distribution function of $\theta$, given the observations $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, can then be expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\theta \mid X=x_{1}, \ldots, X=x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{d} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X=x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cdot f(\theta) \\
& =\frac{1}{d} \cdot \theta^{r} \cdot(1-\theta)^{n-r} \cdot c \cdot \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot(1-\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\frac{c}{d} \cdot \theta^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot(1-\theta)^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\frac{c}{d} \cdot \theta^{\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right)-1} \cdot(1-\theta)^{\left(n-r+\frac{1}{2}\right)-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a beta distribution with parameters

$$
\alpha=r+\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=n-r+\frac{1}{2},
$$

and with a constant of

$$
\frac{c}{d}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)},
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function (see gamma

## distribution).

We now consider a concrete case, where we want to estimate the proportion of HIVpositive students. We test 200 students and
none of them is HIV-positive. The proportion of HIV-positive students is therefore estimated to be 0 by the maximum likelihood. Confidence intervals are not very useful in this case, because (if we follow the usual approach) they are calculated by:

$$
0 \pm 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{p(1-p)}
$$

In this case, as $p$ is the proportion of observed successes, the confidence interval reduces to 0 .
Following bayesian methodology, we obtain the distribution, based only on the data, that describes the uncertainty about the parameters to be estimated. The larger $n$ is, the more sure we are about $\theta$; the final reference distribution for $\theta$ is then more concentrated around the true value of $\theta$.
In this case, we find as a final reference distribution a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha=0.5$ and $\beta=200.5$, which summarizes the information about $\theta$ (the values that correspond to the spikes in the distribution are the most probable). It is often useful to graphically represent such results:


We can see from this that:

- The probability that the proportion of interest is smaller then 0.015 is almost 1 ;
- The probability that the proportion of interest is smaller then 0.005 is approximately 0.84 , and;
- The median, which is chosen as the best measure of the central tendency due to the strong asymmetry of the distribution, is 0.011 .
We remark that there is a qualitative difference between the classical result (the proportion can be estimated as zéro) and the bayesian solution to the problem, which allows us to calculate the probability distribution of the parameter, mathematically translating the uncertainty about it. This method tell us in particular that, given certain information, the correct estimation for the proportion of interest is 0.011 . Note that the bayesian estimation depends on (like the uncertainty) the number of the observed cases, and it is equivalent to observing 0 cases of HIV among 2, 200 or 20.0 students in the classical case.


## FURTHER READING

- Bayes' theorem
- Bayes, Thomas
- Conditional probability
- Inference
- Joint density function
- Maximum likelihood
- Probability
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## Bernoulli Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter $p$ if its probability function takes the form:

$$
P(X=x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
p & \text { for } x=1 \\
q=1-p & \text { for } x=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

where $p$ and $q$ represent, respectively, the probabilities of "success" and "failure," symbolized by the values 1 and 0 .


Bernoulli's law, $p=0.3, q=0.7$
The Bernoulli distribution is a discrete probability distribution.

## HISTORY

## See binomial distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the Bernoulli distribution is by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\sum_{x=0}^{1} x \cdot P(X=x) \\
& =1 \cdot p+0 \cdot q=p .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the Bernoulli distribution is by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =1^{2} \cdot p+0^{2} \cdot q-p^{2} \\
& =p-p^{2}=p q .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Bernoulli distribution is used when a random experiment has only two possible results: "success" or "failure." These results are usually symbolized by 0 and 1 .

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial distribution
- Discrete probability distribution


## Bernoulli Family

Originally from Basel, the Bernoulli family contributed several mathematicians to science. Bernoulli, Jacques (1654-1705) studied theology at the University of Basel, according to the will of his father, and then traveled for several years, teaching and continuing his own studies. Having resolutely steered himself towards mathematics, he became a professor at the University of Basel in 1687. According to Stigler (1986),

Bernoulli, Jacques (called Bernoulli, James in most the English works) is the father of the quantification of uncertainty.
bers, upon which modern probability and statistics are based. Bernoulli, Jakob was nominated maître ès lettres in 1671. During his studies in theology, which he terminated in 1676 , he studied mathematics and astronomy, contrary to the will of his father (Nikolaus Bernoulli).
In 1682, Leibniz published (in Acta Eruditorium) a method that could be used to determine the integrals of algebraic functions, a brief discourse on differential calculus in an algorithmic scheme, and some remarks on the fundamental idea of integral calculus. This paper attracted the attention of Jakob and his brother, and they considerably improved upon the work already done by Leibniz. Leibniz himself recognized that infinitesimal calculus was mostly founded by the Bernoulli brothers rather than himself. Indeed, in 1690 Jakob introduced the term "integral."
In 1687, he was nominated Professor of Mathematics at the University of Basel, where he stayed until his death in 1705 .
Ars conjectandi is the title of what is generally accepted as Bernoulli's most original work. It consists of four parts: the first contains a reprint of Huygen's De Ratiociniis in Ludo Aleae (published in 1657), which is completed via important modifications. In the second part of his work, Bernoulli addresses combinatory theory. The third part comprises 24 examples that help to illustrate the modified concept of the expected value. Finally, the fourth part is the most interesting and original, even though Bernoulli did not have the time to finish it. It is in this part that Bernoulli distinguishes two ways of defining (exactly or approximately) the classical measure of probability.
Around 1680, Bernoulli, Jakob also became interested in stochastics. The evolution of his
ideas can be followed in his scientific journal Meditations.
Some of the main works and articles of Bernoulli, Jakob include:

1677 Meditationes, Annotationes, Animadversiones Theologicae \& Philosophicae, a me JB. concinnatae \& collectae ab anno 1677. Universitätsbibliothek, Basel, L I a 3.

1713 Ars Conjectandi, Opus Posthumum. Accedit Tractatus de Seriebus infinitis, et Epistola Gallice scripta de ludo Pilae recticularis. Impensis Thurnisiorum, Fratrum, Basel.

## Bernoulli Trial

The Bernoulli trials are repeated tests of an experiment that obey the following rules:

1. Each trial results in either success or failure;
2. The probability of success is the same for each trial; the probability of success is denoted by $p$, and the probability of failure by $q=1-p$;
3. The trials are independent.

## HISTORY

The Bernoulli trials take their name from the Swiss mathematician Bernoulli, Jakob (1713).

Bernoulli, Jakob (1654-1705) was the eldest of four brothers and it was his father's will that he should study theology. When he had finished his studies in theology in Basel in 1676 , he briefly left the town only to return in 1680 in order to devote himself to mathematics. He obtained the Chair of Mathematics at the University of Basel in 1687.

## EXAMPLES

The most simple example of a Bernoulli trial is the flipping of a coin. If obtaining "heads" is considered to be a success $(S)$ while obtaining "tails" is considered to be a failure $(F)$, we have:

$$
p=P(S)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
q=P(F)=1-p=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Binomial distribution
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## Bernoulli's Theorem

Bernoulli's theorem says that the relative frequency of success in a sequence of Bernoulli trials approaches the probability of success as the number of trials increases towards infinity.
It is a simplified form of the law of large numbers and derives from the Chebyshev inequality.

## HISTORY

Bernoulli's theorem, sometimes called the "weak law of large numbers," was first described by Bernoulli, Jakob (1713) in his
work Ars Conjectandi, which was published (with the help of his nephew Nikolaus) seven years after his death.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $S$ represents the number of successes obtained during $n$ Bernoulli trials, and if $p$ is the probability of success, then we have:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{S}{n}-p\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{S}{n}-p\right|<\varepsilon\right)=1
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ and arbitrarily small.
In an equivalent manner, we can write:

$$
\frac{S}{n} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} p,
$$

which means that the relative frequency of success tends to the probability of success when $n$ tends to infinity.

## FURTHER READING <br> - Bernoulli distribution <br> - Bernoulli trial <br> - Convergence <br> - Law of large numbers
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## Beta Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ if its density function is of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)}(x-a)^{\alpha-1}(b-x)^{\beta-1} \\
& \cdot(b-a)^{-(\alpha+\beta-1)}, \\
a \leq & x \leq b, \quad \alpha>0 \text { and } \beta>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\alpha, \beta) & =\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1} d t \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Gamma$ is the gamma function (see gamma distribution).


Beta distribution $\alpha=2, \beta=3, a=3, b=7$
The beta distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The density function of the standard beta distribution is obtained by performing the variable change $Y=\frac{X-a}{b-a}$ :

$$
f(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\overline{B(\alpha, \beta)} y^{\alpha-1}} & \text { if } 0<y<1 \\ \cdot(1-y)^{\beta-1} & \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

Consider $X$, a random variable that follows the standard beta distribution. The expected
value and the variance of $X$ are, respectively, given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \\
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^{2} \cdot(\alpha+\beta+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The beta distribution is one of the most frequently used to adjustempirical distributions where the range (or variation interval) $[a, b]$ is known.
Here are some particular cases where the beta distribution is used, related to other continuous probability distributions:

- If $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two independent random variables each distributed according to a gamma distribution with parameters ( $\alpha_{1}, 1$ ) and ( $\alpha_{2}, 1$ ), respectively, the random variable

$$
\frac{X_{1}}{X_{1}+X_{2}},
$$

is distributed according to a beta distribution with parameters ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ ).

- The beta distribution becomes a uniform distribution when

$$
\alpha=\beta=1
$$

- When the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ tends towards infinity, the beta distribution tends towards the standard normal distribution.


## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Normal distribution
- Uniform distribution


## Bias

From a statistical point of view, the bias is defined as the difference between the expected value of a statistic and the true value of the corresponding parameter. Therefore, the bias is a measure of the systematic error of an estimator. If we calculate the mean of a large number of unbiased estimations, we will find the correct value. The bias indicates the distance of the estimator from the true value of the parameter.

## HISTORY

The concept of an unbiased estimator comes from Gauss, C.F. (1821), during the time when he worked on the least squares method.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We should not confuse the bias of an estimator of a parameter with its degree of precision, which is a measurement of the sampling error.
There are several types of bias, selection bias (due to systematic differences between the groups compared), exclusion bias (due to the systematic exclusion of certain individuals from the study) or analytical bias (due to the way that the results are evaluated).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a statistic $T$ used to estimate a parameter $\theta$. If $E[T]=\theta+b(\theta)$ (where $E[T]$ represents the expected value of $T$ ), then the quantity $b(\theta)$ is called the bias of the statistic $T$.
If $b(\theta)=0$, we have $E[T]=\theta$, and $T$ is an unbiased estimator of $\theta$.

## EXAMPLES

Consider $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, a sequence of independent random variables distributed according to the same law of probability with a mean $\mu$ and a finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. We can calculate the bias of the estimator

$$
S^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2},
$$

used to estimate the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the population in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[S^{2}\right] & =E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right]-E(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-E(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)-\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x}) \\
& =\sigma^{2}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bias of $S^{2}$ is then equal to $\frac{-\sigma^{2}}{n}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Estimator
- Expected value
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## Bienaymé, Irénée-Jules

Bienaymé, Irenée-Jules (1796-1878) entered the French Ministry of Finance and became the Inspector General for Finance in 1836, although he lost his employment in 1848 for political reasons. Shortly after this, he began to give lessons in the Faculty of Science in Paris.
A follower of Laplace, Pierre Simon de, Bienaymé proved the BienayméChebyshev inequality some years before Chebyshev, Pafnutii Lvovich. The reedited version of Bienaymé's paper from 1867 precedes the French version of Chebychev's proof. This inequality was then used in Chebyshev's incomplete proof of central limit theorem, which was later finished by Markov, Andrei Andreevich.
Moreover, Bienaymé correctly formulated the theorem for branching processes in 1845. His most famous public work is probably the corrections he made to the use of Duvillard's mortality table.
Some principal works and articles of Bienaymé, Irénée-Jules:

1853 Considérations à l'appui de la découverte de Laplace sur la loi de probabilité dans la méthode des moindres carrés. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 37, 5-13; reedited in 1867 in the Journal de Liouville preceding the proof of the BienayméChebyshev inequality in J. Math. Pure. Appl., 12, 158-176.

## Binary Data

Binary data occur when the variable of interest can only take two values. These two values are generally represented by 0 and 1 , even if the variable is not quantitative.
Gender, the presence or absence of a characteristic and the success or failure of an experiment are just a few examples of variables that result in binary data. These variables are called dichotomous variables.

## EXAMPLES

A meteorologist wants to know how reliable his forecasts are. To do this, he studies a random variable representing the prediction. This variable can only take two values:
$X=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { if the prediction was incorrect } \\ 1 & \text { if the prediction was correct }\end{array}\right.$.
The meteorologist makes predictions for a period of 50 consecutive days. The prediction is found to be correct 32 times, and incorrect 18 times.
To find out whether his predictions are better than the ones that could have been obtained by flipping a coin and predicting the weather based on whether heads or tails are obtained, he decides to test the null hypothesis $H_{0}$, that the proportion $p$ of correct predictions is equal to 0.5 , against the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$, that this proportion is different to 0.5 :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & p=0.5 \\
H_{1}: & p \neq 0.5 .
\end{array}
$$

Let us calculate the value of

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{\binom{\text { observed frequency }}{- \text { theoretical frequency }}^{2}}{\text { theoretical frequency }}
$$

where the observed frequencies are 18 and 32 , and the theoretical frequencies are, respectively, 25 and 25:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =\frac{(32-25)^{2}+(18-25)^{2}}{25} \\
& =\frac{49+49}{25}=\frac{98}{25}=3.92
\end{aligned}
$$

By assuming that the central limit theorem applies, we compare this value with the value of the chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom. For a 'significance level of 5\%, we find in the chi-square table:

$$
\chi_{1,0.95}^{2}=3.84
$$

Therefore, since $3.92>3.84$, the null hypothesis is rejected, which means that the meteorologist predicts the weather better than a coin.

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Categorical data
- Contingency table
- Data
- Dichotomous variable
- Likelihood ratio test
- Logistic regression
- Variable


## REFERENCES

Cox, D.R., Snell, E.J.: The Analysis of Binary Data. Chapman \& Hall (1989)

Bishop, Y.M.M., Fienberg, S.E., Holland, P.W.: Discrete Multivariate Analysis: Theory and Practice. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (1975)

## Binomial

Algebraic sums containing variables are called polynomials (from the Greek "poly," meaning "several"). An expression that contains two terms is called a binomial (from the Latin "bi", meaning "double"). A monomial (from the Greek "mono", meaning "unique") is an expression with one term and a trinomial (from the Latin "tri", meaning "triple") contains three elements.

## HISTORY

## See arithmetic triangle.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The square of a binomial is easily calculated using

$$
(a+b)^{2}=a^{2}+2 a b+b^{2} .
$$

Binomial formulae for exponents higher than two also exist, such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{3}= & a^{3}+3 a^{2} b+3 a b^{2}+b^{3} \\
(a+b)^{4}= & a^{4}+4 a^{3} b+6 a^{2} b^{2}+4 a b^{3} \\
& +b^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write a generalized binomial formula in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{n}= & a^{n}+n a^{n-1} b \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2} a^{n-2} b^{2} \\
& +\cdots+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} a^{2} b^{n-2} \\
& +n a b^{n-1}+b^{n} \\
= & C_{n}^{0} a^{n}+C_{n}^{1} a^{n-1} b+C_{n}^{2} a^{n-2} b^{2} \\
& +\cdots+C_{n}^{n-1} a b^{n-1}+C_{n}^{n} b^{n} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n}^{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The binomial $(p+q)$ raised to the power $n$ lends its name to the binomial distribution because it corresponds to the total probability obtained after $n$ Bernoulli trials.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic triangle
- Binomial distribution
- Combination


## Binomial Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a binomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p$ if its probability function takes the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X=x)=C_{n}^{x} \cdot p^{x} \cdot q^{n-x}, \\
& \quad x=0,1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore if an event comprises $x$ "successes" and $(n-x)$ "failures," where $p$ is the probability of "success" and $q=1-p$ the probability of "failure," the binomial distribution allows us to calculate the probability of obtaining $x$ successes from $n$ independent trials.


Binomial distribution, $p=0.3, q=0.7, n=3$
The binomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p$, denoted $B(n, p)$, is a discrete probability distribution.


Binomial distribution, $p=0.5, q=0.5, n=4$

## HISTORY

The binomial distribution is one of the oldest known probability distributions. It was discovered by Bernoulli, J. in his work entitled Ars Conjectandi (1713). This work is divided into four parts: in the first, the author comments on the treatise from Huygens; the second part is dedicated to the theory of permutations and combinations; the third is devoted to solving various problems related to games of chance; finally, in the fourth part, he proposes applying probability theory to moral questions and to the science of economics.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $n$ independent random variables following a Bernoulli distribution with a parameter $p$, then the random variable

$$
X=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}
$$

follows a binomial distribution $B(n, p)$.
To calculate the expected value of $X$, the following property will be used, where $Y$ and $Z$ are two random variables:

$$
E[Y+Z]=E[Y]+E[Z] .
$$

We therefore have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =E\left[X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}\right] \\
& =E\left[X_{1}\right]+E\left[X_{2}\right]+\ldots+E\left[X_{n}\right] \\
& =p+p+\ldots+p=n p .
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate the variance of $X$, the following property will be used, where $Y$ and $Z$ are two independent variables:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(Y+Z)=\operatorname{Var}(Y)+\operatorname{Var}(Z)
$$

We therefore have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right)+\ldots \\
& +\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n}\right) \\
= & p q+p q+\ldots+p q=n p q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Binomial distribution tables (for the probability distribution and the distribution function) have been published by Rao et al. (1985), as well as by the National Bureau of Standards (1950). Extended distribution function tables can be found in the Annals of the Computation Laboratory (1955).

## EXAMPLES

A coin is flipped ten times. Consider the random variable $X$, which represents the number of times that the coin lands on "tails." We therefore have:

| number of trials: | $n=10$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| probability of |  |
| one success: | $p=\frac{1}{2}$ |
| (tails obtained) |  |


| probability of one | $q=\frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| failure: | (heads obtained) |

The probability of obtaining tails $x$ times amongst the ten trials is given by

$$
P(X=x)=C_{10}^{x} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{10-x}
$$

The probability of obtaining tails exactly eight times is therefore equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=8) & =C_{10}^{8} \cdot p^{8} \cdot q^{10-8} \\
& =\frac{10!}{8!(10-8)!} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{8} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =0.0439
\end{aligned}
$$

The random variable $X$ follows the binomial distribution $B\left(10, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Binomial table
- Discrete probability distribution
- Negative binomial distribution
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## Binomial Table

The binomial table gives the values for the distribution function of a random

## variable that follows a binomial distribution.

## HISTORY

See binomial distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let the random variable $X$ follow the binomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p$. Its probability function is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=x)=C_{n}^{x} \cdot p^{x} \cdot q^{n-x} & \\
& x=0,1,2, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{n}^{x}$ is the binomial coefficient, equal to $\frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!}$, parameter $p$ is the probability of success, and $q=1-p$ is the complementary probability that corresponds to the probability of failure (see normal distribution). The distribution function of the random variable $X$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq x)=\sum_{i=0}^{x} C_{n}^{i} \cdot p^{i} \cdot q^{n-i} & \\
& 0 \leq x \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The binomial table gives the value of $P(X \leq x)$ for various combinations of $x$, $n$ and $p$.
For large $n$, this calculation becomes tedious. Thankfully, we can use some very good approximations instead. If $\min (n p, n(1-$ $p)$ ) $>10$, we can approximate it with the normal distribution:

$$
P(X \leq x)=\phi\left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{2}-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right)
$$

where $\phi$ is the distribution function for the standard normal distribution, and the continuity correction $\frac{1}{2}$ is included.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The binomial table is used to perform nonparametric tests on statistics that are distributed according to binomial distribution, especially the sign test and the binomial test.
The National Bureau of Standards (1950) published individual and cumulative binomial distribution probabilities for $n \leq 49$, while cumulative binomial distribution probabilities for $n \leq 1000$ are given in the Annals of the Computation Laboratory (1955).

## EXAMPLES

See Appendix B.
We can verify that for $n=2$ and $p=0.5$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq 1) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} C_{2}^{i}(0.5)^{i}(0.5)^{2-i} \\
& =0.75 .
\end{aligned}
$$

or that for $n=5$ and $p=0.05$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq 3) & =\sum_{i=0}^{3} C_{5}^{i}(0.05)^{i}(0.95)^{5-i} \\
& =1.0000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For an example of the application of the binomial table, see binomial test.

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial distribution
- Binomial test
- Sign test
- Statistical table
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## Binomial Test

The binomial test is a parametric hypothesis test that applies when the population can be divided into two classes: each observation of this population will belong to one or the other of these two categories.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We consider a sample of $n$ independent trials. Each trial belongs to either the class $C_{1}$ or the class $C_{2}$. We note the number of observations $n_{1}$ that fall into $C_{1}$ and the number of observations $n_{2}$ that fall into $C_{2}$.
Each trial has a probability $p$ of belonging to class $C_{1}$, where $p$ is identical for all $n$ trials, and a probability $q=1-p$ of belonging to class $C_{2}$.

## Hypotheses

The binomial test can be either a two-sided test or a one-sided test. If $p_{0}$ is the presumed value of $p,\left(0 \leq p_{0} \leq 1\right)$, the hypotheses are expressed as follows:

## A: Two-sided case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad p=p_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \quad p \neq p_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B: One-sided case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: p \leq p_{0} \\
& H_{1}: p>p_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## C: One-sided case

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & p \geq p_{0} \\
H_{1}: & p<p_{0}
\end{array}
$$

## Decision Rules

Case A
For $n<25$, we use the binomial table with $n$ and $p_{o}$ as parameters.
Therefore, we initially look for the closest value to $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ in this table (which we denote $\alpha_{1}$ ), where $\alpha$ is the significance level. We denote the value corresponding to $\alpha_{1}$ by $t_{1}$.
Next we find the value of $1-\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ in the table. We denote the value corresponding to $\alpha_{2}$ by $t_{2}$.
We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if

$$
n_{1} \leq t_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad n_{1}>t_{2}
$$

where $n_{1}$ is the number of observations that fall into the class $C_{1}$.
When $n$ is bigger then 25 , we can use the normal distribution as an approximation for the binomial distribution.
The parameters for the binomial distribution are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =n \cdot p_{0} \\
\sigma & =\sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The random variable $Z$ that follows the standard normal distribution then equals:

$$
Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{X-n \cdot p_{0}}{\sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}}
$$

where $X$ is a random binomial variable with parameters $n$ and $p_{0}$.
The approximations for the values of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=n \cdot p_{0}+z_{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}, \\
& t_{2}=n \cdot p_{0}+z_{\alpha_{2}} \cdot \sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{\alpha_{1}}$ and $z_{\alpha_{2}}$ are the values found in the normal table corresponding to the levels $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$.

## Case B

For $n<25$, we take $t$ to be the value in the binomial table corresponding to $1-\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the significance level (or the closest value), and $n$ and $p_{0}$ are the parameters described previously. We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if

$$
n_{1}>t
$$

where $n_{1}$ is the number of the observations that fall into the class $C_{1}$.
For $n \geq 25$ we can make the approximation:

$$
t=n \cdot p_{0}+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ can be found in the normal table for $1-\alpha$.
The decision rule in this case is the same as that for the $n<25$.

## Case C

For $n<25, t$ is the value in the binomial table corresponding to $\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the significance level (or the closest value), and with $n$ and $p_{0}$ the same parameters described previously.
We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if

$$
n_{1} \leq t,
$$

where $n_{1}$ is the number of observations that fall into the class $C_{1}$.
For $n \geq 25$, we make the approximation:

$$
t=n \cdot p_{0}+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ is found in the normal table for the significance level $\alpha$. Then, the decision rule is the same as described previously.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Two basic conditions that must be respected when performing the binomial test are:

1. The $n$ observations must be mutually independent;
2. Every observation has a probability $p$ of falling into the first class. This probability is also the same for all observations.

## EXAMPLES

A machine is considered to be operational if a maximum of $5 \%$ of the pieces that it produces are defective. The null hypothesis, denoted $H_{0}$, expresses this situation, while the alternative hypothesis, denoted $H_{1}$, signifies that the machine is failing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad p \leq 0.05 \\
& H_{1}: \quad p>0.05 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Performing the test, we take a sample of 10 pieces and we note that there are three defective pieces ( $n_{1}=3$ ). As the hypotheses correspond to the one-tailed test (case B), decision rule B is used. If we choose a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$, the value of $t$ in the binomial table equals 1 (for $n=10$ and $p_{0}=0.05$ ).
We reject $H_{0}$ because $n_{1}=3>t=1$, and we conclude that the machine is failing. Then we perform the test again, but on 100 pieces this time. We notice that there are 12 defective pieces. In this case, the value of $t$ can be approximated by:

$$
t=n \cdot p_{0}+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot q_{0}}
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ can be found from the normal table for $1-\alpha=0.95$. We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =100 \cdot 0.05+1.64 \sqrt{100 \cdot 0.05 \cdot 0.95} \\
& =8.57
\end{aligned}
$$

We again reject $H_{0}$, because $n_{1}=12>t=$ 8.57.

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial table
- Goodness of fit test
- Hypothesis testing
- One-sided test
- Parametric test
- Two-sided test
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## Biostatistics

Biostatistics is the scientific field where statistical methods are applied in order to answer questions related to human biology and medicine (the prefix "bio" comes from Greek "bios," which means "life").
The domains of biostatistics are mainly epidemiology, clinical and biological trials, and it is also used when studying the ethics of these trials.

## HISTORY

Biostatistics began in the middle of the seventeenth century, when Petty, Sir William (1623-1687) and Graunt, John (1620-1674) created new methods of analyzing the London Bills of Mortality. They applied these new methods of analysis to death rate, birthrate and census studies, creating the field of biometrics. Then, in the middle of the nineteenth century, the works of Mendel, Gregor studied inheretance in plants. His observations and results were based on systematically gathered data, and also on the application of numerical methods of describing the regularity of hereditary transmission.

Galton, Francis and Pearson, Karl were two of the most important individuals associated with the development of this science. They used the new concepts and statistical methods when investigating the resemblance in physical, psychological and behavioral data between parents and their children. Pearson, Galton and Weldon, Walter Frank Raphael (1860-1906) cofounded the journal Biometrika. Fisher, Ronald Aylmer, during his agricultural studies performed at Rothamsted Experimental Station, proposed a method of random sampling where animals were partitioned into different groups and allocated different treatments, marking the first studies into clinical trials.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The domains of biostatistics are principally epidemiology, clinical trials and the ethical questions related to them, as well as biological trials. One of the areas where biostatistical concepts have been used to analyze a specific question was in indirect measurements of the persistence of a substance (for example vitamins and hormones) when administered to a living creature. Biostatistics therefore mainly refer to statistics used to solve problems that appear in the biomedical sciences.

The statistical methods mostcommonly used in biostatistics include resampling methods (bootstrap, jackknife), multivariate analysis, various regression methods, evaluations of uncertainty related to estimation, and the treatment of missing data.
The most well-known scientific journals related to biostatistics are: Statistics in Medicine, The Biometrical Journal, Controlled Clinical Trials, The Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, Statistical Methods in Medical Research and Biometrics.

## FURTHER READING

- Demography
- Epidemiology
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## Block

Blocks are sets where experimental units are grouped in such a way that the units are as similar as possible within each block.
We can expect that the experimental error associated with a block will be smaller than that obtained if the same number of units were randomly located within the whole experimental space.
The blocks are generally determined by taking into account both controllable causes related by the factors studied and causes that
may be difficult or impossible to keep constant over all of the experimental units.
The variations between the blocks are then eliminated when we compare the effects of the factors.
Several types of regroupings can be used to reduce the effects of one or several sources of error. A randomized block design results if there is only one source of error.

## HISTORY

The block concept used in the field of design of experiment originated in studies made by Fisher, R.A. (1925) when he was Head of the Rothamsted Experimental Station. When working with agricultural researchers, Fisher realized that the ground(field) chosen for the experiment was manifestly heterogenous in the sense that the fertility varies in a systematic way from one point on the ground to another.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experimental Unit
- Factor
- Graeco-Latin square design
- Latin square designs
- Randomized block design
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## Bonferroni, Carlo E.

Bonferroni, Carlo Emilio was born in 1892 in Bergamo, Italy. He obtained a degree in mathematics in Turin and completed his education by spending a year at university in

Vienna and at the Eidgenössiche Technische Hochschule in Zurich. He was a Military officer during the First World War, and after the war had finished became an assistant professor at Turin Polytechnic. In 1923, he received the Financial Mathematics Chair at the Economics Institute in Bari, where he was the Rector for seven years. He finally transferred to Florence in 1933, where he held his chair until his death.
Bonferroni tackled various subjects, including actuarial mathemetics, probability and statistical mathematics, analysis, geometry and mechanics. He gave his name to the two Bonferroni inequalities that facilitate the treatment of statistical dependences. These appeared for the first time in 1936, in the article Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilità. The development of these inequalities prompted a wide range of new literature in this area.
Bonferroni died in 1960 in Florence, Italy. Principal article of Carlo Emilio Bonferroni:

1936 Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilità. Publ. R. Istit. Super. Sci. Econ. Commerc. Firenze, 8, 162.

## Bootstrap

The term bootstrap describes a family of techniques that are principally used to estimate the standard error, the bias and the con-
fidence interval of a parameter (or more than one parameter). It is based on $n$ independent observations of a random variable with an unknown distribution function $F$, and is particularly useful when the parameters to be estimated relate to a complicated function of $F$.

The basic idea of bootstrap (which is somewhat similar to the idea behind the jackknife method) is to estimate $F$ using a possible distribution $\hat{F}$ and then to resample from $\hat{F}$. Bootstrap procedures usually require the use of computers, since they can perform a large number of simulations in a relatively short time. In bootstrap methods, automatic simulations take the place of the analytical calculations used in the "traditional" methods of estimation, and in certain cases they can provide more freedom, for example when we do not want to (or we cannot) accept a hypothesis for the structure of the distribution of the data. Certain bootstrap methods are included in statistical software such as S-PLUS, SAS and MATLAB.

## HISTORY

The origin of the use of the word "bootstrap" in relation to the methods described here neatly illustrates the reflexive nature of the secondary samples generated by them (the ones constructed from $\hat{F}$ ). It originates from the literary character Baron Munchausen (from The Surprising Adventures of Baron Munchausen by Raspe, R.E.), who fell into a lake, but pulled himself out by his own bootstraps (his laces).
The history of bootstrap, like many selfstatistical techniques, starts in 1979 with the publication of an article by Efron (1979), which had a great impact on many researchers. This article triggered the publication of a huge number of articles on the theory and applications of bootstrap.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Different types of bootstrap have been proposed; the goal here is not to review all of these exhaustively, but instead to give an idea of the types of the methods used.

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ independent observations of a random variable with an unknown distribution function $F$.
We are interested in the estimation of an unknown parameter $\delta$ that depends on $F$ and the reliability (in other words its bias, its variance and its confidence interval). The estimation of $\delta$ is afforded by the statistic $t=t(F)$, which is dependent on $F$. Since $F$ is unknown, we find an estimation $\hat{F}$ for $F$ based on the sample $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and we estimate $\delta$ using $t(\hat{F})$. Classical examples of $t$ are the mathematical expectation, the variance and the quantiles of $F$. We denote the random variable corresponding to the statistic $t$ (which depends on $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ) by $T$.
We distinguish the following types of bootstrap:

- Parametric bootstrap. If $F=F_{\theta}$ is a member of the parametric family of distribution functions, we can estimate (the vector) $\theta$ using the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of the maximum likelihood. We naturally estimate $F$ by $F_{\hat{\theta}}$.
- Nonparametric bootstrap. If $F$ is not a member of the parametric family, it is estimated via an empirical distribution function calculated based on a sample of size $n$. The empirical distribution function in $x$ is defined by:
$\hat{F}(x)=\frac{\text { number of observations } x_{i} \leq x}{n}$.
The idea of the bootstrap is the following.

1. Consider $R$ independent samples of type $x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}$ of a random variable of the distribution $\hat{F}$.
2. Calculate the estimated values $t_{1}^{*}, t_{2}^{*}, \ldots$, $t_{R}^{*}$ for the parameter $\delta$ based on the generated bootstrap samples. First calculate the estimation $\hat{F}_{i}^{*}$ based on the sample $i$
$(i=1, \ldots, R)$, and then set:

$$
t_{i}^{*}=t\left(\hat{F}_{i}^{*}\right) .
$$

Following the bootstrap method, the bias $b$ and the variance $v$ of $t$ are estimated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{\text {boot }}=\bar{t}^{*}-t=\left(\frac{1}{R} \sum_{i=1}^{R} t_{i}^{*}\right)-t, \\
& v_{\text {boot }}=\frac{1}{R-1} \sum_{i=1}^{R}\left(t_{i}^{*}-\bar{t}^{*}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We should remark that two types of errors intervene here:

- A statistical error arising from the fact that we proceed by considering the bias and variance for $\hat{F}$ and not the real $F$, which is unknown.
- A simulation error arising from the fact that the number of simulations is not high enough. In reality, it is enough to resample between $R=50$ and 200 times.
There there are many possible methods of obtaining confidence intervals using bootstrap, and we present only a few here:

1. Normal intervals. Suppose that $T$ follows the Gaussian (normal) distribution. Hoping that $T^{*}$ also follows the normal distribution, we then find the following confidence interval for the (bias) corrected value $t_{b}=t-b$ of $t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[t_{b}-\sqrt{v_{\mathrm{boot}}} \cdot z_{1-\alpha / 2}\right.} \\
& \\
& \left.t_{b}+\sqrt{v_{\mathrm{boot}}} \cdot z_{1-\alpha / 2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{\gamma}$ is the $\gamma$ quantile of the Gaussian distribution $N(0,1)$. For a tolerance limit of $\alpha$, we use the value from the normal table $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, which gives $\alpha=5 \%$ : $z_{1-\frac{0.05}{2}}=1.96$.
2. Bootstrap intervals. Suppose that $T-\delta$ does not depend on an unknown variable. In this case we calculate the $\alpha$-quantile $a_{\alpha}$ of $T-\delta$. To do this, we arrange the values $t_{1}^{*}-t, t_{2}^{*}-t, \ldots, t_{R}^{*}-t$ in increasing order:

$$
t_{(1)}^{*}-t, t_{(2)}^{*}-t, \ldots, t_{(R)}^{*}-t
$$

and then we read the quantiles of interest $a_{\alpha}=t_{((R+1) \alpha)}^{*}-t$ and $a_{1-\alpha}=$ $t_{(R+1)(1-\alpha))}^{*}-t$.
And as far as

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-2 \alpha= & \operatorname{Pr}\left(a_{\alpha} \leq T-\delta \leq a_{1-\alpha}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Pr}\left(2 t-t_{((R+1)(1-\alpha))}^{*} \leq \delta\right. \\
& \left.\leq 2 t-t_{((R+1) \alpha)}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the confidence interval for $t$ at the significance level $\alpha$ is:

$$
\left[2 t-t_{((R+1)(1-\alpha))}^{*}, 2 t-t_{((R+1) \alpha)}^{*}\right] .
$$

3. Studentized bootstrap intervals. It is possible to improve the previous estimation by considering

$$
Z=\frac{T-\delta}{\sqrt{v}}
$$

where $v$ is the variance of $t$, which must be estimated (normally using known methods like Delta methods or the jackknife method). The confidence interval for $t$ is found in an analogous way to that described previously:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[t-\sqrt{v} \cdot z_{((R+1)(1-\alpha))}^{*}\right.} \\
& \left.t+\sqrt{v} \cdot z_{((R+1) \alpha)}^{*}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{((R+1)(1-\alpha))}^{*}$ and $z_{((R+1) \alpha)}^{*}$ are "empirically" the ( $1-\alpha$ and $\alpha$ ) quantiles of $\left(z_{i}^{*}=\frac{t_{i}^{*}-t}{v^{*}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, R}$.

## EXAMPLES

We consider a typical example of nonparametric bootstrap (taken from the work of Davison, A. and Hinkley, D.V. (1997)). The data concern the populations of ten American cities in $1920(U)$ and $1930(X)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r|rrrrr}
u & 138 & 93 & 61 & 179 & 48 \\
\hline x & 143 & 104 & 69 & 260 & 75 \\
u & 37 & 29 & 23 & 30 & 2 \\
\hline x & 63 & 50 & 48 & 111 & 50
\end{array}
$$

We are interested in the value $\delta$ of the statistic $T=\frac{E(X)}{E(U)}$ which will allow us to deduce the populations in 1930 from those in 1920. We estimate $\delta$ using $t=\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{u}}=1.52$, but what is the uncertainty in this estimation?
The bootstrap allows us to simulate the values $t_{i}^{*}(i=1, \ldots, R)$ of $\delta$ sampled from a bivariate distribution $Y=(U, X)$ with an empirical distribution function $\hat{F}$ that gives a weight $\frac{1}{10}$ to each observation.
In practice, this is a matter of resampling with return the ten data values and calculating the corresponding

$$
t_{i}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{10} x_{i j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{10} u_{i j}^{*}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, R
$$

where $x_{i j}^{*}$ and $u_{i j}^{*}$ are the values of the variables $X$ and $U$. This allows us to approximate the bias and the variance of $t$ using the formulae given before.
The following table summarizes the simulations obtained with $R=5$ :

|  |  |  |  | $j$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| $u_{1 j}^{*}$ | 93 | 93 | 93 | 61 | 61 | 37 | 29 |
| $x_{1 j}^{*}$ | 104 | 104 | 104 | 69 | 69 | 63 | 50 |
| $u_{2 j}^{*}$ | 138 | 61 | 48 | 48 | 37 | 37 | 29 |
| $x_{2 j}^{*}$ | 143 | 69 | 75 | 75 | 63 | 63 | 50 |



|  |  | $j$ |  | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| $u_{1 j}^{*}$ | 29 | 30 | 2 | $\sum u_{1 j}^{*}=528$ |
| $x_{1 j}^{*}$ | 50 | 111 | 50 | $\sum x_{1 j}^{*}=774$ |
| $u_{2 j}^{*}$ | 30 | 30 | 2 | $\sum u_{2 j}^{*}=460$ |
| $x_{2 j}^{*}$ | 111 | 111 | 50 | $\sum x_{2 j}^{*}=810$ |
| $u_{3 j}^{*}$ | 30 | 2 | 2 | $\sum u_{3 j}^{*}=571$ |
| $x_{3 j}^{*}$ | 111 | 50 | 50 | $\sum x_{3 j}^{*}=1114$ |
| $u_{4 j}^{*}$ | 23 | 23 | 2 | $\sum u_{4 j}^{*}=406$ |
| $x_{4 j}^{*}$ | 48 | 48 | 50 | $\sum x_{4 j}^{*}=626$ |
| $u_{5 j}^{*}$ | 29 | 23 | 30 | $\sum u_{j j}^{*}=819$ |
| $x_{5 j^{*}}^{*}$ | 50 | 48 | 111 | $\sum x_{5 j}^{*}=1123$ |

So, the $t_{i}^{*}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}^{*}=1.466, \quad t_{2}^{*}=1.761, \quad t_{3}^{*}=1.951, \\
& t_{4}^{*}=1.542, \quad t_{5}^{*}=1.371 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, it is easy to calculate the bias and the variance of $t$ using the formulae given previously:
$b=1.62-1.52=0.10$ and $v=0.0553$.
It is possible to use these results to calculate the normal confidence intervals, supposing that $T-\delta$ is normally distributed, $N(0.1,0.0553)$. We obtain, for a significance level of $5 \%$, the following confidence interval for $t:[1.16,2.08]$.
The small number of cities considered and simulations mean that we cannot have much confidence in the values obtained.

## FURTHER READING

- Monte Carlo method
- Resampling
- Simulation
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## Boscovich, Roger J.

Boscovich, Roger Joseph was born at Ragusa (now Dubrovnik, Croatia) in 1711. He attended the Collegium Ragusinum, then he went to Rome to study in the Collegio Romano; both colleges were Jesuitic. He died in 1787, in Milano.
In 1760, Boscovich developed a geometric method for finding a simple regression line $L_{1}$ (in order to correct errors in stellar observations). Laplace, Pierre Simon de disapproved of the fact that Boscovich used geometrical terminology and translated this method into an algebraic version in 1793.

The principal work of Boscovich, Roger Joseph:

1757 De Litteraria Expeditione per Pontificiam ditionem, et Synopsis amplioris Operis, ac habentur plura eius ex exemplaria etiam sensorum impressa. Bononiensi Scientiarium et Artium Instituto Atque Academia Commentarii, Tomus IV, pp. 353-396.

## REFERENCES
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## Box, E.P. George

Box, George E.P. was born in 1919 in England. He served as a chemist in the British Army Engineers during World War II. After the war he received a degree in mathematics and statistics from University College London. In the 1950s, he worked as a visiting professor in the US at the Institute of Statistics at the University of North Carolina. In 1960 he moved to Wisconsin, where he served as the first chairman of the statistics department. He received the British Empire Medal in 1946, and the Shewhart Medal in 1968. His main interest was in experimental statistics and the design of experiments. His book Statistics for Experimenters, coauthored with Hunter, J. Stuart and Hunter, William G., is one of the most highly recommended texts in this field. Box also wrote on time series analysis.

## Recommended publication of Box, George:

2005 (with Hunter, William G. and Hunter, J. Stuart) Statistics for Exper-
imenters: Design, Innovation, and Discovery, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments


## Box Plot

The box plot is a way to represent the following five quantities for a set of data: the median; the first quartile and the third quartile; the maximum and minimum values.
The box plot is a diagram (a box) that illustrates:

- The measure of central tendency (in principal the median);
- The variability, and;
- The symmetry.

It is often used to compare several sets of observations.

## HISTORY

The "box-and-whisker plot," or box plot, was introduced by Tukey in 1972, along with other methods of representing data semigraphically, one of the most famous of which is the stem and leaf diagram.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Several ways of representing a box plot exist. We will present it in the following way:


The central rectangle represents $50 \%$ of the observations. It is known as the interquartile range. The lower limit of this rectangle is fixed at the first quartile, and the upper limit
at the third quartile. The position of the median is indicated by a line through the rectangle.
A line segment (a "whisker") connects each quartile to the corresponding extreme (minimum or maximum, unless outliers are present; see below) value on each side of the rectangle.
In this representation, outliers are treated in a special way. When the observations are very spread out we define two values called the "internal limits" or "whiskers" by:

$$
\text { int. lim. } 1=1 \text { st quartile }
$$

- (1.5 - interquartile range)
int.lim. $2=3 \mathrm{rd}$ quartile
+ (1.5 - interquartile range)
For each internal limit, we then select the data value that is closest to the limit but still inside the interval between the internal limits. These two data values are known as adjacent points. Now, when we construct the box plot, the line segments connect the quartiles to the adjacent points. Observations outside of the interval between the internal limits (outliers) are represented by stars in the plot.


## EXAMPLE

The following example presents the revenue indexes per inhabitant for each Swiss canton $($ Swiss revenue $=100)$ in 1993:

| Canton | Index | Canton | Index |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Zurich | 125.7 | Schaffhouse | 99.2 |
| Bern | 86.2 | Appenzell <br> Rh.-Ext. | 84.2 |
| Luzern | 87.9 | Appenzell <br> Rh.-Int. | 72.6 |
| Uri | 88.2 | Saint-Gall | 89.3 |


| Canton | Index | Canton | Index |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Schwyz | 94.5 | Grisons | 92.4 |
| Obwald | 80.3 | Argovie | 98.0 |
| Nidwald | 108.9 | Thurgovie | 87.4 |
| Glaris | 101.4 | Tessin | 87.4 |
| Zoug | 170.2 | Vaud | 97.4 |
| Fribourg | 90.9 | Valais | 80.5 |
| Soleure | 88.3 | Neuchâtel | 87.3 |
| Bâle-City | 124.2 | Genève | 116.0 |
| Bâle- | 105.1 | Jura | 75.1 |
| Campaign |  |  |  |

Source: Federal Office for Statistics (1993)

We now calculate the different quartiles: The box plot gives us information on the central tendency, on the dispersion of the distribution and the degree of symmetry:

- Central tendency: The median equals 90.10.
- Dispersion:

The interquartile interval indicates the interval that contains $50 \%$ of the observations, and these observations are the closest to the center of distribution.
In our example, we have:

- $50 \%$ of the cantons of Switzerland have an index that falls in the interval [102.33-87.03](with a width of 15.3).
The limits of the box plot are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { lim.int. } 1= & 1 \text { st quartile } \\
& -(1.5 \cdot \text { interquartile interval }) \\
= & 87.03-1.5 \cdot 15.3=64.08 . \\
\text { lim.int. } 2= & 3 \mathrm{rd} \text { quartile } \\
& +(1.5 \cdot \text { interquartile interval }) \\
= & 102.33+1.5 \cdot 15.3=125.28 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values bigger then 125.28 are therefore outliers. There are two of them: 125.7
(Zurich) and 170.2 (Zoug). The box plot is then as follows:


## FURTHER READING

- Graphical representation
- Exploratory data analysis
- Measure of location
- Measure of central tendency
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## Categorical Data

Categorical data consists of counts of observations falling into specified classes.
We can distinguish between various types of categorical data:

- Binary, characterizing the presence or absence of a property;
- Unordered multicategorical (also called "nominal");
- Ordered multicategorical (also called "ordinal");
- Whole numbers.

We represent the categorical data in the form of a contingency table.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Variables that are essentially continuous can also be presented as categorical variables. One example is "age", which is a continuous variable, but ages can still be grouped into classes so it can still be presented as categorical data.

## EXAMPLES

In a public opinion survey for approving or disapproving a new law, the votes cast can be either "yes" or "no". We can represent the results in the form of a contingency table:

If we divide up the employees of a business into professions (and at least three professions are presented), the data we obtain is unordered multicategorical data (there is no natural ordering of the professions).
In contrast, if we are interested in the number of people that have achieved various levels of education, there will probably be a natural ordering of the categories: "primary, secondary" and then university. Such data would therefore be an example of ordered multicategorical data.
Finally, if we group employees into categories based on the size of each employee's family (that is, the number of family members), we obtain categorical data where the categories are whole numbers.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of categorical data
- Binary data
- Category
- Data
- Dichotomous variable
- Qualitative categorical variable
- Random variable


## REFERENCES

See analysis of categorical data.

## Category

A category represents a set of people or objects that have a common characteristic.
If we want to study the people in a population, we can sort them into "natural" categories, by gender (men and women) for example, or into categories defined by other criteria, such as vocation (managers, secretaries, farmers ... ).

## FURTHER READING

- Binary data
- Categorical data
- Dichotomous variable
- Population
- Random variable
- Variable


## Cauchy Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a Cauchy distribution if its density function is of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)=\frac{1}{\pi \theta} \cdot\left[1+\left(\frac{x-\alpha}{\theta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} & , \\
& ,>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The parameters $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are the location and dispersion parameters, respectively.
The Cauchy distribution is symmetric about $x=\alpha$, which represents the median. The first quartile and the third quartile are given by $\alpha \pm \theta$.
The Cauchy distribution is a continuous probability distribution.


Cauchy distribution, $\theta=1, \alpha=0$

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value $E[X]$ and the variance $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ do not exist.
If $\alpha=0$ and $\theta=1$, the Cauchy distribution is identical to the Student distribution with one degree of freedom.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Its importance in physics is mainly due to the fact that it is the solution to the differential equation describing force resonance.

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Student distribution


## REFERENCES
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## Causal Epidemiology

The aim of causal epidemiology is to identify how cause is related to effect with regard to human health.
In other words, it is the study of causes of illness, and involves attempting to find statis-
tical evidence for a causal relationship or an association between the illness and the factor proposed to cause the illness.

## HISTORY

See epidemiology.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See cause and effect in epidemiology, odds and odds ratio, relative risk, attributable risk, avoidable risk, incidence rate, prevalence rate.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Studies of the relationship between tobacco smoking and the development of lungial cancers and the relationship between HIV and the AIDS are examples of causal epidemiology. Research into a causal relation is often very complex, requiring many studies and the incorporation and combination of various data sets from biological and animal experiments, to clinical trials.
While causes cannot always be identified precisely, a knowledge of the risk factors associated with an illness and therefore the groups of people at risk allows us to intervene with preventative measures that could preserve health.

## EXAMPLES

As an example, we can investigate the relationship between smoking and the development of lung cancer. Consider a study of 2000 subjects: 1000 smokers and 1000 nonsmokers. The age distributions and male/female proportions are identical in both groups. Let us analyze a summary of data obtained over many years. The results are presented in the following table:

|  | Smokers | Non- <br> smokers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of cases of <br> lung cancer $(/ 1000)$ | 50 | 10 |
| Proportion of | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| people in this group <br> that contracted <br> lung cancer |  |  |

c

If we compare the proportion of smokers that contractlung cancer to the proportion of nonsmokers that do, we get $5 \% / 1 \%=5$, so we can conclude that the risk of developing lung cancer is five times higher in smokers than in nonsmokers. We generally also evaluate the significance of this result computing $\boldsymbol{p}$ value. Suppose that the chi-square test gave $p<0.001$. It normally accepted that if the $\boldsymbol{p}$-value is smaller then 0.05 , then the results obtained are statistically significant.
Suppose that we perform the same study, but the dimension of each group (smokers and nonsmokers) is 100 instead of 1000 , and we observe the same proportions of people that contract lung cancer:

|  | Smokers | Non- <br> smokers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of cases of <br> lung cancer $(/ 100)$ | 5 | 1 |
| Proportion of | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| individuals in this <br> group that <br> contracted lungs <br> cancer |  |  |

If we perform the same statistical test, the $p$-value is found to be 0.212 . Since this is greater than 0.05 , we can cannot draw any solid conclud that there is about the existence of a significant statistical relation between smoking and lung cancer. This illustrates that, in order to have a statistically signifi-
cant level of difference between the results for different populations obtained from epidemiological studies, it is usually necessary to study large samples.

## FURTHER READING

See epidemiology.

## REFERENCES

See epidemiology.

## Cause and Effect in Epidemiology

In epidemiology, the "cause" is an agent (microbial germs, polluted water, smoking, etc.) that modifies health, and the "effect" describes the the way that the health is changed by the agent. The agent is often potentially pathogenic (in which case it is known as a "risk factor").
The effect is therefore effectively a risk comparison. We can define two different types of risk in this context:

- The absolute effect of a cause expresses the increase in the risk or the additional number of cases of illness that result or could result from exposure to this cause. It is measured by the attributable risk and its derivatives.
- The relative effect of a cause expresses the strength of the association between the causal agent and the illness.
A cause that produces an effect by itself is called sufficient.


## HISTORY

The terms "cause" and "effect" were defined at the birth of epidemiology, which occurred in the seventeenth century.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Formally, we have:
Absolute effect $=$ Risk for exposed

- risk for unexposed.

The absolute effect expresses the excess risk or cases of illness that result (or could result) from exposure to the cause.

$$
\text { Relative effect }=\frac{\text { risk for exposed }}{\text { risk for unexposed }} .
$$

The relative effect expresses the strength of the association between the illness and the cause. It is measured using the relative risk and the odds ratio.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Strictly speaking, the strength of an association between a particular factor and an illness is not enough to establish a causal relationship between them. We also need to consider:

- The "temporality criterion" (we must be sure that the supposed cause precedes the effect), and;
- Fundamental and experimental research elements that allow us to be sure that the supposed causal factor is not actually a "confusion factor" (which is a factor that is not causal, but is statistically related to the unidentified real causal factor). Two types of causality correspond to these relative and absolute effects. Relative causality is independent of the clinical or public health impact of the effect; it generally does not allow us to prejudge the clinical or public health impact of the associated effect. It can be strong when the risk of being exposed is very high or when the risk of being unexposed is very low.
Absolute causality expresses the clinical or public health impact of the associated effect,
and therefore enables us to answer the question: if we had suppressed the cause, what level of impact on the population (in terms of cases of illness) would have been avoided? If the patienthad stopped smoking, what would the reduction in his risk of developing lung cancer or having a myocardial infarction be? A risk factor associated with a high relative effect, but which concerns only a small number of individuals, will cause fewer illnesses and deaths then a risk factor that is associated with a smaller relative effect but where many more individuals are exposed. It is therefore clear that the importance of a causal relation varies depending on whether we are considering relative or absolute causality.
We should also make an important point here about causal interactions. There can be many causes for the same illness. While all of these causes contribute to the same result, they can also interact. The main consequence of this causal interaction is that we cannot prejudge the effect of simultaneous exposure to causes $A$ and $B$ (denoted $A+B$ ) based on what we know about the effect of exposure to only $A$ or only $B$. In contrast to the case for independent causes, we must estimate the joint effect, not restrict ourselves with the isolated analyses of the interacting causes.


## EXAMPLES

The relative effect and the absolute effect are subject to different interpretations, as the following example shows.
Suppose we have two populations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, each comprising 100000 individuals. In population $P_{1}$, the risk of contracting a given illness is $0.2 \%$ for the exposed and $0.1 \%$ for the unexposed. In population $P_{2}$, the risk for the exposed is $20 \%$ and that for the unexposed is $10 \%$, as shown in the following table:

| Popu- <br> lation | Risk for the <br> exposed (\%) <br> A | Risk for the <br> unexposed (\%) <br> B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $P_{1}$ | 20 | 10 |

The relative effect is the same for populations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ (the ratio of the risk for the exposed to the risk for the unexposed is 2 ), but the impact of the same prevention measures would be very different in the two populations, because the absolute effect is ten times more important in $P_{2}$ : the number of potentially avoidable cases is therefore 100 in population $P_{1}$ and 10000 in population $P_{2}$. Now consider the incidence rate of lung cancer in a population of individuals who smoke 35 or more cigarettes per day: 3.15/1000/year. While this rate may seem small, it masks the fact that there is a strong relative effect (the risk is 45 times bigger for smokers then for nonsmokers) due to the fact that lung cancer is very rare in nonsmokers (the incidence rate for nonsmokers is $0.07 / 1000 /$ year).

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
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## Census

A census is an operation that consists of observing all of the individuals in a population. The word census can refer to a population census, in other words a population count, but it can also refer to inquiries (called "exhaustive" inquiries) where we retrieve information about a population by observing all of the individuals in the population. Clearly, such inquiries will be very expensive for very large populations. That is why exhaustive inquiries are rarely performed; sampling, which consists of observing of only a portion of the population (called the sample), is usually preferred instead.

## HISTORY

Censuses originated with the great civilizations of antiquity, when the large areas of empires and complexity associated with governing them required knowledge of the populations involved.
Among the most ancient civilizations, it is known that censuses were performed in Sumeria (between 5000 and 2000 BC), where the people involved reported lists of men and goods on clay tables in cuneiform characters.

Censuses were also completed in Mesopotamia (about 3000 BC ), as well as in ancient Egypt from the first dynasty onwards; these censuses were performed due to military and fiscal objectives. Under Amasis II, everybody had to (at the risk of death) declare their profession and source(s) of revenue.
The situation in Israel was more complex: censuses were sometimes compulsary and sometimes forbidden due to the Old Testament. This influence on Christian civilization lasted quite some time; in the Middle Ages, St. Augustin and St. Ambroise were still condemning censuses.
In China, censuses have been performed since at least 200 BC , in different forms and for different purposes. Hecht, J. (1987) reported the main censuses:

1. Han Dynasty ( 200 years $B C$ to 200 years $A D)$ : population censuses were related to the system of conscription.
2. Three Kingdoms Period to Five Dynasties (221-959 AD): related to the system of territorial distribution.
3. Song and Yuan Dynasties (960-1368 $A D)$ : censuses were performed for fiscal purposes.
4. Ming Dynasty (1368-1644 AD): "yellow registers" were established for ten-year censuses. They listed the name, profession, gender and age of every person.
5. Qing Dynasty (since 1644 AD): censuses were performed in order to survey population migration.
In Japan during the Middle Age, different types of census have been used. The first census was probably performed under the rule of Emperor Sujin (86 BC).
Finally, in India, a political and economic science treatise entitled "Arthasastra" (profit treaty) gives some information about the use
of an extremely detailed record. This treatise was written by Kautilya, Prime Minister in the reign of Chandragupta Maurya (313-289 BC).
Another very important civilization, the Incans, also used censuses. They used a statistics system called "quipos". Each quipo was both an instrument and a registry of information. Formed from a series of cords, the colors, combinations and knots on the cords had precise meanings. The quipos were passed to specially initiated guards that gathered together all of these statistics.
In Europe, the ancient Greeks and Romans also practiced censuses. Aristotle reported that the Greeks donated a measure of wheat per birth and a measure of barley per death to the goddess Athéna. In Rome, the first census was performed at the behest of King Servius Tullius (578-534 BC) in order to monitor revenues, and consequently raise taxes.
Later, depending on the country, censuses were practiced with different frequencies and on different scales.
In 786, Charlemagne ordered a count of all of his subjects over twelve years old; population counts were also initiated in Italy in the twelfth century; many cities performed censuses of their inhabitants in the fifteenth century, including Nuremberg (in 1449) and Strasbourg (in 1470). In the sixteenth century, France initiated marital status registers. The seventeenth century saw the development of three different schools of thought: a German school associated with descriptive statistics, a French school associated with census ideology and methodology, and an English school that led to modern statistics.
In the history of censuses in Europe, there is a country that occupies a special place. In 1665, Sweden initiated registers of parish-
ioners that were maintained by pastors; in 1668, a decree made it obligatory to be counted in these registers, and instead of being religious, the registers became administrative. 1736 saw the appearance of another decree, had to report any changes in the population of the province to parliament. Swedish population statistics were officially recognized on the 3rd of February 1748 due to creation of the "Tabellverket" (administrative tables). The first summary for all Swedish provinces, realized in 1749, can be considered to be the first proper census in Europe, and the 11th of November 1756 marked the creation of the "Tabellkommissionen," the first official Division of Statistics. Since 1762, these tables of figures have been maintained by the Academy of Sciences.
Initially, the Swedish censuses were organized annually (1749-1751), and then every three years (1754-1772), but since 1775 they have been conducted every five years.
At the end of the eighteenth century an official institute for censuses was created in France (in 1791). In 1787 the principle of census has been registered in the Constitutional Charter of the USA (C. C. USA).
See also official statistics.

## FURTHER READING

- Data collection
- Demography
- Official statistics
- Population
- Sample
- Survey
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## Central Limit Theorem

The central limit theorem is a fundamental theorem of statistics. In its simplest form, it prescribes that the sum of a sufficiently large number of independent identically distributed random variables approximately follows a normal distribution.

## HISTORY

The central limit theorem was first established within the framework of binomial distribution by Moivre, Abraham de (1733). Laplace, Pierre Simon de (1810) formulated the proof of the theorem.
Poisson, Siméon Denis (1824) also worked on this theorem, and Chebyshev, Pafnutii Lvovich (1890-1891) gave a rigorous demonstration of it in the middle of the nineteenth century.
At the beginning of the twentieth century, the Russian mathematician Liapounov, Aleksandr Mikhailovich (1901) created the generally recognized form of the central limit theorem by introducing its characteristic functions. Markov, Andrei Andreevich (1908) also worked on it and was the first to generalize the theorem to the case of independent variables.
According to Le Cam, L. (1986), the qualifier "central" was given to it by George Polyà (1920) due to the essential role that it plays in probability theory.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ independent random variables that are identically distribut-
ed (with any distribution) with a mean $\mu$ and a finite variance $\sigma^{2}$.
We define the sum $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$ and we establish the ratio:

$$
\frac{S_{n}-n \cdot \mu}{\sigma \cdot \sqrt{n}},
$$

where $n \cdot \mu$ and $\sigma \cdot \sqrt{n}$ represent the mean and the standard deviation of $S_{n}$, respectively. The central limit theorem establishes that the distribution of this ratio tends to the standard normal distribution when $n$ tends to infinity. This means that:

$$
P\left(\frac{S_{n}-n \cdot \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \Phi(x)
$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution, expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} & \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\infty<x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The central limit theorem provides a simple method of approximately calculating probabilities related to the sums of random variables.
Besides its interest in relation to the sampling theorem, where the sums and the means play an importantrole, the central limit theorem is used to approximate normal distributions derived from summing identical distributions. We can for example, with the help of the central limit theorem, use the normal distribution to approximate the binomial distribution, the Poisson distribution, the gamma distribution, the chisquare distribution, the Student distribution, the hypergeometric distribution, the Fisher distribution and the lognormal distribution.

## EXAMPLES

In a large batch of electrical items, the probability of choosing a defective item equals $p=\frac{1}{8}$. What is the probability that 4 defective items are chosen when 25 items are selected?
Let $X$ the dichotomous variable be the result of a trial:
$X= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if the selected item is defective; } \\ 0 & \text { if it is not. }\end{cases}$
The random variable $X$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter $p$. Consequently, the sum $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$ follows a binomial distribution with a mean, $n p$ and a variance $n p(1-p)$, which, following the central limit theorem, can be approximated by a normal distribution with a mean $\mu=n p$ and a variance $\sigma^{2}=n p(1-p)$. We evaluate these values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =n \cdot p=25 \cdot \frac{1}{8}=3.125 \\
\sigma^{2} & =n \cdot p(1-p)=25 \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{8}\right) \\
& =2.734
\end{aligned}
$$

We then calculate $P\left(S_{n}>4\right)$ in two different ways:

1. With the binomial distribution:

From the binomial table, the probability of $P\left(S_{n} \leq 4\right)=0.8047$. The probability of $P\left(S_{n}>4\right)$ is then:

$$
P\left(S_{n}>4\right)=1-P\left(S_{n} \leq 4\right)=0.1953
$$

2. With the normal approximation (obtained from the central limit theorem):
In order to account for the discrete character of the random variable $S_{n}$, we must make a continuity correction; that is, we calculate the probability that $S_{n}$ is greater then $4+\frac{1}{2}=4.5$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =\frac{S_{n}-n \cdot p}{\sqrt{n \cdot p(1-p)}} \\
& =\frac{4.5-3.125}{1.654} \\
& =0.832 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the normal table, we obtain the probability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(Z>z) & =P(Z>0.832) \\
& =1-P(Z \leq 0.832) \\
& =1-0.7967=0.2033
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial distribution
- Chi-square distribution
- Convergence
- Convergence theorem
- Fisher distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Hypergeometric distribution
- Law of large numbers
- Lognormal distribution
- Normal distribution
- Poisson distribution
- Probability
- Probability distribution
- Student distribution
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## Chebyshev, Pafnutii Lvovich

Chhebyshev, Pafnutii Lvovich (1821-1894) began studying at Moscow University in 1837, where he was influenced by Zernov, Nikolai Efimovich (the first Russian to get a doctorate in mathematical sciences) and Brashman, Nikolai Dmitrievich. After gaining his degree he could not find any teaching work in Moscow, so he went to St. Petersbourg where he organized conferences on algebra and probability theory. In 1859, he took the probability course given by Buni-
akovsky, Viktor Yakovlevich at St. Petersbourg University.
His name lives on through the Chebyshev inequality (also known as the BienayméChebyshev inequality), which he proved. This was published in French just after Bienaymé, Irénée-Jules had an article published on the same topic in the Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées (also called the Journal of Liouville).
He initiated rigorous work into establishing a general version of the central limit theorem and is considered to be the founder of the mathematical school of St. Petersbourg.

Some principal works and articles of Chebyshev, Pafnutii Lvovich:

1845 An Essay on Elementary Analysis of the Theory of Probabilities (thesis) Crelle's Journal.

1867 Preuve de l'inégalité de Tchebychev. J. Math. Pure. Appl., 12, 177-184.

## FURTHER READING

- Central limit theorem
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## Chebyshev's Inequality

## See law of large numbers.

## Chi-Square Distance

Consider a frequency table with $n$ rows and $p$ columns, it is possible to calculate row profiles and column profiles. Let us then plot
the $n$ or $p$ points from each profile. We can define the distances between these points. The Euclidean distance between the components of the profiles, on which a weighting is defined (each term has a weight that is the inverse of its frequency), is called the chisquare distance. The name of the distance is derived from the fact that the mathematical expression defining the distance is identical to that encountered in the elaboration of the chi square goodness of fit test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(f_{i j}\right)$, be the frequency of the $i$ th row and $j$ th column in a frequency table with $n$ rows an $p$ columns. The chi-square distance between two rows $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ is given by the formula:

$$
d\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\frac{f_{i j}}{f_{i .}}-\frac{f_{i^{\prime} j}}{f_{i^{\prime} .}}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{f_{\cdot j}}},
$$

where
$f_{i .} \quad$ is the sum of the components of the $i$ th row;
$f_{. j} \quad$ is the sum of the components of the $j$ th column;
$\left[\frac{f_{i j}}{f_{i .}}\right]$ is the $i$ th row profile for $j=1,2, \ldots, p$.
Likewise, the distance between two columns $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ is given by:

$$
d\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{f_{i j}}{f_{\cdot j}}-\frac{f_{i j^{\prime}}}{f_{\cdot j^{\prime}}}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{f_{i .}}}
$$

where $\left[\frac{f_{i j}}{f_{j}}\right]$ is the $j$ th column profile for $j=$ $1, \ldots, n$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The chi-square distance incorporates a weight that is inversely proportional to the total of each row (or column), which
increases the importance of small deviations in the rows (or columns) which have a small sum with respect to those with more important sum package.
The chi-square distance has the property of distributional equivalence, meaning that it ensures that the distances between rows and columns are invariant when two columns (or two rows) with identical profiles are aggregated.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a contingency table charting how satisfied employees working for three different businesses are. Let us establish a distance table using the chi-square distance.
Values for the studied variable $X$ can fall into one of three categories:

- $X_{1}$ : high satisfaction;
- $X_{2}$ : medium satisfaction;
- $X_{3}$ : low satisfaction.

The observations collected from samples of individuals from the three businesses are given below:

|  | Busi- <br> ness 1 | Busi- <br> ness 2 | Busi- <br> ness 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 20 | 55 | 30 | 105 |
| $X_{2}$ | 18 | 40 | 15 | 73 |
| $X_{3}$ | 12 | 5 | 5 | 22 |
| Total | 50 | 100 | 50 | 200 |

The relative frequency table is obtained by dividing all of the elements of the table by 200, the total number of observations:

|  | Busi- <br> ness 1 | Busi- <br> ness 2 | Busi- <br> ness 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | 0.1 | 0.275 | 0.15 | 0.525 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.09 | 0.2 | 0.075 | 0.365 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.06 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.11 |
| Total | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 1 |

We can calculate the difference in employee satisfaction between the the 3 enterprises. The column profile matrix is given below:

|  | Busi- <br> ness 1 | Busi- <br> ness 2 | Busi- <br> ness 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | 0.4 | 0.55 | 0.6 | 1.55 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.36 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.06 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.39 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |

This allows us to calculate the distances between the different columns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{2}(1,2)= & \frac{1}{0.525} \cdot(0.4-0.55)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{0.365} \cdot(0.36-0.4)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{0.11} \cdot(0.24-0.05)^{2} \\
= & 0.375423
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
d(1,2)=0.613
$$

We can calculate $d(1,3)$ and $d(2,3)$ in a similar way. The distances obtained are summarized in the following distance table:

|  | Busi- <br> ness 1 | Busi- <br> ness 2 | Busi- <br> ness 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business 1 | 0 | 0.613 | 0.514 |
| Business 2 | 0.613 | 0 | 0.234 |
| Business 3 | 0.514 | 0.234 | 0 |

We can also calculate the distances between the rows, in other words the difference in employee satisfaction; to do this we need the line profile table:

|  | Busi- <br> ness 1 | Busi- <br> ness 2 | Busi- <br> ness 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X_{1}$ | 0.19 | 0.524 | 0.286 | 1 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.246 | 0.548 | 0.206 | 1 |
| $X_{3}$ | 0.546 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 1 |
| Total | 0.982 | 1.299 | 0.719 | 3 |

This allows us to calculate the distances between the different rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{2}(1,2)= & \frac{1}{0.25} \cdot(0.19-0.246)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{0.5} \cdot(0.524-0.548)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{0.25} \cdot(0.286-0.206)^{2} \\
= & 0.039296 \\
d(1,2)= & 0.198
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate $d(1,3)$ and $d(2,3)$ in a similar way. The differences between the degrees of employee satisfaction are finally summarized in the following distance table:

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0.198 | 0.835 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.198 | 0 | 0.754 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.835 | 0.754 | 0 |

## FURTHER READING

- Contingency table
- Distance
- Distance table
- Frequency


## Chi-square Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a chi-square distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom if its density function is:

$$
f(x)=\frac{x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \exp \left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}, \quad x \geq 0,
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function (see Gamma distribution).

$\chi^{2}$ distribution, $v=12$

The chi-square distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

According to Sheynin (1977), the chi-square distribution was discovered by Ernst Karl Abbe in 1863. Maxwell obtained it for three degrees of freedom a few years before (1860), and Boltzman discovered the general case in 1881.
However, according to Lancaster (1966), Bienaymé obtained the chi-square distribution in 1838 as the limit of the discrete random variable

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(n_{i}-n p_{i}\right)^{2}}{n p_{i}}
$$

if $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{k}\right)$ follow a joint multinomial distribution of parameters $n, p_{1}$, $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$.
Ellis demonstrated in 1844 that the sum of $k$ random variables distributed according to a chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom follows a chi-square distribution with $2 k$ degrees of freedom. The general result was demonstrated in 1852 by Bienaymé.
The works of Pearson, Karl are very important in this field. In 1900 he used the chisquare distribution to approximate the chisquare statistic used in different tests based on contingency tables.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The chi-square distribution appears in the theory of random variables distributed according to a normal distribution. In this, it is the distribution of the sum of squares of normal, centered and reduced random variables (with a mean equal to 0 and a variance equal to 1).
Consider $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}, \quad n$ independent, standard normal random variables. Their sum of squares:

$$
X=Z_{1}^{2}+Z_{2}^{2}+\ldots+Z_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}^{2}
$$

is a random variable distributed according to a chi-square distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom.
The expected value of the chi-square distribution is given by:

$$
E[X]=n .
$$

The variance is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=2 n
$$

The chi-square distribution is related to other continuous probability distributions:

- The chi-square distribution is a particular case of the gamma distribution.
- If two random variables $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ follow a chi-square distribution with, respectively, $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ degrees of freedom, then the random variable

$$
Y=\frac{X_{1} / n_{1}}{X_{2} / n_{2}}
$$

follows a Fisher distribution with $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ degrees of freedom.

- When the number of degrees of freedom $n$ tends towards infinity, the chisquare distribution tends (relatively slowly) towards a normal distribution.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The chi-square distribution is used in many approaches to hypothesis testing, the most important being the goodness of fit test which involves comparing the observed frequencies and the hypothetical frequencies of specific classes.
It is also used for comparisons between the observed variance and the hypothetical variance of normally distributed samples, and to test the independence of two variables.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square goodness of fit test
- Chi-square table
- Chi-square test
- Continuous probability distribution
- Fisher distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Normal distribution
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## Chi-square Goodness of Fit Test

The chi-square goodness of fit test is, along with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, one of the most commonly used goodness of fit tests.
This test aims to determine whether it is possible to approximate an observed distribution by a particular probability distribution (normal distribution, Poisson distribution, etc).

## HISTORY

The chi-square goodness of fit test is the oldest and most well-known of the goodness of fit tests. It was first presented in 1900 by Pearson, Karl.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a sample of $n$ observations. The steps used to perform the chi-square goodness of fit test are then as follows:

1. State the hypothesis. The null hypothesis will take the following form:

$$
H_{0}: \quad F=F_{0},
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the presumed distribution function of the distribution.
2. Distribute the observations in $k$ disjoint classes:

$$
\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]
$$

We denote the number of observations contained in the $i$ th class, $i=1, \ldots, k$, by $n_{i}$.
3. Calculate the theoretical probabilities for every class on the base of the presumed distribution function $F_{0}$ :
$p_{i}=F_{0}\left(a_{i}\right)-F_{0}\left(a_{i-1}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, k$.
4. Obtain the expected frequencies for every class

$$
e_{i}=n \cdot p_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k,
$$

where $n$ is the size of the sample.
5. Calculate the $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) statistic:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(n_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}}
$$

If $H_{0}$ is true, the $\chi^{2}$ statistic follows a chisquare distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom, where:
$v=(k-1-\underset{\text { parameters }}{\text { number of estimated }})$.
For example, when testing the goodness of fit to a normal distribution, the number of degrees of freedom equals:

- $k-1$ if the mean $\mu$ and the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population are known;
- $k-2$ if one out of $\mu$ or $\sigma$ is unknown and will be estimated in order to proceed with the test;
- $k-3$ if both parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are unknown and both are estimated from the corresponding values of the sample.

6. Reject $H_{0}$ if the deviation between the observed and estimated frequencies is big; that is:

$$
\text { if } \chi^{2}>\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}
$$

where $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$ is the value given in the chisquare table for a particular significance level $\alpha$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To apply the chi-square goodness of fit test, it is important that $n$ is big enough and that the
estimated frequencies, $e_{i}$, are not too small. We normally state that the estimated frequencies must be greater then 5 , except for extreme classes, where they can be smaller then 5 but greater then 1 . If this constraint is not satisfied, we must regroup the classes in order to satisfy this rule.

## EXAMPLES

Goodness of Fit to the Binomial Distribution
We throw a coin four times and count the number of times that "heads" appears.
This experiment is performed 160 times. The observed frequencies are as follows:

| Number of "heads" | Number of <br> experiments |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{i}$ | $\left(n_{i}\right)$ |
| 0 | 17 |
| 1 | 52 |
| 2 | 54 |
| 3 | 31 |
| 4 | 6 |
| Total | 160 |

1. If the experiment was performed correctly and the coin is not forged, the distribution of the number of "heads" obtained should follow the binomial distribution. We then state a null hypothesis that the observed distribution can be approximated by the binomial distribution, and we will proceed with a goodness of fit test in order to determine whether this hypothesis can be accepted or not.
2. In this example, the different number of "heads" that can be obtained per experiment $(0,1,2,3$ and 4$)$ are each considered to be a class.
3. The random variable $X$ (number of "heads" obtained after four throws of a coin) follows a binomial distribution if

$$
P(X=x)=C_{n}^{x} \cdot p^{x} \cdot q^{n-x},
$$

where:
$\boldsymbol{n}$ is the number of independent trials $=$ 4;
$\boldsymbol{p}$ is the probability of a success ("heads") $=0.5$;
$\boldsymbol{q}$ is the probability of a failure ("tails") $=0.5$;
$\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is the number of combinations of $x$ objects from $n$.

We then have the following theoretical probabilities for four throws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X=0)=\frac{1}{16} \\
& P(X=1)=\frac{4}{16} \\
& P(X=2)=\frac{6}{16} \\
& P(X=3)=\frac{4}{16} \\
& P(X=4)=\frac{1}{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. After the experiment has been performed 160 times, the expected number of heads for each possible value of $X$ is given by:

$$
e_{i}=160 \cdot P\left(X=x_{i}\right) .
$$

We obtain the following table:

| Number of <br> "heads" $x_{i}$ | Observed <br> frequency <br> $\left(n_{i}\right)$ | Expected <br> frequency $\left(e_{i}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 17 | 10 |
| 1 | 52 | 40 |
| 2 | 54 | 60 |
| 3 | 31 | 40 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 |
| Total | 160 | 160 |

5. The $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) statistic is then:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(n_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}}
$$

where $k$ is the number of possible values of $X$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =\frac{(17-10)^{2}}{10}+\ldots+\frac{(6-10)^{2}}{10} \\
& =12.725
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Choosing a significance level $\alpha$ of $5 \%$, we find that the value of $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$ for $k-1=4$ degrees of freedom is:

$$
\chi_{4,0.05}^{2}=9.488
$$

Since the calculated value of $\chi^{2}$ is greater than the value obtained from the table, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the binomial distribution does not give a good approximation to our observed distribution. We can then conclude that the coins were probably forged, or that they were not correctly thrown.

## Goodness of Fit to the Normal Distribution

The diameters of cables produced by a factory were studied.
A frequency table of the observed distribution of diameters is given below:

| Cable diameter <br> (in $\mathbf{~ m m}$ ) | Observed frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| $19.70-19.80$ | $n_{i}$ |$|$| 12 |
| :---: |
| $19.80-19.90$ |
| $19.90-20.00$ |
| $20.00-20.10$ |
| $20.10-20.20$ |
| $20.20-20.30$ |
| $20.30-20.40$ |

1. We perform a goodness of fit test for a normal distribution. The null hypothesis is therefore that the observed distribution can be approximated by a normal distribution.
2. The previous table shows the observed diameters divided up into classes.
3. If the random variable $X$ (cable diameter) follows the normal distribution, the random variable

$$
Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution. The mean $\mu$ and the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population are unknown and are estimated using the mean $\bar{x}$ and the standard deviation $S$ of the sample:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{7} \delta_{i} \cdot n_{i}}{n}=\frac{2806.40}{140}=20.05 \\
S & =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{7} n_{i} \cdot\left(\delta_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{2.477}{139}} \\
& =0.134
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\delta_{i}$ are the centers of the classes (in this example, the mean diameter of a class; for $i=1: \delta_{1}=19.75$ ) and $n$ is the total number of observations.
We can then calculate the theoretical probabilities associated with each class. The detailed calculations for the first two classes are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1} & =P(X \leq 19.8) \\
& =P\left(Z \leq \frac{19.8-\bar{x}}{S}\right) \\
& =P(Z \leq-1.835) \\
& =1-P(Z \leq 1.835)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2} & =P(19.8 \leq X \leq 19.9) \\
& =P\left(\frac{19.8-\bar{x}}{S} \leq Z \leq \frac{19.9-\bar{x}}{S}\right) \\
& =P(-1.835 \leq Z \leq-1.089) \\
& =P(Z \leq 1.835)-P(Z \leq 1.089)
\end{aligned}
$$

These probabilities can be found by consulting the normal table. We get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=P(X \leq 19.8)=0.03325 \\
& p_{2}=P(19.8 \leq X \leq 19.9)=0.10476 \\
& p_{3}=P(19.9 \leq X \leq 20.0)=0.22767 \\
& p_{4}=P(20.0 \leq X \leq 20.1)=0.29083 \\
& p_{5}=P(20.1 \leq X \leq 20.2)=0.21825 \\
& p_{6}=P(20.2 \leq X \leq 20.3)=0.09622 \\
& p_{7}=P(X>20.3)=0.02902
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The expected frequencies for the classes are then given by:

$$
e_{i}=n \cdot p_{i}
$$

which yields the following table:

| Cable diameter (in mm) | Observed frequency $n_{i}$ | Expected frequency $e_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.70-19.80 | 5 | 4.655 |
| 19.80-19.90 | 12 | 14.666 |
| 19.90-20.00 | 35 | 31.874 |
| 20.00-20.10 | 42 | 40.716 |
| 20.10-20.20 | 28 | 30.555 |
| 20.20-20.30 | 14 | 13.471 |
| 20.30-20.40 | 4 | 4.063 |
| Total | 140 | 140 |

5. The $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) statistic is then:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(n_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}}
$$

where $k=7$ is the number of classes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}= & \frac{(5-4.655)^{2}}{4.655} \\
& +\frac{(12-14.666)^{2}}{14.666} \\
& +\ldots+\frac{(4-4.063)^{2}}{4.063} \\
= & 1.0927 .
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Choosing a significance level $\alpha=5 \%$, we find that the value of $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$ with $k-3=$ $7-3=4$ degrees of freedom in the chisquare table is:

$$
\chi_{4,0.05}^{2}=9.49 .
$$

Since the value calculated from $\chi^{2}$ is smaller then the value obtained from the chi-square table, we do not reject the null hypothesis and we conclude that the difference between the observed distribution and the normal distribution is not significant at a significance level of $5 \%$.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Chi-square table
- Goodness of fit test
- Hypothesis testing
- Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
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## Chi-Square Table

The chi-square table gives the values obtained from the distribution function of a random variable that follows a chisquare distribution.

## HISTORY

One of the first chi-square tables was published in 1902, by Elderton. It contains distribution function values that are given to six decimal places.
In 1922, Pearson, Karl reported a table of values for the incomplete gamma function, down to seven decimals.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X$ be a random variable that follows a chi-square distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom. The density function of the random variable $X$ is given by:

$$
f(t)=\frac{t^{\frac{v}{2}-1} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{v}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $\Gamma$ represents the gamma function (see gamma distribution).
The distribution function of the random variable $X$ is defined by:

$$
F(x)=P(X \leq x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

The chi-square table gives the values of the distribution function $F(x)$ for different values of $v$.
We often use the chi-square table in the opposite way, to find the value of $x$ that corresponds to a given probability.
We generally denote as $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$ the value of the random variable $X$ for which

$$
P\left(X \leq \chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}\right)=1-\alpha .
$$

Note: the notation $\chi^{2}$ is read "chi-square".

## EXAMPLES

See Appendix F.
The chi-square table allows us, for a given number of degrees of freedom $v$, to determine:

1. The value of the distribution function $F(x)$, given $x$.
2. The value of $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$, given the probability $P\left(X \leq \chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}\right)$.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Chi-square goodness of fit test
- Chi-square test
- Chi-square test of independence
- Statistical table
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## Chi-Square Test

There are a number of chi-square tests, all of which involve comparing the test results to the values from the chi-square distribution. The most well-known of these tests are introduced below:

- The chi-square test of independence is used to determine whether two qualitative categorical variables associated with a sample are independent.
- The chi-square goodness of fit test is used to determine whether the distribution observed for a sample can be approximated by a theoretical distribution. We
might want to know, for example, whether the distribution observed for the sample corresponds to a particular probability distribution (normal distribution, Poisson distribution, etc).
- The chi-square test for an unknown variance is used when we want to test whether this variance takes a particular constant value.
- The chi-square test is used to test for homogeneity of the variances calculated for many samples drawn from a normally distributed population.


## HISTORY

In 1937, Bartlett, M.S. proposed a method of testing the homogeneity of the variance for many samples drawn from a normally distributed population.
See also chi-square test of independence and chi-square goodness of fit test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The mathematical aspects of the chi-square test of independence and those of the chisquare goodness of fit test are dealt with in their corresponding entries.
The chi-square test used to check whether an unknown variance takes a particular constant value is the following:
Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a random sample coming from a normally distributed population of unknown mean $\mu$ and of unknown variance $\sigma^{2}$.
We have good reason to believe that the variance of the population equals a presumed value $\sigma_{0}^{2}$. The hypotheses for each case are described below.

## A: Two-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2} \\
H_{1}: & \sigma^{2} \neq \sigma_{0}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

## B: One-sided test:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \sigma^{2} \leq \sigma_{0}^{2} \\
H_{1}: & \sigma^{2}>\sigma_{0}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

## C: One-sided test:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \sigma^{2} \geq \sigma_{0}^{2} \\
H_{1}: & \sigma^{2}<\sigma_{0}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

We then determine the statistic of the given chi-square test using:

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} .
$$

This statistic is, under $H_{0}$, chi-square distributed with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. In other words, we look for the value of $\chi_{n-1, \alpha}^{2}$ in the chi-square table, and we then compare that value to the calculated value $\chi^{2}$. The decision rules depend on the case, and are as follows.

## Case A

If $\chi^{2} \geq \chi_{n-1, \alpha_{1}}^{2}$ or if $\chi^{2} \leq \chi_{n-1,1-\alpha_{2}}^{2}$ we reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ for the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$, where we have split the significance level $\alpha$ into $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ such that $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\alpha$. Otherwise we do not reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.

## Case B

If $\chi^{2}<\chi_{n-1, \alpha}^{2}$ we do not reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$. If $\chi^{2} \geq \chi_{n-1, \alpha}^{2}$ we reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ for the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.

## Case C

If $\chi^{2}>\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha}^{2}$ we do not reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$. If $\chi^{2} \leq \chi_{n-1,1-\alpha}^{2}$ we
reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ for the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.
Other chi-square tests are proposed in the work of Ostle, B. (1963).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

$\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) statistic must be calculated using absolute frequencies and not relative ones.
Note that the chi-square test can be unreliable for small samples, especially when some of the estimated frequencies are small $(<5)$. This issue can often be resolved by grouping categories together, if such grouping is sensible.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a batch of items produced by a machine. They can be divided up into classes depending on their diameters (in mm ), as in the following table:

| Diameter $(\mathrm{mm}) \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Number of items $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 59.5 | 2 |
| 59.6 | 6 |
| 59.7 | 7 |
| 59.8 | 15 |
| 59.9 | 17 |
| 60.0 | 25 |
| 60.1 | 15 |
| 60.2 | 7 |
| 60.3 | 3 |
| 60.4 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 60.5 | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total |  |

We have a random sample drawn from a normally distributed population where the mean and variance are not known. The vendor of these items would like the variance $\sigma^{2}$ to be smaller than or equal to 0.05 . We test
the following hypotheses:

$$
\text { null hypothesis } H_{0}: \quad \sigma^{2} \leq 0.05
$$

alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \sigma^{2}>0.05$.
In this case we use the one-tailed hypothesis test.
We start by calculating the mean for the sample:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{11} n_{i} \cdot x_{i}}{N}=\frac{5995}{100}=59.95 .
$$

We can then calculate the $\chi^{2}$ statistics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{11} n_{i} \cdot\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 \cdot(-0.45)^{2}+\ldots+2 \cdot(0.55)^{2}}{0.05} \\
& =\frac{3.97}{0.05}=79.4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using a significance level of $\alpha=5 \%$, we then find the value of $\chi_{99,0.05}^{2}(=123.2)$ in the chi-square table.
As $\chi^{2}=79.4<\chi_{99,0.05}^{2}$, we do not reject the null hypothesis, which means that the vendor should be happy to sell these items since they are not significantly different in diameter.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Chi-square goodness of fit test
- Chi-square table
- Chi-square test of independence
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## Chi-square Test of Independence

The chi-square test of independence aims to determine whether two variables associated with a sample are independent or not. The variables studied are categorical qualitative variables.
The chi-square independence test is performed using a contingency table.

## HISTORY

The first contingency tables were used only for enumeration. However, encouraged by the work of Quetelet, Adolphe (1849), statisticians began to take an interest in the associations between the variables used in the tables. For example, Pearson, Karl (1900) performed fundamental work on contingency tables.
Yule, George Udny (1900) proposed a somewhat different approach to the study of contingency tables to Pearson's, which lead to a disagreement between them. Pearson also argued with Fisher, Ronald Aylmer about the number of degrees of freedom to use in the chi-square test of independence. Everyone used different numbers until Fisher, R.A. (1922) was eventually proved to be correct.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider two qualitative categorical variables $X$ and $Y$. We have a sample containing $n$ observations of these variables.

These observations can be presented in a contingency table.
We denote the observed frequency of the category $i$ of the variable $X$ and the category $j$ of the variable $Y$ as $n_{i j}$.

|  | Categories of variable $Y$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $Y_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $Y_{c}$ | Total |
| Categories <br> of <br> variable $X$ | $X_{1}$ | $n_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{1 c}$ | $n_{1 .}$ |
|  | $X_{r}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | $n_{r 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{r c}$ | $n_{r .}$ |  |
|  | Total | $n_{.1}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{. c}$ | $n_{. .}$ |

The hypotheses to be tested are:
Null hyp. $H_{0}: \quad$ the two variables are independent,

Alternative hyp. $H_{1}$ : the two variables are not independent.

## Steps Involved in the Test

1. Compute the expected frequencies, denoted by $e_{i j}$, for each case in the contingency table under the independence hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i j} & =\frac{n_{i .} \cdot n_{. j}}{n_{. .}}, \\
n_{i .} & =\sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{i k} \text { and } n_{. j}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ represents the number of columns (or number of categories of variable $X$ in the contingency table) and $r$ the number of rows (or the number of categories of variable $Y$ ).
2. Calculate the value of the $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) statistic, which is really a measure of the deviation of the observed frequencies $n_{i j}$ from the expected frequencies $e_{i j}$ :

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\left(n_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}}
$$

3. Choose the significance level $\alpha$ to be used in the test and compare the calculated value of $\chi^{2}$ with the value obtained from the chi-square table, $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$. The number of degrees of freedom correspond to the number of cases in the table that can take arbitrary values; the values taken by the other cases are imposed on them by the row and column totals. So, the number of degrees of freedom is given by:

$$
v=(r-1)(c-1) .
$$

4. If the calculated $\chi^{2}$ is smaller then the $\chi_{\nu, \alpha}^{2}$ from the table, we do not reject the null hypothesis. The two variables can be considered to be independent.
However, if the calculated $\chi^{2}$ is greater then the $\chi_{v, \alpha}^{2}$ from the table, we reject the null hypothesis for the alternative hypothesis. We can then conclude that the two variables are not independent.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Certain conditions must be fulfilled in order to be able to apply the chi-square test of independence:

1. The sample, which contains $n$ observations, must be a random sample;
2. Each individual observation can only appear in one category for each variable. In other words, each individual observation can only appear in one line and one column of the contingency table.

Note that the chi-square test of independence is not very reliable for small samples, especially when the estimated frequencies are small $(<5)$. To avoid this issue we can group categories together, but only when this groups obtained are sensible.

## EXAMPLES

We want to determine whether the proportion of smokers is independent of gender. The two variables to be studied are categorical and qualitative and contain two categories each:

- Variable "gender:" M or F;
- Variable "smoking status:" "smokes" or "does not smoke."

The hypotheses are then:
$H_{0}$ : chance of being a smoker is independent of gender
$H_{1}$ : chance of being a smoker is not independent of gender.

The contingency table obtained from a sample of 100 individuals ( $n=100$ ) is shown below:

Smoking status

|  |  | "smokes" | "does <br> not <br> smoke" | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender | M | 21 | 44 | 65 |
|  | F | 10 | 25 | 35 |
|  | Total | 31 | 69 | 100 |

We now denote the observed frequencies as $n_{i j}(i=1,2, j=1,2)$.
We then estimate all of the frequencies in the table based on the hypothesis that the two variables are independent of each other. We denote these estimated frequencies by $e_{i j}$ :

$$
e_{i j}=\frac{n_{i .} \cdot n_{. j}}{n_{. .}}
$$

We therefore obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{11}=\frac{65 \cdot 31}{100}=20.15 \\
& e_{12}=\frac{65 \cdot 69}{100}=44.85
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{21}=\frac{35 \cdot 31}{100}=10.85 \\
& e_{12}=\frac{35 \cdot 69}{100}=24.15
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimated frequency table is given below:

Smoking status


If the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ is true, the statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\left(n_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}}
$$

is chi-square-distributed with $(r-1)$ $(c-1)=(2-1)(2-1)=1$ degree of freedom and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =0.036+0.016+0.066+0.030 \\
& =0.148
\end{aligned}
$$

If a significance level of 5\% is selected, the value of $\chi_{1,0.05}^{2}$ is 3.84 , from the chi-square table.
Since the calculated value of $\chi^{2}$ is smaller then the value found in the chi-square table, we do not reject the null hypothesis and we conclude that the two variables studied are independent.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Chi-square table
- Contingency table
- Test of independence
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## Classification

Classification is the grouping together of similar objects. If each object is characterized by $p$ variables, classification can be performed according to rational criteria. Depending on the criteria used, an object could potentially belong to several classes.

## HISTORY

Classifying the residents of a locality or a country according to their sex and other physical characteristics is an activity that dates back to ancient times. The Hindus, the
ancient Greeks and the Romans all developed multiple typologies for human beings. The oldest comes from Galen (129-199 A.D.).

Later on, the concept of classification spread to the fields of biology and zoology; the works of Linné (1707-1778) should be mentioned in this regard.
The first ideas regarding actual methods of cluster analysis are attributed to Adanson (eighteenth century). Zubin (1938), Tryon (1939) and Thorndike (1953) also attempted to develop some methods, but the true development of classification methods coincides with the advent of the computer.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Classification methods can be divided into two large categories, one based on probabilities and the other not.

The first category contains, for example, discriminating analysis. The second category can be further subdivided into two groups. The first group contains what are known as optimal classification methods. In the second group, we can distinguish between several subtypes of classification method:

- Partition methods that consist of distributing $n$ objects among $g$ groups in such a way that each object exclusively belongs to just one group. The number of groups $g$ is fixed beforehand, and the partition applied most closely satisfies the classification criteria.
- Partition methods incorporating infringing classes, where an object is allowed to belong to several groups simultaneously.
- Hierarchical classification methods, where the structure of the data at different levels of classification is taken into account. We can then take account for the relationships that exist between the dif-
ferent groups created during the partition. Two different kinds of hierarchical techniques exist: agglomerating techniques and dividing techniques.
Agglomerating methods start with separated objects, meaning that the $n$ objects are initially distributed into $n$ groups. Two groups are agglomerated in each subsequent step until there is only one group left.
In contrast, dividing methods start with all of the objects grouped together, meaning that all of the $n$ objects are in one single group to start with. New groups are created at each subsequent step until there are $n$ groups.
- Geometric classification, in which the objects are depicted on a scatter plot and then grouped according to position on the plot. In a graphical representation, the proximities of the objects to each other in the graphic correspond to the similarities between the objects.
The first three types of classification are generally grouped together under the term cluster analysis. What they have in common is the fact that the objects to be classified must present a certain amount of structure that allows us to measure the degree of similarity between the objects.
Each type of classification contains a multitude of methods that allow us to create classes of similar objects.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Classification can be used in two cases:

- Description cases;
- Prediction cases.

In the first case, the classification is done on the basis of some generally accepted standard characteristics. For example, professions can be classified into freelance,
managers, workers, and so on, and one can calculate average salaries, average frequency of health problems, and so on, for each class.
In the second case, classification will lead to a prediction and then to an action. For example, if the foxes in a particular region exhibit apathetic behavior and excessive salivation, we can conclude that there is a new rabies epidemic. This should then prompt a vaccine campaign.

## FURTHER READING

- Cluster analysis
- Complete linkage method
- Data analysis
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## Cluster Analysis

Clustering is the partitioning of a data set into subsets or clusters, so that the degree of association is strong between members of the same cluster and weak between members of
different clusters according to some defined distance measure.
Several methods of performing cluster analysis exist:

- Partitional clustering
- Hierarchical clustering.


## HISTORY

See classification and data analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

To carry out cluster analysis on a set of $n$ objects, we need to define a distance between the objects (or more generally a measure of the similarity between the objects) that need to be classified. The existence of some kind of structure within the set of objects is assumed.
To carry out a hierarchical classification of a set $E$ of objects $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, it is necessary to define a distance associated with $E$ that can be used to obtain a distance table between the objects of $E$. Similarly, a distance must also be defined for any subsets of $E$.
One approach to hierarchical clustering is to use the agglomerating method. It can be summarized in the following algorithm:

1. Locate the pair of objects $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ which have the smallest distance between each other.
2. Aggregate the pair of objects $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ into a single element $\alpha$ and re-establish a new distance table. This is achieved by suppressing the lines and columns associated with $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ and replacing them with a line and a column associated with $\alpha$. The new distance table will have a line and a column less than the previous table.
3. Repeat these two operations until the desired number of classes are obtained or
until all of the objects are gathered into the same class.
Note that the distance between the group formed from aggregated elements and the other elements can be defined in different ways, leading to different methods. Examples include the single link method and the complete linkage method.
The single link method is a hierarchical classification method that uses the Euclidean distance to establish a distance table, and the distance between two classes is given by the Euclidean distance between the two closest elements (the minimum distance).
In the complete linkage method, the distance between two classes is given by the Euclidean distance between the two elements furthest away (the maximum distance).
Given that the only difference between these two methods is that the distance between two classes is either the minimum and the maximum distance, only the single link method will be considered here.
For a set $E=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$, the distance table for the elements of $E$ is then established.
Since this table is symmetric and null along its diagonal, only one half of the table is considered:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
d\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & d\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) & \ldots & d\left(X_{1}, X_{n}\right) \\
& d\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) & \ldots & d\left(X_{2}, X_{n}\right) \\
& & \ddots & \ldots \\
& & & d\left(X_{n-1}, X_{n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ is the Euclidean distance between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ for $i<j$, where the values of $i$ and $j$ are between 1 and $n$.
The algorithm for the single link method is as follows:

- Search for the minimum $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ for $i<j$;
- The elements $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are aggregated into a new group $C_{k}=X_{i} \cup X_{j}$;
- The set $E$ is then partitioned into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{X_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{i-1}\right\},\left\{X_{i}, X_{j}\right\},\left\{X_{i+1}\right\}, \ldots, \\
& \left\{X_{j-1}\right\},\left\{X_{j+1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The distance table is then recreated without the lines and columns associated with $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$, and with a line and a column representing the distances between $X_{m}$ and $C_{k}, m=1,2, \ldots, n m \neq$ $i$ and $m \neq j$, given by:

$$
d\left(C_{k}, X_{m}\right)=\min \left\{d\left(X_{i}, X_{m}\right) ; d\left(X_{j}, X_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

The algorithm is repeated until the desired number of groups is attained or until there is only one group containing all of the elements.
In the general case, the distance between two groups is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(C_{k}, C_{m}\right)=\min \left\{d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \text { with } X_{i}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \text { belonging to } C_{k} \text { and } X_{j} \text { to } C_{m}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula quoted previously applies to the particular case when the groups are composed, respectively, of two elements and one single element.
This series of agglomerations can be represented by a dendrogram, where the abscissa shows the distance separating the objects. Note that we could find more than one pair when we search for the pair of closest elements. In this case, the pair that is selected for aggregation in the first step does not influence later steps (provided the algorithm does not finish at this step), because the other pair of closest elements will be aggregated in the following step. The aggregation order is not shown on the dendrogram because it reports the distance that separates two grouped objects.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The choice of the distance between the group formed of aggregated elements and the other elements can be operated in several ways, according to the method that is used, as for example in the single link method and in the complete linkage method.

## EXAMPLES

Let us illustrate how the single link method of cluster analysis can be applied to the examination grades obtained by five students each studying four courses: English, French, maths and physics.
We want to divide these five students into two groups using the single link method.
The grades obtained in the examinations, which range from 1 to 6 , are summarized in the following table:

|  | English | French | Maths | Physics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Jean | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Marc | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Paul | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Pierre | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |

We then work out the Euclidian distances between the students and use them to create a distance table:

|  | Alain | Jean | Marc | Paul | Pierre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 0 | 1.22 | 1.5 | 2.35 | 2 |
| Jean | 1.22 | 0 | 1.8 | 2.65 | 2.74 |
| Marc | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.32 | 1.12 |
| Paul | 2.35 | 2.65 | 1.32 | 0 | 1.22 |
| Pierre | 2 | 2.74 | 1.12 | 1.22 | 0 |

By only considering the upper part of this symmetric table, we obtain:

|  | Jean | Marc | Paul | Pierre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 1.22 | 1.5 | 2.35 | 2 |
| Jean |  | 1.8 | 2.65 | 2.74 |
| Marc |  |  | 1.32 | 1.12 |
| Paul |  |  |  | 1.22 |

The minimum distance is 1.12 , between Marc and Pierre; we therefore form the first group from these two students. We then calculate the new distances.
For example, we calculate the new distance between Marc and Pierre on one side and Alain on the other by taking the minimum distance between Marc and Alain and the minimum distance between Pierre and Alain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\}, \text { Alain }) \\
& =\min \{d(\text { Marc,Alain }) ; d(\text { Pierre,Alain })\} \\
& =\min \{1.5 ; 2\}=1.5,
\end{aligned}
$$

also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\}, \text { Jean }) \\
& =\min \{d(\text { Marc,Jean }) ; d(\text { Pierre,Jean })\} \\
& =\min \{1.8 ; 2.74\}=1.8,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\}, \text { Paul }) \\
& =\min \{d(\text { Marc,Paul }) ; d(\text { Pierre,Paul })\} \\
& =\min \{1.32 ; 1.22\}=1.22
\end{aligned}
$$

The new distance table takes the following form:

|  | Jean | Marc and <br> Pierre | Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 1.22 | 1.5 | 2.35 |
| Jean |  | 1.8 | 2.65 |
| Marc and Pierre |  |  | 1.22 |

The minimum distance is now 1.22 , between Alain and Jean and also between the group
of Marc and Pierre on the one side and Paul on the other side (in other words, two pairs exhibit the minimum distance); let us choose to regroup Alain and Jean first. The other pair will be aggregated in the next step. We rebuild the distance table and obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\{\text { Alain,Jean }\},\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\}) \\
& =\min \{d(\text { Alain },\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\}), \\
& d(\text { Jean },\{\text { Marc,Pierre }\})\} \\
& =\min \{1.5 ; 1.8\}=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\{\text { Alain,Jean }\}, \text { Paul }) \\
& =\min \{d(\text { Alain }, \text { Paul }) ; d(\text { Jean }, \text { Paul })\} \\
& =\min \{2.35 ; 2.65\}=2.35 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the following distance table:

|  | Marc and Pierre | Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain and Jean | 1.5 | 2.35 |
| Marc and Pierre |  | 1.22 |

Notice that Paul must now be integrated in the group formed from Marc and Pierre, and the new distance will be:

```
\(d(\{(\) Marc,Pierre \()\), Paul \(\},\{\) Alain,Jean \(\})\)
\(=\min \{d(\{\) Marc,Pierre \(\},\{\) Alain,Jean \(\})\),
\(d\) (Paul,\{Alain,Jean \}) \}
\(=\min \{1.5 ; 2.35\}=1.5\)
```

which gives the following distance table:

|  | Alain and Jean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Marc, Pierre and Paul | 1.5 |

We finally obtain two groups:
\{Alain and Jean\} and \{Marc, Pierre and Paul $\}$ which are separated by a distance of 1.5.

The following dendrogram illustrates the successive aggregations:


## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Complete linkage method
- Dendrogram
- Distance
- Distance table
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## Cluster Sampling

In cluster sampling, the first step is to divide the population into subsets called clusters. Each cluster consists of individuals that are supposed to be representative of the population.
Cluster sampling then involves choosing a random sample of clusters and then observing all of the individuals that belong to each of them.

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Cluster sampling is the process of randomly extracting representative sets (known as clusters) from a larger population of units and then applying a questionnaire to all of the units in the clusters. The clusters often consist of geographical units, like city districts. In this case, the method involves dividing a city into districts, and then selecting the districts to be included in the sample. Finally, all of the people or households in the chosen district are questioned.
There are two principal reasons to perform cluster sampling. In many inquiries, there is no complete and reliable list of the population units on which to base the sampling, or it may be that it is too expensive to create such a list. For example, in many countries, including industrialized ones, it is rare to have complete and up-to-date lists of all of the members of the population, households or rural estates. In this situation, sampling can be achieved in a geographical manner: each urban region is divided up into districts and each rural region into rural estates. The districts and the agricultural areas are considered to be clusters and we use the com-
plete list of clusters because we do not have a complete and up-to-date list of all population units. Therefore, we sample a requisite number of clusters from the list and then question all of the units in the selected cluster.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The advantage of cluster sampling is that it is not necessary to have a complete, up-todate list of all of the units of the population to perform analysis.
For example, in many countries, there are no updated lists of people or housing. The costs of creating such lists are often prohibitive.
It is therefore easier to analyze subsets of the population (known as clusters).
In general, cluster sampling provides estimations that are not as precise as simple random sampling, but this drop in accuracy is easily offset by the far lower cost of cluster sampling.
In order to perform cluster sampling as efficiently as possible:

- The clusters should not be too big, and there should be a large enough number of clusters,
- cluster sizes should be as uniform as possible;
- The individuals belonging to each cluster must be as heterogenous as possible with respect to the parameter being observed.
Another reason to use cluster sampling is cost. Even when a complete and up-to-date list of all population units exists, it may be preferable to use cluster sampling from an economic point of view, since it is completed faster, involves fewer workers and minimizes transport costs. It is therefore more appropriate to use cluster sampling if the money saved by doing so is far more signif-
icant than the increase in sampling variance that will result.


## EXAMPLES

Consider $N$, the size of the population of town $X$. We want to study the distribution of "Age" for town $X$ without performing a census. The population is divided into $G$ parts. Simple random sampling is performed amongst these $G$ parts and we obtain $g$ parts. The final sample will be composed of all the individuals in the $g$ selected parts.

## FURTHER READING

- Sampling
- Simple random sampling
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## Coefficient of Determination

The coefficient of determination, denoted $R^{2}$, is the quotient of the explained variation (sum of squares due to regression) to the total variation (total sum of squares total SS (TSS)) in a model of simple or multiple linear regression:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{\text { Explained variation }}{\text { Total variation }}
$$

It equals the square of the correlation coefficient, and it can take values between 0 and 1 . It is often expressed as a percentage.

## HISTORY

See correlation coefficient.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the following model for multiple regression:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}+\cdots+\beta_{p} X_{i p}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$, where
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ are the dependent variables,
$X_{i j}(i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, p)$ are the independent variables,
$\varepsilon_{i}$ are the random nonobservable error terms,
$\beta_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, p)$ are the parameters to be estimated.

Estimating the parameters $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ yields the estimation

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i 1}+\cdots+\hat{\beta}_{p} X_{i p}
$$

The coefficient of determination allows us to measure the quality of fit of the regression equation to the measured values.
To determine the quality of the fit of the regression equation, consider the gap between the observed value and the estimated value for each observation of the sample. This gap (or residual) can also be expressed in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} \\
\text { TSS }= & \text { RSS }+ \text { REGSS }
\end{aligned}
$$

where
TSS is the total sum of squares,
RSS the residual sum of squares and
REGSS the sum of the squares of the regression.

These concepts and the relationships between them are presented in the following graph:


Using these concepts, we can define $R^{2}$, which is the determination coefficient. It measures the proportion of variation in variable $Y$, which is described by the regression equation as:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{REGSS}}{\mathrm{TSS}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}
$$

If the regression function is to be used to make predictions about subsequent observations, it is preferable to have a high value of $R^{2}$, because the higher the value of $R^{2}$, the smaller the unexplained variation.

## EXAMPLES

The following table gives values for the Gross National Product (GNP) and the demand for domestic products covering the 1969-1980 period for a particular country.

| Year | GNP <br> $X$ | Demand for dom- <br> estic products $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1969 | 50 | 6 |
| 1970 | 52 | 8 |
| 1971 | 55 | 9 |
| 1972 | 59 | 10 |


| Year | GNP <br> $X$ | Demand for dom- <br> estic products $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1973 | 57 | 8 |
| 1974 | 58 | 10 |
| 1975 | 62 | 12 |
| 1976 | 65 | 9 |
| 1977 | 68 | 11 |
| 1978 | 69 | 10 |
| 1979 | 70 | 11 |
| 1980 | 72 | 14 |

We will try to estimate the demand for small goods as a function of GNP according to the model

$$
Y_{i}=a+b \cdot X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 12 .
$$

Estimating the parameters $a$ and $b$ by the least squares method yields the following estimators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{b}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}=0.226, \\
& \hat{a}=\bar{Y}-\hat{b} \cdot \bar{X}=-4.047 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimated line is written

$$
\hat{Y}=-4.047+0.226 \cdot X
$$

The quality of the fit of the measured points to the regression line is given by the determination coefficient:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{REGSS}}{\mathrm{TSS}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}
$$

We can calculate the mean using:

$$
\bar{Y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} Y_{i}}{n}=9.833
$$

| $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7.260 | 14.694 | 6.622 |
| 8 | 7.712 | 3.361 | 4.500 |
| 9 | 8.390 | 0.694 | 2.083 |
| 10 | 9.294 | 0.028 | 0.291 |
| 8 | 8.842 | 3.361 | 0.983 |
| 10 | 9.068 | 0.028 | 0.586 |
| 12 | 9.972 | 4.694 | 0.019 |
| 9 | 10.650 | 0.694 | 0.667 |
| 11 | 11.328 | 1.361 | 2.234 |
| 10 | 11.554 | 0.028 | 2.961 |
| 11 | 11.780 | 1.361 | 3.789 |
| 14 | 12.232 | 17.361 | 4.754 |
| Total |  | 47.667 | 30.489 |

We therefore obtain:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{30.489}{47.667}=0.6396
$$

or, in percent:

$$
R^{2}=63.96 \%
$$

We can therefore conclude that, according to the model chosen, $63.96 \%$ of the variation in the demand for small goods is explained by the variation in the GNP.
Obviously the value of $R^{2}$ cannot exceed $100 \%$. While $63.96 \%$ is relatively high, it is not close enough to $100 \%$ to rule out trying to modify the model further.
This analysis also shows that other variables apart from the GNP should be taken into account when determining the function corresponding to the demand for small goods, since the GNP only partially explains the variation.

## FURTHER READING

- Correlation coefficient
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression


## Coefficient of Kurtosis

The coefficient of kurtosis is used to measure the peakness or flatness of a curve. It is based on the moments of the distribution. This coefficient is one of the measures of kurtosis.

## HISTORY

See coefficient of skewness.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The coefficient of kurtosis $\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ is based on the centered fourth-order moment of a distribution which is equal to:

$$
\mu_{4}=E\left[(X-\mu)^{4}\right] .
$$

In order to obtain a coefficient of kurtosis that is independent of the units of measurement, the fourth-order moment is divided by the standard deviation of the population $\sigma$ raised to the fourth power. The coefficient of kurtosis then becomes equal to:

$$
\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\sigma^{4}} .
$$

For a sample $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, the estimator of this coefficient is denoted by $b_{2}$. It is equal to:

$$
b_{2}=\frac{m_{4}}{S^{4}},
$$

where $m_{4}$ is the centered fourth-order moment of the sample, given by:

$$
m_{4}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{4},
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean, $n$ is the total number of observations and $S^{4}$ is the standard deviation of the sample raised to the fourth power.
For the case where a random variable $X$ takes values $x_{i}$ with frequencies $f_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, h$, the centered fourth-order moment of the sample is given by the formula:

$$
m_{4}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} \cdot\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{4} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

For a normal distribution, the coefficient of kurtosis is equal to 3 . Therefore a curve will be called platikurtic (meaning flatter than the normal distribution) if it has a kurtosis coefficient smaller than 3. It will be leptokurtic (meaning sharper than the normal distribution) if $\beta_{2}$ is greater than 3 .
Let us now prove that the coefficient of kurtosis is equal to 3 for the normal distribution. We know that $\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\sigma^{4}}$, meaning that the centered fourth-order moment is divided by the standard deviation raised to the fourth power. It can be proved that the centered $s$ th order moment, denoted $\mu_{s}$, satisfies the following relation for a normal distribution:

$$
\mu_{s}=(s-1) \sigma^{2} \cdot \mu_{s-2} .
$$

This formula is a recursive formula which expresses higher order moments as a function of lower order moments.
Given that $\mu_{0}=1$ (the zero-order moment of any random variable is equal to 1 , since it is the expected value of this variable raised to the power zero) and that $\mu_{1}=0$ (the centered first-order moment is zero for any random variable), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{2}=\sigma^{2} \\
& \mu_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{4}=3 \sigma^{4} \\
\text { etc. } .
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficient of kurtosis is then equal to:

$$
\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\sigma^{4}}=\frac{3 \sigma^{4}}{\sigma^{4}}=3 .
$$

## EXAMPLES

We want to calculate the kurtosis of the distribution of daily turnover for 75 bakeries. Let us calculate the coefficient of kurtosis $\beta_{2}$ using the following data:

Table categorizing the daily turnovers of 75 bakeries

| Turnover | Frequencies |
| :--- | ---: |
| $215-235$ | 4 |
| $235-255$ | 6 |
| $255-275$ | 13 |
| $275-295$ | 22 |
| $295-315$ | 15 |
| $315-335$ | 6 |
| $335-355$ | 5 |
| $355-375$ | 4 |

The fourth-order moment of the sample is given by:

$$
m_{4}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{4},
$$

where $n=75, \bar{x}=290.60$ and $x_{i}$ is the center of class interval $i$. We can summarize the calculations in the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 225 | -65.60 | 4 | 74075629.16 |
| 245 | -45.60 | 6 | 25942428.06 |
| 265 | -25.60 | 13 | 5583457.48 |
| 285 | -5.60 | 22 | 21635.89 |
| 305 | 14.40 | 15 | 644972.54 |
| 325 | 34.40 | 6 | 8402045.34 |
| 345 | 54.40 | 5 | 43789058.05 |
| 365 | 74.40 | 4 | 122560841.32 |
|  |  |  | 281020067.84 |

Since $S=33.88$, the coefficient of kurtosis is equal to:

$$
\beta_{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{75}(281020067.84)}{(33.88)^{4}}=2.84
$$

Since $\beta_{2}$ is smaller than 3 , we can conclude that the distribution of the daily turnover in 75 bakeries is platikurtic, meaning that it is flatter than the normal distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of kurtosis
- Measure of shape


## REFERENCES

See coefficient of skewness $\beta_{1}$ de Pearson.

## Coefficient of Skewness

The coefficient of skewness measures the skewness of a distribution. It is based on the notion of the moment of the distribution. This coefficient is one of the measures of skewness.

## HISTORY

Between the end of the nineteenth century and the beginning of the twentieth century, Pearson, Karl studied large sets of data which sometimes deviated significantly from normality and exhibited considerable skewness.

He first used the following coefficient as a measure of skewness:

$$
\text { skewness }=\frac{\bar{x}-\text { mode }}{S},
$$

where $\bar{x}$ represents thearithmetic mean and $S$ the standard deviation.

This measure is equal to zero if the data are distributed symmetrically.

He discovered empirically that for a moderately asymmetric distribution (the gamma distribution):

$$
M_{\mathrm{o}}-\bar{x} \approx 3 \cdot\left(M_{\mathrm{d}}-\bar{x}\right),
$$

where $M_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{d}}$ denote the mode and the median of data set. By substituting this expression into the previous coefficient, the following alternative formula is obtained:

$$
\text { skewness }=\frac{3 \cdot\left(\bar{x}-M_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}{S} .
$$

Following this, Pearson, K. $(1894,1895)$ introduced a coefficient of skewness, known as the $\beta_{1}$ coefficient, based on calculations of the centered moments. This coefficient is more difficult to calculate but it is more descriptive and better adapted to large numbers of observations.
Pearson, K. also created the coefficient of kurtosis ( $\beta_{2}$ ), which is used to measure the oblateness of a curve. This coefficient is also based on the moments of the distribution being studied.
Tables giving the limit values of the coefficients $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ can be found in the works of Pearson and Hartley $(1966,1972)$. If the sample estimates a fall outside the limit for $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, we conclude that the population is significantly curved or skewed.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The skewness coefficient is based on the centered third-order moment of the distribution in question, which is equal to:

$$
\mu_{3}=E\left[(X-\mu)^{3}\right]
$$

To obtain a coefficient of skewness that is independent of the measuring unit, the thirdorder moment is divided by the standard deviation of the population $\sigma$ raised to the
third power. The coefficient obtained, designated by $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}$, is equal to:

$$
\sqrt{\beta_{1}}=\frac{\mu_{3}}{\sigma^{3}} .
$$

The estimator of this coefficient, calculated for a sample ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}$ ), is denoted by $\sqrt{b_{1}}$. It is equal to:

$$
\sqrt{b_{1}}=\frac{m_{3}}{S^{3}}
$$

where $m_{3}$ is the centered third-order moment of the sample, given by:

$$
m_{3}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{3}
$$

Here $\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean, $n$ is the total number of observations and $S^{3}$ is the standard deviation raised to the third power. For the case where a random variable $X$ takes values $x_{i}$ with frequencies $f_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, h$, the centered third-order moment of the sample is given by the formula:

$$
m_{3}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} \cdot\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{3} .
$$

If the coefficient is positive, the distribution spreads to the the right. If it is negative, the distribution expands to the left. If it is close to zero, the distribution is approximately symmetric.
If the sample is taken from a normal population, the statistic $\sqrt{b_{1}}$ roughly follows a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of $\sqrt{\frac{6}{n}}$. If the size of the sample $n$ is bigger than 150, the normal table can be used to test the skewness hypothesis.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

This coefficient (similar to the other measures of skewness) is only of interest if it
can be used to compare the shapes of two or more distributions.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that we want to compare the shapes of the daily turnover distributions obtained for 75 bakeries for two different years. We then calculate the skewness coefficient in both cases.
The data are categorized in the table below:

| Turnover | Frequencies <br> for year 1 | Frequencies <br> for year 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $215-235$ | 4 | 25 |
| $235-255$ | 6 | 15 |
| $255-275$ | 13 | 9 |
| $275-295$ | 22 | 8 |
| $295-315$ | 15 | 6 |
| $315-335$ | 6 | 5 |
| $335-355$ | 5 | 4 |
| $355-375$ | 4 | 3 |

The third-order moment of the sample is given by:

$$
m_{3}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} \cdot\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{3} .
$$

For year $1, n=75, \bar{x}=290.60$ and $x_{i}$ is the center of each class interval $i$. The calculations are summarized in the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 225 | -65.60 | 4 | -1129201.664 |
| 245 | -45.60 | 6 | -568912.896 |
| 265 | -25.60 | 13 | -218103.808 |
| 285 | -5.60 | 22 | -3863.652 |
| 305 | 14.40 | 15 | 44789.760 |
| 325 | 34.40 | 6 | 244245.504 |
| 345 | 54.40 | 5 | 804945.920 |
| 365 | 74.40 | 4 | 1647323.136 |
|  |  |  | 821222.410 |

Since $S=33.88$, the coefficient of skewness is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{b_{1}} & =\frac{\frac{1}{75}(821222.41)}{(33.88)^{3}}=\frac{10949.632}{38889.307} \\
& =0.282
\end{aligned}
$$

For year $2, n=75$ and $\bar{x}=265.27$. The calculations are summarized in the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 225 | -40.27 | 25 | $-\mathbf{1 6 3 2 2 1 3 . 8 1}$ |
| 245 | -20.27 | 15 | -124864.28 |
| 265 | -0.27 | 9 | -0.17 |
| 285 | 19.73 | 8 | 61473.98 |
| 305 | 39.73 | 6 | 376371.09 |
| 325 | 59.73 | 5 | 1065663.90 |
| 345 | 79.73 | 4 | 2027588.19 |
| 365 | 99.73 | 3 | 2976063.94 |
|  |  |  | 4750082.83 |

Since $S=42.01$, the coefficient of skewness is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{b_{1}} & =\frac{\frac{1}{75}(4750082.83)}{(42.01)^{3}}=\frac{63334.438}{74140.933} \\
& =0.854 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of skewness for year 1 is close to zero $\left(\sqrt{b_{1}}=0.282\right)$, so the daily turnover distribution for the 75 bakeries for year 1 is very close to being a symmetrical distribution. For year 2, the skewness coefficient is higher; this means that the distribution spreads towards the right.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of shape
- Measure of skewness
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## Coefficient of Variation

The coefficient of variation is a measure of relative dispersion. It describes the standard deviation as a percentage of the arithmetic mean.
This coefficient can be used to compare the dispersions of quantitative variables that are notexpressed in the same units (for example, when comparing the salaries in different countries, given in different currencies), or the dispersions of variables that have very different means.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The coefficient of variation $C V$ is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation to the arithmetic mean for a set of observations;
in other words:

$$
C V=\frac{S}{\bar{x}} \cdot 100
$$

for a sample, where:
$S$ is the standard deviation of the sample, and
$\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean of the sample,
or:

$$
C V=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \cdot 100
$$

for a population, where
$\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population, and
$\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the mean of the population.
This coefficient is independent of the unit of measurement used for the variable.

## EXAMPLES

Let us study the salary distributions for two companies from two different countries.
According to a survey, the arithmetic means and the standard deviations of the salaries are as follows:

## Company A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =2500 \mathrm{CHF}, \\
S & =200 \mathrm{CHF}, \\
C V & =\frac{200}{2500} \cdot 100=8 \% .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Company B:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =1000 \mathrm{CHF}, \\
S & =50 \mathrm{CHF}, \\
C V & =\frac{50}{1000} \cdot 100=5 \% .
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviation represents $8 \%$ of the arithmetic mean for company A , and $5 \%$ for company B. The salary distribution is a bit more homogeneous for company B than for company A.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Measure of dispersion
- Standard deviation
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## Collinearity

Variables are known to be mathematically collinear if one of them is a linear combination of the other variables. They are known as statistically collinear if one of them is approximately a linear combination of other variables. In the case of a regression model where the explanatory variables are strongly correlated to each other, we say that there is collinearity (or multicollinearity) between the explanatory variables. In the first case, it is simply impossible to define least squares estimators, and in the second case, these estimators can exhibit considerable variance.

## HISTORY

The term "collinearity" was first used in mathematics at the beginning of the twentieth century, due to the rediscovery of the theorem of Pappus of Alexandria (a thirdcentury mathematician). Let $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ be three points on a line and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ be three points on a different line. If we relate the pairs using $\mathrm{AB}^{\prime} . \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{CA}^{\prime} . \mathrm{AC}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{BC}^{\prime} . \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$, their intersections will occur in a line; in other words, the three intersection points will be collinear.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the case of a matrix of explanatory variables $\mathbf{X}$, collinearity means that one of the columns of $\mathbf{X}$ is (approximately) a linear combination of the other columns. This implies that $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ is almost singular. Consequently, the estimator obtained by the least squares method $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ is obtained by inverting an almost singular matrix, which causes its components to become unstable. The ridge regression technique was created in order to deal with these collinearity problems.
A collinear relation between more than two variables will not always be the result of observing the pairwise correlations between the variables. A better indication of the presence of a collinearity problem is provided by variance inflation factors, VIF. The variance inflation factor of an explanatory variable $X_{j}$ is defined by:

$$
V I F_{j}=\frac{1}{1-R_{j}^{2}}
$$

where $R_{j}^{2}$ is the coefficient of determination of the model

$$
X_{j}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{k \neq j} \beta_{k} X_{k}+\varepsilon .
$$

The coefficient VIF takes values of between 1 and $\infty$. If the $X_{j}$ are mathematically collinear with other variables, we get $R_{j}^{2}=1$ and $V I F_{j}=\infty$. On the other hand, if the $X_{j}$ are reciprocally independent, we have $R_{j}^{2}=0$ and $V I F_{j}=1$. In practice, we consider that there is a real problem with collinearity when $V I F_{j}$ is greater then 100 , which corresponds to a $R_{j}^{2}$ that is greater then 0.99 .

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Inverting a singular matrix, similar to inverting 0 , is not a valid operation. Using the
same principle, inverting an almost singular matrix is similar to inverting a very small number. Some of the elements of the matrix must therefore be very big. Consequently, when the explanatory variables are collinear, some elements of the matrix $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ will probably be very large. This is why collinearity leads to unstable regression estimators. Aside from this problem, collinearity also results in a calculation problem; it is difficult to precisely calculate the inverse of an almost singular matrix.

## EXAMPLES

Thirteen portions of cement are examined in the following example. Each portion contains four ingredients, as described in the table. The goal of the experiment is to determine how the quantities $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ and $X_{4}$, corresponding to the quantities of these four ingredients, affect the quantity $Y$ of heat given out as the cement hardens.
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}$ quantity of heat given out during the hardening of the $i$ th portion (in joules);
$X_{i 1}$ quantity of ingredient 1 (tricalcium aluminate) in the $i$ th portion;
$X_{i 2}$ quantity of ingredient 2 (tricalcium silicate) in the $i$ th portion;
$X_{i 3}$ quantity of the ingredient 3 (tetracalcium aluminoferrite) in the $i$ th portion;
$\boldsymbol{X}_{i 4}$ quantity of ingredient 4 (dicalcium silicate) in the $i$ th portion.

Table: Heat given out by the cement portions during hardening

| Por- <br> tion | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{1} X_{1}$ | $\mathbf{2} X_{2}$ | $\mathbf{3} X_{3}$ | $\mathbf{4} X_{4}$ | $Y$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | 26 | 6 | 60 | 78.5 |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 12 | 52 | 74.3 |


| Por- <br> tion | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient | Ingre- <br> dient | Heat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $i$ | $1 X_{1}$ | $2 X_{2}$ | $3 X_{3}$ | $4 X_{4}$ | $Y$ |
| 3 | 11 | 56 | 8 | 20 | 104.3 |
| 4 | 11 | 31 | 8 | 47 | 87.6 |
| 5 | 7 | 54 | 6 | 33 | 95.9 |
| 6 | 11 | 55 | 9 | 22 | 109.2 |
| 7 | 3 | 71 | 17 | 6 | 102.7 |
| 8 | 1 | 31 | 22 | 44 | 72.5 |
| 9 | 2 | 54 | 18 | 22 | 93.1 |
| 10 | 21 | 48 | 4 | 26 | 115.9 |
| 11 | 1 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 83.9 |
| 12 | 11 | 66 | 9 | 12 | 113.3 |
| 13 | 10 | 68 | 8 | 12 | 109.4 |

We start with a simple linear regression. The model used for the linear regression is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & \beta_{0} \\
& +\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\beta_{4} X_{4} \\
& +\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following results:

| Variable | $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}$ | S.d. | $\boldsymbol{t}_{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constant | $\mathbf{6 2 . 4 1}$ | 70.07 | 0.89 |
| $X_{1}$ | 1.5511 | 0.7448 | 2.08 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.5102 | 0.7238 | 0.70 |
| $X_{3}$ | 0.1019 | 0.7547 | 0.14 |
| $X_{4}$ | -0.1441 | 0.7091 | -0.20 |

We can see that only coefficient $X_{1}$ is significantly greater then zero; in the other cases $t_{c}<t_{(\alpha / 2, n-2)}$ (value taken from the Student table) for a significance level of $\alpha=0.1$. Moreover, the standard deviations of the estimated coefficients $\widehat{\beta_{2}}, \widehat{\beta_{3}}$ and $\widehat{\beta_{4}}$ are greater then the coefficients themselves. When the
variables are strongly correlated, it is known that the effect of one can mask the effect of another. Because of this, the coefficients can appear to be insignificantly different from zero.
To verify the presence of multicollinearity for a couple of variables, we calculate the correlation matrix.

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | 1 | 0.229 | -0.824 | 0.245 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.229 | 1 | -0.139 | -0.973 |
| $x_{3}$ | -0.824 | -0.139 | 1 | 0.030 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0.245 | -0.973 | 0.030 | 1 |

We note that a strong negative correlation ( -0.973 ) exists between $X_{2}$ and $X_{4}$. Looking at the data, we can see the reason for that. Aside from portions 1 to 5 , the total quantity of silicates $\left(X_{2}+X_{4}\right)$ is almost constant across the portions, and is approximately 77 ; therefore, $X_{4}$ is approximately $77-X_{2}$. this situation does not allow us to distinguish between the individual effects of $X_{2}$ and those of $X_{4}$. For example, we see that the four largest values of $X_{4}(60,52,47$ and 44) correspond to values of $Y$ smaller then the mean heat 95.4. Therefore, at first sight it seems that large quantities of ingredient 4 will lead to small amounts of heat. However, we also note that the four largest values of $X_{4}$ correspond to the four smallest values of $X_{2}$, giving a negative correlation between $X_{2}$ and $X_{4}$. This suggests that ingredient 4 taken alone has a small effect on variable $Y$, and that the small quantities of 2 taken alone can explain the small amount of heat emitted. Hence, the linear dependence between two explanatory variables ( $X_{2}$ and $X_{4}$ ) makes it more complicated to see the effect of each variable alone on the response variable $Y$.

## FURTHER READING

- Multiple linear regression
- Ridge regression
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## Combination

A combination is an un-ordened collection of unique elements or objects.
A $k$-combination is a subset with $k$ elements. The number of $k$-combinations from a set of $n$ elements is the number of arrangements.

## HISTORY

See combinatory analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

## 1. Combination without repetition

Combination without repetition describe the situation where each object drawn is not placed back for the next drawing. Each object can therefore only occur once in each group.
The number of combination without repetition of $k$ objects among $n$ is given by:

$$
C_{n}^{k}=\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n!}{k!\cdot(n-k)!} .
$$

## 2. Combination with repetitions

Combination with repetitions (or with remittance) are used when each drawn object is placed back for the next drawing. Each object can then occur $r$ times in each group, $r=0,1, \ldots, k$.

The number of combinations with repetitions of $k$ objects among $n$ is given by:

$$
K_{n}^{k}=\binom{n+k-1}{k}=\frac{(n+k-1)!}{k!\cdot(n-1)!}
$$

## EXAMPLES

## 1. Combinations without repetition

Consider the situation where we must choose a committee of three people from an assembly of eight people. How many different committees could potentially be picked from this assembly, if each person can only be selected once in each group? Here we need to calculate the number of possible combinations of three people from eight:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}^{k} & =\frac{n!}{k!\cdot(n-k)!}=\frac{8!}{3!\cdot(8-3)!} \\
& =\frac{40320}{6 \cdot 120}=56
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is possible to form 56 different committees containing three people from an assembly of eight people.
2. Combinations with repetitions Consider an urn containing six numbered balls. We carry out four successive drawings, and place the drawn ball back into the urn after each drawing. How many different combinations could occur from this drawing? In this case we want to find the number of combinations with repetition (because each drawn ball is placed back in the urn before the next drawing). We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n}^{k} & =\frac{(n+k-1)!}{k!\cdot(n-1)!}=\frac{9!}{4!\cdot(6-1)!} \\
& =\frac{362880}{24 \cdot 120}=126
\end{aligned}
$$

different combinations.

## FURTHER READING

- Arrangement
- Binomial distribution
- Combinatory analysis
- Permutation


## Combinatory Analysis

Combinatory analysis refers to a group of techniques that can be used to determine the number of elements in a particular set without having to count them one-by-one.
The elements in question could be the results from a scientific experiment or the different potential outcomes of a random event.
Three particular concepts are important in combinatory analysis:

- Permutations;
- Combinations;
- Arrangements.


## HISTORY

Combinatory analysis has interested mathematicians for centuries. According to Takacs (1982), such analysis dates back to ancient Greece. However, the Hindus, the Persians (including the poet and mathematician Khayyâm, Omar) and (especially) the Chinese also studied such problems. A 3000 year-old Chinese book "I Ching" describes the possible arrangements of a set of $n$ elements, where $n \leq 6$. In 1303, Chu, Shihchieh published a work entitled "Ssu Yuan Yü Chien" (Precious mirror of the four elements). The cover of the book depictsa triangle that shows the combinations of $k$ elements taken from a set of size $n$ where $0 \leq k \leq n$. This arithmetic triangle was also explored by several European mathematicians such as Stifel, Tartaglia and Hérigone, and especially Pascal, who wrote the "Trai-
té du triangle arithmétique" (Treatise of the arithmetic triangle) in 1654 (although it was not published until after his death in 1665). Another document on combinations was published in 1617 by Puteanus, Erycius called "Erycii Puteani Pretatis Thaumata in Bernardi Bauhusii è Societate Jesu Proteum Parthenium". However, combinatory analysis only revealed its true power with the works of Fermat (1601-1665) and Pascal (1623-1662). The term "combinatory analysis" was introduced by Leibniz (16461716) in 1666. In his work "Dissertatio de Arte Combinatoria," he systematically studied problems related to arrangements, permutations and combinations.
Other works in this field should be mentioned here, such as those of Wallis, J. (1616-1703), reported in "The Doctrine of Permutations and Combinations" (an essential and fundamental part of the "Doctrines of Chances"), or those of Bernoulli, J., Moivre, A. de, Cardano, G. (1501-1576), and Galileo (15641642).

In the second half of the nineteenth century, Cayley (1829-1895) solved some problems related to this type of analysis via graphics that he called "trees". Finally, we should also mention the important work of MacMahon (1854-1929), "Combinatory Analysis" (1915-1916).

## EXAMPLES

See arrangement, combination and permutation.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic triangle
- Arrangement
- Binomial
- Binomial distribution
- Combination
- Permutation
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## Compatibility

Two events are said to be compatible if the occurrence of the first event does not prevent the occurrence of the second (or in other words, if the intersection between the two events is not null):

$$
P(A \cap B) \neq 0 .
$$

We can represent two compatible events $A$ and $B$ schematically in the following way:


Two events $A$ and $B$ are incompatible (or mutually exclusive) if the occurrence of $A$ prevents the occurrence of $B$, or vice versa. We can represent this in the following way:


This means that the probability that these two events happen at the same time is zero:

$$
A \cap B=\phi \longrightarrow P(A \cap B)=0 .
$$

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If two events $A$ and $B$ are compatible, the probability that at least one of the events occurs can be obtained using the following formula:

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B) .
$$

On the other hand, if the two events $A$ and $B$ are incompatible, the probability that the two events $A$ and $B$ happen at the same time is zero:

$$
P(A \cap B)=0 .
$$

The probability that at least one of the events occurs can be obtained by simply adding the individual probabilities of $A$ and $B$ :

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider a random experiment that involves drawing a card from a pack of 52 cards. We are interested in the three following events:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=" d r a w ~ a ~ h e a r t " \\
& B=" d r a w ~ a ~ q u e e n " ~ \\
& C=" d r a w ~ a ~ c l u b " . ~
\end{aligned}
$$

The probabilities associated with each of these events are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A)=\frac{13}{52} \\
& P(B)=\frac{4}{52} \\
& P(C)=\frac{13}{52} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The events $A$ and $B$ are compatible, because it is possible to draw both a heart and a queen at the same time (the queen of hearts). Therefore, the intersection between $A$ and $B$ is the queen of hearts. The probability of this event is given by:

$$
P(A \cap B)=\frac{1}{52} .
$$

The probability of the union of the two events $A$ and $B$ (drawing either a heart or a queen) is then equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cup B) & =P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B) \\
& =\frac{13}{52}+\frac{4}{52}-\frac{1}{52} \\
& =\frac{4}{13} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the events $A$ and $C$ are incompatible, because a card cannot be both a heart and a club! The intersection between $A$ and $C$ is an empty set.

$$
A \cap C=\phi .
$$

The probability of the union of the two events $A$ and $C$ (drawing a heart or a club) is simply given by the sum of the probabilities of each event:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cup C) & =P(A)+P(C) \\
& =\frac{13}{52}+\frac{13}{52} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

FURTHER READING
Event
Independence
Probability

## Complementary

Consider the sample space $\Omega$ for a random experiment.
For any event $A$, an element of $\Omega$, we can determine a new event $B$ that contains all of the elements of the sample space $\Omega$ that are not included in $A$.
This event $B$ is called the complement of $A$ with respect to $\Omega$ and is obtained by the negation of $A$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider an event $A$, which is an element of the sample space $\Omega$.
The compliment of $A$ with respect to $\Omega$ is denoted $\bar{A}$. It is given by the negation of $A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{A} & =\Omega-A \\
& =\{w \in \Omega ; w \notin A\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider a random experiment that consists of flipping a coin three times.
The sample space of this experiment is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega= & \{T T T, T T H, T H T, T H H, \\
& H T T, H T H, H H T, H H H\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the event

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\text { "Heads }(H) \text { occurs twice" } \\
& =\{T H H, H T H, H H T\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The compliment of $A$ with respect to $\Omega$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{A} & =\{T T T, T T H, T H T, H T T, H H H\} \\
& =\text { "Heads }(H) \text { does not occur twice". }
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Event
- Random experiment
- Sample space


## Complete Linkage Method

The complete linkage method is a hierarchical classification method where the distance between two classes is defined as the greatest distance that could be obtained if we select one element from each class and measure the distance between these elements. In other words, it is the distance between the most distant elements from each class.
For example, the distance used to construct the distance table is the Euclidian distance. Using the complete linkage method, the distance between two classes is given by the Euclidian distance between the most distant elements (the maximum distance).:

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See cluster analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Cluster analysis
- Dendrogram
- Distance
- Distance table


## Completely Randomized Design

A completely randomized design is a type of experimental design where the experimental units are randomly assigned to the different treatments.
It is used when the experimental units are believed to be "uniform;" that is, when there is no uncontrolled factor in the experiment.

## HISTORY

See design of experiments.

## EXAMPLES

We want to test five different drugs based on aspirin. To do this, we randomly distribute the five types of drug to 40 patients. Denoting the five drugs by $A, B, C, D$ and $E$, we obtain the following random distribution:
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is attributed to 10 people;
$\boldsymbol{B}$ is attributed to 12 people;
$\boldsymbol{C}$ is attributed to 4 people;
$\boldsymbol{D}$ is attributed to 7 people;
$\boldsymbol{E}$ is attributed to 7 people.
We have then a completely randomized design where the treatments (drugs) are randomly attributed to the experimental units (patients), and each patient receives only one treatment. We also assume that the patients are "uniform:" that there are no differences between them. Moreover, we assume that there is no uncontrolled factor that intervenes during the treatment.
In this example, the completely randomized design is a factorial experiment that uses only one factor: the aspirin. The five types of aspirin are different levels of the factor.

## FURTHER READING

$\checkmark$ Design of experiments

- Experiment


## Composite Index Number

Composite index numbers allow us to measure, with a single number, the relative variations within a group of variables upon moving from one situation to another.
The consumer price index, the wholesale price index, the employment index and the

Dow-Jones index are all examples of composite index numbers.
The aim of using composite index numbers is to summarize all of the simple index numbers contained in a complex number (a value
one index.
The most commonly used composite index numbers are:

- The Laspeyres index;
- The Paasche index;
- The Fisher index.


## HISTORY

## See index number.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

There are several methods of creating composite index numbers.
To illustrate these methods, let us use a scenario where a price index is determined for the current period $n$ with respect to a reference period 0 .

1. Index number of the arithmetic means (the sum method):

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n}}{\sum P_{0}} \cdot 100
$$

where $\sum P_{n}$ is the sum of the prices of the items at the current period, and $\sum P_{0}$ is the sum of the prices of the items at the reference period.
2. Arithmetic mean of simple index numbers:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum\left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{0}}\right) \cdot 100
$$

where $N$ is the number of goods considered and $\frac{P_{n}}{P_{0}}$ is the simple index number of each item.
In these two methods, each item has the same importance. This is a situation
which often does not correspond to reality.
3. Index number of weighted arithmetic means (the weighted sum method):
The general formula for an index number calculated by the weighted sum method is as follows:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q} \cdot 100 .
$$

Choosing the quantity $Q$ for each item considered could prove problematic here: $Q$ must be the same for both the numerator and the denominator when calculating a price index.
In the Laspeyres index, the value of $Q$ corresponding to the reference year is used. In the Paasche index, the value of $Q$ for the current year is used. Other statisticians have proposed using the value of $Q$ for a given year.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table indicating the(fictitious) prices of three consumer goods in the reference year (1970) and their current prices.

|  | Price (francs) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goods | $1970\left(P_{0}\right)$ | Now $\left(P_{n}\right)$ |
| Milk | 0.20 | 1.20 |
| Bread | 0.15 | 1.10 |
| Butter | 0.50 | 2.00 |

Using these numbers, we now examine the three main methods of constructing composite index numbers.

1. Index number of arithmetic means (the sum method):

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{\sum P_{n}}{\sum P_{0}} \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{4.30}{0.85} \cdot 100=505.9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to this method, the price index has increased by $405.9 \%$ ( 505.9 - 100) between the reference year and the current year.
2. Arithmetic mean of simple index numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum\left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{0}}\right) \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \cdot\left(\frac{1.20}{0.20}+\frac{1.10}{0.15}+\frac{2.00}{0.50}\right) \cdot 100 \\
& =577.8
\end{aligned}
$$

This method gives a slightly different result from the previous one, since we obtain an increase of $477.8 \%$ ( 577.8 100) in the price index between the reference year and the current year.
3. Index number of weighted arithmetic means (the weighted sum method):

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q} \cdot 100
$$

This method is used in conjunction with the Laspeyres index or the Paasche index.

## FURTHER READING

- Fisher index
- Index number
- Laspeyres index
- Paasche index
- Simple index number


## Conditional Probability

The probability of an event given that another event is known to have occured.
The conditional probability is denoted $P(A \mid B)$, which is read as the "probability of $A$ conditioned by $B$."

## HISTORY

The concept of independence dominated probability theory until the beginning of the twentieth century. In 1933, Kolmogorov, Andrei Nikolaievich introduced the concept of conditional probability; this concept now plays an essential role in theoretical and applied probability and statistics.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a random experiment for which we know the sample space $\Omega$. Consider two events $A$ and $B$ from this space. The probability of $A, P(A)$ depends on the set of possible events in the experiment $(\Omega)$.


Now consider that we have supplementary information concerning the experiment: that the event $B$ has occurred. The probability of the event $A$ occurring will then be a function of the space $B$ rather than a function of $\Omega$. The probability of $A$ conditioned by $B$ is calculated as follows:

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} .
$$

If $A$ and $B$ are two incompatible events, the intersection between $A$ and $B$ is an empty space. We will then have $P(A \mid B)=$ $P(B \mid A)=0$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The concept of conditional probability is one of the most important ones in probability the-
ory. This importance is mainly due to the following points:

1. We are often interested in calculating the probability of an event when some information about the result is already known. a conditional probability.
2. Even when partial information on the result is not known, conditional probabilities can be useful when calculating the probabilities required.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a group of 100 cars distributed according to two criteria, comfort and speed. We will make the following distinctions:
a car can be $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { fast } \\ \text { slow }\end{array}\right.$
a car can be $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { comfortable } \\ \text { uncomfortable }\end{array}\right.$
A partition of the 100 cars based on these criteria is provided in the following table:

|  | fast | slow | total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| comfortable | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| uncomfortable | 20 | 30 | 50 |
| total | 60 | 40 | 100 |

Consider the following events:

$$
A=" \mathrm{a} \text { fast car is chosen" }
$$

and $B=$ "a comfortable car is chosen."
The probability of these two events are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A)=0.6, \\
& P(B)=0.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of choosing a fast car is then of 0.6 , and that of choosing a comfortable car is 0.5 .

Now imagine that we are given supplementary information: a fast car was chosen. What, then, is the probability that this car is also comfortable?
We calculate the probability of $B$ knowing that $A$ has occurred, or the conditional probability of $B$ depending on $A$ :
We find in the table that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cap B) & =0.4 \\
\Rightarrow P(B \mid A) & =\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}=\frac{0.4}{0.6}=0.667
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability that the car is comfortable, given that we know that it is fast, is therefore $\frac{2}{3}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Event
- Probability


## - Random experiment

- Sample space
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## Confidence Interval

A confidence interval is any interval constructed around an estimator that has a particular probability of containing the true value of the corresponding parameter of a population.

## HISTORY

According to Desrosières, A. (1988), Bowley, A.L. was one of the first to be interested in
the concept of the confidence interval. Bowley presented his first confidence interval calculations to the Royal Statistical Society in 1906.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In order to construct a confidence interval that contains the true value of the parameter $\theta$ with a given probability, an equation of the following form must be solved:

$$
P\left(L_{i} \leq \theta \leq L_{s}\right)=1-\alpha,
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{\theta} \quad$ is the parameter to be estimated,
$\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad$ is the lower limit of the interval,
$\boldsymbol{L}_{S} \quad$ is the upper limit of the interval and
$\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the given probability, called the confidence level of the interval.

The probability $\alpha$ measures the error risk of the interval, meaning the probability that the interval does not contain the true value of the parameter $\theta$.
In order to solve this equation, a function $f(t, \theta)$ must be defined where $t$ is an estimator of $\theta$, for which the probability distribution is known.
Defining this interval for $f(t, \theta)$ involves writing the equation:

$$
P\left(k_{1} \leq f(t, \theta) \leq k_{2}\right)=1-\alpha,
$$

where the constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are given by the probability distribution of the function $f(t, \theta)$. Generally, the error risk $\alpha$ is divided into two equal parts at $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ distributed on each side of the distribution of $f(t, \theta)$. If, for example, the function $f(t, \theta)$ follows a centered and reduced normal distribution, the constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ will be symmetric and can be represented by $-z \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $+z \frac{\alpha}{2}$, as shown in the following figure.


Once the constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ have been found, the parameter $\theta$ must be isolated in the equation given above. The confidence interval $\theta$ is found in this way for the confidence level $1-\alpha$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

One should be very careful when interpreting a confidence interval. If, for a confidence level of $95 \%$, we find a confidence interval for a mean of $\mu$ where the lower and upper limits are $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ respectively, we can conclude the following (for example):
"On the basis of the studied sample, we can affirm that it is probable that the mean of the population can be found in the interval established."
It would not be correct to conclude that there is a $95 \%$ chance of finding the mean of the population in the interval. Indeed, since $\mu$ and the limits $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ of the interval are constants, the interval may or may not contain $\mu$. However, if the statistician has the ability to repeat the experiment (which consists of drawing a sample from the population) several times, $95 \%$ of the intervals obtained will contain the true value of $\mu$.

## EXAMPLES

A business that fabricates lightbulbs wants to test the average lifespan of its lightbulbs. The distribution of the random variable $X$, which represents the life span in hours, is a normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma=30$.

In order to estimate $\mu$, the business burns out $n=25$ lightbulbs.
It obtains an average lifespan of $\bar{x}=860$ hours. It wants to establish a confidence interval around the estimator $\bar{x}$ at a confidence level of 0.95 . Therefore, the first step is to obtain a function $f(t, \theta)=f(\bar{x}, \mu)$ for the known distribution. Here we use:

$$
f(t, \theta)=f(\bar{x}, \mu)=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}
$$

which follows a centered and reduced normal distribution. The equation $P\left(k_{1} \leq\right.$ $\left.f(t, \theta) \leq k_{2}\right)=1-\alpha$ becomes:

$$
P\left(-z_{0.025} \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq z_{0.025}\right)=0.95,
$$

because the error risk $\alpha$ has been divided into two equal parts at $\frac{\alpha}{2}=0.025$.
The table for the centered and reduced normal distribution, the normal table, gives $z_{0.025}=1.96$. Therefore:

$$
P\left(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq 1.96\right)=0.95 .
$$

To obtain the confidence interval for $\mu$ at a confidence level of $0.95, \mu$ must be isolated in the equation above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq 1.96\right)=0.95 \\
& \begin{aligned}
& P\left(-1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{x}-\mu \leq 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&=0.95 \\
& P\left(\bar{x}-1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}+1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&=0.95 .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

By replacing $\bar{x}, \sigma$ and $n$ with their respective values, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(860-1.96 \frac{30}{\sqrt{25}} \leq \mu \leq 860\right. \\
& \left.\quad+1.96 \frac{30}{\sqrt{25}}\right)=0.95 \\
& P(848.24 \leq \mu \leq 871.76)=0.95
\end{aligned}
$$

The confidence interval for $\mu$ at the confidence level 0.95 is therefore:
[848.24, 871.76].
This means that we can affirm with a probability of $95 \%$ that this interval contains the true value of the parameter $\mu$ that corresponds to the average lifespan of the lightbulbs.

## FURTHER READING

- Confidence level
- Estimation
- Estimator
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## Confidence Level

The confidence level is the probability that the confidence interval constructed around an estimator contains the true value of the corresponding parameter of the population.

We designate the confidence level by (1$\alpha$ ), where $\alpha$ corresponds to the risk of error; that is, to the probability that the confidence interval does not contain the true value of the parameter.

## HISTORY

The first example of a confidence interval appears in the work of Laplace (1812). According to Desrosières, A. (1988), Bowley, A.L. was one of the first to become interested in the concept of the confidence interval.

## See hypothesis testing.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\theta$ be a parameter associated with a population. $\theta$ is to be estimated and $T$ is its estimator from a random sample. We evaluate the precision of $T$ as the estimator of $\theta$ by constructing a confidence interval around the estimator, which is often interpreted as an error margin.
In order to construct this confidence interval, we generally proceed in the following manner. From the distribution of the estimator $T$, we determine an interval that is likely to contain the true value of the parameter. Let us denote this interval by $(T-\varepsilon, T+\varepsilon)$ and the probability of true value of the paramter being in this interval as $(1-\alpha)$. We can then say that the error margin $\varepsilon$ is related to $\alpha$ by the probability:

$$
P(T-\varepsilon \leq \theta \leq T+\varepsilon)=1-\alpha .
$$

The level of probability associated with an interval of estimation is called the confidence level or the confidence degree.
The interval $T-\varepsilon \leq \theta \leq T+\varepsilon$ is called the confidence interval for $\theta$ at the confidence level $1-\alpha$. Let us use, for example, $\alpha=5 \%$,
which will give the confidence interval of the parameter $\theta$ to a probability level of $95 \%$. This means that, if we use $T$ as an estimator of $\theta$, then the interval indicated will on average contain the true value of the parameter 95 times out of 100 samplings, and it will not contain it 5 times.
The quantity $\varepsilon$ of the confidence interval corresponds to half od the length of the interval. This parameter therefore gives us an idea of the error margin for the estimator. For a given confidence level $1-\alpha$, the smaller the confidence interval, more efficient the estimator.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The most commonly used confidence levels are $90 \%, 95 \%$ and $99 \%$. However, if necessary, other levels can be used instead.
Although we would like to use the highest confidence level in order to maximize the probability that the confidence interval contains the true value of the parameter, but if we increase the confidence level, the interval increases as well. Therefore, what we gain in terms of confidence is lost in terms of precision, so have to find a compromise.

## EXAMPLES

A company that produces ligthtbulbs wants to study the mean lifetime of its bulbs. The distribution of the random variable $X$ that represents the lifetime in hours is a normal distribution of mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma=30$.
In order to estimate $\mu$, the company burns out a random sample of $n=25$ bulbs.
The company obtains an average bulb lifetime of $\bar{x}=860$ hours. It then wants to construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\mu$ around the estimator $\bar{x}$.

The standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population is known; the value of $\varepsilon$ is $z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{X}}$. The value of $z_{\alpha / 2}$ is obtained from the normal table, and it depends on the probability attributed to the parameter $\alpha$. We then deduce the con- probability level $1-\alpha$ :

$$
\bar{X}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{\bar{X}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{\bar{X}}
$$

From the hypothesis that the bulb lifetime $X$ follows a normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma=30$, we deduce that the expression

$$
\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution.
From this we obtain:

$$
P\left[-z_{0.025} \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq z_{0.025}\right]=0.95
$$

where the risk of error $\alpha$ is divided into two parts that both equal $\frac{\alpha}{2}=0.025$.
The table of the standard normal distribution (the normal table) gives $z_{0.025}=1.96$. We then have:

$$
P\left(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq 1.96\right)=0.95 .
$$

To get the confidence interval for $\mu$, we must isolate $\mu$ in the following equation via the following transformations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P\left(-1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{x}-\mu \leq 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
=0.95 \\
P\left(\bar{x}-1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}+1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
=0.95
\end{array}
$$

Substituting $\bar{x}, \sigma$ and $n$ for their respective values, we evaluate the confidence interval:

$$
\begin{aligned}
860-1.96 & \frac{30}{\sqrt{25}} \\
& \leq \mu \leq 860+1.96 \cdot \frac{30}{\sqrt{25}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
848.24 \leq \mu \leq 871.76
$$

We can affirm with a confidence level of 95\% that this interval contains the true value of the parameter $\mu$, which corresponds to the mean bulb lifetime.

## FURTHER READING

- Confidence interval
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Hypothesis testing


## Contingency Table

A contingency table is a crossed table containing various attributes of a population or an observed sample. Contingency table analysis consists of discovering and studying the relations (if they exist) between these attributes.

A contingency table can be a two-dimensional table with $r$ lines and $c$ columns relating to two qualitative categorical variables possessing, respectively, $r$ and $c$ categories. It can also be multidimensional when the number of qualitative variables is greater then two: if, for example, the elements of a population or a sample are characterized by three attributes, the associated contingency table has the dimensions $I \times J \times K$, where $I$ represents the number of categories defining the first attribute, $J$ the number of categories of the second attribute and $K$ the number of the categories of the third attribute.

## HISTORY

The term "contingency," used in relation to a crossed table of categorical data, seems to have originated with Pearson, Karl (1904), who used he term "contingency" to mean a measure of the total deviation relative to the independence.
See also chi-square test of independence.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If we consider a two-dimensional table, containing entries for two qualitative categorical variables $X$ and $Y$ that have, respectively, $r$ and $c$ categories, the contingency table is:

|  | Categories of the variable $Y$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $Y_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $Y_{c}$ | Total |
| Categories | $X_{1}$ | $n_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{1 c}$ | $n_{1 .}$ |
| of the | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| variable $X$ | $X_{r}$ | $n_{r 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{r c}$ | $n_{r .}$ |
|  | Total | $n_{.1}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{. c}$ | $n_{. .}$ |

where
$\boldsymbol{n}_{i j}$ represents the observed frequency for category $i$ of variable $X$ and category $j$ of variable $Y$;
$\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. represents the sum of the frequencies observed for category $i$ of variable $X$,
$\boldsymbol{n}_{. j}$ represents the sum of the observed frequencies for category $j$ of variable $Y$, and
$\boldsymbol{n}$.. indicates the total number of observations.

In the case of a multidimensional table, the elements of the table are denoted by $n_{i j k}$, representing the observed frequency for category $i$ of variable $X$, category $j$ of variable $Y$ and category $k$ of variable $Z$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The independence of two categorical qualitative variables represented in the contingen-
cy table can be assessed by performing a chisquare test of independence.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square test of independence
- Frequency distribution
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## Continuous Distribution Function

The distribution function of a continuous random variable is defined to be the probability that the random variable takes a value less than or equal to a real number.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The function defined by

$$
F(b)=P(X \leq b)=\int_{-\infty}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

is called the distribution function of a continuous random variable.
In other words, the density function $f$ is the derivative of the continuous distribution function.

## Properties of the Continuous Distribution

 Function1. $F(x)$ is a continually increasing function for all $x$;
2. $F$ takes its values in the interval $[0,1]$;
3. $\lim _{b \rightarrow-\infty} F(b)=0$;
4. $\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)=1$;
5. $F(x)$ is a continuous and differentiable function.
This distribution function can be graphically represented on a system of axes. The different values of the random variable $X$ are plotted on the abscissa and the corresponding values of $F(x)$ on the ordinate.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The probability that the continuous random variable $X$ takes a value in the interval ] $a, b$ ] for all $a<b$, meaning that $P(a<$ $X \leq b$ ), is equal to $F(b)-F(a)$, where $F$ is the distribution function of the random variable $X$.
Demonstration: The event $\{X \leq b\}$ can be written as the union of two mutually exclusive events: $\{X \leq a\}$ and $\{a<X \leq b\}$ :

$$
\{X \leq b\}=\{X \leq a\} \cup\{a<X \leq b\}
$$

By finding the probability on each side of the equation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq b) & =P(\{X \leq a\} \cup\{a<X \leq b\}) \\
& =P(X \leq a)+P(a<X \leq b)
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of probabilities result from the fact that the two events are exclusive.
By subtracting $P(X \leq a)$ on each side, we have:

$$
P(a<X \leq b)=P(X \leq b)-P(X \leq a) .
$$

Finally, from the definition of the distribution function, we obtain:

$$
P(a<X \leq b)=F(b)-F(a) .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider a continuous random variable $X$ for which the density function is given by:

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } 0<x<1 \\
0 & \text { if not }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The probability that $X$ takes a value in the interval $[a, b]$, with $0<a$ and $b<1$, is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(a \leq X \leq b) & =\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{a}^{b} 1 \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\left.x\right|_{a} ^{b} \\
& =b-a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $0<x<1$ the distribution function is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =P(X \leq x) \\
& =P(0 \leq X \leq x) \\
& =x
\end{aligned}
$$

This function is presented in the following figure:


## FURTHER READING

- Density function
- Probability
- Random experiment
- Random variable
- Value


## Continuous Probability Distribution

Every random variable has a corresponding frequency distribution. For a continuous random variable, this distribution is continuous too.
A continuous probability distribution is a model that represents the frequency distribution of a continuous variable in the best way.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The probability distribution of a continuous random variable $X$ is given by its density function $f(x)$ or its distribution function $F(x)$.
It can generally be characterized by its expected value:

$$
E[X]=\int_{D} x \cdot f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\mu
$$

and its variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\int_{D}(x-\mu)^{2} \cdot f(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-E[X]^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ represents the interval covering the range of values that $X$ can take.
One essential property of a continuous random variable is that the probability that it will take a specific numerical value is zero, whereas the probability that it will take a value over an interval (finite or infinite) is usually nonzero.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The most famous continuous probability distribution is the normal distribution.
Continuous probability distributions are often used to approximate discrete probability distributions. They are used in model construction just as much as they are used when applying statistical techniques.

## FURTHER READING

- Beta distribution
- Cauchy distribution
- Chi-square distribution
- Continuous distribution function
- Density function
- Discrete probability distribution
- Expected value
- Exponential distribution
- Fisher distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Laplace distribution
- Lognormal distribution
- Normal distribution
- Probability
- Probability distribution
- Random variable
- Student distribution
- Uniform distribution
- Variance of a random variable
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## Contrast

In analysis of variance a contrast is a linear combination of the observations or factor levels or treatments in a factorial experiment, where the sum of the coefficients is zero.

## HISTORY

According to Scheffé, H. (1953), Tukey, J.W. (1949 and 1951) was the first to propose a method of simultaneously estimating all of the contrasts.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$, which are the sums of $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$ observations. The linear function

$$
c_{j}=c_{1 j} \cdot T_{1}+c_{2 j} \cdot T_{2}+\cdots+c_{k j} \cdot T_{k}
$$

is a contrast if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \cdot c_{i j}=0
$$

If each $n_{i}=n$, meaning that if $T_{i}$ is the sum of the same number of observations, the condition is reduced to:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i j}=0
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In most experiments involving several treatments, it is interesting for the experimenter to make comparisons between the different treatments. The statistician uses contrasts to carry out this type of comparison.

## EXAMPLES

When an analysis of variance is carried out for a three-level factor, some contrasts of interest are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=T_{1}-T_{2} \\
& c_{2}=T_{1}-T_{3} \\
& c_{3}=T_{2}-T_{3} \\
& c_{4}=T_{1}-2 \cdot T_{2}+T_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Experiment
- Factorial experiment
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## Convergence

In statistics, the term "convergence" is related to probability theory. This statistical convergence is often termed stochastic convergence in order to distinguish it from classical convergence.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Different types of stochastic convergence can be defined. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a set of random variables. The most important types of stochastic convergence are:

1. $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in distribution to a random variable $X$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(z)=F_{X}(z) \quad \forall z,
$$

where $F_{X_{n}}$ and $F_{X}$ are the distribution functions of $X_{n}$ and $X$, respectively.
This convergence is simply the point convergence (well-known in mathematics) of the set of the distribution functions of the $X_{n}$.
2. $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in probability to a random variable $X$ if:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0,
$$

$$
\text { for every } \varepsilon>0
$$

3. $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exhibits almost sure convergence to a random variable $X$ if:

$$
P\left(\left\{w \mid \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}(w)=X(w)\right\}\right)=1 .
$$

4. Suppose that all elements of $X_{n}$ have a finite expectancy. The set $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in mean square to $X$ if:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\left(X_{n}-X\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

Note that:

- Almost sure convergence and mean square convergence both imply a convergence in probability;
- Convergence in probability (weak convergence) implies convergence in distribution.


## EXAMPLES

Let $X_{i}$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed over $[0,1]$. We define the following set of random variables from $X_{i}$ :

$$
Z_{n}=n \cdot \min _{i=1, \ldots, n} X_{i}
$$

We can show that the set $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in distribution to an exponential distribution $Z$ with a parameter of 1 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-F_{Z_{n}}(t) & =P\left(Z_{n}>t\right) \\
= & P\left(\min _{i=1, \ldots, n} X_{i}>\frac{t}{n}\right) \\
= & P\left(X_{1}>\frac{t}{n} \text { and } X_{2}>\frac{t}{n}\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\ldots X_{n}>\frac{t}{n}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { ind. }}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X_{i}>\frac{t}{n}\right)=\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty},\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}=\exp (-t)$ since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{Z_{n}} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(Z_{n} \leq t\right) \\
& =1-\exp (-t)=F_{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, let $S_{n}$ be the number of successes obtained during $n$ Bernoulli trials with a probability of success $p$. Bernoulli's theorem tells us that $\frac{S_{n}}{n}$ converges in probability to a "random" variable that takes the value $p$ with probability 1.

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli's theorem
- Central limit theorem
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## Convergence Theorem

The convergence theorem leads to the most important theoretical results in probability theory. Among them, we find the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem.

## EXAMPLES

The central limit theorem and the law of large numbers are both convergence theorems.
The law of large numbers states that the mean of a sum of identically distributed random variables converges to their common mathematical expectation.
On the other hand, the central limit theorem states that the distribution of the sum of a sufficiently large number of random variables tends to approximate the normal distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Central limit theorem
- Law of large numbers


## Correlation Coefficient

The simple correlation coefficient is a measure of the strength of the linear relation between two random variables.

The correlation coefficient can take values that occur in the interval $[-1 ; 1]$. The two extreme values of this interval represent a perfectly linear relation between the variables, "positive" in the first case and "negative" in the other. The value 0 (zero) implies the absence of a linear relation.
The correlation coefficient presented here is also called the Bravais-Pearson correlation coefficient.

## HISTORY

The concept of correlation originated in the 1880s with the works of Galton, F.. In his autobiography Memories of My Life (1890), he writes that he thought of this concept during a walk in the grounds of Naworth Castle, when a rain shower forced him to find shelter. According to Stigler, S.M. (1989), Porter, T.M. (1986) was carrying out historical research when he found a forgotten article written by Galton in 1890 in The North American Review, under the title "Kinship and correlation". In this article, which he published right after its discovery, Galton (1908) explained the nature and the importance of the concept of correlation.
This discovery was related to previous works of the mathematician, notably those on heredity and linear regression. Galton had been interested in this field of study since 1860. He published a work entitled "Natural inheritance" (1889), which was the starting point for his thoughts on correlation.
In 1888 , in an article sent to the Royal Statistical Society entitled "Co-relations and their measurement chiefly from anthropometric data," Galton used the term "correlation" for the first time, although he was still alternating between the terms "co-relation" and "correlation" and he spoke of a "co-relation index." On the other hand, he invoke the con-
cept of a negative correlation. According to Stigler (1989), Galton only appeared to suggest that correlation was a positive relationship.
Pearson, Karl wrote in 1920 that correlation had been discovered by Galton, whose work "Natural inheritance" (1889) pushed him to study this concept too, along with two other researchers, Weldon and Edgeworth. Pearson and Edgeworth then developed the theory of correlation.
Weldon thought the correlation coefficient should be called the "Galton function." However, Edgeworth replaced Galton's term "corelation index" and Weldon's term "Galton function" by the term "correlation coefficient."
According to Mudholkar (1982), Pearson, K. systemized the analysis of correlation and established a theory of correlation for three variables. Researchers from University College, most notably his assistant Yule, G.U., were also interested in developing multiple correlation.
Spearman published the first study on rank correlation in 1904.
Among the works that were carried out in this field, it is worth highlighting those of Yule, who in an article entitled "Why do we sometimes get non-sense-correlation between time-series" (1926) discussed the problem of correlation analysis interpretation. Finally, correlation robustness was investigated by Mosteller and Tukey (1977).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

## Simple Linear Correlation Coefficient

Simple linear correlation is the term used to describe a linear dependence between two quantitative variables $X$ and $Y$ (see simple linear regression).

If $X$ and $Y$ are random variables that follow an unknown joint distribution, then the simple linear correlation coefficient is equal to the covariance between $X$ and $Y$ divided by the product of their standard deviations:

$$
\rho=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}} .
$$

Here $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ is the measured covariance between $X$ and $Y$; $\sigma_{X}$ and $\sigma_{Y}$ are the respective standard deviations of $X$ and $Y$.
Given a sample of size $n,\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$, $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ from the joint distribution, the quantity

$$
r=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}}
$$

is an estimation of $\rho$; it is the sampling correlation.
If we denote $\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)$ by $x_{i}$ and $\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)$ by $y_{i}$, we can write this equation as:

$$
r=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}\right)}}
$$

## Test of Hypothesis

To test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \rho=0
$$

against the alternative hypothesis

$$
H_{1}: \rho \neq 0,
$$

we calculate the statistic $t$ :

$$
t=\frac{r}{S_{r}},
$$

where $S_{r}$ is the standard deviation of the estimator $r$ :

$$
S_{r}=\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{n-2}}
$$

Under $H_{0}$, the statistic $t$ follows a Student distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom. For a given significance level $\alpha, H_{0}$ is rejected if $|t| \geq t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2$; the value of $t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2$ is the critical value of the test given in the Student table.

## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

Known as the coefficient of determination denoted by $R^{2}$, determines whether the hyperplane estimated from a multiple linear regression is correctly adjusted to the data points.
The value of the multiple determination coefficient $R^{2}$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2}= & \frac{\text { Explained variation }}{\text { Total variation }} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It corresponds to the square of the multiple correlation coefficient. Notice that

$$
0 \leq R^{2} \leq 1
$$

In the case of simple linear regression, the following relation can be derived:

$$
r=\operatorname{sign}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right) \sqrt{R^{2}}
$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ is the estimator of the regression coefficient $\beta_{1}$, and it is given by:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If there is a linear relation between two variables, the correlation coefficient is equal to 1 or -1 .
A positive relation ( + ) means that the two variables vary in the same direction. If the individuals obtain high scores in the first variable (for example the independent variable), they will have a tendency to obtain high scores in the second variable (the dependant variable). The opposite is also true.
A negative relation (-) means that the individuals that obtain high scores in the first variable will have a tendency to obtain low scores in the second one, and vice versa.
Note that if the variables are independent the correlation coefficient is equal to zero. The reciprocal conclusion is not necessarily true. The fact that two or more variables are related in a statistical way is not sufficient to conclude that a cause and effect relation exists. The existence of a statistical correlation is not a proof of causality.
Statistics provides numerous correlation coefficients. The choice of which to use for a particular set of data depends on different factors, such as:

- The type of scale used to express the variable;
- The nature of the underlying distribution (continuous or discrete);
- The characteristics of the distribution of the scores (linear or nonlinear).


## EXAMPLES

The data for two variables $X$ and $Y$ are shown in the table below:

| No of order |  |  | $\begin{gathered} x= \\ X-\bar{X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y= \\ Y-\bar{Y} \end{gathered}$ | xy | $x^{2}$ | $y^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 174 | 64 | -1.5 | -1.3 | 1.95 | 2.25 | 1.69 |
| 2 | 175 | 59 | -0.5 | -6.3 | 3.14 | 0.25 | 36.69 |
| 3 | 180 | 64 | 4.5 | -1.3 | -5.85 | 20.25 | 1.69 |
| 4 | 168 | 62 | -7.5 | -3.3 | 24.75 | 56.25 | 10.89 |
| 5 | 175 | 51 | $-0.5$ | -14.3 | 7.15 | 0.25 | 204.49 |
| 6 | 170 | 60 | $-5.5$ | $-5.3$ | 29.15 | 30.25 | 28.09 |
| 7 | 170 | 68 | $-5.5$ | 2.7 | -14.85 | 30.25 | 7.29 |
| 8 | 178 | 63 | 2.5 | -2.3 | -5.75 | 6.25 | 5.29 |
| 9 | 187 | 92 | 11.5 | 26.7 | 307.05 | 132.25 | 712.89 |
| 10 | 178 | 70 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 11.75 | 6.25 | 22.09 |
| Total | 1755 | 653 |  |  | 358.5 | 284.5 | 1034.1 |

$$
\bar{X}=175.5 \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{Y}=65.3 .
$$

We now perform the necessary calculations to obtain the correlation coefficient between the two variables. Applying the formula gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{358.5}{\sqrt{284.5 \cdot 1034.1}}=0.66 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Test of Hypothesis

We can calculate the estimated standard deviation of $r$ :

$$
S_{r}=\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{n-2}}=\sqrt{\frac{0.56}{10-2}}=0.266
$$

Calculating the statistic $t$ gives:

$$
t=\frac{r-0}{S_{r}}=\frac{0.66}{0.266}=2.485 .
$$

If we choose a significance level $\alpha$ of $5 \%$, the value from the Student table, $t_{0.025,8}$, is equal to 2.306 .
Since $|t|=2.485>t_{0.025,8}=2.306$, the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \rho=0
$$

is rejected.

## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of determination
- Covariance
- Dependence
- Kendall rank correlation coefficient
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
- Spearman rank correlation coefficient
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## Correspondence Analysis

Correspondence analysis is a data analysis technique that is used to describe contingency tables (or crossed tables). This analysis takes the form of a graphical representation of the associations and the "correspondence" between rows and columns.

## HISTORY

The theoretical principles of correspondence analysis date back to the works of Hartley, H.O. (1935) (published under his original name Hirschfeld) and of Fisher, R.A. (1940) on contingency tables. They were first presented in the framework of inferential statistics.
The term "correspondence analysis" first appeared in the autumn of 1962 , and the first presentation of this method that referred to
this term was given by Benzécri, J.P. in the winter of 1963. In 1976 the works of Benzécri, J.P., which retraced twelve years of his laboratory work, were published, and since then the algebraic and geometrical properties of this descriptive analytical tool have become more widely known and used.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a contingency table relating to two categorial qualitative variables $X$ and $Y$ that have, respectively, $r$ and $c$ categories:

|  | $Y_{1}$ | $\mathbf{Y}_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $Y_{c}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X_{1}$ | $n_{11}$ | $n_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{1 c}$ | $n_{1 .}$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $n_{21}$ | $n_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{2 c}$ | $n_{2 .}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $x_{r}$ | $n_{r 1}$ | $n_{r 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{r c}$ | $n_{r .}$ |
| Total | $n_{.1}$ | $n_{.2}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{. c}$ | $n_{. .}$ |

where
$n_{i j}$ represents the frequency that category $i$ of variable $X$ and category $j$ of variable $Y$ is observed,
$\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. represents the sum of the observed frequencies for category $i$ of variable $X$,
$\boldsymbol{n}_{. j}$ represents the sum of the observed frequencies for category $j$ of variable $Y$,
$\boldsymbol{n}$.. represents the total number of observations.

We will assume that $r \geq c$; if not we take the transpose of the initial table and use this transpose as the new contingency table. The correspondence analysis of a contingency table with more lines than columns, is performed as follows:

1. Tables of row profiles $X_{I}$ and column profiles $X_{J}$ are constructed..
For a fixed line (column), the line (column) profile is the line (column) obtained
by dividing each element in this row (column) by the sum of the elements in the line (column).
The line profile of row $i$ is obtained by dividing each term of row $i$ by $n_{i \text {, }}$, which is the sum of the observed frequencies in the row.
The table of row profiles is constructed by replacing each row of the contingency table with its profile:

|  | $Y_{1}$ | $Y_{2}$ | .- | $Y_{c}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | $\frac{n_{11}}{n_{1}}$ | $\frac{n_{12}}{n_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{n_{1 c}}{n_{1}} .$ | 1 |
| $X_{2}$ | $\frac{n_{21}}{n_{2}}$ | $\frac{n_{22}}{n_{2}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{n_{2 c}^{\prime}}{n_{2}}$ | 1 |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $X_{r}$ | $\frac{n_{r 1}}{n_{r}}$ | $\frac{n_{r 2}}{n_{r}}$ |  | $\frac{n_{r c}}{n_{r} .}$ | 1 |
| Total | $n^{\prime}$. | $n^{\prime}$. | ... | $n_{\text {I }}^{\prime}$ | $r$ |

It is also common to multiply each element of the table by 100 in order to convert the terms into percentages and to make the sum of terms in each row $100 \%$.
The column profile matrix is constructed in a similar way, but this time each column of the contingency table is replaced with its profile: the column profile of column $j$ is obtained by dividing each term of column $j$ by $n_{. j}$, which is the sum of frequencies observed for the category corresponding to this column.

|  | $Y_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | ... | $Y_{c}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | $\frac{n_{11}}{n_{\text {P }}}$ | $\frac{n_{12}}{n .2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{n_{1 c}}{n_{\text {c }} \mathrm{c}}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$. |
| $x_{2}$ | $\frac{n_{21}}{n .1}$ | $\frac{n_{22}}{n \cdot 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{n_{2 c}}{n \cdot c}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $x_{r}$ | $\frac{n_{r 1}}{n_{.1}}$ | $\frac{n_{r 2}}{n_{n} 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{n_{r c}}{n_{n} c}$ | $n_{r .}^{\prime}$ |
| Total | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | c |

The tables of row profiles and column profiles correspond to a transformation of the contingency table that is used to make the rows and columns comparable.
2. Determine the inertia matrix $V$.

This is done in the following way:

- The weighted mean of the $r$ column coordinates is calculated:

$$
g_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_{i .}}{n_{. .}} \cdot \frac{n_{i j}}{n_{i .}}=\frac{n_{. j}}{n_{. .}}, j=1, \ldots, c
$$

- The $c$ obtained values $g_{j}$ are written $r$ times in the rows of a matrix $G$;
- The diagonal matrix $D_{I}$ is constructed with diagonal elements of $\frac{n_{i}}{n}$;
- Finally, the inertia matrix $V$ is calculated using the following formula:

$$
V=\left(X_{I}-G\right)^{\prime} \cdot D_{I} \cdot\left(X_{I}-G\right) .
$$

3. Using the matrix $M$, which consists of $\frac{n_{i}}{n_{j}}$ terms on its diagonal and zero terms elsewhere, we determine the matrix $C$ :

$$
C=\sqrt{M} \cdot V \cdot \sqrt{M}
$$

4. Find the eigenvalues (denoted $k_{l}$ ) and the eigenvectors (denoted $v_{l}$ ) of this matrix $C$.
The $c$ eigenvalues $k_{c}, k_{c-1}, \ldots, k_{1}$ (written in decreasing order) are the inertia. The corresponding eigenvectors are called the factorial axes (or axes of inertia).
For each eigenvalue, we calculate the corresponding inertia explained by the factorial axis. For example, the first factorial axis explains:

$$
\frac{100 \cdot k_{1}}{\sum_{l=1}^{c} k_{l}} \quad(\text { in } \%) \text { of inertia. }
$$

In the same way, the two first factorial axes explain:

$$
\frac{100 \cdot\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}{\sum_{l=1}^{c} k_{l}} \quad \text { (in \%) of inertia. }
$$

If we want to know, for example, the number of eigenvalues and therefore the factorial axes that explain at least $3 / 4$ of the total inertia, we sum the explained inertia from each of the eigenvalues until we obtain $75 \%$.
We then calculate the main axes of inertia from these factorial axes.
5. The main axes of inertia, denoted $u_{l}$, are then given by:

$$
u_{l}=\sqrt{M^{-1}} \cdot v_{l}
$$

meaning that its $j$ th component is:

$$
u_{j l}=\sqrt{\frac{n_{\cdot j}}{n_{. .}}} \cdot v_{j l}
$$

6. We then calculate the main components, denoted by $y_{k}$, which are the orthogonal projections of the row coordinates on the main axes of inertia: the $i$ th coordinate of the $l$ th main component takes the following value:

$$
y_{i l}=x_{i} \cdot M \cdot u_{l}
$$

meaning that

$$
y_{i l}=\sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{n_{i j}}{n_{i .}} \sqrt{\frac{n_{. .}}{n_{. j}}} \cdot v_{j l}
$$

is the coordinate of row $i$ on the $l$ th axis.
7. After the main components $y_{l}$ (of the row coordinates) have been calculated, we determine the main components of the column coordinates (denoted by $z_{l}$ ) using the $y_{l}$, thanks to the transaction formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{j l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{l}}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_{i j}}{n_{\cdot j}} \cdot y_{i l}, \\
& \qquad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, c \\
& y_{i l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{l}}} \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{n_{i j}}{n_{i .}} \cdot z_{j l} \\
& \\
& \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, r .
\end{aligned}
$$

$z_{j l}$ is the coordinate of the column $j$ on the $l$ th factorial axis.
8. The simultaneous representation of the row coordinates and the column coordinates on a scatter plot with two factorial axes, gives a signification to the axis depending on the points it is related to. The quality of the representation is related to the proportion of the inertia explained by the two main axes used. The closer the explained inertia is to 1 , the better the quality.

9. It can be useful to insert additional pointrows or column coordinates (illustrative variables) along with the active variables used for the correspondence analysis. Consider an extra row-coordinate:

$$
\left(n_{s 1}, n_{s 2}, n_{s 3}, \ldots, n_{s c}\right)
$$

of profile-row

$$
\left(\frac{n_{s 1}}{n_{s .}}, \frac{n_{s 2}}{n_{s .}}, \ldots, \frac{n_{s c}}{n_{s .}}\right)
$$

The $l$ th main component of the extra rowdot is given by the second formula of point 7):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{n_{s j}}{n_{s .}} \cdot z_{j l}, \\
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, r .
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed the same way for a column coordinate, but apply the first formula of point 7 instead.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

When simultaneously representing the row coordinate and the column coordinate, it is not advisable to interpret eventual proximities crossed between lines and columns because the two points are not in the same initial space. On the other hand it is interesting to interpret the position of a row coordinate by comparing it to the set of column coordinate (and vice versa).

## EXAMPLES

Consider the example of a company that wants to find out how healthy its staff. One of the subjects covered in the questionnaire concerns the number of medical visits (dentists included) per year. Three possible answers are proposed:

- Between 0 and 6 visits;
- Between 7 and 12 visits;
- More than 12 visits per year.

The staff questioned are distributed into five categories:

- Managerial staff members over 40;
- Managerial staff members under 40;
- Employees over 40;
- Employees under 40;
- Office personnel.

The results are reported in the following contingency table, to which margins have been added:


|  | Number of visits <br> per year |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 to 6 | 7 to 12 | $>12$ | Total |
| Employees <br> $>40$ <br> Employees | 2 | 12 | 6 | 20 |
| $<40$ |  |  |  |  |

Using these data we will describe the eight main steps that should be followed to obtain a graphical representation of employee health via correspondence analysis.

1. We first determine the table of line profiles matrix $X_{I}$, by dividing each element by the sum of the elements of the line in which it is located:

| 0.333 | 0.467 | 0.2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.417 | 0.417 | 0.167 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 0.444 | 0.333 | 0.222 | 1 |
| 0.5 | 0.333 | 0.167 | 1 |
| 1.794 | 2.15 | 1.056 | 5 |

and the table of column profiles by dividing each element by the sum of the elements in the corresponding column:

| 0.104 | 0.14 | 0.111 | 0.355 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.104 | 0.1 | 0.074 | 0.278 |
| 0.042 | 0.24 | 0.222 | 0.504 |
| 0.5 | 0.36 | 0.444 | 1.304 |
| 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.148 | 0.558 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |

2. We then calculate the matrix of inertia $V$ for the frequencies (given by $\frac{n_{i}}{n .}$ for values of $i$ from 1 to 5) by proceeding in the following way:

- We start by calculating the weighted mean of the five line-dots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{n_{i .}}{n_{. .}} \cdot \frac{n_{i j}}{n_{i .}}=\frac{n_{. j}}{n_{. .}} \\
& \text {for } j=1,2 \text { and } 3 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- We then write these three values five times in the matrix $G$, as shown below:

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.384 & 0.400 & 0.216 \\
0.384 & 0.400 & 0.216 \\
0.384 & 0.400 & 0.216 \\
0.384 & 0.400 & 0.216 \\
0.384 & 0.400 & 0.216
\end{array}\right]
$$

- We then construct a diagonal matrix $D_{I}$ containing the $\frac{n_{i}}{n}$;
- Finally, we calculate the matrix of inertia $V$, as given by the following formula:

$$
V=\left(X_{I}-G\right)^{\prime} \cdot D_{I} \cdot\left(X_{I}-G\right),
$$

which, in this case, gives:
$V=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}0.0175 & -0.0127 & -0.0048 \\ -0.0127 & 0.0097 & 0.0029 \\ -0.0048 & 0.0029 & 0.0019\end{array}\right]$.
3. We define a third-order square matrix $M$ that contains $\frac{n_{\text {. }}}{n_{j}}$ terms on its diagonal and zero terms everywhere else:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2.604 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4.630
\end{array}\right]
$$

The square root of $M$, denoted $\sqrt{M}$, is obtained by taking the square root of each diagonal element of $M$. Using this new matrix, we determine

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\sqrt{M} \cdot V \cdot \sqrt{M} \\
& C=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.0455 & -0.0323 & -0.0167 \\
-0.0323 & 0.0243 & 0.0100 \\
-0.0167 & 0.0100 & 0.0087
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The eigenvalues of $C$ are obtained by diagonalizing the matrix. Arranging these values in decreasing order gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=0.0746 \\
& k_{2}=0.0039 \\
& k_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The explained inertia is determined for each of these values. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}: \frac{0.0746}{0.0746+0.0039}=95.03 \% \\
& k_{2}: \frac{0.0039}{0.0746+0.0039}=4.97 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last one, $k_{3}$, the explained inertia is zero.
The first two factorial axes, associated with the eigenvalues $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, explain all of the inertia. Since the third eigenvalue is zero it is not necessary to calculate the eigenvector that is associated with it. We focus on calculating the first two normalized eigenvectors then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
0.7807 \\
-0.5576 \\
-0.2821
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \\
& v_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
0.0807 \\
0.5377 \\
-0.8393
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. We then calculate the main axes of inertia by:

$$
u_{i}=\sqrt{M^{-1}} \cdot v_{i}, \quad \text { for } \quad i=1 \text { and } 2,
$$

where $\sqrt{M^{-1}}$ is obtained by inverting the diagonal elements of $\sqrt{M}$.

We find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
0.4838 \\
-0.3527 \\
-0.1311
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \\
& u_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
0.0500 \\
0.3401 \\
-0.3901
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

6. We then calculate the main components by projecting the rows onto the main axes of inertia. Constructing an auxiliary matrix $U$ formed from the two vectors $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =X_{I} \cdot M \cdot U \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-0.1129 & 0.0790 \\
0.0564 & 0.1075 \\
-0.5851 & 0.0186 \\
0.1311 & -0.0600 \\
0.2349 & 0.0475
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see the coordinates of the five rows written horizontally in this matrix $Y$; for example, the first column indicates the components related to the first factorial axis and the second indicates the components related to the second axis.
7. We then use the coordinates of the rows to find those of the columns via the following transition formulae written as matrices:

$$
Z=K \cdot Y^{\prime} \cdot X_{J}
$$

where:
$\boldsymbol{K}$ is the second-order diagonal matrix that has $1 / \sqrt{k_{i}}$ terms on its diagonal and zero terms elsewhere;
$\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}$ is the transpose of the matrix containing the coordinates of the rows, and;
$\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{J}}$ is the column profile matrix.

We obtain the matrix:
$Z=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}0.3442 & -0.2409 & -0.1658 \\ 0.0081 & 0.0531 & -0.1128\end{array}\right]$,
where each column contains the components of one of the three column coordinates; for example, the first line corresponds to each coordinate on the first factorial axis and the second line to each coordinate on the second axis.
We can verify the transition formula that gives $Y$ from $Z$ :

$$
Y=X_{I} \cdot Z^{\prime} \cdot K
$$

using the same notation as seen previously.
8. We can now represent the five categories of people questioned and the three categories of answers proposed on the same factorial plot:


We can study this factorial plot at three different levels of analysis, depending:

- The set of categories for the people questioned;
- The set of modalities for the medical visits;
- Both at the same time.

In the first case, close proximity between two rows (between two categories of personnel) signifies similar medical visit profiles. On the factorial plot, this is the case for the
employees under $40\left(Y_{4}\right)$ and the office personnel $\left(Y_{5}\right)$. We can verify from the table of line profiles that the percentages for these two categories are indeed very similar.
Similarly, the proximity between two columns (representing two categories related to the number of medical visits) indicates similar distributions of people within the business for these categories. This can be seen for the modalities $Z_{2}$ (from 7 to 12 medical visits per year) and $Z_{3}$ (more than 12 visits per year).
If we consider the rows and the columns simultaneously (and not separately as we did previously), it becomes possible to identify similarities between categories for certain modalities. For example, the employees under $40\left(Y_{4}\right)$ and the office personnel $\left(Y_{5}\right)$ seem to have the same behavior towards health: high proportions of them ( 0.44 and 0.5 respectively) go to the doctor less than 6 times per year $\left(Z_{1}\right)$.
In conclusion, axis 1 is confronted on one side with the categories indicating an average or high number of visits $\left(Z_{2}\right.$ and $\left.Z_{3}\right)$-the employees or the managerial staff members over $40\left(Y_{1}\right.$ and $\left.Y_{3}\right)$-and on the other side with the modalities associated with low numbers of visits $\left(Z_{1}\right): Y_{2}, Y_{4}$ and $Y_{5}$. The first factor can then be interpreted as the importance of medical control according to age.

## FURTHER READING

- Contingency table
- Data analysis
- Eigenvalue
- Eigenvector
- Factorial axis
- Graphical representation
- Inertia matrix
- Matrix
- Scatterplot


## REFERENCES

Benzécri, J.P.: L’Analyse des données. Vol. 1: La Taxinomie. Vol. 2: L'Analyse factorielle des correspondances. Dunod, Paris (1976)

Benzécri, J.P.: Histoire et préhistoire de l'analyse des données, les cahiers de l'analyse des données 1, no. 1-4. Dunod, Paris (1976)
Fisher, R.A.: The precision of discriminant functions. Ann.Eugen.(London) 10, 422429 (1940)
Greenacre, M.: Theory and Applications of Correspondence Analysis. Academic, London (1984)
Hirschfeld, H.O.: A connection between correlation and contingency. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 31, 520-524 (1935)
Lebart, L., Salem, A.: Analyse Statistique des Données Textuelles. Dunod, Paris (1988)

Saporta, G.: Probabilité, analyse de données et statistiques. Technip, Paris (1990)

## Covariance

The covariance between two random variables $X$ and $Y$ is the measure of how much two random variables vary together.
If $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables, the covariance of $X$ and $Y$ is zero. The converse, however, is not true.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $X$ and $Y$, two random variables defined in the same sample space $\Omega$. The covariance of $X$ and $Y$, denoted by $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$, is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E[(X-E[X])(Y-E[Y])]
$$

where $E[$.$] is the expected value.$

Developing the right side of the equation gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)= & E[X Y-E[X] Y-X E[Y] \\
& +E[X] E[Y]] \\
= & E[X Y]-E[X] E[Y] \\
& -E[X] E[Y]+E[X] E[Y] \\
= & E[X Y]-E[X] E[Y] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties of Covariance

Consider $X, Y$ and $Z$, which are random variables defined in the same sample space $\Omega$, and $a, b, c$ and $d$, which are constants. We find that:

1. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Cov}(Y, X)$
2. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, c)=0$
3. $\operatorname{Cov}(a X+b Y, Z)=$ $a \operatorname{Cov}(X, Z)+b \operatorname{Cov}(Y, Z)$
4. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, c Y+d Z)=$ $c \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)+d \operatorname{Cov}(X, Z)$
5. $\operatorname{Cov}(a X+b, c Y+d)=a c \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$.

## Consequences of the Definition

1. If $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=0 .
$$

In fact $E[X Y]=E[X] E[Y]$, meaning that:

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E[X Y]-E[X] E[Y]=0
$$

The reverse is not generally true:
$\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=0$ does not necessarily imply that $X$ and $Y$ are independent.
2. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, X)=\operatorname{Var}(X)$
where $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ represents the variance of $X$.
In fact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, X) & =E[X X]-E[X] E[X] \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =\operatorname{Var}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Consider two random variables $X$ and $Y$, and their sum $X+Y$. We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X+Y]= & E[X]+E[Y] \text { and } \\
\operatorname{Var}(X+Y)= & \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show these results for discrete variables. If $P_{j i}=P\left(X=x_{i}, Y=y_{j}\right)$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X+Y]= & \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) P_{j i} . \\
= & \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x_{i} P_{j i}+\sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_{j} P_{j i} \\
= & \sum_{i} x_{i}\left(\sum_{j} P_{j i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j} y_{j}\left(\sum_{i} P_{j i}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i} x_{i} P_{i}+\sum_{j} y_{j} P_{j} \\
= & E[X]+E[Y] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}(X+Y)=E\left[(X+Y)^{2}\right]-(E[X+Y])^{2} \\
&= E\left[X^{2}\right]+2 E[X Y]+E\left[Y^{2}\right] \\
&-(E[X+Y])^{2} \\
&= E\left[X^{2}\right]+2 E[X Y]+E\left[Y^{2}\right] \\
&-(E[X]+E[Y])^{2} \\
&= E\left[X^{2}\right]+2 E[X Y]+E\left[Y^{2}\right] \\
&-(E[X])^{2}-2 E[X] E[Y]-(E[Y])^{2} \\
&= \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)+2(E[X Y] \\
&-E[X] E[Y]) \\
&= \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)+2 \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These results can be generalized for $n$ random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, with $x_{i}$
having an expected value equal to $E\left(X_{i}\right)$ and a variance equal to $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)$. We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right] \\
& =E\left[X_{1}\right]+E\left[X_{2}\right]+\cdots+E\left[X_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[X_{i}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)
$$

$$
=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n}\right)
$$

$$
+2\left[\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{n}\right)\right.
$$

$$
+\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\left.+\cdots+\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{n-1}, X_{n}\right)\right]
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j>i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider two psychological tests carried out in succession. Each subject receives a grade $X$ of between 0 and 3 for the first test and a grade $Y$ of between 0 and 2 for the second test. Given that the probabilities of $X$ being equal to $0,1,2$ and 3 are respectively $0.16,0.3,0.41$ and 0.13 , and that the probabilities of $Y$ being equal to 0,1 and 2 are respectively $0.55,0.32$ and 0.13 , we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X]= & 0 \cdot 0.16+1 \cdot 0.3+2 \cdot 0.41 \\
& +3 \cdot 0.13 \\
= & 1.51 \\
E[Y]= & 0 \cdot 0.55+1 \cdot 0.32+2 \cdot 0.13 \\
= & 0.58 \\
E[X Y]= & 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0.16 \cdot 0.55 \\
& +0 \cdot 1 \cdot 0.16 \cdot 0.32+\ldots \\
& +3 \cdot 2 \cdot 0.13 \cdot 0.13 \\
= & 0.88
\end{aligned}
$$

We can then calculate the covariance of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) & =E[X Y]-E[X] E[Y] \\
& =0.88-(1.51 \cdot 0.58) \\
& =0.00428
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Correlation coefficient
- Expected value
- Random variable
- Variance of a random variable


## Covariance Analysis

Covariance analysis is a method used to estimate and test the effects of treatments. It checks whether there is a significant difference between the means of several treatments by taking into account the observed values of the variable before the treatment. Covariance analysis is a precise way of performing treatment comparisons because it involves adjusting the response variable $Y$ to a concomitant variable $X$ which corresponds to the values observed before the treatment.

## HISTORY

Covariance analysis dates back to 1930. It was first developed by Fisher, R.A. (1932). After that, other authors applied covariance analysis to agricultural and medical problems. For example, Bartlett, M.S. (1937) applied covariance analysis to his studies on cotton cultivation in Egypt and on milk yields from cows in winter.
Delurry, D.B. (1948) used covariance analysis to compare the effects of different medications (atropine, quinidine, atrophine) on rat muscles.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We consider here a covariance analysis of a completely randomized design implying one factor.
The linear model that we will consider is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j}= & \mu+\tau_{i}+\beta X_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j} \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, t, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{i j}$ represents observation $j$, receiving treatment $i$,
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i s}$ the general mean common to all treatments,
$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is the actual effect of treatment $i$ on th observation,
$X_{i j}$ is the value of the concomitant variable, and
$\varepsilon_{i j}$ is the experimental error in observation $Y_{i j}$.

## Calculations

In order to calculate the $F$ ratio that will help us to determine whether there is a significant difference between treatments, we need to work out sums of squares and sums of products. Therefore, if $\bar{X}_{i \text {. }}$ and $\bar{Y}_{i \text {. }}$ are respectively the means of the $X$ values and the $Y$ values for treatment $i$, and if $\bar{X}_{\text {.. }}$ and $\bar{Y}_{. .}$are respectively the means of all the values of $X$ and $Y$, we obtain the formulae given below.

1. The total sum of squares for $X$ :

$$
S_{X X}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} .
$$

2. The total sum of squares for $Y\left(S_{Y Y}\right)$ is calculated in the same way as $S_{X X}$, but $X$ is replaced by $Y$.
3. The total sum of products of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
S_{X Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right) .
$$

4. The sum of squares of the treatments for $X$ :

$$
T_{X X}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} .
$$

5. The sum of squares of the treatments for $Y$ ( $T_{Y Y}$ )
is calculated in the same way as $T_{X X}$, but $X$ is replaced by $Y$.
6. The sum of the products of the treatments of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
T_{X Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right) .
$$

7. The sum of squares of the errors for $X$ :

$$
E_{X X}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{i .}\right)^{2} .
$$

8. The sum of the squares of the errors for $Y$ : is calculated in the same way as $E_{X X}$, but $X$ is replaced by $Y$.
9. The sum of products of the errors $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
E_{X Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{i .}\right)\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right) .
$$

Substituting in appropriate values and calculating these formulae corresponds to an analysis of variance for each of $X, Y$ and $X Y$. The degrees of freedom associated with these different formulae are as follows:

1. For the total sum: $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-1$.
2. For the sum of the treatments: $t-1$.
3. For the sum of the errors: $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t$.

Adjustment of the variable $Y$ to the concomitant variable $X$ yields two new sums of squares:

1. The adjusted total sum of squares:

$$
S S_{\mathrm{tot}}=S_{Y Y}-\frac{S_{X Y}^{2}}{S_{X X}}
$$

2. The adjusted sum of the squares of the errors:

$$
S S_{\mathrm{err}}=E_{Y Y}-\frac{E_{X Y}^{2}}{E_{X X}}
$$

The new degrees of freedom for these two sums are:

1. $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-2$;
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1$, where a degree of freedom is subtracted due to the adjustment. The third adjusted sum of squares, the adjusted sum of the squares of the treatments, is given by:

$$
S S_{\mathrm{tr}}=S S_{\mathrm{tot}}-S S_{\mathrm{err}}
$$

This has the same number of degrees of free$\operatorname{dom} t-1$ as before .

## Covariance Analysis Table

We now have all of the elements needed to establish the covariance analysis table. The sums of squares divided by the number of degrees of freedom gives the means of the squares.

| Source <br> of var- <br> iation | Degrees <br> of free- <br> dom | Sum of squares <br> and of products <br> $t$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{t}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} x_{i} y_{i}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{i}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treat- <br> ments | $t-1$ | $T_{X X}$ | $T_{X Y}$ | $T_{Y Y}$ |  |
| Errors | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t$ | $E_{X X}$ | $E_{X Y}$ | $E_{Y Y}$ |  |
| Total | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-1$ | $S_{X X}$ | $S_{X Y}$ | $S_{Y Y}$ |  |

Note: the numbers in the $\sum x_{i}^{2}$ and $\sum y_{i}^{2}$ columns cannot be negative; on the other hand, the numbers in the $\sum_{i=1}^{t} x_{i} y_{i}$ column can be negative.

## Adjustment

| Source <br> of var- <br> iation | Degrees of <br> freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treat- <br> ments | $t-1$ | $S S_{\mathrm{tr}}$ | $M C_{\mathrm{tr}}$ |
| Errors | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1$ | $S S_{\mathrm{err}}$ | $M C_{\mathrm{err}}$ |
| Total | $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-2$ | TSS |  |

## F Ratio: Testing the Treatments

The $F$ ratio, used to test the null hypothesis that there is a significant difference between the means of the treatments once adjusted to the variable $X$, is given by:

$$
F=\frac{M C_{\mathrm{tr}}}{M C_{\mathrm{err}}}
$$

The ratio follows a Fisher distribution with $t-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1$ degrees of freedom. The null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\ldots=\tau_{t}
$$

will be rejected at the significance level $\alpha$ if the $F$ ratio is superior or equal to the value of the Fisher table, in other words if:

$$
F \geq F_{t-1, \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1, \alpha}
$$

It is clear that we assume that the $\beta$ coefficient is different from zero when performing covariance analysis. If this is not the case, a simple analysis of variance is sufficient.

## Test Concerning the $\beta$ Slope

So, we would like to know whether there is a significant effect of the concomitant vari-
ables before the application of the treatment. To test this hypothesis, we will assume the null hypothesis to be

$$
H_{0}: \beta=0
$$

and the alternative hypothesis to be

$$
H_{1}: \beta \neq 0
$$

The $F$ ratio can be established:

$$
F=\frac{E_{X Y}^{2} / E_{X X}}{M C_{\mathrm{err}}}
$$

It follows a Fisher distribution with 1 and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1$ degrees of freedom. The null hypothesis will be rejected at the significance level $\alpha$ if the $F$ ratio is superior to or equal to the value in the Fisher table, in other words if:

$$
F \geq F_{1, \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}-t-1, \alpha}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The basic hypotheses that need to be constructed before initiating a covariance analysis are the same as those used for an analysis of variance or a regression analysis. These are the hypotheses of normality, homogeneity (homoscedasticity), variances and independence.
In covariance analysis, as in an analysis of variance, the null hypothesis stipulates that the independent samples come from different populations that have identical means. Moreover, since there are always conditions associated with any statistical technique, those that apply to covariance analysis are as follows:

1. The population distributions must be approximately normal, if not completely normal.
2. The populations from which the samples are taken must have the same variance $\sigma^{2}$, meaning:

$$
\sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}=\ldots=\sigma_{k}^{2},
$$

where $k$ is the number of populations to be compared.
3. The samples must be chosen randomly and all of the samples must be independent.
We must also add a basic hypothesis specific to covariance analysis, which is that the treatments that were carried out must not influence the values of the concomitant variable $X$.

## EXAMPLES

Consider an experiment consisting of comparing the effects of three different diets on a population of cows.
The data are presented in the form of a table, which includes the three different diets, each of which was administered to five porch. The initial weights are denoted by the concomitant variable $X$ (in kg), and the gains in weight (after treatment) are denoted by $Y$ :

## Diets

|  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| 32 | 167 | 26 | 182 | 36 | 158 |
| 29 | 172 | 33 | 171 | 34 | 191 |
| 22 | 132 | 22 | 173 | 37 | 140 |
| 23 | 158 | 28 | 163 | 37 | 192 |
| 35 | 169 | 22 | 182 | 32 | 162 |

We first calculate the various sums of squares and products:

1. The total sum of squares for $X$ :

$$
S_{X X}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & (32-29.8667)^{2}+\ldots \\
& +(32-29.8667)^{2} \\
= & 453.73 .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The total sum of squares for $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{Y Y}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
= & (167-167.4667)^{2}+\ldots \\
& +(162-167.4667)^{2} \\
= & 3885.73
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The total sum of the products of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{X Y}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right) \\
= & (32-29.8667) \\
& \cdot(167-167.4667)+\ldots \\
& +(32-29.8667) \\
& \cdot(162-167.4667) \\
= & 158.93
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The sum of the squares of the treatments for $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{X X}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
= & 5(28.2-29.8667)^{2} \\
& +5(26.2-29.8667)^{2} \\
& +5(35.2-29.8667)^{2} \\
= & 223.33 .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. The sum of the squares of the treatments for $Y$ :

$$
T_{Y Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & 5(159.6-167.4667)^{2} \\
& +5(174.2-167.4667)^{2} \\
& +5(168.6-167.4667)^{2} \\
= & 542.53 .
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The sum of the products of the treatments of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{X Y}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right) \\
= & 5(28.2-29.8667) \\
& \cdot(159.6-167.4667)+\ldots \\
& +5(35.2-29.8667) \\
& \cdot(168.6-167.4667) \\
= & -27.67
\end{aligned}
$$

7. The sum of the squares of the errors for $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{X X}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{i .}\right)^{2} \\
& =(32-28.2)^{2}+\ldots+(32-35.2)^{2} \\
& =230.40
\end{aligned}
$$

8. The sum of the squares of the errors for $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{Y Y}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2} \\
= & (167-159.6)^{2}+\ldots \\
& +(162-168.6)^{2} \\
= & 3343.20
\end{aligned}
$$

9. The sum of the products of the errors of $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{X Y}= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{i .}\right)\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right) \\
= & (32-28.2)(167-159.6)+\ldots \\
& +(32-35.2)(162-168.6) \\
= & 186.60
\end{aligned}
$$

The degrees of freedom associated with these different calculations are as follows:

1. For the total sums:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{i}-1=15-1=14
$$

2. For the sums of treatments:

$$
t-1=3-1=2
$$

3. For the sums of errors:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{i}-t=15-3=12
$$

Adjusting the variable $Y$ to the concomitant variable $X$ yields two new sums of squares:

1. The total adjusted sum of squares:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\mathrm{tot}} & =S_{Y Y}-\frac{S_{X Y}^{2}}{S_{X X}} \\
& =3885.73-\frac{158.93^{2}}{453.73} \\
& =3830.06
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The adjusted sum of the squares of the errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\mathrm{err}} & =E_{Y Y}-\frac{E_{X Y}^{2}}{E_{X X}} \\
& =3343.20-\frac{186.60^{2}}{230.40} \\
& =3192.07
\end{aligned}
$$

The new degrees of freedom for these two sums are:

1. $\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{i}-2=15-2=13$;
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{i}-t-1=15-3-1=11$.

The adjusted sum of the squares of the treatments is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\mathrm{tr}} & =S S_{\mathrm{tot}}-S S_{\mathrm{err}} \\
& =3830.06-3192.07 \\
& =637.99
\end{aligned}
$$

This has the same number of degrees of freedom as before:

$$
t-1=3-1=2
$$

We now have all of the elements required in order to establish a covariance analysis table. The sums of squares divided by the degrees of freedom gives the means of the squares.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lllll|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Source } \\
\text { of var- } \\
\text { iation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Degrees } \\
\text { of free- } \\
\text { dom }\end{array}
$$ \& \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \& \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} y_{i} \& \sum_{i=1}^{3} y_{i}^{2} <br>

and of products\end{array}\right]\)| Treat- <br> ments | 2 | 223.33 | -27.67 | 543.53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Errors | 12 | 230.40 | 186.60 | 3343.20 |
| Total | 14 | 453.73 | 158.93 | 3885.73 |

Adjustment

| Source of <br> variation | Degrees <br> of free- <br> dom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treat- <br> ments | 2 | 637.99 | 318.995 |
| Errors | 11 | 3192.07 | 290.188 |
| Total | 13 | 3830.06 |  |

The $F$ ratio, which is used to test the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the means of the treatments once adjusted to the variable $Y$, is given by:

$$
F=\frac{M C_{\mathrm{tr}}}{M C_{\mathrm{err}}}=\frac{318.995}{290.188}=1.099
$$

If we choose a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$, the value of $F$ in the Fisher table is equal to:

$$
F_{2,11,0.05}=3.98
$$

Since $F<F_{2,11,0.05}$, we cannot reject the null hypothesis and so we conclude that there is no significant difference between the responses to the three diets once the variable $Y$ is adjusted to the initial weight $X$.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments
- Missing data analysis
- Regression analysis
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## Covariation

It is often interesting, particularly in economics, to compare two time series.
Since we wish to measure the level of depen-
dence between two variables, this is somewhat reminiscent of the concept of correlation. However, in this case, since the time series are bound by a third variable, time, finding the correlation coefficient would only lead to an artificial relation.
Indeed, if two time series are considered, $x_{t}$ and $y_{t}$, which represent completely independent phenomena and are linear functions
of time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{t}=a \cdot t+b, \\
& y_{t}=c \cdot t+d,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are constants, it is always possible to eliminate the time factor $t$ between the two equations and to obtain a functional relation of the type $y=e$. $x+f$. This relation states that there is a linear dependence between the two time series, which is not the case.
Therefore, measuring the correlation between the evolutions of two phenomena over time does not imply the existence of a link between them. The term covariation is therefore used instead of correlation, and this dependence is measured using a covariation coefficient. We can distinguish between:

- The linear covariation coefficient;
- The tendency covariation coefficient.


## HISTORY

See correlation coefficient and time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In order to compare two time series $y_{t}$ and $x_{t}$, the first step is to attempt to represent them on the same graphic.
However, visual comparison is generally difficult. The following change of variables is performed:

$$
Y_{t}=\frac{y_{t}-\bar{y}}{S_{y}} \quad \text { and } \quad X_{t}=\frac{x_{t}-\bar{x}}{S_{x}},
$$

which are the centered and reduced variables where $S_{y}$ and $S_{x}$ are the standard deviations of the respective time series.
We can distinguish between the following covariation coefficients:

- The linear covariation coefficient

The form of this expression is identical to the one for the correlation coefficient $r$,
but here the calculations do not have the same grasp because the goal is to detect the eventual existence of relation between variations that are themselves related to time and to measure the order of magnitude

$$
C=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right) \cdot\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

This yields values of between -1 and +1 . If it is close to $\pm 1$, there is a linear relation between the time evolutions of the two variables.
Notice that:

$$
C=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t} \cdot Y_{t}}{n} .
$$

Here $n$ is the number of observations, while $Y_{t}$ and $X_{t}$ are the centered and reduced series obtained by a change of variable, respectively.

- The tendency covariation coefficient The influence exerted by the means is eliminated by calculating:
$K=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-T_{x_{t}}\right) \cdot\left(y_{t}-T_{y_{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-T_{x_{t}}\right)^{2} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(y_{t}-T_{y_{t}}\right)^{2}}}$.
The means $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ have simply been replaced with the values of the secular trends $T_{x_{t}}$ and $T_{y_{t}}$ of each time series. The tendency covariation coefficient $K$ also takes values between -1 to +1 , and the closer it gets to $\pm 1$, the stronger the covariation between the time series.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

There are many examples of the need to compare two time series in economics: for example, when comparing the evolution of the price of a product to the evolution of the quantity of the product on the market, or the evolution of the national revenue to the evolution of real estate transactions. It is important to know whether there is some kind of dependence between the two phenomena that evolve over time: this is the goal of measuring the covariation.
Visually comparing two time series is an important operation, but this is often a difficult task because:

- The data undergoing comparison may come from very different domains and present very different orders of magnitude, so it is preferable to study the deviations from the mean.
- The peaks and troughs of two time series may have very different amplitudes; it is then preferable to homogenize the dispersions by linking the variations back to the standard deviation of the time series.
Visual comparison is simplified if we consider the centered and reduced variables obtained via the following variable changes:

$$
Y_{t}=\frac{y_{t}-\bar{y}}{S_{y}} \quad \text { and } \quad X_{t}=\frac{x_{t}-\bar{x}}{S_{x}} .
$$

Also, in a similar way to the correlation coefficient, nonlinear relations can exist between two variables that give a $C$ value that is close to zero.
It is therefore important to be cautious during interpretation.
The tendency covariation coefficient is preferentially used when the relation between the time series is not linear.

## EXAMPLES

Let us study the covariation between two time series.
The variable $x_{t}$ represents the annual production of an agricultural product; the vari- constant euros.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{t} & \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{t}} & \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{t}} \\
1 & 320 & 5.3 \\
2 & 660 & 3.2 \\
3 & 300 & 2.2 \\
4 & 190 & 3.4 \\
5 & 320 & 2.7 \\
6 & 240 & 3.5 \\
7 & 360 & 2.0 \\
8 & 170 & 2.5
\end{array} \\
& \sum_{t=1}^{8} x_{t}=2560, \quad \sum_{t=1}^{8} y_{t}=24.8,
\end{aligned}
$$

giving $\bar{x}=320$ and $\bar{y}=3.1$.

| $x_{t}-\bar{x}$ | $\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $y_{t}-\bar{y}$ | $\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 4.84 |
| 340 | 115600 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| -20 | 400 | -0.9 | 0.81 |
| -130 | 16900 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| 0 | 0 | -0.4 | 0.16 |
| -80 | 6400 | 0.4 | 0.16 |
| 40 | 1600 | -1.1 | 1.21 |
| -150 | 22500 | 0.6 | 0.36 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=1}^{8}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=163400 \\
& \sum_{t=1}^{8}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=7.64
\end{aligned}
$$

giving $\sigma_{x}=142.9$ and $\sigma_{y}=0.98$. The centered and reduced values $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ are then calculated.

| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}-1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 5.36 |
| 2.38 | 0.10 | 0.24 | -0.01 |
| -0.14 | -0.92 | 0.13 | 0.84 |
| -0.91 | 0.31 | -0.28 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | -0.41 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| -0.56 | 0.41 | -0.23 | 0.11 |
| 0.28 | -1.13 | -0.32 | 1.18 |
| -1.05 | -0.61 | 0.64 |  |

The linear covariation coefficient is then calculated:

$$
C=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{8} X_{t} \cdot Y_{t}}{8}=\frac{0.18}{8}=0.0225
$$

If the observations $X_{t}$ are compared with $Y_{t-1}$, meaning the production this year is compared with that of the previous year, we obtain:

$$
C=\frac{\sum_{t=2}^{8} X_{t} \cdot Y_{t-1}}{8}=\frac{7.71}{8}=0.964 .
$$

The linear covariation coefficient for a shift of one year is very strong. There is a strong (positive) covariation with a shift of one year between the two variables.

## FURTHER READING

- Correlation coefficient
- Moving average
- Secular trend
- Standard deviation
- Time series


## Cox, David R.

Cox, David R. was born in 1924 in Birmingham in England. He studied mathematics at the University of Cambridge and obtained his doctorate in applied mathematics at the University of Leeds in 1949. From 1966 to 1988, he was a professor of statistics at Imperial College London, and then from 1988 to 1994 he taught at Nuffield College, Oxford.
Cox, David is an eminent statistician. He was knighted by Queen Elizabeth II in 1982 in gratitude for his contributions to statistical science, and has been named Doctor Honoris Causa by many universities in England and elsewhere. From 1981 to 1983 he was President of the Royal Statistical Society; he was also President of the Bernoulli Society from 1972 to 1983 and President of the International Statistical Institute from 1995 to 1997.
Due to the variety of subjects that he has studied and developed, Professor Cox has had a profound impact in his field. He was named Doctor Honoris Causa of the University of Neuchâtel in 1992.
Cox, Sir David is the author and the coauthor of more then 250 articles and 16 books, and between 1966 and 1991 he was the editor of Biometrika.
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## Cox, Mary Gertrude

Cox, Gertrude Mary was born in 1900, near Dayton, Iowa, USA. Her ambition was to help people, and so she initially studied a social sciences course for two years. Then she worked in orphanage for young boys in Montana for two years. In order to become a director of the orphanage, she decided to continue her education at Iowa State College. She graduated from Iowa State College in 1929. To pay for her studies, Cox, Gertrude worked with Snedecor, George Waddel, her professor, in his statistical laboratory, which led to her becoming interested in statistics. After graduating, she started studying for a doctorate in psychology. In 1933, before finishing her doctorate, Snedecor, George, then the director of the Iowa State Statistical Laboratory, convinced her to become his assistant, which
she agreed to, although she remained in the field of psychology because she worked on the evaluation of statistical test in psychology and the analysis of psychological data.
On 1st November 1940, she became the director of the Department of Experimental Statistics of the State of North Carolina.
In 1945, the General Education Board gave her permission to create an institute of statistics at the University of North Carolina, with a department of mathematical statistics at Chapel Hill.
She was a founder member of the Journal of the International Biometric Society in 1947, and she was a director of it from 1947 to 1955 and president of it from 1968 to 1969. She was president of the American Statistical Association (ASA) in 1956. She died in 1978.

## Principal work of Cox, M. Gertrude:

1957 (and Cochran, W.) Experimental Designs, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York

## $C_{p}$ Criterion

The $C_{p}$ citerion is a model selection citerion in linear regression. For a linear regression model with $p$ parameters including any constant term, in the model, a rule of thumb is to select a model in which the value of $C_{p}$ is close to the number of terms in the model.

## HISTORY

Introduced by Mallows, Colin L. in 1964, the model selection criterion $C_{p}$ has been used ever since as a criterion for evaluating the goodness of fit of a regression model.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} X_{j i} \beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ be a multiple linear regression model. Denote the mean square error as $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right)$. The criterion introduced in this section can be used to choose the model with the minimal sum of mean square errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right)= & \sum\left(E\left(\widehat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \left.-\sigma^{2}\left(1-2 h_{i i}\right)\right) \\
= & E\left(\sum\left(\widehat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -\sigma^{2} \sum\left(1-2 h_{i i}\right) \\
= & E(\mathrm{RSS})-\sigma^{2}(n-2 p),
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$n$ is the number of observations,
$\boldsymbol{p}$ is the number of estimated parameters, $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{i i}}$ are the diagonal elements of the hat matrix, and
$\widehat{y}_{i}$ is the estimator of $y_{i}$.
Recall the following property of the $h_{i i}$ :

$$
\sum h_{i i}=p
$$

Define the coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{p} & =\frac{\sum M S E\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right)}{\sigma^{2}} \\
& =\frac{E(\mathrm{RSS})-\sigma^{2}(n-2 p)}{\sigma^{2}} \\
& =\frac{E(\mathrm{RSS})}{\sigma^{2}}-n+2 p .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the model is correct, we must have:

$$
E(\mathrm{RSS})=(n-p) \sigma^{2}
$$

which implies

$$
\Gamma_{p}=p .
$$

In practice, we estimate $\Gamma_{p}$ by

$$
C_{p}=\frac{\mathrm{RSS}}{\widehat{\sigma}^{2}}-n+2 p,
$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$ is an estimator of $\sigma^{2}$. Here we estimate $\sigma^{2}$ using the $s^{2}$ of the full model. For this full model, we actually obtain $C_{p}=p$, which is not an interesting result. However, for all of the other models, where we use only a subset of the explanatory variables, the coefficient $C_{p}$ can have values that are different from $p$. From the models that incorporate only a subset of the explanatory variables, we then choose those for which the value of $C_{p}$ is the closest to $p$.
If we have $k$ explanatory variables, we can also define the coefficient $C_{p}$ for a model that incorporates a subset $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}, p \leq k$ of the $k$ explanatory variables in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p}= & \frac{(n-k) \operatorname{RSS}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}\right)}{\operatorname{RSS}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)} \\
& -n+2 p,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{RSS}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p-1}\right)$ is the sum of the squares of the residuals related to the model with $p-1$ explanatory variables, and $\operatorname{RSS}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ is the sum of the squares of the residuals related to the model with all $k$ explanatory variables. Out of the two models that incorporate $p-1$ explanatory variables, we choose, according to the criterion, the one for which the value of the coefficient $C_{p}$ is the closest to $p$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The $C_{p}$ criterion is used when selecting variables. When used with the $R^{2}$ criterion, this criterion can tell us about the goodness of fit of the chosen model. The underlying idea of such a procedure is the following: instead
of trying to explain one variable using all of the available explanatory variables, it is sometimes possible to determine an underlying model with a subset of these variables, and the explanatory power of this model is almost the same as that of the model containing all of the explanatory variables. Another reason for this is that the collinearity of the explanatory variables tends to decrease estimator precision, and so it can be useful to delete some superficial variables.

## EXAMPLES

Consider some data related to the Chicago fires of 1975. We denote the variable corresponding to the logarithm of the number of fires per 1000 households per district $i$ of Chicago in 1975 by $Y$, and the variables corresponding to the proportion of households constructed before 1940, to the number of thefts and to the median revenue per district $i$ by $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$, respectively.
Since this setcontains three explanatory variables, we have $2^{3}=8$ possible models. We divide the eight possible equations into four sets:

1. Set $A$ contains the only equation without explanatory variables:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\varepsilon
$$

2. Set $B$ contains three equations with one explanatory variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\varepsilon \\
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\varepsilon \\
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Set $C$ contains three equations with two explanatory variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\varepsilon \\
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\varepsilon \\
& Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Set $D$ contains one equation with three explanatory variables:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\varepsilon
$$

| District | $X_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 1}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.604 | 29 | 11.744 | 1.825 |
| 2 | 0.765 | 44 | 9.323 | 2.251 |
| 3 | 0.735 | 36 | 9.948 | 2.351 |
| 4 | 0.669 | 37 | 10.656 | 2.041 |
| 5 | 0.814 | 53 | 9.730 | 2.152 |
| 6 | 0.526 | 68 | 8.231 | 3.529 |
| 7 | 0.426 | 75 | 21.480 | 2.398 |
| 8 | 0.785 | 18 | 11.104 | 1.932 |
| 9 | 0.901 | 31 | 10.694 | 1.988 |
| 10 | 0.898 | 25 | 9.631 | 2.715 |
| 11 | 0.827 | 34 | 7.995 | 3.371 |
| 12 | 0.402 | 14 | 13.722 | 0.788 |
| 13 | 0.279 | 11 | 16.250 | 1.740 |
| 14 | 0.077 | 11 | 13.686 | 0.693 |
| 15 | 0.638 | 22 | 12.405 | 0.916 |
| 16 | 0.512 | 17 | 12.198 | 1.099 |
| 17 | 0.851 | 27 | 11.600 | 1.686 |
| 18 | 0.444 | 9 | 12.765 | 0.788 |
| 19 | 0.842 | 29 | 11.084 | 1.974 |
| 20 | 0.898 | 30 | 10.510 | 2.715 |
| 21 | 0.727 | 40 | 9.784 | 2.803 |
| 22 | 0.729 | 32 | 7.342 | 2.912 |
| 23 | 0.631 | 41 | 6.565 | 3.589 |
| 24 | 0.830 | 147 | 7.459 | 3.681 |
| 25 | 0.783 | 22 | 8.014 | 2.918 |
| 26 | 0.790 | 29 | 8.177 | 3.148 |
| 27 | 0.480 | 46 | 8.212 | 2.501 |
| 28 | 0.715 | 23 | 11.230 | 1.723 |
| 29 | 0.731 | 4 | 8.330 | 3.082 |
| 30 | 0.650 | 31 | 5.583 | 3.073 |
| 31 | 0.754 | 39 | 8.564 | 2.197 |
| 32 | 0.208 | 15 | 12.102 | 1.281 |
| 33 | 0.618 | 32 | 11.876 | 1.609 |
| 34 | 0.781 | 27 | 9.742 | 3.353 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| District | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $x_{i 1}$ | $x_{i 2}$ | $x_{i 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| 35 | 0.686 | 32 | 7.520 | 2.856 |
| 36 | 0.734 | 34 | 7.388 | 2.425 |
| 37 | 0.020 | 17 | 13.842 | 1.224 |
| 38 | 0.570 | 46 | 11.040 | 2.477 |
| 39 | 0.559 | 42 | 10.332 | 2.351 |
| 40 | 0.675 | 43 | 10.908 | 2.370 |
| 41 | 0.580 | 34 | 11.156 | 2.380 |
| 42 | 0.152 | 19 | 13.323 | 1.569 |
| 43 | 0.408 | 25 | 12.960 | 2.342 |
| 44 | 0.578 | 28 | 11.260 | 2.747 |
| 45 | 0.114 | 3 | 10.080 | 1.946 |
| 46 | 0.492 | 23 | 11.428 | 1.960 |
| 47 | 0.466 | 27 | 13.731 | 1.589 |

Source: Andrews and Herzberg (1985)

We denote the resulting models in the following way: 1 for $X_{1}, 2$ for $X_{2}, 3$ for $X_{3}, \mathbf{1 2}$ for $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}, 13$ for $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}, 23$ for $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ for the full model. The following table represents the results obtained for the $C_{p}$ criterion for each model:

| Model | $C_{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 32.356 |
| 2 | 29.937 |
| 3 | 18.354 |
| 12 | 18.936 |
| 13 | 14.817 |
| 23 | 3.681 |
| 123 | 4.000 |

If we consider a model from group $B$ (containing one explanatory variable), the number of estimated parameters is $p=2$ and none of the $C_{p}$ values for the three models approaches 2 . If we now consider a model from group $C$ (with two explanatory variables), $p$ equals 3 and the $C_{p}$ of model $\mathbf{2 3}$ approaches this. Finally, for the complete model we find that $C_{p}=4$, which is
also the number of estimated parameters, but this is not an interesting result as previously explained. Therefore, the most reasonable choice for the model appears to be:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\varepsilon
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of determination
- Collinearity
- Hat matrix
- Mean squared error
- Regression analysis
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## Cramér, Harald

Cramér, Harald (1893-1985) entered the University of Stockholm in 1912 in order to study chemistry and mathematics; he became a student of Leffler, Mittag and Riesz, Marcel. In 1919, Cramér was named assistant professor at the University of Stockholm. At the same time, he worked as an actuary for an insurance company, Svenska Life Assurance, which allowed him to study probability and statistics.

His main work in actuarial mathematics is Collective Risk Theory. In 1929, he was asked to create a new department in Stockholm, and he became the first Swedish professor of actuarial and statistical mathematics. At the end of the Second World War he wrote his principal work Mathematical Methods of Statistics, which was published for the first time in 1945 and was recently (in 1999) republished.
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1946 Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
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## Criterion Of Total Mean Squared Error

The criterion of total mean squared error is a way of comparing estimations of the parameters of a biased or unbiased model.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\beta}_{p-1}\right)
$$

be a vector of estimators for the parameters of a regression model. We define the total mean square error, TMSE, of the vector $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of estimators as being the sum of the mean squared errors (MSE) of its components.
We recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right) & =E\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =V\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)+\left(E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E$ (.) and $V($.$) are the usual symbols$ used for the expected value and the variance. We define the total mean squared error as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{TMSE}\left(\widehat{\beta_{j}}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} E\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)+\left(E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & (p-1) \sigma^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{V}) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{V}$ is the variance-covariance matrix of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Unfortunately, when we want to calculate the total mean squared error

$$
\operatorname{TMSE}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} E\left(\left(\widehat{\beta_{j}}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

of a vector of estimators

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\beta}_{p-1}\right)
$$

for the parameters of the model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}^{s}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} X_{i p-1}^{s}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

we need to know the values of the model parameters $\beta_{j}$, which are obviously unknown for all real data. The notation $X_{j}^{s}$ means that the data for the $j$ th explanatory variable were standardized (see standardized data).
Therefore, in order to estimate these TMSE we generate data from a structural similarly
model. Using a generator of pseudo-random numbers, we can simulate all of the data for the artificial model, analyze it with different models of regression (such as simple regression or ridge regression), and calculate what we call the total squared error, $T S E$, of the vectors of the estimators $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ related to each method:

$$
\operatorname{TSE}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2} .
$$

We repeat this operation 100 times, ensuring that the 100 data sets are pseudoindependent. For each model, the average of 100 TSE gives a good estimation of the TMSE. Note that some statisticians prefer the model obtained by selecting variables due to its simplicity. On the other hand, other statisticians prefer the ridge method because it uses all of the available information.

## EXAMPLES

We can generally compare the following regression methods: linear regression by mean squares, ridge regression, or the variable selection method.
In the following example, thirteen portions of cement have been examined. Each portion is composed of four ingredients, given in the table. The aim is to determine how the quantities $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, x_{i 3}$ and $x_{i 4}$ of these four ingredients influence the quantity $y_{i}$, the heat given out due to the hardening of the cement. Heat given out by the cement

| Portion | Ingredient |  |  |  | Heat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| i | $\mathrm{x}_{i 1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\text {i2 }}$ | $x_{i 3}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\text {i4 }}$ | $y_{i}$ |
| 1 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 60 | 78.5 |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 15 | 52 | 74.3 |
| 3 | 11 | 56 | 8 | 20 | 104.3 |


| Portion | Ingredient |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{3}$ | 4 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 1}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i 4}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| 4 | 11 | 31 | 8 | 47 | 87.6 |
| 5 | 7 | 52 | 6 | 33 | 95.9 |
| 6 | 11 | 55 | 9 | 22 | 109.2 |
| 7 | 3 | 71 | 17 | 6 | 102.7 |
| 8 | 1 | 31 | 22 | 44 | 72.5 |
| 9 | 2 | 54 | 18 | 22 | 93.1 |
| 10 | 21 | 47 | 4 | 26 | 115.9 |
| 11 | 1 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 83.9 |
| 12 | 11 | 66 | 9 | 12 | 113.3 |
| 13 | 10 | 68 | 8 | 12 | 109.4 |

$y_{i}$ quantity of heat given out due to the hardening of the $i$ th portion (in joules);
$x_{i 1}$ quantity of ingredient 1 (tricalcium aluminate) in the $i$ th portion;
$x_{i 2}$ quantity of ingredient 2 (tricalcium silicate) in the $i$ th portion;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{i 3}$ quantity of ingredient 3 (tetracalcium alumino-ferrite) in the $i$ th portion;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i} 4}$ quantity of ingredient 4 (dicalcium silicate) in the $i$ th portion.

In this paragraph we will compare the estimators obtained by least squares (LS) regression with those obtained by ridge regression ( R ) and those obtained with the variable selection method (SV) via the total mean squared error TMSE. The three estimation vectors obtained from each method are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathrm{LS}}= & 95.4+9.12 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}^{s}+7.94 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}^{s} \\
& +0.65 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}^{s}-2.41 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{s} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathrm{R}}= & 95.4+7.64 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}^{s}+4.67 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}^{s} \\
& -0.91 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{s}-5.84 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{1} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathrm{SV}}= & 95.4+8.64 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}^{s}+10.3 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note here that all of the estimations were obtained from standardized explanato-
ry variables. We compare these three estimations using the total mean squared errors of the three vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} & =\left(\hat{\beta}_{L S_{1}}, \hat{\beta}_{L S_{2}}, \hat{\beta}_{L S_{3}}, \hat{\beta}_{L S_{4}}\right)^{\prime} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\left(\hat{\beta}_{R_{1}}, \hat{\beta}_{R_{2}}, \hat{\beta}_{R_{3}}, \hat{\beta}_{R_{4}}\right)^{\prime} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{SV}} & =\left(\hat{\beta}_{S V_{1}}, \hat{\beta}_{S V_{2}}, \hat{\beta}_{S V_{3}}, \hat{\beta}_{S V_{4}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the subscript LS corresponds to the method of least squares, R to the ridge method and SV to the variable selection method, respectively. For this latter method, the estimations for the coefficients of the unselected variables in the model are considered to be zero. In our case we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} & =(9.12,7.94,0.65,-2.41)^{\prime} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{R}} & =(7.64,4.67,-0.91,-5.84)^{\prime} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{SV}} & =(8.64,10.3,0,0)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have chosen to approximate the underlying process that results in the cement data by the following least squares equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i M C}= & 95.4+9.12 X_{i 1}^{S}+7.94 X_{i 2}^{S} \\
& +0.65 X_{i 3}^{S}-2.41 X_{i 4}^{s}+\varepsilon_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The procedure consists of generating 13 random error terms $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{13} 100$ times based on a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 2.446 (recall that 2.446 is the least squares estimator of $\sigma$ for the cement data). We then calculate $Y_{1 L S}, \ldots, Y_{13 L S}$ using the $X_{i j}^{S}$ values in the data table. In this way, we generate $100 Y_{1 L S}, \ldots, Y_{13 L S}$ samples from $100 \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{13}$ samples.
The three methods are applied to each of these $100 Y_{1 L S}, \ldots, Y_{13 L S}$ samples(always using the same values for $X_{i j}^{S}$ ), which yields 100 estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{SV}}$. Note that
these three methods are applied to these 100 samples without any influence from the results from the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}_{i L S}= & 95.4+9.12 X_{i 1}^{s}+7.94 X_{i 2}^{s} \\
& +0.65 X_{i 3}^{s}-2.41 X_{i 4}^{s} \\
\widehat{Y}_{i R}= & 95.4+7.64 X_{i 1}^{s}+4.67 X_{i 2}^{s} \\
& -0.91 X_{i 3}^{s}-5.84 X_{i 4}^{s} \text { and } \\
\widehat{Y}_{i S V}= & 95.4+8.64 X_{i 1}^{s}+10.3 X_{i 2}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

obtained for the original sample. Despite the fact that the variable selection method has chosen the variables $X_{i 1}$ and $X_{i 2}$ in $\widehat{Y}_{i S V}=$ $95.4+8.64 X_{i 1}^{s}+10.3 X_{i 2}^{s}$, it is possible that, for one of these 100 samples, the method has selected better $X_{i 2}$ and $X_{i 3}$ variables, or only $X_{i 3}$, or all of the subset of the four available variables. In the same way, despite the fact that the equation $\widehat{Y}_{i R}=95.4+7.64 X_{i 1}^{S}+$ $4.67 x X_{i 2}^{S}-0.91 X_{i 3}^{S}-5.84 X_{i 4}^{S}$ was obtained with $k=0.157$, the value of $k$ is recalculated for each of these 100 samples (for more details refer to the ridge regression example). From these 100 estimations of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text {LS }}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text {SV }}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{R}}$, we can calculate 100 ETC s for each method, which we label as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E T C_{\mathrm{LS}}= & \left(\hat{\beta}_{L S_{1}}-9.12\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{L S_{2}}-7.94\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{L S_{3}}-0.65\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{L S_{4}}+2.41\right)^{2} \\
E T C_{\mathrm{R}}= & \left(\hat{\beta}_{R_{1}}-9.12\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{R_{2}}-7.94\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{R_{3}}-0.65\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\beta_{R_{4}}+2.41\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E T C_{\mathrm{SV}}= & \left(\hat{\beta}_{S V_{1}}-9.12\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{S V_{2}}-7.94\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{S V_{3}}-0.65\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\hat{\beta}_{S V_{4}}+2.41\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The means of the 100 values of $E T C_{\mathrm{LS}}, E T C_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $E T C_{\mathrm{SV}}$ are the estimations of $T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}$, $T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}}$ and $T M S E_{\mathrm{R}}$ : the TMSE estimations for the three considered methods.
After this simulation was performed, the following estimations were obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}} & =270, \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{R}} & =75 . \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}} & =166 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These give the following differences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}} & =104, \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}} & =195, \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}} & =91 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the standard deviations of 100 observed differences are respectively 350 , 290 and 280, we can calculate the approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the differences between the TMSEs of two methods

$$
\begin{aligned}
T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}} & =104 \pm \frac{2 \cdot 350}{\sqrt{100}} \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}} & =195 \pm \frac{2 \cdot 290}{\sqrt{100}} \\
T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}} & =91 \pm \frac{2 \cdot 280}{\sqrt{100}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
34 & <T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}}<174, \\
137 & <T M S E_{\mathrm{LS}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}}<253, \\
35 & <T M S E_{\mathrm{SV}}-T M S E_{\mathrm{R}}<147 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can therefore conclude (at least for the particular model used to generate the simulated data, and taking into account our aimto get a small TMSE), that the ridge method is the best of the methods considered, followed by the varible selection procedure.

## FURTHER READING
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## Critical Value

In hypothesis testing, the critical value is the limit value at which we take the decision to reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$, for a given significance level.

## HISTORY

The concept of a critical value was introduced by Neyman, Jerzy and Pearson, Egon Sharpe in 1928.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The critical value depends on the type of the test used (two-sided test or one-sided
test on the right or the left), the probability distribution and the significance level $\alpha$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The critical value is determined from the probability distribution of the statistic associated with the test. It is determined by consulting the statistical table corresponding to this probability distribution (normal table, Student table, Fisher table, chisquare table, etc).

## EXAMPLES

A company produces steel cables. Using a sample of size $n=100$, it wants to verify whether the diameters of the cables conform closely enough to the required diameter 0.9 cm in general.

The standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population is known and equals 0.05 cm .
In this case, hypothesis testing involves a two-sided test. The hypotheses are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { null hypothesis } H_{0}: & \mu=0.9 \\
\text { alternative hypothesis } H_{1}: & \mu \neq 0.9 .
\end{array}
$$

To a significance level of $\alpha=5 \%$, by looking at the normal table we find that the critical value $z \frac{\alpha}{2}$ equals 1.96.

## FURTHER READING

- Confidence interval
- Hypothesis testing
- Significance level
- Statistical table
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## Cyclical Fluctuation

Cyclical fluctuations is a term used to describe oscillations that occur over long periods about the secular trend line or curve of a time series.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $Y_{t}$, a time series given by its components; $Y_{t}$ can be written as:

- $Y_{t}=T_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{t}$ (multiplicative model), or;
- $Y_{t}=T_{t}+S_{t}+C_{t}+I_{t}$ (additive model). where
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the data at time $t$;
$\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the secular trend at time $t$;
$\boldsymbol{S}_{t}$ is the seasonal variation at time $t$;
$\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the cyclical fluctuation at time $t$, and;
$\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the irregular variation at time $t$.
The first step when investigating a time series is always to determine the secular trend $T_{t}$, and then to determine the seasonal variation $S_{t}$. It is then possible to adjust the initial data of the time series $Y_{t}$ according to these two components:
- $\frac{Y_{t}}{S_{t} \cdot T_{t}}=C_{t} \cdot I_{t}$ (multiplicative model);
- $Y_{t}-S_{t}-T_{t}=C_{t}+I_{t}$ (additive model).

To avoid cyclical fluctuations, a weighted moving average is established over a few months only. The use of moving averages allows use to smooth the irregular variations $I_{t}$ by preserving the cyclical fluctuations $C_{t}$.

The choice of a weighted moving average allows us to give more weight to the central values compared to the extreme values, in order to reproduce cyclical fluctuations in a more accurate way. Therefore, large weights will be given to the central values and small weights to the extreme values.
For example, for a moving average considered for an interval of five months, the weights $-0.1,0.3,0.6,0.3$ and -0.1 can be used; since their sum is 1 , there will be no need for normalization.
If the values of $C_{t} \cdot I_{t}$ (resulting from the adjustments performed with respect to the secular trend and to the seasonal variations) are denoted by $X_{t}$, the value of the cyclical fluctuation for the month $t$ is determined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{t}= & -0.1 \cdot X_{t-2}+0.3 \cdot X_{t-1}+0.6 \cdot X_{t} \\
& +0.3 \cdot X_{t+1}-0.1 \cdot X_{t+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Estimating cyclical fluctuations allows us to:

- Determine the maxima or minima that a time series can attain.
- Perform short- or medium-term forecasting.
- Identify the cyclical components.

The limitations and advantages of the use of weighted moving averages when evaluating cyclical fluctuations are the following:

- Weighted moving averages can smooth a curve with cyclical fluctuations which still retaining most of the original fluctuation, because they preserve the amplitudes of the cycles in an accurate way.
- The use of an odd number of months to establish the moving average facilitates better centering of the values obtained.
- It is difficult to study the cyclical fluctuation of a time series because the cycles
usually vary in length and amplitude. This is due to the presence of a multitude of factors, where the effects of these factors can change from one cycle to the other. None of the models used to explain and predict such fluctuations have been found to be completely satisfactory.


## EXAMPLES

Let us establish a moving average considered over five months of data adjusted according to the secular trend and seasonal variations.
Let us also use the weights $-0.1,0.3,0.6,0.3$, -0.1 ; since their sum is equal to 1 , there is no need for normalization.
Let $X_{i}$ be the adjusted values of $C_{i} \cdot I_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i}= & -0.1 \cdot X_{i-2}+0.3 \cdot X_{i-1}+0.6 \cdot X_{i} \\
& +0.3 \cdot X_{i+1}-0.1 \cdot X_{i+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The table below shows the electrical power consumed by street lights every month in millions of kilowatt hours during the years 1952 and 1953. The data have been adjusted according to the secular trend and seasonal variations.

| Year | Month | Data <br> $X_{i}$ | Moving average <br> for 5 months $C_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1952 | J | 99.9 |  |
|  | F | 100.4 |  |
|  | M | 100.2 | 100.1 |
|  | A | 99.0 | 99.1 |
|  | M | 98.1 | 98.4 |
|  | J | 99.0 | 98.7 |
|  | J | 98.5 | 98.3 |
|  | A | 97.8 | 98.3 |
|  | S | 100.3 | 99.9 |
|  | O | 101.1 | 101.3 |
|  | N | 101.2 | 101.1 |
|  | D | 100.4 | 100.7 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Year | Month | Data <br> X $_{i}$ | Moving average <br> for 5 months $C_{i}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1953 | J | 100.6 | 100.3 |
|  | F | 100.1 | 100.4 |
| M | 100.5 | 100.1 |  |
| A | 99.2 | 99.5 |  |
| M | 98.9 | 98.7 |  |
| J | 98.2 | 98.2 |  |
| J | 98.4 | 98.5 |  |
| A | 99.7 | 99.5 |  |
| S | 100.4 | 100.5 |  |
| O | 101.0 | 101.0 |  |
| N | 101.1 |  |  |
| D | 101.1 |  |  |

No significant cyclical effects appear in these data and non significant effects do not mean no effects. The beginning of an economic
cycle is often sought, but this only appears every 20 years.

FURTHER READING

- Forecasting
- Irregular variation
- Moving average
- Seasonal variation
- Secular trend
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## Daniels, Henry E.

Daniels, Henry Ellis was born in London in October 1912. After graduating from Edinburgh University in 1933, he continued his studies at Cambridge University. After gaining his doctorate at Edinburgh University, he went back to Cambridge as lecturer in mathematics in 1947. In 1957, Daniels, Henry became the first professor of mathematics at Birmingham University, a post he held until 1978, up to his retirement. The research field that interested Daniels, Henry were inferential statistics, saddlepoint approximations in statistics, epidemiological modeling and statistical theory as applied to textile technology. When he was in Birmingham, he founded the annual meeting of statisticians in Gregynog Hall in Powys. These annual meetings gradually became one of the most well-received among English statisticians.
From 1974 to 1975 he was president of the Royal Statistical Society, which awarded him the Guy Medal in silver in 1957 and in gold in 1984. In 1980 he was elected a member of the Royal Society and in 1985 an honored member of the International Statistical Society. He died in 2000.

Some principal works and articles of Daniels, Henry E.:

1954 Saddlepoint approximations in statistics. Ann. Math. Stat. 25, 631-650.

1955 Discussion of a paper by Box, G.E.P. and Anderson, S.L.. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 17, 27-28.

1958 Discussion of paper by Cox, D.R.. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 20, 236-238.

1987 Tail probability approximations. Int. Stat. Rev. 55, 137-48.

## Data

A datum (plural data) is the result of an observation made on a population or on a sample.
The word "datum" is Latin, and means "something given;" it is used in mathematics to denote an item of information (not necessarily numerical) from which a conclusion can be made.
Note that a number (or any other form of description) that does not necessarily contain any information should not be confused with a datum, which does contain information.

The data obtained from observations are related to the variable being studied. These data are quantitative, qualitative, discrete or continuous if the corresponding variable is quantitative, qualitative, discrete or continuous, respectively.

## FURTHER READING
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- Incomplete data
- Observation
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## Data Analysis

Often, one of the first steps performed in scientific research is to collect data. These data are generally organized into twodimensional tables. This organization usually makes it easier to extract information about the data-in other words, to analyze them.
In its widest sense, data analysis can be considered to be the essence of statistics to which all other aspects of the subject are linked.

## HISTORY

Since data analysis encompasses many different methods of statistical analysis, it is
difficult to briefly overview the history of data analysis. Nevertheless, we can rapidly review the chronology of the most fundamental aspects of the subject:

- The first publication on exploratory data analysis dates back to 1970-1971, and was written by Tukey, J.W.. This was the first version of his work Exploratory Data Analysis, published in 1977.
- The theoretical principles of correspondence analysis are due to Hartley, H.O. (1935) (published under his original German name Hirschfeld) and to Fisher, R.A. (1940). However, the theory was largely developed in the 1970s by Benzécri, J.P.
- The first studies on classification were carried out in biology and in zoology. The oldest form of typology was conceived by Galen (129-199 A.D.). Numerical classification methods derive from the ideas of Adanson (in the eighteenth century), and were developed, amongst others, by Zubin (1938) and Thorndike (1953).


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The field of data analysis comprises many different statistical methods.

Types of data analysis can be classified in the following way:

1. Exploratory data analysis, which involves (as its name implies) exploring the data, via:

- Representing the data graphically,
- Data transformation (if required),
- Detecting outlier observations,
- Elaborating research hypotheses that were not envisaged at the start of the experiment,
- Robust estimation.

2. Initial data analysis, which involves:

- Choosing the statistical methods to be applied to the data.

3. Multivariate data analysis, which includes:

- Diseriminant analysis,
- Data transformation, which reduces the number of dimensions and facilitates interpretation,
- Searching for structure.

4. Specific forms of data analysis that are suited to different analytical tasks; these forms include:

- Correspondence analysis,
- Multiple correspondence analysis,
- Classification.

5. Confirmatory data analysis, which involves evaluating and testing analytical results; this includes:

- Parameter estimation,
- Hypotheses tests,
- The generalization and the conclusion.
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## Data Collection

When collecting data, we need to consider several issues. First, it is necessary to define why we need to collect the data, and what the data will be used for. Second, we need to consider the type of data that should be collected: it is essential that the data collected are related to the goal of the study. Finally, we must consider how the data are to be collected. There are three approaches to data collection:

## 1. Register

2. Sampling and census
3. Experimental research

## 1. Register

One form of accessible data is a registered one. For example, there are registered data on births, deaths, marriages, daily temperatures, monthly rainfall and car sales.
There are no general statistical methods that ensure that valuable conclusions are drawn from these data. Each set of data should be considered on its own merits, and one should be careful when making inference on the population.

## 2. Sampling and census

Sampling methods can be divided into two categories: random methods and nonrandom methods.

Nonrandom methods involve constructing, by empirical means, a sample that resembles the population from which it is taken as much as possible. The most commonly used nonrandom method is quota sampling.
Random methods use a probabilistic procedure to derive a sample from the population.
Given the fact that the probability that a given unit is selected in the sample is known, the error due to sampling can then be calculated. The main random methods are simple random sampling, stratified sampling, systematic sampling and batch sampling.
In a census, all of the objects in a population are observed, yielding data for the whole population. Clearly, this type of investigation is very costly when the population is very large. This is why censuses are not performed very often.

## 3. Experimental research

In an experiment, data collection is performed based upon a particular experiment-
al design. These experimental designs are applied to data collection in all research fields.

## HISTORY

It is likely that the oldest form of data collection dates back to population censuses performed in antiquity.
Antille and Ujvari (1991) mention the existence of a position for an official statistician in China during the Chow dynasty (111-211 B.C.).

The Roman author Tacitus says that Emperor Augustus ordered all of the soldiers, ships and wealth in the Empire to be counted.
Evidence for censuses can also be found in the Bible; Saint Luke reports that "Caesar Augustus ordered a decree prescribing a census of the whole world (...) and all went to be inscribed, each in his own town."
A form of statistics can also be found during this time. Its name betrays its administrative origin, because it comes from the Latin word "status:" the State.

## FURTHER READING
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## Decile

Deciles are measures of position calculated on a set of data.

The deciles are the values that separate a distribution into ten equal parts, where each part contains the same number of observations). The decile is a member of the wider family of quantiles.
The $x$ th decile indicates the value where $10 x \%$ of the observations occur below this value and $(100-10 x) \%$ of the observations occurabove this value. For example, the eighth decile is the value where $80 \%$ of the observations fall below this and $20 \%$ occur above it.
The fifth decile represents the median.
There will therefore be nine deciles for a given distribution:

| $10 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $10 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st <br> decile | 5th <br> decile | 9 th <br> decile |  |

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The process used to calculate deciles is similar to that used to calculate the median or quartiles.
When all of the raw observations are available, the process used to calculate deciles is as follows:

1. Organize the $n$ observations into a frequency distribution
2. The deciles correspond to the observations for which the cumulative relative frequencies exceed $10 \%, 20 \%, 30 \%, \ldots$, $80 \%$, $90 \%$.
Some authors propose using the following formula to precisely determine the values of the different deciles:
Calculating the jth decile:
Take $i$ to be the integer part of $j \cdot \frac{n+1}{10}$ and $k$ the fractional part of $j \cdot \frac{n+1}{10}$.
Take $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ to be the values of the observations at the $i$ th and $(i+1)$ th posi-
tions (when the observations are arranged in increasing order).
The $j$ th decile is then equal to:

$$
D_{j}=x_{i}+k \cdot\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)
$$

When the observations are grouped into lowing way:

1. Determine the class containing the desired decile located:

- First decile: the first class for which the cumulative relative frequency exceeds $10 \%$.
- Second decile: the first class for which the cumulative relative frequency exceeds $20 \%$.
- Ninth decile: the first class for which the cumulative relative frequency exceeds $90 \%$.

2. Calculate the value of the decile based on the hypothesis that the observations are uniformly distributed in each class:

$$
\text { decile }=L_{1}+\left[\frac{(N \cdot q)-\sum f_{\mathrm{inf}}}{f_{\text {decile }}}\right] \cdot c .
$$

where
$L_{1}=\quad$ lower limit of the class of the decile
$N=\quad$ total number of observations
$q=\quad 1 / 10$ for the first decile
$q=\quad 2 / 10$ for the second decile
$q=\quad 9 / 10$ for the ninth decile
$\sum f_{\text {inf }}=$ sum of the frequencies lower than the class of the decile
$f_{\text {decile }}=$ frequency of the class of the decile
$c=\quad$ size of the interval of the class of the decile

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The calculation of deciles only has meaning for a quantitative variable that can take values over a given interval.
The number of observations needs to be relatively high, because the calculation of deciles involves dividing the set of observations into ten parts.
Deciles are relatively frequently used in practice; for example, when interpreting the distribution of revenue in a city or state.

## EXAMPLES

Consider an example where the deciles are calculated for a frequency distribution of a continuous variable where the observations are grouped into classes.
The following frequency table represents the profits (in thousands of euros) of 500 bakeries:

| Profit (in thousands of euros) | Fre-quencies | Cumu- <br> lated <br> frequency | Relative cumulated frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100-150 | 40 | 40 | 0.08 |
| 150-200 | 50 | 90 | 0.18 |
| 200-250 | 60 | 150 | 0.30 |
| 250-300 | 70 | 220 | 0.44 |
| 300-350 | 100 | 320 | 0.62 |
| 350-400 | 80 | 400 | 0.80 |
| 400-450 | 60 | 460 | 0.92 |
| 450-500 | 40 | 500 | 1.00 |
| Total | 500 |  |  |

The first decile is in the class 150-200 (this is where the cumulative relative frequency exceeds 10\%).
By assuming that the observations are distributed uniformly in each class, we obtain
the following value for the first decile:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \text { st decile } & =150+\left[\frac{\left(500 \cdot \frac{1}{10}\right)-40}{50}\right] \cdot 50 \\
& =160 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second decile falls in the 200-250 class. The value of the second decile is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \text { nd decile } & =200+\left[\frac{\left(500 \cdot \frac{2}{10}\right)-90}{60}\right] \cdot 50 \\
& =208.33 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate the other deciles in the same way, which yields:

| Decile | Class | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $150-200$ | 160.00 |
| 2 | $200-250$ | 208.33 |
| 3 | $200-250$ | 250.00 |
| 4 | $250-300$ | 285.71 |
| 5 | $300-350$ | 315.00 |
| 6 | $300-350$ | 340.00 |
| 7 | $350-400$ | 368.75 |
| 8 | $350-400$ | 400.00 |
| 9 | $400-450$ | 441.67 |

We can conclude, for example, that $10 \%$ of the 500 bakeries make a profit of between 100000 and 160000 euros, $50 \%$ make a profit that is lower than 315000 euros, and $10 \%$ make a profit of between 441670 and 500000 euros.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of location
- Median
- Percentile
- Quantile
- Quartile


## Degree of Freedom

The number of degrees of freedom is a parameter from the chi-square distribution. It is also a parameter used in other probability distributions related to the chi-square distribution, such as the Student distribution and the Fisher distribution.
In another context, the number of degrees of freedom refers to the number of linearly independent terms involved when calculating the sum of squares based on $n$ independent observations.

## HISTORY

The term "degree of freedom" was introduced by Fisher, R.A. in 1925.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be a random sample of size $n$ taken from a population with an unknown mean $\bar{Y}$. The sum of the deviations of $n$ observations with respect to their arithmetic mean is always equal to zero:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)=0
$$

This requirement is a constraint on each deviation $Y_{i}-\bar{Y}$ used when calculating the variance:

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

This constraint implies that $n-1$ deviations completely determine the $n$th deviation. The $n$ deviations (and also the sum of their squares and the variance in the $S^{2}$ of the sample) therefore have $n-1$ degrees of freedom.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Fisher distribution
- Parameter
- Student distribution
- Variance


## REFERENCES

Fisher, R.A.: Applications of "Student's" distribution. Metron 5, 90-104 (1925)

## Deming, W. Edwards

Deming, W. Edwards was born in 1900, in Sioux City, in Iowa. He studied science at Wyoming University, graduating in 1921, and at Colorado University, where he completed his Master degree in mathematics and physics in 1924. Then he went to Yale University, where he received his doctorate in physics in 1928. After his doctorate, he worked for ten years in the Laboratory of the Ministry for Agriculture. In 1939, Deming moved to the Census Bureau in Washington. There he used his theoretical knowledge to initiate the first censuses to be performed by sampling. These censuses used techniques that later provided an example for similar censuses performed around the rest of the world. He left the Census Bureau in 1946 to become consultant in statistical studies and a professor of statistics at New York University. He died in Washington D.C. in 1993.

For a long time (up to 1980), the theories of Deming, W.E. were ignored by American companies. In 1947, Deming went to Tokyo as a consultant to apply his techniques of sampling. From 1950 onwards, Japanese industry adopted the management theories of Deming. Within ten years many Japanese
products were being exported to America because they were better and less expensive than equivalent products manufactured in the United States.

## Some principal works and articles of Deming, W. Edwards:

1938 Statistical Adjustment of Data. Wiley, New York.

1950 Some Theory of Sampling. Wiley, New York.

1960 Sample Design in Business Research. Wiley, New York.

## Demography

Demography is the study of human populations. It involves analyzing phenomena such as births, deaths, migration, marriages, divorces, fertility rate, mortality rate and age pyramids.
These phenomena are treated from both biological and socio-economic points of view. The methods used in demography are advanced mathematics and statistics as well as many fields of social science.

## HISTORY

The first demographic studies date back to the middle of the seventeenth century. In this period, Graunt, John, an English scientist, analyzed the only population data available: a list of deaths in the London area, classified according to their cause. In 1662 he published a study in which he tried to evaluate the average size of a family, the importance of migrational movement, and other elements related to the structure of the population. In collaboration with Petty, Sir William, Graunt proposed that more serious studies of
the population should be made and that a center where statistical data would be gathered should be created.
During the eighteenth century the same types of analyses were performed and progressively improved, but it wasn't until the nineteenth century that several European countries as well as the United States undertook national censuses and established the regular records of births, marriages and deaths.
These reports shows that different regions offered different chances of survival for their inhabitants. These conclusions ultimately resulted in improved working and hygiene conditions.
Using the demographic data gathered, predictions became possible, and the first demographic journals and reviews appeared around the end of the century. These included "Demography" in the United States, "Population" in France and "Population Studies" in Great Britain.
In the middle of the twentieth century demographic studies began to focus on the global population, as the demographic problems of the Third World became an important issue.

## FURTHER READING

- Census

Population

## REFERENCES

Cox, P.R.: Demography, 5th edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1976)

Hauser, P.M., Duncan, O.D.: The Study of Population: An Inventory and Appraisal. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL (1959)

## Dendrogram

A dendrogram is a graphical representation of different aggregations made during a cluster analysis. It consists of knots that correspond to groups and branches that represent the associations made at each step. The structure of the dendrogram is determined by the order in which the aggregations are made. If a scale is added to the dendrogram it is possible to represent the distances over which the aggregations took place.

## HISTORY

In a more general sense, a dendrogram (from the Greek "dendron", meaning tree) is a tree diagram that illustrates the relations that exist between the members of a set.
The first examples of dendrograms were the phylogenetic trees used by systematic specialists. The term "dendrogram" seems to have been used for the first time in the work of Mayr et al. (1953).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

During cluster analysis on a set of objects, aggregations are achieved with the help of a distance table and the chosen linkage method (single linkage method, complete linkage method, etc.).
Each extreme point of the dendrogram represents a different class produced by automatic classification.
The dendrogram is then constructed by representing each group by a knot placed at a particular position with respect to the horizontal scale, where the position depends upon the distance over which the aggregate is formed.
The objects are placed at the zero level of the scale. To stop the branches connecting the
knots from becoming entangled, the procedure of drawing a dendrogram is performed in a systematic way.

- The first two grouped elements are placed, one on top of the other, at the zero level of the scale. A horizontal line is then drawn next to each element, from the zero level to the aggregation distance for each element. The resulting class is then represented by a vertical line that connects the ends of the lines for the elements (forming a "knot"). The middle of this vertical line provides the starting point for a new horizontal line for this class when it is aggregated with another class. The dendrogram therefore consists of branches constructed from horizontal and vertical lines.
- Two special cases can occur during the next step:

1. Two classes that each consist of one element are aggregated; these classes are placed at the zero level and the resulting knot is located at the aggregation distance, as described previously;
2. An element is aggregated to a class formed previously. The element is aggregated from the zero level, and is inserted between existing classes if necessary). The knot representing this aggregation is placed at the (horizontal) distance corresponding to the aggregation distance between the class and the element.
This procedure continues until the desired configuration is obtained. If there are still classes consisting of a single element at the end of the aggregation process, they are simply added from the zero level of the dendrogram. When the classification has been completed, each class will corresponds to a particular part of the dendrogram.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The dendrogram describes the ordered path of the set of operations performed during cluster analysis. It illustrates this type of classification in a very precise manner.
This strictly defined approach to constructing a dendrogram is sometimes modified due to circumstances. For example, as we will see in one of the examples below, the aggregation distances of two or more successive steps may be the same, and so the procedure must then be changed to make sure that the branches of the dendrogram do not get entangled.
In the case that we have described above, the dendrogram is drawn horizontally. Obviously, it is also possible to plot a dendrogram from top to bottom, or from bottom to top. The branches can even consist of diagonal lines.

## EXAMPLES

We will perform a cluster analysis using the single link method and establish the corresponding dendrogram, illustrating the different aggregations that may occur.
Consider the grades obtained by five students during examinations for four different courses: English, French, maths and physics.
We would like to separate these five students into two groups using the single link method, in order to test a new teaching method.
These grades, which can take values from 1 to 6 , are summarized in the following table:

The distance table is obtained by calculating the Euclidean distances between the students and adding them to the following table:

|  | Alain | Jean | Marc | Paul | Pierre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 0 | 1.22 | 1.5 | 2.35 | 2 |
| Jean | 1.22 | 0 | 1.8 | 2.65 | 2.74 |
| Marc | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.32 | 1.12 |
| Paul | 2.35 | 2.65 | 1.32 | 0 | 1.22 |
| Pierre | 2 | 2.74 | 1.12 | 1.22 | 0 |

Using the single link method, based on the minimum distance between the objects in two classes, the following partitions are obtained at each step:
First step: Marc and Pierre are grouped at an aggregation distance of 1.12: \{Alain\}, \{Jean\}, \{Marc,Pierre\}, \{Paul\} Second step: We revise the distance table. The new distance table is given below:

|  | Jean | Marc and Pierre | Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 1.22 | 1.5 | 2.35 |
| Jean |  | 1.8 | 2.65 |
| Marc and |  |  | 1.22 |
| Pierre |  |  |  |

Alain and Jean are then grouped at a distance of 1.22 , and we obtain the following partition: \{Alain, Jean \}, \{Marc, Pierre\}, \{Paul\} Third step: The new distance table is given below:

|  | Marc and Pierre | Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain and Jean | 1.5 | 2.35 |
| Marc and Pierre |  | 1.22 |


|  | English | French | Maths | Physics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Jean | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Marc | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Paul | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Pierre | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |

Paul is then grouped with Marc and Pierre at a distance of 1.22 , yielding the following partition: \{Alain, Jean\}, \{Marc, Pierre, Paul\} Fourth step: The two groups are aggregated at a distance of 1.5.
This gives the following dendrogram:


Notice that Paul must be positioned before the group of Marc and Pierre in order to avoid entangling the branches of the diagram.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Cluster analysis
- Complete linkage method
- Distance
- Distance table


## REFERENCES
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## Density Function

The density function of a continuous random variable allows us to determine the probability that a random variable $X$ takes values in a given interval.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $P(a \leq X \leq b)$, the probability that a continuous random variable $X$ takes a value in the interval $[a, b]$. This probability is defined by:

$$
P(a \leq X \leq b)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $f(x)$ is the density function of the random variable $X$.
The density function is graphically represented on an axis system. The different values of the random variable $X$ are placed on the abscissa, and those taken by the function $f$ are placed on the ordinate.
The graph of the function $f$ does not allow us to determine the probability for one particular point, but instead to visualize the probability for an interval on a surface.
The total surface under the curve corresponds to a value of 1 :

$$
\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x=1
$$

The variable $X$ is a continuous random variable if there is a non-negative function $f$ that is defined for real numbers and for which the following property holds for every interval $[a, b]$ :

$$
P(a \leq X \leq b)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Here $P(a \leq X \leq b)$ is the probability function. Therefore, the probability that the continuous random variable $X$ takes a value in the interval $[a, b]$ can be obtained by integrating the probability function over $[a, b]$. There is also the following condition on $f$ :

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=P(\infty \leq X \leq \infty)=1
$$

We can represent the density function graphically on the system of axes. The different
values of the random variable $X$ are placed on the abscissa, and those of the function $f$ on the ordinate.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If $a=b$ in $P(a \leq X \leq b)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$, then

$$
P(X=a)=\int_{a}^{a} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=0 .
$$

This means that the probability that a continuous random variable takes an isolated fixed value is always zero.
It therefore does not matter whether we include or exclude the boundaries of the interval when calculating the probability associated with an interval.

## EXAMPLES

Consider $X$, a continuous random variable, for which the density function is

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{3}{4}\left(-x^{2}+2 x\right), & \text { if } 0<x<2 \\ 0, & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

We can calculate the probability of $X$ being higher than 1 . We obtain:

$$
P(X>1)=\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

which can be divided into:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X>1)= & \int_{1}^{2} \frac{3}{4}\left(-x^{2}+2 x\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{2}^{\infty} 0 \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \frac{3}{4}\left(-\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\left.x^{2}\right|_{1} ^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The density function and the region where $P(X>1)$ can be represented graphically:


The shaded surface represents the region where $P(X>1)$.

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous distribution function
- Continuous probability distribution
- Joint density function
- Probability


## Dependence

The concept of dependence can have two meanings. The first concerns the events of a random experiment. It is said that two events are dependent if the occurrence of one depends on the occurrence of the other.
The second meaning of the word concerns the relation, generally a functional relation, that can exist between random variables. This dependence can be measured, and in most cases these measurements require random varible covariance.
The most commonly used measure of dependence is the correlation coefficient. The null hypothesis, which states that the two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent, can be tested against the alternative hypothesis of dependence. Tests that are used for this purpose include the chisquare test of independence, as well as tests based on the Spearman rank corre-

## lation coefficient and the Kendall rank correlation coefficient.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square test of independence
- Correlation coefficient
- Covariance
- Kendall rank correlation coefficient
- Spearman rank correlation coefficient
- Test of independence


## Dependent Variable

The dependent variable (or response variable) in a regression model is the variable that is considered to vary depending on the other variables called independent variables incorporated into the analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Independent variable
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression


## Descriptive Epidemiology

Descriptive epidemiology involves describing the frequencies and patterns of illnesses among the population.
It is based on the collection of health-related information (in mortality tables, morbidity registers, illnesses that must be declared ...) and on information that may have an impact on the health of the population (data on atmospheric pollution, risk behavior ...), which are used to obtain a statistical picture of the general health of the population.

## HISTORY

See epidemiology.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See cause and effect in epidemiology, odds and odds ratio, relative risk, attributable risk, avoidable risk, incidence rate, prevalence rate.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Despite the fact that descriptive epidemiology only yields "elementary" information, it is still vitally important:

- It allows us to study the scales and the patterns of health phenomenona (by studying their prevalence and their incidence), and it facilitates epidemiological surveillance,
- It aids decision-making related to the planning and administration of health establishments and programs,
- Itcan lead to hypotheses on the risk factors of an illness (example: the increased rate of incidence of skin cancers in the south of France, believed to be related to the increased intensity of the sun's rays in the south of France compared to the north.). Descriptive epidemiology cannot be used to relate a cause to an effect; it is not a predictive tool.


## FURTHER READING

See epidemiology.

## REFERENCES

See epidemiology.

## Descriptive Statistics

The information gathered in a study can often take different forms, such as frequency data
(for example, the number of votes cast for a candidate in elections) and scale data. These data are often initially arranged or organized in such a way that they are difficult to read and interpret.
Descriptive statistics offers us some procedures that allow us to represent data in a readable and worthwhile form.
Some of these procedures allow us to obtain
a graphical representation of the data, for example in the following forms:

## - Histogram,

- Bar chart,
- Pie chart,
- Stem and leaf,
- Box plot, etc.
while others allow us to obtain a set of parameters that summarize important properties of the basic data:
- Mean,
- Standard deviation,
- Correlation coefficient,
- Index number, etc.

The descriptive statistics also encompasses methods of data analysis, such as the correspondence analysis, that consist of graphically representing the associations between the rows and the columns of a contingency table.

## HISTORY

The first known form of descriptive statistics was the census. Censuses were ordered by the rulers of ancient civilizations, who wanted to count their subjects and to monitor their professions and goods.
See statistics and graphical representation.

## FURTHER READING

Box plot

> - Census

- Correspondence analysis
- Data analysis
- Dendrogram
- Graphical representation
- Histogram
- Index number
- Line chart
- Pie chart
- Stem-and-leaf diagram


## Design of Experiments

Designing an experiment is like programming the experiment in some ways. Each factor involved in the experiment can take a certain number of different values (called levels), and the experimental design employed specifies the levels of the one or many factors (or combinations of factors) used in the experiment.

## HISTORY

Experimental designs were first used in the 1920s, mostly in the agricultural domain. Sir Fisher, Ronald Aylmer was the first to use mathematical statistics when designing experiments. In 1926 he wrote a paper outlining the principles of experimental design in non-mathematical terms.
Federer, W.T. and Balaam, L.N. (1973) provided a very detailed bibliography of literature related to experimental design before 1969 , incorporating 8000 references.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The goal of the experimental design is to find with the most efficient and economic methods that allow us to reach solid and adequate conclusions on the results from the experiment.

The most frequently applied experimental designs are the completely randomized design, the randomized block design and the Latin square design.
Each design implies a different mathematical analysis to those used for the other designs, since the designs really correspond to different mathematical models. Examples of these types of analysis include variance analysis, covariance analysis and regression analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Experiment
- Model
- Optimal design
- Regression analysis


## REFERENCES

Federer, W.T., Balaam, L.N.: Bibliography on Experiment and Treatment Design Pre1968. Hafner, New York (1973)

Fisher, R.A.: The arrangement of field experiments. J. Ministry Agric. 33, 503-513 (1926)

## Determinant

Any square matrix $A$ of order $n$ has a special number associated with it, known as the determinant of matrix $A$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, a square matrix of order $n$. The determinant of $A$, denoted $\operatorname{det}(A)$ or $|A|$, is given by the following sum:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=\sum( \pm) a_{1 i} \cdot a_{2 j} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{n r}
$$

where the sum is made over all of the permutations of the second index. The sign is positive if the number of inversions in $(i, j, \ldots, r)$ is even (an even permutation) and it is negative if the number is odd (an odd permutation).
The determinant can also be defined by "developing" along a line or a column. For example, we can develop the matrix along the first line:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{1+j} \cdot a_{1 j} \cdot A_{1 j},
$$

where $A_{1 j}$ is the determinant of the square "submatrix" of order $n-1$ obtained from $A$ by erasing the first line and the $j$ th column. $A_{1 j}$ is called the cofactor of element $a_{1 j}$. Since we can arbitrarily choose the line or column, we can write:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+j} \cdot a_{i j} \cdot A_{i j}
$$

for a fixed $i$ (developing along the $i$ th line), or

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+j} \cdot a_{i j} \cdot A_{i j}
$$

for a fixed $j$ (developing along the $j$ th column).
This second way of defining the determinant is recursive, because the determinant of a square matrix of order $n$ is calculated using the determinants of the matrices of order $n-1$.

## Properties of the Determinant

- The determinant of a square matrix $A$ is equal to the determinant of its transposed matrix $A^{\prime}$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{\prime}\right)
$$

- If two lines (or two columns) are exchanged in matrix $A$, the sign of the determinant changes.
- If all of the elements of a line (or a column) are zero, the determinant is also zero.
- If a multiple of a line from matrix $A$ is added to another line from $A$, the determinant remains the same. This means that if two lines from $A$ are identical, it is easy to obtain a line where all of the elements are zero simply by subtracting one line from the other; because of the previous property, the determinant of $A$ is zero. The same situation occurs when one line is a multiple of another.
- The determinant of a matrix that only has zeros under (or over) the diagonal is equal to the product of the elements on the diagonal (such a matrix is denoted "triangular").
- Consider $A$ and $B$, two square matrices of order $n$. The determinant of the product of the two matrices is equal to the product of the determinants of the two matrices:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A \cdot B)=\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}(B)
$$

## EXAMPLES

1) Consider $A$, a square matrix of order 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{det}(A) & =a \cdot d-b \cdot c
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Consider $B$, a square matrix of order 3:

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & -1 & 0 \\
2 & -2 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

By developing along the last column:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(B)= & 1 \cdot\left|\begin{array}{rr}
4 & -1 \\
2 & -2
\end{array}\right|+0 \cdot\left|\begin{array}{rr}
3 & 2 \\
2 & -2
\end{array}\right| \\
& +0 \cdot\left|\begin{array}{rr}
3 & 2 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right| \\
= & 1 \cdot\{4 \cdot(-2)-(-1) \cdot 2\} \\
= & -8+2 \\
= & -6
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Inversion
- Matrix
- Permutation


## Deviation

The concept of deviation describes the difference between an observed value and a fixed value from the set of possible values of a quantitative variable.
This fixed value is often the arithmetic mean of the set of values or the median.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the set of values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The arithmetic mean of these values is denoted by $\bar{x}$. The deviation of a given value $x_{i}$ with respect to the arithmetic mean is equal to:

$$
\text { deviation }=\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right) .
$$

In a similar way, if the median of these same values is denoted by $m$, the deviation of a value $x_{i}$ with respect to the median is equal to:

$$
\text { deviation }=\left(x_{i}-m\right)
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Mean absolute deviation
- Standard deviation
- Variance


## Dichotomous Variable

A variable is called dichotomous if it can take only tow values.
The simplest example is that of the qualitative categorical variable "gender," which can take two values, "male" and "female". Note that quantitative variables can always be reduced and dichotomized. The variable "revenue" can, for example, be reduced to two categories: "low revenue" and "high revenue".

## FURTHER READING

- Binary data
- Category
- Qualitative categorical variable
- Variable


## Dichotomy

Dichotomy is the division of the individuals of a population or a sample into two groups, as a function of predetermined criteria.
A variable is called dichotomous when it can only take two values. Such data are called binary data.

## FURTHER READING

- Binary data
- Dichotomous variable


## Discrete Distribution Function

The distribution function of a discrete random variable is defined for all real numbers as the probability that the random variable takes a value less than or equal to this real number.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The function $F$, defined by

$$
F(b)=P(X \leq b) .
$$

is called the distribution function of the discrete random variable $X$.
Given a real number $b$, the distribution function therefore corresponds to the probability that $X$ is less than or equal to $b$.
The distribution function can be graphically represented on a system of axes. The different values of the discrete random variable $X$ are displayed on the abscissa and the cumulative probabilities corresponding to the different values of $X, F(x)$, are shown on the ordinate.


In the case where the possible values of the discrete random variable are $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$ with $b_{1}<b_{2}<\ldots$, the discrete distribution function is a step function. $F\left(b_{i}\right)$ is constant over the interval $\left[b_{i}, b_{i+1}[\right.$.

## Properties of the Discrete

## Distribution Function

1. $F$ is a nondecreasing function; in other words, if $a<b$, then $F(a) \leq F(b)$
2. $F$ takes values from the interval $[0,1]$.
3. $\lim _{b \rightarrow-\infty} F(b)=0$.
4. $\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)=1$.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a random experiment that consists of simultaneously throwing two dice. Consider the discrete random variable $X$, corresponding to the total score from the two dice.
Let us search for the probability of the event $\{X \leq 7\}$, which is by definition the value of the distribution function for $x=7$.

The discrete random variable $X$ takes its values from the set $E=\{2,3, \ldots, 12\}$. The probabilities associated with each value of $X$ are given by the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{X})$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ |


| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{X})$ | $\frac{6}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ |

To establish the distribution function, we have to calculate, for each value $b$ of $X$, the sum of the probabilities for all values less than or equal to $b$. We therefore create a new table containing the cumulative probabilities:

| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{X} \leq \boldsymbol{b})$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{6}{36}$ | $\frac{10}{36}$ | $\frac{15}{36}$ | $\frac{21}{36}$ |


| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{X} \leq \boldsymbol{b})$ | $\frac{26}{36}$ | $\frac{30}{36}$ | $\frac{33}{36}$ | $\frac{35}{36}$ | $\frac{36}{36}=1$ |

The probability of the event $\{X \leq 7\}$ is therefore equal to $\frac{21}{36}$.

We can represent the distribution function of the discrete random variable $X$ as follows:


FURTHER READING

- Probability
- Probability function
- Random experiment
- Value


## Discrete Probability Distribution

If each possible value of a discrete random variable is associated with a certain probability, we can obtain the discrete probability distribution of this random variable.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The probability distribution of a discrete random variable $X$ is given by its probability function $P(x)$ or its distribution function $F(x)$.
It can generally be characterized by its expected value:

$$
E[X]=\sum_{D} x \cdot P(X=x),
$$

and its variance:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sum_{D}(x-E[X])^{2} \cdot P(X=x),
$$

where $D$ represents the set from which $X$ can take its values.

## EXAMPLES

The discrete probability distributions that are most commonly used are the Bernoulli distribution, the binomial distribution, the negative binomial distribution, the geometric distribution, the multinomial distribution, the hypergeometric distribution and the Poisson distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Binomial distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Discrete distribution function
- Expected value
- Geometric distribution
- Hypergeometric distribution
- Joint probability distribution function
- Multinomial distribution
- Negative binomial distribution
- Poisson distribution
- Probability
- Probability distribution
- Probability function
- Random variable
- Variance of a random variable


## REFERENCES

Johnson, N.L., Kotz, S.: Distributions in
Statistics: Discrete Distributions. Wiley, New York (1969)

## Discrete Uniform Distribution

The discrete uniform distribution is a discrete probability distribution. The corresponding continuous probability distribution is the (continuous) uniform distribution.
Consider $n$ events, each of which have the same probability $P(X=x)=\frac{1}{n}$; the ran-
dom variable $X$ follows a discrete uniform distribution and its probability function is: $P(X=x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{n}, & \text { if } x=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \\ 0, & \text { if not }\end{array}\right.$.


Discrete uniform distribution, $n=4$

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the discrete uniform distribution over the set of first $n$ natural numbers is, by definition, given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\sum_{x=1}^{n} x \cdot P(X=x) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{n} x \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot(1+2+\cdots+n) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n^{2}+n}{2} \\
& =\frac{n+1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of this discrete uniform distribution is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[X^{2}\right] & =\sum_{x=1}^{n} x^{2} \cdot P(X=x) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{n} x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+\cdots+n^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \\
& =\frac{2 n^{2}+3 n+1}{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(E[X])^{2}=\frac{(n+1)^{2}}{2^{2}}
$$

we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\frac{2 n^{2}+3 n+1}{6}-\frac{(n+1)^{2}}{2^{2}} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}-1}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The discrete uniform distribution is often used to generate random numbers from any discrete or continuous probability distribution.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the random variable $X$, the score obtained by throwing a die. If the die is not loaded, the probability of obtaining any particular score is equal to $\frac{1}{6}$. Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X=1)=\frac{1}{6} \\
P(X=2)=\frac{1}{6} \\
\ldots \\
P(X=6)=\frac{1}{6} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The number of possible events is $n=6$. We therefore have:

$$
P(X=x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}, & \text { for } x=1,2, \ldots, 6 \\ 0, & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

In other words, the random variable $X$ follows the discrete uniform distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Discrete probability distribution
- Uniform distribution


## Dispersion

## See measure of dispersion.

## Distance

Distance is a numerical description of the spacing between two objects. A distance therefore corresponds to a real number:

- Zero, if both objects are the same;
- Strictly positive, if not.


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider three objects $X, Y$ and $Z$, and the distance between $X$ and $Y, d(X, Y)$.
A distance has following properties:

- It is positive,

$$
d(X, Y)>0,
$$

or zero if and only if the objects are the same

$$
d(X, Y)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X=Y .
$$

- It is symmetric, meaning that

$$
d(X, Y)=d(Y, X)
$$

- It verifies the following inequality, called the triangular inequality:

$$
d(X, Z) \leq d(X, Y)+d(Y, Z)
$$

This says that the distance from one object to another is smaller or equal to the distance obtained by passing through a third object.
Consider $X$ and $Y$, which are two vectors with $n$ components,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left(x_{i}\right)^{\prime} \text { and } Y=\left(y_{i}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

A family of distances that is often used in such a case is given below:

$$
d(X, Y)=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{p}} \text { with } p \geq 1
$$

These distances are called Minkowski distances.
The distance for $p=1$ is called the absolute distance or the $L_{1}$ norm.
The distance for $p=2$ is called the Euclidean distance, and it is defined by:

$$
d(X, Y)=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

The Euclidean distance is the most frequently used distance.
When the type of distance being used is not specified, it is generally the Euclidean distance that is being referred to.
There are also the weighted Minkowski distances, defined by:
$d(X, Y)=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \cdot\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{p}}$ with $p \geq 1$,
where $w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ represent the different weights, which sum to 1 .
When $p=1$ or 2 , we obtain the weighted absolute and Euclidean distances respectively.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

For a set of $r$ distinct objects $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$, the distance between object $i$ and object $j$ for each pair ( $X_{i}, X_{j}$ ) can be calculated. These distances are used to create a distance table or more generally a dissimilarity table containing terms that can be generalized to

$$
d_{i j}=d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right), \quad \text { for } i, j=1,2, \ldots, r,
$$

as used in methods of cluster analysis. Note that the term measure of dissimilarity is used if the triangular inequality is not satisfied.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the example based on the grades obtained by five students in their English, French, maths and physics examinations.
These grades, which can take any value from 1 to 6 , are summarized in the following table:

|  | English | French | Maths | Physics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Jean | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| Marc | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Paul | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| Pierre | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 |

The Euclidean distance between Alain and Jean, denoted by $d_{2}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2} \text { (Alain, Jean) } \\
& \quad=\sqrt{(5.0-3.5)^{2}+\cdots+(4.5-5.5)^{2}} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{2.25+0.25+1+1} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{4.5}=2.12 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute distance between Alain and Jean, denoted by $d_{1}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1}(\text { Alain, Jean }= & |5.0-3.5|+\cdots \\
& +|4.5-5.5| \\
= & 1.5+0.5+1+1 \\
= & 4.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing the calculations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2}(\text { Alain }, \text { Marc })=\sqrt{2.25}=1.5 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Alain }, \text { Paul })=\sqrt{7.5}=2.74
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2}(\text { Alain, Pierre })=\sqrt{5.25}=2.29 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Jean, Marc })=\sqrt{6.25}=2.5 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Jean, Paul })=\sqrt{9}=3 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Jean, Pierre })=\sqrt{10.75}=3.28 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Marc, Paul })=\sqrt{7.75}=2.78 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Marc, Pierre })=\sqrt{2.5}=1.58 \\
& d_{2}(\text { Paul, Pierre })=\sqrt{16.25}=4.03 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Alain, Marc })=2.5 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Alain, Paul })=5.0 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Alain, Pierre })=4.5 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Jean, Marc })=4.5 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Jean, Paul })=5.0 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Jean, Pierre })=5.5 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Marc, Paul })=6.5 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Marc, Pierre })=2.0 \\
& d_{1}(\text { Paul, Pierre })=7.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Euclidean distance table is obtained by placing these results in the following table:

|  |  | Alain | Jean | Marc | Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Pierre

Similarly, we can obtain the following absolute distance table:

|  | Alain | Jean | Marc | Paul | Pierre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 0 | 4 | 2.5 | 5 | 4.5 |
| Jean | 4 | 0 | 4.5 | 5 | 5.5 |
| Marc | 2.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 6.5 | 2 |
| Paul | 5 | 5 | 6.5 | 0 | 7.5 |
| Pierre | 4.5 | 5.5 | 2 | 7.5 | 0 |

Note that the order of proximity of the individuals can vary depending on the chosen
distance. For example, if the Euclidean distance is used, it is Alain who is closest to Marc ( $d_{2}$ (Alain, Marc) $=1.5$ ), whereas Alain comes in second place if the absolute distance is used, $\left(d_{1}\right.$ (Alain, Marc) $\left.=2.5\right)$, and it is Pierre who is closest to Marc, with a distance of $2\left(d_{1}(\right.$ Pierre.Marc $\left.)=2\right)$.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distance
- Cluster analysis
- Distance table
- Mahalanobis distance
- Measure of dissimilarity
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## Distance Table

For a set of $r$ individuals, the distance table is a square matrix of order $r$, and the general term ( $i, j$ ) equals the distance between the $i$ th and the $j$ th individual. Thanks to the properties of distance, the distance table is symmetrical and its diagonal is zero.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Suppose we have $r$ individuals, denoted $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$; the distance table constructed from these $r$ individuals is a square matrix $D$ of order $r$ and the general term is

$$
d_{i j}=d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right),
$$

where $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ is the distance between the $i$ th and the $j$ th individual.
The properties of distance allow us to state that:

- The diagonal elements $d_{i i}$ of $D$ are zero because $d\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)=0$ (for $i=1,2$, ..., r),
- $D$ is symmetrical; that is, $d_{i j}=d_{j i}$ for each $i$ and for values of $j$ from 1 to $r$.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Using a distance table provides a type of cluster analysis, where the aim is to identify the closest or the most similar individuals in order to be able to group them.
The distances entered into distance table are generally assumed to be Euclidian distances, unless a different type of distance has been specified.

## EXAMPLES

Let us take the example of the grades obtained by five students in four examinations: English, French, mathematics and physics.
These grades, which can take any multiple of 0.5 from 1 to 6 , are summarized in the following table:

|  | English | French | Maths | Physics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Jean | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| Marc | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Paul | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| Pierre | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 |

We attempt to measure the distances that separate pairs of students. To do this, we compare two lines of the table (corresponding to two students) at a time: to be precise, each grade in the second line is subtracted from the corresponding grade in the first line.
For example, if we calculate the distance from Alain to Jean using the Euclidian dis-
tance, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\text { Alain.Jean })= & \left((5.0-3.5)^{2}\right. \\
& +(3.5-4.0)^{2}+(4.0 \\
& \left.-5.0)^{2}+(4.5-5.5)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= & \sqrt{2.25+0.25+1+1} \\
= & \sqrt{4.5}=2.12
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, the distance between Alain and Marc equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\text { Alain, Marc })= & \left((5.0-4.5)^{2}\right. \\
& +(3.5-4.5)^{2} \\
& +(4.0-4.0)^{2} \\
& \left.+(4.5-3.5)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= & \sqrt{0.25+1+0+1} \\
= & \sqrt{2.25}=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

And so on,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\text { Alain, Paul })=\sqrt{7.5}=2.74 \\
& d(\text { Alain, Pierre })=\sqrt{5.25}=2.29 \\
& d(\text { Jean, Marc })=\sqrt{6.25}=2.5 \\
& d(\text { Jean, Paul })=\sqrt{9}=3 \\
& d(\text { Jean, Pierre })=\sqrt{10.75}=3.28 \\
& d(\text { Marc, Paul })=\sqrt{7.75}=2.78 \\
& d(\text { Marc, Pierre })=\sqrt{2.5}=1.58 \\
& d(\text { Paul, Pierre })=\sqrt{16.25}=4.03
\end{aligned}
$$

By organizing these results into a table, we obtain the following distance table:

|  | Alain | Jean | Marc | Paul | Pierre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alain | 0 | 2.12 | 1.5 | 2.74 | 2.29 |
| Jean | 2.12 | 0 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.28 |
| Marc | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 2.78 | 1.58 |
| Paul | 2.74 | 3 | 2.78 | 0 | 4.03 |
| Pierre | 2.29 | 3.28 | 1.58 | 4.03 | 0 |

We can see that the values along the diagonal equal zero and that the matrix is symmetrical. This means that the distance from each student to the same student is zero, and the distance that separates the first student from the second is identical to the distance that separates the second from the first. So, $d\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)=0$ and $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=d\left(X_{j}, X_{i}\right)$.

## FURTHER READING

- Cluster analysis
- Dendrogram


## - Distance

- Matrix


## Distribution Function

The distribution function of a random variable is defined for each real number as the probability that the random variable takes a value less than or equal to this real number.
Depending on whether the random variable is discrete or continuous, we obtain a discrete distribution function or a continuous distribution function.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We define the distribution function of a ran-
dom variable $X$ at value $b$ (with $0<b<$ $\infty)$ by:

$$
F(b)=P(X \leq b) .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous distribution function
- Discrete distribution function
- Probability
- Random variable
- Value


## Dot Plot

The dot plot is a type of frequency graph. When the data set is relatively small (up to about 25 data points), the data and their frequencies can be represented in a dot plot.
This type of graphical representation is particularly useful for identifying outliers.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The dot plot is constructed in the following way. A scale is established that contains all of the values in the data set. Each datum is then individually marked on the graph as a dot above the corresponding value; if there are several data with the same value, the dots are aligned one on top of each other.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following data:

$5,2,4,5,3,2,4,3,5,2,3,4,3,17$.

To construct the dot plot, we establish a scale from 0 to 20.
We then represent the data with dots above each value. We obtain:


Note that this series includes an outlier, at 17.

## FURTHER READING

- Line chart
- Frequency distribution
- Histogram
- Graphical representation


## Durbin-Watson Test

The Durbin-Watson test introduces a statistic $d$ that is used to test the autocorrelation of the residuals obtained from a linear regression model. This is a problem that often appears during the application of a linear model to a time series, when we want to test the independence of the residuals obtained in this way.

## HISTORY

Durbin, J. and Watson, G.S. invented this test in 1950.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the case of a multiple linear regression model containing $p-1$ independent variables. The model is written:

$$
Y_{t}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} X_{j t}+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad t=1, \ldots, T
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the dependent variable,
$X_{j t}$, with $j=1, \ldots, p-1$ are the independent variables
$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}, \quad$ with $j=1, \ldots, p-1$ are the parameters to be estimated,
$\varepsilon_{t}$ with $t=1, \ldots, T$ is an unobservable random error term.

In the matrix form, the model is written as:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\varepsilon
$$

where
$\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector ( $n \times 1$ ) of the observations related to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations),
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad$ is a vector $(p \times 1)$ of the parameters to
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad$ is a vector $(n \times 1)$ of the errors,
and $\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & X_{11} & \ldots & X_{1(p-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n 1} & \ldots & X_{n(p-1)}\end{array}\right)$ is the
matrix $(n \times p)$ of the design associated with the independent variables.
The residuals, obtained by the method of the least squares, are given by:

$$
\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}=\left[I-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{Y}
$$

The statistic $d$ is defined as follows:

$$
d=\frac{\sum_{t=2}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(e_{t}-e_{t-1}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{\mathrm{T}} e_{t}^{2}}
$$

The statistic $d$ tests the hypothesis that the errors are independent against the alternative that they follow a first-order autoregressive process:

$$
\varepsilon_{t}=\rho \varepsilon_{t-1}+u_{t}
$$

where $|\rho|<1$ and the $u_{t}$ are independent and are normally distributed with a mean of zero and a variance of $\sigma^{2}$. We call the term $\rho$ the autocorrelation. In terms of $\rho$, the hypothesis testing is written as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \rho=0 \\
H_{1}: & \rho \neq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The construction of this statistic means that it can take values between 0 and 4 . We have
$d=2$ when $\widehat{\rho}=0$. We denote the sample estimate of the observation of $\rho$ by $\widehat{\rho}$. In order to test the hypothesis $H_{0}$, Durbin and Watson tabulated the critical values of $d$ at a significance level of 5\%; these critical values depend on the number of observations $T$ and the number of explanatory variables ( $p-1$ ). The table to test the positive autocorrelation at the $\alpha$-level, the statistic test $d$ is compared to lower and upper critical values $\left(d_{L}, d_{U}\right)$, and

- reject $H_{0}: p=0$ if $d<d_{L}$
- do not reject $H_{0}$ if $d>d_{U}$
- for $d_{L}<d<d_{U}$ the test is inconclusive. Similarily to test negative autocorrelation at the $\alpha$-level the statistic 4- $d$ is compared to lower and upper critical values $\left(d_{L}, d_{U}\right)$, and
- reject $H_{0}: p=0$ if $H_{4}-d<d_{L}$
- do not reject $H_{0}$ if $4-d>d_{U}$
- for $d_{L}<4-d<d_{U}$ the test is nonconclusive.
Note that the model must contain a constant term, because established Durbin-Watson tables generally apply to models with a constant term. The variable to be explained should not be among the explanatory variables.


## EXAMPLES

We consider an example of the number of highway accidents in the United States per million miles traveled by car between 1970 and 1984. The data for these years are given below:

| 4.9, | 4.7, | 4.5, | 4.3, | 3.6, | 3.4, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.3, | 3.4, | 3.4, | 3.5, | 3.5, | 3.3, |
| 2.9, | 2.7, | 2.7. |  |  |  |

The following figure illustrates how the data are distributed with time. On the horizontal axis, zero corresponds to the year 1970, and the 15 to the year 1984 .


$$
\text { Number of accidents }=a+b \cdot t
$$

with $t=0,1, \ldots, 14$.
The equation for the simple linear regression line obtained for these data is given below:

Number of accidents $=4.59-0.141 \cdot t$.
We then obtain the following residuals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.31, \quad 0.25, \quad 0.19,0.13,-0.43,-0.49, \\
& -0.45,-0.21,-0.07,0.17, \quad 0.32, \quad 0.26, \\
& 0.00,-0.06,
\end{aligned} 0.08 .
$$

The value of the statistic $d$ is 0.53 . In the Durbin-Watson table for a one-variable regression, we find the values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{L, 0.05} & =1.08 \\
d_{U, 0.05} & =1.36 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the case where $0<d<$ $d_{L}$, so we reject the $H_{0}$ hypothesis in the favor of positive autocorrelation between the residuals of the model. The following figure illustrates the positive correlation between the residuals with time:


## FURTHER READING

- Autocorrelation
- Multiple linear regression
- Residual
- Simple linear regression
- Statistics
- Time series
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## Econometrics

Econometrics concerns the application of statistical methods to economic data. Economists use statistics to test their theories or to make forecasts.
Since economic data are not experimental in nature, and often contain some randomness, econometrics uses stochastic models rather than deterministic models.

## HISTORY

The advantages of applying mathematics and statistics to the field of economics were quickly realized. In the second part of the seventeenth century, Petty, Sir William (1676) published an important article introducing the methodological foundations of econometrics.
According to Jaffé, W. (1968), Walras, Léon, professor at the University of Lausanne, is recognized as having originated general equilibrium economic theory, which provides the theoretical basis for modern econometrics.
On the 29th December 1930, in Cleveland, Ohio, a group of economists, statisticians and mathematicians founded an Econometrics Society to promote research into mathematical and statistical theories associated with the fields of economics. They created the bimonthly review "Econometrica,"
which appeared for the first time in January 1933.

The development of simultaneous equation models that could be used in time series for economic forecasts was the moment at which econometrics emerged as a distinct field, and it remains an important part of econometrics today.
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## Edgeworth, Francis Y.

Edgeworth, Francis Ysidro was born in 1845 at Edgeworthstown (Ireland) and died in
1926. He contributed to various subjects, such as morality, economic sciences, probability and statistics. His early and important work on indices and the theory of utility were later followed by a statistical work that is now sometimes called "Bayesian".
The terms "module" and "fluctuation" originated with him.
He became the first editor of the Economic Journal in 1881.

## Principal work of Edgeworth, Francis Ysidro:

1881 Mathematical Physics: An Essay on the Application of Mathematics to the Moral Sciences. Kegan Paul, London.

## Efron, Bradley

Efron, Bradley was born in St. Paul, Minnesota in May 1938, to Efron, Esther and Efron, Miles, Jewish-Russian immigrants. He graduated in mathematics in 1960. During that year he arrived at Stanford, where he obtained his Ph.D. under the direction of Miller, Rupert and Solomon, Herb in the statistics department. He has taken up several positions at the University: Chair of Statistics, Associate Dean of Science, Chairman of the University Advisory Board, and Chair of the Faculty Senate. He is currently Professor of Statistics and Biostatistics at Stanford University.
He has been awarded many prizes, including the Ford Prize, the MacArthur Prize and the Wilks Medal for his research work into the use of computer applications in statistics, particularly regarding bootstrap and the jackknife techniques. He is a Member of the

National Academy of Sciences and the American Academy of Arts and Science. He holds a fellowship in the IMS and the ASA. He also became President of the American Statistical Association in 2004.
The term "computer intensive statistical methods" originated with him and Diaconis, P. His research interests cover a wide range of statistical topics.

Some principal works and articles of Efron, Bradley:
1993 (with Tibshirani, R.J.) An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Chapman \& Hall.

1983 Estimating the error rate of a prediction rule: Improvement on crossvalidation. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 78, 316-331.

1982 Jackknife, the Bootstrap and Other Resampling Plans. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.
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## Eigenvalue

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a square matrix of order $n$. If there is a vector $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ that gives a multiple $(k \cdot \mathbf{x})$ of itself when multiplied by $\mathbf{A}$, then this vector is called an eigenvector and the multiplicative factor $k$ is called an eigenvalue of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a square matrix of order $n$ and $\mathbf{x}$ be a nonzero eigenvector. $k$ is an eigenvalue of A if

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}=k \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

that is, $\left(\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{n}\right) \cdot \mathbf{x}=0$, where $\mathbf{I}_{n}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.
As $\mathbf{x}$ is nonzero, we can determine the possible values of $k$ by finding the solutions of the equation $\left|\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{n}\right|=0$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

For a square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ of order $n$, the determinant $\left|\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{n}\right|$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $k$ that has at the most $n$ not necessarily unique solutions. There will therefore be $n$ eigenvalues at the most; some of these may be zeros.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following square matrix of order 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}= & {\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] } \\
\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}= & {\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] } \\
& -k \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}= & {\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4-k & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1-k & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -k
\end{array}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

The determinant of $\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{3}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}\right|= & (1-k) \cdot[(4-k) \\
& \cdot(-k)-2 \cdot(-2)] \\
= & (1-k) \cdot\left(k^{2}-4 \cdot k+4\right) \\
= & (1-k) \cdot(k-2)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The eigenvalues are obtained by finding the solutions of the equations $(1-k) \cdot(k-2)^{2}=$

0 . We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=1 \quad \text { and } \\
& k_{2}=k_{3}=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, two of the three eigenvalues are confounded.
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## Eigenvector

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a square matrix of order $n$. An "eigenvector" of $\mathbf{A}$ is a vector $\mathbf{x}$ containing $n$ components (not all zeros) where the matrix product of $\mathbf{A}$ by $\mathbf{x}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{x}$, for example $k \cdot \mathbf{x}$.
We say that the eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$ is associated with an eigenvalue $k$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a square matrix of order $n$; then the vector $\mathbf{x}$ (not zero) is an eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}$ if there is a number $k$ such that

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}=k \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

As $\mathbf{x}$ is nonzero, we determine the possible values of $k$ by finding solutions to the equation $\left|\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}\right|=0$, where $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.
We find the corresponding eigenvectors by resolving the system of equations defined by:

$$
\left(\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{x}=0 .
$$

Every nonzero multiple of an eigenvector can be considered to be an eigenvector. If $c$
is a nonzero constant, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} & =k \cdot \mathbf{x} \\
c \cdot(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}) & =c \cdot(k \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\
\mathbf{A} \cdot(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) & =k \cdot(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $c \cdot \mathbf{x}$ is also an eigenvector if $c$ is not zero.
It is possible to call an eigenvector, the vector of the norm 1 or unit vector on the axis of $c \cdot \mathbf{x}$, and the eigenvector will be on the factorial axis. This vector is unique (up to a sign).

## EXAMPLES

We consider the following square matrix of order 3:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix $\mathbf{A}$ are obtained by making the determinant of $\left(\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{3}\right)$ equal to zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}= & {\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] } \\
& -k \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4-k & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1-k & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -k
\end{array}\right]
$$

The determinant of $\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbf{A}-k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}\right|= & (1-k) \cdot[(4-k) \\
& \cdot(-k)-2 \cdot(-2)] \\
= & (1-k) \cdot\left(k^{2}-4 \cdot k+4\right) \\
= & (1-k) \cdot(k-2)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the eigenvalues are equal to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=1 \quad \text { and } \\
& k_{2}=k_{3}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

We now compute the eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue $k_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1} & =1 \\
\mathbf{A}-k_{1} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{3} & =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
4-1 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1-1 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the components of the eigenvector $x$ as

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

we then need to resolve the following system of equations:

$$
\left(\mathbf{A}-k_{1} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{3}\right) \cdot \mathbf{x}=0
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
-2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equation has been eliminated because it is trivial.
The solution to this system of equations depending on $x_{2}$ is given by:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
7 \cdot x_{2} \\
x_{2} \\
-12 \cdot x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The vector $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ for every nonzero value of $x_{2}$. To find the unit
eigenvector, we let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\left(7 \cdot x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(-12 \cdot x_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =(49+1+144) \cdot x_{2}^{2}=194 \cdot x_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

from where $x_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{194}$,
and so $x_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{194}}=0.0718$.
The unit eigenvector of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ associated with the eigenvalue $k_{1}=1$ then equals:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
0.50 \\
0.07 \\
-0.86
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## Epidemiology

Epidemiology (the word derives from the Greek words "epidemos" and "logos," meaning "study of epidemics") is the study of the frequency and distribution of illness, and the parameters and the risk factors that define the state of health of a population, in relation to time, space and groups of individuals.

## HISTORY

Epidemiology originated in the seventeenth century, when Petty, William (1623-1687), an English doctor, economist and scientist, collected information on the population, which he described in the work Political Arithmetic. Graunt, John (1620-1694) proposed the first rigorous analysis of causes of death in 1662. Quetelet, Adolphe (1796-1874), Belgian astronomer and mathematician, is considered to be the foundor of modern population statistics, the mother discipline of epidemiology, statistics, econometrics and other quantitative disciplines describing social and biological characteristics of human life. With the work of Farr, William (1807-1883), epidemiology was recognized as a separate field from statistics, since he studied the causes of death and the way it varied with age, gender, season, place of residence and profession. The Scottish doctor Lind, James (1716-1794) proved that eating citrus fruit stopped scurvy, which marked an important step in the history of the epidemiology. Snow, John (1813-1858), a doctor in London, showed theat epidemics propagated by contagions, which was underlined by an in situ study that proved that drinking Thames water polluted by refuse from sewers contributes to the dissemination of cholera.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See cause and effect in epidemiology, odds and odds ratio, relative risk, attributable risk, avoidable risk, incidence rate, prevalence rate.

## EXAMPLES

Let us take an example involving the mortality rates in two fictitious regions. Suppose
that we want to analyze the annual mortality in two regions A and B, each populated with 10000 inhabitants. The mortality data are indicated in the following table:

|  | Region A | Region B |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Deaths/Total <br> population | $500 /$ | $1000 /$ |
| Total mortality per <br> year <br> Annual mortality rate <br> among those $<60$ <br> years old | $5 \%$ | 10000 |

From these data, can we state that the inhabitants of region B encounter an increased chance of mortality than the inhabitants of region A? In other words, would we recommend that people leave region $A$ to live in $B$ ? If we divide both populations by age, we note that, in spite, the different total mortality rates of regions A and B do not seem to be explained by the different age structures of A and B .
The previous example highlights the multitude of factors that should be considered during data analysis. The principal factors that we should consider during an epidemiological study include: age (as an absolute age and as the generation that the individual belongs to), gender and ethnicity, among others.
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## Error

The error is the difference between the estimated value and the true value (or reference value) of the concerned quantity.

## HISTORY

The error distributions are the probability distributions which describe the error that appears during repeated measures of a same quantity under the same conditions. They were introduced in the second half of the eighteenth century to illustrate how the arithmetic mean can be used to obtain a good approximation of the reference value of the studied quantity.
In a letter to the President of the Royal Society, Simpson, T. (1756) suggested that the probability of obtaining a certain error during an observation can be described by a discrete probability distribution. He proposed the first two error distributions. Other discrete distributions were proposed and studied by Lagrange, J.L. (1776).

Simpson,T. (1757) also proposed continuous error distributions, as did Laplace, P.S. (1774 and 1781). However, the most important error distribution, the normal distribution was proposed by Gauss, C.F. (1809).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the field of metrology, the following types of errors can be distinguished:

- The absolute error, which is the absolute value of the difference between the observed value and the reference value of a certain quantity;
- The relative error, which is the ratio between the absolute error and the value of the quantity itself. It characterizes the accuracy of a physical measure;
- The accidental error, which is not related to the measuring tool but to the experimenter himself;
- The experimental error, which is the error due to uncontrolled variables;
- The random error, which is the chance error resulting from a combination of errors due to the instrument and/or the user. The statistical properties of random errors and their estimation are studied using probabilities;
- The residual error, which corresponds to the difference between the estimated value and the observed value. The term residual is sometimes used for this error;
- The systematic error, the error that comes from consistent causes (for example the improper calibration of a measuring instrument), and which always happens in the same direction. The bias used in statistics is a particular example of this;
- The rounded error, which is the error that is created when a numerical value is replaced by a truncated value close to it. When performing calculations with
numbers that have $n$ decimal places, the value of the rounded error is located between

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 10^{-n} \text { and } \frac{1}{2} \cdot 10^{-n}
$$

During long calculation procedures, rounded errors can accumulate and produce very imprecise results. This type of error is often encountered when using a computer.
Every error that occurs in a statistical problem is a combination of the different types of errors listed above.
In statistics, and more specifically during hypothesis testing, there is also:

- Type I error, corresponding to the error committed when the null hypothesis is rejected when it is true;
- Type II error, the error committed when the null hypothesis is not rejected when it is false.
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## Estimation

Estimation is the procedure that is used to determine the value of a particular parameter associated with a population. To estimate the parameter, a sample is drawn from the population and the value of the estimator for the unknown parameter is calculated. Estimation is divided into two large categories: point estimation and interval estimation.
notably by Bernoulli, Jacques (1713), Laplace, P.S. (1774) and Bernoulli, Daniel (1778).

The greatest advance in the theory of estimation, after the introduction of the least squares method, was probably the formulation of the moments method by Pearson, K. (1894, 1898). However, the foundations of the theory of estimation is due to Fisher, R.A.. In his first work of 1912, he introduced the maximum likelihood method. In 1922 he wrote a fundamental paper that clearly described what estimation really is for the first time.
Fisher, R.A. (1925) also introduced a set of definitions that were adopted to describe estimators. Terms such as "biased," "efficient" and "sufficient" estimators were introduced by him in his estimation theory.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The following graph shows the relationship between sampling and estimation. "Sampling" is the process of obtaining a sample from a population, while "estimation" is the reverse process: from the sample to the population.
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## Estimator

Any statistical function of a sample used to estimate an unknown parameter of the population is called an estimator.
Any value obtained from this estimator is an estimate of the parameter of the population.

## HISTORY

See estimation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $\theta$, an unknown parameter defined for a population, and $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, a sample taken from this population. A statistical function (or simply a statistic) of this sample $g\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is used to estimate $\theta$. To distinguish the statistic $g\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, which is a random variable, from the value that it takes in a particular case, it is common to call the statistic $g\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ the estimator, and the value that is taken by it in a particular case is called an estimate.

An estimator should not be confused with a method of estimation.
A parameter of a population is usually denoted by a Greek letter, and its estimator by this same letter but with a circumflex accent ( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) or a hat, to distinguish it from the parameter of the population. Sometimes the corresponding Roman letter is used instead.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

When calculating an estimator, such as the arithmetic mean, it is expected that the value of thisestimator will approach the value of the true parameter (the mean of the population in this case) as the sample size increases.
It is therefore clear that a good estimator must be close to the true parameter. The closer the estimators cluster around the true parameters, the better the estimators.
In this sense, good estimators are strongly related to statistical measures of dispersion such as the variance.
In general estimators, should possess certain qualities, such as those described below.

1. Estimator without bias:

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of an unknown parameter $\theta$ is said to be without bias if its expected value is equal to $\theta$,

$$
E[\hat{\theta}]=\theta .
$$

The mean $\bar{x}$ and the variance $S^{2}$ of a sample are estimators without bias, respectively, of the mean $\mu$ and of the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the population, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \text { and } \\
S^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Efficient estimator:

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of a parameter $\theta$ is said to be efficient if the variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is smaller than the variance of any other estimator of $\theta$.
Therefore, for two estimators without bias of $\theta$, one will be more efficient than the other if its variance is smaller.

## 3. Consistent estimator:

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of a parameter $\theta$ is said to be consistent if the probability that it differs from $\theta$ decreases as the sample size increases.
Therefore, an estimator is consistent if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}-\theta|<\hat{\varepsilon})=1
$$

however small the number $\hat{\varepsilon}>0$ is.

## EXAMPLES

Consider $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, a sample drawn from a population with a mean of $\mu$ and a variance of $\sigma^{2}$.
The estimator $\bar{x}$, calculated from the sample and used to estimate the parameter $\mu$ of the population, is an estimator without bias. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\bar{x}] & =E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[x_{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu \\
& =\frac{1}{n} n \cdot \mu \\
& =\mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the population, it is tempting to use the estimator $S^{2}$ calculated from the sample $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, which is defined here by:

$$
S^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}
$$

This estimator is a biased estimator $\sigma^{2}$ since:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}
$$

in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)-(\bar{x}-\mu)\right]^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)(\bar{x}-\mu)\right. \\
&\left.+(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2(\bar{x}-\mu) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) \\
&+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2(\bar{x}-\mu)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}-n \cdot \mu\right) \\
&+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2(\bar{x}-\mu)(n \cdot \bar{x}-n \cdot \mu) \\
&+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2 n(\bar{x}-\mu)(\bar{x}-\mu) \\
&+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-2 n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}+n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2} .
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[S^{2}\right] & =E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}-(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right]-E\left[(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right]-E\left[(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=\operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)-\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})
$$

$$
=\sigma^{2}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}
$$

$$
=\frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^{2} \neq \sigma^{2}
$$

Therefore, to get an estimator of the variance $\sigma^{2}$ that is not biased, we avoid using $S^{2}$ and use the same value divided by $\frac{n-1}{n}$ instead:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime 2} & =\frac{n}{n-1} S^{2} \\
& =\frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bias
- Estimation
- Sample
- Sampling
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## Event

In a random experiment, an event is a subset of the set the sample space. In other words, an event is a set of possible outcome in a random experiment.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $\Omega$, the sample space of a random experiment. Any subset of $\Omega$ is called an event.

## Different Types of Events

- Impossible events

An impossible event corresponds to an empty set. The probability of an impossible event is equal to 0 :

$$
\text { if } \quad B=\phi, \quad P(B)=0 .
$$

## - Sure event

A sure event is an event that corresponds to the sample space. The probability of a sure event is equal to 1 :

$$
P(\Omega)=1 .
$$

## - Simple event

A simple event is an event that only contains one outcome.

## Operations on Events

- Negation of an event

Consider the event $E$. The negation of this event is another event, called the opposite event of $E$, which is realized when $E$ is not realized and vice versa. The opposite event of $E$ is denoted by the set $\bar{E}$, the complement of $E$ :

$$
\bar{E}=\Omega \backslash E=\{\omega \in \Omega ; \omega \notin E\} .
$$

## - Intersection of events

Consider the two events $E$ and $F$. The intersection between these two events is another event that is realized when both events are realized simultaneously. It is called the " $E$ and $F$ " event, and it is denoted by $E \cap F$.

$$
E \cap F=\{\omega \in \Omega ; \omega \in E \text { and } \omega \in F\} .
$$

In a more general way, if $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{r}$ are $r$ events, then

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} E_{i}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega ; \omega \in E_{i}, \forall i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is defined as the intersection of the $r$ events, which is realized when all of the $r$ events are realized simultaneously.

- Union of events

Consider the two same events $E$ and $F$. The union of these two events is another event, which is realized when at least one of the two events $E$ or $F$ is realized. It is sometimes called the " $E$ or $F$ " event, and it is denoted by $E \cup F$ :

$$
E \cup F=\{\omega \in \Omega ; \omega \in E \text { or } \omega \in F\}
$$

## Properties of Operations on the Events

Consider the three events $E, F$ and $G$. The intersection and the union of these events satisfy the following properties:

- Commutativity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \cap F=F \cap E, \\
& E \cup F=F \cup E .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Associativity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \cap(F \cap G)=(E \cap F) \cap G, \\
& E \cup(F \cup G)=(E \cup F) \cup G .
\end{aligned}
$$

## - Idempotence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \cap E=E, \\
& E \cup E=E .
\end{aligned}
$$

The distributivity of the intersection with respect to the union is:

$$
E \cap(F \cup G)=(E \cap F) \cup(E \cap G) .
$$

The distributivity of the union with respect to the intersection is:

$$
E \cup(F \cap G)=(E \cup F) \cap(E \cup G)
$$

The main negation relations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{E \cap F}=\bar{E} \cup \bar{F}, \\
& \overline{E \cup F}=\bar{E} \cap \bar{F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider a random experiment which involves simultaneously throwing a yellow die and a blue die.
The sample space of this experiment is formed from the set of pairs of possible scores from the two dice:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Omega=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3), \ldots,(2,1) \\
(2,2), \ldots,(6,5),(6,6)\} .
\end{array}
$$

where, for example: $(1,2)=$ " 1 " on the yellow die and " 2 " on the blue die. The number of pairs (or simple events) that can be formed is given by the number of arrangements with repetition of two objects among six which is 36 . For this sample space, it is possible to describe the following events, for example:

1. The sum of points is equal to six:

$$
A=\{(1,5),(2,4),(3,3),(4,2),(5,1)\} .
$$

2. The sum of points is even:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \{(1,1),(1,3),(1,5), \\
& (2,2),(2,4), \ldots,(6,4),(6,6)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The sum of points is less than 5:

$$
C=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),
$$

$$
(2,1),(2,2),(3,1)\} .
$$

4. The sum of points is odd:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D=\{ & (1,2),(1,4),(1,6), \\
& (2,1),(2,3), \ldots,(6,5)\}=\bar{B} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. The sum of points is even and less than 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\{(1,1),(1,3),(2,2),(3,1)\} \\
& =B \cap C
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The blue die lands on " 2 ":

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\{(1,2),(2,2),(3,2), \\
& \\
& (4,2),(5,2),(6,2)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

7. The blue die lands on " 2 " or the total score is less than 5:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G=\{(1,2),(2,2),(3,2),(4,2),(5,2), \\
(6,2),(1,1),(1,3),(2,1),(3,1)\} \\
=F \cup C .
\end{array}
$$

8. $A$ and $D$ are incompatible.
9. $A$ implies $B$.

## FURTHER READING

- Compatibility
- Conditional probability
- Independence
- Probability
- Random experiment
- Sample space


## Expected Value

The expected value of a random variable is the weighted mean of the values that the random variable can take, where the weight is the probability that a particular value is taken by the random variable.

## HISTORY

The mathematical principle of the expected value first appeared in the work entitled De Ratiociniis in Aleae Ludo, published in 1657 by the Dutch scientist Huygens, Christiaan (1629-1695). His thoughts on the subject appear to have heavily influenced the later works of Pascal and Fermat on probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Depending on whether the random variable is discrete or continuous, we refer to either the expected value of a discrete random variable or the expected value of a continuous random variable.
Consider a discrete random variable $X$ that has a probability function $p(X)$. The expected value of $X$, denoted by $E[X]$ or $\mu$, is defined by

$$
\mu=E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

if $X$ can take $n$ values.
If the random variable $X$ is continuous, the expected value becomes

$$
\mu=E[X]=\int_{D} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

if $X$ takes values over the interval $D$, where $f(x)$ is the density function of $X$.

## Properties of the Expected Value

Consider the two constants $a$ and $b$, and the
random variable $X$. We then have:

1. $E[a X+b]=a E[X]+b$;
2. $E[X+Y]=E[X]+E[Y]$;
3. $E[X-Y]=E[X]-E[Y]$;
4. If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then:

$$
E[X \cdot Y]=E[X] \cdot E[Y] .
$$

## EXAMPLES

We will consider two examples, one concerning a discrete random variable, the other concerning a continuous random variable. Consider a game of chance where a die is thrown and the score noted. Suppose that you win a euro if it lands on an even number, two euros if it lands on a " 1 " or a " 3 ," and lose three euros if it lands on a 5.
The random variable $X$ considered here is the number of euros that are won or lost. The following table represents the different values of $X$ and their respective probabilities:

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | -3 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{X})$ | $1 / 6$ | $3 / 6$ | $2 / 6$ |

The expected value is therefore equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} p\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =-3 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+1 \cdot \frac{3}{6}+2 \cdot \frac{2}{6} \\
& =\frac{2}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, the player wins, on average, $\frac{2}{3}$ of a euro per throw.
Consider a continuous random variable $X$ with the following density function:

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } 0<x<1 \\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The expected value of this random variable $X$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\int_{0}^{1} x \cdot 1 \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\left.\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right|_{0} ^{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Density function
- Probability function
- Weighted arithmetic mean


## Experiment

An experiment is an operation conducted under controlled conditions in order to discover a previously unknown effect, to test or establish a hypothesis, or to demonstrate a known law.
The goal of experimenting is to clarify the relation between the controllable conditions and the result of the experiment.
Experimental analysis is performed on observations which are affected not only by the controllable conditions, but also by uncontrolled conditions and measurement errors.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

When the possible results of the experiment can be described, and it is possible to attribute a probability of realization to each possible outcome, the experiment is called a random experiment. The set of all possible outcome of an experiment is called the sample space.
A factorial experiment is when the experimenter organizes an experiment with two or more factors. The factors are the controllable conditions of the experiment. Experi-
mental errors come from uncontrolled conditions and from measurement errors that are present in any type of experiment.
An experimental design is established, depending on the aim of the experimentor or the possible resources that are available.

## EXAMPLES

See random experiment and factorial experiment.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Factorial experiment
- Hypothesis testing
- Random experiment
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## Experimental Unit

An experimental unit is the smallest part of the experimental material to which we apply

## treatment.

The experimental design specifies the number of experimental units submitted to the different treatments.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Factor
- Treatment


## Exploratory Data Analysis

Exploratory data analysis is an approach to data analysis where the features and characteristics of the data are reviewed with an "open mind"; in other words, without attempting to apply any particular model to the data. It is often used upon first contact with the data, before any models have been chosen for the structural or stochastic components, and it is also used to look for deviations from common models.

## HISTORY

Exploratory data analysis is a set of techniques that have been principally developed by Tukey, John Wilder since 1970. The philosophy behind this approach is to examine the data before applying a specific probability model. According to Tukey, J.W., exploratory data analysis is similar to detective work. In exploratory data analysis, these clues can be numerical and (very often) graphical. Indeed, Tukey introduced several new semigraphical data representation tools to help with exploratory data analysis, including the "box and whisker plot" (also known as the box plot) in 1972, and the stem and leaf diagram in 1977. This diagram is similar to the histogram, which dates from the eighteenth century.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A good way to summarize the essential information in a data with exploratory data analysis is provided by the five-number summary, which is presented in the form of a table as follows:

| Median |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| First quartile | Third quartile |
| Minimum | Maximum |

Let $n$ be the number of observations; we arrange the data in ascending order. We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { median rank } & =\frac{n+1}{2} \\
\text { quartile rank } & =\frac{\lfloor\text { median rank }\rfloor+1}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the value of $x$ truncated down to the next smallest whole number.
In his book, Tukey, J.W. calls the first and the fourth quartiles "hinges".

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In the exploratory data analysis defined by Tukey, J.W., there are four principal topics. These are graphical representation, re-expression (which is simply the transformation of variables), residuals and resistance (which is synonymous to the concept of robustness). The resistance is a measure of sensitivity of the analysis or summary to "bad data". The need to study the resistance reflects the fact that even "good data rarely contains less then $5 \%$ error and so it is important to be able to protect the analysis against the adverse effects of error". Tukey's resistant line gives a robust fit to a set of points, which means that this line is not overly sensitive to any particular observation. The median is highly resistant, but the mean is not. Graphical representations are used to analyze the behavior of the data, data fits, diagnostic measures and residuals. In this way we can spot any unexpected characteristics and recognizableir regularity in the data.
The development of the exploratory data analysis is closely associated with an emphasis on visual representation and the use of a variety of relatively new graphical techniques, such as the stem and leaf diagram and the box plot.

## EXAMPLES

Income indices for Swiss cantons in 1993

| Canton | Index | Canton | Index |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Zurich | 125.7 | Schaffhouse | 99.2 |
| Bern | 86.2 | Appenzell | 84.3 |
|  | Rh.-Ext. |  |  |
| Lucern | 87.9 | Appenzell | 72.6 |
|  | Rh.-Int. |  |  |
| Uri | 88.2 | Saint-Gall | 89.3 |
| Schwytz | 94.5 | Grisons | 92.4 |
| Obwald | 80.3 | Argovie | 98.0 |
| Nidwald | 108.9 | Thurgovie | 87.4 |
| Glaris | 101.4 | Tessin | 87.4 |
| Zoug | 170.2 | Vaud | 97.4 |
| Fribourg | 90.9 | Valais | 80.5 |
| Soleure | 88.3 | Neuchatel | 87.3 |
| Basel-Stadt | 124.2 | Geneva | 116.0 |
| Basel-Land | 105.1 | Jura | 75.1 |

The table provides the income indices of Swiss cantons per inhabitant (Switzerland $=100$ ) in 1993. We can calculate the fivenumber summary statistics: median rank $=\frac{n+1}{2}=\frac{26+1}{2}=13.5$. Therefore, the median $M_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the mean of the thirteenth and the fourteenth observations:

$$
M_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{89.3+90.9}{2}=90.1
$$

Then we calculate:

$$
\text { quartile rank }=\frac{13+1}{2}=7
$$

The first quartile will be the seventh observation from the start of the data (when arranged in ascending order) and the third quartile will be the seventh observation from the end of the data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { first quartile } & =87.3 \\
\text { third quartile } & =101.4
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimum and maximum are, respectively: 72.6 and 170.2 .
This gives us the following five-number summary:

| 90.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 87.3 | 101.4 |
| 72.6 | 170.2 |

## Resistance (or Robustness) of the Median with Respect to the Mean

We consider the following numbers: $3,3,7$, 7, 11, 11.
We first calculate the mean of these numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n} \\
& =\frac{3+3+7+7+11+11}{6}=7 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we calculate the median $M_{\mathrm{d}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { median rank } & =\frac{n+1}{2}=\frac{6+1}{2}=3.5 \\
M_{\mathrm{d}} & =\frac{7+7}{2}=7 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that, in this case, the mean and the median both equal 7 .
Now suppose that we add another number: -1000 . We therefore recalculate the mean and the median of the following numbers: $-1000,3,3,3,7,11,11$. The mean is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n} \\
& =\frac{-1000+3+3+7+7+11+11}{7} \\
& =-136.86
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that the presence of even one "bad datum" can affect the mean to a large degree. The median is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { median rank } & =\frac{n+1}{2}=\frac{7+1}{2}=4 \\
M_{\mathrm{d}} & =7
\end{aligned}
$$

Unlike the mean, the median does not change, which tells us that the median is more resistant to extreme values ("bad data") than the mean.

## FURTHER READING

- Box plot
- Graphical representation
- Residual
- Stem-and-leaf diagram
- Transformation
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## Exponential Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows an exponential distribution with parameter $\theta$ if its density function is given by:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\theta \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\theta x} & \text { if } x \geq 0 ; \theta>0 \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$



Exponential distribution, $\theta=1, \sigma=2$

The exponential distribution is also called the negative exponential.
The exponential distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The distribution function of a random variable $X$ that follows an exponential distribution with parameter $\theta$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} \theta \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\theta t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =1-\mathrm{e}^{-\theta x}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected value is given by:

$$
E[X]=\frac{1}{\theta} .
$$

Since we have

$$
E\left[X^{2}\right]=\frac{2}{\theta^{2}}
$$

the variance is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =\frac{2}{\theta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}=\frac{1}{\theta^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exponential distribution is the continuous probability distribution analog to the geometric distribution.
It is actually a particular case of the gamma distribution, where the parameter $\alpha$ of the gamma distribution is equal to 1 .
It becomes a chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom when the parameter $\theta$ of the exponential distribution is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The exponential distribution is used to describe random events in time. For example, lifespan is a characteristic that is frequently represented by an exponential random variable.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Geometric distribution


## Extrapolation

Statistically speaking, an extrapolation is an estimation of the dependent variable for values of independent variable that are located outside of the set of observations.
Extrapolation is often used in time series when a model that is determined from the values $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ observed at times $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ (with $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{n}$ ) is used to predict the value of the variable at time $t_{n+1}$.

Generally, a simple linear regression function based on the observations ( $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ ), $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ can be used to estimate values of $Y$ for values of $X$ that are located outside of the set $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$. It is also possible to obtain extrapolations from a multiple linear regression model.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Caution is required when using extrapolations because they are obtained based on the hypothesis that the model does not change for the values of the independent variable used for the extrapolation.

## FURTHER READING

- Forecasting
- Multiple linear regression
- Simple linear regression
- Time series


## Factor <br> A factor is the term used to describe any controllable condition in an experiment. Each factor can take a predetermined number of values, called the levels of the factor. <br> Experiments that use factors are called factorial experiments.

## EXAMPLES

A sociologist wants to find out the opinions of the inhabitants of a city about the drug problem. He is interested in the influence of gender and income on the attitude to this issue.
The levels of the factor "gender" are: male (M) and female (F); the levels of the factor "income" correspond to low (L), average (A) and high (H), where the divisions between these levels are set by the experimenter.
The factorial space $G$ of this experiment is composed of the following six combinations of the levels of the two factors:

$$
G=\{(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~L}) ;(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~A}) ;(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{H}) ;(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{~L}) ;
$$

$$
(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{~A}) ;(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H})\} .
$$

In this example, the factor "gender" is qualitative and takes nominal values; whereas the factor "income" is quantitative, and takes ordinal values.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Factorial experiment
- Treatment
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## Factor Level

The levels of a factor are the different values that it can take. The experimental design determines which levels, out of all of the possible combinations of such levels, are used in the experiment.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Factor
- Treatment


## Factorial Axis

Consider $S$, a square matrix of order $n$. If $X_{i}$ is the eigenvector of $S$, associated with the eigenvalue $k_{i}$, we say that $X_{i}$ is the $i$ th fac-
torial axis; more precisely it is the axis that carries the vector $X_{i}$.
We generally arrange eigenvalues in decreasing order, which explains the need to number the factorial axes.

## HISTORY

See correspondence analysis.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The concept of a factorial axis is used in correspondence analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Correspondence analysis
- Eigenvalue
- Eigenvector
- Inertia


## REFERENCES

See correspondence analysis.

## Factorial Experiment

A factorial experiment is an experiment in which all of the possible treatments that can be derived from two or more factors, where each factor has two or more levels, are studied, in a way such that the main effects and the interactions can be investigated.
The term "factorial experiment" describes an experiment where all of the different factors are combined in all possible ways, but it does not specify the experiment design used to perform such an experiment.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In a factorial experiment, a model equation can be used to relate the dependent variable to the independent variable.

Therefore, if the factorial experiment implicates two factors, the associated model is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j k} & =\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j}+(\alpha \beta)_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j k} \\
i & =1,2, \ldots, a(\text { levels of factor } \mathrm{A}), \\
j & =1,2, \ldots, b \quad(\text { levels of factor } \mathrm{B}), \\
k & =1,2, \ldots, n_{i j}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { number of ex- } \\
\text { perimental units } \\
\text { receiving the } \\
\text { treatment } i j
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \quad$ is the general mean common to all of the treatments,
$\alpha_{i} \quad$ is the effect of the $i$ th level of factor A,
$\beta_{j} \quad$ is the effect of the $j$ th level of factor $B$, $(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta})_{i j}$ is the effect of the interaction between $i$ th level of A $j$ th level of B, and
$\varepsilon_{i j k}$ is the experimental error in the observation $Y_{i j k}$.

In general, $\mu$ is a constant and $\epsilon_{i j k}$ is a random variable distributed according to the normal distribution with a mean of zero and a variance of $\sigma^{2}$.
If the interaction term $(\alpha \beta)_{i j}$ is suppressed, an additive model is obtained, meaning that the effect of each factor is a constant that adds up to the general mean $\mu$, and this does not depend on the level of the other factor. This is known as a model without interactions.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In a factorial experiment where all of the factors have the same number of levels, the number of treatments employed in the experiment is usually given by the number of levels raised to a power equal to the number
of factors. For example, for an experiment that employs three factors, each with two levels, the experiment is known as a $2^{3}$ factorial experiment, which employs eight treatments.
The most commonly used notation for the combinations of treatments used in a factorial experiment can be described as follows. Use a capital letter to designate the factor and a numerical index to designate its level. Consider for example a factorial experiment with three factors: a factor A with two levels, a factor B with two levels, and a factor C with three levels. The 12 combinations will be:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}, & A_{1} B_{1} C_{2}, & A_{1} B_{1} C_{3}, \\
A_{1} B_{2} C_{1}, & A_{1} B_{2} C_{2}, & A_{1} B_{2} C_{3}, \\
A_{2} B_{1} C_{1}, & A_{2} B_{1} C_{2}, & A_{2} B_{1} C_{3}, \\
A_{2} B_{2} C_{1}, & A_{2} B_{2} C_{2}, & A_{2} B_{2} C_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Sometimes only the indices (written in the same order as the factors) are stated:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
111, & 112, & 113, \\
121, & 122, & 123, \\
211, & 212, & 213, \\
221, & 222, & 223 .
\end{array}
$$

Double-factorial experiments, or more generally multiple-factorial experiments, are important for the following reasons:

- A double-factorial experiment uses resources more efficiently than two experiments that each employ a single factor. The first one takes less time and requires fewer experimental units to achieve a given level of precision.
- A double-factorial experiment allows the influence of one factor to be studied at each level of the other factor because the levels of both factors are varied. This leads to conclusions that are valid over a larger range of experimental conditions than if a series of one-factor designs is used.
- Finally, simultaneous research on two factors is necessary when interactions between the factors are present, meaning that the effect of a factor depends on the level of the other factor.


## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experiment
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## Fisher Distribution

The random variable $X$ follows a Fisher distribution if its density function takes the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} & \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\
& \cdot \frac{x^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}{\left(1+\frac{m}{n} x\right)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function (see gamma distribution) and $m, n$ are the degrees of freedom ( $m, n=1,2, \ldots$ ).


Fisher distribution, $m=12, n=8$

The Fisher distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

The Fisher distribution was discovered by Fisher, R.A. in 1925. The symbol $F$, used to denote the Fisher distribution, was introduced by Snedecor in 1934, in honor of Fisher, R.A..

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $U$ and $V$ are two independent random variables each following a chi-square distribution, with respectively $m$ and $n$ degrees of freedom, then the random variable:

$$
F=\frac{U / m}{V / n}
$$

follows a Fisher distribution with $m$ and $n$

## degrees of freedom.

The expected value of a random variable $F$ that follows a Fisher distribution is given by:

$$
E[F]=\frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text { for } n>2
$$

and the variance is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(F)=\frac{2 n^{2}(m+n-2)}{m(n-2)^{2}(n-4)} \quad n>4 .
$$

The Fisher distribution with 1 and $v$ degrees of freedom is identical to the square of the Student distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The importance of the Fisher distribution in statistical theory is related to its application to the distribution of the ratio of independent estimators of the variance. Currently, this distribution is most commonly used in the standard tests associated with analysis of variance and regression analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Chi-square distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Fisher table
- Fisher test
- Student distribution
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## Fisher Index

The Fisher index is a composite index number which allows us to study the increase in the cost of living (inflation). It is the geometric mean of two index numbers:

- The Laspeyres index, and
- The Paasche index.

Fisher index $=\sqrt{\begin{array}{c}(\text { Laspeyres index }) \\ \times(\text { Paasche index })\end{array}}$.

## HISTORY

In 1922, the economist and mathematician Fisher, Irving established a model based on the Fisher index in order to circumvent some issues related to the use of the index numbers of Laspeyres and Paasche:
Since the Laspeyres index always uses the quantity of goods sold initially as a reference, it can overestimate any increase in the cost of living (because the consumption of more expensive goods may drop over time). Conversely, since the Paasche index always uses the quantity of goods sold in the current
period as areference, it can underestimate the increase in the cost of living.
Because the Fisher index is the geometric mean of these two indices, it can be considered to be an ideal compromise between them.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Using the definitions of the Laspeyres index and the Paasche index, the Fisher index $F_{n / 0}$ is calculated in the following way:

$$
F_{n / 0}=100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sum P_{n} Q_{0} \cdot \sum P_{n} Q_{n}}{\sum P_{0} Q_{0} \cdot \sum P_{0} Q_{n}}},
$$

where $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ represent the price of goods and the quantity of them sold in the current period $n$, while $P_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ are the price and quantity sold of the same goods during reference period 0 . Different values are obtained for different goods.
Notice that the Fisher index is reversible, meaning that:

$$
F_{n / 0}=\frac{1}{F_{0 / n}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Even though the Fisher index is a kind of "ideal compromise index number," it is rarely used in practice.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following fictitious table indicating the prices and the respective quantities sold of three consumer goods at the reference year 0 and at the current year $n$.

|  | Quantities <br> (thousands) |  |  | Price <br> (euros) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goods | 1970 | 1988 | 1970 | 1988 |
|  | $\left(Q_{0}\right)$ | $\left(Q_{n}\right)$ | $\left(P_{0}\right)$ | $\left(P_{n}\right)$ |
| Milk | 50.5 | 85.5 | 0.20 | 1.20 |
| Bread | 42.8 | 50.5 | 0.15 | 1.10 |
| Butter | 15.5 | 40.5 | 0.50 | 2.00 |

From the following table, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum P_{n} Q_{n}=239.15 \\
& \sum P_{0} Q_{n}=44.925 \\
& \sum P_{n} Q_{0}=138.68 \quad \text { and } \\
& \sum P_{0} Q_{0}=24.27
\end{aligned}
$$

| Goods | $\sum P_{n} Q_{n}$ | $\sum P_{0} Q_{n}$ | $\sum P_{n} Q_{0}$ | $\sum P_{0} Q_{0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Milk | 102.60 | 17.100 | 60.60 | 10.10 |
| Bread | 55.55 | 7.575 | 47.08 | 6.42 |
| Butter | 81.00 | 20.250 | 31.00 | 7.75 |
| Total | 239.15 | 44.925 | 138.68 | 24.27 |

We can then find the Paasche index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{n}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{n}} \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{239.15}{44.925} \cdot 100=532.3,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Laspeyres index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{0}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}} \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{138.68}{24.27} \cdot 100=571.4
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fisher index is the square root of the product of the index numbers of Paasche and of Laspeyres (or the geometric mean of the two index numbers):

Fisher index $=\sqrt{532.3 \times 571.4}=551.5$.
According to the Fisher index, the price of the goods considered has risen by $451.5 \%$ (551.5-100) between the reference year and the current year.

## FURTHER READING

- Composite index number
- Index number
- Laspeyres index
- Paasche index
- Simple index number
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## Fisher, Irving

Fisher, Irving was born in New York on the 27th February 1867. He obtained his doctorate at Yale University in 1892. His mathematical approach to the theory of values and prices, first described in his thesis, resulted in him becoming known as one of the first American mathematical economists. He founded the Econometric Society in 1930 with Frisch, Ragnar and Roos, Charles F., and became its first president. Fisher, I. stayed at Yale University throughout his career. He began by teaching mathematics, then economics. After a stay in Europe, he was named Professor of Social Sciences in 1898, and died in New York in 1947.
Fisher was a prolific author: his list of publications contains more then 2000 titles. He was interested in both economic theory and scientific research. In 1920, he proposed econometrical and statistical methods for calculating indices. His "ideal index", which we now call the Fisher index, is the geometric mean of the Laspeyres index and the Paasche index.

Some principal works and articles of Fisher, Irving:

1892 Mathematical Investigations in the Theory of Value and Prices. Connecticut Academy of Arts and Science, New Haven, CT.

1906 The Nature of Capital and Income. Macmillan, New York.

1907 The Rate of Interest: Its Nature, Determination and Relation to Economic Phenomena. Macmillan, New York.

1910 Introduction to Economic Science. Macmillan, New York.

1911 The Purchasing Power of Money. Macmillan, New York.

1912 Elementary Principles of Economics. Macmillan, New York.

1921 The best form of index number. Am. Stat. Assoc. Quart., 17, 533-537.

1922 The Making of Index Numbers. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA.

1926 A statistical relation between unemployment and price changes. Int. Labour Rev., 13, 785-792.

1927 A statistical method for measuring "marginal utility" and testing the justice of a progressive income tax. In: Hollander, J.H. (ed) Economic Essays Contributed in Honor of John Bates Clark. Macmillan, New York.

1930 The Theory of Interest as Determined by Impatience to Spend Income and Opportunity to Invest It Macmillan, New York.

1932 Booms and Depressions. Adelphi, New York.

1933 The debt-deflation theory of great depressions. Econometrica, 1, 337357.

1935 100\% Money. Adelphi, New York.

## FURTHER READING

## - Fisher index

- Index number


## Fisher, Ronald Aylmer

Born in 1890 in East Finchley, near London, Fisher, Ronald Aylmer studied mathematics at Harrow and at Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge. He began his statistical career in 1919 when he started work at the Institute of Agricultural Research at Rothamsted ("Rothamsted Experimental Station"). The time he spent there, which lasted until 1933, was very productive. In 1933, he moved to become Professor of Eugenics at University College London, and then from 1943 to 1957 he took over the Balfour Chair of Genetics at Cambridge. Even after he had retired, Fisher performed some research for the Mathematical Statistics Division of the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation in Adelaide, Australia, where he died in 1962.
Fisher is recognized as being one of the founders of modern statistics. Well known in the field of genetics, he also contributed important work to the general theory of maximum likelihood estimation. His work on experimental design, required for the study of agricultural experimental data, is also worthy of mention, as is his development of the technique used for the analysis of variance.
Fisher originated the principles of randomization, randomized blocks, Latin square designs and factorial arrangements.
Some of the main works and articles of Fisher, R.A.:

1912 On an absolute criterion for fitting frequency curves. Mess. Math., 41, 155160.

1918 The correlation between relatives on the supposition of Mendelian inher-
itance. Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 52, 399-433.

1920 A mathematical examination of methods of determining the accuracy of an observation by the mean error and by the mean square error. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 80, 758770.

1921 On the "probable error" of a coefficient of correlation deduced from a small sample. Metron, 1(4), 1-32.

1922 On the mathematical foundation of theoretical statistics. Phil. Trans. A, 222, 309-368.

1925 Theory of statistical estimation. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 22, 700-725.

1925 Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver \& Boyd, London.

1934 Probability, likelihood and quantity of information in the logic of uncertain inference. Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 146, 1-8.

1935 The Design of Experiments. Oliver \& Boyd, Edinburgh.

1971-1974 Bennett, J.H. (ed) Collected Papers of R.A. Fisher. Univ. Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

## FURTHER READING

- Fisher distribution
- Fisher table
- Fisher test
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## Fisher Table

The Fisher table gives the values of the distribution function of a random variable that follows a Fisher distribution.

## HISTORY

See Fisher distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let the random variable $X$ follow a Fisher distribution with $m$ and $n$ degrees of freedom. The density function of the random variable $X$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\
& \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}{\left(1+\frac{m}{n} t\right)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}}, \quad t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma$ represents the gamma function (see gamma distribution).
Given the degrees of freedom $m$ and $n$, the Fisher table allows us to determine the value $x$ for which the probability $P(X \leq x)$ equals a particular value.
The most commonly used Fisher tables give the values of $x$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq x) & =95 \% \quad \text { and } \\
(X \leq x) & =97.5 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

We generally use ( $x=$ ) $F_{m, n, \alpha}$ to symbolize the value of the random variable $X$ for which

$$
P\left(X \leq F_{m, n, \alpha}\right)=1-\alpha .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Fisher table is used in hypothesis testing when it involves statistics distributed
according to a Fisher distribution, and especially during analysis of variance and in simple and multiple linear regression analysis.
Merrington and Thompson (1943) provided Fisher tables for values up to five decimal places long, and Fisher and Yates (1938) produced tables up to two decimal places long.

## EXAMPLES

If $X$ follows a Fisher distribution with $m=$ 10 and $n=15$ degrees of freedom, the value of $x$ that corresponds to a probability of 0.95 is 2.54:

$$
P(X \leq 2.54)=0.95 .
$$

In the same way, the value of $x$ that corresponds to a probability of 0.975 is 3.06 :

$$
P(X \leq 3.06)=0.975 .
$$

For an example of the use of the Fisher table, see Fisher test.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Fisher distribution
- Multiple linear regression
- Simple linear regression
- Statistical table
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## Fisher Test

A Fisher test is a hypothesis test on the observed value of a statistic of the form:

$$
F=\frac{U}{m} \cdot \frac{n}{V},
$$

where $U$ and $V$ are independent random variables that each follow a chi-square distribution with, respectively, $m$ and $n$ degrees of freedom.

## HISTORY

See Fisher distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We consider a linear model with one factor applied at $t$ levels:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i j}=\mu+\tau_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \\
& \quad i=1,2, \ldots, t ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{i j}$ represents the $j$ th observation receiving the treatment $i$,
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i s}$ the general mean common to all treatments,
$\tau_{i}$ is the real effect of the treatment $i$ on the observation, and
$\varepsilon_{i j}$ is the experimental error in the observation $Y_{i j}$.

The random variables $\varepsilon_{i j}$ are independent and distributed normally with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}: N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
In this case, the null hypothesis that affirms that there is a significant difference between the $t$ treatments, is as follows:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\ldots=\tau_{t} .
$$

The alternative hypothesis is as follows:
$H_{1}$ : the values of $\tau_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, t)$ are not all identical.

To compare the variability of within treatment also called error or residuals with the variability between the treatments, we construct a ratio where the numerator is an estimation of the variance between treatment and the denominator an estimation of the variance within treatment or error. The Fisher test statistic, also called the $F$-ratio, is then given by:

$$
F=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{n_{i} \cdot\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}}{t-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \frac{\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2}}{N-t}},
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is the number of observations in $i$ th treatment $(i=1, \ldots, t)$
$N=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \text { tions, }}}^{t} n_{i}$ is the total number of observa$\bar{Y}_{i .}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \frac{Y_{i j}}{n_{i}}$ is the mean of the $i$ th treatment,

$$
\text { for } i=1, \ldots, t \text {, and }
$$

$\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{. .}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i j}$ is the global mean.
After choosing a significance level $\alpha$ for the test, we compare the calculated value $F$ with the appropriate critical value in the Fisher table for $t-1$ and $N-t$ degrees of freedom, denoted $F_{t-1, N-t, \alpha}$.
If $F \geq F_{t-1, N-t, \alpha}$, we reject the null hypothesis, which means that at least one of the treatments differs from the others.
If $F<F_{t-1, N-t, \alpha}$, we do not reject the null hypothesis; in other words, the treatments present no significant difference, which also means that the $t$ samples derive from the same population.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Fisher test is most commonly used during the analysis of variance, in covariance analysis, and in regression analysis.

## EXAMPLES

See one-way analysis of variance and twoway analysis of variance.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Fisher distribution
- Fisher table
- Hypothesis testing
- Regression analysis


## Forecasting

Forecasting is the utilization of statistical data, economic theory and noneconomic conditions in order to form a reasonable opinion about future events.
Numerous methods are used to forecast the future. They are all based on a restricted number of basic hypotheses.
One such hypothesis affirms that the significant tendencies that have been observed historically will continue to hold in the future. Another one states that measurable fluctuations in a variable will be reproduced at regular intervals, so that these variations will become predictable.
The time series analysis performed in a forecast process is based on two hypotheses:

1. Long-term forecast:

When we make long-term forecasts (more than five years into the future), analysis and extrapolation of the secular tendency become important.
Long-term forecasts based on the secular tendency normally do not take cyclic fluc-
tuations into account. Moreover, seasonal variations do not influence annual data and are not considered in a long-term forecast.

## 2. Mean-term forecast:

In order to take the probable effect of cyclic fluctuations into account in the mechanism used to derive a mean-term forecast (one to five years ahead), we multiply the value of the projection of the secular tendency by the cyclic fluctuation, which gives the forecasted value. This, of course, assumes that the same data structures that produce the cyclic variations will hold in the future, and so the observed variations will continue to recur regularly. In reality, subsequent cycles have the tendency to vary enormously in terms of their periodicity, their amplitude and the models that they follow.
3. Short-term forecast:

The seasonal index must be taken into account in a short-term forecast (a few months ahead), along with the projected values for the secular tendency and cyclic fluctuations.
Generally, we do not try to forecast irregular variations.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y$ be a time series. We can describe it using the following components:

- The secular tendency $T$;
- The seasonal variations $S$;
- The cyclic fluctuations $C$;
- The irregular variations I.

We distinguish between:

- The multiplicative model $Y=T \cdot S \cdot C \cdot I$;
- The additive model $Y=T+S+C+I$.

For a value of $t$ that is greater than the time taken to perform an observation, we specify
that:
$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is a forecast of the value $Y$ at time $t$;
$\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is a projection of the secular tendency at time $t$;
$\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ is the cyclic fluctuation at time $t$;
$S_{t}$ is the forecast for the seasonal variation at time $t$.

In this case:

1. For a long-term forecast, the value of the time series can be estimated using:

$$
Y_{t}=T_{t} .
$$

2. For a mid-term forecast, the value of the time series can be estimated using:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t} & =T_{t} \cdot C_{t}(\text { multiplicative model }) \\
\text { or } Y_{t} & =T_{t}+C_{t}(\text { additive model }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. For a short-term forecast, the value of the time series can be estimated using:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t}= & T_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot S_{t} \\
& \quad(\text { for the multiplicative model })
\end{aligned}
$$

or $Y_{t}=T_{t}+C_{t}+S_{t}$
(for the additive model).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Planning and making decisions are two activities that involve predicting the future, to some degree. In other words, the administrators that perform these tasks have to make forecasts.
It is worth noting that obtaining forecasts via time series analysis is worthwhile, even though the forecast usually turns out to be inaccurate. In reality, even when it is inaccurate, the forecast obtained in this way will probably be more accurate than another forecast based only on intuition. Therefore, despite its limitations and problems, time series analysis is a useful tool in the process of forecasting.

## EXAMPLES

The concept of a "forecast" depends on the subject being investigated. For example:

- In meteorology:
- A short-term forecast looks hours ahead,
- A long-term forecast looks days ahead.
- In economics:
- A short-term forecast looks months ahead;
- A mid-term forecast looks one to five years ahead;
- A long-term forecast looks more then five years ahead.


## FURTHER READING

- Cyclical fluctuation
- Irregular variation
- Seasonal index
- Seasonal variation
- Secular trend
- Time series


## Fractional Factorial Design

A fractional factorial experimental design is a factorial experiment in which only a fraction of the combinations of the factor levels possible is realized.
This type of design is used when an experiment contains a number of factors that are believed to be more important than the others, and/or there are a large number of factor levels. The design allows use to reduce the number of experimental units needed.

## EXAMPLES

For a factorial experiment containing two factors, each with three levels, there are nine possible combinations. If four of these observations are suppressed, a fraction of
the observations are left that can be schematized in the following way:

|  | Factor B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Factor A | 1 | $Y_{11}$ | $Y_{12}$ | $Y_{13}$ |
|  | 2 | $Y_{21}$ |  |  |
|  | 3 | $Y_{31}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

where $Y_{i j}$ represents the observation taken for the $i$ th level of factor A and the $j$ th level of factor $B$.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experiment


## REFERENCES
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## Frequency

The frequency or absolute frequency corresponds to the number of appearances of a particular observation or result in an experiment.
The absolute frequency can be distinguished from the relative frequency. The relative frequency of an observation is defined as the ratio of the number of appearances to the total number of observations.
For certain analyses, it is desirable to know the number of observations for which the value is, say, "less than or equal to" or "higher than" a given value. The number of values less than or equal to this given value is called the cumulative frequency.
The percentage of observations in which the value is "less than or equal to" or "more than" a given value may also be desired. The proportion of values less than or equal to this
given value is called the cumulative relative frequency.

## EXAMPLES

Consider, for example, the following 16 observations, which represent the heights (in cm ) of a group of individuals:

| 174 | 169 | 172 | 174 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 171 | 179 | 174 | 176 |
| 177 | 161 | 174 | 172 |
| 177 | 168 | 171 | 172 |

Here, the frequency of observing 171 cm is 2; whereas the frequency of observing 174 cm is 4 .
In this example, the relative frequency of 174 is $\frac{4}{16}=0.25$ (or $25 \%$ of all of the observations). The absolute frequency is 4 and the total number of observations is 16 .

## FURTHER READING

- Frequency curve
- Frequency distribution
- Frequency table


## Frequency Curve

If a frequency polygon is smoothed, a curve is obtained, called the frequency curve.
This smoothing can be performed if the number of observations in the frequency distribution becomes infinitely large and the widths of the classes become infinitely small.
The frequency curve corresponds to the limit shape of a frequency polygon.

## HISTORY

Towards the end of the nineteenth century, the general tendency was to consider all
distributions to be normal distributions. Histograms that presented several modes were adjusted to form a set of normal frequency curves, and those that presented asymmetry were analyzed by transforming the data in such a way that the histogram resulting from this transformation could be compared to a normal curve.
In 1895 Pearson, K. proposed creating a set of various theoretical frequency curves in order to be able to obtain better approximations to the histograms.

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Frequency distribution
- Frequency polygon
- Normal distribution


## REFERENCES

Pearson, K.: Contributions to the mathematical theory of evolution. II: Skew variation in homogeneous material. In: Karl Pearson's Early Statistical Papers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 41-112 (1948). First published in 1895 in Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 186, 343-414

## Frequency Distribution

The distribution of a population can be expressed in relative terms (for example as a percentage), in fractions or in absolute terms. In all of these cases, the result is called the frequency distribution.
We also obtain either a discrete frequency distribution or a continuous frequency distribution, depending on whetherthe variable being studied is discrete or continuous.
The number of appearances of a specific value, or the number of observations for a spe-
cific class, is called the frequency of that value or class.
The frequency distribution that is obtained in this manner can be represented either as a frequency table or in a graphical way, for example as a histogram, a frequency polygon or a line chart.
Any frequency distribution has a corresponding relative frequency distribution, which is the distribution of each value or each class with respect to the total number of observations.

## HISTORY

See graphical representation.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In practice, frequency distributions can vary considerably. For example:

- Some are symmetric; others are asymmetric:


Symmetric distribution


Asymmetric distribution

- Some only have one mode (unimodal distributions); others have several (plurimodal distributions):


Unimodal distribution


Bimodal distribution

This means that frequency distributions can be classified into four main types:

1. Unimodal symmetric distributions;
2. Unimodal asymmetric distributions;
3. J-shaped distributions;
4. U-shaped distributions.

During statistical studies, it is often necessary to compare two distributions. If both distributions are of the same type, it is possible to compare them by examining their main characteristics, such as the measure of central tendency, the measure of dispersion or the measure of form.

## EXAMPLES

The following data represent the heights, measured in centimeters, observed for a population of 27 students from a junior highschool class:

| 169 | 177 | 178 | 181 | 173 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 172 | 175 | 171 | 175 | 172 |
| 173 | 174 | 176 | 170 | 172 |
| 173 | 172 | 171 | 176 | 176 |
| 175 | 168 | 167 | 166 | 170 |
| 173 | 169 |  |  |  |

By ordering and regrouping these observations by value, we obtain the following frequency distribution:

| Height | Frequency | Height | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 166 | 1 | 174 | 1 |
| 167 | 1 | 175 | 3 |
| 168 | 1 | 176 | 3 |
| 169 | 2 | 177 | 1 |
| 170 | 2 | 178 | 1 |
| 171 | 2 | 179 | 0 |
| 172 | 4 | 180 | 0 |
| 173 | 4 | 181 | 1 |



Here is another example, which concerns the frequency distribution of a continuous variable. After retrieving and classifying the personal incomes among the population of Neuchâtel (a state in Switzerland), for the period 1975-1976, we obtain the following frequency table:

| Net revenue <br> (in thousands of <br> Swiss Francs) | Fre- <br> quencies | Relative <br> frequency |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $0-10$ | 283 | 0.0047 |
| $10-20$ | 13175 | 0.2176 |
| $20-50$ | 40316 | 0.6661 |
| $50-80$ | 5055 | 0.0835 |
| $80-120$ | 1029 | 0.0170 |
| $120+$ | 670 | 0.0111 |



## FURTHER READING

- Frequency
- Frequency curve
- Frequency polygon
- Frequency table
- Histogram
- Interval
- Line chart


## Frequency Polygon

A frequency polygon is a graphical representation of a frequency distribution, which fits to the histogram of the frequency distribution. It is a type of frequency plot. It takes the form of a segmented line that joins the midpoint of the top of each rectangle in a histogram.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We construct a frequency polygon by plotting a point for each class of the frequency distribution. Each point corresponds to the midpoint of the class along the abscisse and the frequency of the class along the ordinate. Then we connect each point to its neighboring points by lines. The lines therefore effectively link the midpoint of the top of each rectangle in the histogram of the frequency distribution.

Normally we close the frequency polygon at the two ends of the distribution by choosing appropriate points on the horizontal axis and connecting them to the points for the first and last classes.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

A frequency polygon is usually constructed from data that has been grouped into intervals. In this case, it is preferable to employ intervals of the same width. If we fit a smooth curve to a frequency polygon, we get a frequency curve. This smoothing process involves regrouping the data such that the class width is as small as possible, and then replotting the distribution.
Clearly, we can only perform this type of smoothing if the number of units in the population is large enough to give a significant number of observations in each class after regrouping.

## EXAMPLES

The frequency table below gives the annual mean precipitations for 69 cities in the USA:

| Annual mean precipitation <br> (in inches) | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| $0-10$ | 4 |
| $10-20$ | 9 |
| $20-30$ | 5 |
| $30-40$ | 24 |
| $40-50$ | 21 |
| $50-60$ | 4 |
| $60-70$ | 2 |
| Total | 69 |

The frequency polygon is constructed via the histogram of the frequency distribution:


To construct the frequency polygon, we plot a point for each class. The position of this point along the abscisse is given by the midpoint of the class, and its position along the ordinate is given by the frequency of the class. In other words, each point occurs at the midpoint of the top of the rectangle for the class in the histogram. Each point is then joined to its nearest neighbors by lines. In order to close the polygon, a point is placed on the abscisse one class-width before the midpoint of the first class, and another point is placed on the abscisse one class-width after the midpoint of the last class. These points are then joined by lines to the points for the first and last classes, respectively. The frequency polygon we obtain from this procedure is as follows:


## FURTHER READING

- Frequency distribution
- Frequency table
- Graphical representation
- Histogram
- Ogive


## Frequency Table

The frequency table is a tool used to represent a frequency distribution. It provides the ability to represent statistical observations.
The frequency of a value of a variable is the number of times that this value appears in a population. A frequency distribution can then be defined as the list of the frequencies obtained for the different values taken by the variable.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a set of $n$ units described by a variable $X$ that can take $k$ values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Let $n_{i}$ be the number of units having the value $x_{i} ; n_{i}$ is then the frequency of value $x_{i}$.
The relative frequency of $x_{i}$ is $f_{i}=\frac{n_{i}}{n}$.
Since the values are different and exhaustive, the sum of all of the frequencies $n_{i}$ equals the total number $n$ of units in the set, or the sum of the relative frequencies $f_{i}$ equals unity; in other words:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}=n \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}=1
$$

The corresponding frequency table for this scenario is as follows:

| Values of the <br> variable $\boldsymbol{X}$ | Frequencies | Relative <br> frequencies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $f_{1}$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $n_{2}$ | $f_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $x_{k}$ | $n_{k}$ | $f_{k}$ |
| Total | $n$ | 1 |

Sometimes the concept of cumulative frequency is important (see the second example
for instance). This is the sum of all frequencies up to and including frequency $f_{i}: \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{j}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Constructing a frequency table is the simplest and the most commonly used approach to representing data. However, there are some rules that should be respected when creating such a table:

- The table must have a comprehensive and concise title, which mentions the units employed;
- The names of the lines and columns must be precise and short;
- The column totals should be provided;
- The source of the data given in the table must be indicated.
In general, the table must be comprehensible enough to understand without needing to read the accompanying text.
The number of classes chosen to represent the data depends on the size of the set studied. Using a large number of classes for a small set will result in irregular frequencies due to the small number of units per class. On the other hand, using small number of classes for a large set results in the loss of information about the structure of the data.
However, there is no general rule for determining the number of classes that should be used to construct a frequency table. For relatively small sets ( $n \leq 200$ ), between 7 and 15 classes are recommended, although this rule is not absolute.
To simplify, it is frequent to use classes of the same width, and the class intervals should not overlap. In certain cases, for example if we have a variable that can take a large range of values, but a certain interval of values is expected to be far more frequent than the rest,
it can be useful to use an open class, such as "1000 or more."


## EXAMPLES

Frequency distribution of Australian residents (in thousands) according to their matrimonial statuses, on the 30th June 1981 is given in the following table.

| Matrimonial <br> status | Frequency <br> (in thousands) | Relative <br> frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Single | 6587 | 0.452 |
| Married | 6837 | 0.469 |
| Divorced | 403 | 0.028 |
| Widowed | 749 | 0.051 |
| Total | 14576 | 1.000 |

Source: ABS (1984) Pocket Year Book Australia. Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra, p. 11.

The data is presented in the form of a frequency table. The variable "Matrimonial status" can take four different values: single, married, divorced or widowed. The frequency, expressed in thousands, and the relative frequency are given for each value.
In the following example, we consider the case where observations are grouped into classes. This table represents the classification of 3014 people based on their incomes in 1955.

| Income | Frequency |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $n_{i}$ | Relative | Relative <br> Cumulative |
| Less than <br> 1000 | 261 | 0.0866 | 0.0866 |
| $1000-1999$ | 331 | 0.1098 | 0.1964 |
| $2000-2999$ | 359 | 0.1191 | 0.3155 |
| $3000-3999$ | 384 | 0.1274 | 0.4429 |
| $4000-4999$ | 407 | 0.1350 | 0.5780 |


| Income | Frequency |  |  | From this table, we can see that $57.8 \%$ of these 3014 people have incomes that are smaller then 5000 dollars. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n_{i}$ | Relative | Relative Cumulative |  |
| 5000-7499 | 703 | 0.2332 | 0.8112 |  |
| 7500-9999 | 277 | 0.0919 | 0.9031 |  |
| 10000 or more | 292 | 0.0969 | 1.0000 | FURTHER READING <br> - Frequency |
| Total | 3014 | 1.0000 |  | - Frequency distribution |

## Galton, Francis

Galton, Francis was born in 1822 near Birmingham, England, to a family of intellectuals: Darwin, Charles was his cousin, and his grandfather was a member of the Royal Society.
His interest in science first manifested itself in the fields of geography and meteorology. Elected as a member of the Royal Society in 1860, he began to become interested in genetics and statistical methods in 1864. Galton was close friends with Pearson, K.. Indeed, Pearson came to his financial aid when Galton founded the journal "Biometrika." Galton's "Eugenics Record Office" merged with Pearson's biometry laboratory at University College London and became known as the "Galton Laboratory."
He died in 1911, leaving more than 300 publications including 17 books, most notably on statistical methods related to regression analysis and the concept of correlation, both of which are attributed to him.

Some of the main works and articles of Galton, F.:

1869 Hereditary Genius: An Inquiry into its Laws and Consequences. Macmillan, London.

1877 Typical laws in heredity. Nature, 15, 492-495, 512-514, 532-533.

1889 Natural Inheritance. Macmillan, London.

1907 Probability, the Foundation of Eugenics. Henry Froude, London.

1908 Memories of my Life. Methuen, London.

1914-1930 Pearson, K. (ed) The Life, Letters and Labours of Francis Galton. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

## FURTHER READING

## - Correlation coefficient

- Regression analysis


## Gamma Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a gamma distribution with parameter $\alpha$ if its density function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\beta x}, \\
& \quad \text { if } x>0, \quad \alpha>0, \quad \beta>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the gamma function.


Gamma distribution, $\alpha=2, \beta=1$

The standard form of the gamma distribution is obtained by putting $\beta=1$, which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-x}, \\
& \\
& \qquad \text { if } x>0, \alpha>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The gamma function $(\Gamma)$ appears frequently in statistical theory. It is defined by:

$$
\Gamma(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

In statistics, we are only interested in values of $\alpha>0$.
Integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$
\Gamma(\alpha+1)=\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) .
$$

Since

$$
\Gamma(1)=1,
$$

we have
$\Gamma(\alpha+1)=\alpha!$ for every positive integer $\alpha$.

## HISTORY

According to Lancaster (1966), this continuous probability distribution was originated by Laplace, P.S. (1836).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the gamma distribution is given by:

$$
E[X]=\frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta} .
$$

Since

$$
E\left[X^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\beta^{2}},
$$

the variance is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)-\alpha^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{\beta^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The chi-square distribution is a particular case of the gamma distribution where $\alpha=\frac{n}{2}$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$, and $n$ is the number of degrees of freedom of the chi-square distribution. The gamma distribution with parameter $\alpha=1$ gives the exponential distribution:

$$
f(x)=\beta \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\beta x}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Exponential distribution


## REFERENCES

Lancaster, H.O.: Forerunners of the Pearson chi-square. Aust. J. Stat. 8, 117-126 (1966)

Laplace, P.S. de: Théorie analytique des probabilités, suppl. to 3rd edn. Courcier, Paris (1836)

## Gauss, Carl Friedrich

Gauss, Carl Friedrich was born in 1777 in Brunswick in Germany. He quickly became a renowned astronomer and mathematician and is still considered to be on a par with Archimedes and Newton as one of the greatest mathematicians of all time.

He obtained his doctorate in 1799 , and then worked at the University of Helmsted. In 1807, he moved to Göttingen, where he became Laboratory Director. He spent the rest of his life in Göttingen, where he died in 1855.
His contributions to science, particularly physics, are of great importance. In statistics, his works concerned the theory of estimation, and the least squares method and the application of the normal distribution to problems related to measurement errors both originated with him.

Some of the main works and articles of Gauss, C.F.:

1803-1809 Disquisitiones de elementis ellipticis pallidis. Werke, 6, 1-24.
1809 Theoria motus corporum coelestium. Werke, 7. (1963 English translation by Davis, C.H., published by Dover, New York).
1816 Bestimmung der Genauigkeit der Beobachtungen. Werke, 4, 109-117.
1821, 1823 and 1826 Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae, parts 1,2 and suppl. Werke, 4, 1-108.
1823 Anwendungen der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung auf eine Aufgabe der praktischen Geometrie. Werke, 9, 231-237.
1855 Méthode des Moindres Carrés: Mémoires sur la Combinaison des Observations. French translation of the work of Gauss, C.F. by Bertrand, J. (authorized by Gauss, C.F. himself). Mallet-Bachelier, Paris.
1957 Gauss' Work (1803-1826). On The Theory of Least Squares. English translation by Trotter, H.F. Technical

Report No. 5, Statistical Techniques
Research Group, Princeton, NJ.

## FURTHER READING

- De Moivre, Abraham
- Gauss-Markov theorem
- Normal distribution


## Gauss-Markov Theorem

The Gauss-Markov theorem postulates that when the error probability distribution is unknown in a linear model, then, amongst all of the linear unbiased estimators for the parameters of the linear model, the estimator obtained using the method of least squares is the one that minimizes the variance. The mathematical expectation of each error is assumed to be zero, and all of them have the same (unknown) variance.

## HISTORY

Gauss, Carl Friedrich provided a proof of this theorem in the first part of his work "Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae" (1821). Markov, Andrei Andreyevich rediscovered this theorem in 1900.
A version of the Gauss-Markov theorem written in modern notation, was provided by Graybill in 1976.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the linear model

$$
Y=X \cdot \beta+\varepsilon
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{Y}$ is the $n \times 1$ vector of the observations, $\boldsymbol{X}$ is the $n \times p$ matrix of the independent variables that are considered fixed,
$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $p \times 1$ vector of the unknown parameters, and
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $n \times 1$ vector of the random errors.
If the error probability distribution is unknown but the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. The mathematic expectation $E[\varepsilon]=0$,
2. The variance $\operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon)=\sigma^{2} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is the identity matrix,
3. The matrix $X$ has a full rank,
then the estimator of $\beta$,

$$
\hat{\beta}=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} Y
$$

derived via the least squares method is the linear estimator without bias of $\beta$ that has least variance.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimator
- Least squares


## REFERENCES

Gauss, C.F.: Theoria Combinationis Observationum Erroribus Minimis Obnoxiae, Parts 1, 2 and suppl. Werke 4, 1-108 (1821, 1823, 1826)

Graybill, F.A.: Theory and Applications of the Linear Model. Duxbury, North Scituate, MA (Waldsworth and Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA ) (1976)

Rao, C.R.: Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (1973)

## Generalized Inverse

The generalized inverse is analogous to the inverse of a nonsingular square matrix, but is used for a matrix of any dimension and rank. The generalized inverse is used in the resolution of systems of linear equations.

## HISTORY

It appears that Fredholm (1903) was the first to consider the concept of a generalized inverse. Moore defined a single generalized inverse in his book General Analysis (1935), published after his death. However, his work was not used until the 1950s, when it experienced a surge in interest due to the application of the generalized inverse to problems related to least squares.
In 1955 Penrose, reusing and enlarging upon work published in 1951 by Bjerhammar, showed that the Moore's generalized inverse is a unique matrix $G$ that satisfies the following four equations:

1. $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A}$;
2. $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{G}$;
3. $(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{G})^{\prime}=\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{G}$;
4. $(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{\prime}=\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A}$.

This unique generalized inverse is known as the Moore-Penrose inverse, and is denoted by $\mathbf{A}^{+}$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The $n \times m$ matrix $G$ is the generalized inverse of the $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ if

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{A}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{G}$ is unique if and only if $m=n$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is not singular.
The most common notation used for the generalized inverse of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is $\mathbf{A}^{-}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Inverse matrix
- Least squares
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Penrose, R.: A generalized inverse for matrices.Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 51, 406-413 (1955)

Rao, C.R.: A note on generalized inverse of a matrix with applications to problems in mathematical statistics. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 24, 152-158 (1962)
Rao, C.R.: Calculus of generalized inverse of matrices. I. General theory. Sankhya A29, 317-342 (1967)

Rao, C.R., Mitra, S.K.: Generalized Inverses of Matrices and its Applications. Wiley, New York (1971)

## Generalized Linear Regression

An extension of the linear regression model to settings more flexible in underlying assumptions that the linear regression requires. For example, instead of assuming that the errors should have equal variances, we could have the following forms:

- Heteroscedasticity: the errors observed in the model (also called residuals) can have different variances among the observa-
tions (or among the different groups of observations);
- Autocorrelation: there can be some correlation between the errors in the different observations.


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We consider a general model of multiple linear regression:
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} X_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$,
where
$Y_{i}$ is the dependent variable,
$X_{j i}, j=1, \ldots, p-1$ are the independent variables,
$\beta_{j}, j=0, \ldots, p-1$ are the parameters to be estimated, and
$\varepsilon_{i}$ is the random nonobservable error term.

In matrix form, we write:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\varepsilon
$$

where:
$\mathbf{Y} \quad$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of the observations related to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations),
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad$ is the vector $(p \times 1)$ of the parameters to be estimated
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of the errors,
and

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & X_{11} & \ldots & X_{1(p-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & X_{n 1} & \ldots & X_{n(p-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the matrix $(n \times p)$ related to the independent variables.
In an ordinary regression model, the hypotheses used for the errors $\varepsilon_{i}$ are generally as follows:

- Each expectation equals zero (in other words, the observations are not biased),
- They are independent and are not correlated,
- their variance $V\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ is constant and equals $\sigma^{2}$ (we suppose that the errors are of the same size).
If the chosen model is appropriate, the distribution of residuals must confirm these hypotheses (see analysis of variance).
If we find that this is not the case, or if we obtain supplementary information that indicates that the errors are correlated, then we can use generalized linear regression to obtain a more precise estimation for the parameters $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}$. We then introduce the variance-covariance matrix $\mathbf{V}(\varepsilon)$ of (of dimension $n \times n$ ) of the errors, which is defined by the following equation for row $i$ and column $j$ :
- $V\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ if $i=j$ (variance in $\varepsilon_{i}$ ),
- $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{j}\right)$ (covariance between $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}$ ) if $i \neq j$.
Often this matrix needs to be estimated, but we first consider the simplest case, where $\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ is known. We use the usual term for variance, $\sigma^{2}: \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}$.


## Estimation of the Vector $\beta$ when V Is Known

By transforming our general model into an ordinary regression model, it is possible to estimate the parameters of the generalized model using:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}
$$

We can prove that:

1. The estimation is not biased; that is: $\mathbf{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\boldsymbol{\beta}$.
2. The following equality is verified:

$$
\mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\hat{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}
$$

with $\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-p} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.
3. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the best (meaning that it has the smallest variance) unbiased estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$; this result is known as the generalized GaussMarkov theorem.

## Estimation of the Vector $\beta$

## when V Is Unknown

When the variance-covariance matrix is not known, the model used is called generalized regression. The first step consists of expressing $\mathbf{V}$ as a function of a parameter $\theta$, which allows us to estimate $\mathbf{V}$ using $\hat{\mathbf{V}}=\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta})$. The estimations for the parameters are then obtained by substituting $\mathbf{V}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$ in the formula given above:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{Y}
$$

## Transformation of $\mathbf{V}$ in Three Typical Cases a) Heteroscedasticity

We assume that the observations have variance $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{w_{i}}$, where $w_{i}$ is the weight, which can be different for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. So:

$$
\mathbf{V}=\sigma^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{1}{w_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{w_{2}} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \frac{1}{w_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By making

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{w} & =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} \\
\mathbf{X}_{w} & =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{w} & =\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{W}$ is the following square matrix of order $n$ :

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\sqrt{w_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{w_{2}} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{w_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{V}^{-1}$, we obtain the equivalent model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) & =V(\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\mathbf{W} V(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \\
& =\sigma^{2} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{n}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $n$. Since the variances of all of the errors are the same in this new model, we can apply the least squares method and we obtain the vector of the estimators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w} & =\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{w}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{w} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y},
\end{aligned}
$$

so the vector of the estimated values for $\mathbf{Y}=$ $\mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_{w}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{Y}} & =\mathbf{W}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{w} \\
& =\mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

## b) Heteroscedasticity by groups

We suppose that the observations fall into three groups (of size $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ ) with respective variances $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}^{2}$. So:

$$
\mathbf{V}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
l_{1}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n_{1}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{2} \times \mathbf{n}_{1}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{3} \times \mathbf{n}_{1}} \\
\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{1} \times \mathbf{n}_{2}} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n_{2}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{3} \times \mathbf{n}_{2}} \\
\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{1} \times \mathbf{n}_{3}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{2} \times \mathbf{n}_{3}} & \sigma_{3}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n_{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{n_{j}}$ is the identity matrix of order $n_{j}$ and $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ is the null matrix of order $n_{i} \times n_{j}$.

The variances $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \sigma_{3}^{2}$ can be estimated by performing ordinary regressions on the different groups of observations and by estimating $\sigma^{2}$ using $S^{2}$ (see multiple linear regression).

## c) First-order autocorrelation

We assume that there is correlation between the errors in different observations. In particular, we assume first-order autocorrelation; that is the error $\varepsilon_{i}$ for observation $i$ depends on the error $\varepsilon_{i-1}$ for the previous observation.

$$
\varepsilon_{i}=\rho \varepsilon_{i-1}+u_{i}, \quad i=2, \ldots, n,
$$

where the usual hypotheses used for the errors of a regression model are applied to the $u_{i}$.
In this case, the variance-covariance matrix of errors can be expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})= & \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V} \\
= & \sigma^{2} \frac{1}{1-\rho^{2}} \\
& \cdot\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & \rho & \rho^{2} & \ldots & \rho^{n-1} \\
\rho & 1 & \rho & \ldots & \rho^{n-2} \\
\vdots & \rho & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \rho \\
\rho^{n-1} & \rho^{n-2} & \ldots & \rho & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can estimate $\mathbf{V}$ by replacing the following estimation of $\rho$ in the matrix $\mathbf{V}$ :
$\hat{\rho}=\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{n} e_{i} e_{i-1}}{\sum_{i=2}^{n} e_{i-1}^{2}}$ (empirical correlation),
where the $e_{i}$ are the estimated error (residuals), with an ordinary regression.
We can test the first-order autocorrelation by performing a Durbin-Watson test on the residuals (as described under the entry for serial correlation).

## EXAMPLES

Correction for heteroscedasticity when the data represent means
In order to test the efficiency of a new supplement on the growth of chickens, 40 chickens were divided into five groups (of size $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{5}$ ) and given the different doses of the supplement. The growth data obtained are summarized in the following table:

| Group | Number of <br> chickens $n_{i}$ | Mean <br> weight $Y_{i}$ | Mean <br> dose $X_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 12 | 1.7 | 5.8 |
| 2 | 8 | 1.9 | 6.4 |
| 3 | 6 | 1.2 | 4.8 |
| 4 | 9 | 2.3 | 6.9 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.8 | 6.2 |

Since the variables represent means, the variance of the error $\varepsilon_{i}$ is $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n_{i}}$.
The variance-covariance matrix of errors is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) & =\sigma^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{8} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{9} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inverse matrix $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ is then:

$$
\mathbf{V}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the estimations for the parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ in the model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=-1.23 \\
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=0.502 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This result is the same as that obtained using the weighted least squares method.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Gauss-Markov theorem
- Model
- Multiple linear regression
- Nonlinear regression
- Regression analysis
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## Generation of Random Numbers

Random numbers generation involves producing a series of numbers with no recognizable patterns or regularities, that is, appear random. These random numbers are often then used to perform simulations and to solve problems that are difficult or impossible to resolve analytically, using the Monte Carlo method.

## HISTORY

The first works concerning random number generation were those of von Neumann, John (1951), who introduced the "middle four square" method. Lehmer (1951) introduced the simple congruence method, which is still used today.
Sowey published three articles giving a classified bibliography on random number generation and testing (Sowey, E.R. 1972, 1978 and 1986).
In 1996, Dodge, Yadolah proposed a natural method of generating random numbers from the digits after the decimal point in $\pi$. Some decimals of $\pi$ presented in Appendix A.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

There are several ways of generating random numbers. The first consists of pulling out notes that are numbered from 0 to 9 from an urn one by one, and then putting them back in the urn.
Certain physical systems can be used to generate random numbers: for example the roulette wheel. The numbers obtained can be listed in a random number table. These tables are useful if several simulations need to be performed for different models in order to test which one is the best. In this case, the same series of random numbers can be reused.
Some computers use electronic circuits or electromechanical means to provide the series of random numbers. Since these lists are not reproducible, von Neumann, John and Lehmer, D.H. proposed methods that are fully deterministic but give a series of numbers that have the appearance of being random. Such numbers are known as pseudorandom numbers.

These pseudorandom numbers are calculated from a predetermined algebraic formula. For example, the Lehmer method allows a series of pseudorandom numbers $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots$ to be calculated from
$x_{i}=a \cdot x_{i-1}(\operatorname{modulo} m), \quad i=1,2, \ldots$,
where $x_{0}=b$ and $a, b, m$ are given.
The choice of $a, b, m$ influences the quality of the sample of pseudorandom numbers.
Independence between the pseudorandom numbers dictates that the length of the cycle, meaning the quantity of numbers generated before the same sequence is restarted, must be long enough. To obtain the longest possible cycle, the following criteria must be satisfied:

- $\quad a$ must not divide $m$,
- $x_{0}$ must not divide $m$,
- $m$ must be large.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If the simulation requires observations derived from nonuniform distributions, there are several techniques that can generate any law from a uniform distribution. Some of these methods are applicable to many distributions; others are more specific and are used for a particular distribution.

## EXAMPLES

Let us use the Lehmer method to calculate the first pseudorandom numbers of the series created with $a=33, b=7$, and $m=1000$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=7 \\
& x_{1}=7 \cdot 33(\bmod 1000)=231 \\
& x_{2}=231 \cdot 33(\bmod 1000)=623 \\
& x_{3}=623 \cdot 33(\bmod 1000)=559
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.

A series of numbers $r_{i}$ for which the distribution does not significantly differ from a uniform distribution is obtained by dividing $x_{i}$ by $m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i} & =\frac{x_{i}}{m}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \\
\text { Therefore: } r_{0} & =0.007 \\
r_{1} & =0.231 \\
r_{2} & =0.623 \\
r_{3} & =0.559 \\
& \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Kendall, Maurice George
- Monte Carlo method
- Random number
- Simulation
- Uniform distribution
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## Genetic Statistics

In genetic statistics, genetic data analysis is performed using methods and concepts of classical statistics as well as those derived from the theory of stochastic processes. Genetics is the study of hereditary character and accidental variations; it is used to help explain transformism, in the practical domain, to the improvement of the units.

## HISTORY

Genetics and statistics have been related for over 100 years. Sir Galton, Francis was
very interested in studies of the human genetics, and particularly in eugenics, the study of methods that could be used improve the genetic quality of a human population, which obviously requires knowledge of heredity. Galton also invented regression and correlation coefficients in order to use them as statistical tools when investigating genetics. These methods were later developed further by Pearson, Karl. In 1900, the work of Mendel was rediscovered and classical mathematical and statistical tools for investigating Mendel genetics were put forward by Sir Fisher, Ronald Aylmer, Wright, Sewall and Haldane, J.B.S. in the period 1920-1950.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Genetic statistics is used in domains such as biomathematics, bioinformatics, biology, epidemiology and genetics. Standard methods of estimation and hypothesis testing are used in order to estimate and test genetic parameters. The theory of stochastic processes is used to study the units of the evolution of a subject of a population, taking in account the random changes in the frequencies of genes.

## EXAMPLES

The Hardy-Weinberg law is the central theoretical model used in population genetics. Formulated independently by the English mathematician Hardy, Godfrey H. and the German doctor Weinberg, W. (1908), the equations of this law show that when genetic variations first appear in some individuals in a population, they do not disappear upon hereditary transmission, but are instead maintained in future generations in the same proportions, conforming to Mendel laws.

The Hardy-Weinberg law allows us, under certain conditions, to calculate genotypic frequencies from allelic frequencies. By genotype, we mean the genetic properties of an individual that are received during hereditary transmission from the individual's parents. For example, identical twins have the same genotype. An allele is a possible version of a gene, constructed from a nucleotide chain. Therefore, a particular gene can occur in many different allelic forms in a given population. In an individual, if each gene is represented by two alleles that are composed of identical nucleotides, the individual is then homozygous for this gene, and if the alleles have different compositions, the individual is then heterozygous for this gene.
In the original version, the law tells that if a gene is controlled by two alleles $A$ and $B$, that occur with frequencies $p$ and $q=1-p$ respectively in the population at generation $t$, then the frequencies of the genotypes at generation $t+1$ are given by the following equation:

$$
p^{2} A A+2 p q A B+q^{2} B B
$$

The basic hypothesis is that the size of the population $N$ is big enough to minimize sampling variations; there also must be no selection, no mutation, no migration (no acquisition/loss of an allele), and successive generations must be discrete (no generation crossing).
To get an $A A$ genotype, the individual must receive one allele of type $A$ from both parents. If this process is random (so the hypothesis of independence holds), this event will occur with a probability of:

$$
P(A A)=p p=p^{2}
$$

The same logic applies to the probability of obtaining the genotype $B B$ :

$$
P(B B)=q q=q^{2} .
$$

Finally, for the genotype $A B$, two cases are possible: the individual received $A$ from their father and $B$ from their mother or vice versa, so:

$$
P(A B)=p q+q p=2 p q .
$$

Therefore, in an ideal population, the pHardy-Weinberg proportions are given

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A A & A B & B B \\
p^{2} & 2 p q & q^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

This situation can be generalized to a gene with many alleles $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}$. The frequency that homozygotes (AiAi) occur equals:

$$
f\left(A_{i} A_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{2}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k
$$

and the frequency that heterozygotes $\left(A_{i} A_{j}\right)$ occur equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(A_{i} A_{j}\right)=2 p_{i} p_{j} \\
& \quad i \neq j, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency $p^{\prime}$ of allele $A$ in generation $t+1$ may also be of interest. By simple counting we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} & =\frac{2 p^{2} N+2 p q N}{2 N}=p^{2}+p q \\
& =p \cdot(p+q)=p \cdot(1-q+q)=p
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency of the allele $A$ in generation $t+1$ is identical to the frequency of this allele in the previous generation and the initial generation.

## FURTHER READING

- Data
- Epidemiology
- Estimation
- Hypothesis testing
- Independence
- Parameter
- Population
- Statistics
- Stochastic process
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## Geometric Distribution

Consider a process involving $k+1$ Bernoulli trials with a probability of success $p$ and a probability of failure $q$.
The random variable $X$, corresponding to the number of failures $k$ that occur when the process is repeated until the first success, follows a geometric distribution with parameter $p$, and so we have:

$$
P(X=k)=p \cdot q^{k} .
$$

The geometric distribution is a discrete probability distribution.


Geometric distribution, $p=0.3, q=0.7$


Geometric distribution, $p=0.5, q=0.5$

This distribution is called "geometric" because the successive terms of the probability function described above form a geometric progression with a ratio of $q$.
The geometric distribution is a particular case of the negative binomial distribution where $r=1$, meaning that the process continues until the first success.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the geometric distribution is by definition equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot P(X=x) \\
& =\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot p \cdot q^{x} \\
& =\frac{q}{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed:

$$
E[X]=\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot q^{x-1} \cdot p
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =p \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot q^{x-1} \\
& =0+p\left(1+2 \cdot q+3 \cdot q^{2}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have: $1+2 \cdot q+3 \cdot q^{2}+\ldots=\frac{1}{(1-q)^{2}}$ since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q+q^{2}+q^{3}+\ldots & =\frac{q}{1-q} \\
\left(q+q^{2}+q^{3}+\ldots\right)^{\prime} & =\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{\prime} \\
1+2 \cdot q+3 \cdot q^{2}+\ldots & =\frac{1}{(1-q)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the geometric distribution is by definition equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
= & \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x^{2} \cdot P(X=x)-\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2} \\
= & \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x^{2} \cdot p \cdot q^{x}-\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2} \\
= & p \cdot q\left(1+2^{2} \cdot q+3^{2} q^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{q}{p^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, the sum $1+2^{2} \cdot q+3^{2} q^{2}+\ldots=\frac{1+q}{p^{2}}$, since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(q(1+2 \cdot q+\left.\left.3 \cdot q^{2}+\ldots\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
&=\left(\frac{q}{(1-q)^{2}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& 1+2^{2} \cdot q+3^{2} \cdot q^{2}+\ldots \\
&=\frac{1+q}{(1-q)^{2}}=\frac{1+q}{p^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The geometric distribution is used relatively frequently in meteorological models. It is
also used in stochastic processes and the theory of waiting lines.
The geometric distribution can also be expressed in the following form:

$$
P(X=k)=p \cdot q^{k-1},
$$

where the random variable $X$ represents the number of tasks required to attain the first success (including the success itself).

## EXAMPLES

A fair die is thrown and we want to know the probability that a "six" will be thrown for the first time on the fourth throw.
We therefore have:
$p=\frac{1}{6}$ (probability that a six is thrown)
$\boldsymbol{q}=\frac{\mathbf{5}}{\mathbf{6}}$ (probability that a six is not thrown)
$\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{3}$ (since the fourth throw is a success, we have three failures)

Therefore, the probability is as follows:

$$
P(X=3)=\frac{1}{6} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}=0.0965
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Discrete probability distribution
- Negative binomial distribution


## Geometric Mean

The geometric mean is defined as the $n$th root of the product of $n$ non-negative numbers.

## HISTORY

According to Droesbeke, J.-J. and Tassi, Ph. (1990), the geometric mean and the harmonic mean were introduced by Jevons, William Stanley in 1874.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ non-negative quantities, or of $n$ observations related to a quantitative variable $X$. The geometric mean $G$ of this set is:

$$
G=\sqrt[n]{x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}}
$$

The geometric mean is sometimes expressed in a logarithmic form:

$$
\ln (G)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(x_{i}\right)}{n},
$$

or

$$
G=\exp \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(x_{i}\right)\right] .
$$

We note that the logarithm of the geometric mean of a set of positive numbers is the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of these numbers (or the weighted arithmetic mean in the case of grouped observations).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In practice, the geometric mean is mainly used to calculate the mean of a group of ratios, or particularly the mean of a group of indices.
Just like the arithmetic mean, the geometric mean takes every observation into account individually. However, it decreases the influence of outliers on the mean, which is why it is sometimes preferred to the arithmetic mean.
One important aspect of the geometric mean is that it only applies to positive numbers.

## EXAMPLES

Here we have an example of how the geometric mean is used: in 1987, 1988 and

1989, a businessman achieves profits of $10.000,20.000$ and 160.000 euros, respectively. Based on this information, we want to determine the mean rate at which these profits are increasing.
From 1987 to 1988, the profit doubled, and from 1988 to 1989 it increased eight-fold. If we simply calculate the arithmetic mean of these two numbers, we get

$$
x=\frac{2+8}{2}=5,
$$

and we conclude that, on average, the profit increased five-fold annually. However, if we take the profit in 1987 ( 10000 euros) and multiply it by five annually, we obtain profits of 50000 in 1988 and 250000 euros in 1989. These two profits are much too large compared to the real profits.
On the other hand, if we calculate the mean using the geometric mean of these two increases instead of the arithmetic mean, we get:

$$
G=\sqrt{2 \cdot 8}=\sqrt{16}=4,
$$

and we can correctly say that, on average, the profits quadrupled annually. Applying this mean rate of increase to the initial profit of 10000 euros in 1987, we obtain 40000 euros in 1988 and 160000 euros in 1989. Even if the profit in 1988 is too high, it is less so than the previous result, and the profit for 1989 is now correct.
To illustrate the use of the formula for the geometric mean in the logarithmic form, we will now find the mean of the following indices:

112, 99, 105, 96, 85, 100.

We calculate (using a table of logarithms):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log (112) & =2.0492 \\
\log (99) & =1.9956 \\
\log (105) & =2.0212 \\
\log (96) & =1.9823 \\
\log (85) & =1.9294 \\
\log (100) & =2.0000 \\
\hline & 11.9777
\end{aligned}
$$

We get then:

$$
\log (G)=\frac{11.9777}{6}=1.9963
$$

Therefore $G=99.15$.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Harmonic mean
- Mean
- Measure of central tendency
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## Geometric <br> Standard Deviation

The geometric standard deviation of a set of quantitative observations is a measure of dispersion. It corresponds to the deviation of observations around the geometric mean.

## HISTORY

See $L_{\mathbf{1}}$ estimation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ observations related to a quantitative variable $X$. The geometric standard deviation, denoted by $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$, is calculated as follows:

$$
\log \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}=\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log x_{i}-\log G\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $G=\sqrt[n]{x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}}$ is the geometric mean of the observations.

## FURTHER READING

- $L_{1}$ estimation
- Measure of dispersion
- Standard deviation


## Geostatistics

Geostatistics is the application of statistics to problems in geology and hydrology. Geostatistics naturally treat spatial data with known coordinates. Spatial hierarchical models follow the principle: model locally, analyze globally. Simple conditional models are constructed on all levels of the hierarchy (local modeling). The result is a joint model that can be very complex, but analysis is still possible (global analysis). Geostatistics encompasses the set used in the theory, as well as the techniques and statistical applications used to analyze and forecast the distribution of the values of the variable in space and (eventually) time.

## HISTORY

The term geostatistics was first used by Hart (1954) in a geographical context, in order
to highlight the application of particular statistical techniques to observations covering a regional distribution. The first geostatistical concepts were formulated by Matheron (1963) at the Ecole des Mines de Paris in order to estimate the reserves of a mineral from spatially distributed data (observations).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Geostatistics is used in a wide variety of domains, including forecasting (of precipitation, ground porosity, concentrations of heavy metals) and when treating images from satellites.

## FURTHER READING

- Spatial data
- Spatial statistics
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## Gini, Corrado

Gini, Corrado (1884-1965) was born in Matta di Livenza, Italy. Although he graduated in law in 1905, he took courses in mathematics and biology during his studies. His subsequent inclinations towards both science and statistics led him to become a temporary professor of statistics at Cagliari University in 1909 and, in 1920 he acceded to the Chair of Statistics at the same university. In 1913, he began teaching at Padua University, and acceded to the Chair of Statistics at the University of Rome in 1927.
Between 1926 and 1932, he was also the President of the Central Institute of Statistics (ISTAT).
He founded two journals. The first one, Metron (founded in 1920), is an international journal of statistics, and the second one, Genus (founded in 1934), is the Journal of the Italian Committee for the Study of Population Problems.
The contributions of Gini to the field of statistics principally concern mean values and the variability, as well as associations between the random variables. He also contributed original works to economics, sociology, demography and biology.

## Some principal works and articles of Gini, Corrado:

1910 Indici di concentrazione e di dependenza. Atti della III Riunione della

Societa Italiana per il Progresso delle Scienze, R12, 3-210.
1912 Variabilità e mutabilità. Studi economico-giuridici, Università di Cagliari, III 2a, R12, 211-382.

## Gini Index

The Gini index is the most commonly used inequality index; it allows us to measure the degree of inequality in the distribution of incomes for a given population. Graphically, the Gini index is represented by the surface area between a line at $45^{\circ}$ and the Lorenz curve (a graphical representation of the cumulative percentage of the total income versus the cumulative percentage of the population that receives that income, where income increases left to right). Dividing the surface area between the line at $45^{\circ}$ and the Lorenz curve (area $A$ in the following diagram) by the total surface area under the line $(A+B)$ gives the Gini coefficient:

$$
I_{G}=\frac{A}{A+B}
$$

The Gini coefficient is zero if there is no inequality in income distribution and a value of 1 if the income distribution is completely unequal, so $0 \leq I_{G} \leq 1$. The Gini index is simply the Gini coefficient multiplied by 100, in order to convert it into a percentage. Therefore, the closer the index is to $100 \%$, the more unequal the income distribution is. In developed countries, values of the Gini index are around $40 \%$ (see the example).

## HISTORY

The Gini coefficient was invented in 1912 by the Italian statistician and demographer Gini, Corrado.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The total surface area under the line at $45^{\circ}$ $(A+B)$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$, and so we can write:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{G} & =\frac{A}{A+B}=\frac{A}{\frac{1}{2}}=2 A \\
& =2\left(\frac{1}{2}-B\right) \Rightarrow I_{G}=1-2 B \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

To calculate the value of the Gini coefficient, we therefore need to work out $B$ (total surface under the Lorenz curve).
a) Gini index: discrete case

For a discrete Lorenz curve where the income distribution is arranged in increasing order $\left(x_{1} \leq \ldots \leq x_{n}\right)$, the Lorenz curve has the following profile:


To calculate $B$, we need to divide the surface under the Lorenz curve into a series of polygons (where the first polygon is a triangle and others is are trapezia). The surface of the triangle is:

$$
\frac{1}{2 n} \frac{x_{1}}{X}
$$

The surface of the $j$ th trapezium is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{x_{i}}{X}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{x_{i}}{X}\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 n X}\left[2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}+x_{j}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

with $j=2, \ldots, n$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \frac{1}{2 n} \cdot \frac{x_{1}}{X} \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{1}{2 n X}\left[2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}+x_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are the incomes;
$\boldsymbol{X}$ is the total revenue;
$\frac{x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}}{\boldsymbol{X}}$ is the total cumulated
After developing this equation, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
B= & \frac{1}{2 n X} \\
& \cdot\left[-X+2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i+1) x_{i}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Eq. (1), we can then get the Gini index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{G} & =1-2 B \\
& =1 \\
& -\frac{2}{2 n X}\left[-X+2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i+1) x_{i}\right] \\
& =1+\frac{1}{n}-2 \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i+1) x_{i}}{n X} \\
& =1+\frac{1}{n}-\frac{2}{n^{2} \lambda(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i x_{n-i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=$ mean income of the distribution.
The Gini coefficientcan be reformulated in many ways. We could use, for example:

$$
I_{G}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{2 n^{2} \lambda(x)}
$$

b) Gini index: continuous case

In this case, $B$ corresponds to the integral from 0 to 1 of the Lorenz function. Therefore, we only need to insert this integral into Eq. (1):

$$
I_{G}=1-2 B=1-2 \int_{0}^{1} L(P) d P
$$

where $P=F(y)=\int_{0}^{y} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is the percentage of the population with incomes smaller then $y$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{G} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-(1-P)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\right) d P \\
& =1-\frac{\alpha}{2 \alpha-1} \\
& =1-2\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2 \alpha-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Gini index (or coefficient) is the parameter most commonly used to measure the extent of inequality in an income distribution. It can also be used to measure the differences in unemployment between regions.

## EXAMPLES

As an example of how to calculate the Gini coefficient (or index), let us take the discrete case.
We have: $I_{G}=1-2 B$
Recall that, in this case, we find surface $B$ by calculating the sum of a series of polygons under the Lorenz curve.
The income data for a particular population in 1993-1994 are given in the following table, along with the areas associated the income intervals:

| Population | Income | Surface Area |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 94569 | 173100.4 | 0.000013733 |
| 37287 | 527244.8 | 0.000027323 |
| 186540 | 3242874.9 | 0.000726701 |
| 203530 | 4603346.0 | 0.00213263 |
| 266762 | 7329989.6 | 0.005465843 |
| 290524 | 9428392.6 | 0.010037284 |
| 297924 | 11153963.1 | 0.015437321 |
| 284686 | 12067808.2 | 0.02029755 |
| 251155 | 11898201.1 | 0.022956602 |
| 216164 | 11317647.7 | 0.023968454 |
| 182268 | 10455257.4 | 0.023539381 |
| 153903 | 9596182.1 | 0.022465371 |
| 127101 | 8560949.7 | 0.020488918 |
| 104788 | 7581237.4 | 0.018311098 |
| 85609 | 6621873.3 | 0.01597976 |
| 70108 | 5773641.3 | 0.013815408 |
| 57483 | 5021336.9 | 0.011848125 |
| 47385 | 4376126.7 | 0.010140353 |
| 39392 | 3835258.6 | 0.008701224 |
| 103116 | 11213361.3 | 0.024078927 |
| 71262 | 9463751.6 | 0.017876779 |
| 46387 | 7917846.5 | 0.012313061 |
| 50603 | 20181113.8 | 0.0114625049 |
|  |  |  |



So, the surface $B$ equals:
$B=\frac{1}{2 n X} \cdot\left[-X+2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i+1) \cdot x_{i}\right]$

$$
=0.315246894
$$

We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{G} & =1-2 B=1-2 \cdot 0.315246894 \\
& =0.369506212 .
\end{aligned}
$$

FURTHER READING

- Gini, Corrado
- Simple index number
- Uniform distribution
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## Goodness of Fit Test

Performing a goodness of fit test on a sample allows us to determine whether the observed distribution corresponds to a particular probability distribution (such as the normal distribution or the Poisson distribution). This allows us to find out whether the observed sample was drawn from a population that follows this distribution.

## HISTORY

See chi-square goodness of fit test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The goal of the goodness of fit test is to determine whether an observed sample was drawn from a population that follows a particular probability distribution.

The process used usually involves some hypothesis testing:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad F=F_{0}$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad F \neq F_{0}$
where $F$ is an unknown distribution function of the underlying population and $F_{0}$ is the presumed distribution function.
The goodness of fit test involves comparing the empirical distribution with the presumed distribution. We reject the null hypothesis if the empirical distribution is not close enough to the presumed distribution. The precise rules that govern whether the null hypothesis is rejected or accepted depend on the type of the test used.

## EXAMPLES

There are many goodness of fit tests, including the chi-square goodness of fit test and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square goodness of fit test
- Hypothesis testing
- Kolmogorov-Smirnov test


## Gosset, William Sealy

Gosset, William Sealy, better known by his pen name, "Student", was born in Canterbury, England in 1876. After studying mathematics and chemistry at New College, Oxford, he started working as a brewer for Guinness Breweries in Dublinin 1899. Guinness was a business that favored research, and made its laboratories available to its brewers and its chemists. Indeed, in 1900 it opened the "Guinness Research Laboratory," which had one of the greatest chemists
around at that time, Horace Brown, as director. Work that was carried out in this laboratory was related to the quality and costs of the many varieties of barley and hop.
It was in this environment that Gosset developed his interest in statistics.
At Oxford, Gosset had studied mathematics, and so he was often called upon by his colleagues at Guinness when certain mathematical problems rose. That led to him studying the theory of errors, and he consulted Pearson, K., whom he met in July 1905, on this topic.
The main difficulty encountered by Gosset during his work was small sample sizes. He therefore decided to attempt to find an appropriate method for handling the data from these small samples.
In 1906-1907, Gosset spent a year collaborating with Pearson, K., in Pearson's laboratory in the University College London, attempting to develop methods related to the probable error of the mean.
In 1907, Gosset was made responsible for Guinness' Experimental Brewery, and he used the Student table that he had previously derived experimentally in order to determine the best variety of barley to use for brewing. Guinness, wary of publishing trade secrets, only allowed Gosset to publish his work under the pseudonym of either "Pupil" or "Student", and so he chose the latter.
He died in 1937, leaving important works, which were all published under the pen name of "Student".
Gosset's work remained largely ignored for many years, with few scientists using his table aside from the researchers at Guinness' breweries and those at Rothamsted Experimental Station (where Fisher, R.A. worked as a statistician).

Some of the main works and articles of Gosset, W.S.:

1907 On the error of counting with a haemacytometer. Biometrika 5, 351-360.

1908 The probable error of a mean. Biometrika 6, 1-25

1925 New tables for testing the significance of observations. Metron 5, 105-120.

1942 Pearson, E.S. and Wishart, J. (eds) Student's collected papers (foreword by McMullen, L.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (Issued by the Biometrika Office, University College London).

## FURTHER READING

- Student distribution
- Student table
- Student test
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## Graeco-Latin Squares

## See Graeco-Latin square design.

## Graeco-Latin Square Design

A Graeco-Latin square design is a design of experiment in which the experimental units are grouped in three different ways. It is obtained by superposing two Latin squares of the same size.
If every Latin letter coincides exactly once with a Greek letter, the two Latin square designs are orthogonal. Together they form a Graeco-Latin square design.
In this design, each treatment (Latin letter) appears just once in each line, once in each column and once with each Greek letter.

## HISTORY

The construction of a Graeco-Latin square, was originated by Euler, Leonhard (1782).
A book by Fisher, Ronald Aylmer and Yates, Frank (1963) gives Graeco-Latin tables of order 3 up to order 12 (not including the order of six). The book by Denes and Keedwell (1974) also contained comprehensive information on Graeco-Latin square designs. Dodge and Shah (1977) treat the case of the estimation when data is missing.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Graeco-Latin square designs are used to reduce the effects of three sources of systematic error.
There are Graeco-Latin square designs for any size $n$ except for $n=1,2$ and 6 .

## EXAMPLES

We want to test three different types of gasoline. To do this, we have three drivers and three vehicles. However, the test is too involved to perform in one day: we have to perform the experiment over three days. In this case, using drivers and vehicles over
three separate days could result in systematic errors. Therefore, in order to eliminate these errors we construct a Graeco-Latin square experimental design. In this case, each type of gasoline (treatment) will be tested just once with each driver, once with each vehicle and once each day.
In this Graeco-Latin square design, we represent the different types of gasoline by the Latin letters $A, B$ and $C$ and the different days by $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$.


An analysis of variance will then tell us whether, after eliminating the effects of the lines (vehicles), the columns (drivers) and the greek letters (days), there is a significant difference between the types of gasoline.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments
- Latin square designs
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## Graphical Representation

Graphical representations encompass a wide variety of techniques that are used to clarify, interpret and analyze data by plotting points and drawing line segments, surfaces and other geometric forms or symbols.
The purpose of a graph is a rapid visualization of a data set. For instance, it should clearly illustrate the general behavior of the phenomenon investigated and highlight any important factors. It can be used, for example, as a means to translate or to complete a frequency table.
Therefore, graphical representation is a form of data representation.

## HISTORY

The concept of plotting a point in coordinate space dates back to at least the ancient Greeks, but we had to wait until the work of Descartes, René for mathematicians to investigate this concept.
According to Royston, E. (1970), a German mathematician named Crome, A.W. was among the first to use graphical representation in statistics. He initially used it as a teaching tool.
In his works Geographisch-statistische Darstellung der Staatskräfte (1820) and Ueber die Grösse und Bevölkerung der sämtlichen Europäischen Staaten (1785), Crome employed different types of graphical representation, among them the pie chart.
Royston, E. (1970) also cites Playfair, W., whose work The Commercial and Political Atlas (1786) also referred to various
graphical representations, especially the line chart. Playfair was very interested in the international trade balance, and illustrated his studies using different graphics such as the histogram and the pie chart.
The term histogram was used for the first time by Pearson, Karl, and Guerry (1833) appears to have been among the first to use the the line chart; in his Essai sur la Statistique Morale de la France, published in Paris in 1833, he described the frequencies of crimes by their characteristics; this study constituted one of the first uses of a frequency distribution.
Schmid, Calvin F. (1954) illustrates and describes the different types of graphical representations in his Handbook of Graphic Presentation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The type of graphic employed depends upon the kind of data to be presented, the nature of the variable studied, and the goal of the study:

- A quantitative graphic is particularly useful for representing qualitative categorical variables. Such graphics include the pie chart, the line chart and the pictogram.
- A frequency graphic allows us to represent the (discrete or continuous) frequency distribution of a quantitative variable. Such graphics include the his-
togram and the stem and leaf diagram.
- A cartesian graphic, employs a system of axes that are perpendicular to each other and intersect at a point known as the "origin." Such a coordinate system is termed "cartesian".


## FURTHER READING

- Frequency table
- Quantitative graph
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## Hájek, Jaroslav

Hájek, Jaroslav was born in 1926 in Podebrady, Bohemia. A statistical engineer by profession, he obtained his doctorate in 1954. From 1954 to 1964, he worked as a researcher at the Institute of Mathematics of the Czechoslovakian Academy of Sciences. He then joined Charles University in Prague, where he was a professor from 1966 until his premature death in 1974. The principal works of Hájek, J. concern sampling probability theory and the rank test theory. In particular, he developed an asymptotic theory of the statistics of linear ranks. He was the first to apply the concept of invariance to the theory of rank testing.

Some principal works and articles of Hájek, Jaroslav:

1955 Some rank distributions and their applications. Cas. Pest. Mat. 80, 1731 (in Czech); translation in (1960) Select. Transl. Math. Stat. Probab., 2, 41-61.
1958 Some contributions to the theory of probability sampling. Bull. Inst. Int. Stat., 36, 127-134.
1961 Some extensions of the Wald-Wolfowitz-Noether theorem. Ann. Math. Stat. 32, 506-523.

1964 Asymptotic theory of rejective sampling with varying probabilities from a finite population. Ann. Math. Stat. 35, 1419-1523.
1965 Extension of the KolmogorovSmirnov test to regression alternatives. In: Neyman, J. and LeCam, L. (eds) Bernoulli-Bayes-Laplace: Proceedings of an International Seminar, 1963. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp. 45-60.
1981 Dupac, V. (ed) Sampling from aFinite Population. Marcel Dekker, New York.

## Harmonic Mean

The harmonic mean of $n$ observations is defined as $n$ divided by the sum of the inverses of all of the observations.

## HISTORY

## See geometric mean.

The relationship between the harmonic mean, the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean is described by Mitrinovic, D.S. (1970).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ nonzero quantities, or $n$ observations related to a quantitative
variable $X$. The harmonic mean $H$ of these $n$ quantities is calculated as follows:

$$
H=\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_{i}}}
$$

If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ represents a finite series of positive numbers, we state that:

$$
\min _{i} x_{i} \leq H \leq G \leq \bar{x} \leq \max _{i} x_{i} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The harmonic mean is rarely used in statistics. However, it can sometimes be useful, such as in the following cases:

- If a set of investments are invested at different interest rates, and they all give the same income, the unique rate at which all of the capital tied up in those investments must be invested to produce the same revenue as given by the set of investments is equal to the harmonic mean of the individual rates.
- Say we have a group of different materials, and each material can be purchased at a given price per amount of material (where the price per amount can be different for each material). We then buy a certain amount of each material, spending the same amount of money on each. In this case, the mean price per amount across all materials is given by the harmonic mean of the prices per amount for all of the materials.
- One property of the harmonic mean is that it is largely insensitive to outliers that have much larger values than the other data. For example, consider the following values: $1,2,3,4,5$ and 100 . Here the harmonic mean equals 2.62 and the arithmetic mean equals 19.17. However, the harmonic mean is much more sensitive
to outliers when they have much smaller values than the rest of the data. So, for the observations $1,6,6,6,6,6$, we get $H=3.27$ whereas the arithmetic mean equals 5.17.


## EXAMPLES

Three investments that each yield the same income have the following interest rates: 5\%, $10 \%$ and $15 \%$.
The harmonic mean gives the interest rate at which all of the capital would need to be invested in order to produce the same total income as the three separate investments:

$$
H=\frac{3}{\left[\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{15}\right]}=\frac{3}{\frac{11}{30}}=8.18 \%
$$

We note that this result is different from the arithmetic mean of $10 \%(5+10+15) / 3$. A representative buys three lots of coffee, each of a different grade (quality), at 3,2 and 1.5 euros per kg respectively. He buys 200 euros of each grade.
The mean price per kg of coffee is then obtained by dividing the total cost by the total quantity bought:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mean price } & =\frac{\text { total cost }}{\text { total quantity }} \\
& =\frac{3 \cdot 200}{66.66+100+133.33}=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This corresponds to the harmonic mean of the prices of the three different grades of coffee:
mean price $=\frac{3}{\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{1.5}\right]}=\frac{6}{3}=2$.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Geometric mean
- Mean
- Measure of central tendency
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## Hat Matrix

The hat matrix is a matrix used in regression analysis and analysis of variance. It is defined as the matrix that converts values from the observed variable into estimations obtained with the least squares method. Therefore, when performing linear regression in the matrix form, if $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ is the vector formed from estimations calculated from the least squares parameters, and $\mathbf{Y}$ is a vector of observations related to the dependent variable, then $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ is given by vector $\mathbf{Y}$ multiplied by $\mathbf{H}$, that is, $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{H Y}$ converts to Y's into $\hat{Y}$ 's.

## HISTORY

The hat matrix $\mathbf{H}$ was introduced by Tukey, John Wilder in 1972. An article by Hoaglin, D.C. and Welsch, R.E. (1978) gives the properties of the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ and also many examples of its application.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the following linear regression model:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where
$\mathbf{Y}$ is an $(n \times 1)$ vector of observations on the dependent variable;
$\mathbf{X}$ is the $(n \times p)$ matrix of independent variables (there are $p$ independent variables);
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of errors, and;
$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(p \times 1)$ vector of parameters to be estimated.

The estimation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of the vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\hat{\beta}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}
$$

and we can calculate the estimated values $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ of $\mathbf{Y}$ if we know $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ :

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{X} \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is then defined by:

$$
\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X} \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime}
$$

In particular, the diagonal element $h_{i i}$ will be defined by:

$$
h_{i i}=x_{i} \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot x_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the $i$ th line of $\mathbf{X}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The matrix $\mathbf{H}$, which allows us to obtain $n$ estimations of the dependent variable from $n$ observations, is an idempotent symmetric square matrix of order $n$. The element $(i, j)$ of this matrix measures the influence of the $j$ th observation on the $i$ th predicted value. In particular, the diagonal elements evaluate the effects of the observations on the corresponding estimations of the dependent variables. The value of each diagonal element of the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ ranges between 0 and 1 .
Writing $\mathbf{H}=\left(h_{i j}\right)$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$, we have the relation:

$$
h_{i i}=h_{i i}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{2} .
$$

which is obtained based on the idempotent nature of $\mathbf{H}$; in other words $\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}^{2}$.

Therefore $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}=p=$ number of parameters to estimate.
The matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is used to determine leverage points in regression analysis.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table where $Y$ is a dependent variable related to the independent variable $X$ :

| $X$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 6 |
| 52 | 8 |
| 55 | 9 |
| 75 | 7 |
| 57 | 8 |
| 58 | 10 |

The model of simple linear regression is written in the following manner in the matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 \\
8 \\
9 \\
7 \\
8 \\
10
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 50 \\
1 & 52 \\
1 & 55 \\
1 & 75 \\
1 & 57 \\
1 & 58
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(6 \times 1)$ vector of errors, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(2 \times 1)$ vector of parameters.
We find the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ using the result:

$$
\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X} \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-\mathbf{1}} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} .
$$

By stepwise matrix calculations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} & =\frac{1}{2393} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rr}
20467 & -347 \\
-347 & 6
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
8.5528 & -0.1450 \\
-0.1450 & 0.0025
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H} & =\mathbf{X} \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
0.32 & 0.28 & 0.22 & -0.17 & 0.18 & 0.16 \\
0.28 & 0.25 & 0.21 & -0.08 & 0.18 & 0.16 \\
0.22 & 0.21 & 0.19 & 0.04 & 0.17 & 0.17 \\
-0.17 & -0.08 & 0.04 & 0.90 & 0.13 & 0.17 \\
0.18 & 0.18 & 0.17 & 0.13 & 0.17 & 0.17 \\
0.16 & 0.16 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark, for example, that the weight of $y_{1}$ used during the estimation of $\hat{y}_{1}$ is 0.32 . We then verify that the trace of $\mathbf{H e q u a l s} 2$; in other words, it equals the number of parameters of the model.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H})= & 0.32+0.25+0.19+0.90 \\
& +0.17+0.17 \\
= & 2
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Leverage point
- Matrix
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
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## Histogram

The histogram is a graphical representation of the distribution of data that has been
grouped into classes. It consists of a series of rectangles, and is a type of frequency chart. Each data value is sorted and placed in an appropriate class interval. The number of data values within each class interval dictates the frequency (or relative frequency) of that class interval.
Each rectangle in the histogram represents a class of data. The width of the rectangle corresponds to the width of the class interval, and the surface of the rectangle represents the weight of the class.

## HISTORY

The term histogram was used for the first time by Pearson, Karl in 1895.
Also see graphical representation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The first step in the construction of a histogram consists of presenting the data in the form of a frequency table.
This requires that the class intervals are established and the data values are sorted and placed in the classes, which makes it possible to calculate the frequencies of the classes. The class intervals and frequencies are then added to the frequency table.
We then make use of the frequency table in order to construct the histogram. We divide the horizontal axis of the histogram into intervals, where the widths of these intervals correspond to those of the class intervals. We then draw the rectangles on the histogram. The width of each rectangle is the same as the width of the class that it corresponds to. The height of the rectangle is such that the surface area of the rectangle is equal to the relative frequency of the corresponding class. The sum of the surface areas of the rectangles must be equal to 1 .

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Histograms are used to present a data set in a visual form that is easy to understand. They allow certain general characteristics (such as typical values, the range or the shape of the data) to be visualized and extracted.
Reviewing the shape of a histogram can allow us to detect the probability model followed by the data (normal distribution, log-normal distribution, ...).
It is also possible to detectunexpected behavior or abnormal values with a histogram.
This type of graphical representation is most frequently used in economics, but since it is an extremely simple way of visualizing a data set, it is used in many other fields too. We can also illustrate relative frequency in a histogram. In this case, the height of each rectangle equals the relative frequency of the corresponding class divided by the length of the class interval. In this case, if we sum the surface areas of all of the rectangles in the histogram, we obtain unity.

## EXAMPLES

The following table gives raw data on the average annual precipitation in 69 cities of the USA. We will use these data to establish a frequency table and then a corresponding histogram.

Annual average precipitations in 69 cities of the USA (in inches)

| Mob. | 67.0 | Chic. | 34.4 | St.L. | 35.9 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sacr. | 17.2 | Loui. | 43.1 | At.C. | 45.5 |
| Wash. | 38.9 | Detr. | 31.0 | Char. | 42.7 |
| Boise | 11.5 | K.C. | 37.0 | Col. | 37.0 |
| Wich. | 30.6 | Conc. | 36.2 | Prov. | 42.8 |
| Bost. | 42.5 | N.Y. | 40.2 | Dall. | 35.9 |
| Jack. | 49.2 | Clev. | 35.0 | Norf. | 44.7 |
| Reno | 7.2 | Pitt. | 36.2 | Chey. | 14.6 |


| Buff. | 36.1 | Nash. | 46.0 | L.R. | 48.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Cinc. | 39.0 | Burl. | 32.5 | Hart. | 43.4 |
| Phil. | 39.9 | Milw. | 29.1 | Atl. | 48.3 |
| Memp. | 49.1 | Phoen. | 7.0 | Indi. | 38.7 |
| S.L. | 15.2 | Denv. | 13.0 | Port. | 40.8 |
| Char. | 40.8 | Miami | 59.8 | Dul. | 30.2 |
| Jun. | 54.7 | Peor. | 35.1 | G.Fls | 15.0 |
| S.F. | 20.7 | N.Or. | 56.8 | Albq | 7.8 |
| Jack. | 54.5 | S.S.M. | 31.7 | Ral. | 42.5 |
| Spok. | 17.4 | L.A. | 14.0 | Omaha | 30.2 |
| Ok.C. | 31.4 | Wilm. | 40.2 | Alb. | 33.4 |
| Col. | 46.4 | Hon. | 22.9 | Bism. | 16.2 |
| El Paso | 7.8 | D.Mn. | 30.8 | Port. | 37.6 |
| S-Tac | 38.8 | Balt. | 41.8 | S.Fls | 24.7 |
| S.J. | 59.2 | Mn-SP | 25.9 | Hstn. | 48.2 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (1975) Statistical Abstract of the United States. U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC.

These data can be represented by the following frequency table:

| Class | Frequency | Relative Frequency |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| $0-10$ | 4 | 0.058 |
| $10-20$ | 9 | 0.130 |
| $20-30$ | 5 | 0.072 |
| $30-40$ | 24 | 0.348 |
| $40-50$ | 21 | 0.304 |
| $50-60$ | 4 | 0.058 |
| $60-70$ | 2 | 0.030 |
| Total | 69 | 1.000 |

We can now construct the histogram:


The horizontal axis is divided up into classes, and in this case the relative frequencies are given by the heights of the rectangles because the classes all have the same width.

## FURTHER READING

- Frequency distribution
- Frequency polygon
- Frequency table
- Graphical representation
- Ogive
- Stem-and-leaf diagram
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## Homogeneity Test

One issue that often needs to be considered when analyzing categorical data obtained for many groups is that of the homogeneity of the groups. In other words, we need to find out whether there are significant differences between these groups in relation to one or many qualitative categorical variables. A homogeneity test can show whether the differences are significant or not.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We consider the chi-square homogeneity test here, which is a specific type of chi-square

## test.

Let $I$ be the number of groups considered and $J$ the number of categories considered. Let:
$\boldsymbol{n}_{i .}=\sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{i j}$ correspond to the size of group $i$;
$\boldsymbol{n}_{. j}=\sum_{i=1}^{I} n_{i j} ;$
$\boldsymbol{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{i j}$ be the total number of observations
$n_{i j}$ be the empirical frequency (that is, the number of occurrences observed) corresponding to group $i$ and category $j$;
$\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ is the theoretical frequency corresponding to group $i$ and category $j$, which, assuming homogeneity among the groups, equals:

$$
m_{i j}=\frac{n_{i \cdot} \cdot n_{\cdot j}}{n} .
$$

If we represent the data in the form of a contingency table with $I$ lines and $J$ columns, we can calculate the $n_{i}$. that contribute to the sum of the elements of line $i$ and the $n_{. j}$ that contribute to the sum of all of the elements of column $j$.
We calculate

$$
\chi_{c}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(n_{i j}-m_{i j}\right)^{2}}{m_{i j}}
$$

and the chi-square homogeneity test is expressed in the following way: we reject the homogeneity hypothesis (at a significance level of $5 \%$ ) if the value $\chi_{c}^{2}$ is greater then the value of the $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square) distribution with $(J-1) \cdot(I-1)$ degrees of freedom.

Note: We have assumed here that the same number of units are tested for each combination of group and category. However, we may want to test different numbers of units for different combinations. In this case, if we have a proportion $p_{i j}$ of units for group $i$ and category $j$, it is enough to replace $m_{i j}$ by $n_{i .} \cdot p_{i j}$.

## EXAMPLES

We consider a study performed in the pharmaceutical domain that concerns 100 people suffering from a particular illness. In order to examine the effect of a medical
dom. Half of them were placed in a control group and received a placebo. The other patients received the medical treatment. Then the number of healthy people in each group was monitored for 24 hours following administration the treatment. The results are provided in the following table.

| Observed <br> frequency | Healthy <br> for 24 <br> hours | Not <br> healthy | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Placebo | 2 | 48 | 50 |
| Treatment | 9 | 41 | 50 |

The theoretical frequencies are obtained by assuming that the general state of health would have been the same for both groups if no treatment had been applied. In this case we obtain $m_{11}=m_{21}=\frac{50 \cdot 11}{100}=5.5$ and $m_{12}=m_{22}=\frac{50.89}{100}=44.5$.
We calculate the value of $\chi^{2}$ by comparing the theoretical frequencies with the observed frequencies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{c}^{2}= & \frac{(2-5.5)^{2}}{5.5}+\frac{(48-44.5)^{2}}{44.5} \\
& +\frac{(9-5.5)^{2}}{5.5}+\frac{(41-44.5)^{2}}{44.5} \\
= & 5.005 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we then refer to the $\chi^{2}$ distribution table for one degree of freedom, we obtain the value $\chi_{0.05}^{2}=3.84$ for a significance level of $5 \%$, which is smaller then the value we calculated, $\chi_{c}^{2}=5.005$. We conclude that the groups were not homogeneous and the treatment is efficient.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of categorical data
- Analysis of variance
- Categorical data
- Chi-square distribution
- Chi-square test
- Frequency
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## Hotelling, Harold

Hotelling, Harold (1895-1973) is considered to be one of the pioneers in the field of economical mathematics over the period 1920-1930. He introduced the $T^{2}$ multivariate test, principal components analysis and canonical correlation analysis.
He studied at University of Washington, where he obtained a B.A. in journalism in 1919. He then moved to Princeton University, obtaining a doctorate in mathematics from there in 1924. The began teaching at Stanford University that same year. His applications of mathematics to the social sciences initially concerned journalism and political science, and then he moved his focus to population and predictian.
In 1931, he moved to Colombia University, where he actively participated in the creation of its statistical department. During the

Second World War, he performed statistical research for the military.
In 1946, he was hired by North Carolina University at Chapel Hill to create a statistics department there.

## Some principal works and articles of Hotelling, Harold:

1933 Analysis of a complex of statistical variables with principal components. J. Educ. Psychol., 24, 417-441 and 498-520.

1936 Relation between two sets of variates. Biometrika 28, 321-377.

## Huber, Peter J.

Huber, Peter J. was born at Wohlen (Switzerland) in 1934. He performed brilliantly during his studies and his doctorate in mathematics at the Federal Polytechnic School of Zurich, where he received the Silver Medal for the scientific quality of his thesis. He worked as Professor of Mathematical Statistics at the Federal Polytechnic School of Zurich. He then moved to the USA and worked at the most prestigious universities (Princeton, Yale, Berkeley) as an invited professor. In 1977 he was named Professor of Applied Mathematics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He is member of the prestigious American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the Bernoulli Society and the National Science Foundation in the USA, in which foreign members are extremely rare. Since the publication of his article "Robust estimation of a location parameter" in 1964, he has been considered to be the founder of robust statistics.

Huber, Peter J. received the title of Docteur Honoris Causa from Neuchâtel University in 1994.

Some principal works and publications of Huber, Peter J.:

1964 Robust estimation of a location parameter. Ann. Math. Stat. 35, 73101.

1968 Robust statistical procedures. SIAMCBMS-NSF Reg. Conf. Ser. Appl. Math.,
1981 Robust Statistics. Wiley, New York.
1995 Robustness: Where are we now? Student, Vol.1, 75-86.

## Hypergeometric Distribution

The hypergeometric distribution describes the probability of success if a series of objects are drawn from a population (which contains some objects that represent failure while the others represent success), without replacement.
It is therefore used to describe a random experiment where there are only two possible results: "success" and "failure."
Consider a set of $N$ events in which there are $M$ "successes" and $N-M$ "failures." The random variable $X$, corresponding to the number of successes obtained if we draw $n$ events without replacement follows a hypergeometric distribution with parameters $N$, $M$ and $n$, denoted by $H(N, M, n)$.
The hypergeometric distribution is a discrete probability distribution.
The number of ways that $n$ events can be drawn from $N$ events is equal to:

$$
C_{N}^{n}=\binom{N}{n}=\frac{n!}{N!\cdot(n-N)!} .
$$

The number of elementary events depends on $X$ and is:

$$
C_{M}^{x} \cdot C_{N-M}^{n-x} .
$$

which gives the following probability function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X=x)=\frac{C_{M}^{x} \cdot C_{N-M}^{n-x}}{C_{N}^{n}}, \\
\text { for } x=0,1, \ldots, n
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { (where } C_{v}^{u}=0 \text { if } u<v \text { by convention) }
$$



Hypergeometric distribution, $N=12, M=7$, $n=5$

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the random variable $X=X_{1}+$ $X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$, where:
$X_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { if the } i \text { th drawing is a success } \\ 0 & \text { if the } i \text { th drawing is a failure }\end{array}\right.$. In this case, the probability distribution for $X_{i}$ is:


The expected value of $X_{i}$ is therefore given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[X_{i}\right] & =\sum_{j=1}^{2} x_{j} P\left(x_{i}=x_{j}\right) \\
& =0 \cdot \frac{N-M}{N}+1 \cdot \frac{M}{N} \\
& =\frac{M}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing the fact that $X=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$, We can now calculate the variance of $X$ : we have:

$$
E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[X_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M}{N}=n \frac{M}{N} .
$$

The variance of $X_{i}$ is, by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) & =E\left[X_{i}^{2}\right]-\left(E\left[X_{i}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{M}{N}-\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{M(N-M)}{N^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are dependent random variables, the covariance should be taken into account when calculating the variance of $X$.
The probability that $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}(i \neq j)$ are both successes is equal to:

$$
P\left(X_{i}=1, X_{j}=1\right)=\frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)} .
$$

If we put $V=X_{i} \cdot X_{j}$, the values of $V$ and the associated probabilities are:

| $V$ | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $P(V)$ | $1-\frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)}$ | $\frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)}$ |

The expected value of $V$ is therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[V]= & 0 \cdot\left(1-\frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)}\right) \\
& +1 \cdot \frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The covariance of $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ is, by definition, equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) & =E\left[X_{i} \cdot X_{j}\right]-E\left[X_{i}\right] \cdot E\left[X_{j}\right] \\
& =\frac{M(M-1)}{N(N-1)}-\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{M(N-M)}{N^{2}(N-1)} \\
& =-\frac{1}{N-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& +2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i<j} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& +n(n-1) \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \\
= & n\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)-\frac{n-1}{N-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)\right] \\
= & n \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) \frac{N-n}{N-1} \\
= & n \frac{M(N-M)}{N^{2}} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \\
= & \frac{N-n}{N-1} n \frac{M}{N}\left(1-\frac{M}{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The hypergeometric distribution is often used in quality control.
Suppose that a production line produces $N$ products, which are then submitted to verification. A sample of size $n$ is taken from this batch of products, and the number of defective products in this sample is noted. It is possible to to use this to obtain (by inference) information on the probable total number of defective products in the whole batch.

## EXAMPLES

A box contains 30 fuses, and 12 of these are defective. If we take five fuses at random, the probability that none of them is defective is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=0) & =\frac{C_{M}^{x} C_{N-M}^{n-x}}{C_{N}^{n}}=\frac{C_{12}^{0} C_{18}^{5}}{C_{30}^{5}} \\
& =0.0601
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Binomial distribution
- Discrete probability distribution


## Hypothesis

A statistical hypothesis is an assertion regarding the distribution(s) of one or several random variables. It may concern the parameters of a given distribution or the probability distribution of a population under study.
The validity of the hypothesis is examined by performing hypothesis testing on observations collected for a sample of the studied population.
When performing hypothesis testing on the probability distribution of the population being studied, the hypothesis that the studied population follows a given probability distribution is called the null hypothesis. The hypothesis that affirms that the population does not follow a given probability distribution is called the alternative hypothesis (or opposite hypothesis).
If we perform hypothesis testing on the parameters of a distribution, the hypothesis that the studied parameter is equal to a given value is called the null hypothesis. The hypothesis that states that the value of the parameter is different to this given value is called the alternative hypothesis.
The null hypothesis is usually denoted by $H_{0}$ and the alternative hypothesis by $H_{1}$.

## HISTORY

In hypothesis testing, the hypothesis that is to be tested is called the null hypothesis. We owe the term "null" to Fisher, R.A.
(1935). Introducing this concept, he mentioned the well-known tea tasting problem, where a lady claimed to be able to recognize by taste whether the milk or the tea was poured into her cup first. The hypothesis to be tested was that the taste was absolutely not influenced by the order in which the tea was made.
Originally, the null hypothesis was usually taken to mean that a particular treatment has no effect, or that there was no difference between the effects of different treatments.

## EXAMPLES

Many problems involve repeating an experiment that has two possible results.
One example of this is the gender of a newborn child. In this case we are interested in the proportion of boys and girls in a given population. Consider $p$, the proportion of girls, which we would like to estimate from an observed sample. To determine whether the proportions of newborn boys and girls are the same, we make the statistical hypothesis that $p=\frac{1}{2}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Alternative hypothesis
- Analysis of variance
- Hypothesis testing
- Null hypothesis
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## Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing is a procedure that allow, us to (depending on certain decision rules) confirm a starting hypothesis, called the null hypothesis, or to reject this null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis.

## HISTORY

The theory behind hypothesis testing developed under study. The first steps were taken when works began to appear that discussed the significance (or insignificance) of a group of observations. Some examples of such works date from the eighteenth century, including those by Arbuthnott, J. (1710), Bernoulli, Daniel (1734) and Laplace, Pierre Simon de (1773). These works were seen more frequently in the nineteenth century, such as those by Gavarett (1840) and Edgeworth, Francis Y. (1885). The development of hypothesis testing occurred in parallel with the theory of estimation. Hypothesis testing seems to have been first elaborated by workers in the experimental sciences and the management domain. For example, the Student test was developed by Gosset, William Sealy during his time working for Guinness.
Neyman, Jerzy and Pearson, Egon Sharpe developed the mathematical theory of hypothesis testing, which they presented in an article published in 1928 in the review Biometrika. They were the first to recognize that the rational choice to be made during hypothesis testing had to be between the null hypothesis that we want to test and an alternative hypothesis. A second fundamental article on the theory of hypothesis testing was published in 1933 by the same mathematicians, where they also distinguished between a type I error and a type II error.

The works resulting from the collaboration between Neyman, J. and Pearson, E.S. are described in Pearson (1966) and in the biography of Neyman, published by Reid (1982).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Hypothesis testing of a sample generally involves the following steps:

1. Formulate the hypotheses:

- The null hypothesis $H_{0}$,
- The alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.

2. Determine the significance level $\alpha$ of the test.
3. Determine the probability distribution that corresponds to the sampling distribution.
4. Calculate the critical value of the null hypothesis and deduce the rejection region or the acceptance region.
5. Establish the decision rules:

- If the statistics observed in the sample are located in the acceptance region, we do not reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$;
- If the statistics observed on the sample are located in the rejection region, we reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ for the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.

6. Take the decision to accept or to reject the null hypothesis on the basis of the observed sample.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The most frequent types of hypothesis testing are described below.

1. Hypothesis testing of a sample: We want to test whether the value of a parameter $\theta$ of the population is identical to a presumed value. The hypotheses will be as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \theta=\theta_{0} \\
H_{1}: & \theta \neq \theta_{0}
\end{array}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the presumed value of the unknown parameter $\theta$.
2. Hypothesis testing on two samples: The goal in this case is to find out whether two populations that are both described by a particular parameter are different. Let $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ be parameters that describe populations 1 and 2 respectively. We can then formulate the following hypotheses:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \theta_{1}=\theta_{2} \\
H_{1}: & \theta_{1} \neq \theta_{2}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}=0 \\
H_{1}: & \theta_{1}-\theta_{2} \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

3. Hypothesis testing of more than two samples: As for a test performed on two samples, hypothesis testing is performed on more than two samples to determine whether these populations are different, based on comparing the same parameter from all of the populations being tested. In this case, we test the following hypotheses:
$H_{0}: \quad \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\ldots=\theta_{k}$,
$H_{1}$ : The values of $\theta_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ are not all identical.
Here $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$ are the unknown parameters of the populations and $k$ is the number of populations to be compared.
In hypothesis testing theory, and in practice, we can distinguish between two types of tests: a parametric test and a nonparametric test.

## Parametric Tests

A parametric test is a hypothesis test that presupposes a particular form for each of the distributions related to the underlying populations. This case applies, for example, when these populations follow a normal distribution.

The Student test is an example of a parametric test. This test compares the means of two normally distributed populations.

## Nonparametric Test

A nonparametric test is a hypothesis test where it is not necessary to specify the parametric form of the distribution of the underlying population.
There are many examples of this type of test, including the sign test, the Wilcoxon test, the signed Wilcoxon test, the MannWhitney test, the Kruskal-Wallis test, and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

## EXAMPLES

For examples of parametric hypothesis testing, see binomial test, Fisher test or Student test. For examples of nonparametric hypothesis testing, see KolmogorovSmirnov test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney test, Wilcoxon test, signed Wilcoxon test and sign test.

FURTHER READING

- Acceptance region
- Alternative hypothesis
- Nonparametric test
- Null hypothesis
- One-sided test
- Parametric test
- Rejection region
- Sampling distribution
- Significance level
- Two-sided test
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## Idempotent Matrix

## See matrix.

## Identity Matrix

See matrix.

## Incidence

## See incidence rate.

## Incidence Rate

The incidence of an illness is defined as being the number of new cases of illness appearing during a determined period among the individuals of a population. This notion is similar to that of "stream."
The incidence rate is defined as being relative to the dimension of the population and the time; this value is expressed by relation to a number of individuals and to a duration. The incidence rate is the incidence $I$ divided by the number of people at risk for the illness.

## HISTORY

See prevalence rate.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Formally we have:

$$
\text { incidence rate }=\frac{\text { risk }}{\text { duration of observation }} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

An accurate incidence rate calculated in a population and during a given period will be interpreted as the index of existence of an epidemic in this population.

## EXAMPLES

Let us calculate the incidence rate of breast cancer in a population of 150000 women observed during 2 years, according to the following table:

|  | Number <br> of <br> cases | Number <br> of <br> subjects | Incidence <br> rate / <br> $100000 /$ <br> year |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Group $[A]$ $[B]$ $\left[\frac{A}{2 B}\right]$ <br> Non- <br> exposed 40 35100 57.0 |  |  |  |
| Passive <br> smokers | 140 | 55500 | 126.1 |
| Active <br> smokers | 164 | 59400 | 138.0 |
| Total | 344 | 150000 | 114.7 |

The numerator of the incidence rate is the same as that of the risk: the number of new
cases (or incident cases) of breast cancer during 2 years of observation among the preference group. The denominator of the incidence rate is obtained by multiplying the number of individuals in the preference group by the duration of observation (here 2 years). Normally, the rate is expressed as 1 year.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
- Risk
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## Incomplete Data

## See missing data.

## Independence

The notion of independence can have two meanings: the first concerns the events of a random experiment. Two events are said
to be independent if the realization of the second event does not depend on the realization of the first.
The independence between two events $A$ and $B$ can be determined by probabilities: if the probability of event $A$ when $B$ is realized is identical to the probability of $A$ when $B$ is not realized, then $A$ and $B$ are called independent. The second meaning of the word independence concerns the relation that can exist between random variables. The independence of two random variables can be tested with the Kendall test and the Spearman test.

## HISTORY

The independence notion was implicitly used long before a formal set of probability axioms was established. According to Maistrov, L.E. (1974), Cardano was already using the multiplication rule of probabilities $(P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B))$. Maistrov, L.E. also mentions that the notions of independence and dependence between events were very familiar to Pascal, Blaise, de Fermat, Pierre, and Huygens, Christiaan.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Mathematically, we can say that event $A$ is independent of event $B$ if

$$
P(A)=P(A \mid B),
$$

where $P(A)$ is the probability of realizing event $A$ and $P(A \mid B)$ is the conditional probability ofevent $A$ as a function of the realization of $B$, meaning the probability that $A$ happens knowing that $B$ has happened.
Using the definition of conditional probability, the previous equation can be written as:

$$
P(A)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}
$$

or

$$
P(A) \cdot P(B)=P(A \cap B),
$$

which gives

$$
P(B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}=P(B \mid A)
$$

With this simple transformation, we have demonstrated that if $A$ is independent of $B$, then $B$ is also independent of $A$. We will say that events $A$ and $B$ are independent.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If two events $A$ and $B$ are independent, then they are always compatible. The probability that both events $A$ and $B$ happen simultaneously can be determined by multiplying the individual probabilities of the two events:

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B) .
$$

But if $A$ and $B$ are dependent, they can be compatible or incompatible. There is no absolute rule.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a box containing four notes numbered from 1 to 4 from which we will make two successive drawings without putting the first note back in the box before drawing the second note.


The sample space can be represented by the following 12 pairs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega=\{ & (1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,1),(2,3), \\
& (2,4),(3,1),(3,2),(3,4), \\
& (4,1),(4,2),(4,3)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the following two events:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \text { "pull out note No. } 3 \text { on the } \\
& \text { first drawing" } \\
= & \{(3,1),(3,2),(3,4)\} \\
B= & \text { "pull out note No. } 3 \text { on the } \\
& \text { second drawing" } \\
= & \{(1,3),(2,3),(4,3)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Are these two events dependent or independent? If they are independent, the probability of event $B$ must be identical to the probability of event $A$, regardless of the result of event $A$.
If $A$ happens, then the following notes will remain in the box for the second drawing:


The probability that $B$ happens is then null because note No. 3 is no longer in the box. On the other hand, if $A$ is not fulfilled (for example, note No. 2 was drawn in the first drawing), the second drawing will have the following notes in the box:


The probability that $B$ will be fulfilled is $\frac{1}{3}$. We can therefore conclude that the probability of event $B$ is dependent on event $A$ or that events $A$ and $B$ are dependent.
We can verify our conclusion in the following way: if $A$ and $B$ are independent, the following equation must be verified:

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B) .
$$

In our example, $P(A)=\frac{3}{12}$ and $P(B)=\frac{3}{12}$.

The intersection between $A$ and $B$ is null.
Therefore we have

$$
P(A \cap B)=0 \neq P(A) \cdot \frac{1}{16}=P(B)
$$

If the equation is not confirmed, then events $A$ and $B$ are not independent. Therefore they are dependent.
Consider now a similar experiment, but by putting the drawn note back in the box before the second drawing.
The sample space of this new random experiment is composed of the following 16 pairs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega= & \{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,1), \\
& (2,2),(2,3),(2,4),(3,1),(3,2), \\
& (3,3),(3,4),(4,1),(4,2),(4,3), \\
& (4,4)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In these conditions, the second drawing will be done from a box that is identical to that of the first drawing:


Events $A$ and $B$ contain the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\{(3,1),(3,2),(3,3),(3,4)\}, \\
& B=\{(1,3),(2,3),(3,3),(4,3)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of $A$, as well as of $B$, is therefore $\frac{4}{16}$. The intersection between $A$ and $B$ is given by the event $A \cap B=\{(3,3)\}$;its probability is $\frac{1}{16}$. Therefore we have

$$
P(A \cap B)=\frac{1}{16}=P(A) \cdot P(B)
$$

Since $P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)$, we can conclude that event $B$ is independent of event $A$, or that the events $A$ and $B$ are independent.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square test of independence
- Compatibility
- Conditional probability
- Dependence
- Event
- Kendall rank correlation coefficient
- Probability
- Spearman rank correlation coefficient
- Test of independence
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## Independent Variable

In a regression model the variables which are considered to influence the dependent variables or to explain the variations of the latter, are all independent variables.

## FURTHER READING

- Dependent variable
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression


## Index Number

In its most general definition, an index number is a value representing the relative variation of a variable between two determined periods (or situations).
The simple index numbers should be distinguished from the composite index numbers.

- Simple index numbers describe the relative change of a single variable.
- Composite index numbers allow one to describe with a single number the comparison of the set of values that several variables take in a certain situation with respect to the set of values of the same variables in a reference situation.
The reference situation defines the basis of the index number. We can say, for example, that for reference year (basis year) 1980, a certain index number has a value of 120 in 1982.


## HISTORY

The first studies using index numbers date back to the early 18th century.
In 1707, the Anglican Bishop Fleetwood undertook the study of the evolution of prices between the years 1440 and 1700 . This study, whose results are presented in his work Chronicon Preciosum (1745), was done for a very specific purpose: during the founding of a college in 1440-1460, an essential clause was established stipulating that any admitted member had to leave the college if his fortune exceeded $£ 5$ per year. Fleetwood wanted to know if, taking into account the price evolution, such a promise could still be kept three centuries later.
He considered four products-wheat, meat, drink, and clothing-and studied the evolution of their prices. At the end of his work, he came to the conclusion that 5 pounds ( $£$ ) in 1440-1460 had the same value as 30 pounds (£) in 1700 .
Later, in 1738, Dutot, C. studied the diminution of the value of money in a work entitled Réflexions politiques sur les finances et le commerce. For this he studied the incomes of two kings, Louis XII and Louis XV. The two kings had the following incomes:

## Louis XII: £ 7650000 in 1515 <br> Louis XV: $£ 100000000$ in 1735

To determine which of the sovereigns had the highest disposable income, Dutot, C. noted the different prices, at the considered dates, of a certain number of goods: a chicken, a rabbit, a pigeon, the value of a day's work, etc.
Dutot's measurement was the following:

$$
I=\frac{\sum P_{1}}{\sum P_{0}}
$$

where $\sum P_{1}$ and $\sum P_{0}$ are the sums of the noted prices at the respective dates. Notice that this index number is not ponderated. Dutot came to the conclusion that the wealth of Louis XII was lower than that of Louis XV. The diminution of the value of money was also the object of a study by Carli, Gian Rinaldo. In 1764 the astronomy professor of Padoue studied the evolution of prices since the discovery of the Americas.
He took three products as referencesgrains, wine, and cooking oil-studied the prices on these products in the period $1500-$ 1750.

Carli's measurement was the following:

$$
I=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum \frac{P_{1}}{P_{0}}
$$

The obtained index number is an arithmetic mean of the relative prices for each observed product.
In 1798 a work entitled An Account of Some Endeavours to Ascertain a Standard of Weight and Measure, signed by Sir George Shuckburgh Evelyn, was published. This precursor to index numbers defines a price index number covering the years 1050 to 1800 by introducing the notions of reference year (1550) and relative prices. He also
included the price of certain services in his observations.
It is Lowe, Joseph who should be seen, according to Kendall, M.G. (1977), as the true father of index numbers. His work, published in 1822, called The present state of England, treated many problems relative to the creation of index numbers. Among other things, he introduced the notion of price ponderation at different dates $\left(P_{1}\right.$ and $\left.P_{0}\right)$ by the quantities observed at the first date $\left(Q_{0}\right)$. The Lowe measurement is the following:

$$
I=\frac{\sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{0}\right)}{\sum\left(P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}\right)}
$$

Later on this index number would be known as the Laspeyres index.
In the second half of the 19th century, statisticians made many advances in this field. In 1863, with 'A Serious Fall in the Value of Gold Ascertained and Its Social Effects Set Forth, Jevons, W.S. studied the type of mean to be used during the creation of an index number. He recommended the use of the geometrical mean.
Between 1864 and 1880, three German scientists, Laspeyres, E. (1864, 1871), Drobisch, M.W. (1871), and Paasche, H. (1874), worked on the evolution of prices of material goods in Hamburg, without taking services into account. All three are nonponderated calculation methods.
The Paasche index is determined by the following formula:

$$
I=\frac{\sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{1}\right)}{\sum\left(P_{0} \cdot Q_{1}\right)}
$$

Palgrave, R.H.I (1886) proposed to ponderate the relative prices by the total value of the concerned good; this method leads to calcu-
lating the index number as follows:

$$
I=\frac{\sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{1} \cdot \frac{P_{1}}{P_{0}}\right)}{\sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{1}\right)}
$$

It was the works of statisticians such as I. Fisher who defined in 1922 an index number that carries his name and that constitutes the geometric mean of the Laspeyres index and the Paasche index:

$$
I=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{0}\right) \sum\left(P_{1} \cdot Q_{1}\right)}{\sum\left(P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}\right) \sum\left(P_{0} \cdot Q_{1}\right)}}
$$

whereas in the same period, Marshall, A. and Edgeworth, F.W. proposed the following formula:

$$
I=\frac{\sum\left(P_{1}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{0}\right)\right)}{\sum\left(P_{0}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

Notice that with this formula it is the mean of the weights that is calculated.
Modern statistical studies still largely call upon the index numbers that have been defined here.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The calculation of index numbers is a usual method of describing the changes in economic variables. Even if the most current index numbers essentially concern the price variable and the quantity variable, they can also be calculated for values, qualities, etc. Generally associated with the field of business and economy, index numbers are also widely used in other spheres of activity.

## FURTHER READING

- Composite index number
- Fisher index
- Laspeyres index
- Paasche index
- Simple index number
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## Indicator

An indicator is a statistic (official statistic) whose objective is to give an indication about the state, behavior, and changing nature during some period of an economic or political phenomenon. An indicator must inform about the variations in the values as well as changes in the nature of the observed phenomena and must serve to instruct the decision makers.
At its most accurate, an indicator reflects the social or economic process and suggests the changes that should be made. It is an alarm signal that attracts attention and and serves as a call to action (pilotage). Many indicators are generally necessary for providing a global reflection of given phenomena and of the politics that one wishes to evaluate. A set of indicators provides information about the same subject and is called a system of indicators.
Not all statistics are indicators; indicators must:

1. Be quantifiable, which, strictly speaking, does not mean measurable.
2. Be unidimensional (avoid overlap with other indicators).
3. Cover the set of priority objectives.
4. Directly evaluate the performance of a policy.
5. Show ways to improve.
6. Refer to major aspects of the system and be changeable with changes in the political system.
7. Be verifiable.
8. Be relatable to each other.
9. Be as economic as possible.
10. Be readily available.
11. Be easily understood by the general public.
12. Be recognizable by everybody as valid and reliable.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

## Construction of Indicators

Indicators, like all measures of social phenomena, cannot be exact and exhaustive. The construction of indicators involves philosophical considerations (judgement of values) and technical and scientific knowledge, but it is also based on logic and often reflects political preoccupations.

## Collection and Choice of Indicators

To collect good indicators, an appropriate model of economic, social, and educational systems is needed. The model as generally adapted by the OCDE is a systemic model: in a given environment, we study a system's resources, process, and effects. But the choice of indicators united in a system of indicators also depends on the logistical feasibility of data collection. This explains the large difference among indicators. The number of indicators necessary for a good pilotage of an economic or social system is difficult to evaluate. If too numerous, the indicators lose importance and risk not playing an important role. But together they must represent the system to be evaluated. A too small number risks making the system invalid and unrepresentative.

## Interpretation of Indicators

The interpretation of indicators is as important for the system as their choice, construction, and collection. Having the significance that the indicators can take for the politically responsible, for the financing and the success of certain projects, all precautions must be taken to assure the interpretative integrality, the quality, and the neutrality of the indicators.

## Validation of Indicators

Before using these statistics as indicators of the performance, quality, and health of an economic, social, or educational system, they should be validated. If indicators' values are calculated scientifically using mathematical methods and instruments, their influence on the system to be described and their reliability as the foundation for a plan of action are not always scientifically proven. Moreover, when a set of indicators is used, it is still far from clear how these indicators combine their effects to produce the observed results. The methods for validating indicators have yet to be developed.

## EXAMPLES

## Economic Indicators

These include gross domestic product (GDP), national revenue, consumer price index, producer price index, unemployment rate, total employment, long-term and shortterm interest rates, exports and imports of produced goods, and balance of payments.

## Education Indicators

These include the cost of education, the actives in the system of teaching, the scholarization expectancy, the geographical disparities in access to the undergraduate level, the
general level of draftees, the level of education of young people following high school graduation, the benefits of an undergraduate degree with respect to finding employment, compulsory schooling of children from 2 to 5 years of age, and educational expenses for continuing education.

## Science and Technology Indicators

These include Gross domestic expenditures on research and development (GDERD), per-capita GDERD, GDERD as a percentage of GDP, total personnel R\&D expenditures, total university diplomas, percentage of GDERD financing by businesses, percentage of GDERD financed by the government, percentage of GDERD financed from abroad, and national patent applications.

## FURTHER READING

- Official statistics
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## Inertia

Inertia is a notion that is used largely in mechanics. The more inertia a body has around an axis, the more energy has to be used to put this body in rotation around this axis. The mass of the body and the (squared) distance from the body to the axis are used in the calculation of the inertia. The definition of variance can be recognized here if
"mass" is replaced by "frequency" and "distance" by "deviation."

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Suppose that we have a cloud of $n$ points centered in a space of dimension $p$; the inertia matrix $V$ can be constructed with the coordinates and frequencies of the points.
The eigenvalues $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{p}$ (written in decreasing order) of matrix $V$ are the inertia explained by the $p$ factorial axes of the cloud of points. Their sum, equal to the trace of $V$, is equal to the total inertia of the cloud. We will say, for example, that the first factorial axis (or inertia axis) explains:

$$
100 \cdot \frac{k_{1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i}} \text { (as \%) of inertia. }
$$

The first two inertia axes, for example, explain:

$$
100 \cdot \frac{k_{1}+k_{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i}}(\text { in } \%) \text { of inertia. }
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The notion of inertia or, more specifically, the inertia matrix of a cloud of points is used, among other things, to perform a correspondence analysis between considered points.

## FURTHER READING

- Correspondence analysis
- Distance
- Eigenvalue
- Factorial axis
- Frequency
- Inertia matrix


## Inertia Matrix

Consider a cloud of points in a space of $p$ dimensions. We suppose that this cloud is centered in the origin of the reference system; if not, it is enough to cause a change in variables by subtracting from each point the center of the cloud. Let us designate by $\mathbf{A}$ the matrix of the coordinates multiplied by the square root of the frequency associated to each point. Such a matrix will be, for $n$ different points, of dimension $(n \times p)$. Suppose that $p$ is smaller than or equal to $n$; otherwise we use the transpose of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ as a new matrix of coordinates.
We obtain the inertia matrix $\mathbf{V}$ by multiplying $\mathbf{A}$ by the transpose of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime} . \mathbf{V}$ is a symmetric matrix of order $p$.
The trace of this matrix $\mathbf{V}$, is exactly the total inertia (or total variance).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $n$ be different points $X_{i}$ of $p$ components

$$
X_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i p}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

each point $X_{i}$ has one frequency $f_{i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ ).
If the points are not centered around the origin, then we should make the changing variables correspond to the shift to the origin of the center of the cloud:

$$
X_{i}^{\prime}=X_{i}-Y
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{p}\right)$ with

$$
y_{j}=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \cdot x_{i j}\right) .
$$

The general term of inertia matrix $V$ is then:

$$
v_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k} \cdot\left(x_{k i}-y_{i}\right) \cdot\left(x_{k j}-y_{j}\right) .
$$

In matrix form, $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{A}$, where the coefficients of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ are:

$$
a_{k i}=\sqrt{f_{k}} \cdot\left(x_{k i}-y_{i}\right)
$$

The total inertia of the cloud of points is obtained by calculating the trace of the inertia matrix $\mathbf{V}$, which equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathrm{tot}} & =\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{V})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} v_{i i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k} \cdot\left(x_{k i}-y_{i}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The inertia matrix of a cloud of points is used to perform a correspondence analysis between two considered points.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following points in the space of three dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
(2 ; 0 ; & 0.5) \\
(3 ; 1 ; & 2) \\
(-2 ;-1 ; & -1) \\
(-1 ;-1 ;-0.5) \\
(-1 ;-1 ;-0.5) \\
(-1 ;-1 ;-0.5)
\end{array}
$$

There are four different frequencies equalling $1,1,1$, and 3 .
The center of the cloud formed by its points is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=\frac{2+3-2+3 \cdot(-1)}{6}=0, \\
& y_{2}=\frac{1-1+3 \cdot(-1)}{6}=-0.5, \\
& y_{3}=\frac{0.5+2-1+3 \cdot(-0.5)}{6}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The new coordinates of our four different points will be given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 ; 0.5 ; 0.5)(3 ; 1.5 ; 2)(-2 ;-0.5 ;-1) \\
& (-1 ;-0.5 ;-0.5) \text { of frequency } 3,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which $\mathbf{A}$, the matrix of the coordinates multiplied by the square root of the frequency, is calculated:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
3 & 1.5 & 2 \\
-2 & -0.5 & -1 \\
-\sqrt{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\mathbf{V}$, the inertia matrix:

$$
\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
20 & 8 & 10.5 \\
8 & 3.5 & 4.5 \\
10.5 & 4.5 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

The inertia of the cloud of points equals:

$$
I_{\text {tot }}=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{V})=20+3.5+6=29.5
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Correspondence analysis
- Frequency
- Matrix
- Trace
- Transpose
- Variance
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## Inference

Inference is a form of reasoning by induction done on the basis of information collected on
a sample from the perspective of generalizing the information to the population associated to this sample.

## HISTORY

See inferential statistics.

## FURTHER READING

- Inferential statistics


## Inferential Statistics

Inferential or deductive statistics is complementary to descriptive statistics because the goal of most research is not to establish a certain number of indicators on a given sample but rather to estimate a certain number of parameters characterizing the population associated to the treated sample.
In inferential statistics, hypotheses are formulated in the parameters of a population. These hypotheses are then tested on the basis of observations made on a representative sample of the population.

## HISTORY

The origins of inferential statistics coincide with those of probability theory and correspond to the works of Bayes, Thomas (1763), de Moivre, Abraham (1718), Gauss, Carl Friedrich (1809), and de Laplace, Pierre Simon (1812).
At that time, there were numerous researchers in the field of inferential statistics. We mention, for example, the works of Galton, Francis (1889) in relation to the correlation as well as the development of hypothesis testing due principally to Pearson, Karl (1900) and Gosset, William

Sealey, who was known as "Student"(1908). Neyman, Jerzy, Pearson, Egon Sharpe (1928), and Fisher, Ronald Aylmer (1956) also contributed in a fundamental way to the development of inferential statistics.

## FURTHER READING

- Bayes' theorem
- Descriptive statistics
- Estimation
- Hypothesis testing
- Maximum likelihood
- Optimization
- Probability
- Sampling
- Statistics
- Survey
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## Inner Product

The inner product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, denoted by $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}$, is the sum of products, component by component, of two vectors.
Geometrically, the inner product of two vectors of dimension $n$ corresponds, in the space of $n$ dimensions, to the number obtained by multiplying the norm of one by those of the projection of another. This definition is equivalent to saying that the scalar product of two vectors is obtained by making the product of their norms and cosines of the angle determined by two vectors.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ be two vectors of dimension $n$, given by their components:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The scalar product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, denoted by $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$. $\mathbf{y}$, is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & \ldots x_{n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=x_{1} \cdot y_{1}+x_{2} \cdot y_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} \cdot y_{n} \\
& \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The scalar product of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ with itself corresponds to its square norm (or length), denoted by $\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}$ :

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}
$$

With the help of the norm, we can calculate the scalar product

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot\|\mathbf{y}\| \cdot \cos \alpha
$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle between vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and y .
The scalar product of two vectors has the following properties:

- It is commutative, that is, for two vectors of the same dimension $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=$ $\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. In other words, there is no difference if we project $\mathbf{x}$ onto $\mathbf{y}$ or vice versa.
- We can take the negative values if, for example, the projections of two vectors on which we made the projection have opposite directions.


## EXAMPLES

We consider two vectors in the space of three dimensions, given by their components:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
2 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The scalar product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, denoted by $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$. $\mathbf{y}$, is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
2 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
2 \\
-1
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y} & =1 \cdot 0+2 \cdot 2+3 \cdot(-1)=4-3, \\
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y} & =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The norm of $\mathbf{x}$, denoted by $\|\mathbf{x}\|$, is given by the square root of the scalar product by itself. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x} & =1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}=1+4+9 \\
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x} & =14
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { from which }\|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{14} \approx 3.74
$$

Vector $\mathbf{x}$ has a length of 3.74 in the space of three dimensions.
In the same way, we can calculate the norm of vector $\mathbf{y}$. It is given by:
$\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}=y^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} y_{i}^{2}=2^{2}+(-1)^{2}=5$ and equals $\|\mathbf{y}\|=\sqrt{5}$.
Thus we can determine the angle $\alpha$ situated between the two vectors with the help of the relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \alpha & =\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot\|\mathbf{y}\|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{14} \cdot \sqrt{5}} \\
& \approx 0.1195
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives an approximative angle of $83.1^{\circ}$ between vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Matrix
- Transpose
- Vector


## Interaction

The term interaction is used in design of experiment methods, more precisely in factorial experiments, where a certain number of factors can be studied simultaneously. It appears when the effect on the dependent variable of a change in the level of one factor depends on levels of other factors.

## HISTORY

The interaction concept in design of experiment methodology is due to Fisher, R.A. (1925, 1935).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The model of a two-way classification design with interaction is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j} & +(\alpha \beta)_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j k}, \\
i & =1,2, \ldots, a, \\
j & =1,2, \ldots, b, \text { and } \\
k & =1,2, \ldots, n_{i j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{i j k}$ is the $k$ th observation receiving treatment $i j, \mu$ is the general mean common to all treatments, $\alpha_{i}$ is the effect of the $i$ th level of factor $\mathrm{A}, \beta_{j}$ is the effect of the $j$ th level of factor $\mathrm{B},(\alpha \beta)_{i j}$ is the effect of the interaction between $i$ th level of factor A and $j$ th level of factor B , and $\epsilon_{i j k}$ is the experimental error of observation $Y_{i j k}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The interactions between two factors are called first-order interactions, those between
three factors are called second-order interactions, and so forth.

## EXAMPLES

See two-way analysis of variance.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Fisher distribution
- Fisher table
- Fisher test
- Two-way analysis of variance
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## Interquartile Range

The interquartile range is a measure of dispersion corresponding to the difference between the first and the third quartiles. It therefore corresponds to the interval that contains $50 \%$ of the most centered observations of the distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{3}$ the first and third quartiles of a distribution. The interquartile range is calculated as follows:

$$
\text { Interquartile range }=Q_{3}-Q_{1}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The interquartile range is a measure of variability that does not depend on the number of observations. Moreover, and at the opposite end of the range, this measure is less sensitive to outliers.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following set of ten observations:

The first quartile $Q_{1}$ is equal to 1 and the third quartile $Q_{3}$ to 3 . The interquartile range is therefore equal to:
Interquartile range $=Q_{3}-Q_{1}=3-1=2$. We can therefore conclude that $50 \%$ of the observations are located in an interval of length 2 , meaning the interval between 1 and 3 .

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of dispersion
- Quartile
- Range


## Interval

An interval is determined by two boundaries, $a$ and $b$. These are called the limit values of the interval.

Intervals can be closed, open, semiopen, and semiclosed.

- Closed interval:
denoted $[a, b]$, represents the set of $x$ with:

$$
a \leq x \leq b
$$

- Open interval:
denoted $] a, b[$, represents the set of $x$ with:

$$
a<x<b .
$$

- Interval that is semiopen on left or semiclosed interval on right:
- denoted ] $a, b$ ], represents the set of $x$ with:

$$
a<x \leq b .
$$

- Interval that is semiopen on right or semiclosed on left:
denoted $[a, b[$, represents the set of $x$ with:

$$
a \leq x<b .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Frequency distribution
- Histogram
- Ogive


## Inverse Matrix

For a square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ of order $n$, we call the inverse matrix of $\mathbf{A}$ the square matrix of order $n$ such that the result of the matrix product of $\mathbf{A}$ and its inverse (like those of the inverse-multiplying $\mathbf{A}$ ) equals the identity matrix of order $n$. For any square matrix, the inverse matrix, if it exists, is unique. To have an invertible square matrix $\mathbf{A}$, its determinant should be other than zero.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a square matrix of order $n$ of nonzero determinant. We call the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$ the unique square matrix of order $n$, denoted $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, such as:

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}},
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$. So we say that the matrix is non-singular. When $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})=0$, that is, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is notinvertible, we say that it is singular.

The condition that the determinant of $\mathbf{A}$ cannot be zero is explained by the properties of the determinant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})}$.
On the other hand, the expression $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, from $\mathbf{A}$, is obtained with the help of $\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})}$ (which must be defined) and equals:

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})} \cdot\left[(-1)^{i+j} \cdot D_{i j}\right]
$$

where $D_{i j}$ is the determinant of order $n-1$, which we obtain by deleting in $A^{\prime}$ (the transpose of $\mathbf{A}$ ) line $i$ and column $j$. $D_{i j}$ is called the cofactor of the element $a_{i j}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The inverse matrix is principally used in linear regression in matrix form to determine the parameters estimates.
Generally, we can say that the notion of the inverse matrix allows to resolve a system of linear equations.

## EXAMPLES

Let us treat the general case of a square matrix of order 2: Let $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]$. The determinant of $\mathbf{A}$ is given by:

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})=a \cdot d-b \cdot c .
$$

If the latter is not zero, we define:

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{1}{a \cdot d-b \cdot c} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rr}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

So we can verify that $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ by direct calculation.

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a square matrix of order 3:

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & -1 & 0 \\
2 & -2 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

and let us determine the inverse matric, $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$, of $\mathbf{B}$ if it exists.
Let us calculate first the determinant of $\mathbf{B}$ by developing according to the last column:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(B) & =1 \cdot[4 \cdot(-2)-(-1) \cdot 2], \\
\operatorname{det}(B) & =-8+2=-6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter being non zero, we can calculate $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ by determining the $D_{i j}$, that is, the determinants of order 2 obtained by deleting the $i$ th line and the $j$ th column of the transpose $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{B}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}^{\prime} & =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
D_{11} & =-1 \cdot 0-(-2) \cdot 0=0, \\
D_{12} & =2 \cdot 0-(-2) \cdot 1=2, \\
D_{13} & =2 \cdot 0-(-1) \cdot 1=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D_{33}=3 \cdot(-1)-4 \cdot 2=-11 .
$$

Thus we obtain the inverse matrix $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ by adjusting the correct sign to the element $D_{i j}$ [that is, ( - ) if the sum of $i$ and $j$ is even and $(+)$ if it is odd]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{-1} & =\frac{1}{-6} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & -2 & 4 \\
-6 & 10 & -11
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{6} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -4 \\
6 & -10 & 11
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Determinant
- Generalized inverse
- Matrix
- Multiple linear regression
- Transpose


## Inversion

Consider a permutation of the integers $1,2, \ldots, n$. When in a pair of elements (not necessarily adjacent) of the permutation the first element is bigger than the second, it is called inversion. This way it is possible to count in a permutation the number of inversions, which is the number of pairs in which the big integer precedes the small one.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a permutation of the integers $1,2, \ldots, n$ given by $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$; the number of inversions is determined by counting the number of pairs $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ with $\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{j}$. To make sure we do not forget any, we start by taking $\sigma_{1}$ and count the $\sigma_{i}, i>1$, smaller than $\sigma_{1}$, and then we take $\sigma_{2}$ and count the elements that are inferior to it located to its right, proceeding like this until $\sigma_{n-1}$; the number of inversions of the considered permutation is then obtained.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The number of inversions is used to calculate the determinant of a square matrix. The notation $a_{i j}$ is used for the element of the matrix that is located at the intersection of the $i$ th line and the $j$ th column. By carrying out the product $a_{1 i} \cdot a_{1 j} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{1 r}$, for example, the parity of the number of inversions of the permutation $i j \ldots r$ determines the sign
attributed to the product for the calculation of the determinant.
For a permutation of the elements $1,2, \ldots, n$, the number of inversions is always an integer located between 0 and $\frac{n \cdot(n-1)}{2}$.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the integers $1,2,3,4,5$; a permutation of these five integers is given, for example, by:

$$
14523 .
$$

The number of inversions of this permutation can be determined by writing down the pairs
which gives a number of inversions equal to 4.
For the permutation 12345 (identity permutation) we find that there is no inversion. For the permutation 54321 we obtain 10 inversions, because each pair is an inversion and therefore the number of inversions is equal to the number of combinations of two of five elements chosen without repetition, meaning:

$$
C_{5}^{2}=\frac{5!}{2!\cdot 3!}=10
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Determinant
- Permutation


## Irregular Variation

Irregular variations or random variations constitute one of four components of a time
series. They correspond to the movements that appear irregularly and generally during short periods.
Irregular variations do not follow a particular model and are not predictable.
In practice, all the components of time series that cannot be attributed to the influence of cyclic fluctuations or seasonal variations or those of the secular tendency are classed as irregular.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y_{t}$ be a time series; we can describe it with the help of its components:

- Secular tendency $T_{t}$
- Seasonal variations $S_{t}$
- Cyclic fluctuations $C_{t}$
- Irregular variations $I_{t}$

We distinguish:

- Multiplicative model: $Y_{t}=T_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{t}$.
- Additive model: $Y_{t}=T_{t}+S_{t}+C_{t}+I_{t}$. When the secular tendency, the seasonal variations, and the cyclic fluctuations are determined, it is possible to adjust the initial data of the time series according to these three components. So we obtain the values of the irregular variations at each time $t$ by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{Y_{t}}{S_{t} \cdot T_{t} \cdot C_{t}}=I_{t} \text { (multiplicative model) } \\
& Y_{t}-S_{t}-T_{t}-C_{t}=I_{t}(\text { additive model) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The study of the components of time series shows that the irregular variations can be classed into two categories:

- The most numerous can be attributed to a large number of small causes, especially errors of measure that provoke variations of small amplitude.
- Those resulting from isolated accidental events of greater scale such as strikes, administrative decisions, financial booms, natural catastrophes, etc. In this case, to apply this method of the moving average we make a first data treatment to correct as well as we can the raw data.
Even if we normally suppose that the irregular variations do not produce durable variations, but only in a short time interval, we can imagine that they are strong enough to take the walk of cyclic or other fluctuations. In practice, we find that the irregular variations have a tendency to have a small amplitude and to follow a normal distribution. That means that there are frequently small deviations and rarely large ones.


## FURTHER READING

- Cyclical fluctuation
- Normal distribution
- Seasonal variation
- Secular trend
- Time series
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## Jackknife Method

During point estimations by confidence interval, it is often difficult to estimate the bias or standard error of the used estimator. The reasons are generally summarized in two points: (1) the lack of information about the form of the theoretical data distribution and (2) the complexity of the theoretical model if the hypothesis is considered to be too strong. The jackknife method permits a reduction of bials in numerical estimation of the standard error as well as a confidence. interval. It is usually made by generating artificial subsamples from the data of the complete sample.

## HISTORY

The jackknife method was developed by Quenouille $(1949,1956)$ and John Wilder Tukey (1958). It is now the most widely used method thanks to computers, which can generate a large amount of data in a very short time.
The creation of the jackknife denomination is attributed to Tukey, J.W. (1958). The name of this method issued from a scout knife with many blades ready to be used in a variety of situations.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, be a number of independent observations of a random variable. We want to estimate a parameter $\theta$ of the population. The jackknife technique is the following: the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of $\theta$ is calculated from the initial sample. The latter is separated into $J$ groups with $m=\frac{n}{J}$ observations in each of them (to simplify the problem, we suppose that $n$ is a multiple of $J$ ).
The estimator of $\theta$ by the jackknife method is the mean of the pseudovalues:

$$
\hat{\bar{\theta}}=\frac{1}{J} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{\theta}_{j},
$$

where $\hat{\theta}_{j}$ is called the pseudovalue and defined by:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{j}=J \cdot \hat{\theta}-(J-1) \cdot \hat{\theta}_{(j)}
$$

and the estimators $\hat{\theta}_{(j)}(j=1, \ldots, J)$ are calculated in the same way as $\hat{\theta}$, but with the $j$ th group deleted.
The estimation of the variance is defined by:

$$
S^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\bar{\theta}})=\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(\hat{\theta}_{j}-\hat{\bar{\theta}}\right)^{2}}{(J-1) \cdot J}
$$

The estimator of the bias is:

$$
B(\hat{\bar{\theta}})=(J-1) \cdot\left(\hat{\theta}_{(.)}-\hat{\theta}\right),
$$

where $\hat{\theta}_{(.)}$is defined as follows:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{(.)}=\frac{1}{J} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{\theta}_{(j)} .
$$

The confidence interval at the significance level $\alpha$ is given by:

$$
\left[\hat{\bar{\theta}}-\frac{S \cdot t_{n-1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}} ; \hat{\bar{\theta}}+\frac{S \cdot t_{n-1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}}\right] .
$$

One of the important properties of the jackknife method is the fact that we delete from the bias the term of order $\frac{1}{n}$.
Suppose that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ are functions of $\theta$; we can pose as a mathematical expectation of $\hat{\theta}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\hat{\theta}] & =\theta+\frac{a_{1}}{n}+\frac{a_{2}}{n^{2}}+\ldots \\
& =\theta+\frac{a_{1}}{m \cdot J}+\frac{a_{2}}{(m \cdot J)^{2}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\hat{\theta}_{j}\right]= & J \cdot E[\hat{\theta}]-(J-1) \cdot E\left[\hat{\theta}_{(j)}\right] \\
= & J \cdot\left[\theta+\frac{a_{1}}{m \cdot J}+\frac{a_{2}}{(m \cdot J)^{2}}+\ldots\right] \\
& -(J-1) \cdot\left[\theta+\frac{a_{1}}{m \cdot(J-1)}+\ldots\right] \\
= & J \cdot \theta+\frac{a_{1}}{m}+\frac{a_{2}}{m^{2} \cdot J}+\ldots-J \cdot \theta \\
& +\theta-\frac{a_{1}}{m}-\frac{a_{2}}{m^{2} \cdot(J-1)}-\ldots \\
= & \theta-a_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m^{2} \cdot J \cdot(J-1)}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The idea behind the jackknife method, which is relatively old, became modern thanks to informatics. The Jackknife generates samples from the original data and determine
the confidence interval at a chosen significance level of an unknown statistic.
In practical cases, the groups are composed only in an observation $(m=1)$. The estimators $\hat{\theta}_{(j)}$ are calculated by deleting the $j$ th observation.

## EXAMPLES

A large chain of stores wants to determine the evolution of its turnover between 1950 and 1960.

To obtain a correct estimation, we take a sample of 8 points of sale where we know the respective turnovers.
The data are summarized in the following table:

| Point of sale | Turnover (mill \$) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ |
| 1 | 6.03 | 7.59 |
| 2 | 12.42 | 15.65 |
| 3 | 11.89 | 14.95 |
| 4 | 3.12 | 5.03 |
| 5 | 8.17 | 11.08 |
| 6 | 13.34 | 18.48 |
| 7 | 5.30 | 7.98 |
| 8 | 4.23 | 5.61 |

The total turnover of the 8 points of sale increased from 64.5 in 1950 to 86.37 mill \$ in 1960.
Thus the estimation of the increasing factor equals:

$$
\hat{\theta}=\frac{86.37}{64.5}=1.34
$$

We want to calculate the bias of this estimation as well as its standard deviation.
We calculate the $\hat{\theta}_{(j)}$ for $j$ going from 1 to 8 , that is, the factors of increase calculated without the data of the $j$ th point of sale. We
obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\theta}_{(1)}=\frac{86.37-7.59}{64.5-6.03}=1.347, \\
& \hat{\theta}_{(2)}=1.358 \quad \hat{\theta}_{(6)}=1.327 \\
& \hat{\theta}_{(3)}=1.358 \quad \hat{\theta}_{(7)}=1.324 \\
& \hat{\theta}_{(4)}=1.325 \quad \hat{\theta}_{(8)}=1.340 \\
& \hat{\theta}_{(5)}=1.337 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can calculate $\hat{\theta}_{(.)}$:
$\hat{\theta}_{(.)}=\frac{1}{J} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{\theta}_{(j)}=\frac{1}{8} \cdot(10.716)=1.339$.
We calculate the pseudovalues $\hat{\theta}_{j}$. Recall that $\hat{\theta}_{j}$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{j}=J \cdot \hat{\theta}-(J-1) \cdot \hat{\theta}_{(j)} .
$$

We find:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\theta}_{1}=1.281 & \hat{\theta}_{5}=1.356 \\
\hat{\theta}_{2}=1.207 & \hat{\theta}_{6}=1.423 \\
\hat{\theta}_{3}=1.210 & \hat{\theta}_{7}=1.443 \\
\hat{\theta}_{4}=1.436 & \hat{\theta}_{8}=1.333 .
\end{array}
$$

We calculate the estimator of $\theta$ by the jackknife method:

$$
\hat{\bar{\theta}}=\frac{1}{J} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{\theta}_{j}=\frac{1}{8} \cdot(10.689)=1.336
$$

So we determine the bias:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\hat{\bar{\theta}}) & =(J-1) \cdot\left(\hat{\theta}_{(.)}-\hat{\theta}\right) \\
& =(8-1) \cdot(1.339-1.34) \\
& =-0.0035 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\bar{\theta}})} \\
& =\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(\hat{\theta_{j}}-\hat{\bar{\theta}}\right)^{2}}{(J-1) \cdot J}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{0.0649}{7 \cdot 8}}=0.034
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can calculate the confidence interval of the increase factor between 1950 and 1960 of the turnover of the chain. For a significance level $\alpha$ of 5\%, the critical value of the Student table for $7^{\circ}$ of freedom is:

$$
t_{7,0.975}=2.365
$$

The confidence interval for the estimation of the increase factor is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\hat{\bar{\theta}}-\frac{S \cdot t_{7,0.975}}{\sqrt{n}} ; \hat{\bar{\theta}}+\frac{S \cdot t_{7,0.975}}{\sqrt{n}}\right]} \\
& {\left[1.34-\frac{0.034 \cdot 2.365}{\sqrt{8}},\right.} \\
& \left.1.34+\frac{0.034 \cdot 2.365}{\sqrt{8}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

that is:
[1.312, 1.368].
So we can affirm that the mean increase in the turnover is approximately contained between $31 \%$ and $37 \%$ during the period 1950-1960.

## FURTHER READING

- Bias
- Confidence interval
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Point estimation
- Standard error
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## Jeffreys, Harold

Jeffreys, Harold was born in Fatfield, England in 1891. He studied mathematics, physics, physical chemistry, and geology at Armstrong College in Newcastle, where he obtained his degree in 1910. He then joined St. John's College at Cambridge University, where he became aFellow in 1914 and stayed there for 75 years. He died there in 1989. He published his first paper (about photography) in 1910. History has preserved two of his works in particular:

1939 Theory of Probability. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
1946 (with Jeffreys, B.S.) Methods of Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; Macmillan, New York.

## Joint Density Function

Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are continuous random variables defined on the same sample space and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =P\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, X_{2} \leq x_{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{x_{k}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{1}} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t_{1}, \mathrm{~d} t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{k}$. Then $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the joint density function of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ provided $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 0$ and that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}=1
$$

Suppose ( $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ ) are continuous random variables defined on the same sample space and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=P\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, \ldots, X_{k} \leq x_{k}\right) \\
=\int_{-\infty}^{x_{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{k}} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} t_{k}
\end{array}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Then $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ is the joint density function of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ provided $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \geq 0$ and

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{k}=1
$$
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## Joint Distribution Function

The joint distribution function of a pair of random variables is defined, as the probability that the first random variable takes a value inferior or equal to the first real number and that simultaneously the second vari-
able takes a value inferior or equal to the second real number.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider two random variables $X$ and $Y$.
The joint distribution function is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{x y}(a, b)=P(X \leq a, Y \leq b) \\
&-\infty<a, b<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Joint Probability Distribution Function

Suppose that $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are discrete random variables defined on the same sample space. Then the joint probability distribution function of $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}\right) .
$$

The joint probability distribution function must satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1
$$

A multiple joint probability distribution
$f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}=x_{k}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=1
$$

## REFERENCES
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## Kendall, Maurice George

Kendall, Maurice was born in 1907 in Kettering, Northamptonshire, England. He studied Mathematics at St. John's College, Cambridge. After graduation as a Mathematics Wrangler in 1929, he joined the British Civil Service in the Ministry of Agriculture. He was elected a Fellow of the Society in 1934. In 1937, he worked with G. Udny Yule in the revision of his standard statistical textbook, Introduction to the Theory of Statistics. He also work on the rank correlation coefficient which bears his name, Kendall's tau, which eventually led to a monograph on Rank Correlation in 1948.
In 1938 and 1939 he began work, along with Bernard Babington Smith, on the problem of random number generation, developing both one of the first early mechanical devices to produce random digits, and formulated a series of tests such as frequency test, serial test and a poker test, for statistical randomness in a given set of digits.
During the war he managed to produce volume one of the Advanced Theory of Statistics in 1943 and a second volume in 1946.
In 1957, he published Multivariate Analysis and in the same year he also developed, with W.R. Buckland, a Dictionary of Statistical Terms.

In 1953, he published The Analytics of Economic Time Series, and in 1961 he left the University of London and took a position as the Managing Director of a consulting company, Scientific Control Systems, and in the same year began a two-year term as President of the Royal Statistical Society.
In 1972, he became Director of the World Fertility Survey, a project sponsored by the International Statistical Institute and the United Nations. He continued this work until 1980, when illness forced him to retire. He was knighted in 1974 for his services to the theory of statistics, and received the Peace Medal of the United Nations in 1980 in recognition for his work on the World Fertility Survey. He was also elected a fellow of the British Academy and received the highest honor of the Royal Statistical Society, the Guy Medal in Gold. At the time of his death in 1983, he was Honorary President of the International Statistical Institute.

Some principal works and articles of Kendall, Maurice George:

1938 (with Babington Smith, B.) Randomness and Random Sampling Numbers. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. 101:1, 147166.

1979 (with Stuart, A.) Advanced theory of Statistics. Arnold.

1957 (with Buckland, W.R.) A Dictionary of Statistical Terms. International Statistical Institute, The Hague, Netherland.

1973 Time Series, Griffin, London.
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## Kendall Rank Correlation Coefficient

The Kendall rank correlation coefficient (Kendall $\tau$ ) is a nonparametric measure of correlation.

## HISTORY

This rank correlation coefficient was discussed as far back as the early 20th century by Fechner, G.T. (1897), Lipps, G.F. (1906), and Deuchler, G. (1914).
Kendall, M.G. (1938) not only rediscovered it independently but also studied it using a (nonparametric) approach. His 1970 monograph contains a complete detailed presentation of the theory as well as a biography.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider two random variables ( $X, Y$ ) observed on a sample of size $n$ with $n$ pairs of observations $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$, $\ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$. An indication of the correlation between $X$ and $Y$ can be obtained by ordering the values $X_{i}$ in increasing order and by counting the number of corresponding values $Y_{i}$ not satisfying this order. $Q$ will denote the number of inversions among the values of $Y$ that are required to
obtain the same (increasing) order as the values of $X$.
Since there are $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ distinct pairs that can be formed, $0 \leq Q \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$; the value 0 is obtained when all the values $Y_{i}$ are already in increasing order, and the value $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ is reached when all the values $Y_{i}$ are in inverse order of $X_{i}$, each pair having to be switched to obtain the desired order.
The Kendall rank correlation coefficient, denoted by $\tau$, is defined by:

$$
\tau=1-\frac{4 Q}{n(n-1)} .
$$

If all the pairs are in increasing order, then:

$$
\tau=1-\frac{4 \cdot 0}{n(n-1)}=1 .
$$

If all the pairs are in reverse order, then:

$$
\tau=1-\frac{4 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot n(n-1)}{n(n-1)}=-1
$$

An equivalent definition of the Kendall rank coefficient can be given as follows: two observations are called concording if the two members of one observation are larger than the respective members of the other observation. For example, $(0.9,1.1)$ and $(1.5,2.4)$ are two concording observations because $0.9<1.5$ and $1.1<2.4$. Two observations are said to be discording if the two members of one observation are in opposite order to the respective members of the other observation. For example, $(0.8,2.6)$ and (1.3, 2.1) are two discording observations because $0.8<1.3$ and $2.6>2.1$.
Let $N_{c}$ and $N_{d}$ denote the total number of pairs of concording and discording observations, respectively.

Two pairs for which $X_{i}=X_{j}$ and $Y_{i}=Y_{j}$ are neither concording nor discording and are therefore not counted either in $N_{c}$ or in $N_{d}$.
With this notation the Kendall rank coefficient is given by:

$$
\tau=\frac{2\left(N_{c}-N_{d}\right)}{n(n-1)}
$$

Notice that when there are no pairs for which $X_{i}=X_{j}$ or $Y_{i}=Y_{j}$, the two formulations of $\tau$ are exactly the same. In the opposite situation, the values given by both formulas can be different.

## Hypothesis Test

The Kendall rank correlation coefficient is often used as a statistical test to determine if there is a relation between two random variables. The test can be a two-sided test or a one-sided test. The hypotheses are:

## A: Two-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is either a positive or a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

There is a positive correlation when the large values of $X$ tend to be associated with the large values of $Y$ and the small values of $X$ with the small values of $Y$. There is a negative correlation when the large values of $X$ tend to be associated with the small values of $Y$ and vice versa.

## B: One-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is a positive correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

## C: One-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

The statistical test is defined as follows:

$$
T=N_{c}-N_{d}
$$

## Decision Rules

The decision rules are different depending on the hypotheses that are made. That is why there are decision rules $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C relative to the previous cases.
Decision rule $A$
Reject $H_{0}$ at the sigificant level $\alpha$ if

$$
T>t_{n, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad T<t_{n, \frac{\alpha}{2}}
$$

where $t$ is the critical value of the test given by the Kendall table; otherwise accept $H_{0}$.

## Decision rule B

Reject $H_{0}$ at the sigificant level $\alpha$ if

$$
T>t_{n, 1-\alpha}
$$

otherwise accept $H_{0}$.
Decision rule $C$ Reject $H_{0}$ at the sigificant level $\alpha$ if

$$
T<t_{n, \alpha}
$$

otherwise accept $H_{0}$.
It is also possible to use

$$
\tau=1-\frac{4 Q}{n(n-1)}
$$

as a statistical test.
When $X$ and $Y$ are independently distributed in a population, the exact distribution of $\tau$ has an expected value of zero and a variance of:

$$
\sigma_{\tau}^{2}=\frac{2(2 n+5)}{9 n(n-1)}
$$

and tends very quickly toward a normal distribution, the approximation being good enough for $n \geq 10$.

In this case, to test independence at a 5\% level, for example, it is enough to verify if $\tau$ is located outside the bounds

$$
\pm 1.96 \cdot \sigma_{\tau}
$$

and to reject the independence hypothesis if that is the case.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Kendall rank correlation coefficient is used as a hypothesis test to study the dependence between two random variables. It can be considered as a test of independence. As a nonparametric correlation measurement, it can also be used with nominal or ordinal data.
A correlation measurement between two variables must satisfy the following points:

1. Its values are between -1 and +1 .
2. There is a positive correlation between $X$ and $Y$ if the value of the correlation coefficient is positive; a perfect positive correlation corresponds to a value of +1 .
3. There is a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$ if the value of the correlation coefficient is negative; a perfect negative correlation corresponds to a value of -1 .
4. There is a null correlation between $X$ and $Y$ when the correlation coefficient is close to zero; one can also say that $X$ and $Y$ are not correlated.
The Kendall rank correlation coefficient has the following advantages:

- The data can be nonnumerical observations as long as they can be classified according to a determined criterion.
- It is easy to calculate.
- The associated statistical test does not make a basic hypothesis based on the
shape of the distribution of the population from which the samples are taken. The Kendall table gives the theoretical values of the statistic $\tau$ of the Kendall rank correlation coefficient used as a statistical test under the independence hypothesis of two random variables.
A Kendall table can be found in Kaarsemaker and van Wijngaarden (1953).
Here is a sample of the Kendall table for $n=$ $4, \ldots, 10$ and $\alpha=0.01$ and 0.05 :

| $n$ | $\alpha=0.01$ | $\alpha=0.05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 5 | 8 | 6 |
| 6 | 11 | 9 |
| 7 | 15 | 11 |
| 8 | 18 | 14 |
| 9 | 22 | 16 |
| 10 | 25 | 19 |

## EXAMPLES

In this example eight pairs of real twins take intelligence tests. The goal is to see if there is independence between the tests of the one who is born first and those of the one who is born second.
The data are given in the table below; the highest scores correspond to the best results.

| Pair of twins | First <br> born $X_{i}$ | Second <br> born $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 90 | 88 |
| 2 | 75 | 79 |
| 3 | 99 | 98 |
| 4 | 60 | 66 |
| 5 | 72 | 64 |
| 6 | 83 | 83 |
| 7 | 83 | 88 |
| 8 | 90 | 98 |

The pairs are then classified in increasing order for $X$, and the concording and discording pairs are determined. This gives:

| Pair of twins <br> $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ | Concording <br> pairs | Discording <br> pairs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(60,66)$ | 6 | 1 |
| $(72,64)$ | 6 | 0 |
| $(75,79)$ | 5 | 0 |
| $(83,83)$ | 3 | 0 |
| $(83,88)$ | 2 | 0 |
| $(90,88)$ | 1 | 0 |
| $(90,98)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $(99,98)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $N_{c}=23$ | $N_{d}=1$ |

The Kendall rank correlation coefficient is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau & =\frac{2\left(N_{c}-N_{d}\right)}{n(n-1)} \\
& =\frac{2(23-1)}{8 \cdot 7} \\
& =0.7857 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that since there are several observations for which $X_{i}=X_{j}$ or $Y_{i}=Y_{j}$, the value of the coefficient given by:

$$
\tau=1-\frac{4 Q}{n(n-1)}=1-\frac{4 \cdot 1}{56}=0.9286
$$

is different.
In both cases, we notice a positive correlation between the intelligence tests.
We will now carry out the hypothesis test:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : There is independence between the intelligence tests of a pair of twins.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is a positive correlation between the intelligence tests.

We chose a significant level of $\alpha=0.05$. Since we are in case B, $H_{0}$ is rejected if

$$
T>t_{8,0.95},
$$

where $T=N_{c}-N_{d}$ and $t_{8,0.95}$ is the value of the Kendall table. Since $T=22$ and $t_{8,0.95}=$ $14, H_{0}$ is rejected.
We can then conclude that there is a positive correlation between the results of the intelligence tests of a pair of twins.

## FURTHER READING
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## Kiefer, Jack Carl

Kiefer, Jack Carl was born in Cincinnati, Ohio in 1924. He entered the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1942, but after 1 year of studying engineering and economics he left to take on war-related work during World War II. His master's thesis,

Sequential Determination of the Maximum of a Function, was supervised by Harold Freeman. It has been the basis for his paper "Sequential minimax search for a maximum" which appeared in 1953 in the "Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society". In 1948 he went to the Department of Mathematical Statistics at Columbia University, where Abraham Wald was preeminent in a department that included Ted Anderson, Henry Scheffé, and Jack Wolfowitz. He wrote his doctoral thesis in decision theory under Wolfowitz and went to Cornell University in 1951 with Wolfowitz. In 1973 Kiefer was elected the first Horace White Professor at Cornell University, a position he held until 1979, when he retired and joined the faculty at the University of California at Berkeley. He died at the age of 57 in 1981.
Kiefer's research area was the design of experiments. Most of his 100 publications dealt with that topic. He also wrote papers on topics in mathematical statistics including decision theory, stochastic approximation, queuing theory, nonparametric inference, estimation, sequential analysis, and conditional inference.
Kiefer was a fellow of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics and the American Statistical Association and president of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics (1969-1970). He was elected to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences in 1972 and to the National Academy of Sciences (USA) in 1975.
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## Kolmogorov, Andrei Nikolaevich

Born in Tambov, Russia in 1903, Kolmogorov, Andrei Nikolaevich is one of the founders of modern probability. In 1920, he entered Moscow State University and studied mathematics, history, and metallurgy. In 1925, he published his first article in probability on the inequalities of the partial sums of random variables, which became the principal reference in the field of stochastic processes. He received his doctorate in 1929 and published 18 articles on the law of large numbers as well as on intuitive logic. He was named professor at Moscow State University in 1931. In 1933, he published his monograph on probability theory. In 1939 he was elected member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. He received
the Lenin Prize in 1965 and the Order of Lenin on six different occasions, as well as the Lobachevsky Prize in 1987. He waselected member of many other foreign academies including the Romanian Academy of Sciences (1956), the Royal Statistical Society of London (1956), the Leopoldina Academy of Germany (1959), the American Academy of Arts and Sciences (1959), the London Mathematical Society (1959), the American Philosophical Society (1961), the Indian Institute of Statistics(1962), the Holland Academy of Sciences (1963), the Royal Society of London (1964), the National Academy of the United States (1967), and the Académie Française des Sciences (1968).
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## Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is a nonparametric goodness-of-fit test and is used to determine wether two distributions differ, or whether an underlying probability distribution differes from a hypothesized distribution. It is used when we have two samples coming from two populations that can be different. Unlike the Mann-Whitney test and the Wilcoxon test where the goal is to detect the difference between two means or medians, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test has
the advantage of considering the distribution functions collectively. The KolmogorovSmirnov test can also be used as a goodness-of-fit test. In this case, we have only one random sample obtained from a population where the distribution function is specific and known.

## HISTORY

The goodness-of-fit test for a sample was invented by Andrey Nikolaevich Kolmogorov (1933).
The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for two samples was invented by Vladimir Ivanovich Smirnov (1939).
In Massey (1952) we find a Smirnov table for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for two samples, and in Miller (1956) we find a Kolmogorov table for the goodness-of-fit test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider two independent random samples: ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ ), a sample of size $n$ coming from a population 1, and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$, a sample of dimension $m$ coming from a population 2 . We denote by, respectively, $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ their unknown distribution functions.

## Hypotheses

The hypotheses to test are as follows:

## A: Two-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{0}: F(x)=G(x)$ for each $x$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}: F(x) \neq G(x)$ or at least one value of $x$

## B: One-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: F(x) \leq G(x)$ for each $x$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}: F(x)>G(x)$ for at least one value of $x$

## C: One-sided case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{0}: F(x) \geq G(x)$ for each $x$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}: F(x)<G(x)$ for at least one value of $x$

In case A, we make the hypothesis that there is no difference between the distribution functions of these two populations. Both populations can then be seen as one population.
In case $B$, we make the hypothesis that the distribution function of population 1 is smaller than those of population 2 . We sometimes say that, generally, $X$ tends to be smaller than $Y$.
In case C , we make the hypothesis that $X$ is greater than $Y$.
We denote by $H_{1}(x)$ the empirical distribution function of the sample $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and by $H_{2}(x)$ the empirical distribution function of the sample $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$. The statistical test are defined as follows:

## A: Two-tail case

The statistical test $T_{1}$ is defined as the greatest vertical distance between two empirical distribution functions:

$$
T_{1}=\sup _{x}\left|H_{1}(x)-H_{2}(x)\right| .
$$

## B: One-tail case

The statistical test $T_{2}$ is defined as the greatest vertical distance when $H_{1}(x)$ is greater than $H_{2}(x)$ :

$$
T_{2}=\sup _{x}\left[H_{1}(x)-H_{2}(x)\right] .
$$

## C: One-tail case

The statistical test $T_{3}$ is defined as the greatest vertical distance when $H_{2}(x)$ is greater than $H_{1}(x)$ :

$$
T_{3}=\sup _{x}\left[H_{2}(x)-H_{1}(x)\right]
$$

## Decision Rule

We reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if the appropriate statistical test $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right.$, or $\left.T_{3}\right)$ is greater than the value of the Smirnov table having for parameters $n, m$, and $1-\alpha$, which we denote by $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
T_{1}\left(\text { or } T_{2} \text { or } T_{3}\right)>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha} .
$$

If we want to test the goodness of fit of an unknown distribution function $F(x)$ of a random sample from a population with a specific and known distribution function $F_{o}(x)$, then the hypotheses will be the same as those for testing two samples, except that $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are replaced by $F(x)$ and $F_{o}(x)$. If $H(x)$ is the empirical distribution function of a random sample, then the statistical tests $T_{1}, T_{2}$, and $T_{3}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\sup _{x}\left|F_{o}(x)-H(x)\right|, \\
& T_{2}=\sup _{x}\left[F_{o}(x)-H(x)\right], \\
& T_{3}=\sup _{x}\left[H(x)-F_{o}(x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The decision rule is as follows: reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $T_{1}$ (or $T_{2}$ or $T_{3}$ ) is greater than the value of the Kolmogorov table having for parameters $n$ and $1-\alpha$, which we denote by $t_{n, 1-\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
T_{1}\left(\text { or } T_{2} \text { or } T_{3}\right)>t_{n, 1-\alpha} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To perform the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the following must be observed:

1. Both samples must be taken randomly from their respective populations.
2. There must be mutual independence between two samples.
3. The measure scale must be at least ordinal.
4. To perform an exact test, the random variables must be continuous; otherwise the test is less precise.

## EXAMPLES

The first example treats the KolmogorovSmirnov test for two samples and the second one for the goodness-of-fit test.
In a class, we count 25 pupils: 15 boys and 10 girls. We perform a test of mental calculations to see if the boys tend to be better than the girls in this domain.
The data are presented in the following table; the highest scores correspond to the results of the test.

| Boys $\left(X_{i}\right)$ | Girls $\left(Y_{i}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19.8 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 14.1 |
| 12.3 | 17.9 | 7.1 | 23.6 |
| 10.6 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 11.1 |
| 11.3 | 16.4 | 10.7 | 20.3 |
| 13.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 15.7 |
| 14.0 | 15.2 |  |  |
| 9.2 | 16.0 |  |  |
| 15.6 |  |  |  |

We test the hypothesis according to which the distributions of the results of the girls and those of the boys are identical. This means that the population from which the sample of $X$ is taken has the same distribution function as the population from which the sample of $Y$ is taken. Hence the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: F(x)=G(x) \text { for each } x .
$$

If the two-tail case is applied here, we calculate:

$$
T_{1}=\sup _{x}\left|H_{1}(x)-H_{2}(x)\right|,
$$

where $H_{1}(x)$ and $H_{2}(x)$ are the empirical distribution functions of the samples
$\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{15}\right) \quad$ and $\quad\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{10}\right)$, respectively. In the following table, we have classed the observations of two samples in increasing order to simplify the calculations of $H_{1}(x)-H_{2}(x)$.

| $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}$ | $H_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})-\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.1 | $0-1 / 10=-0.1$ |
| 7.7 |  | $1 / 15-1 / 10=-0.0333$ |
|  | 8.6 | $1 / 15-2 / 10=-0.1333$ |
| 9.2 |  | $2 / 15-2 / 10=-0.0667$ |
| 10.6 |  | $3 / 15-2 / 10=0$ |
|  | 10.7 | $3 / 15-3 / 10=-0.1$ |
|  | 11.1 | $3 / 15-4 / 10=-0.2$ |
| 11.3 |  | $4 / 15-4 / 10=-0.1333$ |
| 12.3 |  | $5 / 15-4 / 10=-0.0667$ |
| 13.3 |  | $6 / 15-4 / 10=0$ |
| 14.0 |  | $7 / 15-4 / 10=0.0667$ |
|  | 14.1 | $7 / 15-5 / 10=-0.0333$ |
| 15.2 |  | $8 / 15-5 / 10=0.0333$ |
| 15.6 |  | $9 / 15-5 / 10=0.1$ |
|  | 15.7 | $9 / 15-6 / 10=0$ |
| 16.0 |  | $10 / 15-6 / 10=0.0667$ |
| 16.4 |  | $11 / 15-6 / 10=0.1333$ |
| 17.5 |  | $12 / 15-6 / 10=0.2$ |
|  | 17.7 | $12 / 15-7 / 10=0.1$ |
| 17.9 |  | $13 / 15-7 / 10=0.1667$ |
| 19.8 |  | $14 / 15-7 / 10=0.2333$ |
|  | 20.3 | $14 / 15-8 / 10=0.1333$ |
|  | 21.0 | $14 / 15-9 / 10=0.0333$ |
| 21.1 |  | $1-9 / 10=0.1$ |
|  | 23.6 | $1-1=0$ |

We have then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\sup _{x}\left|H_{1}(x)-H_{2}(x)\right| \\
& =0.2333 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the Smirnov table for $n=$ $15, m=10$, and $1-\alpha=0.95$ equals $t_{15,10,0.95}=0.5$.
Thus $T_{1}=0.2333<t_{15,10,0.95}=0.5$, and $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected. This means that
there is no significant difference in the level of mental calculations of girls and boys.
Consider the following random sample of dimension 10: $X_{1}=0.695, X_{2}=0.937$,
$X_{3}=0.134, X_{4}=0.222, X_{5}=0.239$,
$X_{6}=0.763, X_{7}=0.980, X_{8}=0.322$,
$X_{9}=0.523, X_{10}=0.578$.
We want to verify by the KolmogorovSmirnov test if this sample comes from a uniform distribution. The distribution function of the uniform distribution is given by:

$$
F_{o}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x<0 \\ x & \text { if } 0 \leq x<1 \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The null hypothesis $H_{0}$ is then as follows, where $F(x)$ is the unknown distribution function of the population associated to the sample:

$$
H_{0}: F(x)=F_{o}(x) \text { for each } x
$$

If the two-tail case is applied, we calculate:

$$
T_{1}=\sup _{x}\left|F_{o}(x)-H(x)\right|
$$

where $H(x)$ is the empirical distribution function of the sample $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{10}\right)$.
In the following table, we class the 10 observations in increasing order to simplify the calculation of $F_{0}(x)-H(x)$.

| $X_{i}$ | $F_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})$ | $\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})$ | $F_{o}(\boldsymbol{x})-\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.1 | $0.134-0.1=0.034$ |
| 0.222 | 0.222 | 0.2 | $0.222-0.2=0.022$ |
| 0.239 | 0.239 | 0.3 | $0.239-0.3=-0.061$ |
| 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.4 | $0.322-0.4=-0.078$ |
| 0.523 | 0.523 | 0.5 | $0.523-0.5=0.023$ |
| 0.578 | 0.578 | 0.6 | $0.578-0.6=-0.022$ |
| 0.695 | 0.695 | 0.7 | $0.695-0.7=-0.005$ |
| 0.763 | 0.763 | 0.8 | $0.763-0.8=-0.037$ |
| 0.937 | 0.937 | 0.9 | $0.937-0.9=0.037$ |
| 0.980 | 0.980 | 1.0 | $0.980-1.0=-0.020$ |

We obtain then:

$$
T_{1}=\sup _{x}\left|F_{o}(x)-H(x)\right|=0.078 .
$$

The value of the Kolmogorov table for $n=$ 10 and $1-\alpha=0.95$ is $t_{10,0.95}=0.409$.
If $T_{1}$ is smaller than $t_{10,0.95}(0.078<0.409)$, then $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected. That means that the random sample could come from a uniformly distributed population.

## FURTHER READING

- Goodness of fit test
- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
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## Kruskal-Wallis Table

The Kruskal-Wallis table gives the theoretical values of the statistic $H$ of the KruskalWallis test under the hypothesis that there
is no difference among the $k(k \geq 2)$ populations that we want to compare.

## HISTORY

See Kruskal-Wallis test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $k$ be the number of samples of probably different sizes $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$. We designate by $N$ the total number of observations:

$$
N=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}
$$

We class the $N$ observations in increasing order without taking into account which samples they belong to. We then give rank 1 to the smallest value, rank 2 to the next greatest value, and so on until rank $N$, which is given to the greatest value.
We denote by $R_{i}$ the sum of the ranks given to the observations of sample $i$ :

$$
R_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} R\left(X_{i j}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

where $X_{i j}$ represents observation $j$ of sample $i$ and $R\left(X_{i j}\right)$ the corresponding rank. When many observations are identical and of the same rank, we give them a mean rank (see Kruskal-Wallis test). If there are no mean ranks, the statistical test is defined in the following way:

$$
H=\left(\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-3(N+1) .
$$

On what to do if there are mean ranks, see Kruskal-Wallis test.
The Kruskal-Wallis table gives the values of the statistic $H$ of the Kruskal-Wallis test in the case of three samples, for different values of $n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $n_{3}$ (with $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \leq 5$ ).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Kruskal-Wallis table is used for nonparametric tests that use ranks and particularly for tests with the same name.
When the number of samples $i$ is greater than 3, we can make an approximation of the value of the Kruskal-Wallis table by the chisquare table with $k-1$ degrees of freedom.

## EXAMPLES

See Appendix D.
For an example of the use of the KruskalWallis table, see Kruskal-Wallis test.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square table
- Kruskal-Wallis test
- Statistical table
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## Kruskal-Wallis Test

The Kruskal-Wallis test is a nonparametric test that has as its goal to determine if all $k$ populations are identical or if at least one of the populations tends to give observations that are different from those of other populations.
The test is used when we have $k$ samples, with $k \geq 2$, coming from $k$ populations that can be different.

## HISTORY

The Kruskal-Wallis test was developed in 1952 by Kruskal, W.H. and Wallis, W.A.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The data are represented in $k$ samples. We designate by $n_{i}$ the dimension of the sample $i$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$, and by $N$ the total number of observations:

$$
N=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} .
$$

We class the $N$ observations in increasing order without taking into account whether or not they belong to the same samples. We then give rank 1 to the smallest value, rank 2 to the next greatest value, and so on until $N$, which is given to the greatest value.
Let $X_{i j}$ be the $j$ th observation of sample $i$, and set $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $j=1, \ldots, n_{i}$; we then denote the rank given to $X_{i j}$ by $R\left(X_{i j}\right)$.
If many observations have the same value, we give them a mean rank. The sum of the ranks given to the observations of sample $i$ is denoted by $R_{i}$, and we have:

$$
R_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} R\left(X_{i j}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, k
$$

If there are no mean ranks (or if there is a limited number of them), then the statistical test is defined as follows:
$H=\left(\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-3(N+1)$.
If, on the contrary, there are many mean ranks, it is necessary to make a correction and to calculate:

$$
\widetilde{H}=\frac{H}{1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(t_{i}^{3}-t_{i}\right)}{N^{3}-N}},
$$

where $g$ is the number of groups of mean ranks and $t_{i}$ the dimension of $i$ th such group.

## Hypotheses

The goal of the Kruskal-Wallis test is to determine if all the populations are identical
or if at least one of the populations tends to give observations different from other populations. The hypotheses are as follows:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : There is no difference among the $k$ populations.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : At least one of the populations differs from the other populations.

## Decision Rule

If there are 3 samples, each having a dimension smaller or equal to 5 , and if there are no mean ranks (that is, if $H$ is calculated), then we use the Kruskal-Wallis table to test $H_{0}$. The decision rule is the following: We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $T$ is greater than the value of the table with parameters $n_{i}, k-1$, and $1-\alpha$, denoted $h_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, 1-\alpha}$, and if there is no available exact table or if there are mean ranks, we can make an approximation of the value of the Kruskal-Wallis table by the distribution of the chi-square with $k-1$ degrees of freedom (chi-square distribution), that is, if:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H>h_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, 1-\alpha} & (\text { Kruskal-Wallis table }) \\
\text { or } H>\chi_{k-1,1-\alpha}^{2} & (\text { chi-square table }) .
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding decision rule is based on $\widetilde{H}>\chi_{k-1,1-\alpha}^{2}$ (chi-quare table).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The following rules should be respected to make the Kruskal-Wallis test:

1. All the samples must be random samples taken from their respective populations.
2. In addition to the independence inside each sample, there must be mutual independence among the different samples.
3. The scale of measure must be at least ordinal.

If the Kruskal-Wallis test makes us reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$, we can use the Wilcoxon test for all the samples taken in pairs to determine which pairs of populations tend to be different.

## EXAMPLES

We cook potatoes in 4 different oils. We want to verify if the quantity of fat absorbed by potatoes depends on the type of oil used. We conduct 5 different experiments with oil 1,6 with oil 2 , 4 with oil 3 , and 5 with oil 4 , and we obtain the following data:

| Type of oil |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| 64 | 78 | 75 | 55 |
| 72 | 91 | 93 | 66 |
| 68 | 97 | 78 | 49 |
| 77 | 82 | 71 | 64 |
| 56 | 85 |  | 70 |
|  | 77 |  |  |

In this example, the number of samples equals $4(k=4)$ with the following respective dimensions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=5, \\
& n_{2}=6, \\
& n_{3}=4, \\
& n_{4}=5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of observations equals:

$$
N=5+6+4+5=20
$$

We class the observations in increasing order and give them a rank from 1 to 20 taking into account the mean ranks. We obtain the following table with the rank of the observations in parentheses and at the end of each sample the sum of the ranks given to the corresponding sample:

| Type of oil |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| $64(4.5)$ | $78(14.5)$ | $75(11)$ | $55(2)$ |  |  |
| $72(10)$ | $91(18)$ | $93(19)$ | $66(6)$ |  |  |
| $68(7)$ | $97(20)$ | $78(14.5)$ | $49(1)$ |  |  |
| $77(12.5)$ | $82(16)$ | $71(9)$ | $64(4.5)$ |  |  |
| $56(3)$ | $85(17)$ |  | $70(8)$ |  |  |
|  | $77(12.5)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{1}=37$ | $R_{2}=98$ | $R_{3}=53.5$ | $R_{4}=21.5$ |  |  |

We calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H= & \left(\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-3(N+1) \\
= & \frac{12}{20(20+1)} \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{37^{2}}{5}+\frac{98^{2}}{6}+\frac{53.5^{2}}{4}+\frac{21.5^{2}}{5}\right) \\
& -3(20+1) \\
= & 13.64
\end{aligned}
$$

If we make an adjustment to take into account the mean ranks, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{H} & =\frac{H}{1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(t_{i}^{3}-t_{i}\right)}{N^{3}-N}} \\
& =\frac{13.64}{1-\frac{8-2+8-2+8-2}{20^{3}-20}} \\
& =13.67 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the difference between $H$ and $\widetilde{H}$ is minimal.

The hypotheses are as follows:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ : There is no difference between the four oils.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : At least one of the oils differs from the others.

The decision rule is as follows: Reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
H>\chi_{k-1,1-\alpha}^{2},
$$

where $\chi_{k-1,1-\alpha}^{2}$ is the value of the chisquare table at level $1-\alpha$ and $k-1=3$ degrees of freedom.
If we choose $\alpha=0.05$, then the value of $\chi_{3,0.95}^{2}$ is 7.81 , and if $H$ is greater than $\chi_{3,0.95}^{2}$ ( $13.64>7.81$ ), then we reject the hypothesis $H_{0}$.
If $H_{0}$ is rejected, we can use the procedure of multiple comparisons to see which pairs of oils are different.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
- Wilcoxon test
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## $L_{1}$ Estimation

The $L_{1}$ estimation method is an alternative to the least-squares method used in linear regression for the estimation of the parameters of a model. Instead of minimizing the residual sum of squares, the sum of the residual absolute values is minimized.
The $L_{1}$ estimators have the advantage of not being as sensitive to outliers as the leastsquares method.

## HISTORY

The use of the $L_{1}$ estimation method, in a very basic form, dates back to Galileo in 1632, who used it to determine the distance between the earth and a star that had recently been discovered.
Boscovich, R.J.(1757) proposed two criteria for adjusting a line:

- The respective sums of the positive and negative residuals must be equal, and the optimal line will pass by the centroid of the observations.
- The sum of the residuals in absolute value must be minimal.
Boscovich, R.J. proposed a geometrical method to solve the equations resulting from his criteria. The analytical procedure used for the estimation of the parameters for the two criteria of Boscovich, R.J. is due to Laplace, P.S. (1793). Edgeworth, F.Y.
(1887) suppressed the first condition, saying that the sum of the residuals must be null, and developed a method that could be used to treat the case of multiple linear regression.
During the first half of the 20th century, statisticians were not very interested in linear regression based on $L_{1}$ estimation. The main reasons were:
- The numerical difficulties due to the absence of a closed formula.
- The absence of asymptotic theory for $L_{1}$ estimation in the regression model.
- The estimation by the least-squares method is satisfactory for small samples, and it is not certain that $L_{1}$ estimation is any better.
Starting in 1970, the field of $L_{1}$ estimation became the pole of research for a multitude of statisticians, and many works were published. We mention Basset, G. and Koenker, R. (1978), who developed the asymptotic theory of $L_{1}$ estimators. In 1987, a congress dedicated to these problems was held in Neuchâtel (Switzerland): The First International Conference on Statistical Data Analysis Based on the $L_{1}$-norm and Related Methods.


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the one-dimensional (1D) case, the $L_{1}$ estimation method consists in estimating the
parameter $\beta_{0}$ in the model

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\varepsilon_{i},
$$

so that the sum of the errors in absolute value is minimal:

$$
\min _{\beta_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=\min _{\beta_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}-\beta_{0}\right| .
$$

This problem boils down to finding $\beta_{0}$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}-\beta_{0}\right|$ is minimal. The value of $\beta_{0}$ that minimizes this sum is the median of the 1D sample $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$.
In the simple linear regression model

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \cdot x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i},
$$

the parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ estimated using the $L_{1}$ estimation method are usually calculated by an iterative algorithm that is used to minimize

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \cdot x_{i}\right|
$$

Using linear programming techniques it is possible today to calculate the $L_{1}$ estimators in a relatively easy way, especially in the multiple linear regression model.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The problem of $L_{1}$ estimation lies in the absence of a general formula for the estimation of the parameters, unlike the situation with the least-squares method. For a set of 1D data, the measure of central tendency determined by $L_{1}$ estimation is the median, which is by definition the value that minimizes the sum of the absolute deviations.

## FURTHER READING

- Error
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Least squares
- Mean absolute deviation
- Parameter
- Residual
- Robust estimation
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## Lagrange Multiplier

The Lagrange multiplier method is a classical optimization method that allows to determine the local extremes of a function subject to certain constraints. It is named after the Italian-French mathematician and astronomer Joseph-Louis Lagrange.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $f(x, y)$ be the objective function to be maximized or minimized subject to
$g(x, y)=0$. We form the auxiliary function, the Lagrangian:

$$
F(x, y, \theta)=f(x, y)-\theta g(x, y)
$$

where $\theta$ is an unknown variable called the Lagrange multiplier. We search the minima or the maxima of function $F$ by equating to zero the partial derivatives with respect to $x$, $y$, and $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\theta \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}=0 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}-\theta \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}=0 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}=g(x, y)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

These three equations can be solved for $x, y$, and $\theta$. The solution provides the points where the constrained function has a minimum or a maximum. We have to decide if there is a maximum or a minimum.
We get a maximum if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}\right]^{2}>0} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}<0 \quad \text { and } \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get a minimum if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}\right]^{2}>0} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

When

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}\right]^{2} \leq 0
$$

the test breaks down, and to determine if there is a minimum or a maximum, we have to examine the functions neighboring in $(x, y)$.

The Lagrange multiplier method is extended to a function of $n$ variables, $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, that are subject to $k$ constraints $g_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$ with $k \leq n$. Similarly, in the bivariate case, we define the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \theta_{j} \cdot g_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the parameters $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$ being the Lagrange multipliers. We establish the partial derivatives that lead to $n+k$ equations on $n+k$ unknown parameters. These lead to the coordinates of the function extremes (minimum or maximum), if they exist.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

It is not possible to apply the Lagrange multiplier method when the functions are not differentiable or when the imposed constraints are inequalities. In this case we can use other optimization methods properly chosen from linear programming.

## EXAMPLES

Let

$$
f(x, y)=5 x^{2}+6 y^{2}-x \cdot y
$$

be the objective function and

$$
g(x, y)=x+2 y-24=0
$$

the imposed constraint. We form the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, y, \theta)= & f(x, y)-\theta g(x, y) \\
= & 5 x^{2}+6 y^{2}-x \cdot y \\
& -\theta \cdot(x+2 y-24)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we set to zero the partial derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=10 x-y-\theta=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=12 y-x-2 \theta=0  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}=x+2 y-24=0 \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

By deleting $\theta$ of (1) and (2):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
20 x-2 y-2 \theta=0 \\
-x+12 y-2 \theta=0 \\
21 x-14 y=0 \\
3 x-2 y=0
\end{array}
$$

and by replacing $2 y$ with $3 x$ in (3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=x+2 y-24=x+3 x & -24 \\
& =4 x-24
\end{aligned}
$$

we get $x=6$. By replacing this $x$ value in (3), we get $y=9$. The critical point has coordinates $(6,9)$. We compute the partial derivatives of second order to verify whether it is a maximum or a minimum. We get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}=10, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}=12, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}=-1 \\
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}\right]^{2}} \\
& \quad=10 \cdot 12-(-1)^{2}=119>0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}>0, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the point $(6,9)$ corresponds to a minimum for the objective function $f$ subject to the constraints above. This minimum yields $f(6,9)=180+486-54=612$.

## FURTHER READING

- Linear programming


## - Optimization
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## Laplace Distribution

The random variable $X$ follows a Laplace distribution if its density function is of the following form:
$f(x)=\frac{1}{2 \Phi} \cdot \exp \left[\left(-\frac{|x-\theta|}{\Phi}\right)\right] \Phi>0$,
where $\Phi$ is the dispersion parameter and $\theta$ is the expected value.


Laplace distribution, $\theta=0, \Phi=1$

The Laplace distribution is symmetric around its expected value $\theta$, which is also the mode and the median of the distribution. The Laplace distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

This continuous probability distribution carries the name of its author. In 1774, Laplace, P.S. wrote a fundamental article about symmetric distributions with a view to describing the errors of measurement.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of a random variable $X$ following a Laplace distribution is given by:

$$
E[X]=\theta
$$

and the variance is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=2 \cdot \Phi^{2}
$$

The standard form of the density function of the Laplace distribution is written:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2}} \cdot \exp \left[\left(-\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{|x-\mu|}{\sigma}\right)\right] \\
\sigma>0
\end{array}
$$

with

$$
\mu=\theta \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma=\sqrt{2} \cdot \Phi .
$$

This permits one to write the distribution function of the Laplace distribution given by:
$F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{2} \exp \left[\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\Phi}\right)\right] \\ \text { if } x \leq \theta, \\ 1-\frac{1}{2} \exp \left[\left(-\frac{x-\theta}{\Phi}\right)\right] \\ \text { if } x \geq \theta .\end{array}\right.$

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution


## REFERENCES
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## Laplace, Pierre Simon De

Born in France in 1749 to a middle-class family, Marquis Pierre Simon de Laplace was one of the pioneers of statistics. Interested in mathematics, theoretical astronomy, probability, and statistics, his first publications appeared in the early 1770s. He became a member of the Academy of Science in

1785, and he also directed the Longitudes Bureau. In 1799, he was named Minister of Interior Affairs by Bonaparte, who in 1806 conferred upon him the title of count. Elected to the French Academy in 1816, he pursued his scientific work until his death, in Paris, in 1827.

Some main works and articles of de Laplace, P.S.:

1774 Mémoire sur la probabilité des causes par les événements. Oeuvres complètes, Vol. 8, pp. 27-65 (1891). Gau-thier-Villars, Paris.

1781 Mémoire sur les probabilités. Oeuvres complètes, Vol. 9 pp. 383-485 (1891). Gauthier-Villars, Paris.

1805 Traité de Mécanique Céleste. Vol. 14. Duprot, Paris. Vol. 5. Bachelier, Paris.

1812 Théorie analytique des probabilités. Courcier, Paris.

1878-1912 Oeuvres complètes. 14 volumes. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.

## Laspeyres Index

The Laspeyres index is a composite index number of price constructed by the weighted sum method. This index number represents the ratio of the sum of prices in the actual period $n$ to the price sum in the reference period 0 , these sums being weighted by the respective quantities of the reference period. Therefore the index number measures the relative price change of the goods, the respective quantities being considered unchanged. The Laspeyres index differs from the Paasche index on the choice of
the weights: in the Paasche index, the weighting is done by the sold quantities of the current period $Q_{n}$, whereas in the Laspeyres index, it is done by the quantities sold in the reference period $Q_{0}$.

## HISTORY

Laspeyres, Etienne, German economist and statistician of French origin, reformulated the index number developed by Lowe that would become known as the Laspeyres index in the mid-19th century. During the years 1864-1871 Laspeyres, Etienne worked on goods and their prices in Hamburg (he excluded services).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The Laspeyres index is calculated as follows:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{0}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}},
$$

where $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are the prices and quantities sold in the current period and $P_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ are the prices and quantities sold in the reference period, expressed in base 100:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{0}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}} \cdot 100 .
$$

The sum concerns the considered goods. The Laspeyres model can also be used to calculate a quantity index (also called volume index). In this case, it is the prices that are constants and the quantities that are variables:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum Q_{n} \cdot P_{0}}{\sum Q_{0} \cdot P_{0}} \cdot 100
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table indicating the respective prices of three consumer goods in reference year 0 and in the current year $n$, as
well as the quantities sold in the reference year:

| Product | Quantity sold | Price (euros) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | in $1970\left(Q_{0}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ |
|  | (thousands) | $\left(P_{0}\right)$ | $\left(P_{n}\right)$ |
| Milk | 50.5 | 0.20 | 1.20 |
| Bread | 42.8 | 0.15 | 1.10 |
| Butter | 15.5 | 0.50 | 2.00 |

From the following table we have
$\sum P_{n} Q_{0}=138.68$ and $\sum P_{0} Q_{0}=24.27$.

| Product | $\sum P_{n} Q_{0}$ | $\sum P_{0} Q_{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | 60.60 | 10.10 |
| Bread | 47.08 | 6.42 |
| Butter | 31.00 | 7.75 |
| Total | 138.68 | 24.27 |

We can then find the Laspeyres index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{0}}{\sum_{0} P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}} \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{138.68}{24.27} \cdot 100=571.4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, according to the Laspeyres index, the price index of these goods has increased $471.4 \%$ ( 571.4 - 100) during the considered period.

## FURTHER READING

- Composite index number
- Fisher index
- Index number
- Paasche index
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## Latin Square

## See latin square designs.

## Latin Square Designs

A Latin square design is the arrangement of $t$ treatments, each one repeated $t$ times, in such a way that each treatment appears exactly one time in each row and each column in the design. We denote by Roman characters the treatments. Therefore the design is called a Latin square design. This kind of design is used to reduce systematic error due to rows (treatments) and columns.

## HISTORY

According to Preece (1983), the history of Latin square dates back to 1624. In statistics,
Fisher, Ronald Aylmer (1925) introduced the Latin square designs.

## EXAMPLES

A farmer has in his property two fields where he wants to cultivate corn. He wishes to conduct an experiment involving his four different types of corn (treatments). Furthermore, he knows that his fields do not receive the same sunshine and humidity. There are two systematic error sources given that sunshine and humidity affect corn cultivation.
The farmer associates to each small piece of land a certain type of corn in such a way that each one appears once in each line and col-
umn:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A: \text { grain } 1 \\
& B: \text { grain } 2 \\
& C: \text { grain } 3 \\
& D: \text { grain } 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following figure shows the $4 \times 4$ Latin square where the Roman letters $A, B, C$, and $D$ represent the four treatments above:

| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $A$ |
| $C$ | $D$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| $D$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |

An analysis of variance will indicate whether, after deleting the lines (sun) and the column (humidity) effects, there exists a significant difference between the treatments.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments
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## Law of Large Numbers

The law of large numbers stipulates that the mean of a sum of independent and identically distributed random variables converges toward the expected value of their distribution when the sample size tends toward infinity.

## HISTORY

The law of large numbers was first established by Bernoulli, J. in his work entitled Ars Conjectandi, published in 1713, in the framework of an empirical definition of probability. He stated that the relative frequency of an event converges, during the repetition of identical tasks (Bernoulli distribution), toward a number that consists in its probability.
The inequality of Bienaymé-Tchebychev was initially discovered by Bienaymé, J. (1853), before Tchebychev, P.L. (1867) discovered completely independently a few years later.
The general version of the law of large numbers is attributed to the Russian mathematician Khintchin, A. (1894-1959).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let us first present the inequality of Bienaymé-Tchebychev:
Consider $X$ a random variable with expected value $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, both finite. For every real $\varepsilon>0$, there is:

$$
P(|X-\mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

which means that the probability that a random variable $X$ deviates from its expected value by an amount $\varepsilon$ its expected value cannot be larger than $\sigma^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$.
The importance of this inequality lies in the fact that it allows one to limit the value of certain probabilities in cases where only the expected value of the distribution is known, ultimately its variance. It is obvious that if the distribution itself is known, there is no need to use these limits since the exact value of these probabilities can be computed. With this inequality it is possible to compute the
convergence of the observed mean of a random variable toward its expected value. Consider $n$ independent and identically distributed random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ with expected value $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$; then for every $\varepsilon>0$ :

$$
P\left(\left|\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}}{n}-\mu\right|>\varepsilon\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The law of large numbers underlines the fact that there persists a probability, even if very small, of substantial deviation between the empirical mean of a series of events and its expected value. It is for this reason that the term weak law of large numbers is used.
In fact, it is possible to demonstrate that this is not the case and that the convergence is quasicertain. This result, stronger than the previous one, is called the strong law of large numbers and is written:

$$
P\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}=\mu\right)=1
$$

## EXAMPLES

The law of large numbers states that it is always possible to find a value for $n$ so that the probability that $\bar{X}$ is included in an interval $\mu \pm \varepsilon$ is as large as desired.
Let us give an example of a probability distribution with variance $\sigma^{2}=1$. By choosing an interval $\varepsilon=0.5$ and a probability of 0.05 , we can write:

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu| \geq 0.5)=0.05
$$

By the inequality of Tchebychev, we know that

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n \varepsilon^{2}}
$$

We can therefore establish the following inequality:

$$
0.05 \leq \frac{1}{n \cdot 0.25}
$$

from which:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.05 \cdot 0.25 & \leq 1 / n, \\
n & \geq 80 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Central limit theorem
- Convergence theorem
(Théorème de convergence)
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## Least Absolute Deviation Regression

The least absolute deviations method (the LAD method) is one of the principal alternatives to the least-squares methods when one seeks to estimate regression parameters. The goal of the LAD regression is to provide a robust estimator.

## HISTORY

The least absolute deviation regression was introduced around 50 years before the leastsquares method, in 1757, by Roger Joseph Boscovich. He used this procedure while trying to reconcile incoherent measures that were used to estimate the shape of the earth. Pierre Simon de Laplace adopted this method 30 years later, yet it was obscured under the shadow of the leastsquares method developed by Adrien Marie Legendre and Carl Friedrich Gauss. The easy calculus of the least-squares method made least squares much more popular than the LAD method. Yet in recent years and with advances in statistical computing, the LAD method can be easily used.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We treat here only simple LAD regression cases.
Consider the simple regression model: $y_{i}=$ $\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$. The LAD method implements the following criterion: choose $\widehat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{1}$ such that they minimize the sum of the residual absolute values, that is,

$$
\sum\left|e_{i}\right|=\sum\left|y_{i}-\widehat{\beta_{0}}-\widehat{\beta_{1}} x_{i}\right|,
$$

where $e_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th residual. The particularity of this method is the fact that there is no explicit formula to compute $\widehat{\beta_{0}}$ and $\widehat{\beta_{1}}$. LAD estimates can be computed by applying an iterative algorithm.

## Simple LAD Algorithm

The essential point that is used by the LAD algorithm is the fact that the regression line always crosses at least two data points.
Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ be our chosen data point. We search the best line that passes through this point. This line crosses at least one other point, $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$.

The next step is to search the best line, with respect to the sum $\sum\left|e_{i}\right|$, that crosses this time ( $x_{2}, y_{2}$ ). This line crosses now at least one other point, $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$. We iteratively continue and seek the best line that crosses $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ and so forth. During the iterations, the quantity $\sum\left|e_{i}\right|$ decreases. If, for an iteration, the obtained line is identical to the previous one, we can conclude that this is the best line.

## Construction of Best Line Crossing ( $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}$ ) in Terms of $\sum\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right|$

Since this line crosses another data point, we should find the point $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ for which the line

$$
\widehat{y}(x)=y_{1}+\frac{y_{k}-y_{1}}{x_{k}-x_{1}}\left(x-x_{1}\right),
$$

with slope

$$
\widehat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{y_{k}-y_{1}}{x_{k}-x_{1}}
$$

and intercept

$$
\widehat{\beta_{0}}=y_{1}-\widehat{\beta}_{1} x_{1},
$$

is the best one in terms of $\sum\left|e_{i}\right|$. To find that point, we rename the $(n-1)$ candidate points $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \leq \frac{y_{3}-y_{1}}{x_{3}-x_{1}} \leq \ldots \leq \frac{y_{n}-y_{1}}{x_{n}-x_{1}}
$$

We define $T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-x_{1}\right|$. The searched point $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ is now determined by the index $k$ for which

$$
\begin{cases}\left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{k-1}-x_{1}\right| & <\frac{T}{2} \\ \left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|+\ldots & >\frac{T}{2} \\ +\left|x_{k-1}-x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{k}-x_{1}\right| & \end{cases}
$$

This condition guarantees that $\widehat{\beta}_{1}$ minimizes the quantity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left(y_{i}-y_{1}\right)-\widehat{\beta}_{1}\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)\right|
$$

analogously to $\sum\left|e_{i}\right|$ for the regression lines passing through $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. The $\widehat{\beta}_{0}$ is computed in such a way that the regression line crosses $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. We can equally verify that it passes through the data point $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$. We just have to rename the point $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ by $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ and restart.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Two particular cases can appear when one runs the LAD regression: the nonunique solution and the degeneracy. Nonunique solution means that there are at least two lines minimizing the sum of the residual absolute values. Degeneracy means that the LAD regression line passes through more than two data points.

## EXAMPLES

Let us take an example involving 14 North and Central American countries. The following table contains the data for each country, the birthrate (number of births per 1000 people) together with the urbanization rate (percentage of population living in cities with more than 100000 inhabitants) in 1980.

Table: Urbanization rate and birthrate

| Obs. $\boldsymbol{i}$ | Country | Urbaniza- <br> tion rate $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Birth- <br> rate $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Canada | 55.0 | 16.2 |
| 2 | Costa Rica | 27.3 | 30.5 |
| 3 | Cuba | 33.3 | 16.9 |
| 4 | USA | 56.5 | 16.0 |
| 5 | El Salvador | 11.5 | 40.2 |


| Obs. $\boldsymbol{i}$ | Country | Urbaniza- <br> tion rate $x_{i}$ | Birth- <br> rate $y_{i}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Guatemala | 14.2 | 38.4 |
| 7 | Haiti | 13.9 | 41.3 |
| 8 | Honduras | 19.0 | 43.9 |
| 9 | Jamaica | 33.1 | 28.3 |
| 10 | Mexico | 43.2 | 33.9 |
| 11 | Nicaragua | 28.5 | 44.2 |
| 12 | Trinidad/ | 6.8 | 24.6 |
|  | Tobago |  |  |
| 13 | Panama | 37.7 | 28.0 |
| 14 | Dominican | 37.1 | 33.1 |
|  | Republic |  |  |

Source: Birkes and Dodge (1993)

We try to estimate the birthrate $y_{i}$ as a function of the urbanization rate $x_{i}$ using LAD regression, which is to construct the LAD regression model

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} .
$$

To use the LAD algorithm to estimate $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$, in the fist step we must search for the best line crossing at least one point, for example Canada (randomly chosen). We have $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=(55.0,16.2)$. We compute the slopes $\frac{y_{i}-16.2}{x_{i}-55.0}$ for all 13 remaining countries. For example, for Costa Rica, the obtained result is $\frac{30.5-16.2}{27.3-55.0}=-0.5162$. The 13 countries are now reordered in ascending order according to the computed slopes, as can be seen in the table below. The point $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ is Mexico, the point $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ is Nicaragua, and so forth. In what follows we compute the quantity $T=\sum\left|x_{i}-55.0\right|=355.9$ and $\frac{T}{2}=177.95$. This has to do with defining the country $k$ that satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{cases}\left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{k-1}-x_{1}\right| & <\frac{T}{2} \\ \left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|+\ldots & >\frac{T}{2} \\ +\left|x_{k-1}-x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{k}-x_{1}\right| & \end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{8}\left|x_{j}-x_{1}\right|=172.5<\frac{T}{2}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{9}\left|x_{j}-x_{1}\right|=216.0>\frac{T}{2},
$$

we can conclude that $k=9$ and that the best regression line passes through Canada $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and El Salvador $\left(x_{9}, y_{9}\right)$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\beta}_{1} & =\frac{y_{9}-y_{1}}{x_{9}-x_{1}}=\frac{40.2-16.2}{11.5-55.0}=-0.552 \\
\widehat{\beta_{0}} & =y_{1}-\widehat{\beta}_{1} x_{1}=16.2-(-0.552) \cdot 55.0 \\
& =46.54
\end{aligned}
$$

and the LAD regression line is:

$$
\widehat{y}(x)=46.54-0.552 x
$$

Table: Computation to find LAD regression line

| Obs. $i$ | Country | $\frac{y_{i}-y_{1}}{x_{i}-x_{1}}$ | $\left\|x_{i}-x_{1}\right\|$ | $\sum_{j=2}^{i}\left\|x_{j}-x_{1}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mexico | -1.5000 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| 3 | Nicaragua | -1.0566 | 26.5 | 38.3 |
| 4 | Domin. | -0.9441 | 17.9 | 56.2 |
|  | Rep. |  |  |  |
| 5 | Honduras | -0.7694 | 36.0 | 92.2 |
| 6 | Panama | -0.6821 | 17.3 | 109.5 |
| 7 | Haiti | -0.6107 | 41.1 | 150.6 |
| 8 | Jamaica | -0.5525 | 21.9 | 172.5 |
| 9 | El Salvador | -0.5517 | 43.5 | 216.0 |
| 10 | Guatemala | -0.5447 | 40.8 | 256.8 |
| 11 | Costa Rica | -0.5162 | 27.7 | 284.5 |
| 12 | Trinidad/ | -0.1743 | 48.2 | 332.7 |
| 13 | Tobago | USA | -0.1333 | 1.5 |
| 14 | Cuba | -0.0323 | 21.7 | 334.2 |

To improve our first estimate, the next step consists in finding the best line crossing El

Salvador. We rename this point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=$ $(11.5,40.2)$ and reorder the 13 countries in ascending order according to their slopes $\frac{y_{i}-11.5}{x_{i}-40.2}$, and, similarly, we obtain the best regression line that passes through El Salvador as well as through the United States. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{40.2-16.0}{11.5-56.5}=-0.538 \\
& \widehat{\beta}_{0}=40.2-(-0.538) \cdot 11.5=46.38
\end{aligned}
$$

and the regression line is

$$
\widehat{y}(x)=46.38-0.538 x
$$

The third step consists in finding the best line passing through the United States. Since we find (in a similar way as above) that this regression line passes through El Salvador, our algorithm stops and the regression line $\widehat{y}(x)=46.38-0.538 x$ is the LAD regression line of our problem.
We note here that the line computed using the least-squares method for these data is given by

$$
\widehat{y}(x)=42.99-0.399 x .
$$

Note that with this regression line Cuba, Nicaragua, and Trinidad/Tobago have abnormally large residual values. By deleting these three points and recomputing the least-squares regression line, we obtain

$$
\widehat{y}(x)=48.52-0.528 x
$$

which is very close to the LAD regression line.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Least squares
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Residual
- Robust estimation
- Simple linear regression
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## Least Significant Difference Test

The least significant difference (LSD) test is used in the context of the analysis of variance, when the $F$-ratio suggests rejection of the null hypothesis $H_{0}$, that is, when the difference between the population means is significant.
This test helps to identify the populations whose means are statistically different. The basic idea of the test is to compare the populations taken in pairs. It is then used to proceed in a one-way or two-way analysis of variance, given that the null hypothesis has already been rejected.

## HISTORY

The LSD test was developed by Fisher, Ronald Aylmer (1935), who wanted to know which treatments had a significant effect in an analysis of variance.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If in an analysis of variance the $F$-ratio leads to rejection of the null hypothesis $H_{0}$, we can perform the LSD test in order to detect which means have led $H_{0}$ to be rejected.
The test consists in a pairwise comparison of the means. In general terms, the standard deviation of the difference between the mean of group $i$ and the mean of group $j$,
for $i \neq j$, is equal to:

$$
\sqrt{s_{I}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}+\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right)}
$$

where $s_{I}^{2}$ is the estimation of the variance inside the groups

$$
s_{I}^{2}=\frac{S C I}{N-k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2}}{N-k},
$$

where $S C I$ is the sum of squares inside the groups, $N$ is the total number of observations, $k$ is the number of groups, $Y_{i j}$ is the $j$ th observation of group $i, \bar{Y}_{i .}$ is the mean of group $i$, $n_{i}$ is the number of observations in group $i$, and $n_{j}$ is the number of observations in group $j$.
The ratio

$$
\frac{\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{j .}}{\sqrt{s_{I}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}+\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right)}}
$$

follows the Student distribution with $N-k$ degrees of freedom. The difference between a pair of means is significant when

$$
\left|\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{j .}\right| \geq \sqrt{s_{I}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}+\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right)} \cdot t_{N-k, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}
$$

with $t_{N-k, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ denoting the value of a Student variate with $N-k$ degrees of freedom for a significance level set to $\alpha$.
The quantity $\sqrt{s_{I}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}+\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right)} \cdot t_{N-k, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is called the LSD.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Like the analysis of variance, the LSD test demands independent and identically distributed normal variables with constant variance.

## EXAMPLES

During boiling, all-butter croissants absorb fat in variable quantities. We want to verify whether the absorbed quantity depends on the type of fat content (animal or vegetable fat). We prepare for our experiment four different types of fat, and we boil six all-butter croissants for each croissant type.
Here are the results of our experiment:

| Type of fat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 64 | 78 | 75 | 55 |
| 72 | 91 | 93 | 66 |
| 68 | 97 | 78 | 49 |
| 77 | 82 | 71 | 64 |
| 56 | 85 | 63 | 70 |
| 95 | 77 | 76 | 68 |

We run a one-way analysis of variance that permits us to test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}
$$

which is evidently rejected.
Since $H_{0}$ is rejected, we run the LSD test seeking to identify which means caused the rejection of $H_{0}$.
In this example, the number of observations is the same for each group ( $n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=$ $\left.n_{4}=n=6\right)$. Consequently, $\sqrt{s_{I}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}+\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right)}$ is simplified to $\sqrt{\frac{2 s_{I}^{2}}{n}}$.
The value for the corresponding LSD test is equal to:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{2 s_{I}^{2}}{n}} \cdot t_{N-k, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}
$$

The results of the analysis of variance provide the computational elements needed to
find the value of $s_{I}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{I}^{2} & =\frac{S C I}{N-k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2}}{N-k} \\
& =\frac{2018}{20} \\
& =100.9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose a significance level $\alpha=0.05$, the Student value for $N-k=20$ degrees of freedom is equal to:

$$
t_{N-k, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}=t_{20,0.975}=2.086
$$

Hence the value for the LSD statistic is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L S D & =\sqrt{\frac{2 s_{I}^{2}}{n}} \cdot t_{20,0.975} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 100.9}{6}} \cdot 2.086 \\
& =12.0976 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between a pair of means $\bar{Y}_{i}$ and $\bar{Y}_{j}$ is significant if

$$
\left|\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{j .}\right| \geq 12.0976
$$

We can summarize the results in a table containing the mean differences, the values for the LSD statistic, and the conclusion.

| Difference | LSD | Signi- <br> ficant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{1} .-\bar{Y}_{2}\right\|=\|72-85\|=13$ | 12.0976 | Yes |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{1} .-\bar{Y}_{3 .}\right\|=\|72-76\|=4$ | 12.0976 | No |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{1} .-\bar{Y}_{4} .\|=\|72-62\|=10\right.$ | 12.0976 | No |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{2} .-\bar{Y}_{3 .}\right\|=\|85-76\|=9$ | 12.0976 | No |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{2} .-\bar{Y}_{4} .\|=\|85-62\|=23\right.$ | 12.0976 | Yes |
| $\left\|\bar{Y}_{3 .}-\bar{Y}_{4} .\|=\|76-62\|=14\right.$ | 12.0976 | Yes |

We verify that only the differences $\bar{Y}_{1}$. $\bar{Y}_{2,}, \bar{Y}_{2 \text {. }}-\bar{Y}_{4 \text {. }}$, and $\bar{Y}_{3 .}-\bar{Y}_{4}$. are significant.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Student distribution
- Student table
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## Least Squares

See least-squares method.

## Least-Squares Method

The least-squares method consists in minimizing the sum of the squared residuals. The latter correspond to the squared deviations between estimated and observed values.

## HISTORY

The least-squares method was introduced by Legendre, Adrien-Marie (1805).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the multiple linear regression model:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} \cdot X_{j i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $Y_{i}$ is the dependent variable, $X_{j i}, j=$ $1, \ldots, p-1$ are the explanatory or independent variables, $\beta_{j}, j=0, \ldots, p-1$ are
the parameters to be estimated, and $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the error term.
Parameter estimation using the leastsquares method consists in minimizing the sum of the squared errors (residuals):

$$
\operatorname{minimum} f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)
$$

where:

$$
f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} .
$$

The residual sum of squares is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} \cdot X_{j i}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find the estimated parameters of the function by equating to zero the partial derivatives of this function with respect to each parameter:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\beta_{0}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\beta_{1}}=0 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\beta_{p-1}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose we have $n$ observations and that $p=2$ :

$$
\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)
$$

The equation relating $Y_{i}$ and $X_{i}$ can be defined from the linear model:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \cdot X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

The sum of the squared deviations from the estimated regression line is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \cdot X_{i}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Mathematically, we determine $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ by taking the partial derivatives of function $f$ with respect to parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ and setting them equal to zero.
Partial derivative with respect to $\beta_{0}$ :

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_{0}}=-2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \cdot X_{i}\right)
$$

Partial derivative with respect to $\beta_{1}$ :

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_{1}}=-2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \cdot\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \cdot X_{i}\right)
$$

Hence, the estimated values for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$, denoted as $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, are given as the solution to the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{0}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot X_{i}\right) & =0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \cdot\left(Y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{0}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot X_{i}\right) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Developing these two equations, we obtain:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}=n \cdot \hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i} \cdot Y_{i}\right)=\hat{\beta}_{0} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2},
$$

which we call the normal equations of the least-squares regression line.

Given

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{Y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}}{n}
$$

the solution of the normal equations provides the estimates of the following parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{Y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \bar{X} \\
& \frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}=}{n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i} \cdot Y_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)} \\
& n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The least-squares estimates for the dependent variable are:

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot X_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

or

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=\bar{Y}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

According to this equation, the least-squares regression line passes through the point $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$, which is called the barycenter or center of gravity for the scatter cloud of the data points.
We can, equally, express the multiple linear regression model in terms of vectors and matrices:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ response vector (the dependent variable), $\mathbf{X}$ is the ( $n \times p$ ) independent variables matrix, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of the error term, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(p \times 1)$ vector of the parameters to be estimated. The application of the least-squares method consists in minimizing the following equa-
tion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}= & (\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^{\prime} \cdot(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
= & \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
& +\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
= & \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}-2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \\
& +\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal equations are given, in matrix form, by:

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}
$$

If $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}$ is invertible, the parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are estimated by:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y} .
$$

## EXAMPLES

See simple linear regression.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
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## Legendre, Adrien Marie

Born in 1752 in Paris, French mathematician Legendre, Adrien Marie succeeded de Laplace, P.S. as professor in mathematics, first at the Ecole Militaire and then at the Ecole Normale of Paris. He was interested in the theory and practice of astronomy and geodesy. From 1792 he was part of the

French commission in charge of measuring the length of a meridian quadrant from the North Pole to the Equator passing through Paris.
He died in 1833, but in the history of statistics his name remained attached to the publication of his work in 1805, which included an appendix titled "On the least-squares method." This publication was at the root of the controversy that opposed him to Gauss, C.F., who vied with him over credit for the discovery of this method.

## Main work of Legendre, A.M.:

1805 Nouvelles méthodes pour la détermination des orbites des comètes. Courcier, Paris.

## REFERENCES
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## Lehmann, Erich

Lehmann, Erich was born in Strasbourg, France, in 1917 and went to the United States in 1940. He has made fundamental contributions to the theory of statistics. His research interests are the statistical theory and history and philosophy of statistics. He is the author of Basic Concepts of Probability and Statistics, Elements of Finite Probability, Nonparametrics: Statistical Methods Based on Ranks, Testing Statistical Hypotheses, and Theory of Point Estimation. He is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and of the National Academy of Science (NAS), having been
elected to the NAS in 1978. He has been editor of the Annals of Mathematical Statistics and President of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics.

Selected works and publications of Erich Lehmann:

1983 Theory of Point Estimation, 2nd edn. Wiley, NY.

1986 Testing Statistical Hypotheses. 2nd edn. In: Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Wiley, NY.

1993 (with Hodges, J.L.) Testing Statistical Hypotheses. Chapman \& Hall, NY.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Hypothesis testing


## Level of Significance

## See significance level.

## Leverage Point

In regression analysis, we call the leverage point an observation $i$ for which the estimated response value $\hat{Y}_{i}$ is influenced by the value of the corresponding independent variable $X_{i}$. The notion of leverage point is equivalent to that of leverage in physics. That is, even a small modification to the value of observation $i$ can seriously affect the estimation of $\widehat{y}_{i}$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The diagonal elements of matrix $\mathbf{H}$ in regression analysis reflect the influence of the observation on the estimation of
the response. We associate in principle the diagonal elements $h_{i i}$ of matrix $\mathbf{H}$ with the notion of the leverage point. Since the trace of matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is equal to the number of parameters to estimate, we expect to have $h_{i i}$ close to $p / n$. The $h_{i i}$ should be close to $p / n$ if all the observations have the same influence on the estimates. If for an observation $i$ we obtain $h_{i i}$ larger than $p / n$, then observation $i$ is considered a leverage point. In practice, an observation $i$ is called a high leverage point if:

$$
h_{i i}>\frac{2 p}{n} .
$$

Consider the following linear regression model in matrix notation:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ response vector (the dependent variable), $\mathbf{X}$ is the ( $n \times p$ ) independent variables matrix, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of the error term, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(p \times 1)$ vector of the parameters to be estimated. The estimation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

Matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is defined by:

$$
\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} .
$$

In particular, the diagonal elements $h_{i i}$ are defined by:

$$
h_{i i}=x_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} x_{i}^{\prime},
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the $i$ th line of $\mathbf{X}$.
We call the leverage point each observation $i$ for which:

$$
h_{i i}=x_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} x_{i}^{\prime}>2 \cdot \frac{p}{n} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Huber (1981) refers to leverage points when the diagonal element of the matrix $\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ is larger than 0.2 , the critical limit not being dependent either on the number of the parameters $p$ or on the number of observations $n$.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table, where $Y$ is a dependent variable explained by the independent variable $X$ :

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 6 |
| 52 | 8 |
| 55 | 9 |
| 75 | 7 |
| 57 | 8 |
| 58 | 10 |

The linear regression model in matrix notation is:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 \\
8 \\
9 \\
7 \\
8 \\
10
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 50 \\
1 & 52 \\
1 & 55 \\
1 & 75 \\
1 & 57 \\
1 & 58
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(6 \times 1)$ residual vector, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(2 \times 1)$ parameter vector.
We get matrix $\mathbf{H}$ by:

$$
\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} .
$$

We find:
$\mathbf{H}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}0.32 & 0.28 & 0.22 & -0.17 & 0.18 & 0.16 \\ 0.28 & 0.25 & 0.21 & -0.08 & 0.18 & 0.16 \\ 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.19 & 0.04 & 0.17 & 0.17 \\ -0.17 & -0.08 & 0.04 & 0.90 & 0.13 & 0.17 \\ 0.18 & 0.18 & 0.17 & 0.13 & 0.17 & 0.17 \\ 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17\end{array}\right]$.

We write the diagonal of matrix $\mathbf{H}$ on the vector $\mathbf{h}$ :

$$
\mathbf{h}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.32 \\
0.25 \\
0.19 \\
0.90 \\
0.17 \\
0.17
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this case there are two parameters ( $p=$ $2)$ and six observations $(n=6)$, that is, the critical value equals $\frac{4}{6}=0.67$.
Comparing the components of $\mathbf{h}$ to this value, we notice that, with the exception of observation $i=4$, all observations have $h_{i i}<0.67$. The fourth observation is a leverage point for the problem under study. The following figure, where variables $X$ and $Y$ are represented on the two axes, illustrates the fact that the point $(75,7)$ is a high leverage point.


Inspecting this figure we notice that the regression line is highly influenced by this leverage point and does not represent correctly the data set under study.
In the following figure we have deleted this point from the data set. We can see that the new regression line gives a much better representation of the data set.


## FURTHER READING

- Hat matrix
- Matrix
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
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## Likelihood Ratio Test

The likelihood ratio test is a hypothesis test. It allows to test general hypotheses concerning the parameters of interest of a parametric family as well as to test two different models built on the same data. The main idea of this test is the following: compute the probability of observing the data under the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ and under the alternative hypothesis using the likelihood function.

## HISTORY

It is Neyman, Jerzy and Pearson, Egon Sharpe (1928) who came up with the idea of using the likelihood ratio statistic to test
hypotheses. Wald, Abraham (1941) generalized the likelihood ratio test to more complicated hypotheses. The asymptotic results on the distribution of this statistic (subject to certain regularity conditions) were first presented by Wilks, Samuel Stanley (1962).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the likelihood ratio test, the null hypothesis is rejected if the likelihood under the alternative hypothesis is significantly larger than the likelihood under the null hypothesis. Hence, the problem is not finding the most adequate parameter but knowing if under the alternative hypothesis we get a significantly better estimate.
The Neyman-Pearson theorem states that, for all significant levels $\alpha$, the likelihood ratio test (for testing the simple hypothesis) has more power than any other test.
Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ independent observations ( $n>1$ ) of a random variable $X$ following a probability distribution with parameter $\theta$ (or a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ). We denote by $L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ the likelihood function that, for fixed $x_{i}$, depends only upon $\theta$, and we denote by $\Theta$ the space of all possible values of $\theta$ and $\Theta_{0}$, with $\Theta_{1}$ are subspace of $\Theta$.
We distinguish between:

## Simple Null Hypothesis vs. a Simple Alternative Hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \theta=\theta_{0} \\
& \text { vs. } H_{1}: \theta=\theta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, the likelihood ratio is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{L\left(\theta_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{L\left(\theta_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The likelihood ratio for a significance level $\alpha$ is defined by the decision rule:

$$
\text { Reject } H_{0} \quad \text { if } \quad \lambda>\lambda_{0},
$$

where $\lambda_{0}$ is the critical value defined by the significance level $(\alpha)$ and the distribution of $\lambda$ under the null hypothesis:

$$
\alpha=P\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \lambda_{0} \mid H_{0}\right) .
$$

The problem here is to find the critical region since there is no generally accepted procedure to do so. The idea is to search for a statistic whose distribution is known.

## Simple Null Hypothesis

vs. a General Alternative
$H_{0}: \quad \theta=\theta_{0}$
vs. $H_{1}: \quad \theta \in \Theta_{1}$ (for example $\theta<\theta_{0}$ ).
We pose:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sup _{\theta \in \Theta_{1}} L\left(\theta_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{L\left(\theta_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)},
$$

where $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta_{1}} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ represents the value of the likelihood function for the parameter that maximizes this likelihood function in the restricted parameter space $\left(\theta \in \Theta_{1}\right)$, and we search again for the distribution of $\lambda$ under $H_{0}$.
If the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ is $\theta \neq \theta_{0}$, we can write $\lambda$ as:

$$
\frac{L\left(\hat{\theta} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{L\left(\theta_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}
$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the maximum likelihood estimate for $\theta$.

General Hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{0}}$ vs. Alternative Hypothesis
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} \backslash \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{0}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} ; \boldsymbol{\theta} \notin \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathbf{0}}\right\}$ Consider the following statistic:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sup _{\theta \in \Theta_{0}} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}
$$

and search the rejection region as in the previous cases.
The $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta_{0}} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ represents the value of the likelihood function for the parameter that maximizes the likelihood function (the maximum likelihood estimate) on the restricted space of the parameters. The $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ represents the same thing but for the whole parameter space. The larger the difference between these two values, the more inappropriate will be the restricted model (and $H_{0}$ will be rejected).
Under $H_{0}$, the distribution of $-2 \log (\lambda)$ follows asymptotically a chi-square distribution with $u$ degrees of freedom, with $u=$ $\operatorname{dim} \Theta-\operatorname{dim} \Theta_{0}$ (that is, the number of parameters specified by $H_{0}$ ). In other words:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \left(\frac{\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\sup _{\theta \in \Theta_{0}} L\left(!\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =\chi^{2}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the particular cases treated earlier, the result is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \log \lambda \sim \chi^{2} \text { on } 1 \text { degree of freedom } \\
& \text { if } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If the data distribution is normal, the likelihood ratio test is equivalent to the Fisher test. The advantage of the latter (when it can be explicitly constructed) is that it guarantees the best performance and power [according to the Neyman-Pearson theorem] to test the simple hypothesis.

## EXAMPLES

Example 1
Let $X$ be a normal random variable with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. We want to test the fol-
lowing hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \mu=0, \quad \sigma^{2}>0 \\
& H_{1}: \mu \neq 0, \quad \sigma^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

By considering the parameter space $\Theta=$ $\left\{\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right) ;-\infty<\mu<\infty, 0<\sigma^{2}<\infty\right\}$ and a subspace $\Theta_{1}=\left\{\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta ; \mu=\right.$ $0\}$, the hypothesis can be now written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta \backslash \Theta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider now $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} n$ observations of variable $X(n>1)$. The likelihood function is (see example under maximum likelihood):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=L\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate the sample mean and variance by using the maximum likelihood estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mu} & =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\bar{x}, \\
\widehat{\sigma}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the likelihood functions are, for the null hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(0, \widehat{\sigma}^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{n}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{n}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{n}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(\frac{n \exp (-1)}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2}
$$

and for the alternative hypothesis:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad L\left(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
=\left(\frac{n}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \\
\cdot \exp \left(-\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) \\
=\left(\frac{n \exp (-1)}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2},
\end{gathered}
$$

so the likelihood ratio is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{L\left(0, \widehat{\sigma}^{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{L\left(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}^{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} \\
& =\left(\frac{n \exp (-1)}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \\
& \left(\frac{n \exp (-1)}{2 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

One must find at this point the distribution of $\lambda$. To do so we recall that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+n \bar{x}^{2}$, and we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+n \bar{x}^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \\
& =1 /\left(1+\frac{n \bar{x}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We search for $\lambda_{0}$ for which the null hypothesis is not rejected if $\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$, which we can write as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \leq \lambda_{0} \\
& \text { or } 1 /\left(1+\frac{n \bar{x}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \leq \lambda_{0} \\
& \text { or }\left(1+\frac{n \bar{x}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \geq \lambda_{0}^{-1} \\
& \text { or } \frac{n \bar{x}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \geq \lambda_{0}^{-2 / n}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying each term of the inequality by $(n-1)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \leq \lambda_{0} & \text { or } \\
& \frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x}|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} /(n-1)}} \\
& \geq \sqrt{(n-1)\left(\lambda_{0}^{-2 / n}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the random variable

$$
T=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\widehat{\sigma} /(n-1)}
$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$ is the sample variance, follows a $t$ distribution with $(n-1)$ degrees of freedom; we can equivalently write:

$$
T=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu)}{\sqrt{n \widehat{\sigma}^{2} /(n-1)}},
$$

which, for mean set to zero, gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\frac{\sqrt{n} \bar{X}}{\sqrt{n \widehat{\sigma}^{2} /(n-1)}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{n} \bar{X}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} /(n-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

One must search now for the value $\lambda_{0}$ for which $c:=\sqrt{(n-1)\left(\lambda_{0}^{-2 / n}-1\right)}$ is the value of a Student variable for a chosen significance level $\alpha$ :

$$
\lambda_{0}=\left(\frac{c^{2}}{n-1}+1\right)^{-n / 2}
$$

For example, given a sample of size $n=6$, and for $\alpha=0.05$, we find $z_{5,0.05 / 2}=2.751$, so it is sufficient to see that:

$$
\lambda \leq\left(\frac{(2.751)^{2}}{5}+1\right)^{-3}=0.063
$$

for the null hypothesis to be true.

## Example 2

Two independent random samples $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}(m+n>2)$ follow normal distributions with parameters
$\left(\mu_{1}, \sigma^{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{2}, \sigma^{2}\right)$, respectively. We wish to test the following hypothesis:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu_{1}=\mu_{2} \\
H_{1}: & \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}
\end{array}
$$

We define the sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta=\{ & \left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma^{2}\right) ;-\infty<\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right. \\
& \left.<\infty, 0<\sigma^{2}<\infty\right\} \\
\Theta_{1}=\{ & \left.\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta ; \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now write the hypothesis as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta_{1} \\
H_{1}: & \left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma^{2}\right) \in \Theta \backslash \Theta_{1}
\end{array}
$$

So the likelihood functions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma}\right)^{n+m} \\
& \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right), \\
& L\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}}\right)^{n+m} \\
& \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the maximum likelihood estimates, which are for the null hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mu}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}}{n+m}=\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}, \\
& \widehat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\widehat{\mu}_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{\mu}_{1}\right)^{2}}{n+m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the alternative hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mu}_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n}=\bar{x}, \\
& \widehat{\mu}_{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}}{m}=\bar{y} \\
& {\widehat{\sigma^{\prime}}}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n+m},
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the likelihood ratio:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\lambda & =\frac{L\left(\widehat{\mu}_{1}, \widehat{\mu}_{1}, \widehat{\sigma}^{2} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)}{L\left(\widehat{\mu}_{1}^{\prime}, \widehat{\mu}_{2}^{\prime}, \widehat{\sigma}^{\prime} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)} & & \begin{array}{l}
\text { To find the distribution of this statistic, } \\
\text { we use the following arguments (note that }
\end{array} \\
& =\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n+m}\right)^{-(n+m) / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{n+m}{2}\right) & & \left.\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=0\right): \\
& \cdot\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right. & & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right]^{-(n+m) / 2} & & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)+\left(\bar{X}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n+m}\right)^{(n+m) / 2} \exp \left(\frac{n+m}{2}\right) & & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+n\left(\bar{X}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2} \\
& {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}\right]^{(n+m) / 2}} & & n m^{2}+\frac{n m^{2}}{(n+m)^{2}}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{2} . \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right. & & \text { The same holds for } Y: \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right)^{-(n+m) / 2} & & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2} \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{(n+m) / 2}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}+\frac{m n^{2}}{(n+m)^{2}}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

$$
/\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\frac{n \bar{x}+m \bar{y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}\right)^{(n+m) / 2}
$$

The statistic $\lambda^{2 /(n+m)}$ is given by:

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\frac{n \bar{X}+m \bar{Y}}{n+m}\right)^{2}} .
$$

We can now write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{2 /(n+m)} \\
&=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{n m}{n+m}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
&=\left(1+\frac{\frac{n m}{n+m}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the null hypothesis is true, then the random variable

$$
T=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{n m}{n+m}}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{n+m-2}}}
$$

follows the Student distribution with $n+m-$ 2 degrees of freedom. Therefore, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{2 /(n+m)} & =\frac{1}{1+(n+m-2) T^{2}} \\
& =\frac{n+m-2}{n+m-2+T^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We search for $\lambda_{0}$ for which the null hypothesis is rejected given $\lambda \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\left(\frac{n+m-2}{n+m-2+T^{2}}\right)^{2 /(n+m)} \leq \lambda_{0} \\
& \Leftrightarrow|T| \geq \sqrt{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\lambda_{0}^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}-1\right) \\
(n+m-2)
\end{array}}:=c .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is now sufficient to take the critical value $c$ from the table of a Student random variable with $\alpha$ fixed (the one we want) and $n$ the sample size. For example, with $n=10, m=6$,
and $\alpha=0.05$, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =z_{14,0.025}=2.145 \\
\lambda_{0} & =\left(\frac{c^{2}}{n+m-2}-1\right)^{-2 /(n+m)} \\
& =\left(\frac{(2.145)^{2}}{14}-1\right)^{-1 / 8}=-1.671 .
\end{aligned}
$$

FURTHER READING

- Alternative hypothesis
- Fisher test
- Hypothesis testing
- Maximum likelihood
- Null hypothesis
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## Line Chart

The line chart is a special type of frequency graph. It is useful in representing a frequency distribution of a discrete random variable. The values of the variable are given in abscissa and the frequencies corresponding to these values are displayed in ordinate.

## HISTORY

See graphical representation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The line chart has two axes. The values $x_{i}$ of the discrete random variable are given in abscissa. A line is drawn over each $x_{i}$ value with a length that is equal to the frequency $n_{i}$ (or relative frequency $f_{i}$ ) corresponding to this value.

## EXAMPLES

The distribution of the shoe sizes of a sample of 84 men are given in the following table.

| Shoe size | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 7 | 13 |
| 8 | 16 |
| 9 | 21 |
| 10 | 19 |
| 11 | 11 |
| Total | 84 |

Here is the line chart established from this table:


## FURTHER READING

- Dot plot
- Frequency distribution
- Graphical representation
- Histogram


## Linear Programming

Mathematical programming concerns the optimal allocation of limited resources in order to reach the desired objectives. In linear programming the mathematical model under study is expressed by using linear relations. A linear programming problem appears in the form of a set of linear equations (or inequalities), called constraints, and a linear function that states the objective, which is called the objective function.

## HISTORY

Mathematical programming appeared in the field of economics around 1930. Some remarkable works on linear programming were published by John Von Neumann (1935-1936). In 1947, George B. Dantzig presented the simplex method for solving linear programming problems.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A linear programming problem can be defined as follows.

Find a set of values for the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ that maximizes (or minimizes) linearly the objective function:

$$
z=c_{1} \cdot x_{1}+c_{2} \cdot x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} \cdot x_{n}
$$

subject to the linear constraints:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{11} \cdot x_{1}+a_{12} \cdot x_{2}+\ldots \\
+a_{1 n} \cdot x_{n}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\leq \\
= \\
\geq
\end{array}\right\} b_{1}, \\
a_{21} \cdot x_{1}+a_{22} \cdot x_{2}+\ldots \\
+a_{2 n} \cdot x_{n}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\leq \\
= \\
\geq
\end{array}\right\} b_{2}, \\
\vdots \\
a_{m 1} \cdot x_{1}+a_{m 2} \cdot x_{2}+\ldots \\
+a_{m n} \cdot x_{n}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\leq \\
= \\
\geq
\end{array}\right\} b_{m},
\end{gathered}
$$

and to the nonnegative constraints:

$$
x_{j} \geq 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

where $a_{i j}, b_{i}$, and $c_{j}$ are known constants. In other words, this means that in a linear programming problem we seek a nonnegative solution that satisfies the posed constraints and optimizes the objective function. We can write a linear programming problem using the following matrix notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximize (or minimize) } \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\leq \\
= \\
\geq
\end{array}\right\} \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

where $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ is a $(1 \times n)$ line vector, $\mathbf{x}$ is an $(n \times 1)$ column vector, $\mathbf{A}$ is an $(m \times n)$ matrix, $\mathbf{b}$ is
an ( $m \times 1$ ) column vector, and $\mathbf{0}$ is the null vector with $n$ components.
The principal tool for solving a linear programming problem is the simplex method. This consists of a set of rules that should be followed to obtain the solution to a given problem. It is an iterative method that provides an exact solution in a finite number of iterations.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Linear programming seeks an optimal allocation of these resources that can produce one or more goods. This is done by maximizing (or minimizing) functions such as the profit (or cost). Therefore, the objective function's coefficients are often called the "prices" associated to the variables.

## EXAMPLES

A firm manufactures tables and chairs using two machines, A and B. Each product is made on both machines. To make a chair, 2 h of machine $A$ and 1 h of machine $B$ are needed. To make a table, 1 h of machine A and 2 h of machine B are needed. The firm makes a profit of 3.00 CHF on each chair and 4.00 CHF on each table. The two machines are available for a maximum of 12 h daily. Given the above data, we search for the daily production schedule that guarantees the maximum profit. In other words, the firm wants to know the optimal production program, that is, the amount of chairs and tables that must be produced per day to reach the maximum profit.
We denote by $x_{1}$ the number of chairs and by $x_{2}$ the number of tables that must be produced per day. The daily profit is given by the following function:

$$
z=3 \cdot x_{1}+4 \cdot x_{2} .
$$

This objective function has to be maximized.
We know that the product $x_{1}$ requires 2 h of machine A , while product $x_{2}$ requires 1 h of machine A. Hence, the total daily hours for machine A is:

$$
2 \cdot x_{1}+1 \cdot x_{2}
$$

since $x_{1}$ chairs and $x_{2}$ tables are produced. Yet the total time for machine A cannot exceed 12 h . In mathematical terms this means:

$$
2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 12 .
$$

In the same manner for machine $B$, we find:

$$
x_{1}+2 x_{2} \leq 12 .
$$

We have two constraints. Furthermore, we cannot produce negative values of chairs and tables, so two additional constraints are added:

$$
x_{1} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2} \geq 0
$$

We wish to find the values for the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ that satisfy the constraints and maximize the profit. We can finally represent the mathematical problem in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max z & =3 x_{1}+4 x_{2}, \\
\text { s.t. } 2 x_{1}+x_{2} & \leq 12, \\
x_{1}+2 x_{2} & \leq 12, \\
\text { and } x_{1}, x_{2} & \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(s.t. means subject to). The maximum profit is given by the pair $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(4,4)$; 28.00 CHF of profit by the production of 4 chairs and 4 tables. For this problem, the result can be found by computing the profit for each possible pair (there are fewer than 36 of them.)

## FURTHER READING

- Operations research
- Optimization
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## Logarithmic Transformation

One of the most useful and common transformations is the logarithmic transformation. Indeed, before running a linear regression, it might be wise to replace the dependent variable $Y$ by its logarithm $\log (Y)$ or by a linear combination of the latter $a+b \cdot \log (Y)(a$ and $b$ being constants adjusted by the least squares). Such an operation would stabilize the variance of $Y$ and would make the distribution of the transformed variable closer to normal.
A logarithmic transformation would also allow one to shift from a multiplicative to an additive model with estimates that are easier to define and simpler to interpret. On the other hand, the logarithmic transformation of a gamma random variable $Y$ results in a new random variable that is closer to the normal distribution. The latter could be easily adapted in a regression analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Dependent variable
- Regression analysis


## Logistic Regression

Given a binary dependent variable, logistic regression offers the possibility of fitting a regression model. It permits one to study the relation between a proportion and a set of explanatory variables, either quantitative or qualitative.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The logistic regression model with dependent variable $Y$ and independent variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, \ldots$ is expressed by:
$\operatorname{logit} \pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right)=\log \left(\frac{P\left(Y_{j}=1\right)}{P\left(Y_{j}=0\right)}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\beta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i} X_{i j} \\
& j=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n)$ corresponds to the observations of variables $Y$ and $X_{i j}$ to the observations of the independent variables and $\pi$ is the probability (of success) function of the logistic regression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right)= \\
& \quad \frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i} X_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i} X_{i j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, we can write:
$P\left(Y_{j}=1\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i} X_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i} X_{i j}\right)}$,
which permits one to compute the probability that variable $Y$ takes a value of 1 depending upon the realization of the independent variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}$.
An interesting property is that the model parameters $\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$ can take any value, since all values of the logit transformation $\operatorname{logit}(\pi(Y))=\log \left(\frac{\pi(Y)}{1-\pi(Y)}\right)$ correspond to a number between 0 and 1 for $P(Y=1)$.
The model parameters can be estimated using the maximum likelihood method, thereby maximizing the following function:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right)^{Y_{i}} . \\
\cdot\left(1-\pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right)\right)^{1-Y_{j}}
\end{array}
$$

or, in an easier way, by maximizing the loglikelihood function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log L\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j} \log \pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(1-Y_{j}\right) \log \left(1-\pi\left(\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, p}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can therefore do hypothesis testing on the parameter values (for example $\beta_{0}=0, \beta_{3}=$ $\beta_{2}$, etc.) by using the likelihood ratio test. The interpretation of the regression coefficients corresponding to binary independent variables is described in the next paragraph. When an independent variable $X$ is continuous, the regression coefficient reflects the relative risk of a one-unit increase in $X$. When the independent variable $X$ has more than one class, we must transform it into binary variables. The coefficient's interpretation is then clear and straightforward.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Logistic regression is often used in epidemiology since:

- Its sigmoidal shape (of the previously given expression $P\left(Y_{j}=1\right)$ ) fits very well the relation usually observed between a dose $X$ and the frequency of a disease $Y: \frac{\exp (x)}{1+\exp (x)}$.
- It is easy to interpret: the association measure between a disease and a risk factor $M$ (corresponding to a binary variable $X_{i}$ ) is expressed by the odds ratio. This is a good approximation of the relative risk when the probabilities $P\left(Y=1 \mid X_{i}=1\right)$ and $P\left(Y=1 \mid X_{i}=0\right)$ are small and it is computed simply as the exponential of the parameter that is associated with the variable $X_{i}$; thus:
odds - ratio of the factor $M=\exp \left(\beta_{i}\right)$.


## EXAMPLES

We take an example given by Hosmer and
Lemeshow. The dependent variable indicates the presence or absence of heart disease for 100 subjects, and the independent variable is age.
The resulting logistic regression model is:

$$
\pi(X)=-5.3+0.11 \cdot X
$$

with a standard deviation for the constant and the $\beta$ (coefficient of the independent variable $X$ ) equal to 1.1337 and 0.241 , respectively. The two estimated parameters are statistically significant.
The risk of having heart disease increases by $\exp (0.11)=1.12$ with every year.
It is also possible to compute the confidence interval by taking the exponential of the
interval for $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\exp (1.11-1.96 \cdot 0.241), \\
& \quad \exp (1.11+1.96 \cdot 0.241)) \\
& =(1.065,1.171) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following table gives the values for the log-likelihood as well as the parameter values that are estimated by maximizing the loglikelihood function in an iterative algorithm:

|  | Log-likelihood | Constant | $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -54.24 | -4.15 | 0.872 |
| 2 | -53.68 | -5.18 | 1.083 |
| 3 | -53.67 | -5.31 | 1.109 |
| 4 | -53.67 | -5.31 | 1.109 |

## FURTHER READING

- Binary data
- Contingency table
- Likelihood ratio test
- Maximum likelihood
- Model
- Odds and odds ratio
- Regression analysis
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## Lognormal Distribution

A random variable $Y$ follows a lognormal distribution if the values of $Y$ are a function of the values of $X$ according to the equation:

$$
y=\exp (x),
$$

where the random variable $X$ follows a normal distribution.
The density function of the lognormal distribution is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y)= & \frac{1}{\sigma y \sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& \cdot \exp \left(\left[\frac{-(\log y-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Lognormal distribution, $\mu=0, \sigma=1$

The lognormal distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

The lognormal distribution is due to the works of Galton, F. (1879) and McAlister, D. (1879), who obtained expressions for the mean, median, mode, variance, and certain quantiles of the resulting distribution. Galton, F. went from $n$ independent positive random variables and constructed the product and, with the help of the logarithm, passed from the product to a sum of new random variables.

Kapteyn, J.C. (1903) reconsidered the construction of a random variable following a lognormal distribution with van Uven, M.J. (1916). They developed a graphical method for the estimation of parameters. Since that time, many works relative to the lognormal distribution have been published. An exhaustive list is given by Johnson, N.L. and Kotz, S. (1970).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the lognormal distribution is given by:

$$
E[Y]=\exp \left(\mu+\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$

The variance is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & \exp (2 \mu) \\
& \cdot\left[\exp \left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)-\exp \left(\sigma^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the random variable $Y$ follows a lognormal distribution, the random variable

$$
X=\ln Y
$$

## follows a normal distribution.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The lognormal distribution is largely applied in common statistical practice. For example, the critical proportioning in pharmaceutical applications, the length of a visit to the doctor, and the distribution of economic forces all follow a lognormal distribution.
The lognormal distribution can be used as an approximation of the normal distribution. As $\sigma$ gets small, the lognormal distribution tends toward the normal distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Normal distribution
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## Longitudinal Data

Longitudinal data are data collected on subjects over time. These correspond to multiple observations per subject as the same units are repeatedly measured on more than one occasion. Repeated measures, in biology and medicine, as well as panel studies, in social and economic sciences, are characteristic examples of longitudinal data studies.

## HISTORY

See history of panel data.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A very general way to write the linear model in longitudinal data analysis is the following:

$$
\mathbf{y}_{i}=\mathbf{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{W}_{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i},
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{i}$ is the $n_{i} \times 1$ column response vector for the subject $i, \mathbf{X}_{i}$ is an $n_{i} \times b$ design
matrix usually consisting of dummy coded variables, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $n_{i} \times b$ regression coefficient vector, $\mathbf{W}_{i}$ is an $b \times 1$ design matrix for random effects $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}$, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$ are the subject error terms.
The general model above is a mixed model since it includes both fixed ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) and random effects $\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}\right)$.
Parameter estimation is often done by means of maximum likelihood estimation with the likelihood function strongly dependent on the assumptions made on the covariance structure of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}$. It is straightforward to construct statistical tests on the estimated parameters, to obtain confidence intervals, and to measure prediction. Model-selection techniques are also used to test nested models.

## EXAMPLE

Two groups of people follow two different treatments for the blood pressure, and they have been followed for a period of 5 months. Each group consists of five individuals. The measures of interest are given in the table below:

| Month (Jan to May) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st group | 105 | 68 | 95 | 86 | 93 |
|  | 100 | 92 | 98 | 74 | 35 |
|  | 102 | 85 | 95 | 62 | 82 |
|  | 70 | 81 | 72 | 35 | 39 |
|  | 98 | 34 | 34 | 37 | 40 |
|  | 83 | 25 | 31 | 42 | 38 |
|  | 69 | 44 | 41 | 30 | 32 |
| 2nd group | 84 | 64 | 77 | 80 | 82 |
|  | 63 | 54 | 55 | 47 | 44 |
|  | 93 | 77 | 44 | 38 | 40 |

Each row corresponds to observations taken over time for the same individual. Below is the figure that plots the group mean curves $\pm 1$ standard error.


The simplest approach in this case would be to ignore the random effects $\left(\gamma_{i}=0\right)$ and to construct a simple model with fixed effect only, that is, the group where a subject belongs. In such a case the model reduces to

$$
y_{i j}=\beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j}
$$

with $j$ indicating the group and being a simple dummy variable. If there is a significant difference between individuals, then random effect $\gamma_{i}$ is added to the model above.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Longitudinal data are mostly used in epidemiology and medical statistics, as well as in socioeconomic studies. In the former cases longitudinal data represent repeated measurements for different treatment groups in clinical trials. In the latter we note the panel studies that usually measure social and eco-
nomic indexes, such as income, consumption, and job satisfaction, in different time periods.
There are many possible complications in longitudinal data analysis. Firstly, data are correlated since the same subjects are measured over time. This must be accounted for in the final analysis in order to provide proper results. Moreover, missing data often appear in such data analysis since not all of the subjects are measured during the whole time period. The latter becomes more problematic, for example, in survival analysis and followup studies where the subjects (usually the patients) die off or quit the trials and abandon the treatments.

## FURTHER READING

- Panel
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## Mahalanobis Distance

The Mahalanobis distance is the distance from $X$ to the quantity $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ defined as:

$$
d_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\mu})=(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{t} \sum^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})
$$

This distance is based on the correlation between variables or the variancecovariance matrix.
It differs from the Euclidean distance in that it takes into account the correlation of the data set and does not depend on the scale of measurement. Mahalanobis distance is widely used in cluster analysis and other classification methods.

## HISTORY

The Mahalanobis distance was introduced by Mahalanobis, P.C. in 1936.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $\mu_{i}$ denotes $E\left(X_{i}\right)$, then by definition the expected value of $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right)$ vector:

$$
E[\mathbf{X}]=\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{1} \\
\mu_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\mu_{p}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The variance-covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{1}^{2} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & \ldots & \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{p}\right) \\
& \sigma_{2}^{2} & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \sigma_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =E\left[\mathbf{X X}^{\prime}\right]-\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a square symmetrical matrix of order $p$. If the $X_{i}$ variables are standardized, then $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is identical to the correlation matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \ldots & \rho_{1 p} \\
& 1 & \rho_{23} & \ldots & \rho_{2 p} \\
& & 1 & \ldots & \rho_{3 p} \\
& & & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1 / 2} . \mathbf{Y}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1 / 2}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})$ is a random standardized vector with noncorrelated components. The random variable ( $\mathbf{X}-$ $\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})=D^{2}$ has expected value of $p$. In fact, $D^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} Y_{i}^{2}$, where the $Y_{i}$ are of random variables with mean 0 and $1 . D$ is called a Mahalanobis distance from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Cluster analysis
- Correspondence analysis
- Covariance analysis
- Distance
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## Mahalanobis, Prasanta Chandra

Prasanta Chandra Mahalanobis (18931972) studied in school at Calcutta until 1908. In 1912, he received his B.Sc. in physics at the Presidential College of Calcutta. In 1915, he went to England to earn a Tripos (B.Sc. received at Cambridge) in mathematics and physics at King's College of Cambridge. Before beginning his research, he returned to Calcutta on holiday, but he did not return to England because of the war.
As a statistician, Mahalanobis did not use statistics as an end in itself but mostly as a tool for comprehending and interpreting scientific data as well as for making decisions regarding society's well-being. He used statistics first in his study of anthropology (about 1917) and then floods. In 1930, he published an article on the $D^{2}$ statistic called "Test and Measures of Group Divergence". His greatest contribution to statistics is surely the large-scale census. He made many contributions in this area such as the opti-
mal choice of sampling using variance and cost functions. He was named president of the United Nations Subcommission on Statistical Sampling in 1947, a position he held until 1951.
His friendship with Ronald Aylmer Fisher, which lasted from 1926 until Fisher's death, is also notable.

## Principal works and articles of Prasanta

 Chandra Mahalanobis:1930 On tests and meassures of groups divergence. PartI. Theoretical formulae. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 26 pp. 541-588.
1936 On the generalized distance in statistics, Proc. Natn. Inst. Sci. India 2, 4955.

1940 A sample survey of the acreage under jute in Bengal. Sankhya 4, 511-530.
1944 On large-scale sample surveys. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. B 231329-451.
1963 The Approach of Operational Research to Planning in India. Asia Publishing House, Bombay.

## FURTHER READING

- Mahalanobis distance


## Main Effect

In a factorial experiment, a main effect is the contribution of a factor level specific to the response variable.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The linear model that only takes into account the main effects of factors and that ignores the interactions among factors is called an
additive model. Consider the additive model of a double classification design:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i j}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \\
& \quad i=1,2, \ldots, a \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, b,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{i j}$ is the response receiving the treatment $i j, \mu$ is the mean common to all the treatments, $\alpha_{i}$ is the main effect of the $i$ th level of factor $\mathrm{A}, \beta_{j}$ is the main effect of the $j$ th level of factor B , and $\epsilon_{i j}$ is the experimental error of the observation $Y_{i j}$.
If $a=b=2$, then the principal effects of factors $A$ and $B$, denoted $a$ and $b$, respectively, are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{0}\right)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{0}\right)}{2}, \\
& b=\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{0}\right)\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{0}\right)}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Estimation
- Factor
- Factorial experiment
- Hypothesis testing
- Interaction
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## Mann-Whitney Test

The Mann-Whitney test is a nonparametric test that aims to test the equality of two populations. It is used when we have two samples coming from two populations.

## HISTORY

The Mann-Whitney test was first introduced for the case $m=n$ by Wilcoxon, Frank (1945). Then, the Wilcoxon test was extended to the case of samples of different dimensions by White, C. (1952).
Note that a test equivalent to those of the Wilcoxon test was developed independently and introduced by Festinger, L. (1946). Mann, H.B. and Whitney, D.R. (1947) were the first to consider the samples of different dimensions and to provide the tables adapted to small samples.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ ) be a sample of dimension $n$ coming from a population 1 , and let $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$ be a sample of dimension $m$ coming from a population 2 .
We get $N=n+m$ observations that we class in increasing order noting each time the sample to which a given observation belongs. The Mann and Whitney statistic, denoted by $U$, is defined as the total number of times that an $X_{i}$ precedes a $Y_{j}$ in the classification in increasing order of the $N$ observations. When the dimension of the sample is big enough, the determination of $U$ becomes long, but we can use the following relation that gives identical results:

$$
U=m n+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-T
$$

where $T$ is the sum of ranks attributed to the $X$ from the Wilcoxon test.

## Hypotheses

The hypotheses corresponding to the Mann-Whitney test can be formulated as follows, according to the one-tail or two-tail case:

## A: Two-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y)=\frac{1}{2} \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y) \neq \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}
$$

## B: One-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y)>\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}
$$

## C: One-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y) \geq \frac{1}{2} \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y)<\frac{1}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

In case A, the null hypothesis corresponds to the situation where there is no difference between the populations. In case $B$, the null hypothesis means that population 1 is greater than population 2. In case $C$, the null hypothesis indicates that population 1 is smaller than population 2.

## Decision Rules

If $m, n<12$, we compare statistic $U$ with the value found in the Mann-Whitney table to test $H_{0}$. On the other hand, if $m, n \geq 12$, then the sampling distribution of $U$ approaches very quickly the normal distribution with mean $\mu=\frac{m \cdot n}{2}$ and standard deviation $\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{m n(m+n+1)}{12}}$.
Thus we can determine the meaning of the observed value of $U$ by:

$$
Z=\frac{U-\mu}{\sigma},
$$

where $Z$ is a random standardized variable. In other words, we can compare the value of $Z$ thus obtained with the normal table.
Moreover, the decision rules are different and depend on the posed hypotheses. In this way we have rules $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C relative to the previous cases $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C .

## Decision rule A

We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $U$ is smaller than the value in the Mann-Whitney table with parameters $n, m$, and $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ denoted by $t_{n, m, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, or if $U$ is greater than the value in the Mann-Whitney table for $n, m$, and $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$, denoted $t_{n, m, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$, that is, if

$$
t_{n, m, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<U<t_{n, m, \frac{\alpha}{2}} .
$$

## Decision rule B

We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $U$ is smaller than the value in the Mann-Whitney table with parameters $n, m$, and $\alpha$, denoted by $t_{n, m, \alpha}$, that is, if

$$
U<t_{n, m, \alpha} .
$$

## Decision rule C

We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $U$ is greater than the value in the Mann-Whitney table with parameters $n, m$, and $1-\alpha$, denoted by $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
U>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As there exists a linear relation between the Wilcoxon test and the Mann-Whitney test $(U=m n+n(n+1) / 2-T)$, these two tests are equivalent.
Thus they have two common properties, andboth must be respected:

1. Both samples are random samples taken from their respective populations.
2. In addition to the independence inside each sample, there is mutual independence between samples.
3. The scale of measurement is at least ordinal.

## EXAMPLES

In a class, we count nine pupils: five boys and four girls. The class takes a test on mental calculation to see if the boys are, in general, better than the girls in this domain.
The data are in the following table, the highest score corresponding to the best test result.

| Boys $\left(X_{i}\right)$ | Girls $\left(Y_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| 9.2 | 11.1 |
| 11.3 | 15.6 |
| 19.8 | 20.3 |
| 21.1 | 23.6 |
| 24.3 |  |

We class the score in increasing order noting each time the sample to which a given observation belongs.

| Score | Sample | Rank |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of times $X$ |  |  |
| precedes $Y$ |  |  |

We find $U=4+3+2+1=10$. In the same way, if we use the relation $U=m n+$ $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-T$, then we have:
$T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(X_{i}\right)=1+3+5+7+9=25$
and $U=5 \cdot 4+\frac{5 \cdot 6}{2}-25=10$.
The hypotheses to be tested are as follows:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : Boys are not generally better than girls in mental calculation.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : Boys are generally better than girls in mental calculation.

The null hypothesis may be restated as follows: $H_{0}: P(X<Y) \geq 1 / 2$ and corresponds to case C . The decision rule is then as follows: Reject $H_{0}$ at significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
U>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha},
$$

where $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}$ is the value in the MannWhitney table with parameters $n, m$, and $1-$ $\alpha$. Recall that in our case, $m=4$ and $n=5$. As we have a relation between the Wilcoxon test and the Mann-Whitney test, instead of using the $U$ table, we use the Wilcoxon table for $T$. If we choose $\alpha=0.05$, then the value of the Wilcoxon table equals 32 . As $T=25<32$, we do not reject $H_{0}$ and we conclude that boys are not generally better than girls in mental calculation.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
- Wilcoxon test
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## Marginal Density Function

In the case of a pair of random variables $(X, Y)$, when random variable $X$ ( or $Y$ ) is considered by itself, its density function is called the marginal density function.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the case of a pair of continuous random variables ( $X, Y$ ) with a joint density function $f(x, y)$, the marginal density function of $X$ is obtained by integration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X \leq a,-\infty & <Y<\infty) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{a} f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \mathrm{d} y$ is the density of $X$.
The marginal density function of $Y$ is obtained in the same way:

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \mathrm{d} x
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Density function
- Joint density function
- Pair of random variables
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## Marginal Distribution Function

In the case of a pair of random variables ( $X, Y$ ), when random variable $X$ (or $Y$ ) is considered by itself, its distribution function is called the marginal distribution function.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a pair of random variables ( $X, Y$ ) and their joint distribution function:

$$
F(a, b)=P(X \leq a, Y \leq b) .
$$

The marginal distribution function of $X$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{X}(a) & =P(X \leq a) \\
& =P(X \leq a, Y<\infty) \\
& =P\left[\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty}(X \leq a, Y \leq b)\right] \\
& =\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} P(X \leq a, Y \leq b) \\
& =\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} F(a, b) \\
& =F(a, \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, the marginal distribution function of $Y$ is equal to:

$$
F_{Y}(b)=F(\infty, b) .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Distribution function
- Joint distribution function
- Pair of random variables


## Markov, Andrei Andreevich

Markov, Andrei Andreevich was born in 1856 in Ryazan and died in 1922 in St. Petersburg.
This student of Tchebychev, Pafnutii Lvovich made important contributions to the
field of probability calculus. He gave a rigorous proof of the central limit theorem. Through his works on Markov chains, the concept of Markovian dependence evolved into the modern theory and application of random processes. The globalism of his work has influenced the development of probability and international statistics.
He studied mathematics at St. Petersburg University, where he received his bachelor degree, and his doctorate in 1878. He then taught, continuing the courses of Tchebychev, Pafnutii Lvovich after Tchebychev, P.L. left the university. In 1886, he was elected member of the School of Mathematics founded by Tchebychev, P.L. at the St. Petersburg Academy of Sciences. He became a full member of the Academy in 1886 and in 1905 retired from the university, where he continued teaching.
Markov and Liapunov were famous students of Tchebychev, P.L. and were ever striving to establish probability as an exact and practical mathematical science.
The first appearance of Markov chains in Markov's work happened in Izvestiia (Bulletin) of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Kazan University. Markov completed the proof of the central limit theorem that Tchebychev started but did not finish. He approached it using the method of moments, and the proof was published in the third edition of Ischislenie Veroiatnostei (Probability Calculus).

## Principal work of Markov, Andrei Andree-

 vich:1899 Application des functions continues au calcul des probabilités, Kasan. Bull. 9(2), 29-34.

## FURTHER READING

- Central limit theorem
- Gauss-Markov theorem
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## Matrix

A rectangular table with $m$ lines and $n$ columns is called an ( $m \times n$ ) matrix. The elements figuring in the table are called coefficients or components of the matrix.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A matrix can be represented as follows:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

An element is characterized by its value and position. Therefore $a_{i j}$ is an element of matrix $A$ where $i$ indicates the line number and $j$ the column number. A matrix with $m$ lines and $n$ columns is of order $(m \times n)$. There are different types of matrices:

- Square matrix of order $n$

A matrix is called a square matrix of order $n$ if it has as many lines as columns (denoted by $n$ ).

- Null matrix

The matrix on which all the elements are null is called the null matrix. It will be denoted by $O$.

- Identity matrix

For any square matrix of order $n$, the elements that have the same index for the line
as for the column [meaning the elements in position $(i, i)$ ] form the main diagonal.
An identity matrix is a square matrix that has " 1 " on the main diagonal and " 0 " everywhere else. It is denoted by $I$ or sometimes by $I_{n}$, where $n$ is the order of the identity matrix.

- Symmetric matrix

A square matrix $A$ is symmetric if $A=A^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}$ is the transpose of matrix $A$.

- Antisymmetric matrix

A square matrix $A$ is antisymmetric if $A=$ $-A^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}$ is the transpose of matrix $A$; this condition leads to the elements on the main diagonal $a_{i j}$ for $i=j$ being null.

- Scalar matrix

A square matrix $A$ is called a scalar matrix if

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i j}=\beta & \text { for } i=j, \\
a_{i j}=0 & \text { for } i \neq j,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is a scalar.

- Diagonal matrix

A square matrix $A$ is called a diagonal matrix if $a_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j$ and where $a_{i j}$ is any number.

- Triangular matrix

A square matrix $A$ is called upper triangular if $a_{i j}=0$ for $i>j$, or lower triangular if $a_{i j}=0$ for $i<j$.

## Operations on Matrices

- Addition of matrices

If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ are two matrices of order $(m \times n)$, then their sum $A+B$ is defined by the matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ of order ( $m \times n$ ) of which each element is the sum of corresponding elements of $A$ and $B$ :

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(c_{i j}\right)=\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right)
$$

- Multiplication of a matrix by a scalar If $\alpha$ is a scalar and $A$ a matrix, the product $\alpha A$ is the matrix of the same order as $A$
obtained by multiplying each element $a_{i j}$ of $A$ by the scalar $\alpha$ :

$$
\alpha \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \alpha=\left(\alpha \cdot a_{i j}\right)
$$

- Multiplication of matrices

Let $A$ and $B$ be two matrices; the product $A B$ is defined if and only if the number of columns of $A$ is equal to the number of lines of $B$. If $A$ is of order $(m \times n)$ and $B$ of order $(n \times q)$, then the product $A B$ is defined by the matrix $C$ of order $(m \times q)$ whose elements are obtained by calculating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} \cdot b_{k j} \\
& \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m \text { and } j=1, \ldots, q .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the elements of the $i$ th line of $A$ are multiplied by the corresponding elements of the $j$ th column of $B$, and the results are added.

- Integer power of square matrices Let $A$ be a square matrix; if $p$ is a positive integer, the $p$ th power of $A$ is defined by:

$$
A^{p}=A \cdot A \cdot \ldots \cdot A \quad p \text { times }
$$

Normally, for $p=0$, we pose $A^{0}=I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix of the same order as $A$.

- Square root of a diagonal matrix

Let $A$ be a diagonal matrix of nonnegative coefficients. We denote by $\sqrt{A}$ the square root of $A$, defined by the diagonal matrix of the same order as $A$, in which we replace each element by its square root:

$$
\sqrt{\mathbf{A}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sqrt{a_{11}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{a_{22}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{a_{n n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The use of matrices, or generally matrix calculus, is widely used in regression analysis, in analysis of variance, and in many other domains of statistics. It allows to describe in the most concise manner a system of equations and facilitates greatly the notations. For the same reasons, the matrices appear in analyses of data where we generally encounter large data tables.

## EXAMPLES

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be two square matrices of order 2:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
6 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The sum of two matrices is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{rr}
6 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+6 & 2+2 \\
1-2 & 3+1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
7 & 4 \\
-1 & 4
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The multiplication of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by 3 gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \cdot \mathbf{A} & =3 \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 \cdot 1 & 3 \cdot 2 \\
3 \cdot 1 & 3 \cdot 3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 6 \\
3 & 9
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix product of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ equals:
$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rr}6 & 2 \\ -2 & 1\end{array}\right] \\ & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \cdot 6+2 \cdot(-2) & 1 \cdot 2+2 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 6+3 \cdot(-2) & 1 \cdot 2+3 \cdot 1\end{array}\right]\end{aligned}$
$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5\end{array}\right]$.

Remark: A•B and B • A are generally not equal, which illustrates the noncommutativity of the matrix multiplication.
The square of matrix $A$ is obtained by multiplying $A$ by $A$. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}^{2} & =\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1^{2}+2 \cdot 1 & 1 \cdot 2+2 \cdot 3 \\
1^{2}+3 \cdot 1 & 1 \cdot 2+3 \cdot 3
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{A}^{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
3 & 8 \\
4 & 11
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the following diagonal matrix of order 2:

$$
\mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
9 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

We define the square root of square matrix $\mathbf{D}$, denoted $\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$, by:
$\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\sqrt{9} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\end{array}\right]$.

FURTHER READING

- Correspondence analysis
- Data analysis
- Determinant
- Hat matrix
- Inertia matrix
- Inverse matrix
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Trace
- Transpose
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## Maximum Likelihood

The term maximum likelihood refers to a method of estimating parameters of a population from a random sample. It is applied when we know the general form of distribution of the population but when one or more parameters of this distribution are unknown. The method consists in choosing an estimator of unknown parameters whose values maximize the probability of obtaining the observed sample.

## HISTORY

Generally the maximum likelihood method is attributed to Fisher, Ronald Aylmer. However, the method can be traced back to the works of the 18th-century scientists Lambert, J.H. and Bernoulli, D. Fisher, R.A. introduced the method of maximum likelihood in his first statistical publications in 1912.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a sample of $n$ random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, where each random variable is distributed according to a probability distribution giving a density function $f(x, \theta)$, with $\theta \in \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is the parameter space. The joint density function of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \theta\right) \cdot f\left(x_{2}, \theta\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot f\left(x_{n}, \theta\right) .
$$

For fixed $x$, this function is a function of $\theta$ and is called likelihood function $L$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= & f\left(x_{1}, \theta\right) \cdot f\left(x_{2}, \theta\right) \\
& \ldots \cdot f\left(x_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

An estimator is the maximum likelihood estimator of $\widehat{\theta}$ if it maximizes the likelihood function. Note that this function $L$ can be maximized by setting to zero the first partial derivative by $\theta$ and resolving the equations thus obtained. Moreover, when each function $L$ and $\ln L$ are maximized for each value of $\theta$, it is often possible to resolve:

$$
\frac{\partial \ln L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}=0 .
$$

Finally, the maximum likelihood estimators are not always unique and this method does not always give unbiased estimators.

## EXAMPLES

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample coming from the normal distribution with the mean $\mu$ and the variance $\sigma^{2}$. The method of estimation of maximum likelihood consists in choosing as estimators of unknown parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ the values that maximize the probability of having the observed sample.
Thus maximizing the likelihood function entails the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} ; x_{1} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{(\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi})^{n}} \exp \left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, which is the same thing, its natural logarithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln L= & \ln \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi})^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \exp \left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right] \\
= & \ln (\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi})^{-n}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}} \\
= & \ln (2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}+\ln (\sigma)^{-n} \\
& -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}} \\
= & -\frac{n}{2} \cdot \ln (2 \pi)-n \cdot \ln (\sigma) \\
& -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set equal to zero the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu}$ and $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} & =\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)=0  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma} & =-\frac{n}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma \cdot \sigma^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& =0
\end{align*}
$$

From (1) we deduce:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) & =0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) & =0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}-n \cdot \mu & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\bar{x} .
$$

Thus for the normal distribution, the arithmetic mean is the maximum likelihood estimator.
From (2) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{n}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma \cdot \sigma^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}=0 \\
& \frac{1}{\sigma \cdot \sigma^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}=\frac{n}{\sigma} \\
& \hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find in this case as maximum likelihood estimator the empirical variance of the sample.
Note that the maximum likelihood estimation for the mean is without bias and those of the variance are biased (divided by $n$ instead of $n-1$ ). We find that for the normal distribution, the mean and variance of the sample are the maximum likelihood estimators of the mean and variance of the population. This is not necessarily true for other distributions: consider, for example, the case of the uniform distribution in the interval $[a, b]$, where $a$ and $b$ are unknown and where the parameter to be estimated is the mean of the distribution $\mu=(a+b) / 2$. We can verify that the estimator of the maximum likelihood of $\mu$ equals:

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{x_{1}+x_{n}}{2}
$$

where $x_{1}$ and $x_{n}$ are the smallest and the largest observations of the sample $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, respectively. This estimator is the middle point of the interval with the limits $x_{1}$ and $x_{n}$. We see that in this case, the
maximum likelihood estimator is different from the mean of the sample:

$$
\hat{\mu} \neq \bar{x} .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Estimator
- Likelihood ratio test
- Robust estimation
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## Mean

The mean of a random variable is a central tendency measure of this variable. It is also called the expected value. In practice, the term mean is often used in the meaning of the arithmetic mean.

## HISTORY

See arithmetic mean.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X$ be a continuous random variable whose density function is $f(x)$. The mean $\mu$ of $X$, if it exists, is given by:

$$
\mu=\int x \cdot f(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

The mean of a discrete random variable $X$ of probability function $P(X=x)$, if it exists, is given by:

$$
\mu=\sum x \cdot P(X=x)
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Empirically, the determination of the arithmetic mean of a set of observations gives us a measure of the central value of this set. This measure gives us an estimation of the mean $\mu$ of random variable $X$ from which the observations are given.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Expected value
- Measure of central tendency
- Measure of kurtosis
- Measure of skewness
- Weighted arithmetic mean


## Mean Absolute Deviation

The mean deviation of a set of quantitative observations is a measure of dispersion indicating the mean of the absolute values of the deviations of each observation with respect to a measure of position of the distribution.

## HISTORY

See $L_{1}$ estimation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ a set of $n$ quantities or of $n$ observations related to a quantitative variable $X$. According to the definition, the mean deviation is calculated as follows:

$$
E M=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-\bar{x}\right|}{n}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean of the observations. When the observations are ordered
in a frequency distribution, the mean deviation is calculated as follows:

$$
E M=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i} \cdot\left|x_{i}-\bar{x}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}}
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ represents the different values of the variable,
$f_{i}$ is the frequencies associated to these values, and
$\boldsymbol{k} \quad$ is the number of different values.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The mean absolute deviation is a measure of dispersion more robust than the standard deviation.

## EXAMPLES

Five students successively passed two exams for which they obtained the following grades:
Exam 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.544 .53 .54 .5
\end{aligned} \bar{x}=\frac{20}{5}=4
$$

Exam 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{2.55 .53 .54 .54}
\end{aligned} \bar{x}=\frac{20}{5}=4
$$

The arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of the grades is identical for both exams. Nevertheless, the variability of the grades (or the dispersion) is not the same.
For exam 1, the mean deviation is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mean } \\
& \text { deviation }=\frac{|3.5-4|}{5}+\frac{|4-4|}{5} \\
&+\frac{|4.5-4|}{5}+\frac{|3.5-4|}{5} \\
&+\frac{|4.5-4|}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{2}{5}=0.4
$$

For exam 2, the mean deviation is much higher:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mean } & \frac{|2.5-4|}{5}+\frac{|5.5-4|}{5} \\
& +\frac{|3.5-4|}{5}+\frac{|4.5-4|}{5} \\
& +\frac{|4-4|}{5} \\
= & \frac{4}{5}=0.8
\end{aligned}
$$

The grades of the second exam are therefore more dispersed around the arithmetic mean than the grades of the first exam.

## FURTHER READING

- $L_{1}$ estimation
- Measure of dispersion
- Standard deviation
- Variance


## Mean Squared Error

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of parameter $\theta$. The squared error in estimating $\theta$ by $\hat{\theta}$ is $(\theta-\hat{\theta})^{2}$.
The mean squared error ( $M S E$ ) is the mathematical expectation of this value:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E\left[(\theta-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right]
$$

We can prove that the mean squared error equals the sum of the variance of the estimator and of the square of the bias:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+(E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta)^{2}
$$

Thus when the considered estimator is without bias, the mean squared error corresponds exactly to the variance of the estimator.

## HISTORY

In the early 19th century, de Laplace, Pierre Simon (1820) and Gauss, Carl Friedrich (1821) compared the estimation of an unknown quantity, based on observations with random errors, with a chance game. They drew a parallel between the error of the estimated value and the loss due to such a game. Gauss, Carl Friedrich proposed a measure of inexactitude, the square of error. He recognized that his choice was random, but he justified it by the mathematical simplicity of the function "make the square".

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ a sample of dimension $n$ and $\hat{\theta}$ an estimator of parameter $\theta$; the deviation (squares) of the estimation is given by $(\theta-\hat{\theta})^{2}$.
The mean squared error is defined by:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E\left[(\theta-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right] .
$$

We can prove that:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+(E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta)^{2}
$$

that is, the mean squared error equals the sum of the variance of the estimator and the square of the bias.
In fact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E\left[(\theta-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}]+E[\hat{\theta}]-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}])^{2}+(E[\hat{\theta}]-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+2 \cdot(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}]) \cdot(E[\hat{\theta}]-\hat{\theta})] \\
& =E\left[(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}])^{2}\right]+E\left[(E[\hat{\theta}]-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \cdot(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}]) \cdot(E[\hat{\theta}]-E[\hat{\theta}]) \\
= & E\left[(E[\hat{\theta}]-\hat{\theta})^{2}\right]+(\theta-E[\hat{\theta}])^{2} \\
= & \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+(\text { bias })^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bias is $E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta$.
Thus when the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is without bias, that is, $E[\hat{\theta}]=\theta$, we get:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})
$$

The efficiency of an estimator is inversely proportional to its mean squared error.
We say that the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=0
$$

In this case the variance and the bias of the estimator tend to 0 when the number of observations $n$ tends to infinity.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Certain authors prefer to use the mean deviation in absolute value defined by $E[|\theta-\hat{\theta}|]$, where $\hat{\theta}$ is the estimator of parameter $\theta$. The disadvantage of the last definition is at the level of mathematical properties, because the function of absolute value cannot be differentiated everywhere.

## EXAMPLES

We consider a multiple linear regression in matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where
$\mathbf{Y}$ is a vector of order $n$ ( $n$ observations of the independent variable),
$\mathbf{X}$ is a given matrix $n \times p$,
$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the vector of order $p$ of the coefficients to be determined, and
$\varepsilon$ is the vector of errors that we suppose are independent and each following a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
The vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$ gives an estimator without bias of $\beta$.
We then obtain:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) .
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bias
- Estimator
- Expected value
- Variance
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## Measure of Central Tendency

A measure of central tendency is a statistic that summarizes a set of data relative to a quantitative variable. More precisely, it allows to determine a fixed value, called a central value, around which the set of data has the tendency to group. The principal measures of central tendencies are:

## - Arithmetic mean

- Median
- Mode


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The mean $\mu$, median $M_{\mathrm{d}}$, and mode $M_{\mathrm{o}}$ are measures of the center of distribution.
In a symmetric distribution, the mean, median, and mode coincide in a common value. This value gives the center of symmetry of the distribution. For a symmetric unimodal distribution, we have:

$$
\mu=M_{\mathrm{d}}=M_{\mathrm{o}} .
$$

In this case, an estimation of the center of the distribution can be given by the mean, median, or mode.
In an asymmetric distribution, the different measures of central tendency have different values. (Their comparison can be used as a measure of skewness.)
For a unimodal distribution stretched to the right, we generally have:


This relation is inverted when the distribution is unimodal and stretched to the left:

For a unimodal distribution, moderately asymmetric, it was empirically proved that

the mode $M_{\mathrm{o}}$, the median $M_{\mathrm{d}}$, and the mean $\mu$ often satisfy the following relation, in an approximative manner:

$$
M_{\mathrm{o}}-\mu=3 \cdot\left(M_{\mathrm{d}}-\mu\right)
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In practice, the choice of the measure allowing one to characterize at best the center of a set of observations depends on the specific features of the statistical studies and the information we wish to obtain.
Let us compare the arithmetic mean, the mode, and the median depending on different criteria:

## 1. The arithmetic mean:

- Depends on the value of all observations.
- Is simple to interpret.
- Is the most familiar and the most used measure.
- Is frequently used as an estimator of the mean of the population.
- Has a value that can be falsified by the outliers.
- In addition, the sum of squared deviations of each observation $x_{i}$ of a set of data and a value $\alpha$ is minimal when $\alpha$ equals the arithmetic mean:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\alpha\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha=\text { arithmet. mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. The median:

- Is easy to determine because only one data classification is needed.
- Is easy to understand but less used than the arithmetic mean.
- Is not influenced by outliers, which gives it an advantage over the arith-
metic mean, if the series really have outliers.
- Is used as an estimator of central values of a distribution, especially when it is asymmetric or has outliers.
- The sum of squared deviations in absolute value between each observation $x_{i}$ of a set of data and a value $\alpha$ is minimal when $\alpha$ equals the median:

$$
\min _{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-\alpha\right| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha=M_{\mathrm{d}}
$$

3. The mode:

- Has practical interest because it is the most represented value of a set.
- Is in any event a rarely used measure.
- Has a value that is little influenced by outliers.
- Has a value that is strongly influenced by the fluctuations of a sampling. It can strongly vary from one sample to another.
In addition, there can be many (or no) modes in a data set.


## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Expected value
- Mean
- Measure of skewness
- Median
- Mode
- Weighted arithmetic mean
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## Measure of Dispersion

A measure of dispersion allows to describe a set of data concerning a particular variable, giving an indication of the variability of the values inside the data set. The measure of dispersion completes the description given by the measure of central tendency of a distribution.
If we observe different distributions, we can say that for some of them, all the data are grouped in a more or less short distance from the central value; for others the distance is much greater.
We can class the measures of dispersion into two groups:

1. Measures defined by the distance between two representative values of the distribution:

- Range, also called the interval of variation


## - Interquartile Range

2. Measures calculated depending on deviations of each datum from a central value:

- Geometric deviation
- Median deviation
- Mean absolute deviation


## - Standard deviation

Among measures of dispersion, the most important and most used is the standard deviation.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Except for the descriptive aspect allowing one to characterize a distribution or compare many distributions, the knowledge of the dispersion or of the variability of a distribution can have a considerable practical interest. What would happen if our decisions were based on the mean alone.

- Roads would be constructed to get only the mean traffic, and the traffic jams during holidays would be immeasurable.
- Houses would be constructed in such as way as to resist the mean force of wind with the consequences that would result in the case of a strong storm.
These examples show that in certain cases, having a measure of central tendency is not enough to be able to make a reliable decision. Moreover, the measure of dispersion is an indication of the character of the central tendency measure. The smaller the variability of a given set, the more the value of the measure of central tendency will be representative of the data set.


## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of variation
- Interquartile range
- Mean absolute deviation
- Measure of central tendency
- Range
- Standard deviation
- Variance


## REFERENCES
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## Measure of Dissimilarity

The measure of dissimilarity is a distance measure that assigns to pair of objects a real positive (or zero) number.
If we suppose, for example, that each object is characterized by $n$ variables, a measure of dissimilarity between two objects would consist in giving the number of different points that represent two considered objects.

This number is a whole number between 0 and $n$ and equals $n$ when two objects have no common point.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\Omega$ be a population on which we would like to define a measure of dissimilarity $s^{\prime}$. We call a measure of dissimilarity $s^{\prime}$ any mapping of $\Omega \times \Omega$ to the real positive (or zero) numbers, satisfying the following two properties:

- For each $w, w^{\prime}$ in $\Omega, s^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}, w\right)$; that is, $s^{\prime}$ is symmetric.
- For each $w$ in $\Omega, s^{\prime}(w, w)=0$, that is, the measure of dissimilarity between an object and itself is zero.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As a measure of dissimilarity $s^{\prime}$ on a finite population, $\Omega$ is a limited application, that is:

$$
\max \left\{s^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) ; w, w^{\prime} \in \Omega\right\}=m<\infty .
$$

We can define a measure of similarity on the population $\Omega$ by:

$$
s\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=m-s^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) .
$$

These two notions, measure of similarity and measure of dissimilarity, can be considered complementary.
We use the measure of dissimilarity to resolve the problems of classification, instead of a distance that is a particular case of it and that has the so-called property of triangular inequality.

## EXAMPLES

We consider the population $\Omega$ composed of three flowers

$$
\Omega=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}
$$

on which the following three variables were measured:

- $v_{1}$ : color of flower
- $v_{2}$ : number of petals
- $v_{3}$ : number of leaves
where
$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is summarized here as a dichotomous variable because we consider only red $(\mathrm{R})$ and yellow ( Y ) flowers and
$\nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ are discrete random variables taking their values in cardinal numbers.

We have observed:


We determine for each couple of flowers the number of points that distinguish them. We see that we have a measure of dissimilarity for the set of three flowers:
$s^{\prime}\left(w_{1}, w_{1}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w_{2}, w_{2}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w_{3}, w_{3}\right)=0$
because a flower is not different from itself;

$$
s^{\prime}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w_{2}, w_{1}\right)=2
$$

because the color and the number of petals are different at $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$;

$$
s^{\prime}\left(w_{1}, w_{3}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w_{3}, w_{1}\right)=1
$$

as only the number of leaves allows to distinguish $w_{1}$ from $w_{3}$;

$$
s^{\prime}\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right)=s^{\prime}\left(w_{3}, w_{2}\right)=3
$$

as $w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$ are different in each of the three studied characteristics.
With the help of these possible values, we can deduce that $s^{\prime}$ satisfies the two properties of a measure of dissimilarity.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Distance
- Measure of similarity
- Population
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## Measure of Kurtosis

The measures of kurtosis are a part of the measures of form and characterize an aspect of the form of a given distribution. More precisely, they characterize the degree of kurtosis of the distribution toward a normal distribution. Certain distributions are close to the normal distribution without being totally identical. It is very useful to be able to test if the form of the distribution represents a deviation from a kurtosis of the normal distribution.
We talk about a platicurtical distribution if the curve is more flattened than the normal curve and of a leptocurtical distribution if it is sharper than the normal curve.
To test the kurtosis of a curve, we use the coefficient of kurtosis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Given a random variable $X$ with probability distribution $f_{x}$ the coefficient of kurtosis is defined as:

$$
\gamma_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\sigma^{4}}-3
$$

where $\mu_{4}$ stands for the fourth moment about the mean, and $\sigma^{4}$ is the squared variance. The sample kurtosis is obtained by using sampling analogues, that is:

$$
\hat{\gamma}=\frac{\hat{\mu_{4}}}{\hat{\sigma^{4}}}-3
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of kurtosis
- Measure of shape
- Measure of skewness
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## Measure of Location

A measure of location (or of position) is a measure that proposes to synthesize a set of statistical data by only one fixed value, highlighting a particular point of the studied variable. The most frequently used measures of position are:

- Measures of central tendency (mean, mode, median) that tend to determine the center of a set of data.
- The quantiles (quartile, decile, centile), which tend to determine not the center, but a particular position of a subset of data.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The measures of location, as well as those of dispersion and of form, are used especially to compare many sets of data or many frequency distributions.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of central tendency
- Quantile


## Measure of Shape

We can try to characterize the form of a frequency distribution with the help of appropriate coefficients. Certain frequency distributions are close to the normal distribution ("bell curve"). These distributions can also represent an asymmetry or a kurtosis from the normal curve. The two measures of form are:

## - Measure of asymmetry <br> - Measure of kurtosis.

## HISTORY

Pearson, $\operatorname{Karl}(1894,1895)$ was the first to test the differences between certain distributions and the normal distribution. We have shown that deviations from the normal curve can be characterized by moments of order 3 and 4 of a distribution.
Before 1890, Gram, Jorgen Pedersen and Thiele, Thorvald Nicolai of Denmark developed a theory about the symmetry of frequency curves. After Pearson, Karl published his sophisticated and extremely interesting system (1894, 1895), many articles were published on this subject.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of dispersion
- Measure of kurtosis
- Measure of location
- Measure of skewness
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## Measure of Similarity

The measure of similarity is a distance measure assigns a real positive (or zero) number correspond to a pair of objects of the studied population. If we suppose, for example, that each object is characterized by $n$ variables, a measure of similarity between two objects would consist in giving the number of common points to two considered objects. This number is a whole one between 0 and $n$ and equals $n$ when the two objects are identical.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\Omega$ be a population on which we would like to define a measure of similarity $s$. We call a measure of similarity $s$ any mapping from $\Omega \times \Omega$ to the real positive (or zero) numbers, satisfying:

- For each $w, w^{\prime}$ in $\Omega, s\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=s\left(w^{\prime}, w\right)$, that is, $s$ is symmetric;
- For each $w, w^{\prime}$ in $\Omega$, with $w \neq w^{\prime}$

$$
s(w, w)=s\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>s\left(w, w^{\prime}\right),
$$

that is, an object is more "similar" to itself than to another object.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As a measure of similarity $s$ on a population, $\Omega$ is a limited application, that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{s\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) ; w, w^{\prime} \in \Omega\right\}= \\
& \quad s(w, w)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w$ is any object of $\Omega$; if we pose $m=$ $s(w, w)$, then we can define a measure of dissimilarity of the population $\Omega$ by:

$$
s^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=m-s\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)
$$

These two notions, measure of similarity and measure of dissimilarity, can be considered complementary.
We use the measures of similarity, as well as the measures of dissimilarity, for classification problems.

## EXAMPLES

Let the population $\Omega$ be the forms of three flowers

$$
\Omega=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}
$$

on which we measure the three variables:

- $v_{1}$ : color of flower
- $v_{2}$ : number of petals
- $v_{3}$ : number of leaves
where
$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is summarized here as a dichotomous variable because we consider only red $(\mathrm{R})$ and yellow (Y) flowers and $\boldsymbol{v}_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are discrete random variables taking their values in cardinal numbers.

We have observed:

|  |  | Variables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{v}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{v}_{3}$ |
| $\Omega$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | R | 5 | 2 |
|  | Y | 4 | 2 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{w}_{3}$ | R | 5 | 1 |

We determine for each couple of flowers the number of points that are common to them. We see that we have a measure of similarity of the set of three flowers:
which corresponds to the number of variables;

$$
s\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=s\left(w_{2}, w_{1}\right)=1
$$

because the color and number of petals are different at $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$;

$$
s\left(w_{1}, w_{3}\right)=s\left(w_{3}, w_{1}\right)=2
$$

as only the number of leaves allows us to distinguish $w_{1}$ from $w_{3}$;

$$
s\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right)=s\left(w_{3}, w_{2}\right)=0
$$

as $w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$ have no common points.
With the help of these possible values, we can deduce that $s$ satisfies the two properties of a measure of similarity.

## FURTHER READING

- Distance
- Measure of dissimilarity
- Population


## REFERENCES

See measure of dissimilarity.

## Measure of Skewness

In a symmetric distribution, the median, arithmetic mean, and mode are in the same central point. This is not true when the distribution is skewed. In this case, the mode is separate from the arithmetic mean, and the median is between two of them. In consequence, it is necessary to develop the measures of skewness to study the degree of deviation of the form of the distribution from the symmetric distribution. The principal skewness measures are:

- Yule and Kendall coefficient
- Coefficient of skewness


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECT

Given a random variable $X$ with prob. distribution $f_{x}$, the coefficient of skewness is defined as:

$$
\gamma_{1}=\frac{\mu_{3}}{\sigma^{3}}
$$

where $\mu_{3}$ stands for the third moment about the mean, and $\sigma^{3}$ is the stand deviation.

## HISTORY

See coefficient of skewness.

## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of skewness
- Measure of kurtosis
- Measure of shape
- Yule and Kendall coefficient


## Median

The median is a measure of central tendency defined as the value that is in the center of a set of ordered observations when it is in increasing or decreasing order.
For a random variable $X$, the median, denoted by $M_{\mathrm{d}}$, has the property that:

$$
P\left(X \leq M_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text { and } P\left(X \geq M_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

We find then $50 \%$ of the observations on each side of the median:


If we have an odd number of observations, the median corresponds to the values of the middle observation. If we have an even number of observations, there is no unique observation in the middle. The median will be given by the arithmetic mean of the values of
two observations of the middle of ordered observations.

## HISTORY

In 1748, Euler, Leonhard and Mayer, Johann Tobias proposed, independently, a method that consists in dividing the observations of a data set into two equal parts.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

When the observations are given individually, the process of calculating the median is simple:

1. Arrange the $n$ observations in increasing or decreasing order.
2. If the number of observations is odd:

- Find the observation of the middle $\frac{n+1}{2}$.
- The median equals the value of the middle observation.

3. If the number of observations is even:

- Find the two observations of the middle $\frac{n}{2}$ and $\frac{n}{2}+1$.
- The median equals the arithmetic mean of the values of these two observations.
When we do not have individual observations but they are grouped into classes, the median is determined as follows:

1. Determine the median class (the one that contains the $\frac{n}{2}$-th observation).
2. Calculate the median using the following formula:

$$
M_{\mathrm{d}}=L+\left[\frac{\frac{n}{2}-\sum f_{\mathrm{inf}}}{f_{M_{\mathrm{d}}}}\right] \cdot c,
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{L} \quad$ is the lower limit of the median class,
$\boldsymbol{n}$ is the total number of observations,
$\sum f_{\text {inf }}$ is the sum of the frequencies smaller than the median class,
$f_{M_{\mathrm{d}}}$ is the frequency of the median class, and
c is the length of the interval of the median class.

This formula is based on the hypothesis according to which the observations are uniformly distributed inside each class. It also supposes that the lower and upper limits of the median class are defined and known.

## Properties

The sum of deviations in absolute values between each observation $x_{i}$ of a data set and a value $\alpha$ is minimal when $\alpha$ equals the median:

$$
\underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-\alpha\right| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha=M_{\mathrm{d}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In the calculation of the arithmetic mean, we take into account the value of each observation. Thus an outlier strongly influences the arithmetic mean. On the other hand, in the calculation of the median, we take into account only the rank of the observations. Thus the outliers do not influence the median. When we have a strongly skewed frequency distribution, we are interested in choosing the median as a measure of central tendency and not the arithmetic mean in order to neutralize the effect of the extreme values.

## EXAMPLES

The median of a set of five numbers 42,25 , 75,40 , and 20 is 40 because 40 is the middle
number when the numbers are ordered:

$$
20 \quad 25 \underbrace{40}_{\text {median }} 42 \quad 75 \text {. }
$$

If we add the number 62 to this set, the number of observations is even, and the median equals the arithmetic mean of the two middle observations:


We consider now the median of data grouped into classes. Let us take, for example, the following frequency table that represents the daily revenue of 50 grocery stores:

| Class <br> (revenue <br> in euros) | Frequency $f_{i}$ <br> (number of <br> grocery stores) | Cumulated <br> frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $500-550$ | 3 | 3 |
| $550-600$ | 12 | 15 |
| $600-650$ | 17 | 32 |
| $650-700$ | 8 | 40 |
| $700-750$ | 6 | 46 |
| $750-800$ | 4 | 50 |
| Total | 50 |  |

The median class is the class $600-650$ as it contains the middle observation (the 25 th observation).
Supposing that the observations are uniformly distributed in each class, the median equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{d}} & =600+\frac{\frac{50}{2}-15}{17} \cdot(650-600) \\
& =629.41
\end{aligned}
$$

This result means that $50 \%$ of the grocery stores have daily revenues in excess of 629.41 euros and the other $50 \%$ have revenues totaling less than 629.41 euros.

## FURTHER READING

- Mean
- Measure of central tendency
- Mode
- Quantile
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## Median Absolute Deviation

The median absolute deviation of a set of quantitative observations is a measure of dispersion. It corresponds to the mean of the absolute values of deviation of each observation relative to the median.

## HISTORY

See $L_{1}$ estimation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ observations relative to a quantitative variable $X$.

The median absolute deviation, denoted by $E_{\mathrm{MAD}}$, is calculated as follows:

$$
E_{\mathrm{MAD}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-M d\right|}{n}
$$

where $M d$ is the median of the observations.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The median absolute deviation is a fundamental notion of the $L_{1}$ estimation. The $L_{1}$ estimation of a parameter of the measure of central tendency $\theta$ is really a method of estimation based on the minimum absolute deviations of observations $x_{i}$ from parameter $\theta$ :

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-\theta\right| .
$$

The value that minimizes this expression is the median of the sample. In the case of data containing outliers, this method is more efficient than the least-squares method.

FURTHER READING<br>- $L_{1}$ estimation<br>- Measure of dispersion<br>- Standard deviation<br>- Variance

## Method of Moments

The method of moments is used for estimating the parameters of a distribution from a sample. The idea is as follows. If the number of parameters to be estimated equals $k$, we pose the $k$ first moments of the distribution (whose expression depends on unknown parameters) equal the corresponding empirical moments, that is, to the
estimators of the moments of order $k$ calculated on the sample. We should solve the system of $k$ equations with $k$ unknown variables.

## HISTORY

In the late 19th century, Pearson, Karl used the method of moments to estimate the parameters of a normal mixed model (with parameters $p_{1}, p_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}$ ) given by

$$
P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i} \cdot g_{i}(x)
$$

where the $g_{i}$ are normally distributed with the mean $\mu_{i}$ and variance $\sigma_{i}^{2}$. The method was further developed and studied by Chuprov, A.A. (1874-1926), Thiele, Thorvald Nicolai, Fisher, Ronald Aylmer, and Pearson, Karl, among others.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$ be the $k$ parameters to be estimated for the random variable $X$ with a given distribution.
We denote by $\mu_{j}\left(\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)\right)=E\left[X^{j}\right]$ the moment of order $j$ of $X$ expressed as a function of the parameters to be estimated. The method of moments consists in solving the following system of equations:

$$
\mu_{j}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)=m_{j} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, k
$$

To distinguish between real parameters and estimators, we denote the latter by $\hat{\theta}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_{k}$.

## EXAMPLES

1. Let $X$ be a random variable following an exponential distribution with unknown parameter $\theta$. We have $n$ observations $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $X$, and we want to estimate $\theta$.

As the moment of order 1 is the mean of the distribution, to estimate $\theta$ we should equalize the theoretical and the empirical mean. We know that (see exponential distribution) the (theoretical) mean equals $\frac{1}{\theta}$. The desired estimator $\hat{\theta}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$.
2. According to the method of moments, the mean $\mu$ and the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of a random variable $X$ following a normal distribution are estimated by the empirical mean $\bar{x}$ and the variance

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}
$$

of a sample $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $X$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

First, we should observe if the system of equations given previously has solutions. It cannot have solutions if, for example, the parameters to be estimated have one or more particular constraints. In any case, the method does not guarantee particularly efficient estimators. For example, the estimator found for the variance of a normal distribution is biased.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square distance
- Estimator
- Least squares
- Maximum likelihood
- Moment
- Parameter
- Sample
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## Missing Data

Missing data occur in statistics when certain outcome are not present. This happens when data are not available (the data were destroyed by a saving error, an animal died during an experiment, etc.).

## HISTORY

Allan, F.E. and Wishart, J. (1930) were
apparently the first to consider the problem of
missing data in the analysis of experimental
design. Their works were followed by those of Yates, Frank (1933).
Allan, F.E. and Wishart, J., as well as Yates, F., tried to find an estimate of missing data by the method of iteration. In a situation where many observations are missing, the proposed method does not give a satisfactory solution. Bartlett, M.S. (1937) used the
analysis of covariance to resolve the same problem.
Since then, many other methods have been proposed, among them the method of Rubin, D.B. (1972). Birkes, D. et al. (1976) presented an exact method for solving the problem of missing data using the incidence matrix. The generalized matrix approach was proposed by Dodge, Y. and Majumdar, D. (1979). More details concerning the historical aspects of missing data from 1933 to 1985 can be found in Dodge, Y. (1985).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We can solve the problem of missing data by repeating the experiment under the same conditions and so obtain the values for the missing data. Such a solution, despite the fact that it is ideal, is not alwayseconomically and materially possible.
There exists also other approaches to solve the problem of missing data in experimental design. We mention the approach by the generalized inverse or by the incidence matrix, which indicates which observations are missing in the design.

## FURTHER READING

- Data
- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Observation
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## Missing Data Analysis

See missing data.

## Mode

The mode is a measure of central tendency. The mode of a set of observations is the value of the observation that have the highest frequency. According to this definition, a distribution can have a unique mode (called the unimodal distribution). In some situations a distribution may have many modes (called the bimodal, trimodal, multimodal, etc. distribution).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a random variable $X$ whose density function is $f(x) . M_{\mathrm{o}}$ is a mode of the distribution if $f(x)$ has a local maximum at $M_{0}$.
Empirically, the mode of a set of observations is determined as follows:

1. Establish the frequency distribution of the set of observations.
2. The mode or modes are the values whose frequency is greater or equals to the frequency of the other observations.
For the distribution of observations grouped into classes of the same range ( $=$ maximum - minimum) whose limits are known, the mode is determined as follows:
3. Determine the modal classes-the classes with a frequency greater than or equal to the frequencies of other classes. (If many classes with the same frequency, we group these classes in such a way as to calculate only one mode of this set of classes).
4. Calculate the mode $M_{\mathrm{o}}$ taking into account the joint classes:

$$
M_{\mathrm{o}}=L+\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{1}+d_{2}}\right) \cdot c
$$

where
$L$ is the smaller limit of the modal class,
$\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the difference between the frequency of the modal class and the frequency of the previous class,
$\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is the difference between the frequency of the modal class and the frequency of the next class, and
c is the length of the modal class, common to all the classes.


If the length of the classes is not identical, the mode must be calculated by modifying the division of the classes in order to obtain, if possible, the classes of equal length.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

For a discrete variable, the mode has the advantage of being easy to determine and interpret. It is used especially when the distribution is not symmetric.
For a continuous variable, the determination of the mode is not always precise because it depends on the grouping into classes. Generally, the mode is a good indicator of the center of the data only if there is one dominant value in the set of data. If there are many dominant values, the distribution is called plurimodal. In this case, the modes are not the measure of central tendency. A bimodal distribution generally indicates that the considered population is in reality heterogeneous and is composed of two subpopulations having different central values.

## EXAMPLES

Let the set be the following numbers:
1223334445555667789 .

The frequency table derived from this set is:

| Values | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frequency | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

The mode of this set of numbers is 5 because it appears with the greatest frequency (4). Consider now the following frequency table, which represents the daily revenues of 50 shops, grouped by class of revenue:

| Class (revenue <br> in euros) | Frequency $f_{i}$ <br> (number of shops) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $500-550$ | 3 |
| $550-600$ | 12 |
| $600-650$ | 17 |
| $650-700$ | 8 |
| $700-750$ | 6 |
| $750-800$ | 4 |
| Total | 50 |

We can immediately see that the modal class is $600-650$ because it has the greatest frequency.
The mode is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{o}} & =L_{1}+\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{1}+d_{2}}\right) \cdot c \\
& =600+\left(\frac{5}{5+9}\right) \cdot 50=617.86 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us take once more the same example but grouping the numbers into different classes:

| Class (revenue <br> in euros) | Frequency $f_{i}$ <br> (number of shops) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $500-600$ | 15 |
| $600-650$ | 17 |
| $650-700$ | 8 |
| $700-800$ | 10 |
| Total | 50 |

The classes do not have the same length. We will do a new grouping to obtain classes of the same length.

## Case 1

Let us take, for example, as reference the class 600-650, whose length is 50 , and suppose that the revenues are uniformly distributed in a class.
The table producing this new grouping is the following:

| Class (revenue <br> in euros) | Frequency $f_{i}$ <br> (number of shops) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $500-550$ | 7.5 |
| $550-600$ | 7.5 |
| $600-650$ | 17 |
| $650-700$ | 8 |
| $700-750$ | 5 |
| $750-800$ | 5 |
| Total | 50 |

The modal class is the class 600-650, and the values of the mode are:

$$
M_{\mathrm{o}}=600+\left(\frac{9.5}{9.5+9}\right) \cdot 50=625.67
$$

## Case 2

We could also base our calculation on the length of the first class; this yields the following table:

| Class (revenue <br> in euros) | Frequency $f_{i}$ <br> (number of shops) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $500-600$ | 15 |
| $600-700$ | 25 |
| $700-800$ | 10 |
| Total | 50 |

The modal class is the class 600-700, and the values of the mode are:

$$
M_{\mathrm{o}}=600+\left(\frac{10}{10+15}\right) \cdot 100=640
$$

These results show that we should be careful to the use of the mode because the obtained
results are different depending on how the data are grouped into classes.

## FURTHER READING

- Mean
- Measure of central tendency
- Measure of skewness
- Median


## Model

A model is a theoretical representation of a real situation. It is composed of mathematical symbols. A mathematical model, based on a certain number of observations and hypotheses, tries to give the best possible description of the phenomenon under study. A mathematical model contains essentially two types of elements: the directly or indirectly observable variables that concern the studied phenomenon and the parameters, which are fixed quantities, generally unknown, that relate the variables among them inside the model.

## HISTORY

The notion of "model" first appeared in the 18th century, in three major problems:

1. Understanding the observed inequality between the movements of Jupiter and Saturn.
2. Determining and mathematically describing the movements of the moon.
3. Determining the shape of the earth.

These three problems used observations from astronomy and some theoretical knowledge about gravitation. They occupied many scientists, especially Euler, Leonhard (1749), who first treated the problem, and

Mayer, Tobias (1750), who was interested in the movements of the moon. The third problem was treated by Boscovich, Roger
Joseph and Maire, Christopher (1755).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

There exist many types of models. Among them, the determinist model is a model where the relations are considered to be exact. On the other hand, the stochastic model presupposes that the relations are not exact but established by a random process.
A stochastic model is principally determined by the nature of independent variables (exogenous or explanatory). We speak about continuous models when the independent variables $X_{j}$ are the quantitative continuous variables. We try to express the dependent variable $Y$ (also called the endogenous or response) by a function of the type:

$$
Y=f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p} \mid \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)+\varepsilon,
$$

where the $\beta_{j}$ are the parameters (or coefficients) to be estimated and $\varepsilon$ is a nonobservable random error. Of this type of model, we can distinguish linear models and nonlinear models; the linearity is relative to the coefficients. A linear model can be written in the form of a polynomial. For example:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+\beta_{2} X^{2}+\varepsilon
$$

is a linear model called "of the second order" (the order comes from the greatest power of variable $X$ ). The model

$$
\log V=\beta_{0}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{W}
$$

is also a linear model for parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$. This model also becomes linear for variables posing:

$$
Y=\log V \quad \text { and } \quad X=\frac{1}{W} .
$$

It gives:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \cdot X .
$$

On the other hand, the model

$$
Y=\frac{\beta_{0}}{X+\beta_{1}}+\varepsilon
$$

is a nonlinear model for the parameter $\beta_{1}$. There are many methods for estimating the parameters of a model. Among these methods, we may cite the least-squares and the $L_{1}$ estimation methods.
Except for the continuous models, we talk about discrete models when the studied population can be divided into subpopulations on the basis of qualitative categorical variables (or factors) where each can have a certain number of levels. The type of model depends on the established experimental design. The objective of such a design is to determine how the experimental conditions influence the observed values of the response variable. We can distinguish different types of discrete models:

- A model with fixed effects (model I) is a linear model for which the factors are fixed, that is, take a finite number of levels all of which are represented in the established experimental design. In this case, the model can be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { observed value }= & \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { linear function } \\
\text { of unknown } \\
\text { parameters }
\end{array}\right) \\
& + \text { error } ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the errors are independent random variables mean equal to zero.

- A model with variable effects (model II) is a linear model for which the studied levels of each factor were randomly chosen
from a finite or nonfinite number of possible levels. In this case, we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { observed value }=\text { constant } \\
& +\binom{\text { linear function }}{\text { of random variables }}+\text { error }
\end{aligned}
$$

the random variables are independent with mean equal to zero.

- A model with mixed effects (model III) is a linear model that contains at the same time fixed and random factors. Thus we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { observed value }=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { linear function } \\
\text { of unknown } \\
\text { parameters }
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { linear function } \\
\text { of random } \\
\text { variables }
\end{array}\right)+\text { error. }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the experimental design contains a single factor, denoted by $\alpha$, the model can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j}= & \mu+\alpha_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \\
& \quad i=1, \ldots, I \text { and } j=1, \ldots, n_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I$ is the number of levels of a factor $\alpha$, $n_{i}$ is the number of observations at each level, $\mu$ is the mean of the population, $\alpha_{i}$ are the effects associated to each level of the factor $\alpha$, and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ are the independent random errors, normally distributed with mean to zero and homogeneous variances $\sigma^{2}$.
Such a model can be of type I or type II, depending on the nature of the factor $\alpha$ : it is of type If the levels of the factor $\alpha$ are fixed and if they all appear on the entire design. It is of type II if $\alpha$ is a random variable independent of $\epsilon$, distributed according to any distribution of zero mathematical expectancy and vari-
ance $\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}$ (if the levels of $\alpha$ are randomly chosen from a finite or infinite number of possible levels).
When the experimental design contains many factors, for example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j}+(\alpha \beta)_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j k}, \\
i=1, \ldots, I \\
j=1, \ldots, J \\
\text { and } k=1, \ldots, n_{i j},
\end{gathered}
$$

the model can be of type I (the level of all the factors are fixed), II (all the factors are random variables with the same restrictions as in the previous model), or III (certain factors have fixed levels and others are variable). The term $(\alpha \beta)_{i j}$ represents here the effect of the interaction between the $I$ levels of the factor $\alpha$ and the $J$ levels of the factor $\beta$.
A model of type I can be analyzed using an

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Dependent variable
- Design of experiments
- Independent variable
- Parameter
- Random variable
- Regression analysis
- Variable
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## De Moivre, Abraham

Abraham de Moivre (1667-1754) first studied in Sedan and Saumur (both in France) before continuing his studies in mathematics and physics at the Sorbonne. Coming from a Protestant family, he was forced to leave France in 1685 due to the revocation of the Edict of Nantes and was exiled to London. After a rough start in his new homeland, he was able to study mathematics. He was elected member of the Royal Society of London in 1697.
His most important works were The Doctrine of Chances, which appeared in 1718 and was dedicated to questions that continue to play a fundamental role in modern probability theory, and Miscellanea Analytica de Seriebus et Quadraturis, where for the first time appeared the density function of the normal distribution in the context of probability calculations for games of hazard. Carl Friedrich Gauss took the same density function and applied it to problems of measurement in astronomy. He also made contributions to actuarial sciences and mathematical analysis.

## Principal works of Abraham De Moivre:

1718 The Doctrine of Chances: or, A Method of Calculating the Probability of Events in Play. Pearson, London.

1725 Annuities upon Lives. Pearson, London.

1730 Miscellanea Analytica de Seriebus et Quadraturis. Tonson and Watts, London.

## FURTHER READING

- De Moivre-Laplace theorem


## - Normal distribution

## De Moivre-Laplace Theorem

This theorem established the relation between the binomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p$ and the normal distribution for $n$ that tends to infinity. Its utility consists especially in the estimation of the distribution function $F(k)$ of the binomial distribution by the distribution function of the normal distribution, which is found to be easier.

## HISTORY

This theorem dates back to 1870, when Pierre Simon de Laplace studied, with others (among them de Moivre, Abraham), problems related to the approximation of the binomial distribution and to the theory of errors.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots$ be a sequence of random variables, independent and identically distributed, following a binomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p$, where $0<$ $p<1$.

We consider the corresponding adjusted variable $Z_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ such as:

$$
Z_{n}=\frac{X_{n}-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}
$$

where $n p$ represents the mean of a binomial distribution and $\sqrt{n p q}$ its variance (the variable $Z_{n}$ corresponds to the normalization of $X_{n}$ ).
The de Moivre-Laplace theorem is expressed as follows:

$$
P\left(Z_{n} \leq x\right) \longrightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x),
$$

where $\Phi$ is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution.
The approximation can also be improved using the factor of correction, "a half". Hence, the following approximation:

$$
P\left(X_{n} \geq x\right) \cong 1-\Phi\left(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right)
$$

is better than those obtained without taking into account the corrective factor $\frac{1}{2}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

This theorem is a particular case of the central limit theorem. A binomial distribution (such as was defined earlier) can be written as a sum of $n$ random variables distributed according to a Bernoulli distribution of parameter $p$. The mean of a random Bernoulli variable is equal to $p$ and its variance to $p(1-p)$. The de MoivreLaplace theorem is easily deduced from the central limit theorem.

## EXAMPLES

We want to calculate the probability of more than 27 successes in a binomial experiment of 100 trials, each trial having a probability of success of $p=0.2$. The desired binomial
probability can be expressed by the sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{n}>27\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=27}^{100}\binom{100}{k} \cdot(0.2)^{k}(0.8)^{100-k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The direct calculus of this probability demands an evaluation of 74 terms, each of the form

$$
\binom{100}{k}(0.2)^{k}(0.8)^{100-k}
$$

Using the de Moivre-Laplace theorem, this probability can be approximately evaluated taking into account the relation between the binomial and normal distributions. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{n}>27\right) & \cong 1-\Phi\left(\frac{27-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right) \\
& =1-\Phi\left(\frac{27-100 \cdot 0.2}{\sqrt{100 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8}}\right) \\
& =1-\Phi(1.75) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Referring to the normal table, we obtain $\Phi(1.75)=0.9599$, and so:

$$
P\left(X_{n}>27\right) \cong 0.0401
$$

The exact value to 4 decimal places of the probability is: $P\left(X_{n}>27\right)=0.0558$. A comparison of the two values 0.0401 and 0.0558 shows that the approximation by the normal distribution gives a result that is very close to the exact value.
We obtain the following approximation using the corrective factor:

$$
P\left(X_{n}>x\right) \cong 1-\Phi(1.625)=0.0521
$$

The result is closer to the exact value 0.0558 than those obtained without using the corrective factor (0.0401).

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial distribution
- Central limit theorem
- Normal distribution
- Normalization
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## Moment

We call the moment of order $k$ of the random variable $X$ relative to any value $x_{0}$ the mean difference between the random variable and $x_{0}$, to the power $k$. If $x_{0}=0$, we refer to the moment (or initial moment) of order $k$. If $x_{0}=\mu$, where $\mu$ is the expected value of random variable $X$, we refer to the central moment of order $k$.

## HISTORY

The concept of "moment" in statistics comes from the concept of moment in physics
which is derived from Archimedes (287-212 BC) discovery of the operating of the lever, where we speak of the moment of forces. The corrected formulas for the calculation of the moments of grouped data were adapted by Sheppard, W.F. (1886).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The initial moment of order $k$ of a discrete random variable $X$ is expressed by:

$$
E\left[X^{k}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{k} P\left(x_{i}\right),
$$

if it exists, that is, if $E\left[X^{k}\right]<\infty$, where $P(x)$ is the probability function of the discrete random variable $X$ taking the $n$ values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
In the case of a continuous random variable, if the moment exists, it is defined by:

$$
E\left[X^{k}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{k} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $f(x)$ is the density function of the continuous random variable.
For $k=1$, the initial moment is the same as the expected value of the random variable $X$ (if this $E(X)$ exists):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X \text { discrete }) E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right), \\
& (X \text { continuous })[X]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The central moment of order $k$ of a discrete random variable $X$, if it exists, is expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[(X-E[X])^{k}\right]= \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-E[X]\right)^{k} P\left(x_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, in the case of a continuous random variable, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[(X-E[X])^{k}\right] \\
& \quad=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x-E[X])^{k} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

if the integral is well defined.
It is evident that with any random variable $X$ having a finite mathematical expectancy, the centered moment of order 1 is zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X-\mu] & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) P\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right)-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\mu-\mu=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if it exists, the central moment of order 2 is the same as the variance of the random variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X \text { discrete }) E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} P\left(x_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Var}(X), \\
& \left(X \text { continuous) } E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right]\right. \\
& \quad=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\operatorname{Var}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The estimator of the moment of order $k$ calculated for a sample $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is denoted by $m_{k}$. It equals:

$$
m_{k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)^{k}}{n}
$$

where $n$ is the total number of observations. If $x_{0}=0$, then we have the moment (or initial moment) of order $k$.
If $x_{0}=\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean, we have of the central moment of order $k$.

For the case where a random variable $X$ takes its values $x_{i}$ with the frequencies $f_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, h$, the moment of order $k$ is given by:

$$
m_{k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)^{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Density function
- Expected value
- Probability function
- Random variable
- Variance
- Weighted arithmetic mean
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## Monte Carlo Method

Any numerical technique for solving mathematical problems that uses random or pseudorandom numbers is called a Monte Carlo method. These mathematical or statistical problems have no analytical solutions.

## HISTORY

The name Monte Carlo comes from the city of the same name in Monaco, famous for its casinos. The roulette is one of the simplest mechanisms for the generation of random numbers.
According to Sobol, I.M. (1975), the Monte Carlo method owes its existence to the American mathematicians von Neumann,

John (1951), Ulam, Stanislaw Marcin, and Metropolis, Nicholas (1949). It was developed in around 1949, but it became practical with the invention of computers.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that we like to compute the surface of a figure $S$ that is situated inside a square; its side equals 1 . We will generate $N$ random points inside the square. To do this, we note the square on the system of perpendicular axes whose origin is the lower left angle of the square. This means that all the points that we will generate inside the square will have coordinates between 0 and 1 . It is enough to take uniform random variables to obtain a point. The first random number will be the abscissa and the second the ordinate.

In the following example, let us choose 40 random points. We will need two samples of 40 random numbers. The surface $S$ that we would like to estimate is the square of 0.75 of the side, in other words the exact value equals 0.5625 .
After producing of the 40 random points in the unit square, it is sufficient to count the number of points inside figure $S$ (21 in this case). The estimation of the searched surface is obtained by the ratio $\frac{21}{40}=0.525$.


It is possible to improve this estimate by performing the experiment many times and taking the mean of the obtained surfaces.

## FURTHER READING

- Generation of random numbers
- Jackknife method
- Random number
- Simulation
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## Moving Average

We call the moving average of order $k$ the arithmetic mean calculated on $k$ successive values of time series. The rest of these arithmetic means give the series of moving averages of order $k$. The process that consists in replacing the initial series is called smoothing of the time series.
Concerning the time series that are comprised of annual or monthly data, the averages take, respectively, the name of moving averages on $k$ years or $k$ months. When we have monthly data, for example, calculating a moving average over 12 months, the
obtained results correspond to the middle of the considered period, at the end of the sixth month (or on the first day of the seventh month), instead of being affected in the middle of a month as in the original data. We correct this by making a centered moving average over 12 months. Generally, a centered moving average of order $k$ is calculated making the moving average of order 2 of the moving average of order $k$ of the initial time series.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

We have the time series $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{N}$, and we define the series of moving averages of order $k$ by the series of arithmetic means:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{Y_{1}+Y_{2}+\cdots+Y_{k}}{k}, \\
& \quad \frac{Y_{2}+Y_{3}+\cdots+Y_{k+1}}{k}, \cdots, \\
& \frac{Y_{N-k+1}+Y_{N-k+2}+\cdots+Y_{N}}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of numerators is called moving sums of order $k$.
We can use the weighted arithmetic means with previously specified weights; the rest of what is obtained in this way is called a series of weighted moving averages of order $k$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The moving averages are used in the study of time series, for the estimation of the secular tendency, of seasonal variations, and of cyclic fluctuations.
The operation "moving average" as applied to a time series allows to:

- Determine the seasonal variations in the limits where they are rigorously periodical,
- Smooth irregular variations, and
- Approximately conserve the extraseasonal movement.
Let us mention the disadvantages of the moving average method:
- The data of the beginning and the end of series are missing.
- Moving averages can cause cycles or other movements not present in the original data.
- Moving averages are strongly influenced by outliers and accidental values.
- When a graph of the studied time series shows an exponential secular tendency or, more generally, a strong curve, the moving average method does not give very precise results for the estimation of extraseasonal movement.


## EXAMPLES

With the time series $7,2,3,4,5,0,1$ data, we obtain the moving averages of order 3 :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{7+2+3}{3}, \frac{2+3+4}{3}, \frac{3+4+5}{3} \\
\frac{4+5+0}{3}, \\
\frac{5+0+1}{3}
\end{array}
$$

that is, $4,3,4,3,2$.
In a moving average series, it is common to localize each number on its relative position to the original data. In this example, we write:


In the graph below each number of the moving average series is the arithmetic mean of the three numbers immediately below it.


We propose to calculate the centered moving average over 4 years of the mean monthly production of oil in a country. In the first step, we calculate the moving sums over 4 years, adding the data of 4 successive years. Then, dividing each moving sum by 4 , we obtain moving averages over 4 years, the last corresponding to 1 January of the third year considered in different sums. Finally, we obtain moving averages over 4 years, calculating the arithmetic mean of two moving averages over 4 successive years.


Average monthly oil production in a country in millions of tons

| Year Datum Moving | Moving <br> sum <br> over 4 <br> years | Centered <br> average <br> over 4 <br> mears | average <br> over 4 <br> years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1948 | 41.4 |  |  |  |
| 1949 | 36.0 |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 39.3 | 158.8 | 39.7 | 39.6 |
| 1951 | 42.1 | 158.0 | 39.5 | 40.4 |
| 1952 | 40.6 | 165.2 | 41.3 | 40.7 |
| 1953 | 43.2 | 160.4 | 40.1 | 39.9 |
| 1954 | 34.5 | 158.8 | 39.7 | 39.3 |
| 1955 | 40.5 | 155.6 | 38.9 | 38.5 |
| 1956 | 37.4 | 152.4 | 38.1 | 38.2 |
| 1957 | 40.0 |  | 38.3 |  |
| 1958 | 35.3 |  |  |  |

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Time series
- Weighted arithmetic mean


## Multicollinearity

Multicollinearity is a term used to describe a situation where a variable is almost equal to a linear combination of other variables. Multicollinearity is sometimes present at regression problems when there is a strong corellation between the explanatory variables.

The existence of linear or almost linear relations among independent variables causes a big imprecision in the estimation of coefficients of regression. If the relations are rigorously linear, the coefficients would be biased.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See ridge regression.

## FURTHER READING

- Correlation coefficient
- Multiple linear regression


## REFERENCES

Belsley, D., Kuh, E., Welsch, R.E.: Regression diagnistics Idendifying Influential data and sources of collinearity. Wiley, New York (1976)

## Multimodal Distribution

See frequency distribution.

## Multinomial Distribution

Consider a random experiment with $n$ independent trials. Suppose that each trial can only give one of event $E_{i}$, with a probability $p_{i}$.
Random variable $X_{i}$ represents the number of appearances of event $E_{i}$.
The multinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $p_{i}$ is defined by the following joint density function:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots, X_{s}=x_{s}\right) \\
=\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}!} \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}^{x_{i}}
\end{array}
$$

with the integers $x_{i} \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}=n$. Indeed, the probability of obtaining the sequence

$$
\underbrace{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{1}}_{x_{1}} ; \underbrace{E_{2}, \ldots, E_{2}}_{x_{2}} ; \ldots ; \underbrace{E_{s}, \ldots, E_{s}}_{x_{s}}
$$

is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}^{x_{i}}$.
The number of existing possibilities is the number of permutations of $n$ objects of which $x_{1}$ is event $E_{1}, x_{2}$ is event $E_{2}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is event $E_{S}$, meaning that:

$$
\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}!}
$$

The multinomial distribution is a discrete probability distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

For the multinomial distribution

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}=n
$$

with $0<p_{i}<1$.
The expected value is calculated for each random variable $X_{i}$.
We therefore have:

$$
E\left[X_{i}\right]=n \cdot p_{i} .
$$

Indeed each random variable can be considered individually as following a binomial distribution.
We can make the same remark for the variance. It is then equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=n \cdot p_{i} \cdot q_{i}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The multinomial distribution has many applications in the analysis of statistical
data. It is used especially in situations where the data must be classified into several categories of events (for example, in the analysis of categorical data).

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following random experiment:
An urn contains nine balls, two white, three red, and four black. A ball is chosen at random; its color is noted and it is put back in the urn. This is repeated 5 times.
We can describe this random experiment as follows:
We have three possible events:
$\boldsymbol{E}_{1}$ : choosing a white ball
$\boldsymbol{E}_{2}$ : choosing a red ball
$\boldsymbol{E}_{3}$ : choosing a black ball
and three random variables:
$X_{1}$ : number of white balls chosen
$\boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ : number of red balls chosen
$X_{3}$ : number of black balls chosen
The probabilities associated to these three events are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=\frac{2}{9}, \\
& p_{2}=\frac{3}{9}, \\
& p_{3}=\frac{4}{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will calculate the probability that on five chosen balls ( $n=5$ ), one will be white, two will be red, and two will be black.
The number of possibilities is equal to:

$$
5!/(1!2!2!)
$$

The probability of obtaining the sequence ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}$ ), where $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{r}$, and b are, respectively, white, red, and black balls, is:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{3} p_{i}^{x_{i}}=\frac{2}{9} \cdot\left(\frac{3}{9}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{2}=0.0049
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, X_{3}=x_{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{5!}{\prod_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}!} \cdot\left(\frac{2}{9}\right)^{x_{1}} \cdot\left(\frac{3}{9}\right)^{x_{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{x_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{1}=1, X_{2}=2, X_{3}=2\right) & =30 \cdot 0.0049 \\
& =0.147
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial distribution
- Discrete probability distribution


## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

See correlation coefficient.

## Multiple Linear Regression

A regression analysis where independent variable $Y$ linearly depends on many independent variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ is called multiple linear regression.
A multiple linear regression equation is of the form:

$$
Y=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right),
$$

where $f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ is a linear function of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$.

## HISTORY

See regression analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A general model of multiple linear regression containing $k=(p-1)$ independent variables (and $n$ observations) is written as:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} X_{j i} \beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $Y_{i}$ is the dependent variable, $X_{j i}, j=$ $1, \ldots, p-1$, are the independent variables, $\beta_{j}, j=0, \ldots, p-1$, are the parameters to be estimated, and $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the term of random nonobservable error.
In the matrix form, this model is written as:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of observations related to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the vector ( $p \times 1$ ) of parameters to be estimated, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of errors, and $\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & X_{11} & \ldots & X_{1(p-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n 1} & \ldots & X_{n(p-1)}\end{array}\right)$ is the $(n \times p)$ matrix of the independent variables.

## Estimation of Vector $\beta$

Starting from the model

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\varepsilon
$$

we obtain the estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of the vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ by the least-squares method:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y},
$$

and we calculate an estimated value $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}$ for $\mathbf{Y}$ :

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}
$$

At this step, we can calculate the residuals, denoted by vector $\mathbf{e}$, that we find in the following manner:

$$
\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} .
$$

## Measure of Reliability of Estimation of $\mathbf{Y}$

To know which measure to trust for the chosen linear model, it is useful to conduct an analysis of variance and to test the hypotheses on vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ of the regression model. To conduct these tests, we must make the following assumptions:

- For each value of $X_{j i}$ and for all $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ and $j=1 \ldots, p-1$, there is a random variable $\mathbf{Y}$ distributed according to the normal distribution.
- The variance of $\mathbf{Y}$ is the same for all $X_{j i}$; it equals $\sigma^{2}$ (unknown).
- The different observations on $\mathbf{Y}$ are independent of one another but conditioned by the values of $X_{j i}$.


## Analysis of Variance in Matrix Form

In the matrix form, the table of analysis of variance for the regression is as follows:

Analysis of variance

| Source <br> of | Degrees <br> of <br> variation <br> freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regres- | $p-1$ | $\hat{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-$ <br> $n \bar{y}^{2}$ | $\frac{\hat{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{2}}{p-1}$ |
| sion |  |  |  |

If the model is correct, then

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}}{n-p}
$$

is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$.
The analysis of variance allows us to test the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{j}=0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, p-1
$$

against the alternative hypothesis:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : at least one of the parameters $\beta_{j}, j \neq 0$, is different from zero
calculating the statistic:

$$
F=\frac{E M S E}{R M S E}=\frac{E M S E}{S^{2}},
$$

where $E M S E$ is the mean of squares of the regression, $R M S E$ is the mean of squares of residuals, and TMSE is the total mean of squares.
This $F$ statistic must be compared to the value $F_{\alpha, p-1, n-p}$ of the Fisher table, where $\alpha$ is the significance level of the test:

```
\(\Rightarrow \quad\) if \(F \leq F_{\alpha, p-1, n-p}\), we accept \(H_{0}\);
    if \(F>F_{\alpha, p-1, n-p}\), we reject \(H_{0}\) for \(H_{1}\).
```

The coefficient of determination $R^{2}$ is calculated in the following manner:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{2}}{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{2}}
$$

where $E S S$ is the sum of squares of the regression and TSS is the total sum of squares.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

See analysis of regression.

## EXAMPLES

The following data concern ten companies of the chemical industry. We try to establish a relation between the production, the hours of work, and capital.

| Production <br> $(100$ tons) | Work <br> (h) | Capital <br> (machine hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 1100 | 300 |
| 120 | 1200 | 400 |
| 190 | 1430 | 420 |
| 250 | 1500 | 400 |
| 300 | 1520 | 510 |
| 360 | 1620 | 590 |
| 380 | 1800 | 600 |
| 430 | 1820 | 630 |
| 440 | 1800 | 610 |
| 490 | 1750 | 630 |

The matrix model is written as:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where
$\mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}60 \\ 120 \\ 190 \\ 250 \\ 300 \\ 360 \\ 380 \\ 430 \\ 440 \\ 490\end{array}\right], \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1100 & 300 \\ 1 & 1200 & 400 \\ 1 & 1430 & 420 \\ 1 & 1500 & 400 \\ 1 & 1520 & 510 \\ 1 & 1620 & 590 \\ 1 & 1800 & 600 \\ 1 & 1820 & 630 \\ 1 & 1800 & 610 \\ 1 & 1750 & 630\end{array}\right]$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{1} \\
\varepsilon_{2} \\
\varepsilon_{3} \\
\varepsilon_{4} \\
\varepsilon_{5} \\
\varepsilon_{6} \\
\varepsilon_{7} \\
\varepsilon_{8} \\
\varepsilon_{9} \\
\varepsilon_{10}
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{0} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The equations that form the model are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
60 & =\beta_{0}+1100 \beta_{1}+300 \beta_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \\
120 & =\beta_{0}+1200 \beta_{1}+400 \beta_{2}+\varepsilon_{2} \\
& \ldots \\
490 & =\beta_{0}+1750 \beta_{1}+630 \beta_{2}+\varepsilon_{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

We calculate the estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ using the result:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

with
$\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}10 & 15540 & 5090 \\ 15540 & 24734600 & 8168700 \\ 5090 & 8168700 & 2720500\end{array}\right]$,
$\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6.304288 & -0.007817 & 0.011677 \\
-0.007817 & 0.000015 & -0.000029 \\
0.011677 & -0.000029 & 0.000066
\end{array}\right],
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
3020 \\
5012000 \\
1687200
\end{array}\right]
$$

That gives:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-439.269 \\
0.283 \\
0.591
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\beta}_{0} \\
\hat{\beta}_{1} \\
\hat{\beta}_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus we obtain the equation for the estimated line:

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=-439.269+0.283 X_{i 1}+0.591 X_{i 2} .
$$

## Analysis of Variance in Matrix Form

The calculation of different elements of the table gives us

1. Calculation of degrees of freedom:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d l_{\mathrm{reg}} & =p-1=3-1=2, \\
d l_{\mathrm{res}} & =n-p=10-3=7, \\
d l_{\mathrm{tot}} & =n-1=10-1=9,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d l_{\text {reg }}$ is the degrees of freedom of the regression, $d l_{\text {res }}$ is the degrees of freedom of the residuals, and $d l_{\text {tot }}$ is the total degrees of freedom.
2. Calculation of the sum of squares:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E S S= & \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \\
= & {[-439.2690 .2830 .591] } \\
& \cdot\left[\begin{array}{r}
3020 \\
5012000 \\
1687200
\end{array}\right]-912040 \\
= & 179063.39 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T S S=\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{2}
$$

$$
=[60 \ldots 490] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
60 \\
\vdots \\
490
\end{array}\right]-912040
$$

$$
=187160 .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R S S & =\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \\
& =T S S-E S S \\
& =187160.00-179063.39 \\
& =8096.61 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following table:
Analysis of variance

| Source of variation | Degree of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | 2 | 179063.39 | 89531.70 |
| Residual | 7 | 8096.61 | $1156.66=S^{2}$ |
| Total | 9 | 187160.00 |  |

This allows us to test the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=0
$$

The calculated value of $F$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{E M S E}{R M S E}=\frac{E M S E}{S^{2}}=\frac{89531.70}{1156.66} \\
& =77.41
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $F$ in the Fisher table with a significance level $\alpha=0.05$ is

$$
F_{0.05,2,7}=4.74
$$

In consequence, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ is rejected. The alternative hypothesis can be one of the three following possibilities:
$H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{2} \neq 0$
$H_{2}: \beta_{1}=0$ and $\beta_{2} \neq 0$
$H_{3}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{2}=0$

We can calculate the coefficient of determi- $\rightarrow$ Least squares
nation $R^{2}$ :
$R^{2}=\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{179063.39}{187160.00} \cong 0.96$.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Analysis of variance
- Coefficient of determination
- Collinearity
- Correlation coefficient
- Hat matrix
- Leverage point
- Normal equations
- Regression analysis
- Residual
- Simple linear regression
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## Negative Binomial Distribution

A random variable $X$ follows a negative binomial distribution with parameters $k$ and $r$ if its probability function is of the form:

$$
P(X=k)=C_{r+k-1}^{k} \cdot p^{r} \cdot q^{k}
$$

where $p$ is the probability of success, $q$ is the probability of failure, and $C_{n}^{x}$ is the number of combinations of $x$ objects among $n$.
In the situation where a "success-failure" random experiment is repeated in an independent way, the probability of success is denoted by $p$ and the probability of failure by $q=1-p$. The experiment is repeated as many times as required to obtain $r$ successes. The number of obtained failures before attaining this goal is a random variable following a negative binomial distribution described above. The negative binomial distribution is a discrete binomial distribution.

## HISTORY

The first to treat the negative binomial distribution was Pascal, Blaise (1679). Then de Montmort, P.R. (1714) applied the negative binomial distribution to represent the number of times that a coin should be flipped to obtain a certain number of heads. Student (1907) used the negative binomial distri-
bution as an alternative to the Poisson distribution.
Greenwood, M. and Yule, G.U. (1920) and Eggenberger, F. and Polya, G. (1923) found applications of the negative binomial distribution. Ever since, there has been an increasing number of applications of this distribution, and the statistical techniques based on this distribution have been developed in a parallel way.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $n$ independent random variables following a geometric distribution, then the random variable

$$
X=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{r}
$$

follows a negative binomial distribution.
To calculate the expected value of $X$, the following property is used, where $Y$ and $Z$ are random variables:

$$
E[Y+Z]=E[Y]+E[Z]
$$

We therefore have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X]= & E\left[X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{r}\right] \\
= & E\left[X_{1}\right]+E\left[X_{2}\right]+\ldots \\
& +E\left[X_{r}\right] \\
= & \frac{q}{p}+\frac{q}{p}+\ldots+\frac{q}{p} \\
= & r \cdot \frac{q}{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate the variance of $X$, the following property is used, where $Y$ and $Z$ are independent variables:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(Y+Z)=\operatorname{Var}(Y)+\operatorname{Var}(Z) .
$$

We therefore have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{r}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right)+\ldots \\
& +\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{r}\right) \\
= & \frac{q}{p^{2}}+\frac{q}{p^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{q}{p^{2}} \\
= & r \cdot \frac{q}{p^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Among the specific fields for which the negative binomial distribution has been applied are accidents statistics, biological sciences, ecology, market studies, medical research, and psychology.

## EXAMPLES

A coin is flipped several times. We are interested in the probability of obtaining heads a third time on the sixth throw.
We then have:
Number of successes: $\quad r=3$
Number of failures: $\quad k=6-r=3$
Probability of one success: $p=\frac{1}{2}$
(obtaining heads)

Probability of one failure: $q=\frac{1}{2}$
(obtaining tails)
The probability of obtaining $k$ tails before the third heads is given by:

$$
P(X=k)=C_{3+k-1}^{k} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} .
$$

The probability of obtaining a third heads on the sixth throw, meaning the probability of obtaining tails three times before obtaining the third heads, is therefore equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=3) & =C_{3+3-1}^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \\
& =C_{5}^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \\
& =\frac{5!}{3!(5-3)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{3}} \\
& =0.1563 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Bernoulli distribution
- Binomial distribution
- Discrete probability distribution
- Poisson distribution
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## Nelder, John A.

John Nelder was born in 1924 at Dulverton (Somerset, England). After receiving his diploma in mathematical statistics in Cambridge, he was named president of the Section of Statistiscs of the National Vegetable Research Station at Wellesbourn, a position he held from 1951 to 1968. He earned the title of Doctor in sciences at Birmingham and was then elected president of the Statistical Department at Rothamsted Experimental Station in Harpenden from 1968 to 1984. He is now invited professor in the Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine in London. He was elected member of the Royal Society in 1984 and was president of two prestigious societies: the International Biometric Society and the Royal Statistical Society.
Nelder is the author of statistical computational systems Genstat and GLIM, now used in more than 70 countries. Author of more than 140 articles published in statistical and biological reviews, he is also author of Computers in Biology and coauthor with Peter McCullagh of a book treating the generalized linear model. Nelder also developed the idea of generally balanced designs.

Selected works and articles of John A. Nelder:

1965 (with Mead, R.) A simplex method for function minimization. Computational Journal, 7, 303-333.
1971 Computers in Biology. Wykeham, London and Winchester, pp. 149.
1972 (with Wedderburn, R.W.M.) Generalized linear models. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. A 135, 370-384.
1974 Genstat: a statistical system. In: COMPSTAT: Proceedings in Com-
putational Statistics. Physica, Vienna.
1983 (with McCullagh, P.) Generalized Linear Models. Chapman \& Hall, London, pp. 261.

## FURTHER READING

- Statistical software


## Newcomb, Simon

Newcomb, Simon was born in 1835 in Nova Scotia (Canada) and died in 1909. This astronomer of Canadian origin contributed to the treatment of outliers in statistics, to the application of probability theory for the interpretation of data, and to the development of what we call today robust methods in statistics. Until the age of 16 he studied essentially by consulting the books that his father found for him. After that, he began studying medicine with plans of becoming a doctor. In 1857, he was engaged in the American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac in Cambridge in the state of Massachussetts. At the same time, he studied in Harvard and he graduated in 1858. In 1861, he became professor of mathematics at the Naval Observatory.
The collection of Notes on the Theory of Probabilities (Mathematics Monthly, 185961) constitutes a work that today is still considered modern. Newcomb's most remarkable contribution to statistics is his approach to the treatment of outliers in astronomical data; affirming that the normal distribution does not fit, he invented the normal contaminated distribution.

## Selected works and articles of Newcomb, Simon:

1859-61 Notes on the theory of probabilities. Mathematics Monthly, 1, 136-

139, 233-235, 331-355, 349-350; 2, 134-140, 272-275; 3, 68, 119-125, 341-349.

## Neyman, Jerzy

Neyman, Jerzy (1894-1981) was one of the founders of modern statistics. One of his outstanding contributions was establishing, with Pearson, Egon Sharpe, the basis of the theory of hypothesis testing. Born in Russia, Neyman, Jerzy studied physics and mathematics at Kharkov University. In 1921, he went to Poland, his ancestral country of origin, where he worked for a while as a statistician at the National Institute of Agriculture of Bydgoszcz. In 1924, he spent some time in London, where he could study in University College under the direction of Pearson, Karl. At this time he met Pearson, Egon Sharpe Gosset, William Sealy, and Fisher, Ronald Aylmer. In 1937, at the end of a trip to the United States, where he presented papers at many conferences, he accepted the position of professor at the University of California at Berkeley. He created the Department of Statistics and finished his brilliant career at this university.
Selected articles of Neyman, Jerzy:
1928 (with Pearson, E.S.) On the use and interpretation of certain test criteria for purposes of statistical inference, I, II. Biometrika 20A, 175-240, pp. 263-295.

1933 (with Pearson, E.S.) Testing of statistical hypotheses in relation to probabilities a priori. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 29, 492-510.

1934 On the two different aspects of the representative method: the method of
stratified sampling and the method of purposive selection. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. 97, 558-606, discussion pp. 607625.

1938 Contribution to the theory of sampling human populations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 33, 101-116.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing


## Nonlinear Regression

An analysis of regression where the dependent variable $Y$ depends on one or many independent variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ is called a nonlinear regression if the equation of regression $Y=f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$ is not linear in parameters $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$.

## HISTORY

Nonlinear regression dates back to the 1920s to Fisher, Ronald Aylmer and Mackenzie, W.A.However, the use and more detailed investigation of these models had to wait for advances in automatic calculations in the 1970s.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\quad Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r} \quad$ and $\quad X_{11}, \ldots, X_{1 r}$, $X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2 r}, \ldots, X_{k 1}, \ldots, X_{k r}$ be the $r$ observations (respectively) of the dependent variable $Y$ and the independent variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$.
The goal is to estimate the parameters $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ of the model:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Y_{i}=f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \\
i=1, \ldots, r .
\end{array}
$$

By the least-squares method and under the corresponding hypothesis concerning errors (see analysis of residuals), we estimate the parameters $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ by the values $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{p}$ that minimize the sum of squared errors, denoted by $S\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)\right)^{2}: \\
& \min _{\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}=\min _{\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}} S\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f$ is nonlinear, the resolution of the normal equations (neither of which is linear):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot\left[\frac{\partial f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)}{\partial \beta_{j}}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$(j=0, \ldots, p)$ can be difficult.
The problem is that the given equations do not necessarily have a solution or have more than one.
In what follows, we discuss the different approaches to the resolution of the problem.

## 1. Gauss-Newton

The procedure, iterative in nature, consists in the linearization of $f$ with the help of the Taylor expansion in the successive estimation of parameters by linear regression.
We will develop this method in more detail in the following example.

## 2. Steepest descent

The idea of this method is to fix, in an approximate way, the parameters $\beta_{j}$ for estimates and then to add an approximation of

$$
-\frac{\partial S\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)}{\partial \beta_{j}}
$$

that corresponds to the maximum of descent from function $S$.
Iteratively, we determine the parameters that minimize $S$.
3. Marquard-Levenburg This method, not developed here, integrates the advantages of two mentioned procedures forcing and accelerating the convergence of the approximations of parameters to be estimated.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In multiple linear regression, there are functions that are not linear in parameters but that become linear after a transformation. If this is not the case, we call these functions nonlinear and treat them with nonlinear methods.
An example of a nonlinear function is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}}\left[\exp \left(-\beta_{2} X\right)-\exp \left(-\beta_{1} X\right)\right] \\
& +\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Let $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, and $P_{3}=$ $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ be three points on a graph and $d_{1}$, $d_{2}$, and $d_{3}$ the approximative distances (the "approximations" being distributed according to the Gauss distribution of the same variance) between the data points and a point $P=(x, y)$ of unknown and searched coordinates.
A possible regression model to estimate the coordinates of $P$ is as follows:

$$
d_{i}=f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3,
$$

where the function $f$ represents the distance between point $P_{i}$ and point $P$, that is:

$$
f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)=\sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

The distance function is clearly nonlinear and cannotbe transformed in alinear form, so the appropriate regression is nonlinear. We apply the method of Gauss-Newton.
Considering $f$ as a function of parameters $x$ and $y$ to be estimated, the Taylor development of $f$, until it becomes the linear term in ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ), is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right) \\
& \simeq f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \\
& \quad+\left.\frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)}{\partial x}\right|_{\substack{x=x_{0} \\
y=y_{0}}} \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right) \\
& \quad+\left.\frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)}{\partial x}\right|_{\substack{x=x_{0} \\
y=y_{0}}} \cdot\left(y-y_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left.\frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)}{\partial x}\right|_{\substack{x=x_{0} \\ y=y_{0}}}=\frac{x_{i}-x_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x, y\right)}{\partial x}\right|_{\substack{x=x_{0} \\ y=y_{0}}}=\frac{y_{i}-y_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)} .
$$

So let $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ be a firstestimation of $P$, found, for example, geometrically.
The linearized regression model is thus expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i}= & f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{x_{i}-x_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)} \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{y_{i}-y_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)} \cdot\left(y-y_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{i} \\
& \quad i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

With the help of a multiple linear regression (without constants), we can estimate the parameters $(\Delta x, \Delta y)=\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right)$ of
the following model (the "observations" of the model appear in brackets):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[d_{i}-f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right]} \\
& =\Delta x \cdot\left[\frac{x_{i}-x_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad+\Delta y \cdot\left[\frac{y_{i}-y_{0}}{f\left(x_{i}, y_{i} ; x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\right]+\varepsilon_{i} \\
& \quad i=1,2,3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(\hat{\Delta} x, \hat{\Delta} y)$ be the estimation of $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ that was found. Thus we get a better estimation of coordinates of $P$ by $\left(x_{0}+\hat{\Delta} x, y_{0}+\hat{\Delta} y\right)$.
Using this new point as our point of departure, we can use the same procedure again. The remaining coordinates are found in converging way to the desired point, that is, to the point that approaches the best.
Let us take now a concrete example: $P_{1}=$ $(0,5) ; P_{2}=(5,0) ; P_{3}=(10,10) ; d_{1}=6$; $d_{2}=4 ; d_{3}=6$.
If we choose as the initial point of the GaussNewton procedure the following point: $P=$ $\left(\frac{0+5+10}{3}, \frac{5+0+10}{3}\right)=(5,5)$, we obtain the set of the following coordinates:
(6.2536, 4.7537)
(6.1829, 4.7621)
that converges to the point $(6.1806,4.7639)$. The distances between the point found and $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ are respectively 6.1856 , 4.9078, and 6.4811.

## FURTHER READING

- Least squares
- Model
- Multiple linear regression
- Normal equations
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression
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## Nonparametric Statistics

Statistical procedures that allow us to process data from small samples, on variables about which nothing is known concerning their distribution. Specifically, nonparametric statistical methods were developed to be used in cases when the researcher knows nothing about the parameters of the variable of interest in the population (hence the name nonparametric). Nonparametric methods do not rely on the estimation of parameters (such as the mean or the standard deviation) describing the distribution
of the variable of interest in the population. Nonparametric models differ from parametric models in that the model structure is not specified a priori but is instead determined from data. Therefore, these methods are also sometimes called parameter-free methods or distribution-free methods.

## HISTORY

The term nonparametric was first used by Wolfowitz, 1942.

## See also nonparametric test.

## DOMAIN AND LIMITATIONS

The nonparametric method varies from the analysis of a one-way classification model for comparing treatments to regression and curve fitting problems.
The most frequently used nonparametric tests are Anderson-Darling test, Chisquare test, Kendall's tau, KolmogorovSmirnov test, Kruskall-Wallis test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, and Wilcoxon sign rank test.
Nonparametric tests have less power than the appropriate parametric tests, but are more robust when the assumptions underlying the parametric test are not satisfied.

## EXAMPLES

A histogram is a simple nonparametric estimate of a probability distribution. A generalization of histogram is kernel smoothing technique by which from a given data set a very smooth probability density function can be constructed.

See also Nonparametric tests.

## FURTHER READING

## - Nonparametric test
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## Nonparametric Test

A nonparametric test is a type of hypothesis testing in which it is not necessary to specify the form of the distribution of the population under study. In any event, we should have independent observations, that is, that the selection of individuals that forms the sample included must not influence the choice of other individuals.

## HISTORY

The first nonparametric test appeared in the works of Arbuthnott, J. (1710), who introduced the sign test. But most nonparametric tests were developed between 1940 and 1955.

We make special mention of the articles of Kolmogorov, Andrey Nikolaevich (1933), Smirnov, Vladimir Ivanovich (1939), Wilcoxon, F. (1945, 1947), Mann, H.B. and Whitney, D.R. (1947), Mood, A.M. (1950), and Kruskal, W.H. and Wallis, W.A. (1952). Later, many other articles were added to this list. Savage, I.R. (1962) published a bibliog-
raphy of about 3000 articles, written before 1962, concerning nonparametric tests.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The fast development of nonparametric tests can be explained by the following points:

- Nonparametric methods require few assumption concerning the population under study, such as assumption of normality.
- Nonparametric methods are often easier to understand and to apply than the equivalent parametric tests.
- Nonparametric methods are applicable in situations where parametric tests cannot be used, for example, when the variables are measured only on ordinal scales.
- Despite the fact that, at first view, nonparametric methods seem to sacrifice an essential part of information contained in the samples, theoretical researches have shown that nonparametric tests are only slightly inferior to their parametric counterparts when the distribution of the studied population is specified, for example, the normal distribution. Nonparametric tests, on the other hand, are superior to parametric tests when the distribution of the population is far away from the specified (normal) distribution.


## EXAMPLES

See Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, KruskalWallis test, Mann-Withney test, Wilcoxon signed test), sign test.

## FURTHER READING

- Goodness of fit test
- Hypothesis testing
- Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
- Kruskal-Wallis test
- Mann-Whitney test
- Sign test
- Spearman rank correlation coefficient
- Test of independence
- Wilcoxon signed test
- Wilcoxon test
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## Norm of a Vector

The norm of a vector indicates the length of this vector defined from the scalar product of the vector by itself. The norm of a vector is obtained by taking the square root of this scalar product.
A vector of norm 1 is called a unit vector.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

For a given vector $x$,

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

we define the scalar product of $x$ by itself by:

$$
x^{\prime} \cdot x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} .
$$

The norm of vector $x$ thus equals:

$$
\|x\|=\sqrt{x^{\prime} \cdot x}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As the norm of a vector is calculated with the help of the scalar product, the properties of the norm come from those of the scalar product. Thus:

- The norm of a vector $x$ is strictly positive if the vector is not zero, and zero if it is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|x\|>0 & \text { if } \quad x \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \\
\|x\|=0 & \text { if and only if } \quad x=0
\end{array}
$$

- The norm of the result of a multiplication of a vector $x$ by a scalar equals the product of the norm of $x$ and the absolute value of the scalar:

$$
\|k \cdot x\|=|k| \cdot\|x\| .
$$

- For two vectors $x$ and $y$, we have:

$$
\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|,
$$

that is, the norm of a sum of two vectors is smaller than or equal to the sum of the norms of these vectors.

- For two vectors $x$ and $y$, the absolute value of the scalar product of $x$ and $y$ is smaller than or equal to the product of norms:

$$
\left|x^{\prime} \cdot y\right| \leq\|x\| \cdot\|y\| .
$$

This result is called the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
The norm of a vector is also used to obtain a unit vector having the same direction as the given vector. It is enough in this case to divide the initial vector by its norm:

$$
y=\frac{x}{\|x\|}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following vector defined in the Euclidean space of three dimensions:

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
12 \\
15 \\
16
\end{array}\right]
$$

The scalar product of this vector by itself equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} \cdot x & =12^{2}+15^{2}+16^{2} \\
& =144+225+256=625
\end{aligned}
$$

from where we get the norm of $x$ :

$$
\|x\|=\sqrt{x^{\prime} \cdot x}=\sqrt{625}=25
$$

The unit vector having the direction of $x$ is obtained by dividing each component of $x$ by 25:

$$
y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.48 \\
0.6 \\
0.64
\end{array}\right]
$$

and we want to verify that $\|y\|=1$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y\|^{2} & =0.48^{2}+0.6^{2}+0.64^{2} \\
& =0.2304+0.36+0.4096=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Least squares
- $L_{1}$ estimation
- Vector
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## Normal Distribution

Random variable $X$ is distributed according to a normal distribution if it has a density function of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) \\
& (\sigma>0)
\end{aligned}
$$



Normal distribution, $\mu=0, \sigma=1$

We will say that $X$ follows a normal distribution of mean $\mu$ and of variance $\sigma^{2}$. The normal distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

The normal distribution is often attributed to
Laplace, P.S. and Gauss, C.F., whose name it bears. However, its origin dates back to the works of Bernoulli, J. who in his work Ars Conjectandi (1713) provided the first basic elements of the law of large numbers.
In 1733, de Moivre, A. was the first to obtain the normal distribution as an approximation of the binomial distribution. This work was written in Latin and published in an English version in 1738. De Moivre, A. called what he found a "curve"; he discovered this curve while calculating the probabilities of gain for different games of hazard.
Laplace, P.S., after de Moivre, A., studied this distribution and obtained a more formal and general result of the de Moivre approximation. In 1774 he obtained the normal distribution as an approximation of the

## hypergeometric distribution.

Gauss, C.F. studied this distribution through problems of measurement in astronomy. His works in 1809 and 1816 established techniques based on the normal distribution that became standard methods during the 19th century. Note that even if the first approximation of this distribution is due to de Moivre, Galileo had already found that the errors of observation were distributed in a symmetric way and tended to regroup around their true value.
Many denominations of the normal distribution can be found in the literature. Quetelet, A. (1796-1874) spoke of the "curve of possibilities" or the "distribution of possibilities".

Note also that Galton, F. spoke of the "frequency of error distribution" or of the "distribution of deviations from a mean". Stigler, S. (1980) presents a more detailed discussion on the different names of this curve.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the normal distribution is given by:

$$
E[X]=\mu
$$

The variance is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma^{2}
$$

If the mean $\mu$ is equal to 0 , and the variance $\sigma^{2}$ is equal to 1 , then we obtain a centered and reduced normal distribution (or standard normal distribution) whose density function is given by:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-x^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

If a random variable $X$ follows a normal distribution of mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then the random variable

$$
Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

follows a centered and reduced normal distribution (of mean 0 and variance 1 ).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The normal distribution is the most famous continuous probability distribution. It plays a central role in the theory of probability and its statistical applications. Many measurements such as the size or weight of individuals, the diameter of a piece of machinery, the results of an IQ test, etc.
approximately follow a normal distribution. The normal distribution is frequently used as an approximation, either when the normality is attributed to a distribution in the construction of a model or when a known distribution is replaced by a normal distribution with the same expected value or variance. It is used for the approximation of the chi-square distribution, the Student distribution, and discrete probability distributions such as the binomial distribution and the Poisson distribution.
The normal distribution is also a fundamental element of the theory of sampling, where its role is important in the study of correlation, regression analysis, variance analysis, and covariance analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Lognormal distribution
- Normal table
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## Normal Equations

Normal equations are equations obtained by setting equal to zero the partial derivatives of the sum of squared errors (least squares); normal equations allow one to estimate the parameters of a multiple linear regression.

## HISTORY

See analysis of regression.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a general model of multiple linear regression:
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} \cdot X_{j i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$,
where $Y_{i}$ is the dependent variable, $X_{j i}, j=$ $1, \ldots, p-1$, are the independent variables, $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the term of random nonobservable error,
$\beta_{j}, j=0, \ldots, p-1$, are the parameters to be estimated, and $n$ is the number of observations.
To apply the method of least squares, we should minimize the sum of squared errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \beta_{j} \cdot X_{j i}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting the $p$ partial derivatives equal to zero relative to the parameters to be estimated, we get the $p$ normal equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\partial \beta_{0}}=0, \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\partial \beta_{1}}=0, \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)}{\partial \beta_{p-1}}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can also express the same model in matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\varepsilon
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of observations related to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations $), \mathbf{X}$ is the matrix $(n \times p)$ of the plan related to the independent variables, $\varepsilon$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of errors, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $(p \times 1)$ vector of the parameters to be estimated.
By the least-squares method, we should minimize:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}= & \left(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
= & \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
& +\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
= & \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-2 \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting to zero the derivatives relative to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (in matrix form), corresponding to the partial
derivatives but written in vector form, we find the normal equations:

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We can generalize the concept of normal equations in the case of a nonlinear regression (with $r$ observations and $p+1$ parameters to estimate).
They are expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)\right)^{2}}{\partial \beta_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\frac{\partial f\left(X_{1 i}, \ldots, X_{k i} ; \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)}{\partial \beta_{j}}\right]=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j=0, \ldots, p$. Because $f$ is nonlinear in the parameters to be estimated, the normal equations are also nonlinear and so can be very difficult to solve. Moreover, the system of equations can have more than one solution that corresponds to the possibility of many minima of $f$. Normally, we try to develop an iterative procedure to solve the equations or refer to the techniques explained in nonlinear regression.

## EXAMPLES

If the model contains, for example, 3 parameters $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$, and $\beta_{2}$, the normal equations are the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)}{\partial \beta_{0}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)}{\partial \beta_{1}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)}{\partial \beta_{1}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

That is:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\beta_{0} \cdot n+\beta_{1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1 i}+\beta_{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}, \\
\beta_{0} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1 i}+\beta_{1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1 i}^{2}+\beta_{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1 i} \cdot X_{2 i} \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1 i} \cdot Y_{i}, \\
\beta_{0} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2 i}+\beta_{1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2 i} \cdot X_{1 i}+\beta_{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2 i}^{2} \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2 i} \cdot Y_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

See simple linear regression.

## FURTHER READING

- Error
- Least squares
- Multiple linear regression
- Nonlinear regression
- Parameter
- Simple linear regression
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## Normal Probability Plot

A normal probability plot allows one to verify if a data set is distributed according to a normal distribution. When this is the case, it is possible to estimate the mean and the standard deviation from the plot.
The cumulated frequencies are transcribed in ordinate on a Gaussian scale, graduated by putting the value of the distribution function $F(t)$ of the normal distribution (centered and reduced) opposite to the point located at a distance $t$ from the origin. In abscissa, the observations are represented
on an arithmetic scale. In practice, the normal probability papers sold on the market are used.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

On an axis system the choice of the origin and size of the unity length is made according to the observations that are to be represented. The abscissa is then arithmetically graduated like millimeter paper.
In ordinate, the values of the distribution function $F(t)$ of the normal distribution are transcribed at the height $t$ of a fictive origin placed at mid-height of the sheet of paper. Therefore:

| $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{t})$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0.9772 | 2 |
| 0.8412 | 1 |
| 0.5000 | 0 |
| 0.1588 | -1 |
| 0.0 |  |

will be placed, and only the scale on the left, which will be graduated from 0 to 1 (or from 0 to 100 if written in \%), will be kept.
Consider a set of $n$ point data that are supposed to be classified in increasing order. Let us call this series $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and for each abscissa $x_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, the ordinate is calculated as

$$
100 \cdot \frac{i-\frac{3}{8}}{n+\frac{1}{4}}
$$

and represented on a normal probability plot. It is also possible to transcribe

$$
100 \cdot \frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{n}
$$

as a function of $x_{i}$ on the plot. This second approximation of the cumulated frequency is explained as follows. If the surface located under the normal curve (with area equal to

Papier gausso-arithmetique. Echelle verticale : 0.01-99.99 \% (made with Mathematica ${ }^{T M}$ )


1) is divided into $n$ equal parts, it can be supposed that each of our $n$ observations can be found in one of these parts. Therefore the $i$ th observation $x_{i}$ (in increasing order) is located in the middle of the $i$ th part, which in terms of cumulated frequency corresponds to

$$
\frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{n} .
$$

The normality of the observed distribution can then be observed by examining the alignment of the points: if the points are aligned, then the distribution is normal.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

By representing the cumulated frequencies as a function of the values of the statistical variable on a normal probability plot, it is possible to verify if the observed distribution follows a normal distribution. Indeed, if this is the case, the points are approximately aligned.
Therefore the graphical adjustment of a line to a set of points allows to:

- Visualize the normal character of the distribution in a better way than with a histogram.
- Make a graphical estimation of the mean and the standard deviation of the observed distribution.
The line of least squares cuts the ordinate 0.50 (or $50 \%$ ) at the estimation of the mean (which can be read on the abscissa). The
estimated standard deviation is given by the inverse of the slope of the line.
It is easy to determine the slope by simply considering two points. Let us choose $(\hat{\mu}, 0.5)$ and the point at the ordinate

$$
0.9772\left(=F(2)=F\left[\frac{X_{0.9772}-\hat{\mu}}{\hat{\sigma}}\right]\right)
$$

whose abscissa $X_{0.9772}$ is read on the line. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}} & =\frac{F^{-1}(0.9772)-F^{-1}(0.5)}{X-\mu} \\
& =\text { slope of the line of least squares }
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we finally obtain:

$$
\hat{\sigma}=\frac{X_{0.9772}-\hat{\mu}}{2} .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider ten pieces fabricated by a machine $X$ taken randomly: They have the following diameters:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
9.36, & 9.69,11.10, & 8.72, & 10.13, \\
9.98, & 11.42, & 10.33, & 9.71, \\
10.96
\end{array}
$$

These pieces, supposedly distributed according to a normal distribution, are then classified in increasing order and, for each diameter

$$
f_{i}=\frac{i-\frac{3}{8}}{10+\frac{1}{4}}
$$

are calculated, $i$ being the order number of each observation:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8.72 | $5 / 82$ |
| 9.36 | $13 / 82$ |
| 9.69 | $21 / 82$ |
| 9.71 | $29 / 82$ |
| 9.98 | $37 / 82$ |



The graphical representation of $f_{i}$ with respect to $x_{i}$ on a piece of normal probability paper provides for our random sample: estimated mean of diameters: $\hat{\mu}=10.2$, estimated standard deviation: $\hat{\sigma}=0.9$.

## FURTHER READING

- Distribution function
- Frequency
- Frequency distribution
- Normal distribution


## Normal Table

The normal table, also called a Gauss table or a normal distribution table, gives the values of the distribution function of a random variable following a standard normal distribution, that is, of the mean equalling 0 and of variance equalling 1 .

## HISTORY

de Laplace, Pierre Simon (1774) obtained the normal distribution from the hypergeometric distribution and in a second work (dated 1778 but published in 1781) he
gave a normal table. Pearson, Egon Sharpe and Hartley, H.O. (1948) edited a normal table based on the values calculated by Sheppard, W.F. $(1903,1907)$. Exhaustive lists of normal tables on the market was given by the National Bureau of Standards (until 1952) and by Greenwood, J.A. and Hartley, H.O. (until 1958).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

See central limit theorem.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let random variable $Z$ follow a normal distribution of mean 0 and of variance 1. The density function $f(t)$ thus equals:

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

The distribution function of random variable $Z$ is defined by:

$$
F(z)=P(Z \leq z)=\int_{-\infty}^{z} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

The normal table represented here gives the values of $F(z)$ for the different values of $z$ between 0 and 3.5.
With a normal distribution symmetric relative to the mean, we have:

$$
F(z)=1-F(-z),
$$

which allows to determine $F(z)$ for a negative value of $z$.
Sometimes we must use the normal table, in inverse direction, to find the value of $z$ corresponding to a given probability. We generally denote by $z=z_{\alpha}$ the value of random variable $Z$ for which

$$
P\left(Z \leq z_{\alpha}\right)=1-\alpha
$$

## EXAMPLES

See Appendix G.
Examples of normal table use:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(Z \leq 2.5)=0.9938 \\
& P(1 \leq Z \leq 2) \\
& =P(Z \leq 2)-P(Z \leq 1) \\
& =0.9772-0.8413=0.1359 \\
& P(Z \leq-1) \\
& =1-P(Z \leq 1) \\
& =1-0.8413=0.1587 \\
& P(-0.5 \leq Z \leq 1.5) \\
& =P(Z \leq 1.5)-P(Z \leq-0.5) \\
& =P(Z \leq 1.5)-[1-P(Z \leq 0.5)] \\
& =0.9332-[1-0.6915] \\
& =0.9332-0.3085=0.6247
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, we can use the normal table to determine the limit $z_{\alpha}$ for which the probability that the random variable $Z$ takes a value smaller to that limit $\left(z_{\alpha}\right)$, is equal to a fixed value $(1-\alpha)$, that is:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P\left(Z \leq z_{\alpha}\right)=0.95 & \Rightarrow & z_{\alpha}=1.645, \\
P\left(Z \leq z_{\alpha}\right)=0.975 & \Rightarrow & z_{\alpha}=1.960 .
\end{array}
$$

This allows us, in hypothesis testing, to determine the critical value $z_{\alpha}$ relative to a given significance level $\alpha$.

## Example of Application

In the case of a one-tailed test, if the significance level $\alpha$ equals $5 \%$, then we can determine the critical value $z 0.05$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(Z \leq z_{0.05}\right) & =1-\alpha \\
& =1-0.05 \\
& =0.95 \\
\Rightarrow z_{0.05} & =1.645 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of a two-tail test, we should find the value $z_{\alpha / 2}$. With a significance level of $5 \%$, the critical value $z 0.025$ is obtained in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(Z \leq z_{0.025}\right) \\
& =1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-0.025=0.975 \\
& \Rightarrow z_{0.025}=1.960
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Normal distribution
- One-sided test
- Statistical table
- Two-sided test
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## Normalization

Normalization is the transformation from a normally distributed random variable to a random variable following a standard normal distribution. It allows to calculate and compare the values belonging to the normal curves of the mean and of different variances on the basis of a reference normal distribution, that is, the standard normal distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let the random variable $X$ follow the normal distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Its normalization gives us a random variable

$$
Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

that follows a standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$.
Each value of the distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ can be transformed into standard variable $Z$, each $Z$ representing a deviation from the mean expressed in units of standard deviation.

## EXAMPLES

The students of a professional school have had two exams. Each exam was graded on a scale of 1 to 60 , and the grades are considered the realizations of two random variables of a normal distribution. Let us compare the grades received by the students on these two exams.

Here are the means and the standard deviations of each exam calculated on all the students:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Exam 1: } \mu_{1}=35, \sigma_{1}=4 \\
& \text { Exam 2: } \mu_{2}=45, \sigma_{2}=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

A student named Marc got the following results:

Exam 1: $X_{1}=41$,
Exam 2: $X_{2}=48$.
The question is to know which exam Marc scored better on relative to the other students. We cannot directly compare the results of two exams because the results belong to distributions with different means and standard deviations.
Is we simply examine the difference of each note and compare that to the mean of its distribution, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Exam 1: } X_{1}-\mu_{1}=41-35=6 \\
& \text { Exam 2: } X_{2}-\mu_{2}=48-45=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that Marc's score was 6 points higher than the mean on exam 1 and only 3 points higher than the mean on exam 2. A hasty conclusion would suggest to us that Marc did better on exam 1 than on exam 2, relative to the other students.
This conclusion takes into account only the difference of each result from the mean. It does not consider the dispersion of student grades around the mean. We divide the difference from the mean by the standard deviation to make the results comparable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Exam 1: } Z_{1}=\frac{X_{1}-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{6}{4}=1.5, \\
& \text { Exam 1: } Z_{2}=\frac{X_{2}-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{3}{1.5}=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By this calculation we have normalized the scores $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. We can conclude that the
normalized value is greater for exam $2\left(Z_{2}=\right.$
2) than for exam $1\left(Z_{1}=1.5\right)$ and that Marc did better on exam 1 , relative to other students.

## FURTHER READING

- Normal distribution


## Null Hypothesis

In the fulfillment of hypothesis testing, the null hypothesis is the hypothesis that is to be tested. It is designated by $H_{0}$. The opposite hypothesis is called the alternative hypothesis. It is the alternative hypothesis that will be accepted if the test leads to rejecting the null hypothesis.

## HISTORY

See hypothesis and hypothesis testing.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

During the fulfillment of hypothesis testing on a parameter of a population, the null hypothesis is usually a supposition on the presumed value of this parameter. The null hypothesis will then be presented as:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \theta=\theta_{0},
$$

$\theta$ being the unknown parameter of the population and $\theta_{0}$ the presumed value of this parameter. This parameter can be, for example, the mean of the population.
When hypothesis testing aims at comparing two populations, the null hypothesis generally supposes that the parameters are equal:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ is the parameter of the population where the first sample came from and $\theta_{2}$ the population that the second sample came from.

## EXAMPLES

We are going to examine the null hypothesis on three examples of hypothesis testing:

1. Hypothesis testing on the percentage of a sample
A candidate running for office wants to know if he will receive more than $50 \%$ of the vote.
The null hypothesis for this problem can be posed thus:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \pi=0.5
$$

where $\pi$ is the percentage of the population to be estimated.
2. Hypothesis testing on the mean of the population
A manufacturer wants to test the precision of a new machine that should produce pieces 8 mm in diameter.
We can pose the following null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \mu=8
$$

where $\mu$ is the mean of the population to be estimated.
3. Hypothesis testing on the comparison of means of two populations
An insurance company has decided to equip its offices with computers. It wants to buy computers from two different suppliers if there is no significant difference between the reliability of the two brands. It draws two samples of PC's comming from each brand. Then it records how much time was consumed for each sample to have a problem on PC.
According to the null hypothesis, the mean of the time passed since the first problem is the same for each brand:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=0
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are the respective means of two populations.
This hypothesis can also be written as:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}
$$

FURTHER READING

- Alternative hypothesis
- Analysis of variance
- Hypothesis
$\rightarrow$ Hypothesis testing


## Observation

An observation is the result of a scientific study assembling information on a statistical unit belonging to a given population.

## FURTHER READING

- Data
- Outlier
- Population
- Sample


## Odds and Odds Ratio

Odds are defined as the ratio of the probability of an event and the probability of the complementary event, that is, the ratio of the probability of an event occurring (in the particular case of epidemiology, an illness) and the probability of its not occurring.
The odds ratio is, like relative risk, a measure of the relative effect of a cause. More precisely, it is the ratio of the odds calculated within populations exposed to given risk factor to varying degrees.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Odds attached to an event "having an illness" are, formally, the following ratio, where $p$ denotes the probability of having the illness:

$$
\text { Odds }=\frac{p}{1-p}
$$

Let there be a factor of risk to which a group E is exposed and a group NE is not exposed. The corresponding odds ratio, OR, is the ratio of the odds of group E and the odds of group NE:

$$
\mathrm{OR}=\frac{\text { odds of group } \mathrm{E}}{\text { odds of group } \mathrm{NE}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Let us take as an example the calculation of the odds ratio from the data in the following table comparing two groups of individuals attacked by the same potentially dangerous illness; one group ("treated" group) receives medical treatment while the other does not ("reference" group):

| Group | Deaths | Survivors | Odds | OR |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treated | $5 \%$ | $95 \%$ | 0.053 | 0.47 |
| Reference | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ | 0.111 | $1.0^{\star}$ |

*) By definition the OR equals 1 for the group of reference.

If we call here "risk" the risk attached to the event "death", then the OR is a good approximation of the relative risk, on the condition that the risks of death are similar or, if they are strongly different, are small. We generally consider a risk smaller than $10 \%$, as in this case, as being small. The odds ratio does not remain an approximation of relative
risk because there is a bias of the OR which appears for small risks. That is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { OR }= & \frac{\frac{\text { risk of treated group }}{\text { survival rate in treated group }}}{\frac{\text { risk in reference group }}{\text { survival rate in reference group }}} \\
= & \frac{\text { risk in treated group }}{\text { risk in reference group }} \\
& \times \frac{\text { survival rate in reference group }}{\text { survival rate in treated group }} \\
= & \text { relative risk } \times \text { bias of odds ratio }
\end{aligned}
$$

with:

## bias of OR =

$\frac{\text { survival rate in reference group }}{\text { survival rate in treated group }}$.
The estimations of the relative and odds ratios are used to measure the force of causal associations between the exposure to a risk factor and an illness. The two ratios can take values between 0 and infinity that are justified by the same general interpretation. We can distinguish two clearly different cases:

1. An OR value greater than 1 indicates a risk or an odds of illness much greater when the studied group is exposed to a specific risk factor. In such a case there is a positive association.
2. A smaller value implies a reduced exposure to a risk factor. In this case there is a negative association.

## Confidence Interval at 95\% of Odds Ratio

The technique and notation used for a confidence interval of $95 \%$ of the odds ratio are the same as those for relative risk:
$\exp \left\{(\ln\right.$ OR $\left.) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{c}-\frac{1}{m}}\right\}$.
$a, b, c$ and $d$ must be large. If the confidence interval includes the value 1.0 , then the odds ratio is not statistically significant.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a study of the relation between the risk factor "smoking" and breast cancer. The odds of breast cancer is the ratio of the probability of developing this cancer and the probability of not having this illness during a given period. The odds of developing breast cancer for each group are defined by the group's level of exposure to smoking. The following table illustrates this example:

| Group | Cancer <br> (100000/ | No <br> cancer <br> 2 years) <br> (100000/ <br> 2 years) | Odds of <br> breast <br> cancer <br> (/2 years) | OR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 114 | 99886 | 0.00114 | 1.0 |
| Non- <br> exposed | 252 | 99748 | 0.00252 | 2.2 |
| Passive <br> smokers | 276 | 99724 | 0.00276 | 2.4 |
| Active <br> smokers |  |  |  |  |

In this example, the odds of breast cancer of the nonexposed group are 0.00114 (114 : 99886), those of the passive smokers 0.00252 (252: 99748), and those of the active smokers 0.00276 (276:99274). Taking as the reference group the nonexposed subjects, the odds ratio of the breast cancer is, for the active smokers:

$$
\frac{\frac{276}{99724}}{\frac{114}{99886}}=2.4=\frac{276}{114} \cdot \frac{99886}{99724} .
$$

The risk of breast cancer over 2 years being very small, the survival of active smokers as well as that of nonexposed subjects is close to $100 \%$, and so the survival ratio (the bias of the odds ratio) is practically equal to 1.0 , which is interpreted as almost the absence of any OR bias. In this example, the odds ratio of breast cancer is identical to the relative
risk of breast cancer in the passive smokers and the active smokers. Since breast cancer is a rare illness, the odds ratio is a good approximation of the relative risk.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
- Risk
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## Official Statistics

The adjective official means coming from the government, the administration; authorized by a proper authority. Thus the word official, in conjunction with the word statistics, indicates a relation with the state. Moreover, the etymology of the word statistics, from the Latin status (state), meaning to be gov-
ernmental statistics of data collected by government stablishement or private agencies working for the goverment. The term official statistics refers to a very general concept that can be obtained in different ways.

## HISTORY

The census, the most widely known of official statistics, is a practice almost as old as the social organization of people. Since antiquity, the kings of Babylon and Egypt, as well as Chinese, Greek, Hebrew, Persian, and Roman chiefs, tried to determine the number of soldiers under their command and the goods they possessed. The first statistical trials date back more than 6000 years, but they were only sporadic trials of counting people and goods. Statisticians, in the modern sense of the term, appear only in the late 17th century, with the establishment of the modern state and the needs of colonial administration in France and England. In the 18th century, in Diderot and Alembert's encyclopedia, "arithmetical politics" is defined as "the application of arithmetical calculations to political subjects and usage". The French Revolution, and then the birth of democracy in the United States, justified the new developments in official statistics. The new states, to know the political weight of their different entities, needed to know about their populations. That is why the first regular decennial censuses started in the US in 1790.

Since the Second World War, empires, colonies, and tribal societies have been gradually replaced by national states. To organize elections and assemblies and facilitate the development of modern corporations, these new states must establish statistical systems that were essential conditions of their development. The United Nations, and in partic-
ular its Statistical Commission, expended considerable effort in promoting and developing official statistics in different states. Under their auspices, the first world population census was organized in 1950. Another followed in 1960.
Now official statistics are ubiquitous. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, OECD, wants to produce statistics that could help in the formulation of a precise, comprehensive, and balanced assessment regarding the state of the principal sectors of society. These statistics, called indicators, have since the 1960 s been the subject of much research in economics, health, and education. The goal is to create, for politicians, a system of information allowing them to determine the effects of their economic, social, and educational policies.

## EXAMPLES

Official statistics treat many domains, of which the most important are:

- Population (families households, births, adoptions, recognitions, deaths, marriages, divorces, migrations, etc.)
- Environment (land, climate, water, fauna, flora, etc.)
- Employment and active life (companies and organizations, employment, work conditions, etc.)
- National accounts (national accounting, balance of payments, etc.)
- Price (prices and price indices)
- Production, commerce, and consumption (performance of companies, production, turnover, foreign trade, etc.)
- Agriculture and silviculture (resource use, labor, machines, forests, fishing, etc.)
- Energy (balance, production, consumption, etc.)
- Construction and housing (building and housing structures, living conditions, etc.)
- Tourism (hotels, trips, etc.)
- Transportation and communications (vehicles, installations, traffic, highway accidents, etc.)
- Financial markets, and banks (banking system, financial accounting, etc.)
- Insurance (illness insurance, private insurance, etc.)
- Health (healthcare system, mortality and morbidity causes, healthcare workers, equipment, healthcare costs, etc.)
- Education and science (primary and secondary education, higher education, research and development, etc.)
- Sports, culture, and standard of living (language, religion, cultural events, sports, etc.)
- Politics (elections, participation in political life, etc.)
- Public finance (federal and local state revenues and expenditures, taxation, etc.)
- Legal system (penal code, courts, recidivism, etc.)


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

History shows the mutual interdependence between statistics and the evolution of the state. This interdependence explains, at least partly, the difficulty that statisticians have in defining clear limits in their discipline. Throughout history and even today, political power determines the limits of the study of official statistics, expanding or limiting the contents depending on its needs.
Political choice comes into play in many areas of official statistics: in definitions of such concepts as unemployment, poverty, wellness, etc.; in the definitions of variables such as professional categories, level
of salary, etc. This problem of choice leads to two other problems:

1. The comparability of statistics

The needs and objectives of the state dictate choice in this domain. Because needs are not always the same, choice will be different in different places and international statistics will not always be comparable. The difference in different states' means for data collection and use also explains the difference in the results among countries.
2. Objectivity

Official statistics require objectivity. Even if political will cannotbe completely eliminated, the evolution of statistical theories and improvements in tools allow for much more important and more reliable data collection. The volume of treated data has increased greatly, and information has improved in terms of objectivity.

## FURTHER READING

- Census
- Data
- Index number
- Indicator
- Population
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## Ogive

An ogive is a graphical representation of a cumulated frequency distribution. This is a type of frequency graphic and is also called a cumulated frequency polygon. It serves to give the number (or proportion) of observations smaller than or equal to a particular value.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

An ogive is constructed on a system of perpendicular axes. We place on the horizontal axis the limits of the class intervals previously determined. Based on each of these limit values, we determine the ordinate of the height equal to the cumulated frequency corresponding to this value. Joining by line segments the successive points thus determined, we obtain a line called an ogive. We normally place the cumulated frequency in the left vertical axis and the percentage of cumulated frequency on the right vertical axis.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Ogives are especially useful for estimating centiles in a distribution. For example, we can know the central point so that $50 \%$ of the
observations would be below this point and $50 \%$ above. To do this, we draw a line from the point of $50 \%$ on the axis of percentage untilitintersects with the curve. Then we vertically project the intersection onto the horizontal axis. The last intersection gives us the desired value. The frequency polygon and ogive are used to compare two statistical sets whose number could be different.

## EXAMPLES

From the table representing the distribution of the daily turnover of 75 stores we will construct an ogive.

Distribution of daily turnover of 75 stores (in dollars):

| Turnover <br> (class <br> intervals) | Fre- <br> quency | Cumu- <br> lated <br> frequen- <br> cy | Percen- <br> tage of <br> cumulated <br> frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $215-235$ | 4 | 4 | 5.3 |
| $235-255$ | 6 | 10 | 13.3 |
| $255-275$ | 13 | 23 | 30.7 |
| $275-295$ | 22 | 45 | 60.0 |
| $295-315$ | 15 | 60 | 80.0 |
| $315-335$ | 6 | 66 | 88.0 |
| $335-355$ | 5 | 71 | 94.7 |
| $355-375$ | 4 | 75 | 100.0 |
| Total | 75 |  |  |

In this example, we obtain the estimation that $50 \%$ of stores have a turnover smaller than or equal to about US\&289.

## FURTHER READING

- Frequency distribution
- Frequency polygon
- Graphical representation


## Olkin, Ingram

Olkin, Ingram was born in 1924 in Waterbury, CT, USA. He received his diploma in 1947 at the City College of New York and continued his studies at Columbia University, where he received a master's degree in statistics (1949). He received his doctorate in statistical mathematics at the University of North Carolina in 1951. He then taught at Michigan State University and at the University of Minnesota before being named professor of statistics at Stanford University, a position he currently occupies.
Ingram Olkin has served as editor of the Annals of Statistics, associate editor of Psychometrika, Journal of Educational Statistics, Journal of the American Statistical Association, and Linear Algebra and Its Applications, among other mathematical and statistical journals. His research is focused on multivariate analysis, inequalities, and the theory and application of metaanalysis in the social sciences and biology. He is coauthor of many works including Inequality Theory of Majorization and Its Applications (1979), Selecting and Ordering Populations (1980), Probability Models and Applications (1980), and, more recently, Statistical Methods in Meta-Analysis (1985).
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## One-Sided Test

A one-sided or a one-tailed test on a population parameter is a type of hypothesis test in which the values for which we can reject the null hypothesis, denoted $H_{0}$ are located entirely in one tail of the probability distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A one-sided test on a sample is a type of hypothesis test where the hypotheses are of the form:

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \theta \geq \theta_{0} \\
& \\
& H_{1}: \theta<\theta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\text { (2) } \begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \theta \leq \theta_{0} \\
& \\
& H_{1}: \theta>\theta_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is the null hypothesis, $H_{1}$ the alternative hypothesis, $\theta$ an unknown parameter of the population, and $\theta_{0}$ the presumed value of this parameter.

In the case of a one-sided test on two samples, the hypotheses are as follows:

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2} \\
& H_{1}: \theta_{1}<\theta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or
(2) $H_{0}: \quad \theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2}$
$H_{1}: \theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$,
where $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the unknown parameters of two populations being compared.
The critical region which is defined to be the set of values of the test statistics for which the null hypothesis, $H_{0}$ is rejected, for a onesided test is the set of values less than the critical value of the test, or the set of values greater than critical value of the test.

## EXAMPLES

One-sided Test on a Population Mean
A perfume manufacturer wants to make sure that their bottles really contain a minimum of 40 ml of perfume. A sample of 50 bottles gives a mean of 39 ml , with a standard deviation of 4 ml .
The hypotheses are as follows:
Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \mu \geq 40$,
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \mu<40$.
If the dimension of the sample is large enough, the sampling distribution of the mean can be approximated by a normal distribution. For a significance level $\alpha=$ $1 \%$, we obtain the value of $z_{\alpha}$ in the normal table: $z_{\alpha}=2.33$. The critical value of the null hypothesis is calculated by the expression:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}-z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{x}},
$$

where $\mu_{\bar{x}}$ is the mean of the sampling distribution of the means

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\mu
$$

and $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ is the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means, or standard error of the mean

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

We obtain thus the following critical value:

$$
40-2.33 \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{50}}=38.68
$$

As the sampling mean $\bar{x}=39$ is greater than the critical value for the one-tailed left test, the manufacturer cannot reject the null hypothesis and so he can consider that his bottles of perfume do indeed contain at least 40 ml of perfume, the mean of the sample being randomly distributed.

## One-sided Test on Percentage of Two Populations

The proposer of a bill on road traffic believes that his bill will be more readily accepted by urban populations than by rural ones. An inquiry was made on two samples of 100 people from urban and rural environments. In the urban environment (population 1), 82 people responded favorably to his bill, while in the rural environment (population 2), only 69 people responded favorably.
To confirm or to invalidate the proposer's suspicion, we formulate a hypothesis test posing the following hypotheses:

| Null hypothesis $H_{0}:$ | $\pi_{1} \leq \pi_{2}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| or | $\pi_{1}-\pi_{2} \leq 0$ |

Alt. hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \pi_{1}>\pi_{2}$

$$
\text { or } \quad \pi_{1}-\pi_{2}>0
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ represent the favorable proportion of the urban and rural populations, respectively.
Depending on the measured percentage of the two samples $\left(p_{1}=0.82\right.$ and $\left.p_{2}=0.69\right)$,
we can estimate the value of the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the percentage difference or standard error of the percentage difference:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{p_{1}-p_{2}} & =\sqrt{\frac{p_{1} \cdot\left(1-p_{1}\right)}{n_{1}}+\frac{p_{2} \cdot\left(1-p_{2}\right)}{n_{2}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\frac{0.82 \cdot(1-0.82)}{100}}{+\frac{0.69 \cdot(1-0.69)}{100}}} \\
& =0.06012
\end{aligned}
$$

If we design a test with a significance level $\alpha$ of $5 \%$, then the value of $z_{\alpha}$ in the normal table equals 1.645. The critical value of the null hypothesis is calculated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{1}- & \pi_{2}+z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{p_{1}-p_{2}} \\
& =0+1.645 \cdot 0.06012=0.0989
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the difference between the observed proportions $p_{1}-p_{2}=0.82-0.69=0.13$ is greater than the critical value, we have to reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis, thus confirming the supposition of the proposer.

## FURTHER READING

- Acceptance region
- Alternative hypothesis
- Hypothesis testing
- Null hypothesis
- Rejection region
- Sampling distribution
- Significance level
- Two-sided test


## REFERENCES

Miller, R.G., Jr.: Simultaneous Statistical Inference, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1981)

## One-Way Analysis of Variance

One-way analysis of variance for a factor with $t$ levels (or $t$ treatments) is a technique that helps to determine if there exists a significant difference between the $t$ treatments (populations). The principle of oneway analysis of variance is to compare the variability within samples taken from the populations with the variability between these samples. The source of variation called "error" corresponds to the variability within the samples, and the source of variation called "effect" corresponds to the variability between the samples.

## HISTORY

See analysis of variance.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The linear model for a factor with $t$ levels (treatments) is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j}= & \mu+\tau_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, t, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{i j}$ represents observation $j$ receiving treatment $i, \mu$ is the general mean common to all treatments, $\tau_{i}$ i sthe actual effect of treatment $i$ on the observations, and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ is the experimental error of observation $Y_{i j}$.
This model is subjected to the basic hypotheses associated to analysis of variance if we suppose that the errors $\epsilon_{i j}$ are independent random variables following a normal distribution $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
To see if there exists a difference between the $t$ treatments, a test of hypothesis is done. The following null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\ldots=\tau_{t}
$$

means that the $t$ treatments are identical.

The alternative hypothesis is formulated as follows:

```
H1: not all values of }\mp@subsup{\tau}{i}{}(i=1,2,\ldots,t are identical.
```

The principle of analysis of variance is to compare the variability within each sample with the variability among the samples. For this, the Fisher test is used, in which a ratio is formed whose numerator is an estimation of the variance among treatments (samples) and whose denominator is an estimation of the variance within treatments.
This ratio, denoted $F$, follows a Fisher distribution with $t-1$ and $N-t$ degrees of freedom ( $N$ being the total number of observations). The null hypothesis $H_{0}$ will be rejected at the significance level $\alpha$ if the $F$ ratio is superior or equal to the value in the Fisher table, meaning if

$$
F \geq F_{t-1, N-t, \alpha}
$$

If the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected, then we can consider that the $t$ samples come from the same population.

## Calculation of Variance Among Treatments

 For the variance among treatments, the sum of squares among treatments is calculated as follows:$$
S S_{T r}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{i .}$ is the mean of the $i$ th treatment.
The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $t-1, t$ being the number of samples (or groups).
The variance among treatments is then equal to:

$$
s_{T r}^{2}=\frac{S S_{T r}}{t-1}
$$

## Calculation of Variance Within Treatments

 For the variance within treatments the sum of squares within treatments also called error, must be calculated as follows:$$
S S_{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $N-t, N$ being the total number of observations and $t$ the number of samples. The variance within treatments is then equal to:

$$
s_{E}^{2}=\frac{S S_{E}}{N-t} .
$$

The total sum of squares $\left(S S_{T}\right)$ is the sum of squares of the deviations of each observation $Y_{i j}$ from the general mean $\bar{Y}_{. .}$:

$$
S S_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $N-1, N$ being the total number of observations. We can also find $S S_{T}$ in the following way:

$$
S S_{T}=S S_{T r}+S S_{E}
$$

## Fisher Test

We can now calculate the $F$ ratio and determine if the samples can be considered ashaving been taken from the same population. The $F$ ratio is equal to the estimation of the variance among treatments divided by the estimation of the variance within treatments, meaning

$$
F=\frac{s_{T r}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}}
$$

If $F$ is larger than or equal to the value in the Fisher table for $t-1$ and $N-t$ degrees
of freedom, then we can conclude that the difference between the samples is due to the experimental treatments and not only to randomness.

## Table of Analysis of Variance

It is customary to summarize the information of an analysis of variance in a table called an analysis of variance table, presented as follows:

| Source <br> of va- <br> riation | Degrees <br> of <br> freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares | $F$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Among <br> treat- | $t-1$ | $S S_{T r}$ | $s_{T r}^{2}$ | $\frac{s_{T r}^{2}}{S_{E}^{2}}$ |
| ments |  |  |  |  |
| Within <br> treat- | $N-t$ | $S S_{E}$ | $s_{E}^{2}$ |  |
| ments | $N-1$ | $S S_{T}$ |  |  |
| Total | $N-$ |  |  |  |

## Pairwise Comparison of Means

When the Fisher test rejects the null hypothesis, meaning there is a significant difference between the means of samples, we can wonder where this difference is. Several tests have been developed to answer this question. There is the least significant difference (LSD) test (in French the test de la différence minimale) that makes comparisons of means taken in pairs. For this test to be used, the $F$ ratio must indicate a significant difference between the means.

## EXAMPLES

During cooking, croissants absorb grease in variable quantities. We want to see if the quantity absorbed depends on the type of
grease. Four different types of grease are prepared, and six croissants are cooked per type of grease.
The data are in the following table (the numbers are quantities of grease absorbed per croissant):

| Grease |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 78 | 75 | 55 |
| 72 | 91 | 93 | 66 |
| 68 | 97 | 78 | 49 |
| 77 | 82 | 71 | 64 |
| 56 | 85 | 63 | 70 |
| 95 | 77 | 76 | 68 |

The null hypothesis is that there is no difference among treatments, meaning that the quantity of grease absorbed during cooking does not depend on the type of grease:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=\tau_{4}
$$

To test this hypothesis, the Fisher test is used, for which the $F$ ratio has to be determined. Therefore the variance among treatments and the variance within treatments must be calculated.

## Variance Among Treatments

The general mean $\bar{Y}_{\text {.. }}$ and the means of the four sets $\bar{Y}_{i .}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{1 i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ have to be calculated. Since all $n_{i}$ are equal to 6 and $N$ is equal to 24 , we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}_{. .} & =\frac{64+72+\ldots+70+68}{24} \\
& =\frac{1770}{24} \\
& =73.75 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Y}_{1 .}=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} Y_{1 j}=\frac{432}{6}=72, \\
& \bar{Y}_{2 .}=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} Y_{2 j}=\frac{510}{6}=85, \\
& \bar{Y}_{3 .}=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} Y_{3 j}=\frac{456}{6}=76, \\
& \bar{Y}_{4 .}=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} Y_{4 j}=\frac{372}{6}=62 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can then calculate the sum of squares among treatments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{T r}= & \sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i}\left(\bar{Y}_{i .}-\bar{Y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
= & 6(72-73.75)^{2}+6(85-73.75)^{2} \\
& +6(76-73.75)^{2}+6(62-73.75)^{2} \\
= & 1636.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $4-1=3$.
The variance among treatments is then equal to:

$$
s_{T r}^{2}=\frac{S S_{T r}}{t-1}=\frac{1636.5}{3}=545.5 .
$$

## Variance Within Treatments

The sum of squares within treatments or error is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{E}= & \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i .}\right)^{2} \\
= & (64-72)^{2}+(72-72)^{2}+\ldots+ \\
& (70-62)^{2}+(68-62)^{2} \\
= & 2018
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $24-4=20$.

The variance within treatments is then equal to:

$$
s_{E}^{2}=\frac{S S_{E}}{N-t}=\frac{2018}{20}=100.9
$$

## Fisher Test

All the elements necessary for the calculation of $F$ are now known:

$$
F=\frac{s_{T r}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}}=\frac{545.5}{100.9}=5.4063
$$

We have to find the value of the Fisher table with 3 and 20 degrees of freedom with a significance level $\alpha=0.05$ :

$$
F_{3.20,0.05}=3.10 .
$$

We notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & \geq F_{3,20,0.05}, \\
5.4063 & \geq 3.10,
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $H_{0}$ must be rejected. This means that there is a significant difference between the treatments. Therefore the quantity of grease absorbed depends on the type of grease used.

## Table of Analysis of Variance

This information is summarized in the following table of analysis of variance:

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among treatments | 3 | 1636.5 | 545.5 | 5.4063 |
| Within treatments | 20 | 2018 | 100.9 |  |
| Total | 23 | 3654.5 |  |  |
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## Operations Research

Operations research is a domain of applied mathematics that uses scientific methods to provide a necessary basis for decision making. It is generally applied to the complex problems of people and equipment organization in order to find the best solution to reach some goal. Included in operations research methods are simulation, linear programming, mathematical programming (nonlinear), game theory, etc.

## HISTORY

The original objective of operations research was to find military applications in the United Kingdom in the 1930s. These military applications were then largely extended, and since the Second World War the use of operations research has been extended to nonmilitary public applications and even to the private sector. The impact on operations
research of the rapid development of computers was very important.

## EXAMPLES

Optimization, linear programming, and simulation are examples of operations research.
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## Optimal Design

An optimal design is an experimental design that satisfies certain criterion of optimality (for example the minimization of errors associated to the estimations that we must make). As the treatments applied to different experimental units are fixed by the experimenter, we can try to improve the quality of the results. We can also increase the precision of the estimators, reduce the confidence intervals, or increase the power of the hypothesis testing, choosing the optimal design inside the category to which it belongs.

## HISTORY

The theory of optimal designs was developed by Kiefer, Jack (1924-1981). One of the principal points of his work is based on
the statistical efficiency of design. In his article of 1959 , he presents the principal criteria for obtaining the optimal design. Kiefer's collected articles in the area of experimental design was published by Springer with the collaboration of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As we cannot minimize all errors at the same time, the optimal design will be determined by the choice of optimality criteria. These different criteria are revealed in Kiefer's 1959 article.
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## Optimization

A large number of statistical problems can be solved using optimization techniques. We use optimization techniques in statistical procedures such as least squares, maximum likelihood, $L_{1}$ estimation, etc. In many other areas of statistics such as regression analysis, hypothesis testing, experimental design, etc., optimization plays a hidden, but important, role.

Optimization methods can be classed in the following manner:

1. Classical optimization methods (differential calculation, Lagrange multipliers)
2. Mathematical programming methods (linear programming, nonlinear programming, dynamic programming)

## HISTORY

The problems of optimization were formulated by Euclid, but only with the development of the differential calculus and the calculus of variations in the 17th and 18th centuries were mathematical tools capable of resolving such problems available. These methods were employed for the first time in the resolution of certain problems of optimization in geometry and physics.
Problems of this type were formulated and studied by well-known mathematicians such as Euler, Leonhard Bernoulli, Jakob, Jacobi, CarlGustav Jacob, and Lagrange, JosephLouis, to whom we owe the Lagrange multiplier.
The discovery of important applications of the optimization technique in military problems during the Second World War and advances in technology (computer) allowed for the resolution of increasingly complex problems of optimization in the most varied fields.
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## Outlier

Outlier is an observation which is well seperated from the rest of the data.
Outliers are defined with respect to a supposed underlying distribution or a theoretical model. If these change, the observation may be no more outlying.

## HISTORY

The problem of outliers has been addressed by many scientists since 1750 by Boscovich, Roger Joseph, de Laplace, Pierre Simon, and Legendre, Adrien Marie.
According to Stigler, Stephen M. (1973), Legendre, A.M. proposed, in 1805, the rejection of outliers; and in 1852, Peirce, Benjamin established a first criterion for determining outliers. Criticisms appeared, especially from Airy, George Biddell (1856), against the use of such a criterion.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In the framework of statistical study, we can formulate the question of outliers in two different ways:

- The first consists of adapting methods that are resistent to the presence of outliers in the sample.
- the Second tries to eliminate the outliers. After identification, delete them from the sample before making a statistical analysis.
Deletion of outliers from a data set is a controversial issue especially in a small data set. The problem of outliers led to the research of new statistical methods called robust methods. Estimators not sensitive to outliers are said to be robust.


## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Hat matrix
- $L_{1}$ estimation
- Leverage point
- Observation
- Robust estimation


## REFERENCES

Airy, G.B.: Letter from Professor Airy, Astronomer Royal, to the editor. Astronom. J. 4, 137-138 (1856)

Barnett, V., Lewis, T.: Outliers in statistical data. Wiley, New York (1984)
Peirce, B.: Criterion for the Rejection of Doubtful Observations. Astron. J. 2, 161163 (1852)

Stigler, S.: Simon Newcomb, Percy Daniell, and the History of Robust Estimation 1885-1920. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 68, 872879 (1973)

## Paasche Index

The Paasche index is a composite index number of price arrived at by the weighted sum method. This index number corresponds to the ratio of the sum of the prices of the actual period $n$ and the sum of prices of the reference period 0 , these sums being weighted by the respective quantities of the actual period.
The Paasche index differs from the Laspeyres index only by the choice of weights method. Indeed, in the Laspeyres index, the weights are given using quantities of the reference period $Q_{0}$ rather than those of the current period $Q_{n}$.

## HISTORY

In the mid-19th century German statistician Paasche, Hermann developed a formula for the index number that carries his name. Paasche, H. (1874) worked on prices recorded in Hamburg.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The Paasche index is calculated as follows:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{n}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{n}},
$$

where $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are, respectively, the prices and sold quantities in the current period and $P_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ are the prices and sold quantities
in the reference period. The sums relate to the considered goods and are expressed in base 100.

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{n}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{n}} \cdot 100
$$

The Paasche model can also be applied to calculate a quantity index (also called volume index). In this case, it is the prices that are constant and the quantities that are variable:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{\sum Q_{n} \cdot P_{n}}{\sum Q_{0} \cdot P_{n}} \cdot 100 .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table indicating the respective prices of three food items in reference year 0 and in the current year $n$, as well as the quantities sold in the current year:

| Product | Quantity sold <br> in 1988 $\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ <br> (thousands) | Price (euros) <br> 1970 <br> $\left(P_{0}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85.5 | $\left(P_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ |  |
| Milk | 80.20 | 1.20 |  |
| Bread | 50.5 | 0.15 | 1.10 |
| Butter | 40.5 | 0.50 | 2.00 |

From the following table we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum P_{n} Q_{n}=239.15 \text { and } \\
& \sum P_{0} Q_{n}=44.925
\end{aligned}
$$

| Product | $P_{n} Q_{n}$ | $\sum P_{0} Q_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Milk | 102.60 | 17.100 |
| Bread | 55.55 | 7.575 |
| Butter | 81.00 | 20.250 |
| Total | 239.15 | 44.925 |

We can then find the Paasche index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n / 0} & =\frac{\sum P_{n} \cdot Q_{n}}{\sum P_{0} \cdot Q_{n}} \cdot 100 \\
& =\frac{239.15}{44.925} \cdot 100=532.3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, according to the Paasche index, the price index number of these products has risen by $432.3 \% ~(532.3-100)$ during the considered period.
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## Pair of Random Variables

A pair of variables whose values are determined by a random experiment is called a pair of random variables. There are two types of pairs:

- A pair of random variables is discrete if the set of values taken by each of the random variables is a finite or infinite countable set.
- A pair of random variables is continuous if the set of values taken by each of the random variables is an infinite noncountable set.
In the case of a pair of random variables, the set of all the possible results of the experiment comprises the sample space, which is located in a two-dimensional space.


## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A pair of random variables is generally denoted by the capital letters such as $X$ and $Y$. It is a two-variable function with true values in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
If $A$ is a subset of the sample space, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A) & =\text { Probability that }(X, Y) \in A \\
& =P[(X, Y) \in A] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The case of a pair of random variables can be generalized to the case of $n$ random variables: If $n$ numbers are necessary to describe the realization of a random experiment, such a realization is represented by $n$ random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$. The sample space is then located in an $n$-dimensional space. If $A$ is a subset of the sample space, then we can say that:

$$
P(A)=P\left[\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \in A\right] .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Certain random situations force one to consider not one but several numerical entities simultaneously. Consider, for example, a system of two elements in a series and of random life spans $X$ and $Y$; the study of the life span of the whole set must consider events concerning $X$ and $Y$ at the same time. Or consider a cylinder, fabricated by a machine, of diameter $X$ and height $Y$, with
these values being random. The imposed tolerances usually consider both entities simultaneously; the structure of their probabilistic dependence should be known.
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## Paired Student's T-Test

The paired Student's test is a hypothesis test that is used to compare the means of two populations when each element of a population is related to an element from the other one.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{i j}$ be observation $j$ for the pair $i(j=1,2$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. For each pair of observations we calculate the difference

$$
d_{i}=x_{i 2}-x_{i 1} .
$$

We are looking for the estimated standard error of the mean of $d_{i}$, denoted by $\bar{d}$ :

$$
s_{\bar{d}}^{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot S_{d},
$$

where $S_{d}$ is the standard deviation of $d_{i}$ :

$$
S_{d}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_{i}-\bar{d}\right)^{2}}{n-1}} .
$$

The resulting statistical test is defined by:

$$
T=\frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}}
$$

## Hypotheses

The Paired Student's Test is a two-sided test. The hypotheses are:
$H_{0}: \delta=0$ (there is no difference
among the treatments)
$H_{1}: \delta \neq 0$ (there is a difference
among the treatments),
where $\delta$ is the difference between the means of two populations ( $\delta=\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ ).

## Decision Rules

We reject the null hypothesis at significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
|T|>t_{n-1, \frac{\alpha}{2}},
$$

where $t_{n-1, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the value of the Student table with $n-1$ degrees of freedom.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that two treatments are applied to ten pairs of observations. The obtained data and the corresponding differences denoted by $d_{i}$ are presented in the following table:

| Pair $i$ | Treatment |  | $d_{i}=x_{i 2}-x_{i 1}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 110 | 118 | 8 |
| 2 | 99 | 104 | 5 |
| 3 | 91 | 85 | -6 |
| 4 | 107 | 108 | 1 |
| 5 | 82 | 81 | -1 |
| 6 | 96 | 93 | -3 |
| 7 | 100 | 102 | 2 |
| 8 | 87 | 101 | 14 |
| 9 | 75 | 84 | 9 |
| 10 | 108 | 111 | 3 |

The mean equals:

$$
\bar{d}=\frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} d_{i}=\frac{32}{10}=3.2
$$

The standard deviation is calculated thus:
$S_{d}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(d_{i}-\bar{d}\right)^{2}}{10-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{323.6}{9}}=6.00$,
and the standard error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\bar{d}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot S_{d} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \cdot 6.00=1.90 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The statistical test then equals:

$$
T=\frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}}=\frac{3.2}{1.90}=1.69
$$

If we choose a significance level $\alpha=0.05$, the value of $t_{9,0.025}$ is 2.26 . Thus the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \delta=0$ cannot be rejected because $|T|<t_{9,0.025}$.

## FURTHER READING
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## Panel

The panel is a type of census that repeats periodically. It is based on a permanent (or semipermanent) sample of individuals, households, etc., who are regularly questioned about their behavior or opinion. The panel offers the advantage of following the individual behavior of questioned people or
units and measuring any changes in their behavior over time. The information collected in the panel is often richer than in a simple census while retaining limited costs. We adapt the sample renewal rate depending on the goal of the inquiry. When the objective of the panel is to follow the evolution of individuals over time (e. g., following career paths), the sample stays the same; we call this a longitudinal inquiry. When the objective is to serve as a way of estimating characteristics of the population in different periods, the sample will be partially renewed from time to time; we call this a changing panel. Thus the data of the panel constitute a source of very important information because they contain an individual and time dimension simultaneously.

## HISTORY

The history of panel research dates back to 1759, when the French Count du Montbeillard, Philibert Guéneau began recording his sons stature at six-month intervals from birth to age 18. His records have little in common with contemporary panel studies, aside from the systematic nature of his repeated observations. The current concept of panel research was established in around the 1920s and 1930s, when several studies of human growth and development began. Since the mid-20th century panel studies have proliferated across the social sciences. Several factors have contributed to the growth of panel research in the social sciences. Much growth in the 1960s and 1970s came in response to increased federal funding for panel studies in the United States. For example, policy concerns regarding work and economic behavior led to funding for ongoing studies like the National Longitudinal Surveys (NLS) in 1966 and the Panel Study of Income

Dynamics in 1968. The latter, for example, began with 4802 families with a list of variables exceeding 5000; poor households were oversampled. The NLS survey included 5020 elderly men, 5225 young men, 5083 mature women, 5159 young women, and 12686 youth.
Technological advances have facilitated data collection at a national scale and increased researchers' capacity for managing, analyzing, and sharing large, complex data sets. In addition, advances in statistical methods for analyzing longitudinal data have encouraged researchers not only to collect panel data but also to ask new questions about existing data sets. The growth of panel studies is evident in other industrialized countries, too.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In practice, it is rare to have panels in the strict meaning of the term. We should thus take into account the following problems of the panel: difficulty of recruiting certain categories of panelists, fatigue of panelists, which is one of the main reasons of non-responses, and evolution of the population causing the deformation of the sample from the population it should represent. In practice, we often try to eliminate this problem by preferring a changing panel to a strict one.
There are also specific errors of measure in panels:
(1) Telescope effect: error of dating, often made by new panelists when indicating the date of the event about which they are being asked.
(2) Panel effect or bias of conditioning: change in behavior of panelists that can appear over time as a result of repeated interviews. The panelists are asked about their behavior, and finally they change it.

## EXAMPLES

Inquiry about health and medical care: inquiry conducted by INSEE in about 10000 households. Each household is followed for 3 months during which every 3 weeks members of the household are questioned by a researcher about their medical care.
Panel of Sofres consumers: The Metascope panel of Sofres is based on a sample of 20000 households. Each month the panelists receive by mail a self-administered questionnaire on their different purchases. These questionnaires are essentially used by companies who want to know what their clients want.
Panel of audience: The Mediamat panel is based on a sample of 2300 households equipped with audimeters with push buttons. It allows researchers to measure the audience of TV stations.

## FURTHER READING

- Bias
- Population
- Sample
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## Parameter

A parameter characterizes a quantitative aspect of a population.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The parameters of a population are often unknown. However, we can estimate a parameter by a statistic calculated from a sample using a method of estimation.

## EXAMPLES

A parameter is generally designated by a Greek letter:

## $\mu$ : mean of population

$\sigma$ : standard deviation of population
$\pi$ : percentage relative to population

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Estimator
- Least squares
- Maximum likelihood
- Moment
- Statistics
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## Parametric Test

A parametric test is a form of hypothesis testing in which assumptions are made about the underlying distribution of observed data.

## HISTORY

One of the first parametric tests was the chisquare test, introduced by Pearson, K. in 1900.

## EXAMPLES

The Student test is an example of a parametric test. It aims to compare the means of two normally distributed populations.
Among the best-known parametric tests we mention the Student t-test and the Fisher test.

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial test
- Fisher test
- Hypothesis testing
- Student test


## Partial Autocorrelation

The partial autocorrelation at $\operatorname{lag} k$ is the autocorrelation between $Y_{t}$ and $Y_{t-k}$ that is not accounted for by lags 1 through $k-1$.

## See autocorrelation.

## Partial Correlation

The partial correlation between two variables is defined as correlation of two variables while controlling for a third or more other variables. A measure of partial correlation between variables $X$ and $Y$ has a third variable $Z$ as a measure of the direct relation between $X$ and $Y$ that does not take into account the consequences of the linear relations of these two variables with $Z$. The calculation of the partial correlation between $X$ and $Y$ given $Z$ serves to identify in what measure the linear relation between $X$ and $Y$ can be due to the correlation of two variables with $Z$.

## HISTORY

Interpreting analyses of correlation coefficients between two variables became an
important question in statistics during the increasingly widespread use of correlation methods in the early 1900s. Pearson, Karl knew that a large correlation between two variables could be due to their correlation with a third variable. This phenomenon was notrecognized until 1926 when Yule, George Udny proved it through an example by getting the coefficients of correlation between time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

From a sample of $n$ observations, $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$, $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ from an unknown distribution of three random variables $X, Y$, and $Z$, the coefficient of partial correlation, which we denote by $r_{x y . z}$, is defined as the coefficient of correlation calculated between $\widehat{x}_{i}$ and $\widehat{y}_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{x}_{i}=\widehat{\beta}_{0 x}+\widehat{\beta}_{1 x} z_{i}, \\
& \widehat{y}_{i}=\widehat{\beta}_{0 y}+\widehat{\beta}_{1 y} z_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_{0 x}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{1 x}$ are the least-squares estimators obtained by making a regression of $x_{i}$ on $z_{i}$, and $\widehat{\beta}_{0 y}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{1 y}$ are the least-squares estimators obtained by making a regression of $y_{i}$ on $z_{i}$. Thus by definition we have:

$$
r_{x y . z}=\frac{\sum\left(\widehat{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(\widehat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum\left(\widehat{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum\left(\widehat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

We can prove the following result:

$$
r_{x y \cdot z}=\frac{r_{x y}-r_{x z} \cdot r_{y z}}{\sqrt{1-r_{x z}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1-r_{y z}^{2}}}
$$

where $r_{x y}, r_{x z}$, and $r_{y z}$ are the coefficients of correlation respectively between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}, x_{i}$ and $z_{i}$, and $y_{i}$ and $z_{i}$. Note that when $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are not correlated with $z_{i}$, that is, when $r_{x z}=$ $r_{y z}=0$, we have:

$$
r_{x y \cdot z}=r_{x y},
$$

that is, the coefficient of correlation equals the coefficient of partial correlation, or, in other words, the value of the correlation between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ is not due at all to the presence of $z_{i}$. On the other hand, if the correlations with $z_{i}$ are important, then we have $r_{x y . z} \cong 0$, which indicates that the observed correlation between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ is only due to the correlation between these variables with $z_{i}$. Thus we say that there is no direct relation between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ (but rather an indirect relation, through $z_{i}$ ).
More generally, we can calculate the partial correlation between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ with $z_{i 1}$ and $z_{i 2}$ relative to two variables $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ in the following manner:

$$
r_{x y \cdot z_{1} z_{2}}=\frac{r_{x y \cdot z_{1}}-r_{x z_{2} \cdot z_{1}} \cdot r_{y z_{2} \cdot z_{1}}}{\sqrt{1-r_{x z_{2} \cdot z_{1}}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1-r_{y z_{2} \cdot z_{1}}^{2}}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We must insist on the fact that a correlation measures only the linear relation between two variables, without taking into account functional models or the predictive or forecasting capacity of a given model.

## EXAMPLES

We have observed, for example, a strong positive correlation between the number of registered infarcts during a certain period and the amount of ice cream sold in the same period. We should not conclude that high consumption of ice cream provokes infarcts or, conversely, that an infarct provokes a particular desire for ice cream. In this example, the large number of infarcts does not come from the amount of ice cream sold but from the heat. Thus we have a strong correlation between the number of infarcts and the temperature. The large amount of
ice cream sold is also explained by the heat, which implies a strong correlation between the amount of ice cream sold and the temperature. The strong correlation observed between the number of infarcts and the amount of ice cream sold is then the consequence of two strong correlations. A third variable is hidden behind the apparent relation of the two previous variables.

## FURTHER READING

## - Correlation coefficient
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## Partial Least Absolute Deviation Regression

The partial least absolute deviation (partial LAD) regression is a regression method that linearly relates a response vector to a set of predictors using derived components. It is an $L_{1}$ regression modeling technique well suited to situations where the number of parameters in a linear regression model exceeds the number of observations. It is mostly used for prediction purposes rather than inference on parameters. The partial LAD regression method extends the partial least-squares regression to the $L_{1}$ norm associated with LAD regression instead of the $L_{2}$ norm, which is associated with partial least squares. The partial LAD regression follows the structure of the univariate partial leastsquares regression algorithm and extracts components (denoted by $\mathbf{t}$ ) from directions (denoted by w) that depend upon the
response variable. The directions are determined by a Gnanadesikan-Ketterning (GK) covariance estimate that replaces the usual variance based on the $L_{2}$ norm with MAD, the median absolute deviation, based on $L_{1}$. Therefore we use the notation $\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathrm{mad}}$ for partial LAD directions.

## HISTORY

The partial LAD regression method was introduced in Dodge, Y. et al. (2004). It was further developed in Dodge, Y. et al. (2004) and tested using the bootstrap in Kondylis, A. and Whittaker, J. (2005).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

For $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $j=1, \ldots, p$, denoting observation units and predictors, the partial LAD regression algorithm is given below:

1. Center or standardize both $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{y}$.
2. For $k=1, \ldots, k_{\max }$ :

Compute $\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\text {mad }}$ according to

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{j, k}^{\operatorname{mad}}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{mad}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}+\mathbf{y}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\operatorname{mad}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}-\mathbf{y}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and scale $\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathrm{mad}}$ to 1 .
Extract component

$$
\mathbf{t}_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{j, k}^{\operatorname{mad}} \mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathrm{mad}}=\left(w_{1, k}^{\mathrm{mad}}, \ldots, w_{j, k}^{\mathrm{mad}}\right)
$$

Orthogonalize each $\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}$ with respect to $\mathbf{t}_{k}: \mathbf{x}_{j, k}=\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}-E\left(\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{k}\right)$.
3. Give the resulting sequence of the fitted vectors $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{k}^{\text {plad }}=\mathbf{T}_{k} \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{k}$, where $\mathbf{T}_{k}=$ $\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_{k}\right)$ is the score matrix and $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{k}=$ $\left(\widehat{q}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{q}_{k}\right)$ the LAD regression coefficient vector.
4. Recover the implied partial LAD regression coefficients according to $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}^{\text {plad }}=$ $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{k}^{\mathrm{mad}} \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{k}$, where the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{k}^{\text {mad }}$ pools in its columns the vectors $\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\text {mad }}$ expressed in terms of the original $\mathbf{x}_{j}$.
The univariate partial least-squares regression and the partial LAD method share the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{y}=q_{1} \mathbf{t}_{1}+\ldots+q_{k} \mathbf{t}_{k}+\epsilon$,
2. $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{t}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{p}_{k} \mathbf{t}_{k}+f$,
3. $\operatorname{cor}\left(\mathbf{t}_{i}, \mathbf{t}_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$,
where cor(,) denotes the Pearson correlation coefficient, $\epsilon$ and $f$ correspond to residual terms, and $\mathbf{p}_{k}$ the $X$-loading.
The partial LAD method builds a regression model that relates the predictors to the response according to:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{k}^{\mathrm{plad}}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \widehat{\beta}_{j}^{\mathrm{plad}} \mathbf{x}_{j}
$$

## EXAMPLE

We give here an example from near infrared experiments in spectroscopy. We use the octane data set that consists of 39 gasoline samples for which the octanes have been measured at 225 wavelengths (in nanometers). The regressors are highly multicollinear spectra at different numbers of wavelengths, and their number exceeds the sample size $n$.


In the figure, we give the lines for the 39 gasoline samples throughout their 225 wavelengths.
We use the partial LAD regression method to build a linear model based on two derived components. The resulting plot of the response $\mathbf{y}$ vs. the fitted values $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ is given in the right panel of the following figure under the main title PLAD (partial LAD regression). The right panel of the same figure is the corresponding plot of the response $\mathbf{y}$ vs. the fitted values $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ given for a univariate partial least-squares regression model based on two components.


P

The partial least-squares regression model will require an additional component in the
final model in order to provide good predictive results as the partial LAD regression model. This is due to a group of six outliers in the octane data set. These are observations $25,26,36,37,38$, and 39 , which contained alcohol. They are visible for wavelengths higher than 140 nm in the lineplot above.

## FURTHER READING

## - Least absolute deviation regression <br> - Partial least-squares regression
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## Partial Least-Squares Regression

The partial least-squares regression (PLSR) is a statistical method that relates two data matrices $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, usually called blocks, via a latent linear structure. It is applied either on a single response vector $\mathbf{y}$ (univariate PLSR) or a response matrix $\mathbf{Y}$ (multivariate PLSR). PLSR is commonly used when the recorded variables are highly correlated. It is a very
suitable method in cases where the number of the variables exceeds the number of the available observations. This is due to the fact that it uses orthogonal derived components instead of the original variables. The use of a few orthogonal components instead of numerous correlated variables guarantees the reduction of the regression problem on a small subspace that often stabilizes the variability of the estimated coefficients and provides better predictions.

## HISTORY

The PLSR was initially developed within the NIPALS algorithm, though it has been implemented in various algorithms including the orthogonal scores and the orthogonalloading PLSR, the SIMPLS algorithm for PLSR, the Helland PLSR algorithm, and the Kernel PLSR algorithm.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

PLSR methods solve the following maximization problem:

$$
\max _{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}}\left\{\operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{X}_{k-1} \mathbf{w}_{k}, \mathbf{Y}_{k-1} \mathbf{q}_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

subject to

$$
\mathbf{w}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{w}_{k}=1, \mathbf{q}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{q}_{k}=1
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{t}_{k} \perp \mathbf{t}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k} \perp \mathbf{u}_{j} \text { for each } k \neq j
$$

where $\operatorname{cov}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes covariance and $\mathbf{w}_{k}$, $\mathbf{q}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{k}, \mathbf{u}_{k}$ are loading vectors and components (or score vectors) for $X$ and $Y$, respectively. The use of the subscript $k$ in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ shows that PLSR deflates the data at each extracted dimension $k$ and uses residuals as new data for the following dimension.

Univariate PLSR is much easier to interpret. It is in fact a generalization of multiple linear regression that shrinks regression coefficients on directions of low covariance between variables and responses. Given the following relation:

$$
\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) \propto \operatorname{cor}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) \operatorname{var}(\mathbf{X})^{1 / 2}
$$

with cor and var denoting correlation and variance, respectively, it is easy to verify that the maximization criterion in the PLSR is a compromise between least-squares regression and regression on principal components. The latter methods maximize $\operatorname{cor}(\mathbf{X w}, \mathbf{y})$, and $\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{X})$, respectively.
The univariate PLSR involves the following steps:

1. For ( $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}$ )commonlycentered:
2. For $k=1, \ldots, p$ :

Store the $\operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j, k-1}, \mathbf{y}_{k-1}\right)$ on vector $\mathbf{w}_{k}$.
Pool $\mathbf{w}_{k}$ in matrix $\mathbf{W}_{(p \times k)}$.
Extract component $\mathbf{t}_{k}$ as $\mathbf{t}_{k}=\mathbf{X}_{j, k-1} \mathbf{w}_{k}$.
Orthogonalize $\mathbf{X}_{k-1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{k-1}$ with respect to $\mathbf{t}_{k}$.
3. Take least-squares residuals as new data for $k \leftarrow k+1$.
Once the components are extracted, the least-squares regression is used to regress them on response vector $\mathbf{y}$. The number of components that should be ultimately retained is defined using bootstrap and cross-validation methods.

## EXAMPLE

The PLSR method is a generalization of multiple linear regression. This is justified using a regression problem where no correlation between the predictors occurs, that is, an orthogonal design. The table below contains the results of an orthogonal design with two explanatory variables and one response.

Table: Orthogonal Design

| $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | -2 | 4 |
| 12 | 1 | 3 |
| 10 | 0 | -6 |
| 16 | -1 | -5 |
| 11 | 2 | 3 |
| 9 | 0 | -3 |
| 11 | -1 | 6 |
| 8 | 1 | -1 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | -1 | -1 |

Multiple linear regression analysis for the data in Table 1 ( $\mathbf{y}$ as the response and two explanatory variables $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ ) lead to the following least-squares estimates:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=11.40-1.8571 \mathbf{x}_{1}+0.1408 \mathbf{x}_{2}
$$

Using the PLSR method (data were initially centered and scaled) and regressing the response on the derived components we are led to exactly the same estimates with only one component used, that is, by $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{t}_{1} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}$. We finally get

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{T}_{1} \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}_{0} \widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}=\mathbf{X} \widehat{\beta}_{\mathrm{PLS}}
$$

Thus for standardized data the implied regression coefficients are

$$
q \widehat{\beta}_{\mathrm{PLS}}=(-0.68,0.164)
$$

which, when transformed back into the original scale, equals ( $11,40,-1.8571,0.1408$ ). The latter are the multiple linear regression estimates.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

PLSR methods have been extensively used in near infrared experiments in spectroscopy. They have long been used by chemometricians to do multivariate calibration and
to measure chemical concentrations. PLSR methods have been equally used in many statistical applications with more variables than observations, for example in environmetrics, in microarray experiments in biostatistics, and in functional data analysis.
PLSR methods focus mainly on prediction accuracy and are mainly used in order to construct good predictive models.

## FURTHER READING
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## Pearson, Egon Shape

Pearson, Egon Shape (1895-1980), son of statistician Pearson, Karl, studied mathematics at Trinity College, Cambridge. In 1921, he entered the Department of Statistics of the University College in London. He met Neyman, Jerzy, with whom he started to collaborate during the visit of the latter to London in 1924-1925, and also collaborated with Gosset, William Sealy. When his father left University College in 1933, he transferred to the Applied Statistics Department and in 1936 became director of the jour-
nal Biometrika following the death of his father, the journal's foundor.
Besides the works that he published in collaboration with Neyman, J. and that led to the development of the theory of hypothesis testing, Pearson, E.S. also touched on problems of quality control and operations research.

## Selected articles of Pearson, E.S.:

1928 (with Neyman, J.) On the use and interpretation of certain test criteria for purposes of statistical inference. Biometrika 20A, 175-240, pp. 263295.

1931 The test of significance for the correlation coefficient. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 26, 128-134.

1932 The percentage limits for the distribution of range in samples from a normal population ( $n \leq 100$ ). Biometrika 24, 404-417.

1933 Neyman, J. and Pearson, E.S. On the testing of statistical hypotheses in relation to probability a priori. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 29, 492-510.

1935 The Application of Statistical Methods to Industrial Standardization and Quality Control. Brit. Stant. 600. British Standards Institution, London.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing


## Pearson, Karl

Born in London, Pearson, $\operatorname{Karl}(1857-1936)$ is known for his numerous contributions to
statistics. After studying at Kings College, Cambridge, he was named in 1885 chair of the Applied Mathematics Department of University College in London. He spent his entire career at this university, where he was made chair of the Eugenics Department in 1911. In 1901, with the help of Galton, Francis, he founded the journal Biometrika and was its editor in chief until his death in 1936.

In 1906, he welcomed for lyear in his laboratory Gosset, William Sealy, with whom he resolved problems related to samples of small dimension. He retired in 1933, and his department at the university was split into two: the Eugenics Department was entrusted to Fisher, Ronald Aylmer and the Statistics Department to his own son Pearson, Egon

## Shape.

Selected articles of Pearson, Karl:
1894 1948. Contributions to the mathematical theory of evolution. I. pp. 140. In: Karl Pearson's Early Statistical Papers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. First published as: On the dissection of asymmetrical frequency curves, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 185, 71-110.

1895 1948. Contributions to the mathematical theory of evolution. II. Skew variation in homogeneous material. In: Karl Pearson's Early Statistical Papers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 41-112. First published in Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 186, 343-414.

1896 1948. Mathematical contributions to the theory of evolution. III. Regression, heredity and panmixia. In: Karl Pearson's Early Statistical Papers. Cambridge University Press, Cam-
bridge, pp. 113-178. First published in Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 187, 253-318 in the Philosophical Magazine, 5th series, 50, pp. 157175.
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## Percentage

Percentage is the notion of a measure allowing one to describe the proportion of individuals or statistical units having a certain characteristic in a collection, evaluated on the basis of 100 .

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Percentage is generally denoted by $p$ when it is measured on a sample and by $\pi$ when it concerns a population.
Let there be a sample of size $n$, if $k$ individuals have certain characteristic; the percentage of individuals is given by the statistic $p$ :

$$
p=\frac{k}{n} \cdot 100 \% \text {. }
$$

The calculation of the same percentage on a population of size $N$ gives us parameter $\pi$ :

$$
\pi=\frac{k}{N} \cdot 100 \%
$$

## Percentile

Percentiles are measurements of location computed in a data set. We call percentiles the values that divide a distribution into 100 equal parts (each part contains the same number of observations). The $x$ th percentile is
the value $C_{x}$ such that $x \%$ of the observations are lower and $(100-x) \%$ of the observations are greater than $C_{x}$. For example, 20th percentile is the value that separates the $20 \%$ of values that are lower than it from the $80 \%$ that are higher than it. We will then have 99 percentiles for a given distribution:


Note for example:
Percentile $10=$ first decile
Percentile 25 = first quartile
Percentile $50=$ median
This notion is part of the family of quantiles.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The process of calculation is similar to that of the median, quartiles, and deciles. When all the raw observations are given, the percentiles are calculated as follows:

1. Organize the $n$ observations in the form of a frequency distribution.
2. The percentiles correspond to the observations for which the relative cumulated frequency exceeds respectively $1 \%, 2 \%$, $3 \%, \ldots, 98 \%$, $99 \%$.
Some authors propose the following formula, which permits one to determine with precision the value of the different percentiles:
Calculation of the jth percentile:
Consider $i$ the integer part of $\frac{j \cdot(n+1)}{100}$ and $k$ the fractional part of $\frac{j \cdot(n+1)}{100}$.
Consider $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ the data values of the observations respectively classified in the $i$ th and $(i+1)$ th position (when the $n$ observations are sorted in increasing order).

The $j$ th percentile is equal to:

$$
C_{j}=x_{i}+k \cdot\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) .
$$

When we have observations that are grouped into classes, the percentiles are determined as follows:

1. Determine the class in which the desired percentile is:

- 1st percentile: first class for which the relative cumulated frequency is over $1 \%$.
- 2nd percentile: first class for which the relative cumulated frequency is over $2 \%$.
- 99th percentile: first class for which the relative cumulated frequency is over 99\%.

2. Calculate the value of the percentiles as a function of the hypothesis according to which the observations are uniformly distributed in each class:
percentile $=L_{1}+\left[\frac{(n \cdot q)-\sum f_{\text {inf }}}{f_{\text {centile }}}\right] \cdot c$,
where $L_{1}$ is the lower limit in the class of the percentile, $n$ is the total number of observations, $q$ is $\frac{1}{100}$ for the 1 st percentile, $q$ is $\frac{2}{100}$ for the 2 nd percentile, ..., $q$ is $\frac{99}{100}$ for the 99 th percentile, $\sum f_{\text {inf }}$ is the sum of the frequencies lower than the percentile class, $f_{\text {percentile }}$ is the frequency of the percentile class, and $c$ is the dimension of the interval of the percentile class.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The calculation of the percentiles only has true meaning for a quantitative variable that can take its values in a given interval. In practice, percentiles can be calculated only when there is a large number of observations since this calculation consists in dividing the
set of observations into 100 parts. This notion is seldom applied because in most descriptive analyses the determination of the deciles or even the quartiles is sufficient for the interpretation of the results.

## EXAMPLES

Consider an example of the calculation of percentiles on the frequency distribution of a continuous variable where the observations are grouped into classes.
The following frequency table represents the profits (in thousands of euros) of 2000 bakteries:

| Profit <br> (thousands <br> of euros) | Fre- <br> quen- <br> cies | Cumu- <br> lated <br> frequen- <br> cies | Relative <br> cumulat- <br> ed fre- <br> quency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100-150$ | 160 | 160 | 0.08 |
| $150-200$ | 200 | 360 | 0.18 |
| $200-250$ | 240 | 600 | 0.30 |
| $250-300$ | 280 | 880 | 0.44 |
| $300-350$ | 400 | 1280 | 0.64 |
| $350-400$ | 320 | 1600 | 0.80 |
| $400-450$ | 240 | 1840 | 0.92 |
| $450-500$ | 160 | 2000 | 1.00 |
| Total | 2000 |  |  |

The class containing the first percentile is the class $100-150$ [the one for which the relative cumulated frequency is over $0.01(1 \%)]$. Considering that the observations are uniformly distributed in each class, we obtain for the first percentile the following value:

1st percentile

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =100+\left[\frac{\left(2000 \cdot \frac{1}{100}\right)-0}{160}\right] \cdot 50 \\
& =106.25
\end{aligned}
$$

The class containing the 10th percentile is the class 150-200. The value of the 10th percentile is equal to

10th percentile

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =150+\left[\frac{\left(2000 \cdot \frac{10}{100}\right)-160}{200}\right] \cdot 50 \\
& =160
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate all the percentiles in the same way. We can then conclude, for example, that $1 \%$ of the 2000 bakeries have a profit between 100000 and 106250 euros, or that $10 \%$ have a profit between 100000 and 160000 euros, etc.

FURTHER READING

- Decile
- Measure of location
- Median
- Quantile
- Quartile


## Permutation

The term permutation is a subject of combinatory analysis. It refers to an arrangement or an ordered of $n$ objects. Theoretically, we should distinguish between the case where $n$ objects are fully identified and those where they are only partially identified.

## HISTORY

See combinatory analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

1. Number of permutations of different
objects objects

The number of possible permutations of $n$ objects equals $n$ factorial:

Permutations

$$
=n!=n \cdot(n-1) \cdot \ldots \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 .
$$

2. Number of permutations of partially distinct objects
The number of possible permutations of $n$ objects among which $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}$ are not distinguished among them equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { number of } \\
\text { permutations }
\end{array}=\frac{n!}{n_{1}!\cdot n_{2}!\cdot \ldots \cdot n_{r}!} \text {, } \\
& \text { where } n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots+n_{r}=n
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

If we have three objects $A, B$, and $C$, then the possible permutations are as follows:


Thus we have six possible permutations. Without enumerating them, we can find the number of permutations using the following formula:

$$
\text { Number of permutations }=n!\text {, }
$$

where $n$ is the number of objects. This gives us in our example:

Number of permutations $=3$ !

$$
=3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1=6 \text {. }
$$

Imagine that we compose the signals aligning some flags. If we have five flags of different colors, the number of signals that we can compose will be:

$$
5!=5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1=120
$$

On the other hand, if among the flags there are two red, two white, and one black flag, we can compose:

$$
\frac{5!}{2!\cdot 2!\cdot 1!}=30
$$

different signals.

FURTHER READING

- Arrangement
- Combination
- Combinatory analysis


## Pictogram

A pictogram is a symbol representing a concept, object, place or event by illustration. Like the bar chart, the pictogram is used either to compare the categories of a qualitative variable or to compare data sets coming from different years or different places. Pictograms are mostly used in journals as advertisement graphs for comparisons and are of little statistical interest because they only provide rough approximations.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The figure that is chosen to illustrate the different quantities in a pictogram should if possible be divisible into four parts, so that they can represent halves and quarters. The scale is chosen as a function of the available space. Therefore the figure is not necessarily equal to unity.

## EXAMPLES

Consider wheat production in two countries A and B . The production of country A is equal to 450.000 quintals and that of country $B$ is of 200.000 quintals (1 quintal is equal to 100 kg ).

We obtain the following pictogram:


The surface of each figure is proportional to the represented quantity.

## FURTHER READING

- Bar chart
- Graphical representation
- Quantitative graph


## Pie Chart

The pie chart is a type of quantitative graph. It is made of a circle divided into sectors, each sector having an angle that is proportional to the represented magnitude.

## HISTORY

See graphical representation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

To establish a pie chart, the total of the represented frequencies is calculated and then the relative frequencies representing the different sectors are calculated. To draw these sectors on a circle, the relative frequencies are converted into degrees by the following transformation:

$$
\alpha=f \cdot 360^{\circ},
$$

where $\alpha$ represents the angle in the center and $f$ the relative frequency.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Pie charts are used to give a visual representation of the data that form the different parts of a whole population. They are often found in the media (television, journals, magazines, etc.), where they serve to explain in a simple and synthetic way a concept or situation that is difficult to understand with just numbers.

## EXAMPLES

We will represent the distribution of the marital status in Australia on 30 June 1981 using a pie chart. The data are the following:

Marital status in Australia on 30 June 1981 (in thousands)

| Marital status | Frequency | Relative <br> frequency |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Bachelor | 6587.3 | 0.452 |
| Married | 6836.8 | 0.469 |
| Divorced | 403.5 | 0.028 |
| Widow | 748.7 | 0.051 |
| Total | 14576.3 | 1.000 |

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Pocket Year Book 1984, p. 11

First the relative frequencies are transformed into degrees:
a) $\quad \alpha=0.452 \cdot 360^{\circ}=162,72^{\circ}$
b) $\quad \alpha=0.469 \cdot 360^{\circ}=168,84^{\circ}$
c) $\quad \alpha=0.028 \cdot 360^{\circ}=10,08^{\circ}$
d) $\quad \alpha=0.051 \cdot 360^{\circ}=18,36^{\circ}$

The pie chart is shown on the next page.

## FURTHER READING

- Bar chart
- Graphical representation
- Pictogram
- Quantitative graph



## Pitman, Edwin James George

Pitman, Edwin James George was born in Melbourne (Victoria), Australia in 1897. He went to school at Kensington State School and South Melbourne College. During his last year of study, he was awarded a scholarship to study mathematics in Wyselaskie and in Dixson, as well as at Ormond College. He obtained a degree in letters (similar to a B.A.) (1921), a degree in the sciences (similar to a B.S.) (1922), and a master's degree (1923). He was then named temporary professor of mathematics at Canterbury College, University of New Zealand (19221923). He returned to Australia where he was named assistant professor at Trinity and Ormond Colleges as well as part-time lecturerinphysics at Melbourne University (19241925). In 1926, Pitman, E.J.G. was named professor of mathematics at Tasmania University, where he stayed until his retirement in 1962. He died in 1993, in Kingston (Tasmania).
A major contribution of Pitman, E.J.G. to probability theory concerns the study of the behavior of a characteristic function in a neighborhood of zero.

Selected works of Pitman, Edwin James George:

1937 Significance tests which may be applied to samples from any populations. Supplement, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 4, 119-130.
1937 Significance tests which may be applied to samples from any populations. II. The correlation coefficient test. Supplement, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 4, 225-232.
1938 Significance tests which may be apply to samples from any populations. III. The analysis of variance test. Biometrika 29, 322-335.
1939 The estimation of location and scale parameters. Biometrika 30, 391421.

1939 Tests of hypotheses concerning location and scale parameters. Biometrika 31, 200-215.

## Point Estimation

Point estimation of a population parameter allows to obtain a unique value calculated from a sample. This value will be considered the estimate of the unknown parameter.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a population in which trait $X$ is studied; suppose that the shape of the distribution of $X$ is known but that the parameter $\theta$ on which this distribution depends is unknown.
To estimate $\theta$, a sample of size $n$ $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is taken from the population and a function $G\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is created that, for a particular realization $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, will provide a unique value
as an estimate of the value of the parameter $\theta$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In general, the point estimation of a parameter of a population does not exactly correspond to the value of this parameter. There exists a sampling error that derives from the fact that part of the population has been omitted. It is possible to measure this error by calculating the variance or standard deviation of the estimator that has been used to evaluate the parameter of the population.

## EXAMPLES

A company that manufactures light bulbs wants to study the average lifetime of its bulbs. It takes a sample of size $n$ ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ ) from the production of light bulbs.
The function $G\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ that it will use to estimate the parameter $\theta$ corresponding to the mean $\mu$ of the population is as follows:

$$
\bar{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i}}{n} .
$$

This estimator $\bar{X}$ of $\mu$ is a random variable, and for a particular realization $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of the sample it takes a precise value denoted by $\bar{x}$. Therefore, for example, for $n=5$, the following sample can be obtained (in hours):

$$
(812,1067,604,918,895) .
$$

The estimator $\bar{x}$ becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\frac{812+1067+604+918+895}{5} \\
& =\frac{4296}{5}=859.2
\end{aligned}
$$

The value 859.2 is a point estimation of the average lifetime of these light bulbs.

## FURTHER READING

- Error
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Sample
- Sampling


## REFERENCES

Lehmann, Erich L., Casella, G.: Theory of point estimation. Springer-Verlag, New York (1998)

## Poisson Distribution

The Poisson distribution is a discrete probability distribution. It is particularly useful for phenomena of counting in unit of time or space.
The random variable $X$ corresponding to the number of elements observed per unit of time or space follows a Poisson distribution of parameter $\theta$, denoted $P(\theta)$, if its probability function is:

$$
P(X=x)=\frac{\exp (-\theta) \cdot \theta^{x}}{x!}
$$



Poisson distribution, $\theta=1$

## HISTORY

In 1837 Poisson, S.D. published the distribution that carries his name. He reached this distribution by considering the limits of the binomial distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The expected value of the Poisson distribution is by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X] & =\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot P(X=x) \\
& =\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \theta^{x}}{x!} \\
& =\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \theta^{x}}{x!} \\
& =\theta \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{x-1}}{(x-1)!} \\
& =\theta \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\theta} \\
& =\theta \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of $X$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =E[X(X-1)+X]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =E[X(X-1)]+E[X]-(E[X])^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X(X-1)] & =\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x(x-1) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \cdot \theta^{x}}{x!} \\
& =\sum_{x=2}^{\infty} x(x-1) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \cdot \theta^{x}}{x!} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \cdot \theta^{2} \cdot \sum_{x=2}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{x-2}}{(x-2)!} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\theta} \cdot \theta^{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\theta} \\
& =\theta^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\theta^{2}+\theta-\theta^{2}=\theta
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Poisson distribution is used to determine the following events, among others:

- Number of particles emitted by a radioactive substance.
- Number of phone calls recorded by a center.
- Number of accidents happening to an insured person.
- Number of arrivals at a counter.
- Number of bacteria in a microscopic preparation.
- Number of plants or animals in a surface in nature determined by an observer.
When $\theta$ tends toward infinity, the Poisson distribution can be approximated by the normal distribution with mean $\theta$ and variance $\theta$.


## EXAMPLES

A secretary makes on average two mistakes per page. What is the probability of having three mistakes on one page?
The number of mistakes per page, $X$, follows a Poisson distribution of parameter $\theta=2$, and its probability function is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X=x) & =\frac{\exp (-\theta) \cdot \theta^{x}}{x!} \\
& =\frac{\exp (-2) \cdot 2^{x}}{x!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of having three mistakes on a page is equal to:

$$
P(X=3)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2} \cdot 2^{3}}{3!}=0.1804
$$

## FURTHER READING

## - Binomial distribution

- Discrete probability distribution
- Normal distribution


## REFERENCES

Poisson, S.D.: Recherches sur la probabilité des jugements en matière criminelle
et en matière civile. In: Procédés des Règles Générales du Calcul des Probabilités. Bachelier, Imprimeur-Libraire pour les Mathématiques, Paris (1837)

## Poisson, Siméon-Denis

Poisson, Siméon-Denis (1781-1840), despite coming from a modest provincial family, was able to pursue his studies at the Ecole Polytechnique in Paris, where he later became a professor.
From 1815, he also taught at the Sorbonne and was elected to the Academy of Sciences that same year.
Poisson was interested in research in different fields: mechanics, physics, and probability theory. In 1837 he published an article called "Recherches sur la probabilité des jugements en matière criminelle et en matière civile, précédées des règles générales du calcul des probabilités". In his numerous writings he presented the basis of a statistical method for the social sciences. Selected works of Siméon-Denis Poisson:

1824 Sur la probabilité des résultats moyens des observations. Connaissance des temps pour l'an 1827, pp. 273-302.
1829 Suite du mémoire sur la probabilité du résultat moyen des observations, inséré dans la connaissance des temps de l'année 1827. Connaissance des temps pour l'an 1832, pp. 3-22.
1836a Note sur la loi des grands nombres. Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences 2: pp. 377-382.
1836b Note sur le calcul des probabilités. Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des
séances de l'Académie des sciences 2: pp. 395-400.
1837 Recherches sur la probabilité des jugements en matière criminelle et en matière civile, précédées des règles générales du calcul des probabilités. Bachelier, ImprimeurLibraire pour les Mathématiques, Paris.

## FURTHER READING

- Poisson distribution


## Pooled Variance

The pooled variance is used to estimate the value of the variance of two or more populations when the respective variances of each population are unknown but can be considered as equal.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

On the basis of $k$ samples of dimensions $n_{1}$, $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$, the pooled variance $S_{p}^{2}$ is estimated by:

$$
S_{p}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i j}-\bar{x}_{i .}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}\right)-k}
$$

where $x_{i j}$ is the $j$ th observation of sample $i$ and $\bar{x}_{i .}$ is the arithmetic mean of sample $i$. Knowing the respective variances of each sample, the pooled variance can also be defined by:

$$
S_{p}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}-1\right) \cdot S_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}\right)-k}
$$

where $S_{i}^{2}$ is the variance of sample $i$ :

$$
S_{i}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i j}-\bar{x}_{i .}\right)^{2}}{n_{i}-1} .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider two samples of computers of different brands for which we noted the time (in hours) before the first problem:

| Brand 1 | Brand 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2800 | 2800 |
| 2700 | 2600 |
| 2850 | 2400 |
| 2650 | 2700 |
| 2700 | 2600 |
| 2800 | 2500 |
| 2900 |  |
| 3000 |  |

The number of samples $k$ equals 2 , and the dimension of the sample brands equals, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=8 \\
& n_{2}=6
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation of the variance of the first sample gives us

$$
S_{1}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}}\left(x_{1 j}-\bar{x}_{1 .}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}-1},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{1 .}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} x_{1 j}}{n_{1}}=\frac{22400}{8}=2800 \\
S_{1}^{2}=\frac{95000}{8-1}=13571.428
\end{gathered}
$$

For the second sample we get:

$$
S_{2}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}}\left(x_{2 j}-\bar{x}_{2 .}\right)^{2}}{n_{2}-1}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{2 .}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} x_{2 j}}{n_{2}}=\frac{15600}{6}=2600 \\
S_{2}^{2}=\frac{70000}{6-1}=14000 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If we assume that the unknown variances of two populations $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}$ are identical, then we can calculate an estimation of $\sigma^{2}=$ $\sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$ by the pooled variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p}^{2} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(n_{i}-1\right) \cdot S_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} n_{i}-2} \\
& =\frac{(8-1) \cdot 13571.428+(6-1) \cdot 14000}{8+6-2} \\
& =13750 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Variance


## Population

A population is defined as a collection of statistical units of the same nature whose quantifiable information we are interested in. The population constitutes the reference universe during the study of a given statistical problem.

## EXAMPLES

The citizens of a state, a group of trees in a forest, workers in a factory, and prices of consumer goods all form discrete populations.

## FURTHER READING

- Sample


## Prevalence

## See prevalence rate.

## Prevalence Rate

The prevalence of a disease is the number of individuals affected in the statistical population at a given time. This notion is close to the notion of "stock".
The prevalence rate of an illness is the proportion of affected individuals in the population at a given moment.

## HISTORY

Farr, William, pioneer in the use of statistics in epidemiology and creator of the concepts of mortality rate and question and answer, showed that the prevalence of an illness is equivalent to the product of the incidence and duration of the illness.
MacMahon, B. and Pugh, T.F. (1970) illustrated the relation of the incidence rate and the prevalence rate using data collected between 1948 and 1952 on acute leukemia among the white population of Brooklyn, New York. The incidence rate was 32.5 cases per million inhabitants per year, and the prevalence rate was 6.7 cases per million inhabitants. The duration of acute leukemia thus had to be 0.21 years or 2.5 months:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Duration of } \\
\text { acute leukemia }
\end{array} & =\frac{\text { prevalence ate }}{\text { incidence rate }} \\
& =\frac{6.7}{32.5} \text { year }=0.21 \text { year. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The prevalence rate is defined as follows:

$$
\text { Prevalence rate }=\frac{\text { number of afflicted }}{\substack{\text { dimension of } \\ \text { population }}} .
$$

The prevalence rate and the incidence rate of an illness are approximately associated to one another by the mean duration of the illness (that is, the mean duration of survival or the mean duration of the cure). This relation is written:

Prevalence rate

$$
\simeq(\text { incidence rate }) \cdot\binom{\text { mean duration }}{\text { of illness }} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Prevalence is different from risk. A risk is a quantity that has a predictive value, as it concerns a fixed period of observation and contains, because of this, information homogeneous in time. In contrast, prevalence is tangential to the history of an illness in a population: it cannot give any indication about the risk insofar as it aggregates, at a given moment, recently diagnosed cases and others in the distant past. Note that the greater the mean survival, if the illness is incurable, or the longer the convalescence, if the illness is curable, the greater the prevalence of the illness.
Finally, note that the prevalence rate often refers not to a well-defined illness, but to an interval of values of a biological parameter. For example, the prevalence rate of hypercholesterolemy can be defined as the proportion of individuals having a cholesterolemy greater than $6.5 \mathrm{mmol} / 1$ in given a population at a given moment. Thus the percentiles of a biological parameter, considered as measuring the proportion of individuals for which the parameters take a value
within a certain interval, can be interpreted as the prevalence rate. For example, the prevalence rate relative to values smaller than or equal to the median (or percentile 50) of a biological parameter is $50 \%$.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Relative risk
- Risk
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## Probability

We can define the probability of an event either by using the relative frequencies or through an axiomatic approach.
In the first approach, we suppose that a random experiment is repeated many times in
the same conditions. For eachevent $A$ defined in the sample space $\Omega$, we define $n_{A}$ as the number of times that event $A$ occurred during the first $n$ repetitions of the experiment. In this case, the probability of event $A$, denoted by $P(A)$, is defined by:

$$
P(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{A}}{n},
$$

which means that $P(A)$ is defined as the limit relative to the number of times event $A$ occurred relative to the total number of repetitions.
In the second approach, for each event $A$, we accept that there exists a probability of $A, P(A)$, satisfying the following three axioms:

1. $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$,
2. $P(\Omega)=1$,
3. For each sequence of mutually exclusive events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ (that is of events $A_{i} \cap$ $A_{j}=\phi$ if $\left.i \neq j\right)$ :

$$
P\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{i}\right) .
$$

## HISTORY

The first hazard games mark the beginning of the history of probability, and we can affirm that they date back to the emergence of Homo sapiens.
The origin of the word "hazard" offers less certitude. According to Kendall, Maurice George (1956), it was brought to Europe at the time of the third Crusade and derived from the Arabic word "al zhar", meaning a die.
Benzécri, J.P. (1982) gives a reference for Franc d'Orient, named Guillaume de Tyr, according to whom the word hazard was related to the name of a castle in Syria. This
castle was an object of a siege during which the aggressors invented a game of dice, giving its name to these games.
Traces of hazard games can be found in ancient civilizations. Drawings and objects found in Egyptian graves from the First Dynasty (3500 B.C.) show that these games were already being played at that time.
According to the Greek historian Herodotus, hazard games were invented by Palamedes during the siege of Troy.
The game of astragals for dogs was one of the first hazard games. The astragal is a small foot bone symmetric to the vertical axis. Much appreciated by the Greeks, and later by the Romans, it is possibly, according to Kendall, M.G. (1956), the original game of craps. Very old astragals were found in Egypt, but more ancient ones, produced in terra cotta, were discovered in northern Iraq. They date back to the third millennium B.C. Another, also in terra cotta, dating to the same time, was found in India.
There is a wealth of evidence demonstrating how games involving dice were played in ancient times. But nobody at the time thought to establish the equiprobable property to get any side.
For David, F.N. (1955), two hypotheses help to explain this gap. According to the first hypothesis, the dice generally were deformed. According to the second hypothesis, the rolling of dice was of a religious nature. It was a way to ask the gods questions: the result of a given roll of the dice revealed the answer to questions that had been posed to the gods.
Among games of hazard, playing cards are also of very ancient origin. We know that they were used in China, India, Arabia, and Egypt. In the West, we find the first evidence of cards in Venice in 1377, in Nuremberg in 1380,
and in Paris in 1397. Tarot cards are the most ancient playing cards.
Until the 15th century, according to Kendall, M.G. (1956), the Church and kings fought against the practice of dice games. As evidence of this we may mention the laws of Louis IX, Edward III, and Henry VIII. Card games were added to the list of illegal activities, and we find a note on the prohibition of card games issued in Paris in 1397. Despite the interdictions, games of hazard were present without interruption, from the Romans to the Renaissance, in all social classes.
It is not surprising to learn that they are at the origin of mathematical works on probability. In the West, the first writings on this subject come from Italy. They were written by Cardano in his treatise Liber de Ludo Aleae, published posthumously in 1663, and by Galileo Galilei in his Sopra le Scoperte dei Dadi. In the works of Galileo we find the principle of equiprobability of dice games. In the rest of Europe, these hazard-related problems also interested mathematicians. Huygens, from the Netherlands, published in 1657 a work entitled De Ratiociniis in Aleae Ludo that, according to Holgate, P. (1984), extended the interest in probabilities to other domains; game theory would be developed in parallel to this in relation to body dynamics. The works of Huygens considerably influenced those of two other wellknown mathematicians: Bernoulli, Jakob and de Moivre, Abraham.
But it was in France, with Pascal, Blaise (1623-1662) and de Fermat, Pierre (16011665), that probability theory really took shape. According to Todhunter, I. (1949), Pascal, B. was asked by a famous gambler, Gombauld, Antoine, Knight of Méré, to resolve the following problem: In a game
there are two adverseries The first one wins $n$ of $m$ plays while the second wins $p$ of $m$ plays, which are the chances for one of them to win the game (given that it wins the first to take $m$ plays).
Pascal, B.contacted de Fermat,P., whofound the solution. Pascal himself discovered the recurrence formula showing the same result. According to Benzécri, J.P. (1982), at a time when the West was witnessing the fall of the Roman Empire and the advent of the Christian era, the East was engaged in intense scientific and artistic activity. In these circumstances, Eastern scholars, such as Omar Khayam, probably discovered probability rules.
There is an aspect of probability that is of particular interest to mathematicians: the problems of combinatory analysis.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

## Axiomatic Basis of Probability

We consider a random experiment with the sample space $\Omega$ containing $n$ elements:

$$
\Omega=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}
$$

We can associate to each simple event $x_{i}$ a probability $P\left(x_{i}\right)$ having the following properties:

1. The probabilities $P\left(x_{i}\right)$ are nonnegative:

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

2. The sum of the probabilities of $x_{i}$ for $i$ going from 1 to $n$ equals 1 :

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i}\right)=1
$$

3. The probability $P$ is a function that assigns a number between 0 and 1 to each simple event of a random experiment:

$$
P: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1] .
$$

## Properties of Probabilities

1. The probability of a sample space is the highest probability that can be associated to an event:

$$
P(\Omega)=1
$$

2. The probability of an impossible event equals 0 :

$$
\text { If } A=\phi, \quad \text { then } \quad P(A)=0
$$

3. Let $\bar{A}$ be the complement of $A$ in $\Omega$, then the probability of $\bar{A}$ equals 1 minus the probability of $A$ :

$$
P(\bar{A})=1-P(A) .
$$

4. Let $A$ and $B$ be two incompatible events $(A \cap B=\phi)$. The probability of $A \cup B$ equals the sum of the probabilities of $A$ and $B$ :

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)
$$

5. Let $A$ and $B$ be two events. The probability of $A \cup B$ equals:

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Conditional probability
- Continuous probability distribution
- Discrete probability distribution
- Event
- Random experiment
- Sample space
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## Probability Distribution

Synonym for probability function, but used much more frequently than "probability function" to describe the distribution giving the probability occurance of a value of a random variable $X$ at the value of $x$.

## HISTORY

It is in the 17th century that the systematic study of problems related to random phenomena began. The eminent physicist Galileo had already tried to study the errors of physical measurements, considering these as random and estimating their probability. During this period, the theory of insurances also appeared and was based on the analysis of the laws that rule random phenomena such as morbidity, mortality, accidents, etc. However, it was first necessary to study simpler phenomena such as games of chance. These provide particularly simple and clear models of random phenomena, allowing
one to observe and study the specific laws that rule them; moreover, the possibility of repeating the same experiment many times allows for experimental verification of these laws.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The function $P(b)=P(X=b)$, where $b$ varies according to the possible values of the discrete random variable $X$, is called the probability function of $X$. Since $P(X=b)$ is always positive or zero, the probability function is also positive or zero.
The probability function is represented on an axis system. The different values $b$ of $X$ are plotted as abscissae, the images $P(b)$ as ordinates. The probability $P(b)$ is represented by rectangles with a width equal to unity and a height equal to the probability of $b$. Since $X$ must take at least one of the values $b$, the sum of the $P(b)$ must be equal to 1 , meaning that the sum of the surfaces of the rectangles must be equal to 1 .

## EXAMPLES

Consider a random experiment that consists in rolling a fixed die. Consider the random variable $X$ corresponding to the number of obtained points. The probability function $P(X=b)$ is given by the probabilities associated to each value of $X$ :



## FURTHER READING

- Continuous probability distribution
- Density function
- Discrete distribution function
- Discrete probability distribution
- Distribution function
- Probability
- Probability function
- Random variable
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## Probability Function

The probability function of a discrete random variable is a function that associates each value of this random variable to its probability.

See probability distribution.

## HISTORY

See probability, probability distribution.

## $p$-Value

The $p$-value is defined as the probability, calculated under the null hypothesis, of hav-
ing outcome as extreme as the observed value in the sample or is the probability of obtaining a result at least as extreme as a given data point, assuming the data point was a result of chance alone.

## HISTORY

The $p$-value was introduced by Gibbens and Pratt in 1975.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let us illustrate the case of a hypothesis test made on the estimator of mean; the same principle is applied for any other estimator; only the notations are different.
Suppose that we want to test the following hypotheses:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \mu=\mu_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \quad \mu>\mu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ represents the mean of a normally distributed population with a known standard deviation $\sigma$. A sample of dimension $n$ gives an observed mean $\bar{x}$.
Consider the case where $\bar{x}$ exceeds $\mu_{0}$ and calculate the probability of obtaining an estimation $\hat{\mu}$ greater than or equal to $\bar{x}$ under the null hypothesis $\mu=\mu_{0}$. The value $p$ corresponds to this probability. Thus:

$$
p=P\left(\hat{\mu} \geq \bar{x} \mid \mu=\mu_{0}\right) .
$$

The standard random value $Z$ given by $Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}-\mu_{0}}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ follows normal distribution of mean 0 with standard deviation 1 . Introducing this variable into the expression of $p$, we find:

$$
p=P\left(Z \geq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}\right)
$$

which in this form can be read in the normal table.
For a significance level $\alpha$, the comparison of $p$ to $\alpha$ allows to make a decision about an eventual rejection of the null hypothesis. If:

- $p \leq \alpha$ : we reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ in favour of the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$;
- $p>\alpha$ :we do notreject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.
We also can calculate the upper limit of the acceptance region of $H_{0}$, knowing the value $C_{\alpha}$ such as

$$
P\left(\hat{\mu} \geq C_{\alpha} \mid \mu=\mu_{0}\right)=\alpha
$$

Depending on the standard normal random variable, $z_{\alpha}$, the value $C_{\alpha}$ is expressed by:

$$
C_{\alpha}=\mu_{0}+z_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We frequently use the $p$ value to understand the result of a hypothesis test.
It is used for a one-tailed test, when the hypotheses are of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \mu=\mu_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \quad \mu>\mu_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu=\mu_{0} \\
H_{1}: & \mu<\mu_{0}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \mu \leq \mu_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \quad \mu>\mu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu \geq \mu_{0} \\
H_{1}: \quad \mu<\mu_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

The value $p$ can be interpreted as the smallest significance level for which the null hypothesis cannot be rejected.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that we want to conduct a one-tailed hypothesis test

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \quad \mu=30 \\
\text { against } & H_{1}: \quad \mu>30
\end{aligned}
$$

for a normally distributed population with a standard deviation $\sigma=8$. A sample of size $n=25$ gives an observed mean of 34 . As $\bar{x}(=34)$ clearly exceeds $\mu=30$, we should try to accept the alternative hypothesis, which is $\mu>30$.
Calculate the probability of obtaining an observed mean of 34 under the null hypothesis $\mu=30$. This is the $p$ value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =P(\bar{X} \geq 34 \mid \mu=30) \\
& =P\left(\frac{\bar{X}-30}{\frac{8}{5}} \geq \frac{34-30}{\frac{8}{5}}\right) \\
& =P(Z \geq 2.5),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ is normally distributed with mean 0 and standard deviation 1 ;
$p=0.0062$ according to the normal table. For a significance level $\alpha=0.01$, we reject the null hypothesis for the alternative hypothesis $\mu>30$. We can calculate the upper limit of the acceptance region of $H_{0}$, $C_{\alpha}$ such as

$$
P\left(\bar{X} \geq C_{\alpha} \mid \mu=30\right)=0.01
$$

and find $C_{\alpha}=30+1.64 \cdot \frac{8}{5}=32.624$.

This confirms that we really must reject the null hypothesis $\mu=30$ when the observed - Normal table mean equals 34 .

## FURTHER READING

- Acceptance region
- Hypothesis testing
- Significance level
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## Q-Q Plot (Quantile to Quantile Plot)

The Q-Q plot, or quantile to quantile plot, is a graph that tests the conformity between the empirical distribution and the given theoretical distribution.
One of the methods used to verify the normality of errors of a regression model is to construct a Q-Q plot of the residuals. If the points are aligned on the line $x=y$, then the data are normally distributed.

## HISTORY

The method of graphical representation known as the Q-Q plot appeared in the early 1960s. Since then, it has become a necessary tool in the analysis of data and/or residuals because it is a very provides a wealth of information and is easy to interpret.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

To construct a Q-Q plot we follow two steps.

1. Arrange the data $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in increasing order:

$$
x_{[1]} \leq x_{[2]} \leq \ldots \leq x_{[n]}
$$

2. Associate to each data point $x_{[i]}$ the $i /(n+$ 1)-quantile $q_{i}$ of the standard normal distribution. Plot on a graph as ordinates the ordered data $x_{i}$ and as abscissae the quantiles $q_{i}$.

If the variables have the same distribution, then the graphical representation between the quantiles of the first variable relative to the quantiles of the second distribution will be a line of slope 1 . So if the data $x_{i}$ are normally distributed, the points on the graph must be almost aligned on the line of equation $x_{i}=q_{i}$.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Q-Q plot is used to verify if data follow a particular distribution or if two given data sets have the same distribution. If the distributions are the same, the graph is a line. The further the obtained result is from the $45^{\circ}$ diagonal, the further is the empirical distribution from the theoretical one. The extreme points have a greater variability than those in the center of the distribution. Thus aU-shaped graph means that one distribution is skewed relative to another. An S-shaped graph indicates that the distributions represent a greater influence of extreme values on another distribution (long tail).

A frequent use of the $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ plots is when one wants to know the behavior of residuals during a simple or multiple linear regression, more specifically, to know if their distribution is normal.



## EXAMPLES

The following table contains the residuals (in increasing order) of a linear regression with 13 observations. We associate them to the quantiles $q_{i}$ as described above. The obtained Q-Q plot is represented by the following figure. We see that except for two points that are probably outliers, the points are well aligned.

## Table: Residuals and quantiles

| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $e_{i}$ | $q_{i}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1.62 | -1.47 |
| 2 | -0.66 | -1.07 |
| 3 | -0.62 | -0.79 |
| 4 | -0.37 | -0.57 |



## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Data analysis
- Exploratory data analysis
- Multiple linear regression
- Probability distribution
- Quantile
- Residual
- Simple linear regression
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## Qualitative Categorical Variable

A qualitative categorical variable is a variable with modalities in the form of categories, such as, for example, "man" and "woman" of the variable "sex"; or the categories "red", "orange", "green", "blue", "indigo", and "violet" of the variable "color". The modalities of qualitative categorical variable can be represented on a nominal scale or on an ordinal scale.
An example of qualitative categorical variable having an ordinal scale is the qualificative professional variable with categories "qualified", "semiqualified", and "nonqualified".

## FURTHER READING

- Category
- Variable


## Quantile

Quantiles measure position (or the central tendency) and do not necessarily try to determine the center of a distribution of observations, but to describe a particular position. This notion is an extension of the concept of the median (which divides a distribution of observation into two parts). The most frequently used quantiles are:

- Quartiles, which separate a collection of observations into four parts,
- Deciles, which separate a collection of observations into ten parts,
- Centiles, which separate a collection of observations into a hundred parts.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The calculation of quantiles makes sense only for a quantitative variable that can take values on a determined interval.
The concept of quantile indicates the separation of a distribution of observations in an arbitrary number of parts. Note that the greater the number of observations, the more sophisticated the separation of the distribution can be.
Quartiles can generally be used for any distribution. The calculation of deciles and, a fortiori, centiles requires a relatively large number of observations to obtain a valid interpretation.

## FURTHER READING

- Decile
- Measure of location
- Median
- Percentile
- Quartile


## Quantitative Graph

Quantitative graphs are used to present and summarize numerical information coming from the study of a categorical quantitative variable.
The most frequently used types of quantitative graphs are:

## - Bar chart

- Pictogram
- Pie chart


## HISTORY

Beniger, J.R. and Robyn, J.L. (1978) retrace the historical development of quantitative charts since the 17 th century. Schmid, C.F. and Schmid, S.E. (1979) discuss the numerous forms of quantitative graphs.

## FURTHER READING

- Bar chart
- Graphical representation
- Pictogram
- Pie chart
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## Quantitative Variable

A quantitative variable is a variable with numerical modalities. For example, weight, dimension, age, speed, and time are quantitative variables. We distinguish discrete variables (e.g., number of children per family) from continuous variables (e.g., length of a jump).

## FURTHER READING

## - Variable

## Quartile

Quartiles are location measures of a distribution of observations. Quartiles separate a distribution into four parts. Thus there are three quartiles for a given distribution. Between each quartile we find $25 \%$ of the total observations:


Note that the second quartile equals the median.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Calculation of the quartile is similar to that of the median. When we have all the observations, quartiles are calculated as follows:

1. The $n$ observations must be arranged in the form of a frequency distribution.
2. Quartiles correspond to observations for which the relative cumulated frequency exceeds $25 \%, 50 \%$, and $75 \%$. Certain authors propose the following formula, which allows to determine with precision the value of different quartiles:
Computation of $j$ th quartile:
Let $i$ be the integer part of $\frac{j \cdot(n+1)}{4}$ and $k$ the fraction part of $\frac{j \cdot(n+1)}{4}$.
Let $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ be the values of the observations respectively in the $i$ th and $(i+1)$ th position (when the observations are arranged in increasing order).
The $j$ th quartile is

$$
Q_{j}=x_{i}+k \cdot\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)
$$

When we have observations grouped into classes, quartiles are determined as follows:

1. Determine the class where the quartile is found:

- First quartile: class for which the relative cumulative frequency exceeds $25 \%$.
- Second quartile: class for which the relative cumulative frequency exceeds $50 \%$.
- Third quartile: class for which the relative cumulative frequency exceeds $75 \%$.

2. Calculate the value of the quartile depending on the assumption according to which the observations are uniformly distributed in each class; the $j$ th quartile $Q_{j}$ is:

$$
Q_{j}=L_{j}+\left[\frac{n \cdot \frac{j}{4}-\sum f_{\mathrm{inf}}}{f_{j}}\right] \cdot c_{j}
$$

where $L_{j}$ is the lower limit of the class of quartile $Q_{j}, n$ is the total number of observations, $\sum f_{\text {inf }}$ is the sum of frequencies lower than the class of the quartile, $f_{j}$ is the frequency of the class of quartile $Q_{j}$, and $c_{j}$ is the size of the interval of the class of quartile $Q_{j}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The calculation of quartiles makes sense only for a quantitative variable that can take values on a determined interval.
The quartile is similar to the median. It is also based on observation rank, not values. An outlier will have only a small influence on the quartile values.

## EXAMPLES

Let us first take an example where we have ten observations $(n=10)$ :

## 1244555679

Despite the fact that quartiles cannot be calculated for a small number of observations (we should be very cautious when interpreting them), we will study this case in order to understand the principles behind the calculation.
The first quartile $Q_{1}$ is found at the position $\frac{n+1}{4}=2.75 . Q_{1}$ is three quarters of the distance between the second and third observations (which we will call $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ). We can calculate $Q_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =x_{2}+0.75 \cdot\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right) \\
& =2+0.75 \cdot(4-2) \\
& =3.5
\end{aligned}
$$

The second quartile $Q_{2}$ (which is median) is found at the position $2 \cdot \frac{n+1}{4}$ (or $\frac{n+1}{2}$ ), which
equals for our example 5.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{2} & =x_{5}+0.5 \cdot\left(x_{6}-x_{5}\right) \\
& =5+0.5 \cdot(5-5) \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$

The third quartile $Q_{3}$ is found at the position $3 \cdot \frac{n+1}{4}=8.25$. $Q_{3}$ equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{3} & =x_{8}+0.25 \cdot\left(x_{9}-x_{8}\right) \\
& =6+0.25 \cdot(7-6) \\
& =6.25 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values 3.5 , 5 , and 6.25 separate the observations into four equal parts.
For the second example, let us consider the following frequency table representing the number of children per family in 200 families:

| Value <br> (number <br> of <br> children) | Relative <br> (number <br> of <br> families) | Relative <br> frequen- | Cumulated <br> frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 6 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 1 | 38 | 0.19 | 0.22 |
| 2 | 50 | 0.25 | 0.47 |
| 3 | 54 | 0.27 | 0.74 |
| 4 | 42 | 0.21 | 0.95 |
| 5 | 8 | 0.04 | 0.99 |
| 6 | 2 | 0.01 | 1.00 |
| Total | 200 | 1.00 |  |

The first quartile equals those observations that have a relative cumulative frequency exceeding $25 \%$, which corresponds to two children (because the relative cumulative frequency for two children goes from 22 to $47 \%$, which includes $25 \%$ ). The second quartile equals three children because the relative cumulated frequency for three children goes from 47 to $74 \%$, which includes
$50 \%$. The third quartile equals four children because the relative cumulated frequency for four children goes from 74 to $95 \%$, which includes 75\%.
Quartiles 2, 3, and 4 separate the 200 families into quarters. We can group 50 of the 200 families into the first quarter with zero, one, or two children, 50 into the second quarter with two or three children, 50 into the third quarter with three or four children, and 50 into the fourth quarter with four, five, or six children.

Now consider an example involving the calculation of quartiles from the frequency distribution of a continuous variable where the observations are grouped into classes.
The following frequency table represents the profits (in thousands of francs) of 100 stores:

| Profit <br> (thou- <br> sands of <br> francs) | Cumu- <br> lated <br> fre- <br> quency | Cumu- <br> lated <br> fre- <br> quency | Relative <br> frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100-200$ | 10 | 10 | 0.1 |
| $200-300$ | 20 | 30 | 0.3 |
| $300-400$ | 40 | 70 | 0.7 |
| $400-500$ | 30 | 100 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100 |  |  |

The class containing the first quartile is the class 200-300 (the one with a relative cumulative frequency of $25 \%$ ).
Considering that the observations are uniformly distributed in each class, we obtain for the first quartile the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st quartile } \\
& =200+\left[\frac{\left(100 \cdot \frac{1}{4}\right)-10}{20}\right] \cdot 100 \\
& =275 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The class containing the second quartile is the class 300-400. The value of the second quartile equals:

2nd quartile

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =300+\left[\frac{\left(100 \cdot \frac{2}{4}\right)-30}{40}\right] \cdot 100 \\
& =350 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The class containing the third quartile is the class 400-500. The value of the third quartile equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3rd quartile } \\
& =400+\left[\frac{\left(100 \cdot \frac{3}{4}\right)-70}{30}\right] \cdot 100 \\
& =416.66
\end{aligned}
$$

We can conclude that 25 of the 100 stores have profits between 100000 and 275000 francs, 25 have a profits between 275000 and 350000 francs, 25 have profits between 350000 and 416066 francs, and 25 have profits between 416066 and 500000 francs.

## FURTHER READING

- Decile
- Measure of location
- Median
- Percentile
- Quantile


## Quetelet, Adolphe

Quetelet, Adolphe was born in 1796 in Ghent, Belgium. In 1823, the minister of public education asked him to supervise the construction of an observatory for the
city of Brussels. To this end, he was sent to Paris to study astronomy and to prepare plans for the construction of the observatory. In France, Bouvard, Alexis was charged with his education. Quetelet, Adolphe met, among others, Poisson, Siméon-Denis and de Laplace, Pierre Simon, who convinced him of the importance of probabilistic calculations. Over the next 4 years, he traveled widely to visit different observatories and to collect instruments. He wrote popular works on science: Astronomie élémentaire, Astronomie populaire et Positions de physique, and Instructions populaires sur le calcul des probabilités in 1828. Moreover, he founded with Garnier (his thesis advisor) a journal: Correspondance mathématique et physique. In 1828, he was named astronomer of the Brussels observatory and rose quickly to become director of the observatory, a position he would occupy for the next 25 years. In 1836, he became the tutor of Princes Ernest and Albert of Saxe-Cobourg and Gotha, which allowed him to pursue his interest in the calculation of probabilities. His lessons to the boys were published in 1846 under the title Lettres à S.A.R. le Duc régnant de Saxe-Cobourg et Gotha; they addressed the theory of probability as applied to ethical and political sciences. Quetelet died in 1874.

## Principal works of Quetelet, Adolphe:

1826 Astronomie élémentaire. Malther, Paris.

1828 Positions de physique. Brussels.
1828 Instructions populaires sur le calcul des probabilités.

1846 Lettres à S.A.R. le Duc régnant de Saxe-Cobourg et Gotha sur la théorie
des probabilités, appliquée aux sciences morales et politiques, Brussels.

## Quota Sampling

Quota sampling is a nonrandom sampling method. A sample is chosen in such a way that it reproduces an image that is as close as possible to the population.
The quota method is based on the known distribution of a population for certain characteristic (gender, age, socioeconomic class, etc.).

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a population composed by $N$ individuals. The distribution of the population is known to have the characteristics $A, B, \ldots, Z$ with the respective modalities $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{a} ; B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{b}$; $\ldots ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{z}$, the desired sample is obtained by multiplying the number of the different modalities of all the control traits by the sounding rate $q$, meaning the percentage of the population that one wants to sound.
The sample will be of size $n=N \cdot q$ and will have the following distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q \cdot X_{A_{1}}, q \cdot X_{A_{2}}, \ldots, q \cdot X_{A_{a}}, \\
& q \cdot X_{B_{1}}, q \cdot X_{B_{2}}, \ldots, q \cdot X_{B_{b}}, \ldots, \\
& q \cdot X_{Z_{1}}, q \cdot X_{Z_{2}}, \ldots, q \cdot X_{Z_{z}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{\text {... represents the number of the dif- }}$ ferent modalities for all the control traits.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

A control trait that will be used as a basis for the distribution of the sample must obey the following rules: (1) It must be in close correlation with the under study variables. (2) It must have a known distribution for the whole

## population.

Commonly used control traits are gender, age, socioprofessional class, region, etc.
The advantages of quota sampling are the following:

- Unlike random sampling, quota sampling does not require the existence of a sounding basis.
- The cost is much lower than for random sampling.
The main disadvantages are:
- The quota method does not have a sufficient theoretical foundation. It is based on the following hypothesis: a correct distribution of the control traits ensures the representativity of the distribution of the observed traits.
- The quota method cannot calculate the precision of the estimations obtained from the sample. Because the investigators choose the people to be surveyed, it is impossible to know with what probability any given individual of the population may be part of the sample. It is therefore impossible to apply the calculation of probabilities that, in the case of random sampling, can associate to each estimation a measure of the error that could have been committed.


## EXAMPLES

Suppose we want to study the market possibilities for a consumer product in town $X$. The chosen control variables are gender, age, and socioprofessional class. The population
distribution (in thousands of persons) is the following:

| Gender | Age |  |  | Socioprofessional class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 162 | 0-20 | 70 | Chief | 19.25 |
| Female |  | 21-60 | 192.5 | Independent Prof. | 14.7 |
|  |  | $\geq 61$ | 87.5 | Managers | 79.1 |
|  |  |  |  | Workers | 60.9 |
|  |  |  |  | Unemployed | 176.05 |
| Total | 350 | Total | 350 | Total | 350 |

We choose a sounding rate equal to $\frac{1}{300}$. The sample will therefore have the following size and distribution:

$$
n=N \cdot q=350000 \cdot \frac{1}{300}=1167
$$

| Gender |  | Age |  | Socioprofessional class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 540 | 0-20 | 233 | Chief | 64 |
| Female | 627 | 21-60 | 642 | Independent Prof. | 49 |
|  |  | $\geq 61$ | 292 | Managers | 264 |
|  |  |  |  | Workers | 203 |
|  |  |  |  | Unemployed | 587 |
| Total | 1167 | Total | 1167 | Total | 1167 |

The choice of individuals is then made by simple random sampling in each category.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Simple random sampling
- Sampling


## REFERENCES

Sukhatme, P.V.: Sampling Theory of Surveys with Applications. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA (1954)

## Random Experiment

An experiment in which the outcome is not
predictable in advance is called a random experiment.
A random experiment can be characterized as follows:

1. It is possible to describe the set of all possible results (called the sample space of the random experiment).
2. It is not possible to predict the result with certainty.
3. It is possible to associate each possible outcome to a probability of appearing.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The random phenomena to which probabilities apply can be encountered in many different fields such as economic sciences, social sciences, physics, medicine, psychology, etc.

## EXAMPLES

Here are some examples of random experiments, characterized by their action and by the set of the possible results (their sample space).
$\begin{array}{ll}\hline \text { Action } & \text { Sample space } \\ \text { Flipping a coin } & \Omega=\{\text { Heads, Tails }\} \\ \text { Rolling a die } & \Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Drawing a ball from } \\ \text { a container with one }\end{array} & \Omega= \\ \text { green ball, one blue } \\ \text { ball, and one yellow }\end{array}$ Green, Yellow $\}$

## FURTHER READING

- Event
- Probability
- Sample space


## Random Number

A random number is called the realization of a uniformly distributed random variable in the interval $[0,1]$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If the conditions imposed above are not rigorously verified, that is, if the distribution from where the sample is taken is not exact-
ly the uniform distribution and the successive draws are not necessarily independent, then we say that the set of randomly obtained numbers form a series of pseudorandom numbers.

## EXAMPLES

A container holds 10 balls from 0 to 9 . If our goal is to obtain a random number between 0 and 1 to $m$ decimal places, we need to draw $m$ balls with replacement seeking to form a number of $m$ digits. Then we consider these draws as if there were only one number, dividing it by $10^{m}$.
As an example, we suppose that we draw successively the following balls: $8,7,5,4$, and 7 . The corresponding random number is 0.87547 .

## FURTHER READING

- Generation of random numbers
- Uniform distribution


## Random Number Generation

## See generation of random numbers.

## Random Variable

A variable whose value is determined by the result of a random experiment is called a random variable.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A random variable is generally denoted by one of the last letters of the alphabet (in uppercase). It is a real valued function defined on the sample space $\Omega$. In other words, a real random variable $X$ is a mapping of $\Omega$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

We refer to:

- Discrete random variables, when the set of all possible values for the random variable is either finite or infinite countable;
- Continuous random variables, when the set of all possible values for the random variable is infinite uncountable.


## EXAMPLES

## Examples of Discrete Random Variable

If we roll two dice simultaneously, the 36 possible results that comprise $\Omega$ are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega=\{ & (1,1),(1,2), \ldots,(1,6), \\
& (2,1),(2,2), \ldots,(2,6), \ldots \\
& (6,1),(6,2), \ldots,(6,6)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The random variable $X=$ "sum of two dice" is a discrete random variable. It takes its values in the finite set $E=\{2,3,4, \ldots, 11,12\}$, that is, the sum of two dice can be $2,3,4, \ldots$ or 12. In this example, $X$ is an entirely positive random variable and the operation is from $\Omega$ in $E$.
It is possible to attribute a probability to the different values that the random variable $X$ can take.
The value "sum equal to 2 " is then obtained only by the result $(1,1)$. On the other hand, the value "sum equal to 8 " is obtained by the results $(2,6),(3,5),(4,4),(5,3)$, and $(6,2)$. Since $\Omega$ contains 36 results with equal probability, we can claim that the "chance" or the "probability" of obtaining a "sum equal to 2 " is $\frac{1}{36}$. The probability of obtaining a "sum equal to 8 " is $\frac{5}{36}$, etc.

## Example of Continuous Random Variable

Such random variables characterize measures like, for example, the time period
of a telephone call defined in the interval $] 0, \infty[$, wind direction defined in the interval [0, 360[, etc.

## FURTHER READING

- Density function
- Probability
- Random experiment
- Sample space
- Value


## Randomization

Randomization is a procedure by which treatments are randomly assingned to experimental units. It is used to avoid subjective treatment affectations. It allows to eliminate a systematic error caused by noncontrollable factors that persist even in repeated experiments. Randomization can be used to avoid any bias that might corrupt the data. Finally, randomization is necessary if we seek to estimate the experimental error.

## HISTORY

In September 1919, Fisher, Ronald Aylmer began his career at the Rothamsted Experimental Station, where agricultural research was taking place. He started with historical data and then took into account data from agricultural trials, for which he developed the analysis of variance. These trials led him to develop experimental designs. He developed highly efficient experimental designs using the principles of randomization, Latin square, randomized block, and factorial arrangements.
All these methods can be found in his work
Statistical Methods for Research Workers (1925). His article in 1926 on the arrangement of his agricultural experiments became the book The Design of Experiments (1935).

## FURTHER READING

- Completely randomized design
- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Experimental unit
- Treatment


## REFERENCES

Fisher, R.A.: Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver \& Boyd, Edinburgh (1925)
Fisher, R.A.: The arrangement of field experiments. J. Ministry Agric. 33, 503-513 (1926)

Fisher, R.A.: The Design of Experiments. Oliver \& Boyd, Edinburgh (1935)
Fisher, R.A.: Statistical Methods, Experimental Design and Scientific Inference. A re-issue of Statistical Methods for Research Workers, "The Design of Experiments', and 'Statistical Methods and Scientific Inference." Bennett, J.H., Yates, F. (eds.) Oxford University Press, Oxford (1990)

## Randomized Block Design

A randomized block design is an experimental design where the experimental units are in groups called blocks. The treatments are randomly allocated to the experimental units inside each block. When all treatments appear at least once in each block, we have a completely randomized block design. Otherwise, we have an incomplete randomized block design.
This kind of design is used to minimize the effects of systematic error. If the experimenter focuses exclusively on the differences between treatments, the effects due
to variations between the different blocks should be eliminated.

## HISTORY

See experimental design.

## EXAMPLES

A farmer possesses five plots of land where he wishes to cultivate corn. He wants to run an experiment since he has two kinds of corn and two types of fertilizer. Moreover, he knows that his plots are quite heterogeneous regarding sunshine, and therefore a systematic error could arise if sunshine does indeed facilitate corn cultivation.
The farmer divides the land into five blocks and randomly attributes to each block the following four treatments:

A: corn 1 with fertilizer 1
$B$ : corn 1 with fertilizer 2
$C$ : corn 2 with fertilizer 1
$D$ : corn 2 with fertilizer 2
He eliminates therefore the source of the systematic error due to the factor sun. By following the same procedure randomly inside each block, he does not favor a special corn variety with respect to the others, nor a special type of fertilizer with respect to the others. The following table shows the random arrangement of the four treatments in the five blocks:

| Block 1 | $D$ | $C$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block 2 | C | B | A | $D$ |
| Block 3 | A | B | C | $D$ |
| Block 4 | A | C | B | $D$ |
| Block 5 | $D$ | $C$ | $A$ | $B$ |

An analysis of variance permits one to draw conclusions, after block effects are removed, as to whether there exists a significative difference between the treatments.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Design of experiments


## Range

The range is the easiest measure of dispersion to calculate.
Consider a set of observations relative to a quantitative variable $X$. The range is defined as the difference between the highest observed value and the lowest observed value.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a set of observations relative to a quantitative variable $X$.
If we denote by $X_{\max }$ the value of the highest observation in a set of observations and by $X_{\min }$ the lowest value, then the range is given by:

$$
\text { range }=X_{\max }-X_{\min }
$$

When observations are grouped into classes, the range is equal to the difference between the center of the two extreme classes. Let $\delta_{1}$ be the center of the first class and $\delta_{k}$ the center of the last class. The range is equal to:

$$
\text { range }=\delta_{k}-\delta_{1}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The range is a measure of dispersion that is not used very often because it only provides an indication on the span of the values but does not provide any information on the distribution within this span.
It nevertheless has the great advantage of being easy to calculate. However, one should be very careful with its interpretation.

The range is a measure of dispersion that only takes into account the two extreme values. This method can therefore lead to wrong interpretations if the number of children in seven families is $0,0,1,1,2,3$, and 6 , the range is 6 , whereas all but one of the families have between 0 and 3 children. In this case, the variability is relatively low whereas the range is high.
Using the range to measure dispersion (or variability) presents another inconvenience. It can only increase when the number of observations increases. This is a disadvantage because ideally a measure of variability should be independent of the number of observations.
One must exercise extreme caution when interpreting a range because there may be outliers. These have a direct influence on the value of the range.

## EXAMPLES

Here are the ages of two groups of people taking an evening class:

|  | Group 1: | 33 | 34 | 34 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 40 | 40 | 43 | 44 | 45 |
|  |  | 22 |  | 31 | 40 |
| Group 2: | 22 | 40 |  |  |  |
|  | 41 | 44 | 45 | 50 | 52 |

The arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of these two sets of observations is identical:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{390}{10}=39 .
$$

Even if the arithmetic mean of the ages of these two groups is the same, 39 years, the dispersion around the mean is very different. The range for the first group is equal to 12 ( $45-33$ ), whereas the range for the second group is equal to $52-22=30$ years old. Notice that the range only gives an indicative measure on the variability of the values; it
does not indicate the shape of the distribution within this span.

## FURTHER READING

- Interquartile range
- Measure of dispersion


## REFERENCES

Anderson, T.W., Sclove, S.L.: An Introduction to the Statistical Analysis of Data. Houghton Mifflin, Boston (1978)

## Rank of a Matrix

The rank of a matrix is the maximum number of linearly independent rows or columns.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. We define its rank, denoted by $r_{A}$, as the maximum number of linearly independent rows or columns. In particular:

$$
r_{A} \leq \min (m, n)
$$

If $r_{A}=\min (m, n)$, then we say that matrix $A$ is of full rank.
If the rank of a square matrix of order $n$ equals $n$, then its determinant is nonzero; in other words, this matrix is invertible.

## EXAMPLES

Let $A$ be a $2 \times 3$ matrix:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-7 & 3 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

Its rank is less than or equal to $\min (2,3)$. The number of linearly independent lines or columns equals 2 . Consequently, $r_{A}=2$.

## FURTHER READING

- Determinant
- Matrix


## Rao, Calyampudi Radhakrishna

Rao, Radhakrishna was born in 1920 at Hadagali, Karnata, India. He received his Ph.D. in 1948 at Cambridge University. Since 1989 he has received honorary doctorates from many universities around the world, notably the University of Neuchâtel, in Switzerland.
His contribution to the development of statistical theory and its applications is comparable to the works of Fisher, Ronald Aylmer, Neyman, Jerzy, and other important modern statisticians. Among his contributions, the most important are the Fisher-Rao theorem, the Rao-Blackwell theorem, the CramerRao inequality, and Rao's U-test. He is the coauthor of 12 books and more than 250 scientific articles. His book Statistics and Truth: Putting Chance to Work deals with fundamental logic and statistical applications and has been translated into many languages.

Selected works and publications of Rao, Calyampudi Radhakrishna:

1973 Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York.

1989 (with Shanbhag, D.N.) Further extensions of the Choquet-Deny and Deny theorems with applications in characterization theory. Q. J. Math., 40, 333-350.

1992 (with Zhao, L.C.) Linear representation of R-estimates in linear models. Can. J. Stat., 220:359-368.

1997 Statistics and Truth: Putting Chance to Work, 2nd edn. World Scientific, Singapore.

1999 (with Toutenburg, H.) Linear Models. Least Squares and Alternatives, 2nd edn. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

## FURTHER READING

- Gauss-Markov theorem
- Generalized inverse


## Regression Analysis

Regression analysis is a technique that permits one to study and measure the relation between two or more variables. Starting from data registered in a sample, regression analysis seeks to determine an estimate of a mathematical relation between two or more variables. The goal is to estimate the value of one variable as a function of one or more other variables. The estimated variable is called the dependent variable and is commonly denoted by $Y$. In contrast, the variables that explain the variations in $Y$ are called independent variables, and they are denoted by $X$.
When $Y$ depends on only one $X$, we have simple regression analysis, but when $Y$ depends on more than one independent variable, we have multiple regression analysis. If the relation between the dependent and the independent variables is linear, then we have linear regression analysis.

## HISTORY

The pioneer in linear regression analysis, Boscovich, Roger Joseph, an astronomer as well as a physician, was one of the first to find a method for determining the coefficients of a regression line. To obtain a line
passing as close as possible to all observations, two parameters should be determined in such a way that two Boscovich, R.J. conditions are respected:

1. The sum of the deviations must equal zero.
2. The sum of the deviations absolute value must be minimal.
Boscovich, R.J. established these conditions around 1755-1757. He used a method of geometrical resolution that he applied when measuring the length of five of the earth's meridians.
In 1789, de Laplace, Pierre Simon, in his work Sur les degrés mesurés des méridiens, et sur les longueurs observées sur pendule, adopted the two conditions imposed by Boscovich, R.J. and established an algebraic method for the resolution of Boscovich's algorithm.
Two other mathematicians, Gauss, Carl Friedrich and Legendre, Adrien Marie, seem to have discovered, without conferring with each other, the least-squares method. It seems that Gauss, C.F. had been using it since 1795. But it is Legendre, A.M. who published the least-squares method in 1805 in his work Nouvelles méthodes pour la détermination des orbites des comètes, where we find the appendix Sur la méthode des moindres carrés. A controversy about the true author of the discovery erupted between the two men in 1809. Gauss, C.F. published his method citing references to his previous works. Note that in the same period, an American named Adrian, Robert, who had no knowledge of Gauss' and Legendre's work, was also working with least squares.
The 18th century marked the development of the least-squares method. According to Stigler, S. (1986), this development is
strongly associated with three problems from that period. The first concerned the mathematical representation and determination of the movements of the moon. The second was related to explaining the secular inequality observed in the movement of Jupiter and Saturn. Finally, the third concerned the determination of the shape of the earth.
According to the same author, one finds in the works of Galton, Francis the origin of the term linear regression. His research focused on heredity: the notion of regression arose from an analysis of heredity among family members.
In 1875, Galton, C.F. conducted an experiment with small peas distributed in seven groups by weight. For each group, he took ten seeds and asked seven friends to cultivate them. He thus cultivated 490 germs. He discovered that each seed group classified by weight followed a normal distribution and the curves, centered on different weights, were dispersed in an equally normal distribution; the variability of the different groups was identical. Galton, F. talked about "reversion" (in biology "reversion" refers to a return to a primitive type). It was a linear reversion that Galton, F. had witnessed since the seven seed groups were normally distributed, compared not to their parents' weight, but to a value close to the overall population mean.
Human heredity was a research topic for Galton, F. as well, who was trying to define the relation between the length of parent seeds and that of their offspring. In 1889, he published his work under the title Natural Inheritance. In this field, from 1885 he used the term regression, and in his last works he ended up with the concept of correlation.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See LAD regression, multiple linear regression, and simple linear regression.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The goal of regression analysis is not only to determine the relation between the dependent variable and the independent variable(s). Regression analysis seeks as well to establish the reliability of estimates and consequently the reliability of the obtained predictions.

Regression analysis allows furthermore to examine whether the results are statistically significant and if the relation between the variables is real or only apparent.
Regression analysis has various applications in all scientific fields. In fact, from the moment we determine a relation among variables, we can predict future values, provided that the conditions remain unchanged and that there always exists an error. Commonly we check the validity of a regression analysis by residual analysis.

## EXAMPLES

See linear regression (multiple or simple), LAD regression, and ridge.
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## Rejection Region

The rejection region is the interval, measured in the sampling distribution of the statistic under study, that leads to rejection of the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ in a hypothesis test. The rejection region is complementary to the acceptance region and is associated to a probability $\alpha$, called the significance level of the test or type I error.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a hypothesis test on a parameter $\theta$. We utilize the statistic $T$ in order to estimate this parameter.

## Case 1: One-sided Test (Right Tail)

The hypothesis is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \theta=\theta_{0} \\
& H_{1}: \theta>\theta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the preassigned value for parameter $\theta$.
In a one-sided test (test for the right tail), the rejection region corresponds to an interval limited on the right side of the critical value:

Rejection region $=[$ critical value; $\infty[$, and Acceptance region $=]-\infty$; critical value[, given that the critical value equals:

$$
\mu_{T}+z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{T},
$$

where $\mu_{T}$ is the mean of the sampling distribution for statistic $T, \sigma_{T}$ is the standard error for the statistic $T$, and $\alpha$ is the significance level of the test.
The value $z_{\alpha}$ is obtained from statistical tables of distribution $T$. If the value of $T$ computed in the sample lies in the rejection region, that is, if $T$ is greater than or equal to the critical value, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ must be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$. Otherwise, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected.


Cas 2: One-sided Test (Left Tail)
The hypothesis is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \theta=\theta_{0} \\
H_{1}: & \theta<\theta_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

In a one-sided test (test for the right tail), the rejection region is limited on the left side by the critical value:
rejection region $=]-\infty$; critical value] ,
and the acceptance region is:
acceptance region $=]$ critical value; $\infty[$, provided the critical value equals:

$$
\mu_{T}-z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{T}
$$

If the value of $T$ computed in the sample lies in the rejection region, that is, if $T$ is less than orequal to the critical value, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ must be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$. Otherwise, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected.


Case 3: Two-sided Test
The hypothesis is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \theta=\theta_{0} \\
H_{1}: & \theta \neq \theta_{0}
\end{array}
$$

In a two-sided test the rejection region is divided into two intervals:
rejection region $=\mathbb{R} \backslash]$ lower critical value; upper critical value[
and the acceptance region:
acceptance region $=]$ lower critical value ; upper critical value[,
where the critical values are equal to:

$$
\mu_{T}-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \sigma_{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{T}+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \sigma_{T} .
$$

If the value of $T$ computed in the sample lies in the rejection region, that is, if $T$ is greater than or equal to the upper critical value or if $T$ is less than or equal to the lower critical value, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ must be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$. Otherwise, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ cannot be rejected.
The following figure illustrates the acceptance and rejection regions for the cases mentioned above.

$\overbrace{-\infty}^{\text {子ejection region }} \overbrace{$|  lower  |
| :---: |
|  critical  |
|  value  |}$^{\text {recceptance region }}$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In a one-sided test, the rejection region is unique and is detected:

- On the right side of the sampling distribution for a one-sided test in the right tail.
- On the left side of the sampling distribution for a one-sided test in the left tail.
In contrast, the rejection region is divided into two parts in the two-sided test, which are found on the extreme left and right sides of the sampling distribution. To each one of these regions is associated a probability $\frac{\alpha}{2}$, with $\alpha$ being the significance level of the test.


## EXAMPLES

A company produces steel cables. Based on a sample of $n=100$ units, it wants to verify whether the diameter of the cables is 0.9 cm . The standard deviation of the population is known to be equal to 0.05 cm .
The hypothesis test in this case is a twosided test. The hypothesis is as follows:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : $\quad \mu=0.9$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \mu \neq 0.9$

For a significance level set to $\alpha=5 \%$, the value $z \frac{\alpha}{2}$ of the normal table equals 1.96. The critical values are then:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}} \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{x}}
$$

where $\mu_{\bar{x}}$ is the mean of the sampling distribution for the means:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\mu=0.9
$$

and $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ is the standard error of the mean:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{0.05}{\sqrt{100}}=0.005 \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \mu_{\bar{x}} \pm z \frac{\alpha}{2} \sigma_{\bar{x}}=0.9 \pm 1.96 \cdot 0.005 \\
&=0.9 \pm 0.0098
\end{aligned}
$$

The interval ]0.8902; 0.9098 [ corresponds to the acceptance region of the null hypothesis.
The rejection region is the complementary interval and is divided into two parts:

$$
]-\infty ; 0.8902] \text { and }[0.9098 ; \infty[
$$

If the obtained sampling mean lies in this interval, the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ must be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Acceptance region
- Critical value
- Hypothesis testing
- Significance level
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## Relation

The notion of relation expresses the rapport that exists between two random variables. It is one of the most important notions in statistics. It appears in concepts like classification, correlation, dependence, regression analysis, time series, etc.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Correlation coefficient
- Dependence
- Model
- Regression analysis
- Time series


## Relative Risk

The relative risk is defined as the ratio between the risk relative to the individuals who are exposed to a factor. It measures the relative effect of a certain cause, that is, the degree of the association between a disease and its cause.

## HISTORY

See risk.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The relative risk is a probability ratio that is estimated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { relative risk }=\frac{\text { risk of exposed }}{\text { risk of nonexposed }} \\
& \quad=\frac{\text { incidence rate for exposed }}{\text { incidence rate for nonexposed }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the relative risk is a measure without a unit since it is defined as the ratio of two quantities measured in the same unit.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The relative risk expresses the risk of the units exposed to a factor as a multiple of the risk of the units not exposed to this factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { risk of units exposed } \\
& \quad=\text { risk of units nonexposed } \\
& \quad \text {. relative risk. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to study the relation between a risk factor and a disease. When there is no association, the relative risk should theoretically be equal to 1 . If exposure to the risk factor increases the number of cases of the disease, then the relative risk becomes larger than 1. If, on the other hand, exposure to the factor decreases the number of cases of the disease, then the relative risk lies between 0 and 1 . It is easy to compute a $P=(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for the relative risk. We consider here the following general case:
The confidence interval is computed in the logarithmic scale and then transformed back into the arithmetic scale. The lowercase letters refer to the following table:

|  | Disease | Nondisease | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | $a$ | $n-a$ | $n$ |
| Nonexposed | $c$ | $m-c$ | $m$ |

The $P=(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval for the relative risk, $R R$, is then given by:

$$
\exp \left\{\ln (R R) \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{c}-\frac{1}{m}}\right\},
$$

with "exp" the exponent for the expression in brackets and $z_{\alpha}$ the value in the normal table. It is assumed that $a, n, c$, and $m$ are large enough. If the confidence interval for the relative risk includes the value 1.0 , then we conclude that the exposure factor does not play any statistically significant role in the probability of having the disease.

## EXAMPLES

We use here example 1 from the section on attributable risk. The relative risk of breast cancer associated to exposure to smoke corresponds to the risk (or incidence rate) for each exposure level divided by the risk (or incidence rate) for the nonexposed:

| Group | Incidence rate <br> (100000 year) | Relative <br> risk <br> $(\mathbf{A} / 57.0)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (A) | 57.0 |
| Nonexposed | 1.0 |  |
| Passive smokers | 126.2 | 2.2 |
| Active smokers | 138.1 | 2.4 |

The relative risk is 2.2 for passive smokers and 2.4 for active smokers. Compared to the risk of the nonexposed, the risk for active smokers and passive smokers is now 2.4 and 2.2 times larger. There is a roughly modest association that underlines the fact that breast cancer depends also on factors other than smoking. The relative risk provides thus a partial description of the relation between smoking and breast cancer. By itself it does not allow one to draw any firm conclusions and indicates that smoking is probably responsible for $50 \%$ of breast cancer cases in the population.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Risk


## REFERENCES

Cornfield, J.: A method of estimating comparative rates from clinical data. Appli-
cations to cancer of the lung, breast, and cervix. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 11, 1269-75 (1951)

Lilienfeld, A.M., Lilienfeld, D.E.: Foundations of Epidemiology, 2nd edn. Clarendon, Oxford (1980)
MacMahon, B., Pugh, T.F.: Epidemiology: Principles and Methods. Little Brown, Boston, MA (1970)
Morabia, A.: Epidemiologie Causale. Editions Médecine et Hygiène, Geneva (1996)

Morabia, A.: L'Épidémiologie Clinique. Editions "Que sais-je?". Presses Universitaires de France, Paris (1996)

## Resampling

While using a sample to estimate a certain parameter concerning the population from which the sample is drawn, we can use the same sample more than once to improve our statistical analysis and inference. This happens by sampling inside the initial sample. This is the idea behind resampling methods. Using resampling we may compute the bias or the standard deviation of an estimated parameter, or we can also draw conclusions from construction confidence interval and testing hypotheses for the estimated parameter. Among the techniques that use resampling, bootstrap, jackknife, and cross validation can be mentioned, for example. Their special interest is in nonparametric techniques because:

- The exact distribution of the data is not known and
- The data arise from a nonlinear design, which is very difficult to model.
On the other hand, a problem related to such procedures arises when the sample does not
represent the population and we risk making absolutely false inferences.


## HISTORY

The jackknife method was introduced in the 1950s as a way to reduce the bias of an estimate. In the 1960s and 1970s, resampling was widely used in sampling as a way of estimating the variance.
The bootstrap method was invented by Efron, B. in the late 1970s and has since been developed by numerous researchers in different fields.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

See bootstrap, jackknife.

## FURTHER READING

- Bootstrap
- Jackknife method
- Monte Carlo method
- Randomization


## REFERENCES

Edington, E. S.: Randomisation Tests. Marcel Dekker, New York (1980)
Efron, B.: The jackknife, the bootstrap, and other resampling plans, CBMS Monograph.No.38.SIAM, Philadelphia (1982)
Fisher, R.A.: The Design of Experiments. Oliver \& Boyd, Edinburgh (1935)

Pitman,E.J.G.: Significance tests which may be applied to samples from any populations. Supplement, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. 4, 119-130 (1937)

## Residual

Residuals are defined as the difference between the observed values and the esti-
mated (fitted) values of a regression model. They represent what is not explained by the regression equation.

## HISTORY

See error.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The residuals $e_{i}$ are given by:

$$
e_{i}=Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $Y_{i}$ denotes an observation and $\hat{Y}_{i}$ its fitted value, obtained by fitting a regression model.
The following graph illustrates the residuals in a simple linear regression:


## EXAMPLES

See residual analysis.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Error
- Hat matrix
- Leverage point
- Multiple linear regression
- Regression analysis
- Simple linear regression


## Rice, Stuart Arthur

Rice, Stuart Arthur (1889-1969) studied at the University of Washington (obtaining his bachelor of science degree in 1912 and his master's degree in 1915). His academic work was devoted to the development of a methodology in the social sciences.
In the early 1930s, Rice, S.A. joined the administration of Franklin D. Roosevelt. He became the assistant director of the census office from 1933 until the early 1950s. His major contribution to statistics consists in the modernization of the use of statistics in government. He promoted the development of COGSIS (Committee on Government Statistics and Information Services) and worked toward adapting modern techniques of sampling as well as mathematical statistics in federal agencies. In 1955, he created the Stuart A. Rice Associates (later Surveys \& Research Corporation), a statistical consulting agency for the public and private sectors. He retired in the 1960s.

## FURTHER READING

- Census
- Official statistics


## Ridge Regression

The main goal of the ridge method is to minimize the mean squared error of the estimates, that is, to compromise bias and variance. By considering collinearity as a problem of quasisingularity of $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$, where $\mathbf{W}$ denotes the matrix of the explanatory variables $\mathbf{X}$ suitably transformed (centered and/or scaled), we use the ridge regression method, which modifies the matrix $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$ in order to remove singularities.

## HISTORY

The ridge regression was introduced in 1962 by Hoerl, A.E., who stated that the existence of correlation between explanatory variables can cause errors in estimation while applying the least-squares method. As an alternative, he developed the ridge regression, which allows to compute estimates that are biased but of lower variance than in the leastsquares estimates. While the least-squares method provides nonbiased estimates, the ridge minimizes the mean squared error of the estimates.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let us consider the multiple linear regression model:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ is an $(n \times 1)$ vector of the observations related to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations), $\boldsymbol{\beta}=$ $\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\right)^{\prime}$ is the $(p \times 1)$ parameter vector to be estimated, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ error vector, and

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & X_{11} & \ldots & X_{1(p-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & X_{n 1} & \ldots & X_{n(p-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an $(n \times p)$ matrix with independent variables.
The estimation of $\beta$ by means of least squares is given by:

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\widehat{\beta_{0}}, \ldots, \widehat{\beta}_{p-1}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

Consider the standardized data matrix:

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
X_{11}^{s} & X_{12}^{s} & \cdots & X_{1 p-1}^{s} \\
X_{21}^{s} & X_{22}^{s} & \cdots & X_{2 p-1}^{s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
s & X_{n 2}^{s} & \cdots & X_{n p-1}^{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence the model becomes:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma}+\varepsilon
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{0}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{0}^{s}, \ldots, \beta_{0}^{s}\right)^{\prime} \text { and } \\
& \boldsymbol{\gamma}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p-1}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{1}^{s}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}^{s}\right)^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The least-squares estimates are given by $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{0}=\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}^{s}=\bar{Y}$ and by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\gamma} & =\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\gamma}_{p-1}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}^{s}, \ldots, \widehat{\beta}_{p-1}^{s}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These estimates are unbiased, that is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\beta_{j}, & j=0, \ldots, p-1, \\
E\left(\widehat{\gamma_{j}}\right)=\gamma_{j}, & j=0, \ldots, p-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Among all possible linear unbiased estimates, they are the estimates with the minimal variance. We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \\
& \operatorname{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the error variance. Note that $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$ is equal to $(n-1)$ times the correlation matrix for the independent variables. Consequently, in the most favorable case no collinearity arises since $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{I}$. In order to have a matrix $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$ as close as possible to the favorable case, we replace $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}$ by $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}+k \mathbf{I}$, where $k$ is a positive scalar.
Ridge regression replaces the least-squares estimates

$$
\widehat{\gamma}=\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

by the ridge estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\gamma}_{R} & =\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}+k \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R_{1}}, \ldots, \widehat{\gamma}_{R_{(p-1)}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists always a value for $k$ for which the total mean squared error of the ridge estimates is less than the total mean squared error of the least-squares estimates. In 1975, Hoerl et al. suggested that $k=\frac{(p-1) \sigma^{2}}{\gamma^{\prime} \gamma}$ gives a lower total mean squared error, where ( $p-1$ ) is the number of explanatory variables. To compute $k$, we must estimate $\sigma$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ by $s$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$. Then, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is reestimated by $\widehat{\gamma}_{R}$ using ridge regression with $k=\frac{(p-1) \sigma^{2}}{\gamma^{\prime} \gamma}$. Note, though, that the $k$ that has been used is a random variable, since it depends on the data.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS <br> Properties of Ridge Estimates

Ridge estimates are biased since the expected values $E\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R_{j}}\right)$ of the estimates $\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}$ are in the vector:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R_{j}}\right)=\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}+k \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \neq \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \\
\forall k>0 .
\end{array}
$$

Note that the value $k=0$ corresponds to the least-squares estimates. Ridge estimates trade some bias in order to reduce the variance of the estimates. We have:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R}\right)=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}_{R}
$$

with:
$V_{R}=\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}+k \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}+k \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}$.
Note that the diagonal elements of matrix $V_{R}$ are smaller than the diagonal elements corresponding to $\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}$, implying that ridge estimates have lower variance than leastsquares estimates.
Thus ridge estimates are less variable than least-squares estimates. Is their bias acceptable? To measure a compromise between the
bias and variance of an estimate, we generally compute its mean squared error, denoted by MSE. For an estimate $\widehat{\theta}$ of $\theta$ this is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) & =E\left((\widehat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{\theta})+(E(\hat{\theta})-\theta)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, we define the total mean squared error of an estimated vector by the sum of the mean squared errors of its components. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{TMSE}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(E\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}-\gamma_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(E\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}\right)-\gamma_{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & (p-1) \sigma^{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(V_{R}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(E\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{R j}\right)-\gamma_{j}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This method is called adaptive ridge regression. The properties of the ridge estimates hold only when $k$ is a constant, which is not the case for adaptive ridge regression. Nevertheless, the properties for the ridge estimates suggest that adaptive ridge estimates are good estimates. In fact, statistical studies have revealed that for many data sets an adaptive ridge estimation is better in terms of mean squared error than least-squares estimation.

## EXAMPLES

In the following example, 13 samples of cement were set. For each one, the per-
centages of the four chemical ingredients was measured and are tabulated below. The amount of heat emitted was also measured. The goal was to define how the quantities $x_{i 1}$, $x_{i 2}, x_{i 3}$, and $x_{i 4}$ affect $y_{i}$, the amount of heat emitted.

Table: Heat emitted

| Samples | Ingredient |  |  |  | Heat |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{i 1}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{i 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{i 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{i 4}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | 26 | 6 | 60 | 78.5 |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 15 | 52 | 74.3 |
| 3 | 11 | 56 | 8 | 20 | 104.3 |
| 4 | 11 | 31 | 8 | 47 | 87.6 |
| 5 | 7 | 52 | 6 | 33 | 95.9 |
| 6 | 11 | 55 | 9 | 22 | 109.2 |
| 7 | 3 | 71 | 17 | 6 | 102.7 |
| 8 | 1 | 31 | 22 | 44 | 72.5 |
| 9 | 2 | 54 | 18 | 22 | 93.1 |
| 10 | 21 | 47 | 4 | 26 | 115.9 |
| 11 | 1 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 83.9 |
| 12 | 11 | 66 | 9 | 12 | 113.3 |
| 13 | 10 | 68 | 8 | 12 | 109.4 |

where $Y_{i}$ is the heat evolved by the sample $i$, $X_{i 1}$ is the quantity of ingredient 1 in sample $i$, $X_{i 2}$ is the quantity of ingredient 2 in sample $i$, $X_{i 3}$ is the quantity of ingredient 3 in sample $i$, and $X_{i 4}$ is the quantity of ingredient 4 in sample $i$.
We initially carry out a multiple linear regression. The linear regression model is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i}= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i 2}+\beta_{3} X_{i 3} \\
& +\beta_{4} X_{i 4}+\varepsilon_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following results:

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. dev. | $\boldsymbol{t}_{c}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Constant | 62.4100 | 70.0700 | 0.89 |
| $X_{1}$ | 1.5511 | 0.7448 | 2.08 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.5102 | 0.7238 | 0.70 |
| $X_{3}$ | 0.1019 | 0.7547 | 0.14 |
| $X_{4}$ | -0.1441 | 0.7091 | -0.20 |

The estimated vector obtained by standardized data is:

$$
\widehat{\gamma}=(9.12 ; 7.94 ; 0.65 ;-2.41)^{\prime}
$$

with a standard deviation of $4.381,11.26$, 4.834 , and 11.87 , respectively. The estimate for $s$ of $\sigma$ is $s=2.446$. We follow a ridge analysis using:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =\frac{(p-1) \sigma^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \\
& =\frac{4 \cdot(2.446)^{2}}{(9.12)^{2}+(7.94)^{2}+(0.65)^{2}+(2.41)^{2}} \\
& =0.157
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an adaptive ridge regression since $k$ depends on the data. We use, though, the term ridge regression. We obtain:

$$
\widehat{Y}_{R}=(7.64 ; 4.67 ;-0.91 ;-5.84)^{\prime},
$$

with a standard deviation of $1.189,1.377$, 1.169 , and 1.391 , respectively. We have the estimated values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}_{i}= & 95.4+7.64 X_{i 1}^{S}+4.67 X_{i 2}^{S}-0.91 X_{i 3}^{S} \\
& -5.84 X_{i 4}^{S} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimated value for the constant does not differ from the least-squares estimate.

## FURTHER READING

## - Collinearity

- Mean squared error
- Simulation
- Standardized data
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## Risk

In epidemiology, risk corresponds to the probability that a disease appears in a population during a given time interval. The risk is equally defined for either the population or a homogeneous subgroup of individuals. The homogeneity is used here in the sense that individuals are exposed to a certain factor suspected of causing the disease being studied. The notion of risk is strongly related to that of incidence (the incidence corresponding to the frequency of a disease, the risk to its probability).

## HISTORY

In 1951, Cornfield, Jerome clearly stated for the first time the notion of risk. This resulted from a followup study where risk factors were compared for two groups, one consisting of sick individuals and the other of healthy individuals. Since then risk has been widely used in epidemiologic studies as a measure of association between a disease and the related risk factors.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The risk is the probability of the disease appearing in a fixed time period in a group of individuals exposed to a risk factor under study. We estimate the risk by the ratio:

$$
\text { risk }=\frac{\text { incident cases }}{\text { (in the exposed population) }} \text { population at risk }
$$

during the given time period. The nominator corresponds to the number of new cases of the disease during the fixed time period in the studied population, while the denominator corresponds to the number of persons at risk in the same time period.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The notion of risk is intuitively a probability measure, being defined for a given population and a determined time period. Insurance companies use it to predict the frequency of events such as death, disease, etc. in a defined population and according to factors such as sex, age, weight, etc. Risk can be interpreted as the probability for an individual to contract a disease, given that he has been exposed to a certain risk factor. We attribute to the individual the mean value of the risk for the individuals of his group. Insurance companies use risk for each population to determine the contribution of each insured individual. Doctors use risk to estimate the probability that one of their patients develops a certain disease, has a complication, or recovers from the disease.
It is important to note that the notion of risk is always defined for a certain time period: the risk in 2,3 , or 10 years.
The minimal risk is $0 \%$, the maximal risk $100 \%$.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that on 1 January 1992 a group of 100060-year-old men is selected, and 10 cases of heart attack are observed during the year. The heart attack risk for this population of 60 -year-old men equals $10 / 1000=1 \%$ in a year.
We consider here a case of breast cancer over the course of 2 years in a population of 100000 women, distributed in three groups depending on the level of exposure to the factor "smoke". The following contingency table illustrates this example:

| Group | Number of <br> cases <br> (incidents) | Number of <br> individuals |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Nonexposed | 40 | 35100 |
| Passive smokers | 140 | 55500 |
| Active smokers | 164 | 59400 |
| Total | 344 | 150000 |

The risk for each group is computed as the ratio of the number of cases divided by the total number of individuals in each group. For example, the risk for the passive smokers is $140 / 55500=0.002523=$ $252.3 / 100000$ in 2 years. We have the following table:

| Group | Number of <br> cases <br> (incidents) | Number <br> of indi- <br> viduals | Risk in two <br> years <br> $(100000)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Nonex- <br> posed <br> Passive | 40 | 35100 | 114.0 |
| smokers | 140 | 55500 | 252.3 |
| Active <br> smokers | 164 | 59400 | 276.1 |
| Total | 344 | 150000 | 229.3 |

The notion of risk implies the notion of prediction: among the women of a given popu-
lation, what proportion of them will develop breast cancer in the next 2 years? From the table above we note that $229.3 / 100000$ may develop this disease in the next 2 years.

## FURTHER READING

- Attributable risk
- Avoidable risk
- Cause and effect in epidemiology
- Incidence rate
- Odds and odds ratio
- Prevalence rate
- Relative risk
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## Robust Estimation

An estimation is called robust if the estimators that are used are not sensitive to outliers and small departures from idealized (model) assumptions. These estimation methods include Maximum likelihood
type estimation known as M-Estimates, linear combination of order statistics, known as L-Estimates, and methods based on statistical ranks, R-Estimates. Outliers are probably errors resulting from a bad reading, a bad recording, or any other cause related to the experimental environment. The arithmetic mean and other estimators of the least squares are very sensitive to such outliers. That is why it is preferable in these cases to call upon estimators that are more robust.

## HISTORY

The term robust, as well as the field to which it is related, was introduced in 1953 by Box, G.E.P. The term was recognized as a field of statistics only in the mid-1960s. Nevertheless, it is important to note that this concept is not new; in the late 19th century, several scientists already had a clear idea of this concept. In fact, the first mathematical work on robust estimation apparently dates back to 1818 with Laplace, P.S. in his work Le deuxième supplément à la théorie analytique des probabilités. The distribution of the median can be found in this work. It is from 1964, with the article of Huber, P.J., that this field became a separate field of statistics known as robust statistics.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider the following model:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of the observations relative to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the ( $p \times 1$ ) vector of the parameters to be estimated, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the $(n \times 1)$ vector of errors.
There exist several methods of robust estimation that allow one to estimate the vector of parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Some of the methods are:

- $L_{1}$ estimation:

$$
\operatorname{Minimize} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right| .
$$

- In a more general way, the concept of Mestimators based on the idea of replacing the square of the errors $\epsilon_{i}^{2}$ (least-squares method) is defined by a function $\delta\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)$, where $\delta$ is a symmetric function having a unique minimum in zero:

$$
\text { Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)
$$

In 1964, Huber, P.J. proposed the following function:
$\delta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{x^{2}}{2}, & \text { if }|x| \leq k \\ k \cdot|x|-\frac{k^{2}}{2}, & \text { if }|x|>k\end{array}\right.$, where $k$ is a positive constant.
Notice that for $\delta(x)=|x|$, the $L_{1}$ estimators are obtained.

## FURTHER READING
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## Sample

A sample is a subset of a population on which statistical studies are made in order to draw conclusions relative to the population.

## EXAMPLES

A housewife is preparing a soup. To determine if the soup has enough salt, she tastes a spoonful. As a function of what she observed on the sample represented here by a spoonful, she can decide if it is necessary to add salt to the soup, symbolizing the studied population.

## FURTHER READING

- Chebyshev's Inequality
- Estimation
- Population
- Sample size
- Sampling


## Sample Size

The sample size is the number of individuals or elements belonging to a sample.

## HISTORY

Nordin, J.A. (1944) treats the problem of the estimation of the sample size within the framework of a theory of decisions through
a relative example in the potential sales in a market where a seller wants to have a presence. Cornfield, J. (1951) illustrates in a precise manner the estimation of the sample size to form classes with known proportions. Sittig, J. (1951) discusses the choice of the sample size for a typical economic problem, taking into account the inspection and the cost incurred for defective pieces in an accepted lot and for correct pieces in a rejected lot. Numerous studies were also conducted by Cox, D.R. (1952), who was inspired by the works of Stein, C. (1945), to determine sample size in an ideal way.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample taken without replacement of a population of $N$ observations having an unknown mean $\mu$. We suppose that the sample distribution of the statistic follows a normal distribution. The Chebyshev inequality, depends on the number $n$ of observations and it allows to estimate the mean $\mu$ according to the empirical mean of the obtained values $\bar{X}_{n}$. When $N$ is large enough, the size $n$ of the sample can be determined using the Tchebychev inequality, so that the probability that $\bar{X}_{n}$ would be included in the interval [ $\mu-$ $\varepsilon, \mu+\varepsilon](\varepsilon>0$ fixed at the required precision) can be as great as we want. If we call
$\alpha$ the significance level, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(|\bar{x}-\mu| \geq \varepsilon)=\alpha \quad \text { or } \\
& P(|\bar{x}-\mu|<\varepsilon)=1-\alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

which we can also write as:

$$
P\left(-z_{\alpha / 2} \leq \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma_{\bar{x}}} \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right)=1-\alpha,
$$

where $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$, the standard error of the mean, is estimated by $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population and $z_{\alpha / 2}$ the standard critical value associated to the confidence level $1-\alpha$.
We construct the confidence interval with the help of the normal table for the confidence level $1-\alpha$ :

$$
-z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{x}-\mu \leq z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} .
$$

As the normal distribution is symmetric, we are only interested in the inequality on the right. It indicates that $z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ is the greatest value that $\bar{x}-\mu$ can take. This limit is also given by the desired precision. From where:

$$
\varepsilon=z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

that is:

$$
n=\left(z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right)^{2}
$$

If the desired precision can be expressed in percentage relative to the mean $\mu$, or $k \%$, we then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =k \cdot \mu \\
\text { and } \quad n & =\left(\frac{z_{\alpha}}{k} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{\sigma}{\mu}$ is called the coefficient of variation. It measures the relative dispersion of the studied variable. In cases where the sampling distribution is not normally distributed, these formulas are approximately valid when the sample size, $n$, is much greater than ( $n \geq 30$ ).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The determination of the sample size is an important step for organizing a statistical inquiry. It implies not only the complex calculations but also the taking into account of the different requirements of the inquiry itself. Thus the desired precision of the inquiry results is to be considered. Generally, the greater the sample size, the greater the precision. The cost of the inquiry constitutes another important constraint. The smaller the budget, the more restricted the sample size. Moreover, the availability of other resources, such as the existence of data comming from a census or of a system of inquirers or the duration of the inquiry, as well as the territory to be covered, can have a dramatic impact on the structure of the sample.
Census theory places great importance on samples of "optimal" dimension, especially when optimizing (maximizing or minimizing) an objective function in which the principles are formulated mathematically. Moreover, it is important to underline that generally the optimal sample dimension does not depend (or depends only slightly) on the size of the original population.
In the area of experimental design, the problem of optimal sample size is also raised. In this case one should find the minimal number of observations to take into account to detect a significant difference (if there is one) between the different treatments such as many drugs or manufacturing processes.

## EXAMPLES

A factory employs several thousand workers. Based on a previous experiment, the researcher knows that weekly salaries are normally distributed with a standard deviation of 40 CHF. The researcher wants to esti-
mate the mean weekly salary with a precision of 10 CHF and a confidence level of $99 \%$. What should the sample size be?
For a confidence level of $99 \% ~(\alpha=0.01=$ $1-0.99$ ), the $z_{0.01}$ value that we find in the normal table equals 2.575 .
The direct application of the formula gives us:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \geq\left[z_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right]^{2} \\
& n \geq\left[2.575 \cdot \frac{40}{10}\right]^{2}, \\
& n \geq 106.09
\end{aligned}
$$

We will have the desired precision if the sample size is greater than or equal to 107 workers.

## FURTHER READING

## - Population

- Sample
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## Sample Space

The sample space of a random experiment is the set of all possible outcomes of the experiment, usually denoted by $\Omega$.

## HISTORY

See probability.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A sample space can be:

- Finite (the outcomes are countable);
- Infinite countable (it is possible to associate each possible outcome to a positive integer in such a way that each possible result has a different number; however, the number of possible outcomes is infinite);
- Infinite noncountable (it is impossible to enumerate all the possible outcomes).
The sample space $\Omega$ of a random experiment is also called a sure event. The probability of the sure event is equal to 1 :

$$
P(\Omega)=1
$$

## EXAMPLES

Finite sample space:

- Flipping a coin
$\Omega=\{$ Heads, Tails $\}$
- Rolling a die

$$
\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}
$$

Infinite countable sample space:

- Flipping a coin as many times as necessary to obtain "Heads" ( $H=$ heads and $T$ $=$ tails)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Omega=\{H, \text { TH, TTH, TTTH, TTTTH, } \\
\text { TTTTTH, TTTTTTH, } \ldots\} .
\end{array}
$$

## Infinite noncountable sample space:

- Location of the coordinates of the impact of a bullet on a panel of $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$. In this case, the sample space $\Omega$ is composed of an infinite noncountable set of coordinates because the coordinates are measured on a scale of real numbers (it is impossible to give an exhaustive list of the possible results).


## FURTHER READING

- Event
- Probability
- Random experiment
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## Sampling

From a general point of view, the term sampling means the selection of part of a population, called sample, the study of certain characteristics $x$ of this sample, and the fact of making inferences relative to the population. Sampling also represents the set of operations required to obtain a sample from a given population.

## HISTORY

The concept of representativity of a sample is a very recent one.
Even though the first trials of extrapolation appeared in the 13th century, notably in France, where the number of homes was used to estimate the population, the census remained more popular than sampling until the 19th century.
The principle of sampling with or without replacement appeared for the first time in
the work "De ratiociniis in Aleae Ludo", pubished in 1657 by the Dutch scientist Huygens, Christiaan (1629-1695).
It was in 1895, in Bern, during the congress of the International Institute of Statistics, that Kiaer, Anders Nicolai presented a memoir called "Observations et expériences concernant des dénombrements représentatifs", Kiaer, as director of the Central Bureau of Statistics of the Kingdom of Norway at the time, compared in his presentation the structure of a sample with the structure of a population obtained by census. He was therefore defining the term control a posteriori and for the first time used the term "representative". Kiaer, A.N. encountered the opposition of almost all congress participants, who were convinced that complete enumeration was the only way to proceed.
Nevertheless, in 1897, Kiaer, A.N. confirmed the notion of representativity and received the support of the Conference of Scandinavian Statisticians. He was given the opportunity to defend his point of view during several conferences: St.-Petersburg (1897), Stockholm (1899), Budapest (1901), and Berlin (1903).
A new step was taken with the works of March, Lucien on the role of randomness during sampling; he was the first to develop the idea of probabilistic sampling, also called random sampling.
Other statisticians got involved with the problem. Von Bortkiewicz, L., a professor from Berlin, suggested the calculation of probabilities to test the deviation between the distributions of a sample and the total population on the key variables. Bowley, A.L. (1906) developed a random sampling procedure called stratification. According to Desrosières, A. (1988), he was also interested in the notion of confidence
interval, of which he presented the first calculations in 1906 to the Royal Statistical Society.
The year 1925 marked a new step in the history of sampling. It is indeed the year of the congress in Rome of the International Institute of Statistics during which were presented random sampling (choice done by chance) and purposive sampling (judicious choice). Henceforth, the problem would never arise again with respect to the choice between sampling and complete enumeration, but it did arise with respect to various sampling methods.
Of the different uses of sampling, the most frequently used in the United States was the one done by the various public opinion institutes and notably preelectoral inquiries. The third day of November 1936, the day the results of the presidential elections were made public, marks one of the most important days in this regard. For this poll, the Readers Digest predicted the victory of Landon, whereas, without consulting each other, pollsters Crossley, Roper, and Gallup announced the victory of his opponent, Roosevelt. Roosevelt got elected. The mistake of the Readers Digest was to base its prediction of the outcome of the election on a telephone survey, but at that time, only rich people owned a telephone, so the sample was biased!
The year 1938 marks in France the birth of the IFOP (Institut Français d'Opinion Publique, the French Institute of Public Opinion) created by Stoetzel, Jean.
It is interesting to go back a little in time to emphasize the part that Russian statisticians played in the evolution of sampling techniques. Indeed, as early as the 19th century, they were known and used in their country. According to Tassi, Ph. (1988), Chuprov, A.I. (1842-1908) was one of the
precursors of modern sampling, and from 1910 his son Chuprov, A.A. used random sampling, made reference to cluster sampling, stratified sampling with and without replacement, and, under the influence of Markov, A., encouraged the use of probabilities in statistics. Even if the Russian school was only known very late for its sampling works, the statisticians of this school apparently knew about these techniques long before those of western Europe.
Note that in 1924, Kovalevsky, A.G. had already rigorously worked on surveys by stratification and the optimal allocation by stratum, while this result was only rediscovered 10 years later by Neyman, J.
Finally, this history would be incomplete without mentioning the contributions of Neyman, Jerzy to sampling. Considered one of the founders of the statistical theory of surveys, he was in favor of random sampling on purposeful sampling, and he worked on sampling with or without remittance, stratified sampling, and cluster sampling. He was already using estimators by quotient, difference, and regression, techniques that would be developed later on by Horvitz, D.G., Cochran, W.G., Hansen, M.M., Hurwitz, W.N., and Madow, W.G.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

There are several sampling methods. They can be divided into random methods and nonrandom methods.
The most widely used nonrandom procedure is quota sampling.
The random methods (or probabilistic sampling, sometimes also called statistical sampling) call upon a probabilistic mechanism to form a sample taken from a population.

The variables observed on the sample are random variables. From these variables the corresponding values of the population and the error due to sampling can be calculated.
The main random methods are:

- Simple random sampling
- Stratified sampling
- Systematic sampling
- Cluster sampling


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The goal of sampling is to provide enough information to make inferences on the characteristics of a population. It therefore is necessary to select a sample that reproduces these characteristics as accurately as possible.
Attempts are made to reduce the potential error due to sampling as much as possible. Buteven if this sampling error is inevitable, it is tolerated because it is largely compensated by the advantages of the sampling method. These are:

## - Cost reduction

In view of all the costs that can be incurred during data collection, they can obviously be reduced by sampling.

- Time savings

The speed of decision making can only be ensured if the study is constrained to a sample.

## - Increased possibilities

In certain fields, a complete analysis on an entire population is impossible; the choice, then, is between using sampling or shelving the study.

- Precise results

Since one of the goals of sampling is to recreate a representative image of the characteristics of a population, larger
samples would not bring results that are significantly more precise.
Only random sampling methods can evaluate the error due to sampling because it is based on the calculation of probabilities.

## FURTHER READING

- Cluster sampling
- Data collection
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Quota sampling
- Simple random sampling
- Stratified sampling
- Systematic sampling
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## Sampling Distribution

A sampling distribution is a distribution of a statistic $T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, where $T($.$) is$ a function of the observed values of the random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider, a population of size $N$ and of parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$ ( $\mu$ being the unknown mean of the population and $\sigma$ its standard deviation). We take a sample of size $n$ from this population. The number of possible samples of size $n$ is given by the number of combinations of $n$ objects among $N$ :

$$
k=C_{N}^{n}=\frac{N!}{(N-n)!\cdot n!}
$$

Each sample can be characterized, for example, by its sample mean denoted by:

$$
\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k} .
$$

The set of these $k$ means forms the sampling distribution of the means.
We will adopt the following notations to characterize the mean and standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means:

Mean: $\mu_{\bar{x}}$ and standard deviation: $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$.

## Mean of Sampling Distribution of Means

Consider $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ independent random variables distributed according to a distribution with a mean $\mu$ and a variance $\sigma^{2}$. By definition of the arithmetic mean, the mean of the sampling distribution of the means is
equal to:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{x}_{i}}{k}
$$

where $k$ is the number of samples of size $n$ that it is possible to form from the population.
The expected value of the mean of the sampling distribution of the means is equal to the mean of the population $\mu$ :

$$
E\left[\mu_{\bar{x}}\right]=\mu .
$$

Because:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\mu_{\bar{x}}\right] & =E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{x}_{i}}{k}\right]=\frac{1}{k} \cdot E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{x}_{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left[\bar{x}_{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}}{n}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[x_{j}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \mu\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \cdot k \cdot \mu=\mu \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

## Standard Deviation of Sampling

 Distribution of MeansBy definition, the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means, also
called the standard error, is equal to:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\mu_{\bar{x}}\right)^{2}}{k}} .
$$

In the same way that there exists a relation between the mean of the sampling distribution of the means and the mean of the population, there also exists a relation between the standard error and the standard deviation of the population:

- In the case of an exhaustive sampling (without replacement) on a finite population, the standard error of the mean is given by:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population.

- If the population is infinite, or if the studied sampling is not exhaustive (with replacement), the standard error of the mean is equal to the standard deviation of the population divided by the square root of the sample size:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

## Characteristics of Sampling Distribution of Means

- If $n$ is large enough ( $n \geq 30$ ), the sampling distribution of the means approximately follows a normal distribution, whatever the population distribution.
- If the population is distributed according to a normal distribution, then the sampling distribution of the means always follows a normal distribution, whatever the size of the sample.
- The sampling distribution of the means is always symmetric around its mean.
Similar considerations can be made for statistics other than the arithmetic mean. Consider the distribution of the variances obtained from all the possible samples of size $n$, taken from a normal population with a variance $\sigma^{2}$. This distribution is called the sampling distribution of the variances. One of the characteristics of this distribution is that it can only take nonnegative values, the variance $s^{2}$ being defined by a sum of squares. The sampling distribution of the variances is related to the chi-square distribution by the following relation:

$$
s^{2}=\frac{\chi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{v}=\frac{\chi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{n-1}
$$

where $\chi^{2}$ is a random variable distributed according to a chi-square distribution with $v=n-1$ degrees of freedom.
Knowing the expected value and the variance of a chi-square distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\chi^{2}\right] & =v \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(\chi^{2}\right) & =2 v
\end{aligned}
$$

we can determine these same characteristics for the distribution of $s^{2}$ in the case where the samples come from an infinite population.

## Mean of Sampling Distribution of

## Variances

The mean of the distribution of $s^{2}$ is equal to the variance of the population:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{s^{2}} & =E\left[s^{2}\right]=E\left[\frac{\chi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{v}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{v}\right) \cdot E\left[\chi^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $\sigma^{2} / v$ is a constant)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{v}\right) \cdot v \\
& =\sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance of Sampling Distribution of Variances

The variance of the distribution of $s^{2}$ depends not only on the variance of the population $\sigma^{2}$, but also on the size of the samples $n=v+1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{s^{2}}^{2} & =\operatorname{Var}\left(s^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{\chi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{v}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma^{4}}{v^{2}}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(\chi^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\sigma^{4}}{v^{2}}\right) \cdot 2 v \\
& =2 \cdot \frac{\sigma^{4}}{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The study of the sampling distribution of a statistic allows one to judge the proximity of the statistic measured on a single sample with an unknown parameter of the population. It therefore also allows to specify the error margins of the estimators, calculated from the sample itself.
The notion of the sampling distribution is therefore at the base of the construction of confidence intervals, indicating the degree of precision of an estimator, as well as the realization of hypothesis testing on the unknown parameters of a population.

## EXAMPLES

Using an example, we will show the relation existing between the mean of a sampling distribution and the mean of a population and the relation between the standard error and the standard deviation of that population.

Consider a population of five stores whose average price on a certain product we want to know. The following table gives the price of the product for each store belonging to the population:

| Store no. | Price |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30.50 |
| 2 | 32.00 |
| 3 | 37.50 |
| 4 | 30.00 |
| 5 | 33.00 |

The mean of the population is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_{i}}{5} \\
& =\frac{30.50+32+37.50+30+33}{5} \\
& =32.60 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By selecting samples of size 3 , we can determine the different sampling means that can result from a random selection of the sample. We obtain:
$k=C_{5}^{3}=\frac{5!}{3!\cdot 2!}=10$ possible samples.
The following table represents the different samples and their respective sampling means:

| No. of stores in sample | Sampling <br> means $\bar{x}_{i}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $1,2,3$ | $33.333 .$. |
| 2. | $1,2,4$ | $30.833 .$. |
| 3. | $1,2,5$ | $31.833 .$. |
| 4. | $1,3,4$ | $32.666 .$. |
| 5. | $1,3,5$ | $33.666 .$. |
| 6. | $1,4,5$ | $31.166 .$. |


| No. of stores in sample | Sampling <br> means $\bar{x}_{i}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | $2,3,4$ | $33.166 .$. |
| 8. | $2,3,5$ | $34.166 .$. |
| 9. | $2,4,5$ | $31.666 .$. |
| 10. | $3,4,5$ | 33.500 |
|  | Total | 326.000 |

From the data in this table we can calculate the mean of the sampling distribution of the means:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{x}_{i}}{k}=\frac{326.00}{10}=32.60 .
$$

In this example, we notice that the mean of the sampling distribution is equal to the mean of the population:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\mu
$$

We can proceed in the same way to verify the relation between the standard error and the standard deviation of the population. By definition, the standard deviation of the population is calculated as follows:

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{N}} .
$$

According to the data of the first table, we obtain:

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{35.70}{5}}=2.6721
$$

By the same definition, we can calculate the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\mu_{\bar{x}}\right)^{2}}{k}}
$$

$$
=\sqrt{\frac{11.899}{10}}=1.0908
$$

The following table presents the details of the calculations:

| No. of stores in sample | Sampling means $\bar{x}_{i}$ | $\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\mu_{\bar{x}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. $1,2,3$ | 33.333.. | 0.538 |
| 2. $1,2,4$ | 30.833.. | 3.121 |
| 3. $1,2,5$ | 31.833.. | 0.588 |
| 4. $1,3,4$ | 32.666.. | 0.004 |
| 5. $1,3,5$ | 33.666.. | 1.138 |
| 6. $1,4,5$ | 31.166.. | 2.054 |
| 7. $2,3,4$ | 33.166.. | 0.321 |
| 8. $2,3,5$ | 34.166.. | 2.454 |
| 9. $2,4,5$ | 31.666.. | 0.871 |
| 10. $3,4,5$ | 33.500 | 0.810 |
| Total | 326.000 | 11.899 |

According to the obtained results:

$$
\sigma=2.6721 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\bar{x}}=1.0908
$$

we can verify the following equality:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}
$$

because $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}=\frac{2.6721}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{5-3}{5-1}}=$ 1.0908. These results allow us to conclude that by knowing the parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$ of the population, we can evaluate the corresponding characteristics of the sampling distribution of the means. Conversely, we can also determine the parameters of the population by knowing the characteristics of the sampling distribution.

## FURTHER READING

- Confidence interval
- Estimation
- Hypothesis testing
- Standard error


## Scatterplot

A scatterplot is obtained by transcribing the data of a sample onto a graphic. In two dimensions, a scatterplot can represent $n$ pairs of observations $\left(x_{i} ; y_{i}\right), i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A scatterplot is obtained by placing the pairs of observed values in an axis system.
For two variables $X$ and $Y$, the pairs of corresponding points $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots$, $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ are placed in a rectangular axis system.
The dependent variable $Y$ is usually plotted on the vertical axis (ordinate) and the independent variable $X$ on the horizontal axis (abscissa).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The scatterplot is a very useful and powerful tool often used in regression analysis. Each point represents a pair of observed values of the dependent variable and of the independent variable. It allows to determine graphically if there exists a relation between two variables before choosing an appropriate model. Moreover, these scatterplots are very useful in residual analysis since they allow one to verify if the model is appropriate or not.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following two examples of scatterplots representing pairs of observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ relative to two quantitative variables $X$ and $Y$ :
In this example, the distribution of the observations seems to indicate a linear relation between the two variables:


The distribution of the observations in the following graphic seems to indicate a nonlinear relation between $X$ and $Y$ :


## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Regression analysis


## Scheffé, Henry

Scheffé, Henry was born in 1907 in New York. Scheffé, Henry's doctoral dissertation, "The Asymptotic Solutions of Certain Linear Differential Equations in Which the Coefficient of the Parameter May Have a Zero", was supervised by Langer, Rudolph E. Immediately he began his career as a university professor of mathematics at the University of Wisconsin, then at Oregon State University as well as at Reed College outside
of Portland. In 1941 he joined Princeton University, where a statistics team had grown up. A second career started for him as a university professor of statistics. He was on the faculty at Syracuse University in the 1944-1945 academic year and at the University of California at Los Angeles from 1946 until 1948. He left Los Angeles to go to Columbia University, where he became chair of the Statistics Department. After 5 years at Columbia, Scheffé, Henry went to Berkeley as professor of statistics in 1953.
Scheffé, H.'s research was mainly concerned with the analysis of variance. One of his most important papers appeared in 1953 on the S-method of simultaneous confidence intervals for estimable functions in a subspace of the parameter space. He also studied paired comparisons and mixed models. In 1958 and again in 1963 he published papers on experiments on mixtures and in 1973 wrote on calibration methods.

Scheffé, H. became a fellow of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in 1944, the American Statistical Association in 1952, and the International Statistical Institute in 1964. He was elected president of the International Statistical Institute and vice president of the American Statistical Association. He died in California in 1979.

## Selected works of Henry Scheffé:

1959 The analysis of variance. Wiley, New York.

1952 An analysis of variance for paired comparisons. J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 47, 381-400.

1953 A method for judging all contrasts in the analysis of variance. Biometrika, 40, 87-104.

1956 Alternative models for the analysis of variance. Ann. Math. Stat., 27, 251271.

1973 A statistical theory of calibration. Ann. Stat., 1:1-37.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Least significant difference test


## Seasonal Index

Consider a time series $Y_{t}$ whose components are:

- Secular trend $T_{t}$
- Cyclical fluctuations $C_{t}$
- Seasonal variations $S_{t}$
- Irregular variations $I_{t}$

The influence of seasonal variations must be neutral over an entire year, and seasonal variations $S_{t}$ theoretically repeat themselves in an identical way from period to period (which is not verified in a real case).
To satisfy the requirements of the theoretical model and to be able to study the real time series, periodical variations that are identical each year (month by month or trimester by trimester), called seasonal variations, must be estimated instead of the observed $S_{t}$. They are denoted by $S_{j}$, where $j$ varies as follows:
$j=\mathbf{1}$ up to 12 for months (over $n$ years) or
$j=1$ up to 4 for trimesters (over $n$ years).
Notice that over $n$ years, there only exist 12 seasonal index numbers concerning the months and 4 concerning the trimesters.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

There are many methods for calculating the seasonal indices:

## 1. Percentage of mean

The data corresponding to each month are expressed as percentages of the mean. We calculate it using the mean (without taking into account extreme values) or the median of the percentages of each month for the different years. Taking the mean for each month, we get 12 percentages for given indices. If the mean does not equal $100 \%$, we should make an adjustment by multiplying the indices by a convenient factor.
Consider a monthly time series over $n$ years, denoted by $Y_{i, j}$, where $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, 12$ for the different months and $i=1, \ldots, n$, indicated by year.
First, we group the data in a table of the type:

|  |  | Month |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | January | $\ldots$ | December |  |
| Year | 1 | $\ldots$ | 12 |  |
| 1 | $Y_{1,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $Y_{1,12}$ |  |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| $n$ | $Y_{n, 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $Y_{n, 12}$ |  |

Then we calculate the annual means for the $n$ years:

$$
M_{i}=\frac{\bar{Y}_{i, .}}{12}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Thus we calculate for each datum:

$$
Q_{i, j}=\frac{Y_{i, j}}{M_{i}} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

and $j=1, \ldots, 12$, which we report in the following table:


In each column we include the arithmetic mean, denoted by $\bar{Q}_{i, \text {, }}$, and we calculate the sum of these 12 means; if it does not equal 1200 , then we introduce a correction factor:

$$
k=\frac{1200}{\sum_{i=1}^{12} \bar{Q}_{i, .}}
$$

Finally, the seasonal indices are given for each month by the mean multiplied by $k$.
2. Tendency ratio

The data of each month are expressed as percentages of the monthly value of the secular tendency. The index is obtained from an appropriate mean of the percentages of the corresponding months. We should adjust the results if the mean does not equal $100 \%$ to obtain the desired seasonal indices.

## 3. Moving average ratio

We calculate a moving average on 12 months. As the corresponding results are extended over many months, instead of being in the middle of the month as in the original data, we calculate the moving average over 2 months of the moving average of 12 months. This is what we call a centered moving average over 12 months.
Then we express the original data of each month as percentages of the corresponding centered moving average over 12 months. We take the mean of the corresponding percentages of each month, which gives the indices. We should then adjust at $100 \%$ to obtain the desired seasonal indices.
4. Relative chains

The data of each month are expressed as percentages of the data of the previous month (whence the expression relative chain). We calculate an appropriate
mean of the chains for the corresponding months. We can obtain the relative percentages of each month relative to those of January $(=100 \%)$. We find then that the following January will ordinarily have a percentage equal to, less than, or greater than $100 \%$. Thus the tendency is either increasing or decreasing. The indices finally obtained, adjusted for their mean equal to $100 \%$, give the desired seasonal indices.

## EXAMPLES

We consider the time series of any phenomenon; we have trimestrial data over 6 years, summarized in the following table:

| Year | 1st <br> trim. | 2nd <br> trim. | 3rd <br> trim. | 4th <br> trim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 19.65 | 16.35 | 21.30 | 14.90 |
| 2 | 28.15 | 25.00 | 29.85 | 23.40 |
| 3 | 36.75 | 33.60 | 38.55 | 32.10 |
| 4 | 45.30 | 42.25 | 47.00 | 40.65 |
| 5 | 54.15 | 51.00 | 55.75 | 49.50 |
| 6 | 62.80 | 59.55 | 64.40 | 58.05 |

We determine the seasonal indices using the percentage of the mean:
First we calculate the arithmetic means for 6 years:

| Year | Sum | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 72.2 | 18.05 |
| 2 | 106.4 | 26.6 |
| 3 | 141.0 | 35.25 |
| 4 | 175.2 | 43.8 |
| 5 | 210.4 | 52.6 |
| 6 | 244.8 | 61.2 |

We divide the lines of the initial table by the trimestrial mean of the corresponding year and multiply by 100 .

Then, if the results show strong enough deviations for the obtained values for the same trimester, it is better to take the median instead of the arithmetic mean to obtain the significative numbers.

| Year | 1st <br> trim. | 2nd <br> trim. | 3rd <br> trim. | 4th <br> trim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 108.86 | 90.58 | 118.01 | 82.55 |
| 2 | 105.83 | 93.98 | 112.22 | 87.97 |
| 3 | 104.26 | 95.32 | 109.36 | 91.06 |
| 4 | 103.42 | 96.46 | 107.31 | 92.81 |
| 5 | 102.95 | 96.96 | 105.99 | 94.11 |
| 6 | 102.61 | 97.30 | 105.23 | 94.85 |
| Median | 103.84 | 95.89 | 108.33 | 91.94 |

The median percentage for each trimester is given in the last line of the previous table. The sum of these percentages is exactly $400 \%$, an adjustment is not necessary, and the numbers that we have in the last line represent the desired seasonal indices.
We have seen that there are three other methods to determine the seasonal indices, and they give the following values for the same problem:

|  | Trimester |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Percentage <br> of mean | 103.84 | 95.89 | 108.33 | 91.94 |
| Tendency <br> ratio | 112.62 | 98.08 | 104.85 | 84.45 |
| Ratio of <br> moving <br> average | 111.56 | 98.87 | 106.14 | 83.44 |
| Chains | 112.49 | 98.24 | 104.99 | 84.28 |

As we see, the results agree one with another, despite the diversity of the methods used to determine them.

## FURTHER READING

- Cyclical fluctuation
- Irregular variation
- Seasonal variation
- Secular trend
- Time series


## Seasonal Variation

Seasonal variations form one of the basic components of the time series. They are variations of a periodic nature that recur regularly. In an economic context, seasonal variations can be caused by the following events:

- Climatic conditions
- Customs appropriate for a population
- Religious holidays

These are periodical fluctuations more or less regular that are superimposed on extraseasonal movement.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The evaluation of seasonal variations is made by determining an index of seasonal variation, called the seasonal index.

## EXAMPLES

We consider the time series of any phenomenon; we have trimestrial data taken over a 6 years, summarized in the following table:

| Year | 1st <br> trim. | 2nd <br> trim. | 3rd <br> trim. | 4th <br> trim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 19.65 | 16.35 | 21.30 | 14.90 |
| 2 | 28.15 | 25.00 | 29.85 | 23.40 |
| 3 | 36.75 | 33.60 | 38.55 | 32.10 |


| Year | 1st <br> trim. | 2nd <br> trim. | 3rd <br> trim. | 4th <br> trim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 45.30 | 42.25 | 47.00 | 40.65 |
| 5 | 54.15 | 51.00 | 55.75 | 49.50 |
| 6 | 62.80 | 59.55 | 64.40 | 58.05 |

We determine the seasonal indices using the percentage of the mean:
First, we calculate the trimestrial arithmetic means for the 6 years:

| Year | Sum | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 72.2 | 18.05 |
| 2 | 106.4 | 26.6 |
| 3 | 141.0 | 35.25 |
| 4 | 175.2 | 43.8 |
| 5 | 210.4 | 52.6 |
| 6 | 244.8 | 61.2 |

We divide the lines of the initial table by the trimestrial mean of the corresponding year and multiply by 100 .
Then, if results show large enough deviations for the obtained values for the same trimester, it is better to take the median instead to obtain more significative numbers.

| Year | 1st <br> trim. | 2nd <br> trim. | 3rd <br> trim. | 4th <br> trim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 108.86 | 90.58 | 118.01 | 82.55 |
| 2 | 105.83 | 93.98 | 112.22 | 87.97 |
| 3 | 104.26 | 95.32 | 109.36 | 91.06 |
| 4 | 103.42 | 96.46 | 107.31 | 92.81 |
| 5 | 102.95 | 96.96 | 105.99 | 94.11 |
| 6 | 102.61 | 97.30 | 105.23 | 94.85 |
| Median | 103.84 | 95.89 | 108.33 | 91.94 |

The median percentage for each trimester is given by the last line of the previous table. Since these percentages add up to exactly $400 \%$, an adjustment is not necessary, and
the numbers in the last line represent the desired seasonal indices.
We have seen that there exist other methods to determine the seasonal indices; they give the following values for the same problem:

|  | Trimester |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Percentage <br> of mean | 103.84 | 95.89 | 108.33 | 91.94 |
| Tendency <br> ratio | 112.62 | 98.08 | 104.85 | 84.45 |
| Ratio of <br> moving avg. <br> Chains | 111.56 | 98.87 | 106.14 | 83.44 |

We see that the results agree with one another, despite the diversity of the methods used to determine them.

## FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Cyclical fluctuation
- Forecasting
- Index number
- Moving average
- Seasonal index
- Secular trend
- Time series
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## Secular Trend

The secular trend forms one of the four basic components of the time series. It describes the movement over the long term of a time series that globally can be increasing, decreasing, or stable.

The secular trend can be linear or not. In the last case, we should choose the type of function (exponential, squared, logistic, etc.) that is best adapted to the observed distribution on the graphical representation of the time series before estimating the secular trend.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y_{t}$ be a time series. It can be decomposed with the help of four components:

- Secular trend $T_{t}$
- Cyclic fluctuation $C_{t}$
- Seasonal variations $S_{t}$
- Irregular variations $I_{t}$

During the study of the time series, we start by analyzing the secular trend, first deciding if this trend can be supposed linear or not. If we can suppose it is linear, the evaluation of the secular trend can be made in many ways:

1. Graphical method or the raised hand

After making a graphical representa-
tion of the time series, it consists in adjusting a line or a curve of trend at raised hand on the graph. This is the approximation of the secular trend.
2. Least-squares method

This allows to mathematically identify the line representing the best data, that is:

$$
Y_{t}=a+b \cdot t
$$

where $Y_{t}$ is the value of the time series at a given time, $a$ is the value of the line when $t$ is at the origin, $b$ is the slope of the line, and $t$ corresponds to another value in the entire chosen period.
The line of the least squares $\hat{Y}_{t}$ corresponds to the estimation of the secular trend $T_{t}$.

## Calculation of secular trend

Supposing that the time of the time series is the year, we start from the idea of moving the origin to the corresponding year in the middle of the years in which the measurements were made.
a. Even number of years $n$

Let $j=n-1 / 2$, and let us set $x_{i}=$ $t_{i}-t_{j}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$; the middle of the year $t_{j}$ is the new origin and the unit of the new variable $x$ is the year.
Thus

$$
\hat{a}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}}{n} \text { and } \hat{b}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}
$$

gives us $\hat{Y}_{t}=\hat{a}+\hat{b} x$ or $\hat{Y}_{t}=\left(\hat{a}-\hat{b} t_{j}\right)+$ $\hat{b} t$, where $t$ is the year without changing the origin.
b. Odd number of years $n$

Let $j=n / 2+1$, where $t_{j}$ is the new origin, set $x_{i}=2 \cdot\left(t_{i}-t_{j}\right)+1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Thus

$$
\hat{a}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}}{n} \text { and } \quad \hat{b}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum x_{i}^{2}}
$$

give us $\hat{Y}_{t}=\hat{a}+\hat{b} x$. The unity $x$ is the half year counted from 1 January of year $t_{j}$.
3. Moving average method

Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \ldots$ be a given time series. Construct new series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{Y_{1}+\ldots+Y_{n}}{n} ; \frac{Y_{2}+\ldots+Y_{n+1}}{n}, \ldots \\
& \frac{Y_{j}+\ldots+Y_{n+j-1}}{n}, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

whose estimation of the secular trend is made by one of the two previous methods.

## 4. Semimeans methods

Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be a given time series. Denote by $k$ the integer part of $\frac{n}{2}$.
We calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=\frac{Y_{1}+\ldots+Y_{k}}{k} \text { and } \\
& y_{2}=\frac{Y_{k+1}+\ldots+Y_{n}}{n-k} \\
& x_{1}=\frac{k+1}{2} \text { and } \\
& x_{2}=\frac{n+k+1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and determine the line of secular trend passing through two points:

$$
\left(x_{1} ; y_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(x_{2} ; y_{2}\right) .
$$

When the secular trend cannot be supposed linear, we refine the least-squares method. We should apply it replacing $Y_{t}=a+b t$ by another trend curve as, for example:

- An exponential: $Y_{t}=a \cdot b^{t}$
- A modified exponential: $Y_{t}=c+a \cdot b^{t}$
- A logistic curve: $Y_{t}=\left(c+a \cdot b^{t}\right)^{-1}$
- A Gompertz curve: $Y_{t}=c \cdot a^{b^{x}}$


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The analysis of secular trend has the following goals:

- Create a descriptive model of a past situation.
- Make projections of constant structure.
- Eliminate secular trends to study other components of the time series.
The four methods of estimation of the secular trend have certain disadvantages. Let us mention the principal ones for each:


## 1. Graphical method <br> Too subjective.

## 2. Least-squares method

This method can be used only for a period where the movement is completely in the same direction. When the first part corresponds to an ascending movement and another part to a descending movement, two long lines will have to be created to adjust to these data, each referring to a period with a unique direction.
These lines have some interesting properties:

- We find on the same graph the set of values $Y_{i}$ of the time series and the trend line. The sum of deviations between the observed values $Y_{i}$ and the estimate of the secular trend $\hat{Y}_{i}$ will always equal zero:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)=0 .
$$

- The trend line will minimize the sum of deviations:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\text { minimal value } .
$$

3. Moving average method

The data of the beginning and end of the initial time series are "lost". Moving averages can create cycles and other movements not present in the original data; moreover, they are strongly affected by accidental outliers. This method allows to reveal changes in direction.
4. Semimeans method

Even if this method is simple to apply, it can give results without value. Thought valid in the case when data can be classed into two groups where the tendencies are linear, the method is applicable only when the tendency is globally linear or approximately linear. If the procedure is an easy application, it is not very rigorous.

## EXAMPLES

Let us estimate the secular trend of the annual sales of the Surfin cookie factory using the following data:

Annual sales of Surfin cookie factory (in millions of euros)

| Year |
| :--- |
| 1975 | Sales (mill. \$)

Let us use the least-squares method:
Let us move the origin to the middle of the 7 years, which is 1978 . We complete the following table:

| Year | Sales <br> (mill. euros) | Code <br> year |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| 1975 | 7.6 | -3 | -22.2 | 9 |
| 1976 | 6.8 | -2 | -13.6 | 4 |
| 1977 | 8.4 | -1 | -8.4 | 1 |
| 1978 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 12.1 | 1 | 12.1 | 1 |
| 1980 | 11.9 | 2 | 23.8 | 4 |
| 1981 | 12.3 | 3 | 36.9 | 9 |
| Total | 68.4 | 0 | 28.0 | 28 |

Set $t_{i}=X_{i}-1978$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 7$. The middle of 1978 is the new origin, and the unit of $t$ is the year.
Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a} & =\frac{\sum_{t=-3}^{3} Y_{t}}{7}=\frac{68.4}{7} \\
& =9.7714 \text { (million euros) and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{b}=\frac{\sum_{t=-3}^{3} t Y_{t}}{\sum_{t=-3}^{3} t^{2}}=\frac{28}{28}=1.0$ (million euros),
which gives us $T_{t}=\hat{Y}_{t}=9.7714+t$ for the equation of the line of secular trend.
This gives graphically:


## FURTHER READING

- Cyclical fluctuation
- Graphical representation
- Least squares
- Moving average
- Time series
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## Semilogarithmic Plot

A semilogarithmic plot is a graphical representation of a time series. It is defined by an arithmetic scale of time $t$ plotted on the abscissa axis and a logarithmic scale plotted on the ordinate axis, meaning that the logarithm of the observed value $Y_{t}$ will be transcribed in ordinate on an arithmetic scale. In general, printed semilogarithmic sheets of paper are used.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

On an axis system, an origin and a unit length are arbitrarily chosen; the abscissa is arithmetically graduated as on millimeter paper.
On the ordinate axis, the unit length chosen by the maker of the semilogarithmic paper, which corresponds to the distance between two successive powers of 10 on the logarithmic scale, can be modified. This distance, which is the unit length of the corresponding arithmetic scale, is called the module.
The values printed in the margin of the logarithmic scale can be multiplied by the same number; this multiplicative constant is chosen to be as simple as possible, in such a way that the obtained values are easy to use for the transcription of the points on the plot.
Commercially available semilogarithmic sheets of paper usually have one, two, three, or four modules.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The semilogarithmic plot has the following properties.

- The exponential curves $Y$ are represented by a line. If $y(x)$ is an exponential:

$$
y(x)=c \cdot a^{x},
$$

by taking the logarithm:
$\log y(x)=\log c+x \cdot \log a$
where $\log y(x)=m \cdot x+h$
where $\quad m=\log a$ and $h=\log c$,
we find a linear expression in $x$.

- If $a=1+i$, where $i$ is the annual interest rate of $Y$ and with an initial sum of money
of $Y_{0}$, then:

$$
Y=Y_{0} \cdot(1+i)^{x}
$$

gives the sum of money after $x$ years.
It is also possible to work in Napierian base (e) since $a^{x}=\mathrm{e}^{x \cdot \ln a}$ and therefore $y(x)=c \cdot \mathrm{e}^{x \cdot \ln a}$ or $y(x)=c \cdot \mathrm{e}^{r \cdot x}$, where $r=\ln a$ is called the instant growth rate of $y$. In this case, it is the ratio of the instant variation $\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} x}$ to the value of $y$.
The use of the semilogarithmic plot is judicious in the following situations:

- When there exist great differences in values in the variable to avoid going outside the plot.
- When one wants to make relative variations appear.
- When cumulations of growth rates, which would make exponentials appear, must be represented in a linear fashion.


## FURTHER READING

- Graphical representation
- Time series


## Serial Correlation

We call serial correlation or autocorrelation the dependence between the observations of time series.

## HISTORY

See time series.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ observations of a random variable $X$ that depends on time (we are speaking here of time series). To measure the dependence between observations and time,
we use the following coefficient:

$$
d=\frac{\sum_{t=2}^{n}\left(x_{t}-x_{t-1}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} x_{t}^{2}}
$$

which indicates the relation between the two consecutive observations. This coefficient takes values between 0 and 4 . If it is close to 0 , so that the difference between two successive observations is minimal, we speak about a positive autocorrelation. If it is close to 4 , then we have a negative autocorrelation: an observation with a large value has a tendency to be followed by a low value and vice versa. In the case where observations are independent of time, the coefficient $d$ has a value close to 2.
We can also measure the serial correlation of the observations $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ calculating the coefficient of correlation between the series of $x_{t}$ and those of $x_{t}$ "moved on $k$ ":

$$
r_{k}=\frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)\left(x_{t-k}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

and, analyzing in the same way, measure the serial correlation for the coefficient of correlation of two different series.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

## Autoregressive Models

When a serial correlation is observed between the residuals of a model of simple linear regression, convenient models of the domain of time series can be used with success, for example, models of the type

$$
Y_{t}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}
$$

where the terms of error $\varepsilon_{t}$ are not independent but are described by a relation of the type

$$
\varepsilon_{t}=\rho_{s} \varepsilon_{t-1}+\delta_{t},
$$

where $\rho_{s}$ can be estimated by the serial correlation of the $\varepsilon_{t}$ and where the $\delta_{t}$ are independent errors.

## EXAMPLES

Graph of Residuals as Functions of Time This type of graph is especially used in the analysis of time series, that is, when time is an explanatory variable of the model. Residuals relative to time.


A graphical representation of residuals relative to time always entails the appearance of a serial correlation, positive or negative, as in the case of the figure above. A positive or negative serial correlation implies that the errors are not independent.
Residuals representing a serial correlation.
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## Sign Test

The sign test is a nonparametric test and can be used to test the hypothesis that there is no difference between the distribution of two random variables. We owe its name to the fact that it uses " + " and " - " signs instead of quantitative values. Thus it is applicable even when a series is of an ordinal measure.

## HISTORY

In what surely was the first publication about nonparametric tests, Arbuthnott, J. (1710) studied the list of births registered in London in a period of 82 years. For each year he compared the number of children born of each sex. He denoted by " + " the event "more boys than girls are born" and by "-" the opposite event. (There was no equality).
To his greatest surprise, 82 times he came up with a " + " sign and never a "-" sign; this made him reject the null hypothesis of an equality of births relative to the sex of the child.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider $n$ pairs of observations ( $x_{1}, y_{1}$ ), $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. For each pair $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$,

## FURTHER READING

- Autocorrelation
- Hypothesis testing
- Time series
we make the following comparison according to the dimension of $x_{i}$ relative to $y_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
"+" & \text { if } x_{i}<y_{i} \\
"-" & \text { if } x_{i}>y_{i} \\
"=" & \text { if } x_{i}=y_{i}
\end{array}
$$

We subtract from the dimension of the sample the number of "=" signs that appear, that is, we take into account only the pairs that represent a positive or negative difference. We denote by $m$ this number of pairs.
We count then the number of "+" signs, which we designate by $T$.

## Hypotheses

According to the test, one-tailed or twotailed, the null and alternative hypotheses corresponding to the test are:
A: Two-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y)=P(X>Y) \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y) \neq P(X>Y)
\end{array}
$$

B: One-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y) \leq P(X>Y) \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y)>P(X>Y)
\end{array}
$$

C: One-sided case:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & P(X<Y) \geq P(X>Y) \\
H_{1}: & P(X<Y)<P(X>Y)
\end{array}
$$

In case $A$, we make the null hypothesis $\left(H_{0}\right)$ that the probability that $X$ is smaller than $Y$ is the same as the probability that $X$ is greater than $Y$.
In case $B$, we suppose a priori that the probability that $X$ is smaller than $Y$ is smaller than or equal to the probability that $X$ is greater than $Y$.
Finally, in case $C$, we suppose a priori that the probability that $X$ is smaller than $Y$ is
greater than or equal to the probability that $X$ is greater than $Y$.
With the two-tail case, the probability that $X$ is smaller than $Y$ (as that of $X>Y$ ) equals $\frac{1}{2}$. The sign test is a particular case of the binomial test with $p=\frac{1}{2}$, that is, that under the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ we want to get as many "+" as "-".

## Decision Rules

Case A
We use the binomial table and we search for the number $X$ with corresponding binomial probability equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.
We should find in the table the value closest to $\frac{\alpha}{2}$, where $\alpha$ is the significance level.
We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if:

$$
T \leq t_{\alpha / 2} \quad \text { or } \quad T \geq m-t_{\alpha / 2}
$$

with $T=$ number of " + ".
When $m>20$, we can use the normal table as an approximation of the binomial distri-

## bution.

We transform statistic $T$ into a random variable $Z$ following a standard normal distribution:

$$
Z=\frac{T-\mu}{\sigma},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =m \cdot p=\frac{1}{2} m \\
\text { and } \quad \sigma & =\sqrt{m \cdot p \cdot q}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

are the mean and the standard deviation of the binomial distribution.
Thus we obtain:

$$
Z=\frac{T-\frac{1}{2} m}{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m}}
$$

The approximation of the value of the binomial table is then:

$$
t_{\alpha / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{m}\right),
$$

where $z_{\alpha / 2}$ is to to be found in the normal table at the level $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then, the decision rule is the same.

## Case B

We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if:

$$
T \geq m-t_{\alpha},
$$

where $t_{\alpha}$ is the value of the corresponding binomial table and the significance level $\alpha$ (or the closest value).
For $m>20$, we make the approximation

$$
t_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{m}\right),
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ is to be found in the normal table at the level $\alpha$.

## Case C

We reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if:

$$
T \leq t_{\alpha}
$$

where the value of $t_{\alpha}$ is the same as for case $B$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The requirements of the use of the sign test are the following:

1. The pairs of random variables $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right), i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ must be mutually independent.
2. The scale of measure must be at least ordinal, that is, a " + ", " - ", or " $=$ " sign can be associated to each pair.
3. The pairs $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ must represent a logic between them, that is, if $P("+$ " $)>P\left("-\right.$ ") for one pair $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$, then $P($ " + " $)>P($ " - ") for all the other pairs.
The same principle applies if $P($ " + " $)<$ $P("-")$ or $P("+$ " $)=P("-")$.

## EXAMPLES

A manufacturer of chocolate is studying a new type of packaging for one of his products. To this end, he proposes to 10 consumers to rate the old and new packaging on a scale of 1 to 6 (with 1 meaning "strongly dislike" and 6 meaning "strongly like").
We perform a one-sided test (corresponding to case $C$ ) where the null hypothesis that we want to test is written:

$$
H_{0}: \quad P("+") \geq P("-")
$$

relative to the alternative hypothesis:

$$
H_{1}: \quad P\left("+{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)<P("-") .
$$

The " + " sign means that the new packaging is preferred to the old one.
The results obtained are:

| Con- <br> sumer | old <br> packaging | Rank <br> new <br> packaging | Sign of <br> differ- <br> ence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 5 | + |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | + |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $=$ |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | - |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | $=$ |
| 6 | 2 | 6 | + |
| 7 | 4 | 6 | + |
| 8 | 4 | 5 | + |
| 9 | 2 | 3 | + |
| 10 | 1 | 4 | + | there was no difference between the new and old packaging. So there are eight differences ( $m=8$ ) among which the new packaging was, in seven cases, preferred over the old one. Thus we have $T=7$ (number of "+").

So we reject $H_{0}$ at the level $\alpha$ if

$$
T \leq t_{\alpha},
$$

where $t_{\alpha}$ is the value of the binomial table. We choose $\alpha=0.05$; the value of $t_{\alpha}$ is 1 (for $m=8$ and $\alpha=0.0352$ ). Thus we have $T>t_{\alpha}$ because $T=7$ and $t_{\alpha}=1$. We do not reject the $H_{0}$ hypothesis: $P($ " + " $) \geq P($ " - " $)$, and the chocolate manufacturer may conclude that consumers generally prefer the new packaging.
We take once more the same example, but this time the study is conducted on 100 consumers. The assumed results are the following:

83 people prefer the new packaging.
7 people prefer the old packaging.
10 people are indifferent.
Thus we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =100-10=90 \\
T & =83
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $t_{\alpha}$ can be approximated by the normal distribution:

$$
t_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+z_{\alpha} \sqrt{m}\right)
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ is the value of the normal table for $\alpha=0.05$. This gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{2}(90-1.64 \sqrt{90}) \\
& =37.22
\end{aligned}
$$

If $T$ is greater than $t_{\alpha}(83>37.22)$, then the null hypothesis $H_{0}: P\left("+{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq P\left({ }^{\prime}-\right.$ " $)$ is not rejected and the chocolate manufacturer draws the same conclusion as before.

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial table
- Binomial test
- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
- Normal distribution
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## Significance Level

The significance level is a parameter of a hypothesis test, and its value is fixed by the user in advance.
The significance level of a hypothesis test, denoted by $\alpha$, is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ when it is true:

$$
\alpha=P\left\{\text { reject } H_{0} \mid H_{0} \text { true }\right\}
$$

The significance level is also called the probability of Type I error.

## HISTORY

In 1928, Jerzy Neyman and Egon Pearson discussed the problems related to whether or not a sample may be judged as likely to have been drawn from a certain population. They identified two types of error associated with possible decisions. The probability of the type-I error (rejecting the hypothesis given it is true) corresponds to the significance level of the test.
See hypothesis testing.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Concerned with the possibility of error by rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true, statisticians construct a hypothesis test such that the probability of error of this type does not exceed a fixed value, given in advance. This value corresponds to the significance level of the test.

Note that statisticians conducting a hypothesis test must confront the second type of error, called the Type II error. It takes place when the null hypothesis is not rejected when in reality it is false.
To decrease the global risk of error in decision making, it is not enough to decrease the significance level, but a compromise should be found between the significance level and the probability of error of the second type. One method is based on the study of the power of the test.

## EXAMPLES

In the following example, we illustrate the influence of the choice of the significance level when carrying out a hypothesis test. Consider a right one-sided test on the mean $\mu$ of a population whose variance $\sigma^{2}$ equals 36 .
Let us state the following hypothesis:
Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \mu=30$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \mu>30$.
Let us suppose that the mean $\bar{x}$ of a sample of dimension $n=100$ taken from this population equals 31 .

## Case 1

Choose a significance level $\alpha=5 \%$. We find the critical value of $z_{\alpha}=1.65$ in the normal table. The upper limit of the acceptance region is determined by:

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}+z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{x}}
$$

where $\mu_{\bar{x}}$ is the mean of the sampling distribution of the means and $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ is the standard error (or the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means):

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

This upper limit equals:

$$
30+1.65 \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{100}}=30.99
$$

The rejection region of the null hypothesis corresponds to the interval [30.99; $\infty$ [ and the acceptance region to the interval ] $-\infty ; 30.99[$.

## Case 2

If we fix a smaller significance level, for example $\alpha=1 \%$, the value of $z_{\alpha}$ in the normal table equals 2.33 and the upper limit of the acceptance region now equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\bar{x}}+z_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{x}} & =30+2.33 \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{100}} \\
& =31.4
\end{aligned}
$$

The rejection region of the null hypothesis corresponds to the interval $[31.4 ; \infty[$ and the acceptance region to the interval ] $\infty$; 31.4[.
From the observed means $\bar{x}=31$ we can see that in the first case ( $\alpha=5 \%$ ) we must reject the null hypothesis because the sampling mean (31) is in the rejection region. In the second case ( $\alpha=1 \%$ ) we must make the converse decision: the null hypothesis cannot be rejected because the sampling mean is in the acceptance region.
We see that the choice of the significance level has a direct influence on the decision about the null hypothesis and, in consequence, on the decision-making process. A smaller significance level (and thus a smaller probability of error of the first type) restricts the rejection region and increases the acceptance region of the null hypothesis.
A smaller risk of error is associated to a smaller precision.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Type I error
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## Simple Index Number

A simple index number is the ratio of two values representing the same variable, measured in two different situations or in two different periods. For example, a simple index number of price will give the relative variation of the price between the current period and a reference period. The most commonly used simple index numbers are those of price, quantity, and value.

## HISTORY

See index.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The index number $I_{n / 0}$, which is representative of a variable $G$ in situation $n$ with respect to the same variable in situation 0 (reference situation), is defined by:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{G_{n}}{G_{0}}
$$

where $G_{n}$ is the value of variable $G$ in situation $n$ and $G_{0}$ is the value of variable $G$ in situation 0 .
Generally, a simple index number is expressed in base 100 in reference situation 0 :

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{G_{n}}{G_{0}} \cdot 100
$$

## Properties of Simple Index Numbers

- Identity: If two compared situations (or two periods) are identical, the value of the index number is equal to 1 (or 100):

$$
I_{n / n}=I_{0 / 0}=1
$$

- A simple index number is reversible:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{1}{I_{0 / n}}
$$

- A simple index number is transferable. Consider two index numbers $I_{1 / 0}$ and $I_{2 / 0}$ representing two situations, 1 and 2 , with respect to the same base situation 0 . If we consider the index number $I_{2 / 1}$, we can say that:

$$
I_{2 / 0}=I_{2 / 1} \cdot I_{1 / 0}
$$

Notice that the transferability (also called circularity) implies reversibility:

$$
\text { If } I_{0 / 1} \cdot I_{1 / 0}=I_{0 / 0}=1 \text {, then } I_{0 / 1}=\frac{1}{I_{1 / 0}} \text {. }
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In economics it is very rare that simple index numbers are exploitable in themselves; the information they contain is relatively limited. Nevertheless, they have a fundamental importance in the construction of composite index numbers.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following table representing the prices of a certain item and the quantities sold at different periods:

| Price |  | Quantity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period 1 | Period 2 | Period 1 | Period 2 |
| $P_{0}=50$ | $P_{n}=70$ | $Q_{0}=25$ | $Q_{n}=20$ |

If $P_{n}$ is the price of the period of interest and $P_{0}$ is the price of the reference period, we will have:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{P_{n}}{P_{0}} \cdot 100=\frac{70}{50} \cdot 100=140
$$

which means that in base 100 in period 1 , the price index of the item is 140 in peri$\operatorname{od} 2$. The price of the item increased by $40 \%$ ( $140-100$ ) between the reference period and the actual period.
We can also calculate a simple index number of quantity:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{Q_{n}}{Q_{0}} \cdot 100=\frac{20}{25} \cdot 100=80
$$

The sold quantity therefore has decreased by $20 \%(100-80)$ between the reference period and the actual period.
Using these numbers, we can also calculate a value index number, value being defined as price multiplied by quantity:

$$
I_{n / 0}=\frac{P_{n} \cdot Q_{n}}{P_{0} \cdot Q_{0}}=\frac{70 \cdot 20}{50 \cdot 25} \cdot 100=112
$$

The value of the considered item has therefore increased by $12 \%(112-100)$ between the two considered periods.

## FURTHER READING

- Composite index number
- Fisher index
- Index number
- Laspeyres index
- Paasche index


## Simple Linear Regression

The simple linear regression is an analysis of regression where the dependent variable
$Y$ linearly depends on a single independent variable $X$.
The simple linear regression aims to not only estimate the regression function relative to the chosen model but also test the reliability of the obtained estimations.

## HISTORY

See analysis of regression.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The model of simple linear regression is of the form:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+\varepsilon
$$

where $Y$ is the dependent variable (or explicated variable), $X$ is the independent variable (or explanatory variable), $\varepsilon$ is the term of random non-observable error, and $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are the parameters to estimate.
If we have an set of $n$ observations ( $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ ), $\ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$, where $Y_{i}$ is linearly dependent on the corresponding $X_{i}$, we can write

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

The problem with regression analysis consists in estimating parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$, choosing the values $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ such that the distance between $Y_{i}$ and $\left(\beta_{0}+\right.$

$$
\varepsilon_{i}=Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \cdot X_{i}
$$

small for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. To achieve this, we can choose among many criteria:

1. $\min _{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} \max _{i}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|$
2. $\min _{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|$
3. $\min _{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}$

The most used method of estimation of parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ is the third, called the least squares. It aims to minimize the sum of squared errors.
The estimation of the parameters by the leastsquares method gives the following estimators $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}} \\
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{Y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can then write:

$$
\hat{Y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i}
$$

where $\hat{Y}_{i}$ is the estimated value of $Y_{i}$ for a given $X_{i}$ when $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ are known.

## Measure of Reliability of Estimation of $\boldsymbol{Y}$

We have calculated an estimation of the value of $Y$, or $\hat{Y}$, with the help of the least-squares method, basing our calculation on a linear model to translate the relation that relates $Y$ to $X$. But how far can we trust this model? To answer this question, it is useful to perform an analysis of variance and to test the hypothesis on parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ of the regression line. To carry out these tests, we must make the following suppositions:

- For each value of $X, Y$ is a random variable distributed according to the normal distribution.
- The variance of $Y$ is the same for all $X$; it equals $\sigma^{2}$ (unknown).
- The different observations on $Y$ are independent of each other but conditioned by the values of $X$.


## Analysis of Variance

The table of the analysis of variance that we must construct is the following:

## Analysis of variance



If the model is correct, then

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}}{n-2}
$$

is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$.
The analysis of variance allows us to test the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=0
$$

against the alternative hypothesis:

$$
H_{1}: \quad \beta_{1} \neq 0
$$

calculating the statistic:

$$
F=\frac{E M S E}{R M S E}=\frac{E M S E}{S^{2}}
$$

This statistic $F$ must be compared with the value $F_{\alpha, 1, n-2}$ of the Fisher table, where $\alpha$ is the significance of the test.
$\Rightarrow$
If $F \leq F_{\alpha, 1, n-2}$, then we accept $H_{0}$.
If $F>F_{\alpha, 1, n-2}$, then we reject $H_{0}$ for $H_{1}$.
The coefficient of determination $R^{2}$ is calculated in the following manner:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{2}}{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-n \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{2}}
$$

where $E S S$ is the sum of squares of the regression and TSS is the total sum of squares.

## Hypothesis on the Slope $\beta_{1}$

In the case of a simple linear regression, the statistic $F$ allows to test a hypothesis using the parameters of the regression equation or $\beta_{1}$, the slope of the line. Another way to carry out the same test is as follows:
If $H_{0}$ is true $\left(\beta_{1}=0\right)$, then statistic $t$ :

$$
t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}}}
$$

follows a Student distribution with $(n-2)$ degrees of freedom.
$S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}}$ is the standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, estimated from the sample:

$$
S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{S^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

Statistic $t$ must be compared with the value $t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2$ of the Student table, where $\alpha$ is the significance level and $n-2$ the number of degrees of freedom. The decision rule is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |t| \leq t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \text { we accept } H_{0}\left(\beta_{1}=0\right) \\
& |t|> \\
& \quad t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \text { we reject } H_{0} \text { for } H_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \neq 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is possible to show that in the case of a simple linear regression, $t^{2}$ equals $F$.
Statistic $t$ allows to calculate a confidence interval for $\beta_{1}$.

## Hypothesis on Ordinate in Origin $\beta_{0}$

In a similar way, we can construct a confidence interval for $\beta_{0}$ and test the hypothesis:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \beta_{0}=0 \\
H_{1}: & \beta_{0} \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

Statistic $t$ :

$$
t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{0}}{S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}}}
$$

also follows a Student distribution with ( $n-$ 2) degrees of freedom.

The estimated standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_{0}$, denoted by $S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}}$, is defined by:

$$
S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{S^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

The decision rule is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |t| \leq t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \text { we accept } H_{0}\left(\beta_{0}=0\right) \\
& |t|>t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \text { we reject } H_{0} \text { for } H_{1}\left(\beta_{0} \neq 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$t \frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2$ is obtained from the Student table for ( $n-2$ ) degrees of freedom and a significance level $\alpha$.
The coefficient of determination $R^{2}$ is calculated in the following manner:

$$
R^{2}=\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{\hat{\beta}^{\prime} X^{\prime} Y-n \bar{Y}^{2}}{Y^{\prime} Y-n \bar{Y}^{2}},
$$

where $E S S$ is the sum of squares of the regression and $T S S$ is the total sum of squares.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Simple linear regression is a particular case of multiple linear regression. The matrix approach revealed in the multiple linear regression (model containing many independent variables) is also valid for the particular case where we have only one independent variable.
See analysis of regression.

## EXAMPLES

The following table represents the gross national product (GNP) and the demand for
staples for the period 1969 to 1980 in certain countries.

| Year | GNP <br> $X$ | Demand for staple <br> $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1969 | 50 | 6 |
| 1970 | 52 | 8 |
| 1971 | 55 | 9 |
| 1972 | 59 | 10 |
| 1973 | 57 | 8 |
| 1974 | 58 | 10 |
| 1975 | 62 | 12 |
| 1976 | 65 | 9 |
| 1977 | 68 | 11 |
| 1978 | 69 | 10 |
| 1979 | 70 | 11 |
| 1980 | 72 | 14 |

We want to estimate the demand for staples depending on the GNP according to the model:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

The estimation of parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ by the least-squares method gives us the following estimators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}=0.226 \\
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{Y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{X}=-4.04
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimated line can be written as:

$$
\hat{Y}=-4.04+0.226 X
$$

## Analysis of Variance

We will calculate the degrees of freedom as well as the sum of squares and the mean of squares in order to establish the table of the
analysis of variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d l_{\mathrm{reg}}= 1 \\
& d l_{\mathrm{res}}= n-2=10 \\
& d l_{\mathrm{tot}}= n-1=11 ; \\
& E S S= \sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} \\
&=(7.20-9.833)^{2}+\cdots \\
&+(12.23-9.833)^{2} \\
&= 30.457 ; \\
& R S S= \sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2} \\
&=(6-7.20)^{2}+\cdots \\
&+(14-12.23)^{2} \\
&= 17.21 ; \\
& T S S= \sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} \\
&=(6-9.833)^{2}+\cdots \\
&+(14-9.833)^{2} \\
&= 47.667 ; \\
& S^{2}=R M S E= \frac{R S S}{d l_{\mathrm{res}}}=1.721 \\
& E M S E= \frac{E S S}{d l_{\mathrm{reg}}}=30.457 \\
& R
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have the following table:

## Analysis of variance

| Source <br> of var- <br> iation | Degrees <br> of free- <br> dom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares | F |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Re- <br> gres- <br> sion | 1 | 30.457 | 30.457 | 17.687 |
| Resi- <br> dual | 10 | 17.211 | 1.721 |  |
| Total | 11 | 47.667 |  |  |

With a significance level of $\alpha=5 \%$, we find in the Fisher table the value

$$
F_{\alpha, 1, n-2}=F_{0.05,1,10}=4.96 .
$$

As a result of $F>F_{0,05,1,10}$, we reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$, which means that $\beta_{1}$ is significatively different from zero. To calculate the coefficient of determination of this example, it is enough to look at the table of analysis of variance because it contains all the required elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2} & =\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{30.457}{47.667} \\
& =0.6390=63.90 . \%
\end{aligned}
$$

We can conclude that, according to the chosen model, $63.90 \%$ of the variation of the demand for staples is explained by the variation in GNP.
It is evident that the $R^{2}$ value of cannotexceed 100 ; the value 63.90 is large enough, but it is not close enough to 100 to discourage one from trying to improve the model.
This can mean:

- That except for the GNP, other variables should be taken into account for a finer determination of the function of the demand of staples, so the GNP explains only part of the variation.
- We should test another model (one without constant term, nonlinear model, etc).
Hypothesis testing on the parameters will allow us to determine if they are significatively different from zero.


## Hypothesis on Slope $\beta_{1}$

In the table of analysis of variance, we have:

$$
S^{2}=R M S E=1.721
$$

which allows to calculate the standard deviation $S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}}$ of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}} & =\sqrt{\frac{S^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1.721}{\frac{(50-61.416)^{2}+\cdots}{+(72-61.416)^{2}}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1.721}{596.92}}=0.054 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate the statistic:

$$
t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}}{S_{\hat{\beta}_{1}}}=\frac{0.226}{0.054}=4.19 .
$$

Choosing a significance level of $\alpha=5 \%$, we get:

$$
t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2}=t_{0.025,10}=2.228
$$

Comparing this value of $t$ with the value in the table, we get:

$$
|t|>t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2}
$$

which indicates that the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=0
$$

must be rejected for the alternative hypothesis:

$$
H_{1}: \quad \beta_{1} \neq 0 .
$$

We conclude that there exists a linear relation between the GNP and the demand for staples. there was no linear relation between these two variables.
Remark: We can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =t^{2}, \\
17.696 & =4.19^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(without taking into account errors of roundness). In consequence, we can use indifferently test $t$ or test $F$ to test the hypothesis $H_{0}$ : $\beta_{1}=0$.

## Hypothesis on Ordinate in Origin $\beta_{0}$

The standard deviation $S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}}$ of $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}} & =\sqrt{\frac{S^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \bar{X}_{i}^{2}}{n \sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}} . \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1.721\left(50^{2}+\cdots+72^{2}\right)}{(12 \cdot 596.92)}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1.721 \cdot 45861}{7163.04}}=3.31 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate the statistic:

$$
t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{0}}{S_{\hat{\beta}_{0}}}=\frac{-4.04}{3.31}=-1.22 .
$$

Comparing this value of $t$ with the value of the Student table $t_{0.025,10}=2.228$, we get:

$$
|t|<t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2},
$$

which indicates the null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{0}=0
$$

must be accepted at the significance level $\alpha=5 \%$. We conclude that the value of $\beta_{0}$ is not significatively different from zero, and the line passes through the origin.

## New Model: Regression Passing Through Origin

The new model is the following:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 12
$$

Making the estimation of the parameter $\beta_{1}$ according to the least-squares method, we have:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{12} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Setting to zero the derivative by $\beta_{1}$, we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{12} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)=0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i} Y_{i}\right)-\beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i}^{2}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

or

The value of $\beta_{1}$ that satisfies the equation of the estimator $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ of $\beta_{1}$ is:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12}\left(X_{i} Y_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i}^{2}}=\frac{7382}{45861}=0.161
$$

In consequence, the new regression line is described by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} \\
& \hat{Y}_{i}=0.161 X_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The table of analysis of variance relative to this new model is the following:

## Analysis of variance

| Source of variation | Degrees <br> of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re-gression | 1 | 1188.2 | 1188.2 | 660.11 |
| Residual | 11 | 19.8 | 1.8 |  |
| Total | 12 | 1208.0 |  |  |

Note that in a model without a constant, the number of degrees of freedom for the total variation equals the number of observations $n$. The number of degrees of freedom for the residual variation equals $n-1$.

Thus we can determine $R^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2} & =\frac{E S S}{T S S}=\frac{1188.2}{1208.0}=0.9836 \\
& =98.36 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

We have found with the first model the value $R^{2}=63.90 \%$. We can say that this new model without a constant term is much better than the previous one.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of residuals
- Coefficient of determination
- Correlation coefficient
- Least squares
- Multiple linear regression
- Normal equations
- Regression analysis
- Residual


## REFERENCES

Seber, G.A.F. (1977) Linear Regression Analysis. Wiley, New York

## Simple Random Sampling

Simple random sampling is a sampling method whereby one chooses $n$ units amongst the $N$ units of a population in such a way that each of the $C_{N}^{n}$ possible samples has the same probability of being selected.

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

To obtain a simple random sample of size $n$, the individuals of a population are first numbered from 1 to $N$; then $n$ numbers are drawn between 1 and $N$. The drawings are done in principle without replacement.

Tables of random numbers can also be used to obtain a simple random sample. The goal of a simple random sample is to provide an estimation without a bias of the mean and of the variance of the population. Indeed, if we denote by $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}$ the characteristics of a population and by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ the corresponding values in the simple random sample, we obtain the following results:


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Simple random sampling can be done with or without replacement. If a drawing is performed with replacement, then the population always remains the same. The sample is therefore characterized by a series of independent random variables that are identically distributed. If the population is sufficiently large, and if the size of the sample is relatively small with respect to the popu-
variables are independent even if the drawings are done without replacement. It is then possible to estimate certain characteristics of the population by determining them from this sample. Simple random sampling is the basis of the theory of sampling.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a population of 658 individuals. To form a simple random sample, we
attribute a three-digit number to every individual: $001,002, \ldots, 658$. Then with a random number table, we obtain a sample of ten individuals. We randomly choose a first digit and a reading direction. We then read the first three digits until we obtain ten distinct numbers between 001 and 658 to define our sample.

## FURTHER READING

- Cluster sampling
- Estimation
- Estimator
- Sampling
- Sampling distribution
- Stratified sampling
- Systematic sampling


## REFERENCES

Cochran, W.G.: Sampling Techniques, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (1963)

## Simulation

Simulation is a method for analyzing, designing and operating complex systems. Simulation involve designing a model of a system and carrying out experiments on it as it progresses.
The fundamental problem of simulation is in the construction of the artificial samples relative to a statistically known distribution. These distributions are generally known empirically: they result in a statistical study from which we can determine the probability distributions of the random variables characterizing the phenomenon.
Once the distributions are known, the sample is constructed by generating random numbers.

## HISTORY

The method of simulation has a long history. It was first introduced by Student (1908), who discovered the sampling distributions of the $t$ statistic and the coefficient of correlation.
More recently, thanks to computers, simulation methods and the Monte Carlo methods have progressed rapidly.

## EXAMPLES

Consider the phenomenon of waiting in the checkout line of a large store. Suppose that we want to know the best "system of cashiers", which is that where the sum of the costs of inactivity of cashiers and the costs of waiting customers are the smallest.
The evolution of the phenomenon essentially depends on the distribution of the arrival of customers at the cashiers and the distribution of the time of service. The simulation consists in constructing a sampling of customer arrivals and a sample of time of service. Thus we can calculate the cost of a system. The experiment can be repeated for different systems and the best system chosen.

## FURTHER READING

- Bootstrap
- Generation of random numbers
- Jackknife method
- Monte Carlo method
- Random number


## REFERENCES

Gosset, S.W. "Student": The Probable Error of a Mean. Biometrika 6, 1-25 (1908)pp. 302-310.

Kleijnen, J.P.C.: Statistical Techniques in Simulation (in two parts). Vol. 9 of Statis-
tics: Textbooks and Monographs. Marcel Dekker, New York (1974)
Kleijnen, J.P.C.: Statistical Tools for Simulation Practitioners. Vol. 76 of Statistics: Textbooks and Monographs. Marcel Dekker, New York (1987)
Naylor, T.H., Balintfy, J.L., Burdick, D.S., Chu, K.: Computer Simulation Techniques. Wiley, New York (1967)

## Snedecor, George Waddel

Snedecor, George Waddel was born in 1881 in Memphis and died in 1974 in Amherst. In 1899, he entered the Alabama Polytechnic Institute in Auburn and stayed there for 2 years. He then spent 2 years earning ateacher's certificate. When his family moved to Tuscaloosa in 1903, Snedecor, George Waddel was transferred to Alabama University, where he received, in 1905, his B.S. in mathematics and physics. He accepted his first academic position at the Selma Military Academy, where he taught from 1905 to 1907. From 1907 and to 1910 he taught mathematics and Greek at Austin College in Sherman.
In 1910, Snedecor, George Waddel moved to Ann Arbor, MI, where he completed his education at Michigan State University in 1913, having earned a master's degree. In the same year, he was hired at the University of Iowa in Ames, where he stayed until 1958.
In 1927, the Mathematics Statistical Service was inaugurated in Ames with Snedecor, G.W. and Brandt, A.E. at the helm. This Service was the precursor of the Statistical Laboratory inaugurated in 1933, where Snedecor, G.W. was director and where Cox, Gertrude worked.

In 1931, Snedecor, G.W. was named professor in the Mathematics Department at Iowa State College. During this period, Snedecor, G.W. developed a friendship with Fisher, Ronald Aylmer. In 1947, Snedecor, G.W. left the Statistical Laboratory. He became professor of statistics at Iowa State College and remained at this position until his retirement in 1958. He died in February 1974.

## Principal work of Snedecor, George Waddel:

1937 Statistical Methods Applied to Experiments in Agriculture and Biology. Collegiate, Ames, USA.

## Spatial Data

Spatial data are special kind of data (often called map data, geographic data) that refer to a spatial localization, such as, for example, the concentration of pollutants in a given area, clouds, etc., and all the information that can be represented in the form of a map. Included under spatial data are data with a denumerable collection of spatial sites, for example, the distribution of child mortality in different cities and countries.
We can also consider that spatial data are the realization of a regional variable. The theory of the regional variable presupposes that any measure can be modeled (visualized) as being the realization of a random function (or random process). For example, samples taken on the ground can be seen as realizations of a regional variable (see geostatistics).

## HISTORY

With the development of territory information systems (TIS) or geographic informa-
tion systems (GIS), the notion of spatial data became widely used in statistics.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

A GIS is a collection of information programs that facilitate, based on georeferences, the integration of spatial, nonspatial, qualitative, and quantitative data in data bases that can be organized as a unique system. Spatial data come from widely differing fields and often demand a selection and an analysis according to specific criteria for each type of research. Satellites collect enormous quantities of data, and only a tiny fraction is analyzed. This wealth of data must be verified by the selection process. Data selection can be done according to the type of problems to resolve and the models used to make the analysis.

## EXAMPLES

Generally, spatial data can be treated as realizations of random variables such as in an ordinary statistical analysis. Below we present, as an example of spatial data, data collected in Swiss Jura. It is a set of 259 data on heavy-metal pollution in a region of $14.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}, 10$ of which are represented here:

| $X$ | $Y$ | Ground | Rock | Cd | Co |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2.386 | 3.077 | 3 | 3 | 1.740 | 9.32 |
| 2.544 | 1.972 | 2 | 2 | 1.335 | 10.00 |
| 2.807 | 3.347 | 2 | 3 | 1.610 | 10.60 |
| 4.308 | 1.933 | 3 | 2 | 2.150 | 11.92 |
| 4.383 | 1.081 | 3 | 5 | 1.565 | 16.32 |
| 3.244 | 4.519 | 3 | 5 | 1.145 | 3.50 |
| 3.925 | 3.785 | 3 | 5 | 0.894 | 15.08 |
| 2.116 | 3.498 | 3 | 1 | 0.525 | 4.20 |
| 1.842 | 0.989 | 3 | 1 | 0.240 | 4.52 |


| Cr | Cu | Ni | Pb | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38.32 | 25.72 | 21.32 | 77.36 | 92.56 |
| 40.20 | 24.76 | 29.72 | 77.88 | 73.56 |


| Cr | Cu | Ni | Pb | Zn |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 47.00 | 8.88 | 21.40 | 30.80 | 64.80 |
| 43.52 | 22.70 | 29.72 | 56.40 | 90.00 |
| 38.52 | 34.32 | 26.20 | 66.40 | 88.40 |
| 40.40 | 31.28 | 22.04 | 72.40 | 75.20 |
| 30.52 | 27.44 | 21.76 | 60.00 | 72.40 |
| 25.40 | 66.12 | 9.72 | 141.00 | 72.08 |
| 27.96 | 22.32 | 11.32 | 52.40 | 56.40 |

"Ground", which refers to the use of the ground, and "rock", which describes the type of underlying rock in the sampled location, are the categorical data (qualitative categorical variables). The different types of rock are:

1. Argovian,
2. Kimmeridgian,
3. Sequanian,
4. Portlandian,
5. Quaternary.

The use of the ground corresponds to:

1. forest,
2. pasturage,
3. prairie,
4. plowed.

## FURTHER READING

- Classification
- Geostatistics
- Histogram
- Sampling
- Spatial statistics
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## Spatial Statistics

Spatial statistics concern the statistical analysis of spatial data. Spatial statistics cover all the techniques used to explore and prove the presence of a spatial dependence between observations distributed in space. Spatial data can come from geology, earth sciences, image treatment, epidemiology, agriculture, ecology, astronomy, or forest sciences. Data are assumed to be random, and sometimes their place is also assumed to be random.

## HISTORY

The statistical treatment of spatial data date back to Halley, Edmond (1686). Halley, E. superposed on one map the relief of wind directions and monsoon between and around the tropics and tried to explain the causes. The spatial statistics model appeared much later. Fisher, Ronald Aylmer (1920-1930), during his researches on the experimental station of Rothamsted in England, formulated the basis of the principles of random choice, of analysis by blocks, and of replication.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The statistical analysis of spatial data forms the principal subject of spatial statistics. The principal tools of the statistical analysis are the histogram, the curve of frequency cumulation, the Q-Q plot, the median, the mean of the distribution, the coefficients of variation, and the measure of skewness. In the case of many variables, the most used statistical approaches are to represent them in the
form of a scatterplot and to study their correlation. Spatial analysis has the distinguishing feature that it takes into account spatial information and the relation that exists between two spatial data. Two data close to one another will more likely resemble one another than if they were farther apart. Thus when we analyze a map showing concentrations of pollutants on the ground, we would see not random values but, on the contrary, small values of pollutants grouped as well as large values, and the transition between the classes would be continuous.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Spatial representation in the form of maps and drawings and spatial statistics can be united to give a better visual understanding of the influence that the neighboring values have on one another. Superposing statistical information on a map allows to better analyze the information and to draw the appropriate conclusions.

## EXAMPLES

## See spatial data.

## FURTHER READING

- Autocorrelation
- Geostatistics
- Spatial data
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## Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient (Spearman $\rho$ ) is a nonparametric measurement correlation. It is used to determine the relation existing between two sets of data.

## HISTORY

Spearman, Charles was a psychologist. In 1904 he introduced for the first time the rank correlation coefficient. Often called the $\rho$ of Spearman, it is one of the oldest rank statistic.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ be two samples of size $n . R_{X_{i}}$ denotes the rank of $X_{i}$ compare to the other values of the $X$ sample, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n . R_{X_{i}}=1$ if $X_{i}$ is the smallest value of $X, R_{X_{i}}=2$ if $X_{i}$ is the second smallest value, etc., until $R_{X_{i}}=n$ if $X_{i}$ is the largest value of $X$. In the same way, $R_{Y_{i}}$ denotes the rank of $Y_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient, generally denoted by $\rho$, is defined by:

$$
\rho=1-\frac{6 \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)},
$$

where $d_{i}=R_{X_{i}}-R_{Y_{i}}$.

If several observations have exactly the same value, an average rank will be given to these observations. If there are many average ranks, it is best to make a correction and to calculate:

$$
\rho=\frac{S_{x}+S_{y}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{S_{x} \cdot S_{y}}}
$$

where

$$
S_{x}=\frac{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(t_{i}^{3}-t_{i}\right)}{12}
$$

with $g$ the number of groups with average ranks and $t_{i}$ the size of group $i$ for the $X$ sample, and

$$
S_{y}=\frac{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left(t_{j}^{3}-t_{j}\right)}{12}
$$

with $h$ the number of groups with average ranks and $t_{j}$ the size of group $j$ for the $Y$ sample.
(If there are no average ranks, the observations are seen as groups of size 1 , meaning that $g=h=n$ and $t_{i}=t_{j}=1$ for $i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\left.S_{x}=S_{y}=n\left(n^{2}-1\right) / 12.\right)$

## Hypothesis Testing

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient is often used as a statistical test to determine if there exists a relation between two random variables. The test can be a bilateral test or a unilateral test. The hypotheses are:

## A: Bilateral case

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : There is either a positive or a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

There is a positive correlation when the large values of $X$ have a tendency to be associated with large values of $Y$ and small values of $X$ with small values of $Y$. There is a negative correlation when large values of $X$ have a tendency to be associated with small values of $Y$ and vice versa.

## B: Unilateral case

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is a positive correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

C: Unilateral case
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}: X$ and $Y$ are mutually independent.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : There is a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$.

## Decision Rules

The decision rules are different depending on the hypotheses. That is why there are decision rules A, B, and C relative to the previous cases.

## Decision rule A

Reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
\rho>t_{n, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad \rho<t_{n, \frac{\alpha}{2}},
$$

where $t$ is the critical value of the test given by the Spearman table.
Decision rule B
Reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
\rho>t_{n, 1-\alpha}
$$

## Decision rule C

Reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
\rho<t_{n, \alpha} .
$$

Remark: The notation $t$ does not mean that the Spearman coefficients are related to those of Student.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient is used as a hypothesis test to study the dependence between two random variables. It can be considered as a test of independence.
As a nonparametric correlation measurement, it can also be used with nominal or ordinal data.
A correlation measurement between two variables must satisfy the following points:

1. It takes values between -1 and +1 .
2. There is a positive correlation between $X$ and $Y$ if the value of the correlation coefficient is positive; a perfect positive correlation corresponds to a value of +1 .
3. There is a negative correlation between $X$ and $Y$ if the value of the correlation coefficient is negative; a perfect negative correlation corresponds to a value of -1 .
4. There is null correlation between $X$ and $Y$ when the correlation coefficient is close to zero; one can also say that $X$ and $Y$ are not correlated.

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient presents the following advantages:

- The data can be nonnumerical observations as long as they can be classified according to certain criteria.
- It is easy to calculate.
- The associated statistical test does not formulate a basic hypothesis based on the shape of the distribution of the population from which the samples are taken.
The Spearman table gives the theoretical values of the Spearman rank correlation coefficient under the hypothesis of the independence of two random variables.
Here is a sample of the Spearman table for $n=6,7$, and 8 and $\alpha=0.05$ and 0.025 :

| $n$ | $\alpha=0.05$ | $\alpha=0.025$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 0.7714 | 0.8286 |
| 7 | 0.6786 | 0.7450 |
| 8 | 0.6190 | 0.7143 |

A complete Spearman table can be found in Glasser, G.J. and Winter, R.F. (1961).

## EXAMPLES

In this example eight pairs of real twins take intelligence tests. The goal is to see if there is independence between the test of the firstborn twin and that of the one born second. The data are given in the table below, the highest scores corresponding to the best results.

| Pair of twins | Born 1st $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Born 2nd $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 90 | 88 |
| 2 | 75 | 79 |
| 3 | 99 | 98 |
| 4 | 60 | 66 |
| 5 | 72 | 64 |
| 6 | 83 | 83 |
| 7 | 86 | 86 |
| 8 | 92 | 95 |

The $X$ are classified amongst themselves and the $Y$ amongst themselves, and $d_{i}$ is calculated. This gives:

| Pair of <br> twins | Born <br> 1 st $X_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{X_{i}}$ | Born <br> 2nd $Y_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{Y_{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 90 | 6 | 88 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | 75 | 3 | 79 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 99 | 8 | 98 | 8 | 0 |
| 4 | 60 | 1 | 66 | 2 | -1 |
| 5 | 72 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 83 | 4 | 83 | 4 | 0 |
| 7 | 86 | 5 | 86 | 5 | 0 |
| 8 | 92 | 7 | 95 | 7 | 0 |

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient is then calculated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =1-\frac{6 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{8} d_{i}^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \cdot 2}{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)} \\
& =0.9762 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that there is an (almost perfect) positive correlation between the intelligence tests.
Suppose that the results for 7 and 8 are changed. We then obtain the following table:

| Pair of <br> twins | Born <br> 1st $X_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{X_{i}}$ | Born <br> 2nd $Y_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{Y_{i}}$ | $d_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 90 | 6.5 | 88 | 5.5 | 1 |
| 2 | 75 | 3 | 79 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 99 | 8 | 98 | 7.5 | -0.5 |
| 4 | 60 | 1 | 66 | 2 | -1 |
| 5 | 72 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 83 | 4.5 | 83 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 7 | 83 | 4.5 | 88 | 5.5 | -1 |
| 8 | 90 | 6.5 | 98 | 7.5 | -1 |

Since there are average ranks, we use the formula

$$
\rho=\frac{S_{x}+S_{y}-\sum_{i=1}^{8} d_{i}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{S_{x} \cdot S_{y}}}
$$

to calculate the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. We first calculate $S_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{x} & =\frac{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(t_{i}^{3}-t_{i}\right)}{12} \\
& =\frac{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)-\left[2^{3}-2+2^{3}-2\right]}{12} \\
& =41 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S_{y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{y} & =\frac{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left(t_{j}^{3}-t_{j}\right)}{12} \\
& =\frac{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)-\left[2^{3}-2+2^{3}-2\right]}{12} \\
& =41 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $\rho$ becomes the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\frac{41+41-(1+0+\ldots+1)}{2 \sqrt{41 \cdot 41}}=\frac{76.5}{82} \\
& =0.9329 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that in this case there is also an almost perfect positive correlation.
We now carry out the hypothesis test:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : There is independence between the intelligence tests of a pair of twins.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : There is a positive correlation between the intelligence tests.

We choose a significant level of $\alpha=0.05$. Since we are in case B, $H_{0}$ is rejected if

$$
\rho>t_{8,0.95},
$$

where $t_{8,0.95}$ is the value of the Spearman table, meaning if

$$
\rho>0.6190 .
$$

In both cases ( $\rho=0.9762$ and $\rho=0.9329$ ), $H_{0}$ is rejected. We can conclude that there is a positive correlation between the results of the intelligence tests of a pair of twins.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
- Test of independence
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## Spearman Table

The Spearman table gives the theoretical values of the Spearman rank correlation coefficient under the hypothesis of independence of two random variables.

## HISTORY

See Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

## FURTHER READING

- Spearman rank correlation coefficient


## Standard Deviation

The standard deviation is a measure of dis- root of the variance, where the variance is the mean of the squared deviations of each observation with respect to the mean of the set of observations.
It is usually denoted by $\sigma$ when it is relative to a population and by $S$ when it is relative to a sample.
In practice, the standard deviation $\sigma$ of a population will be estimated by the standard deviation $S$ of a sample of this population.

## HISTORY

The term standard deviation is closely related to the works of two English mathematicians, Pearson, Karl and Gosset, W.S. It was indeed during a conference that he gave before the London Royal Society in 1893 that Pearson, K. used the term for the first time. He used it again in his article entitled "On the Dissection of Asymmetrical Frequency Curves" in 1894, and it was also Pearson, K. who introduced the symbol $\sigma$ to denote the standard deviation. Gosset, W.S., (Student), also worked on these problems.Heexplained why it is important to distinguish $S$ (standard deviation relative to a sample) from $\sigma$ (standard deviation relative to a population).
In his article of March 1908, Gosset, W.S. defined the standard deviation of a sample by:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n}} .
$$

A question that came up was to know if this expression should be divided by $n$ or by $n-1$. Pearson, K. asked the opinion of Gosset, W.S., who answered in a letter on 13 March 1927 that both formulas could be found in the literature. The one with an $n-1$ denominator gives a mean value that is independent of the size of the sample and therefore of the population. On the other hand, with large samples, the difference between both formulas is negligible and the calculations are simpler if the formula with an $n$ denominator is used.

On the whole, Gosset, W.S. indicates that the use of $n-1$ is probably more appropriate
for small samples, whereas the use of $n$ is preferable for large samples.
Note that the discovery of the standard deviation is to be placed in the context of the theory of the estimation and of hypothesis testing. Also, at first the study of variability attracted the attention of astronomers because they were interested in discoveries related to the distribution of errors.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a random variable $X$ with an expected value $E[X]$. The variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the population is defined by:

$$
\sigma^{2}=E\left[(X-E[X])^{2}\right]
$$

or

$$
\sigma^{2}=E\left[X^{2}\right]-E[X]^{2} .
$$

The standard deviation $\sigma$ is the positive square root of $\sigma^{2}$ :

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{E\left[(X-E[X])^{2}\right]} .
$$

To estimate the standard deviation $\sigma$ of a population, the distribution of the population should be known, but in practice, it is convenient to estimate $\sigma$ from a random sample $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with the formula:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

where $S$ is the estimator of $\sigma$ and $\bar{x}$ is the arithmetic mean of the sample:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n} .
$$

The standard deviation can also be calculated using the following alternative formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}}{n-1}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n(n-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The standard deviation is used as a scale of measurement in tests of hypotheses and confidence intervals. Other measures of dispersion such as the range or the mean deviation are also used in descriptive statistics, but they play a less important role in tests of hypotheses. It can be verified that:

$$
\text { mean deviation } \leq S \leq \frac{\text { range }}{2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} .
$$

If we consider a random variable that follows a normal distribution of mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$, we can verify that $68.26 \%$ of the observations are located in the interval $\mu \pm \sigma$. Also, $95.44 \%$ of the observations are located in the interval $\mu \pm$ $2 \sigma$, and $99.74 \%$ of the observations are located in the interval $\mu \pm 3 \sigma$.

## EXAMPLES

Five students have successively passed two exams on which they obtained the following grades:
Exam 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.544 .53 .54 .5 \\
& x
\end{aligned}=\frac{20}{5}=4
$$

Exam 2:

$$
\overline{2.55 .53 .54 .54} \quad \bar{x}=\frac{20}{5}=4
$$

The arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of these two sets of observations is identical. Nevertheless, the dispersion of the observations around the mean is not the same.
To calculate the standard deviation, we first have to calculate the deviations of each observation with respect to the arithmetic mean and then square these deviations:

Exam 1:

| Grade | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{2}$ |  | 1.00 |

Exam 2:

| Grade | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2.5 | -1.5 | 2.25 |
| 5.5 | 1.5 | 2.25 |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ |  | 5.00 |

The standard deviation for each exam is equal to:
Exam 1:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}=0.5
$$

Exam 2:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}=1.118
$$

Since the standard deviation of the grades in the second exam is larger, the grades are more
dispersed around the arithmetic mean than for the first exam. The variability of the second exam is therefore larger than that of the first.

## FURTHER READING

- Coefficient of variation
- Mean absolute deviation
- Measure of dispersion
- Variance
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## Standard Error

The standard error is the square root of the estimated variance of a statistic, meaning the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of this statistic.

## HISTORY

The standard error notion is attributed to Gauss, C.F. (1816), who apparently did not know the concept of sampling distribution of a statistic used to estimate the value of a parameter of a population.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The standard error of the mean, or standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the means, denoted by $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$, is calculated as a function of the standard deviation of the population and of the respective size of the finite population of the sample:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}},
$$

where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population, $N$ is the size of the population, and $n$ is the sample size.
If the size $N$ of the population is infinite, the correction factor:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}}
$$

can be omitted because it tends toward 1 when $N$ tends toward infinity.
If the population is infinite, or if the sampling is nonexhaustive (with replacement), then the standard error of the mean is equal to the standard deviation of the population divided by the square root of the size of the sample:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

If the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population is unknown, it can be estimated by the standard deviation $S$ of the sample given by the square root of the variance $S^{2}$ :

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

The same formulas are valid for the standard error of a proportion, or standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the proportions, denoted by $\sigma_{p}$ :

$$
\sigma_{p}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}}
$$

in the case of a finite population and

$$
\sigma_{p}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

in the case of an infinite population, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population:

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{p \cdot(1-p)}=\sqrt{p \cdot q}
$$

where $p$ is the probability that an element possesses the studied trait and $q=1-p$ is the probability that it does not.
The standard error of the difference between two independent quantities is the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of both quantities. For example, the standard error of the difference between two means is equal to:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}=\sqrt{\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{\bar{x}_{2}}^{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}$ are the respective variances of the two infinite populations to be compared and $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ the respective sizes of the two samples.
If the variances of the two populations are unknown, we can estimate them with the variances calculated on the two samples, which gives:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{S_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}
$$

where $S_{1}^{2}$ and $S_{2}^{2}$ are the respective variances of the two samples.
If we consider that the variances of the two populations are equal, we can estimate the value of these variances with a pooled variance $S_{p}^{2}$ calculated as a function of $S_{1}^{2}$ and $S_{2}^{2}$ :

$$
S_{p}^{2}=\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) \cdot S_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) \cdot S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}
$$

which gives:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}=S_{p} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}}
$$

The standard error of the difference between two proportions is calculated in the same way as the standard error of the difference between two means. Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p_{1}-p_{2}} & =\sqrt{\sigma_{p_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{p_{2}}^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p_{1} \cdot\left(1-p_{1}\right)}{n_{1}}+\frac{p_{2} \cdot\left(1-p_{2}\right)}{n_{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the proportions for infinite populations calculated on the two samples of size $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$.

## EXAMPLES

## (1) Mean standard error

A manufacturer wants to test the precision of a new machine to make bolts 8 mm in diameter. On a lot of $N=10000$ pieces, a sample of $n=100$ pieces is examined; the standard deviation $S$ is 1.2 mm .
The standard error of the mean is equal to:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}} .
$$

Since the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the population is unknown, we can estimate it using the standard deviation of the sample $S$, which gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\bar{x}} & =\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}} \\
& =\frac{1.2}{10} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{10000-100}{10000}} \\
& =0.12 \cdot 0.995=0.119 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the factor

$$
\sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N}}=0.995
$$

has little influence on the result as the size of the population is large enough.
(2) Standard error of a proportion

A candidate conducts a survey on a sample of 200 people to know if he will have more than $50 \%\left(=\pi_{0}\right)$ of the vote, $\pi_{0}$ being the presumed value of parameter $\pi$ (proportion of the population).
The standard error of the proportion $\sigma_{p}$ is equal to:

$$
\sigma_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0} \cdot\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{n}}
$$

where $n$ is the sample size (200 in our example). We can consider that the population is infinite, which is why we do not take into account the corrective factor:

$$
\sigma_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{0.5 \cdot(1-0.5)}{200}}=0.0354
$$

(3) Standard error of difference between two quantities
An insurance company decides to equip its offices with microcomputers. It wants to buy these microcomputers from two different suppliers as long as there is no significant difference in durability between the two brands. It tests a sample of 35 microcomputers of brand 1 and 32 of brand 2, noting the time that passed before the first breakdown. The observed data are the following:

Brand 1: Standard deviation $S_{1}=57.916$
Brand 2: Standard deviation $S_{2}=57.247$

Case 1:
We suppose that the variances of the two populations are equal. The pooled standard
deviation is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p} & =\sqrt{\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) \cdot S_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) \cdot S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{34 \cdot 57.916^{2}+31 \cdot 57.247^{2}}{35+32-2}} \\
& =57.5979 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Knowing the weighted standard deviation, we can calculate the value of the standard deviation of the sampling distribution using the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}} & =S_{p} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}} \\
& =57.5979 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{35}+\frac{1}{32}}=14.0875 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2:
If we suppose the variances of the two populations to be unequal, we must start by calculating the standard error of the mean for each sample:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}} & =\frac{S_{1}}{\sqrt{n_{1}}}=\frac{57.916}{\sqrt{35}}=9.79, \\
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{2}} & =\frac{S_{2}}{\sqrt{n_{2}}}=\frac{57.247}{\sqrt{32}}=10.12, \\
\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}} & =\sqrt{\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{\bar{x}_{2}}^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{9.79^{2}+10.12^{2}} \\
& =14.08 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We notice in this example that the two cases give values of the standard error that are almost identical. This is explained by the very slight difference between the standard deviations of the two samples $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Sampling distribution

Standard deviation
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## Standardized Data

Standardized data are data from which we subtract the mean of the observations related to the random variable (associated to the data) and then divide by the standard deviation of the observations. Thus standardized data have a mean of 0 and a variance of 1 .

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

The standardization of a data series $X$ with the terms $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots n$ is the same as replacing the term $x_{i}$ of the series by $x_{i}^{s}$ :

$$
x_{i}^{s}=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}}{s},
$$

where $\bar{x}_{i}$ and $s$ are, respectively, the mean and the standard deviation of $x_{i}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Certain statisticians have a habit of standardizing explanatory variables in a linear
regression model to simplify the numerical difficulties arising from matrix calculations and to facilitate the interpretation and comparison of the regression coefficients. The application of the least-squares method using standardized data gives results equivalent to those obtained using the original data. A regression is generally modeled by

$$
Y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j} X_{i j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $X_{j}$ is the nonstandardized data. If $X_{i j}$ is standardized (mean 0 and variance 1 ), $\beta_{j}$ is called the standardized regression coefficient and is equivalent to the correlation if $Y$ is also standardized. The same terminology can be used if $X_{j}$ is reduced to having a variance of 1 , but not necessarily a zero mean. The coefficient $\beta_{0}$ absorbs the difference.

## EXAMPLES

In the following example, the observations relate to 13 mixtures of cement. Each mixture is composed of four ingredients given in the table. The goal of the experiment is to determine how the quantities $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$, and $X_{4}$ of these four ingredients influence the quantity of heat $Y$ emitted by the hardening of the cement.

Table: Heat emitted by cement

| Mix- | Ingredient |  |  |  | Heat |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ture $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $X_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $X_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $X_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $X_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| 1 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 60 | 78.5 |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 15 | 52 | 74.3 |
| 3 | 11 | 56 | 8 | 20 | 104.3 |
| 4 | 11 | 31 | 8 | 47 | 87.6 |
| 5 | 7 | 52 | 6 | 33 | 95.9 |
| 6 | 11 | 55 | 9 | 22 | 109.2 |
| 7 | 3 | 71 | 17 | 6 | 102.7 |
| 8 | 1 | 31 | 22 | 44 | 72.5 |


| Mix- | Ingredient |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Heat |  |  |  |  |  |
| ture $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $X_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $X_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $X_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| 9 | 2 | 54 | 18 | 22 | 93.1 |
| 10 | 21 | 47 | 4 | 26 | 115.9 |
| 11 | 1 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 83.9 |
| 12 | 11 | 66 | 9 | 12 | 113.3 |
| 13 | 10 | 68 | 8 | 12 | 109.4 |

Source: Birkes and Dodge (1993)
$y_{i}$ quantities of heat given by hardening of $i$ th mixture (in joules);
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i} 1}$ quantity of ingredient 1 (aluminate of tricalcium) in ith mixture;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i} 2}$ quantity of ingredient 2 (silicate of tricalcium) in $i$ th mixture;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{i 3}$ quantity of ingredient 3 (aluminoferrite of tetracalcium) in $i$ th mixture;
$x_{i 4}$ quantity of ingredient 4 (silicate of dicalcium) in $i$ th mixture.

The obtained model of a simple linear regression is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}= & 62.4+1.55 X_{1}+0.51 X_{2} \\
& +0.102 X_{3}-0.144 X_{4}+\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following table presents data on the cement with data relative to the standardized explanatory variables, which we denote by $X_{1}^{s}, X_{2}^{s}, X_{3}^{s}$, and $X_{4}^{s}$.
Data on cement with standardized explanatory variables

| Mix- | Standardized ingredient |  |  |  | Heat |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| ture $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $X_{1}^{s}$ | $X_{2}^{s}$ | $X_{3}^{s}$ | $X_{4}^{s}$ | $Y$ |
| 1 | -0.079 | -1.424 | -0.901 | 1.792 | 78.5 |
| 2 | -1.099 | -1.231 | 0.504 | 1.314 | 74.3 |
| 3 | 0.602 | 0.504 | -0.589 | -0.597 | 104.3 |
| 4 | 0.602 | -1.102 | -0.589 | 1.016 | 87.6 |
| 5 | -0.079 | 0.247 | -0.901 | 0.179 | 95.9 |
| 6 | 0.602 | 0.440 | -0.432 | -0.478 | 109.2 |
| 7 | -0.759 | 1.468 | 0.817 | -1.434 | 102.7 |
| 8 | -1.099 | -1.102 | 1.597 | 0.836 | 72.5 |


| Mix- | Standardized ingredient |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ture $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $X_{1}^{s}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}^{s}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{3}^{s}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{4}^{s}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| 9 | -0.929 | 0.376 | 0.973 | -0.478 | 93.1 |
| 10 | 2.302 | -0.074 | -1.213 | -0.239 | 115.9 |
| 11 | -1.099 | -0.524 | 1.753 | 0.239 | 83.9 |
| 12 | 0.602 | 1.147 | -0.432 | -1.075 | 113.3 |
| 13 | 0.432 | 1.275 | -0.589 | -1.075 | 109.4 |

The estimation by the least-squares method of the complete model using the standardized explanatory variables gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}= & 95.4+9.12 X_{1}^{s}+7.94 X_{2}^{s} \\
& +0.65 X_{3}^{s}-2.41 X_{4}^{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the coefficient $\widehat{\beta}_{1}^{s}=9.12$ of $X_{1}^{s}$ can be calculated by multiplying the coefficient $\widehat{\beta}_{1}=1.55$ of $X_{1}$ in the initial model by the standard deviation $s_{1}=5.882$ of $X_{1}$. Also note that the mean $\bar{X}_{1}=7.46$ of $X_{1}$ is considered not in the relation between $\widehat{\beta}_{1}^{s}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{1}$ but in the relation between $\widehat{\beta}_{0}^{s}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{0}$. Taking only the first two standardized explanatory variables, we obtain the solution

$$
\widehat{Y}=95.4+8.64 X_{1}^{s}+10.3 X_{2}^{s} .
$$

## FURTHER READING

## - Data

- Ridge regression
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## Statistical Software

Statistical software is a set of computer programs and procedures for the treatment of statistical data.

## Libraries

A library is a series of programs or subroutines that are installed under the same operating system (DOS, Windows, or Unix) and can be used by entering individual commands.

IMSL (International Mathematics and Statistics Library): a collection of about 540 subroutines written in FORTRAN specifically concerning mathematics and statistics.

NAG (Numerical Algorithms Group): a library of algorithms written with the help of three languages (ALGOL 60, ALGOL 68, and FORTRAN ANSI). We find here more than 600 advanced subroutines concerning simulation, regression analysis, techniques of optimization, GLIMs (general linear models), GenStat, time series, and graphical representations. Moreover, we can treat in a simple manner answers obtained by census.

## Software

A software is a set of complex algorithms representing a common structure of data and requiring a minimum of previous programming on the part of the user.

BMDP: a set of subroutines written inFORTRAN that allow one to treat enquiries made by survey, to generate graphical representations, to apply multivariate techniques or nonparametric tests, or to carry out a linear or nonlinear regression analysis without forgetting the study of time series.

IMSL (International Mathematics and Statistics Library): set of subroutines
written in FORTRAN specifically concerning the fields of mathematics and statistics.

MINITAB: by far the easiest system to use. Since it can be used in an interactive mode, it allows to carry out analyses of various types: descriptive statistics, analysis of variance, regression analysis, nonparametric tests, randomnumber generation, or the study of time series, among other things.

NAG: a library of algorithms written with the help of three languages (ALGOL 60, ALGOL 68, FORTRAN ANSI). Advanced subroutines can be found concerning simulation, regression analysis, optimization techniques, time series, and graphical representations. Moreover, the answers obtained by survey can be easily treated, and multivariate analysis as well as nonparametric tests can be applied to them.

P-STAT: an interactive system that treats designs of experiment, graphical representations, nonparametric tests, and data analysis.

SAS (Statistical Analysis System): a set of software including a basic SAS language as well as specific programs. Surely the most complete package, it allows to analyze data from very diverse fields.

SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences): one of the most commonly used systems. It offers interactive ways of treating large data bases. Also, some algorithms of graphical representations are included in the program.

BMDP: basically for biomedical applications, BMDP is a complete software kit composed of subroutines written in FORTRAN that allows to treat inquiries made by census, to generate graphical representations, to apply multivariate techniques and nonparametric tests, or to perform a linear or nonlinear regression analysis, without neglecting studies of time series. Each subprogram is based on highly competitive numerical algorithms.

DataDesk: an interactive software for analyzing statistical treatments of data. It depicts tools graphically, allowing one to see relations among data, tendencies, subgroups, and outliers.

EViews: software essentially for economists, EViews is the tool of choice for analysis, forecasting, and econometric modeling. EViews can be used for general statistical analysis, estimating time series, large-scale simulations, graphics, or simple data management.

Excel: allows the user to represent and analyze data with the help of basic statistical methods: descriptive statistics, analysis of variance, regression and correlation, and hypothesis testing.
Gauss: a statistical program with oriented matrices, Gauss is a powerful econometric and graphic tool.
JMP: statistical software made for experimental designs in particular, JMP also contains an exhaustive suite of statistical tools.

Lisrel: used in the social sciences and, more specifically, for factorial analysis and modeling, Lisrel is particularly useful
for modeling the representation of latent variables.

Maple: a complete mathematical environment designed for the manipulation of algebraical expressions and the resolution of equations and integrals; it also has very powerful graphic tools in two and three dimensions. The user can also program in Maple.

Mathematica: statistical suite that allows to perform descriptive univariate and multivariate statistical analysis, data smoothing, classical hypothesis testing, confidence interval estimation, and linear and nonlinear regression.

Matlab: an interactive environment that can be used to analyze scientific and statistical data. The basic objects of Matlab are matrices. The user can perform numerical analyses, treatment of signs and image treatment. The user can program the functions using C and FORTRAN.

MIM: a program for graphic modeling of discrete and continuous data. Among the families of available graphics programs in this software suite, we mention log-linear models, Gaussian graphic models, Manova standard models, and many other models useful for multivariate analysis.

P-STAT: created in 1960 for the treatment of pharmacological data, P-STAT is now used by researchers in the social sciences. It allows to carry out an analysis of censuses. The power of P-STAT lies in its macros, and it is very useful for the statistical applications related to business. It allows to treat large data sets and treats experimental designs,
graphical representations, nonparametric tests, and data analysis.
$\mathbf{R}$ programming language similar to $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}$ is also freeware widely used in academia. It represents an implementation different from S, but many S commands work in R. R contains a wide variety of statistical commands (linear and nonlinear modeling, execution of classical tests, analysis of time series, classification, segmentation, ...) as well as graphical techniques.

SAS (Statistical Analysis System): a suite of software programs including a basic SAS language as well as specific programs. No doubt the most complete package, it allows to analyze data of various origins: research, engineering, medicine, and business applications. It includes a significant number of statistical tools: analysis of variance, regression analysis, categorical data analysis, multivariate analysis, survival analysis, decision trees, nonparametric analysis, and "data mining".

S-plus: software written in a powerful and flexible object-oriented programming language called $S$, it allows for matrix, mathematical, and statistical calculations. It includes a tool for exploratory visual analysis that also allows for advanced data analysis as well as their modeling. S-plus allows geostatisticians to put together a block "S+SpatialStats" containing different tools of spatial statistics.

Spad-T: based purely on statistical techniques of multivariate analysis. Contingency tables of treated variables are created with the help of multifactorial
methods giving way to graphical representations.

SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences): first released in 1968, SPSS is actually one of the most widely used programs by statisticians in various domains. It offers interactive means for the treatment of large data bases. It contains algorithms for graphical representations.

Stata: a statistical software allowing one to carry out statistical analysis from linear modeling on a generalized linear model, treat binary data, use nonparametric methods, conduct multivariate analysis and analysis of time series, and utilize methods of graphical data exploration. Moreover, the software allows to program the user-specific commands. Stata contains, among other things, statistical tools for epidemiologists.
Statistica: first released in 1993, Statistica is an extremely complex software suite that contains powerful exploration tools for large data bases. The basic software includes basic statistics, analysis of variance, nonparametric statistics, linear and nonlinear models, techniques of exploratory multivariate analysis, neural nets, calculation of sample dimensions, experimental design analysis tools, quality control tools, etc.
StatView: statistical software for medical scientists and researchers. StatView allows to produce descriptive statistics, perform parametric and nonparametric hypothesis testing, calculate correlations and covariances, carry out linear, nonlinear, and logistic regression, compile contingency tables, and perform factorial analysis, survival analysis,
quality control, and Pareto analysis. A good graphical interface is available.

Systat: powerful graphics software. Allows for the visualization of data as well as the use of basic statistics to find an adequate model.

Solas: software treating missing data. Solas integrates algorithms of multiple imputation.

Vista: designed for those starting out in statistics as well as for professors. Vista can be used as a tutorial software in the domain of univariate, multivariate, graphic, and calculatory statistics.
WinBugs: a program of Bayesian data modeling based on Monte Carlo simulation techniques. It includes the representation of Bayesian models with commands that allow to carry out simulations. Moreover, an interface is available allowing one to control the analysis at any moment. The graphic tools allow one to control the convergence of the simulation.

XploRe: a wide-ranging statistical environment made for exploratory data analysis. It is programmed in the matrixoriented language, and as such it contains a large group of statistical operations and has a powerful interactive interface. The program supports userdefined macros.

## HISTORY

The first effort made by statisticians to simplify their statistical calculations was the construction of statistical tables. With the arrival of computers in the mid-1940s, the encoding of statistical subroutines took place. The programming languages at this
time were not widely known to researchers, and they had to wait till the beginning of 1960, when the programming language FORTRAN was released, for the development of statistical software programs. Among the first routines introduced by IBM, the best known is the pseudorandom number generator RANDU. The systematic development of statistical software began in 1960 with the creation of the programs BDM and SPSS, followed by SAS.
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## Statistical Table

A statistical table gives values of the distribution function or of the individual probability of a random variable following a specific probability distribution.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The necessity of a statistical table comes from the fact that certain distribution functions cannot be expressed in an easy-touse mathematical form. In other cases, it is impossible (or too difficult) to find a primitive of the density function for the direct calculation of the corresponding distribution function.
The values of the distribution function are calculated in advance and represented in a statistical table to simplify use.

## EXAMPLES

The normal table, the Student table, Fisher's tables, and the chi-square are examples of statistical tables.

## FURTHER READING

- Binomial table
- Chi-square table
- Distribution function
- Fisher table
- Kruskal-Wallis table
- Normal table
- Student table
- Wilcoxon signed table
- Wilcoxon table


## REFERENCES
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## Statistic

Statistic is the result of applying a function to a sample of data where the function itself is independent of the sampling distribution.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A statistic is an observable random variable, this differentiates it from a parameter.
are important desirable properties of statistics.

## EXAMPLES

arithmetic mean, variance, standard deviation.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square test of independence
- Percentile


## - $p$-value

- Quantile
- Student test


## Statistics

The word statistics, derived from Latin, refers to the notion of state (status): "What is relative to the state". Governments have a great need to count and measure numerous events and activities, such as changing demographics, births, immigration and emigration trends, changes in employment rates, businesses, etc.
In this perspective, the term "statistics" is used to indicate a set of available data about a given phenomenon (e.g., unemployment statistics).
In the more modern and more accurate sense of the word, "statistics" is considered a discipline that concerns itself with numeric data. It is made up of a set of techniques for obtaining knowledge from incomplete data, from a rigorous scientific system for managing data collection, their organization, analysis, and interpretation, when it is possible to present them in numeric form.
In a population of individuals, we would like to know, in terms of statistical theory, if a given individual has a car or if he smokes. On the other hand, we would like to know how many individuals have a car and are smokers, and if there is a relation between possessing a car and smoking habits in the studied population.
We would like to know the traits of the population globally, without concerning ourselves with each person or each object in the population.
We distinguish two subsets of techniques: (1) those involving descriptive statistics
and (2) those involving inferential statistics. The essential goal of descriptive statistics is to represent information in a comprehensible and usable format. Inferential statistics, on the other hand, aims to facilitate the generalization of this information or, more specifically, to make inferences (concerning populations) based on samples of these populations.

## HISTORY

The term "statistics", derived from the Latin "status" (state), was used for the first time, according to Kendall, M.G. (1960), by a historian named Ghilini, Girolamo in 1589.
According to Kendall, M.G. (1960) the true origin of modern statistics dates back to 1660.

Statistical methods and statistical distributions developed principally in the 19th century thanks to the important role of statistics in experimental and human sciences.
In the 20th century, statistics became a separate discipline because of the wealth and diversity of methods that it uses. This also explains the birth of specialized statistical encyclopedias such as the International Encyclopedia of Statistics (1978) published in two volumes and the Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences (1982-1988) in nine volumes.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Statistics is used in many domains, all of them very different from one another. Thus we find it being used in industrial production in the environmental and medical Science, as well as in official agencies.
Let us look at some of the areas that might interest a statistician:

- Fundamental research in statistics: research in probabilities, statistical methods, and theory.
- Biology: fundamental research and experiments related to the principal phenomena of living organisms and biometry.
- Commerce: data management, sales volume, management, inventory methods, industrial planning, communication and theoretical control, and accounting procedures.
- Demography: study of the increase in human population (birth and death rate, migratory movement), study of the structure of populations (personal, social, and economic characteristics).
- Economy: measure of the volume of production, commerce, resources, employment, and standard of living; analysis of the consumer and manufacturer behavior, responses of the market to price changes, impact of advertising, and government policies.
- Education: measures and tests related to the process of studying.
- Engineering: research and experiments including design and efficiency testing, improvements in test methods, questions related to inference and precision tests, improvements in quality control methods.
- Health: cost of accidents, medical care, hospitalization.
- Insurance: determining mortality and accident rates of insured people and of the general population; determining the prime rate for each category of risk; application of statistical techniques to insurance policy management.
- Marketing and research on consumption: problems related to markets, the
distribution system, detection of trends; study of consumer preferences and behavior.
- Medicine: epidemiology, fundamental research and experiments on the causes, diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of illnesses.
- Management and administration: problems related to personnel management, equipment, methods of production, and work conditions.
- Psychology and psychometry: problems related to the evaluation of the study capacity, intelligence, personal characteristics, and normal and abnormal behavior of an individual as well as the establishment of scales of measurement and instruments of measure.
- Social sciences: establishment of techniques of sampling and theoretical tests concerning social systems and societal well-being. Analysis of the cost of the social sciences; analysis of cultural differences on the level of values and social behavior.
- Space research: interpretation of experiments and analysis of data collected during space missions.
- Sciences (in general): fundamental research in the natural and social sciences.


## FURTHER READING

- Bayesian statistics
- Descriptive statistics
- Genetic statistics
- Inferential statistics
- Official statistics
- Spatial statistics
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## Stem and Leaf

See stem-and-leaf diagram.

## Stem-And-Leaf Diagram

The stem-and-leaf diagram is a graphical representation of frequencies. The basic idea is to provide information on the frequency distribution and retain the values of the data at the same time. Indeed, the stem corresponds to the class intervals and the leaf to the number of observations in the class represented by the different data. It is then possible to directly read the values of the data.

## HISTORY

The origin of the stem-and-leaf diagram is often associated with Tukey, J.W. (1977). Its concept is based on the histogram, which dates back to the 18th century.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

To construct a stem-and-leaf diagram, each number must be cut into a main part (stem)
and a secondary part (leaf). For example, 4.2, 42 , or 420 can be separated into 4 for the stem and 2 for the leaf. The stems are then listed vertically (one line per stem) in order of magnitude and the leaves are written next to them, also in order of magnitude. If a set of data includes the values 4.2, 4.4, 4.8, 4.4, and 4.1 , then the stem and leaf are as follows:

$$
4 \mid 12448
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The stem-and-leaf diagram provides information about distribution, symmetry, concentration, empty sets, and outliers.
Sometimes there are too many numbers with the same stem. In this case it is not possible to put them all on the same line. The most common solution consists in separating the ten leaves into two groups of five leaves, e.g., $4 \mid 0122444$ and $4 \mid 567889$.
It is possible to compare two series of data by putting the two leaves to the left and right of the same stem:

$$
012244|4| 567889
$$

## EXAMPLES

Per-capita GNP - 1970 (in dollars)

| AFRICA |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Algeria | 324 | Mauritius | 233 |
| Angola | 294 | Morocco | 224 |
| Benin | 81 | Mozambique | 228 |
| Botswana | 143 | Niger | 90 |
| Burundi | 67 | Nigeria | 145 |
| Central | 127 | Reunion | 769 |
| African Rep. |  |  |  |
| Chad | 74 | Rwanda | 60 |
| Comores | 102 | Senegal | 236 |


| AFRICA |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Congo | 238 | Sierra <br> Leone | 167 |
| Egypt | 217 | Somalia | 89 |
| Equat. Guinea | 267 | South Africa | 758 |
| Ethiopia | 72 | Rhodesia <br> (South) | 283 |
| Gabon | 670 | Sudan | 117 |
| Gambia | 101 | Swaziland | 270 |
| Ghana | 257 | Togo | 135 |
| Guinea | 82 | Tunisia | 281 |
| Guinea- | 259 | Uganda | 135 |
| Bissau | 347 | United Rep. |  |
| Ivory Coast | 143 | of Cameroon | 185 |
| Kenya | 74 | United Rep. |  |
| Lesotho | 268 | of Tanzania | 100 |
| Liberia | 133 | High-Volta | 59 |
| Madagascar | 74 | Zaire | 88 |
| Malawi | 54 | Zambia | 421 |
| Mali | 165 |  |  |
| Mauritania |  |  |  |

Source: Annual Statistics of the UN, 1976

The above table gives the per-capita GNP, in dollars, for most African countries in 1970. To establish a stem-and-leaf diagram from these data, they are first classified in order of magnitude. The stem is then chosen: in this example we will use hundreds. The tens number is then placed to the right of the corresponding hundred, which gives the leaf part of the diagram. The units are not taken into account.
The stem and leaf are as follows:

| Unit: 1 | $1=110$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 5566777788889 |
| 1 | 00012333444668 |
| 2 | 12233355667889 |


| Unit: $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 = 1 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 3 | 24 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 5 |  |
| 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 56 |

## FURTHER READING

- Frequency distribution
- Graphical representation
- Histogram
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## Stochastic Process

A stochastic process is a family of random variables. In practice, it serves to model a large number of temporal phenomena where chance comes into play.
We distinguish many types of stochastic processes using certain mathematical properties. The best known are:

- Markov process (or Markov chain)
- Martingale
- Stationary process
- Process of independent increments


## HISTORY

Stochastic processes originally resulted from advances in the early 20th century in certain applied branches of statistics such as statistical mechanics (by Gibbs, J.W., Boltzmann, L., Poincaré, H., Smoluchowski, M., and Langevin, P.). The theoretical foundations were formulated later by Doob, J.L., Kolmogorov, A.N., and others (1930-1940). During this period the word "stochastic",
from the Greek word for "guess, believe, imagine", started to be used. Other advances were made starting from a Brownian movement in physics (by Einstein, A., Levy, P., and Wiener, N.).
The Markov process was invented by Markov, Andrei Andreevich (18561922), who laid the foundation for the theory of Markov processes in finite time, generalized by the Russian mathematician Kolmogorov, A. (1936).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A stochastic process is a family $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in I}$ (where $I$ is a discrete or continuous set) of random variables defined on the same probability space. The processes in discrete time are represented by the following families $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}($ that is with $I=\mathbb{N})$ and the processes in continuous time by the families $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$ (that is, with $I=\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ ).
The term "stochastic process" is used if set $I$ is infinite. In physics, the elements of $I$ represent time.
For an event $w$ belonging to the fundamental set $\Omega$, we define the trajectory of the studied process as being the following family:

$$
\left(X_{t}(w)\right)_{t \in I},
$$

so that the "series" (for a process in discrete time) of the values of the random variables is taken for a chosen and fixed element.
The principal objects of the study of random process are the properties of random variables and trajectories.
We characterize the different types of processes relative to the probabilistic conditions to which they are related.

## 1. Markov process

A Markov process is a random process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ in discrete time for which the
probability of an event (in time $t+$ 1) depends only on the immediate past (time $t$ ).
This is mathematically expressed by the following condition:
For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots$, $i_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{t+1}=i_{t+1} \mid X_{t}=i_{t},\right. \\
& \left.\quad X_{t-1}=i_{t-1}, \ldots, X_{0}=i_{0}\right) \\
& =P\left(X_{t+1}=i_{t+1} \mid X_{t}=i_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Martingale

A martingale is a random process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ in discrete time that verifies the following condition:
For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
E\left(X_{t+1} \mid X_{t}, X_{t-1}, \ldots, X_{0}\right)=X_{t}
$$

## 3. Stationary process

A stationary process (in the strict sense of the term) is a random process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ for which the joint distributions of the process depend only on the time difference (between the considered variables in the joint distribution) and not on the "absolute" time. Mathematically:
For each $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k} \quad \in I(k \geq 2)$ and for each $a \in I, X_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{2}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}$ have the same joint distribution as $X_{t_{1}+a}, X_{t_{2}+a}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}+a}$.
4. Process with independent increments A process with independent increments is a random process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in I}$ for which:
For each $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{k} \in I: X_{t_{2}}-$ $X_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{3}}-X_{t_{2}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k-1}}$ the random variables are reciprocally independent.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Theoretically, there is no difference between the study of stochastic processes and statistics itself. In practice, the study of stochastic processes focuses more on the structure
and properties of models than on inferences from real data, which is properly the domain of statistics.

## EXAMPLES

1. Here we give an example that shows the close mathematical relations between statistics and stochastic processes. We consider the random variable $X_{i}=$ age of individual $i$ of a population (potentially infinite). A typical problem is to estimate the mean $E(X)$ with the following hypothesis:

- Random variable $X_{i}$ is independent.
- All $X_{i}$ are identically distributed.

2. Random walk. Let $Z_{n}, n \geq 2$, be independent and identically distributed random variables taking the value +1 with probability $p$ and the value -1 with probability $q=1-p$.
We define the stochastic process of the random walk as follows:

$$
X_{0}=0, X_{t}=\sum_{n=1}^{t} Z_{n} \quad \text { if } t>1
$$

A sample of a random walk is typically represented as follows:
$(0,1,2,1,0,-1,0,-1,-2,-3,-2, \ldots)$.
It is easy to see that the defined stochastic process is a Markov process (this fact is a direct consequence of the independence of $X_{t}$ ) with the following probabilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{t+1}=i+1 \mid X_{t}=i\right)=p, \\
& P\left(X_{t+1}=i-1 \mid X_{t}=i\right)=q .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(X_{t+1} \mid X_{t}\right) & =X_{t}+(+1) \cdot p+(-1) \cdot q \\
& =X_{t}+(p-q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The random walk is a martingale if and only if $p=q=0.5$.

## FURTHER READING

- Convergence
- Random variable
- Statistics
- Time series
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## Stratified Sampling

In stratified sampling, the population is first divided into subpopulations called strata. These must not interpenetrate each other, and the set of these strata must constitute the whole population.
Once the strata have been determined, a random sample is taken (not necessarily of the same size) from each stratum, this sampling being done independently in the different strata.

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

With respect to determining the number individuals to be taken from each stratum, there are mainly two approaches: the representative stratified sample and the optimal stratified sample.

## Representative Stratified Sample

In each stratum, a number of individuals proportional to the magnitude of the stratum is taken.
Let $N_{i}$ be the magnitude of stratum $i, N$ the number of individuals of the population, and $n$ the size of the global sample. The size of a subsample $n_{i}$ is defined by:

$$
n_{i}=\frac{N_{i}}{N} \cdot n, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

where $k$ is the number of strata in the population.

## Optimal Stratified Sample

The goal is to determine the sizes of the subsamples to be taken to obtain the best possible estimation. This is done by minimizing the variance of the estimator $m$ under the constraint

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}=n
$$

where $m$ is the mean of the sample defined by:

$$
m=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} \bar{x}_{i}
$$

where $\bar{x}_{i}$ is the mean of subsample $i$. This is a classical optimization problem and gives the following result:

$$
n_{i}=\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i},
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the standard deviation of stratum $i$.
Given a constant factor $n / \sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}$, the size of the subset is proportional to the magnitude of the stratum and to the standard deviation.
The larger the dispersion of the studied variable in the stratum and the larger the size of $N_{i}$, the larger the size of subsample $n_{i}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Stratified sampling is based on the idea of obtaining, as a result of controlling certain variables, a sample that recreates an image that is as close as possible to the population. The dispersion in the population may be strong; in this case, to maintain the sampling error at an acceptable level, a very large sample must be created, which can increase the cost considerably. To avoid this problem, stratified sampling can be a good alternative. The population can be subdivided into strata in such a way that the variation within the strata is relatively low, which will reduce the required sample size for a given sampling error.
Stratified sampling may be justified for the following reasons:

1. If the population is too heterogeneous, it is preferable to have more homogeneous groups to obtain a sample that is more representative of the population.
2. If one wants to obtain information on particular aspects of a population (e.g., with respect to different states), stratified sampling is better suited for this.
A control character used to define the strata must obey the following rules:

- It must be in close correlation with the studied variables.
- It must have a known value for every unit of the population.
Some of the most commonly used control variables are gender, age, region, socioprofessional class, etc.


## EXAMPLES

Consider a population of 600 students divided into 4 strata. We are interested in the results of a test with scores ranging from 0 to 100 .
Suppose we have the following information:

|  | Size $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | Standard deviation $\sigma_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Stratum 1 | 285 | 8.6 |
| Stratum 2 | 150 | 5.2 |
| Stratum 3 | 90 | 2.2 |
| Stratum 4 | 75 | 1.4 |

If we want to take a stratified sample of 30 individuals, we obtain the following subsamples:

1. Representative stratified sample

$$
n_{i}=\frac{N_{i}}{N} \cdot n
$$

We then obtain the following values, rounded to the nearest integer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} & =\frac{N_{1}}{N} \cdot n \\
& =\frac{285}{600} \cdot 30 \\
& =14.25 \\
& \approx 14 \\
n_{2} & =7.5 \approx 7 \\
n_{3} & =4.5 \approx 5 \\
n_{4} & =3.75 \approx 4
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Optimal stratified sample

$$
n_{i}=\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}} \cdot N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1} \cdot \sigma_{1}=2451 \\
& N_{2} \cdot \sigma_{2}=780 \\
& N_{3} \cdot \sigma_{3}=198 \\
& N_{4} \cdot \sigma_{4}=105
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant factor $n / \sum_{i=1}^{4} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}$ is equal to:

$$
\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}}=\frac{30}{3534}=0.0085
$$

We obtain the following values, rounded to the nearest integer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=0.0085 \cdot 2451=20.81 \approx 21 \\
& n_{2}=0.0085 \cdot 780=6.62 \approx 6 \\
& n_{3}=0.0085 \cdot 198=1.68 \approx 2 \\
& n_{4}=0.0085 \cdot 105=0.89 \approx 1
\end{aligned}
$$

If we compare both methods, we can see that, given a more homogeneous stratum, the second method takes a larger number of individuals in the first stratum (the most dispersed) and a smaller number of individuals in the second stratum.

## FURTHER READING

- Estimation
- Estimator
- Sampling
- Simple random sampling
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## Student

See Sealy, Gosset William.

## Student Distribution

Random variable $T$ follows a Student distribution if its density function is of the form:

$$
f(t)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{v+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{v \pi} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)}\left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{v}\right)^{-\frac{v+1}{2}}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function (see gamma distribution) and $v$ the number of degrees of freedom.


The Student distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

## HISTORY

The Student distribution was created by Gosset, W.S., known as "Student", who in 1908 published an article in which he described the density function of the difference between the mean of a sample and the mean of the population from which the sample was taken, divided by the standard deviation of the sample. He also provided in the same article the first table of the corresponding distribution function.
Student continued his research and in 1925 published an article in which he proposed new tables that were more extensive and more precise.
Fisher, R.A. was interested in Gosset's works. He wrote him in 1912 to propose
a geometric demonstration of the Student distribution and to introduce the notion of degrees of freedom. In 1925 he published an article in which he defines the ratio $t$ :

$$
t=\frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{X}{v}}},
$$

where $X$ and $Z$ are two independent random variables, $X$ is distributed according to a chisquare distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom, and $Z$ is distributed according to the centered and reduced normal distribution. This ratio is called the $t$ of Student.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $X$ is a random variable that follows a chi-square distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom, and $Z$ is a random variable distributed according to the standard normal distribution, then the random variable

$$
T=\frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{X}{v}}}
$$

follows a Student distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom if $X$ and $Z$ are independent. Consider random variable $T$ :

$$
T=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}-\mu)}{S},
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the mean of a sample, $\mu$ is the mean of the population from which the sample was taken, and $S$ is the standard deviation of the sample given by:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

Then $T$ follows a Student distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom.

The expected value of random variable $T$ following a Student distribution is given by:

$$
E[T]=0, \quad v>1,
$$

and the variance is equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(T)=\frac{v}{v-2}, \quad v>2,
$$

where $v$ is the number of degrees of freedom.
The Student distribution is related to other continuous probability distributions:

- When the number of degrees of freedom is sufficiently large, the Student distribution approaches a normal distribution.
- When the number of degrees of freedom $v$ is equal to 1 , the Student distribution is identical to a Cauchy distribution with $\alpha=0$ and $\theta=1$.
- If random variable $X$ follows a Student distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom, then random variable $X^{2}$ follows a Fisher distribution with 1 and $v$ degrees of freedom.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Student distribution is a symmetric distribution with a mean equal to 0 . The larger its number of degrees of freedom, the smaller its standard deviation becomes.
The Student distribution is used in inferential statistics in relation to the $t$ ratio of Student in hypothesis testing and in the construction of confidence intervals for the mean of a population.
In tests of analysis of variance, the Student distribution can be used when the sum of squares between the groups (or the sum of squares of the factors) to be compared only has one degree of freedom.

The normal distribution can be used as an approximation of the Student distribution when the number of observations $n$ is large ( $n>30$ according to most authors).

## FURTHER READING

- Cauchy distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Fisher distribution
- Normal distribution
- Student table
- Student test
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## Student Table

The Student table gives the values of the distribution function of a random variable following a Student distribution.

## HISTORY

Gosset, William Sealy, known as "Student", developed in 1908 the Student test and the table bearing his name.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let random variable $T$ follow a Student distribution with $v$ degrees of freedom. The density function of random variable $T$ is given by:
$f(s)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{v+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{v \pi} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)}\left(1+\frac{s^{2}}{v}\right)^{-(v+1) / 2}$,
where $\Gamma$ represents the gamma function (see gamma distribution).
The distribution function of random variable $T$ is defined by:

$$
F(t)=P(T \leq t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} f(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

For each value of $v$, the Student table gives the value of the distribution function $F(t)$. Since the Student distribution is symmetric relative to the origin, we have:

$$
F(t)=1-F(-t),
$$

which allows to determine $F(t)$ for each negative value of $t$.
The Student table is used in the inverse sense: to find the values of $t$ corresponding to a given probability. In this case, the Student table gives the critical value for the number of degrees of freedom $v$ and the significance level $\alpha$. We generally denote by $t_{v, \alpha}$ the value of random variable $T$ for which:

$$
P\left(T \leq t_{v, \alpha}\right)=1-\alpha
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

## See Student test.

## EXAMPLES

See Appendix E.
We consider a one-tailed Student test on a sample of dimension $n$. For $v=n-1$ degrees of freedom, the Student table allows to determine the critical value $t_{v, \alpha}$ of the test for the given significance level $\alpha$.
For an example using the Student table, see Student test.

## FURTHER READING

- Statistical table
- Student distribution

Student test
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## Student Test

The Student test is a parametric hypothesis test on the sample mean or on the comparison of the means of two samples.

## HISTORY

See under history of Student table.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X$ be a random variable distributed according to a normal distribution. Random variable $T$ defined above follows a Student distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom:

$$
T=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}}
$$

where $\bar{X}$ is the arithmetic mean of the sample, $\mu$ is the mean of the population, $S$ is the standard deviation of the sample, and $n$ is the sample size.
The Student test consists in calculating this ratio for the sample data and comparing it with the theoretical value of the Student table.
A. Student test for one or single sample

1. The hypotheses we want to test is:

- Null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu=\mu_{0}
$$

We should test if the sample comes from a population with a specified mean, $\mu_{0}$, or if there is a statistically significant difference between the observed mean in the sample and the hypothetical mean on the population ( $\mu_{0}$ ) under $H_{0}$.

- Alternative hypothesis:

The alternative hypothesis can take three forms:

## $H_{1}: \mu>\mu_{0}$ (one-sided test

on the right)
$H_{1}: \quad \mu<\mu_{0}$ (one-sided test on the left)
$H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}$ (two-sided test).
2. Calculation of the t-statistic as:

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}}
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ is the mean of the population specified by $H_{0}, \bar{x}$ is the sample mean, $S$ is the sample standard deviation, and $n$ is the sample size.
3. Choose of significance level $\alpha$ of test
4. Compare of the calculated value $t$ with the appropriate critical value $t_{n-1}$ (the value from the Student table with $n-1$ degrees of freedom). Reject $H_{0}$ if the absolute value of $t$ is greater than the critical value $t_{n-1}$.
The critical values for different degrees of freedom and different significance levels are given by the Student table.
For a one-sided test we take the value $t_{n-1,1-\alpha}$ in the table, and for a two-sided test we take the value $t_{n-1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.
B. Student test for two samples

1. The hypotheses we want to test are:

## - Null hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}
$$

In a test that designed to compare two samples, we want to know if there exists a significative difference between the mean of two populations from which the samples were drawn.

## - Alternative hypothesis:

It can take the following three forms:
$H_{1}: \mu_{1}>\mu_{2}($ one-sided test
on the right $)$
$H_{1}: \quad \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}$ (one-sided test on the left)
$H_{1}: \quad \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ (two-sided test).
2. Compute the $t$-statistic by:

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{S_{p} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}}}
$$

where $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are the respective samples sizes and $S_{p}$ is the pooled standard deviation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p} & =\sqrt{\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) S_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i j}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{1}^{2}$ represents the sample standard deviation $1, S_{2}$ that of sample 2 , and $x_{i j}$ observation $j$ of sample $i$.
3. Choose the significance level $\alpha$ of test
4. Compare of the calculated value of $t$ with the critical value $t_{n_{1}+n_{2}-2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ (the value of the Student table with $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right)$ degrees of freedom). Reject $H_{0}$ if the absolute value of $t$ is greater than that of the critical value.
If the test is one-sided, then take the value $t_{n_{1}+n_{2}-2,1-\alpha}$ from the Student table, and if it is two-sided, take the value $t_{n_{1}+n_{2}-2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

## Test of Signification of Coefficient of Regression

The Student test can also be applied to regression problems. Consider a simple linear regression model for which the data consist of $n$ pairs of observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=$ $1, \ldots, n$, modeled by

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \cdot x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i},
$$

where the $\varepsilon_{i}$ are the random independent and normally distributed errors with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
The estimators of $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \bar{x}, \\
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimator $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ is normally distributed with mean $\beta_{1}$ and variance

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

If $\sigma^{2}$ is estimated by the mean of squares of residuals $S^{2}$ and replaced in the expression by the variance of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, then the ratio

$$
T=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)}}
$$

is distributed according to the Student distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom.
At a certain significance level $\alpha$ we can make a Student test of the coefficient $\beta_{1}$. The hypotheses are the following:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=0$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$.

If the absolute value of the calculated ratio $T$ is greater than the value of $t$ in the Student table $t_{n-2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, then we can conclude that the coefficient $\beta_{1}$ is significatively different from zero. In the contrary case, we cannot reject the null hypothesis $\left(\beta_{1}=0\right)$. We conclude in this case that the slope of the regression line is not significatively different from zero.
A similar test can be made for the constant $\beta_{0}$ :
The estimator $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ is normally distributed with mean $\beta_{0}$ and variance

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)=\sigma^{2} \cdot\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right] .
$$

If $\sigma^{2}$ is estimated by the mean of squares of residuals $S^{2}$ and is replaced by the expression $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)$, then the ratio

$$
T=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{0}-\beta_{0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)}}
$$

is distributed according to the Student distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom.
The Student test concerns the following hypotheses:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \beta_{0}=0$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \beta_{0} \neq 0$.
If the absolute value of the calculated ratio $T$ is greater than the value of $t$ in the Student table $t_{n-2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, then we can conclude that the coefficient $\beta_{0}$ is significatively different from zero. In the contrary case, we cannot reject the null hypothesis ( $\beta_{0}=0$ ). We conclude that the regression is not significatively different from zero.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Generally, we should be very attentive to the choice of the test to perform. The Student test is often falsely applied. In fact, it means something only if the observations come from a normally distributed population or are close to a normally distributed one. If this requirement is not satisfied, the critical value of the Student test does not provide an absolute guarantee.
If the normal distribution is applicable, the Student test on a sample is used when the standard deviation of the population is unknown and when the dimension of the sample is not large ( $n<30$ ).
Likewise, when there are two samples, the Student test is used when the standard deviations of two populations are unknown and supposed equal and when the sum of dimensions of samples is relatively small $\left(n_{1}+\right.$ $n_{2}<30$ ).

## EXAMPLES

## Student Test on a Sample

Consider a sample of dimension $n=10$ where the observations are normally distributed and are the following:
47514849485247494647.

We want to test the following hypotheses:
Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \mu=50$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \mu \neq 50$.
The arithmetic mean of the observations equals:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_{i}}{n}=\frac{484}{10}=48.4 .
$$

We summarize the calculation in the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 47 | 1.96 |
| 51 | 6.76 |
| 48 | 0.16 |
| 49 | 0.36 |
| 48 | 0.16 |
| 52 | 12.96 |
| 47 | 1.96 |
| 49 | 0.36 |
| 46 | 5.76 |
| 47 | 1.96 |
| Total | 32.4 |

The standard deviation equals:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{32.4}{9}}=1.90
$$

We calculate the ratio:

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}}=\frac{48.4-50}{\frac{1.90}{\sqrt{10}}}=-2.67
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to the test equals $n-1=9$.
If we choose a significance level $\alpha$ of $5 \%$, then the value $t_{n-1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ of the Student table equals:

$$
t_{9,0.975}=2.26
$$

As $|t|=2.67>2.26$, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that at a significance level of $5 \%$, the sample does not come from a population with a mean $\mu=50$.

## Student Test on Two Samples

A study is conducted to compare the mean lifetime of two brands of tires. A sample was taken for each brand. The results are:

- For brand 1:

Mean lifetime: $\bar{x}_{1}=72000 \mathrm{~km}$
Standard deviation: $S_{1}=3200 \mathrm{~km}$
Sample dimension: $n_{1}=50$

- For brand 2:

Mean lifetime: $\bar{x}_{2}=74400 \mathrm{~km}$
Standard deviation: $S_{2}=2400 \mathrm{~km}$
Sample size: $n_{2}=40$
We want to know if there exists a significative difference in the lifetime of the two brands of tires, at a significance level $\alpha$ of $1 \%$. This implies the following hypotheses:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ :

$$
\mu_{1}=\mu_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=0
$$

## Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ :

$$
\mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \mu_{1}-\mu_{1} \neq 0
$$

The weighted standard deviation $S_{p}$ equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p} & =\sqrt{\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) \cdot S_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) \cdot S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{49 \cdot 3200^{2}+39 \cdot 2400^{2}}{50+40-2}} \\
& =2873.07
\end{aligned}
$$

We can calculate the ratio $t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{S_{p} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}}} \\
& =\frac{72000-74400}{2873.07 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{50}+\frac{1}{40}}} \\
& =-3.938 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to the test equals:

$$
v=n_{1}+n_{2}-2=88
$$

The value of $t_{v, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ found in the Student table, for $\alpha=1 \%$, is:

$$
t_{88,0.995}=2.634
$$

As the absolute value of the calculated ratio is greater than this value, $|t|=|-3.938|>$
2.634, we reject the null hypothesis for the alternative hypothesis and conclude that at the significance level $1 \%$, the two brands of tires do not have the same mean lifetime.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Parametric test
- Student distribution
- Student table
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## Sure Event

See sample space.

## Survey

An inquiry by survey, or simply survey, is an inquiry made on a restricted part of a population. This fraction of the population constitutes the sample, and the methods that allow one to construct this sample are called sampling methods.
Proceeding with the survey, we obtain information on the sampled units. Then, with the help of inferential statistics, we generalize this information to the entire population. This generalization introduces a certain error. The importance of this error will depend on the sample size, how they were chosen on the sampling scheme
used to draw the sample, and the estimator that was used. The more representative the sample, the smaller the error. Sampling methods are very useful in order to draw samples which result in a minimal error.
Another technique consists in observing all individuals of a population. We refer here to a census. Surveys have cost and speed advantages over censuses that largely compensate for sampling error. Moreover, it is sometimes impossible to carry out a census, for example, when the fact of observing a unit of a population means its destruction or when the population is infinite.

FURTHER READING

- Census
- Data collection
- Inferential statistics
- Panel
- Population
- Sample
- Sampling
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## Systematic Sampling

Systematic sampling is a random type of sampling. Individuals are taken from a population at fixed intervals according to time, space, or order of occurrence, the first individual being drawn randomly.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a population composed of $N$ individuals. A systematic sample is made up of individuals whose numbers consitute an arithmetic progression.
A first number $b$ is chosen randomly between 1 and $r$, where $r=\frac{N}{n}, n$ is the size of the sample, and $r$ is common difference between successive form of the arithmetic progression. Individuals sampled in this way will have the following numbers:

$$
b, b+r, b+2 r, \ldots, b+(n-1) r .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Systematic sampling is possible only if the individuals are classified in a certain order. The main advantage of this method lies in its simplicity and, therefore, its low cost.
On the other hand, it has the disadvantage of not taking into account an eventual periodicity of the studied trait. Serious errors could occur from this, especially if the period is a submultiple of the ratio of the arithmetic progression.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a population of 600 students grouped in alphabetical order from which we want to take a sample of 30 individuals according to systematic sampling.
The ratio of the arithmetic progression is equal to:

$$
r=\frac{N}{n}=\frac{600}{30}=20 .
$$

A first number is chosen randomly between 1 and 20, for example $b=17$.
The sample will be composed of the students with the numbers:

$$
17,37,57, \ldots, 597 .
$$

## HISTORY

See sampling.

## FURTHER READING

- Cluster sampling
- Sampling
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## Target Population

The concept of the target population is defined as a population to which we would like to apply the results of an inquiry.

## EXAMPLES

We want to conduct an inquiry on foreigners living in city $X$. The population is represented by the list of foreigners registered in the city.
The target population is defined by the collection of foreigners living in city $X$, including those not on the list (unannounced, clandestine, etc.).

## FURTHER READING

- Population


## Test of Independence

A test of independence is a hypothesis test where the objective is to determine if two random variables are independent or not.

## HISTORY

See Kendall rank correlation coefficient, Spearman rank correlation coefficient, and chi-square test of independence.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ be two samples of dimension $n$. The objective is to test the following two hypotheses:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : The two variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent.

Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ : The two variables $X$ and $Y$ are not independent.

The test of independence consists in comparing the empirical distribution with the theoretical distribution by calculating an indicator.
The test of independence applied to two continuous variables is based on the ranks of the observations. Such is the case if the tests are based on the Spearman rank correlation coefficient or on the Kendall rank correlation coefficient.
In the case of two categorical variables, the most widely used test is the chi-square test of independence.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To make a test of independence each couple ( $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ ), $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ must come from the same bivariate population.

## EXAMPLES

See chi-square test of independence.

## FURTHER READING

- Chi-square test of independence
- Hypothesis testing
- Kendall rank correlation coefficient
- Nonparametric test
- Spearman rank correlation coefficient


## Time Series

A time series is a sequence of observations measured at succesive times. Time series are monthly, trimestrial, or annual, sometimes weekly, daily, or hourly (study of road traffic, telephone traffic), or biennial or decennial. Time series analysis consists of methods that attempt to understand such time series to make predictions.
Time series can be decomposed into four components, each expressing a particular aspect of the movement of the values of the time series.
These four components are:

- Secular trend, which describe the movement along the term;
- Seasonal variations, which represent seasonal changes;
- Cyclical fluctuations, which correspond to periodical but not seasonal variations;
- Irregular variations, which are other nonrandom sources of variations of series.
The analysis of time series consists in making mathematical descriptions of these elements, that is, estimating separately the four components.


## HISTORY

In an article in 1936 Funkhauser, H.G. reproduced a diagram of the 10th century that shows the inclination of the orbit of seven planets in function of time. Accord-
ing to Kendall this is the oldest time diagram known in the western world.
It is adequate to look to astronomy for the origins of the time series. The observation of the stars was popular in antiquity.
In the 16th century, astronomy was again a source of important discoveries. The works of Brahe, Tycho (1546-1601), whose collection of data on the movement of the planets allowed Kepler, Johannes (1571-1630) to formulate his laws on planetary motion. A statistical analysis of data, as empirical as it could be at that time, was at the base of this great scientist's work.
The development of mathematics in the 18th and 19th centuries made it possible to bypass graphical visualization to arrive at the first techniques of time series analysis. Using the frequency approach, scientists developed the first works in this domain.
Frequency analysis (also called harmonic analysis) was originally designed to highlight one or more cyclical "components" of a time series.
In 1919, Persons, W.M. proposed a decomposition of time series in terms of tendency (secular trends), cyclical cyclical fluctuations), seasonal (seasonal variation), and accidental (irregular variation) components. Many works have been devoted to the determination and elimination of one or another of these components.
The determination of tendencies has often been related to problems of regression analysis, also developed researchers relative to nonlinear tendencies, as for example the Gompertz curve (established in 1825 by Gompertz, Benjamin) or the logistic curve (introduced in 1844 by Verhulst, Pierre François). Another approach to determining tendencies concerns the use of moving averages because they allow one to treat the
problem of tendencies when they serve to eliminate irregular or periodic variations.
The use of moving averages was developed in two directions: for actuarial problems and for the study of the time series in economy. The use of moving averages is particularly developed in frame of the methods of Seasonal Adjustment Methods. More precisely, the separation of seasonal variables from the other components of the time series was studied originally by Copeland, M.T. in 1915 and Persons, W.M. in 1919 and continued by Macauley, F.R. in 1930.
Macauley, F.R. used the method of ratios to the moving average that is still widely used today (see seasonal variation) see also Dufour (2006).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $Y_{t}$ be a time series that can be decomposed with the help of these four components:

- Secular trend $T_{t}$
- Seasonal variations $S_{t}$
- Cyclical fluctuations $C_{t}$
- Irregular variations $I_{t}$

We suppose that the values taken by the ran-
dom variable $Y_{t}$ are determined by a relation between the four previous components. We distinguish three models of composition:

1. Additive model:

$$
Y_{t}=T_{t}+C_{t}+S_{t}+I_{t}
$$

The four components are assumed to be independent of one another.
2. Multiplicative model:

$$
Y_{t}=T_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot I_{t}
$$

With the help of logarithms we pass from the multiplicative model to the additive model.
3. Mixed model:

$$
Y_{t}=S_{t}+\left(T_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{t}\right)
$$

or

$$
Y_{t}=C_{t}+\left(T_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot I_{t}\right)
$$

This type of model is very little used.
We choose the additive model when seasonal variations are almost constant and their influence on the tendency does not depend on its level.
When the seasonal variations are of an amplitude almost proportional to that of the secular trends, we introduce the multiplicative model; this is generally the case.
The analysis of time series consists in determining the values taken by each component. We always start with the seasonal variations and end on the cyclical fluctuations. All fluctuations that cannot be attributed to one of the three components will be grouped with the irregular variations.
Based on the used model the analysis can, after the components are determined, adjust subsequent data by substraction or division. Thus when we have estimated the secular trend $T_{t}$, at each time $t$, the time series is adjusted in the following manner:

- If we use the additive model $Y_{t}=T_{t}+$ $C_{t}+S_{t}+I_{t}$ :

$$
Y_{t}-T_{t}=C_{t}+S_{t}+I_{t} .
$$

- For the multiplicative model $Y_{t}=T_{t} \cdot C_{t}$. $S_{t} \cdot I_{t}$ :

$$
\frac{Y_{t}}{T_{t}}=C_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot I_{t}
$$

Successively evaluating each of the three components and adjusting the time series among them, we obtain the values attributed to the irregular variations.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

When the time series are not in a long enough period, we group the secular trend and the cyclical fluctuations in one component called extraseasonal movement.

## Graphical Representation

The graphical representation of time series helps to analyze observed values depending on time.
To analyze these values, a certain number of precautions must be taken:

1. Each point must be representative to an observation. If the value represents a stock, on a fix date, then the point is found on the reference date. If there is a flow, for example, monthly, then the point is fixed in the middle of the month.
2. Sometimes one has to report, on the same graph, annual data and monthly data. The representative points of the results should be 12 times more frequent than those relative to the annual results. Thus we have to pay attention to the representative points in the right place.
3. If many series are represented on the same graph, they must be identical in nature. Otherwise, we should use different scales or place graphs below one another.
The principal objectives of the study of the time series are:

- Description: determination or elimination of different components
- Stochastic modeling
- Forecasting
- Filtering: elimination or conversion of certain characteristics
- Control: observation of the past of a process to react to future evolution

For forecasting, the analysis of time series is based on the following hypotheses:

- The great historically observed tendencies are maintained in the more or less near future.
- The measurable fluctuations of a variable are reproduced in regular intervals.
The analysis of time series will be justified as long as it allows one to reduce the level of uncertainty in the elaboration of forecasts. We distinguish:


## 1. Long-term forecast:

Based on the secular trend; generally does not take into account cyclical fluctuations.
2. Middle-term forecast:

To take into account the probable effect of the cyclical component, we should multiply the forecasted value of the secular trend by an estimation of the relative discounted variation attributable to the cyclical fluctuations.
3. Short-term forecast:

Generally we do not try to forecast irregular variations or make short-term forecasts or forecasts concerning the longer periods. Thus we multiply the forecasted values of the secular trend by estimating the cyclical fluctuations and by the seasonal variation of the corresponding date.
During an analysis of time series, we face the following problems:

1. Is the classical model appropriate?

We expect the quality of the results of analysis to be proportional to the precision and the accuracy of the model itself. The multiplicative model $Y_{t}=T_{t} \cdot S_{t} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{t}$ presupposes that the four components are independent, which is rarely true in reality.
2. Are our hypotheses of the constancy and regularity valid?
The analysis must be adjusted for subjective and qualitative factors that exist in almost any situation.

## 3. Can we trust the available data?

The problem may arise of breaks of series resulting from insufficiency of data or a change in the quality of variables.

## EXAMPLES

A time series is generally represented as follows:


We distinguish four components:

- Secular trend, slightly increasing in the present case
- Seasonal variations, readily apparent
- Cyclical fluctuations, in the form of cycles of an approximate amplitude of 27 units of time
- Irregular variations, generally weak enough, except $t=38$, which represents a very abrupt fall that would not be rational


## FURTHER READING

- Cyclical fluctuation
- Forecasting
- Graphical representation
- Irregular variation
- Seasonal variation
- Secular trend
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## Trace

For a square matrix $A$ of order $n$, we define the trace of $A$ as the sum of the terms situated on the diagonal. Thus the trace of a matrix is a scalar quantity.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $A$ be a square matrix of order $n, A=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. We define trace $A$ by:

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i i}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

As we are interested only in diagonal elements, the trace is defined only for square matrices.

1. The trace of the sum of two matrices equals the sum of the traces of the matrices:

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A+B)=\operatorname{tr}(A)+\operatorname{tr}(B) .
$$

2. The trace of the product of two matrices does not change with the commutations of the matrices. Mathematically:

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A \cdot B)=\operatorname{tr}(B \cdot A) .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Let $A$ and $B$ be two square matrices of order 2:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \text { and } B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 2 \\
-2 & 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The calculation of traces gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}(A)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right]=1+3=4 \\
& \operatorname{tr}(B)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 2 \\
-2 & 3
\end{array}\right]=5+3=8
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(A+B) & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
6 & 4 \\
-1 & 6
\end{array}\right] \\
& =6+6=12 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We verify that $\operatorname{tr}(A)+\operatorname{tr}(B)=12$. On the other hand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \cdot B & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 8 \\
-1 & 11
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \\
B \cdot A & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7 & 16 \\
1 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{tr}(A \cdot B) & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 8 \\
-1 & 11
\end{array}\right] \\
& =1+11=12 \\
\operatorname{tr}(B \cdot A) & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7 & 16 \\
1 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
& =7+5=12
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{tr}(A \cdot B)=\operatorname{tr}(B \cdot A)=12$.

## FURTHER READING

- Matrix


## Transformation

A transformation is a change in one or many variables in a statistical study.
We transform variables, for example, by replacing them with their logarithms (logarithmic transformation).

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We transform variables for many reasons:

1. When we are in the presence of a data set relative to many variables and we want to express one of them, $Y$ (dependent variable), with the help of others (called independent variables) and we want to linearize the relation between the variables. For example, when the relation between independent variables is multiplicative, a logarithmic transformation of the data makes it additive and allows the use of linear regression to estimate the parameters of the model.
2. When we are in the presence of a data set relative to a variable and its variance is not constant, it is generally possible to make it almost constant by transforming this variable.
3. When a random variable follows any distribution, an adequate transformation of it allows to obtain a new random variable that approximately follows a normal distribution.

The advantages of transforming data set relative to a variable are largely summarized as follows:

- It simplifies existing relations with other variables.
- A remedy for outliers, linearity and homoscedasticity.
- It produces a variable that is approximately normally distributed.


## FURTHER READING

- Dependent variable
- Independent variable
- Logarithmic transformation
- Normal distribution
- Regression analysis
- Variance
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## Transpose

The (matrix) transpose of a matrix $A$ of order ( $m \times n$ ) is a matrix $(n \times m)$, denoted by $A^{\prime}$, obtained by writing the lines of $A$ in columns of $A^{\prime}$ and vice versa.
Thus we effect simple "inversion" of the table.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ is a matrix of order $(m \times n)$, then the transpose of $A$ is the matrix $A^{\prime}$ of order $(n \times m)$ given by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A^{\prime}=\left(a_{j i}\right) \quad & \text { with } j=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \text { and } i=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

Transposition is a reversible and reciprocal operation; thus taking the transpose of the transpose of a matrix, we find the initial matrix.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

We use the transpose of a matrix, or more precisely the transpose of a vector, while calculating the scalar product.

## EXAMPLES

Let $A$ be the following matrix of order $(3 \times 2)$ :

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 3 \\
2 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

The transpose of $A$ is the matrix $(2 \times 3)$ :

$$
A^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

We can also verify that the transpose of $A^{\prime}$ equals the initial matrix $A$ :

$$
\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=A
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Matrix
- Vector


## Treatment

In an experimental design, a treatment is a particular combination of levels of various factors.

## EXAMPLES

Experiments are often carried out to compare two or more treatments, for example two different fertilizers on a particular type of plant or many types of drugs to treat a certain illness. Another example consists in measuring the time of coagulation of blood samples of 16 animals having supported different regimes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D .
In this case, different examples of treatments are, respectively, fertilizers, drugs,

On the other hand, in experiments that test a particular fertilizer, for example azote, on a harvest of wheat, we can consider different quantities of the same fertilizer as different treatments. Here, we have one factor (azote) on different levels (quantities), for example $30 \mathrm{~kg}, 50 \mathrm{~kg}, 100 \mathrm{~kg}$, and 200 kg . Each of these levels corresponds to a treatment.

The goal of an experiment is to determine if there is a real (significative) difference between these treatments.

## FURTHER READING

- Design of experiments
- Experiment
- Experimental unit
- Factor
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## Tukey, John Wilder

Tukey, John Wilder was born in Bedford, MA in 1915. He studied chemistry at Brown University and received his doctorate in mathematics at Princeton University in 1939. At the age of 35 , he became professor of mathematics at Princeton. He was a key player in the formation in the Dept of Statistics in Princeton University in 1966. He served as its chairman during 19661969. He is the author of Exploratory Data Analysis (1977) (translated into Russian) and eight volumes of articles. He is coauthor of Statistical Problems of the Kinsey Report on Sexual Behavior in the Human Male; Data Analysis and Regression (also translated into Russian), Index to Statistics and Probability. He is coeditor of the following books: Understanding Robust and Exploratory Data Analysis, Exploring Data Tables, Trends Shapes, Configural Polysampling, and Fundamentals of Exploratory Analysis of Variance. He died in New Jersey in July 2000.

Selected principal works and articles of Tukey, John Wilder:

1977 Exploratory Data Analysis. 1st edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.

1980 We need both exploratory and confirmatory. Am. Stat. 34, 23-25.
1986 Data analysis and behavioral science or learning to bear the quantitative man's burden by shunning badmandments. In: Collected Works of John W. Tukey, Vol III: Philosophy and Principles of Data Analysis, 1949-1964. (Jones, L.V., ed.) Wadsworth Advanced Books \& Software, Monterey, CA.

1986 Collected Works of John W. Tukey, Vol III: Philosophy and Principles of Data Analysis, 1949-1964. (Jones, L.V., ed.) Wadsworth Advanced Books \& Software, Monterey, CA.

1986 Collected Works of John W. Tukey, Vol IV: Philosophy and Principles of Data Analysis, 1965-1986. (Jones, L.V., ed.) Wadsworth Advanced Books \& Software, Monterey, CA.

1988 Collected Works of John W. Tukey, Vol V: Graphics, 1965-1985. (Cleveland, W.S., ed.) Waldsworth and Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA.
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- Exploratory data analysis


## Two-Sided Test

A two-sided test concerning a population is a hypothesis test that is applied when we want to compare an estimate of a parameter to a given value against the alternative hypothesis not equal to the statet value.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

A two-tail test is a hypothesis test on a population of the type:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \theta=\theta_{0}$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \theta \neq \theta_{0}$,
where $\theta$ is a parameter of the population whose value is unknown and $\theta_{0}$ is the presumed value of this parameter.
For a test concerning the comparison of two populations, the hypotheses are:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: \quad \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \quad \theta_{1} \neq \theta_{2}$,
where $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the unknown parameters of two underlying populations.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

In a two-sided test, the rejection region is divided into two parts, the left and the right sides of the considered parameter.

## EXAMPLES

A company produces steel cables. It wants to verify if the diameter $\mu$ of much of the produced cable conforms tothe standard diameter of 0.9 cm .
It takes a sample of 100 cables whose mean diameter is 0.93 cm with a standard deviation of $S=0.12$.
The hypotheses are the following:

$$
\text { Null hypothesis } H_{0}: \quad \mu=0.9
$$

$$
\text { Alternative hypothesis } H_{1}: \quad \mu \neq 0.9,
$$

As the sample size is large enough, the sampling distribution of the mean can be approximated by a normal distribution.
For a significance level $\alpha=5 \%$, we obtain a critical value $z_{\alpha / 2}$ in the normal table of $z_{\alpha / 2}=1.96$.

We find the acceptance region of the null hypotheses (see rejection region):

$$
\mu \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the population. Since the standard deviation $\sigma$ is unknown, we estimate it using the standard deviation $S$ of the sample ( $S=0.12$ ), which gives:

$$
0.9 \pm 1.96 \cdot \frac{0.12}{\sqrt{100}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0.8765 \\
0.9235
\end{array}\right.
$$

The acceptance region of the null hypothesis equals the interval [0.8765, 0.9235]. Because the mean of the sample $(0.93 \mathrm{~cm})$ is outside this interval, we must reject the null hypothesis for the alternative hypothesis. We conclude that at a significance level of $5 \%$ and depending on the studied sample, the diameter of the cables does not conform to the norm.
A study is carried out to compare the mean lifetime of two types of tires. A sample of 50 tires of brand 1 gives a mean lifetime of 72000 km , and a sample of 40 tires of brand 2 has a mean lifetime of 74400 km . Supposing that the standard deviation for brand 1 is $\sigma_{1}=3200 \mathrm{~km}$ and that of brand 2 is $\sigma_{2}=$ 2400 km , we want to know if there is a significative difference in lifetimes between the two brands, at a significance level $\alpha=$ $1 \%$.
The hypotheses are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Null hypothesis } H_{0}: & \mu_{1}=\mu_{2} \\
\text { Alternative hypothesis } H_{1}: & \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

The dimension of the sample is large enough, and the standard deviations of the populations are known, so that we can approach the
sampling distribution using a normal distribution.
The acceptance region of the null hypothesis is given by (see rejection region):

$$
\mu_{1}-\mu_{2} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \cdot \sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}
$$

where $\sigma_{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}$ is the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the difference of two means, or the standard error of two means. The value $z_{\alpha / 2}$ is found in the normal table. For a two-tail test with $\alpha=1 \%$, we get $z_{\alpha / 2}=2.575$, which gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \pm 2.575 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}} \\
= & 0 \pm 2.575 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3200^{2}}{50}+\frac{2400^{2}}{40}} \\
= & 0 \pm 2.575 \cdot 590.59 \\
= & \pm 1520.77 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The acceptance region of the null hypothesis is given by the interval [ $-1520.77,1520.77$ ]. Because the difference in means of the samples (72000 -$74400=-2400$ ) is outside the acceptance region, we must reject the null hypothesis for the alternative hypothesis. Thus we can conclude that there is a significative difference between the lifetimes of the tires of the tested brands.

## FURTHER READING
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## Two-Way Analysis of Variance

The two-way analysis of variance is an expansion of one-way analysis of variance in which there are two independent factors (variables). Each factor has two or more levels and treatments are formed by making all possible combinations of levels of two factors.

## HISTORY

It is Fisher, R.A. (1925) who gave the name "factorial experiments" to complex experiments.
Yates, F. $(1935,1937)$ developed the concept and analysis of these factorial experiments. See analysis of variance.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Given an experiment with two factors, factor A having $a$ levels and factor B having $b$ levels.
If the design associated with this factorial experiment is a completely randomized design, the model is the following:
$Y_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j}+(\alpha \beta)_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j k}$,
$i=1,2, \ldots, a$ (levels of factor A)
$j=1,2, \ldots, b$ (levels of factor B)
$k=1,2, \ldots, c$ (number of observations receiving the treatment $i j$ )
where $\mu$ is the general mean common to all treatments, $\alpha_{i}$ is the effect of level $i$ of factor $\mathrm{A}, \beta_{j}$ is the effect of level $j$ of factor B , $(\alpha \beta)_{i j}$ is the effect of the interaction between
$\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$, and $\varepsilon_{i j k}$ is the experimental error of observation $Y_{i j k}$.
This model is subjected to the basic assumptions associated to analysis of variance if one supposes that the errors $\varepsilon_{i j k}$ are independent random variables following a normal distribution $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
Three hypotheses can then be tested: 1.

$$
H_{0}: \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\ldots=\alpha_{a}
$$

$H_{1}$ : At least one $\alpha_{i}$ is different from $\alpha_{j}, i \neq j$.
2.

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{b}
$$

$H_{1}$ : At least one $\beta_{i}$ is different from $\beta_{j}, i \neq j$.
3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: \quad(\alpha \beta)_{11} & =(\alpha \beta)_{12}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{1 b} \\
& =(\alpha \beta)_{21}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$H_{1}$ : At least one of the interactions is different from the others.
The Fisher distribution is used to test the first hypothesis. This distribution requires the creation of a ratio whose numerator is an estimate of the variance of factor $A$ and whose denominator is an estimate of the variance within treatments known also as error or residual.
This ratio, denoted by $F$, follows a Fisher distribution with $a-1$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom.

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\ldots=\alpha_{a}$
will be rejected at the significant level $\alpha$ if the ratio $F$ is greater than or equal to the value in the Fisher table, meaning if

$$
F \geq F_{a-1, a b(c-1), \alpha}
$$

To test the second hypothesis, we create a ratio whose numerator is an estimate of the variance of factor B and whose denominator is an estimate of the variation within treatments.
This ratio, denoted by $F$, follows a Fisher
distribution with $b-1$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom.

Null hypothesis $H_{0}: ~ \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{b}$
will be rejected if the $F$ ratio is greater than or equal to the value of the Fisher table, meaning if

$$
F \geq F_{b-1, a b(c-1), \alpha}
$$

To test the third hypothesis, we create a ratio whose numerator is an estimate of the variance of the interaction between factors A and B and whose denominator is an estimate of the variance within treatments. This ratio, denoted by $F$, follows a Fisher distribution with $(a-1)(b-1)$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom.

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \beta)_{11}=(\alpha \beta)_{12}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{1 b} \\
& =(\alpha \beta)_{21}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

will be rejected if the $F$ ratio is greater than or equal to the value in the Fisher table, meaning if

$$
F \geq F_{(a-1)(b-1), a b(c-1), \alpha}
$$

## Variance of Factor A

For the variance of factor $A$, the sum of squares mustbe calculated for factor $\mathrm{A}\left(S S_{A}\right)$, which is obtained as follows:

$$
S S_{A}=b \cdot c \sum_{i=1}^{a}\left(\bar{Y}_{i . .}-\bar{Y}_{. . .}\right)^{2},
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{i . .}$ is the mean of all the observations of level $i$ of factor A and $\bar{Y}_{\text {... }}$ is the general mean of all the observations.

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $a-1$.
The variance of factor $A$ is then equal to:

$$
s_{A}^{2}=\frac{S S_{A}}{a-1}
$$

## Variance of Factor B

For the variance of factor $B$, the sum of squares must be calculated for factor $\mathrm{B}\left(S S_{B}\right)$, which is obtained as follows:

$$
S S_{B}=a \cdot c \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(\bar{Y}_{. j .}-\bar{Y}_{\ldots .}\right)^{2},
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{i . .}$ is the mean of all the observations of level $i$ of factor B and $\bar{Y}_{\ldots}$ is the general mean of all the observations.
The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $b-1$.
The variance of factor $B$ is then equal to:

$$
s_{B}^{2}=\frac{S S_{B}}{b-1}
$$

## Variance of Interaction AB

For the variance of interaction $A B$, the sum of squares must be calculated for interaction $\mathrm{AB}\left(S S_{A B}\right)$, which is obtained as follows:
$S S_{A B}=c \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(\bar{Y}_{i j .}-\bar{Y}_{i . .}-\bar{Y}_{. j .}+\bar{Y}_{. . .}\right)^{2}$, where $\bar{Y}_{i j}$. is the mean of all the observations of level $i j$ of interaction $\mathrm{AB}, \bar{Y}_{i . .}$ is the mean of all the observations of level $i$ of factor A, $\bar{Y}_{. j}$. is the mean of all the observations of level $j$ of factor B, and $\bar{Y}_{\text {... }}$ is the general mean of all the observations.
The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $(a-1)(b-1)$. The variance of interaction $A B$ is then equal to:

$$
s_{A B}^{2}=\frac{S S_{A B}}{(a-1)(b-1)}
$$

## Variance Within Treatments or Error

For the variance within treatments, the sum of squares within treatments is obtained as follows:

$$
S S_{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{c}\left(Y_{i j k}-\bar{Y}_{i j .}\right)^{2}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are, respectively, the number of levels of factors A and B, $c$ is the number of observations receiving treatment $i j$ (constant for any $i$ and $j$ ), $Y_{i j k}$ is observation $k$ from level $i$ of factor A and from level $j$ of factor B , and $\bar{Y}_{i j \text {. is the mean of all the observations of }}$ level $i j$ of interaction AB.
The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $a b(c-1)$.
The variance within treatments is thus equal to:

$$
s_{E}^{2}=\frac{S S_{E}}{a b(c-1)}
$$

The total sum of squares $\left(S S_{T}\right)$ is equal to:

$$
S S_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{c} Y_{i j k}^{2}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $N$, meaning the total number of observations.
The sum of squares for the mean is equal to:

$$
S S_{M}=N \bar{Y}_{\ldots}^{2}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to 1 .
The total sum of squares $\left(S S_{T}\right)$ can be expressed with all the other sums of squares in the following way:

$$
S S_{T}=S S_{M}+S S_{A}+S S_{B}+S S_{A B}+S S_{E}
$$

## Fisher Tests

We can now calculate the different $F$ ratios.

To test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\ldots=\alpha_{a},
$$

the first $F$ ratio is made whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of factor A and denominator is the estimation of the variance within treatments:

$$
F=\frac{s_{A}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} .
$$

If $F$ is greater than or equal to the value in the Fisher table for $a-1$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is concluded that at least one $\alpha_{i}$ is different from $\alpha_{j}, i \neq j$.
To test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{b},
$$

the second $F$ ratio is made whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of factor $B$ and denominator is the estimation of the variance within treatments:

$$
F=\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} .
$$

If $F$ is greater than or equal to the value in the Fisher table for $b-1$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is concluded that $H$, is true.
To test the null hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: \quad(\alpha \beta)_{11} & =(\alpha \beta)_{12}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{1 b} \\
& =(\alpha \beta)_{21}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

the third $F$ ratio is made whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of interaction AB and whose denominator is the estimation of the variance within treatments:

$$
F=\frac{s_{A B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} .
$$

If $F$ is greater than or equal to the value in the Fisher table for $(a-1)(b-1)$ and $a b(c-1)$ degrees of freedom, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is concluded that $H$, is true.

## Table of Analysis of Variance

All the information required to calculate the two $F$ ratios can be summarized in a table of analysis of variance:

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1 | SS ${ }_{M}$ |  |  |
| Factor A | $a-1$ | $S S_{A}$ | $s_{A}^{2}$ | $\frac{s_{A}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}}$ |
| Factor B | $b-1$ | $S S_{B}$ | $s_{B}^{2}$ | $\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}}$ |
| Interaction AB | $\begin{aligned} & (a-1) \\ & \cdot(b-1) \end{aligned}$ | $S S_{A B}$ | $s_{A B}^{2}$ | $\frac{s_{A B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}}$ |
| Within treatments | $a b(c-1)$ | SS | $s_{E}^{2}$ |  |
| Total | abc | SS ${ }_{T}$ |  |  |

If $c=1$, meaning that there is only one observation per treatment $i j$ (or per cell $i j$ ), it is not possible to attribute part of the error "inside the groups" to the interaction.

## EXAMPLES

The director of a school wants to test four brands of typewriters. He asks five professional secretaries to try each brand and repeat the exercise the next day. They must all type the same text, and after 15 min the average number of words typed in 1 min is recorded. Here are the results:

| Typewriter | Secretary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 33 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 31 |
|  | 36 | 31 | 36 | 33 | 31 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 32 | 37 | 40 | 33 | 35 |
|  | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 37 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 37 |
|  | 39 | 35 | 37 | 35 | 40 |
| 4 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 33 |
|  | 31 | 33 | 34 | 27 | 33 |

Let us do a two-way analysis of variance, the first factor being the brands of the typewriters and the second the secretaries.
The null hypotheses are the following: 1.
$H_{0}: \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$
$H_{1}$ : At least one $\alpha_{i}$ is different from $\alpha_{j}, i \neq j$.
2.
$H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{4}=\beta_{5}$
$H_{1}$ : At least one $\beta_{i}$ is different from $\beta_{j}, i \neq j$.
3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: \quad(\alpha \beta)_{11} & =(\alpha \beta)_{12}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{15} \\
& =(\alpha \beta)_{21}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{45}
\end{aligned}
$$

$H_{1}$ : At least one of the interactions is different from the others.

## Variance of Factor A

"Brands of Typewriters"
Variance of factor $A$
The sum of squares of factor $A$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{A}= & 5 \cdot 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\bar{Y}_{i . .}-\bar{Y}_{\ldots . .}\right)^{2} \\
= & 5 \cdot 2\left[(33-34)^{2}+(35.5-34)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+(36-34)^{2}+(31.5-34)^{2}\right] \\
= & 135 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $4-1=3$.
The variance of factor $A$ (mean of squares) is then equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A}^{2} & =\frac{S S_{A}}{a-1} \\
& =\frac{135}{3} \\
& =45 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance of Factor B "Secretaries"

The sum of squares of factor $B$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{B}= & 4 \cdot 2 \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\bar{Y}_{. j .}-\bar{Y}_{\ldots . .}\right)^{2} \\
= & 4 \cdot 2\left[(34-34)^{2}+(33.5-34)^{2}\right. \\
& +\ldots+(35.5-34)^{2} \\
& \left.+(34.5-34)^{2}\right]=40
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $5-1=4$. The variance of factor $B$ (mean of squares) is then equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{B}^{2} & =\frac{S S_{B}}{b-1} \\
& =\frac{40}{4} \\
& =10 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance of Interaction AB <br> "Brands of Typewriters - Secretaries"

The sum of squares of interaction $A B$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{A B}= & 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\bar{Y}_{i j .}-\bar{Y}_{i . .}-\bar{Y}_{. j .}+\bar{Y}_{. . .}\right)^{2} \\
= & 2\left[(34.5-33-34+34)^{2}+\right. \\
& (31-31.5-34.5+34)^{2}+\ldots \\
& \left.+(33-31.5-34.5+34)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2\left[1.5^{2}+(-1.5)^{2}+\ldots+1^{2}\right] \\
& =83
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $(4-1)(5-1)=$ 12.

The variance of interaction $A B$ is then equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A B}^{2} & =\frac{S S_{A B}}{(a-1)(b-1)} \\
& =\frac{83}{12} \\
& =6.92 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance Within Treatments (Error)

The sum of squares within treatments is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{E}= & \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{5} \sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(Y_{i j k}-\bar{Y}_{i j .}\right)^{2} \\
= & (33-34.5)^{2}+(36-34.5)^{2} \\
& +(31-31)^{2}+\ldots+(33-33)^{2} \\
= & 58
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to $4 \cdot 5(2-1)=20$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{E}^{2} & =\frac{S S_{I}}{a b(c-1)} \\
& =\frac{58}{20} \\
& =2.9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The total sum of squares is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{T}= & \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{5} \sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(Y_{i j k}\right)^{2} \\
= & 33^{2}+36^{2}+31^{2}+\ldots \\
& +27^{2}+33^{2}+33^{2} \\
= & 46556
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to the number $N$ of observations, meaning 40.
The sum of squares for the mean is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{M} & =N \bar{Y}_{\ldots}^{2} \\
& =40 \cdot 34^{2} \\
& =46240 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom associated to this sum is equal to 1 .

## Fisher Tests

To test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4},
$$

we form the first $F$ ratio whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of factor A and denominator is the estimation of the variance within treatments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{s_{A}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{45}{2.9} \\
& =15.5172 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the Fisher table with 3 and 20 degrees of freedom for a significant level $\alpha=0.05$ is equal to 3.10 .
Since $F \geq F_{3,20,0.05}$, we reject the $H_{0}$
hypotheses and conclude that the brands of typewriters have a significant effect on the number of words typed in 1 min .
To test the null hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{4}=\beta_{5}
$$

we form the second $F$ ratio whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of factor $B$ and whose denominator is the estimation of
the variance within treatments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{10}{2.9} \\
& =3.4483 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the Fisher table with 4 and 20 degrees of freedom for $\alpha=0.05$ is equal to 2.87 .
Since $F \geq F_{4,20,0.05}$, we reject the $H_{0}$ hypotheses and conclude that the secretaries have a significant effect on the number of words typed in 1 min.
To test the null hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: \quad(\alpha \beta)_{11} & =(\alpha \beta)_{12}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{15} \\
& =(\alpha \beta)_{21}=\ldots=(\alpha \beta)_{45},
\end{aligned}
$$

we form the third $F$ ratio whose numerator is the estimation of the variance of interaction AB and whose denominator is the estimation of the variance within treatments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{s_{A B}^{2}}{s_{E}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{6.92}{2.9} \\
& =2.385 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of the Fisher table with 12 and 20 degrees of freedom for $\alpha=0.05$ is equal to 2.28 .
Since $F \geq F_{4,20,0.05}$, we reject the $H_{0}$ hypotheses and conclude that the effect of the interaction between the secretary and the type of typewriter is significant on the number of words typed in 1 min .

## Table of Analysis of Variance

All this information is summarized in the table of analysis of variance:

| Source <br> of varia- <br> tion | Degrees <br> of free- <br> dom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean of <br> squares |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 1 | 46240 |  |  |
| Factor A | 3 | 135 | 45 | 15.5172 |
| Factor B | 4 | 40 | 10 | 3.4483 |
| Inter- <br> action | 12 | 83 | 6.92 | 2.385 |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| Within <br> treat- <br> ments | 20 | 58 | 2.9 |  |
| Total | 40 | 46556 |  |  |

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Contrast
- Fisher table
- Fisher test
- Interaction
- Least significant difference test
- One-way analysis of variance
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## Type I Error

When hypothesis testing is carried out, a type I error occurs when one rejects the
null hypothesis when it is true, and $\alpha$ is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ when it is true:

$$
\alpha=P\left(\text { reject } H_{0} \mid H_{0} \text { is true }\right)
$$

The probability of type I error $\alpha$ is equal to the significance level of the hypothesis test.

## HISTORY

In 1928, Neyman, J. and Pearson, E.S. were the first authors to recognize that a rational choice of hypothesis testing must take into account not only the hypothesis that one wants to verify but also the alternative hypothesis. They introduced the type I error and the type II error.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The type I error is one of the two errors the statistician is confronted with in hypothesis testing: it is the type of error that can occur in decision making if the null hypothesis is true.
If the null hypothesis is wrong, another type of error arises called the type II error, meaning accepting the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ when it is false.
The differenttypes of errors can be represented by the following table:

| Situation | Decision |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Accept $H_{0}$ | Reject $H_{0}$ |
| $H_{0}$ true | $1-\alpha$ | $\alpha$ |
| $H_{0}$ false | $\beta$ | $1-\beta$ |

with $\alpha$ is the probability of the type I error and $\beta$ is the probability of the type II error.

## EXAMPLES

We will illustrate, using an example from everyday life, the different errors that one can commit in making a decision.

When we leave home in the morning, we wonder what the weather will be like. If we think it is going to rain, we take an umbrella. If we think it is going to be sunny, we do not take anything for bad weather.
Therefore we are confronted with the following hypotheses:
Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : It is going to rain.
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ : It is going to be sunny.

Suppose we accept the rain hypothesis and take an umbrella.
If it really does rain, we have made the right decision, but if it is sunny, we made an error: the error of accepting a false hypothesis.
In the opposite case, if we reject the rain hypothesis, we have made a good decision if it is sunny, but we will have made an error if it rains: the error of rejecting a true hypothesis.
We can represent these different types of errors in the following table:

| Situation | Decision |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Accept $H_{0}$ <br> (I take an <br> umbrella) | Reject $H_{0}$ <br> (I do not take <br> an umbrella) |
| $H_{0}$ true <br> (it rains) | Good <br> decision | Type I error |
| $H_{0}$ false <br> (it is sunny) | Type II error $\beta$ | Good <br> decision |

The probability $\alpha$ of rejecting a true hypothesis is called level of significance.
The probability $\beta$ of accepting a false hypothesis is the probability of type II error.

FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Significance level
- Type II error
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## Type II Error

When carrying out a hypothesis test, a type II error occurs when accepting the null hypothesis given it is false and $\beta$ is the probability of accepting the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ when it is false:

$$
\beta=P\left(\text { accept } H_{0} \mid H_{0} \text { is false }\right) .
$$

## HISTORY

See type I error.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The probability of type II error is denoted by $\beta$, the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is false is given by $1-\beta$ :

$$
\beta=P\left(\text { reject } H_{0} \mid H_{0} \text { is false }\right) .
$$

The probability $1-\beta$ is called the power of the test.
Therefore it is always necessary to try and minimize the risk of having a type II error, which means increasing the power of the hypothesis test.

## EXAMPLES

See type I error.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Type I error


## Uniform Distribution

A uniform distribution is used to describe a population that is uniformly distributed in an interval.
A random variable $X$ is said to be uniformly distributed in the interval $[a, b]$ if its density function is given by:

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{b-a} & \text { if } a \leq x \leq b \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Because of the rectangular shape of its density function, the uniform distribution is also sometimes called the rectangular distribution.


Uniform distribution, $a=1, b=3$

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x \leq a \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a} & \text { if } a<x<b \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The expected value of a random variable that is uniformly distributed in an interval $[a, b]$ is equal to:
$E[X]=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{x}{b-a} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{2(b-a)}=\frac{a+b}{2}$.
This result is intuitively very easy to understand because the density function is symmetric around the middle point of the interval $[a, b]$.
We can calculate

$$
E\left[X^{2}\right]=\int_{a}^{b} x^{2} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{b^{2}+a b+a^{2}}{3}
$$

The variance is therefore equal to:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2}=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}
$$

The uniform distribution is a particular case of the beta distribution when $\alpha=\beta=1$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Applications of the uniform distribution are numerous in the construction of models for physical, biological, and social phenomena. The uniform distribution is often used as
a model for errors of approximation during measurements. For example, if the length of some objects is measured with a $1-\mathrm{cm}$ precision, a length of 35 cm can represent all the objects with a length between 34.5 and 35.5 cm . The approximation errors follow a uniform distribution in the interval [ $-0.5,0.5$ ].
The uniform distribution is often used to generate random numbers of any discrete or continuous probability distribution. The method used to generate a continuous distribution is the following.
Consider $X$ a continuous random variable and $F(x)$ its distribution function. Suppose that $F$ is continuous and strictly increasing. Then $U=F(X)$ is a uniform and continuous random variable. $U$ takes its values in the interval $[0,1]$. We then have $X=$ $F^{-1}(U)$, where $F^{-1}$ is the inverse function of the distribution function.
Therefore, if $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ is a series of random numbers, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=F^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right), \\
x_{2}=F^{-1}\left(u_{2}\right), \\
\ldots, \\
x_{n}=F^{-1}\left(u_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a series of random numbers generated from the distribution of the random variable $X$.

## EXAMPLES

If random variable $X$ follows a negative exponential distribution of mean equal
to 1 , then its distribution function is

$$
F(x)=1-\exp (-x) .
$$

Since $F(x)=u$, we have $u=1-e^{-x}$. To find $x$, we search for $F^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =1-e^{-x}, \\
u-1 & =-e^{-x}, \\
1-u & =e^{-x}, \\
\ln (1-u) & =-x, \\
-\ln (1-u) & =x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that if $u$ is a random variable that is uniform in $[0,1]$, then $(1-u)$ is also a random variable that is uniform in $[0,1]$.
By applying the aforementioned to a series of random numbers, we find a sample taken from $X$ :

| $\boldsymbol{U}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.14 | 1.97 |
| 0.97 | 0.03 |
| 0.53 | 0.63 |
| 0.73 | 0.31 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

## FURTHER READING

- Beta distribution
- Continuous probability distribution
- Discrete uniform distribution


## Unimodal Distribution

See frequency distribution.

## Value

A value is a quantitative or qualitative measure associated to a variable.
We speak about a set of values taken by a variable. The values can be cardinal numbers if they are associated to a quantitative variable or ordinal numbers if they are associated to a qualitative categorical variable.

## EXAMPLES

The values taken by a certain variable can be of the following type:

- Consider the quantitative variable "number of children". The values associated to this variable are the natural numbers $0,1,2,3,4$, etc.
- Consider the qualitative categorical variable "sex." The associated values are the categories "masculine" and "feminine".


## FURTHER READING

- Qualitative categorical variable
- Quantitative variable
- Variable


## Variable

A variable is a measurable characteristic to which are attributable many different variables.

We distinguish many types of variables:

- Quantitative variable, of which we distinguish:
- Discrete variable, e.g., the result of a test
- Continuous variable, e.g., a weight or revenue
- Qualitative categorical variable, e.g., marital status


## FURTHER READING

- Data
- Dichotomous variable
- Qualitative categorical variable
- Quantitative variable
- Random variable
- Value


## Variance

Variance is a measure of dispersion of a distribution of a random variable.
Empirically, the variance of a quantitative variable $X$ is defined as the sum of squared deviations of each observation relative to the arithmetic mean divided by the number of observations.

## HISTORY

The analysis of variance such as we understand and practice it today was principal-
ly developed by Fisher, Ronald Aylmer (1918, 1925, 1935). He also introduced the terms variance and analysis of variance.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Variance is generally denoted by $S^{2}$ when it is relative to a sample and by $\sigma^{2}$ when it is relative to a population.
We also denote the variance by $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ when we speak about the variance of a random variable.
Let a population of $N$ observations be relative to a quantitative variable $X$. By definition, the variance of a population is calculated as follows:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{N},
$$

where $N$ is the dimension of the population and $\mu$ the mean of the observation:

$$
\mu=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}}{N} .
$$

When the observations are ordered in the form of a frequency distribution, the calculation of the variance is made in the following manner:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i} \cdot\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}}
$$

where $x_{i}$ are the different values of the variable, $f_{i}$ are the frequencies associated to these values, and $k$ is the number of different values.
To calculate the variance of the frequency distribution of a quantitative $X$ where the values are grouped in classes, we consider that
all the observations belonging to a certain class take the values of the center of the class. It is correct only if the hypothesis specifying that the observations are uniformly distributed inside each class is verified. If this hypothesis is not verified, the obtained value of the variance will be only approximative.
For the values grouped in classes, we have:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i} \cdot\left(\delta_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}},
$$

where $\delta_{i}$ are the centers of the classes, $f_{i}$ are the frequencies associated to each class, and $k$ is the number of classes.

The formula for calculating the variance can be modified to decrease the time of calculation and to increase the precision. Thus it is better to calculate the variance with the following formula:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{N \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{N^{2}} .
$$

The variance corresponds to the centered moment of order two.
The variance measured on a sample is an estimator of the variance of the population. Consider a sample of $n$ observations relative to a quantitative variable $X$ and denote by $\bar{x}$ the arithmetic mean of this sample:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n} .
$$

To let the variance of the sample be an estimator without bias of the variance of the population, it must be calculated by dividing by
$(n-1)$ and not by $n$ :

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1} .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Five students passed two exams, earning the following grades:

Exam 1:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\hline 3.5 & 4 & 4.5 & 3.5 & 4.5 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Exam 2:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\hline 2.5 & 5.5 & 3.5 & 4.5 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Note that the arithmetic mean $\bar{x}$ of these two sets of observations is identical:

$$
\bar{x}_{1}=\bar{x}_{2}=4
$$

The dispersion of the observations around the mean is not the same.
To determine the variance, first we calculate the deviations of each observation from the arithmetic mean and then square the deviations:

## Exam 1:

| Grade | $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right)$ | $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{2}$ | 1.00 |  |

## Exam 2:

| Grade | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2.5 | -1.5 | 2.25 |
| 5.5 | 1.5 | 2.25 |
| 3.5 | -0.5 | 0.25 |


| Grade | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{2}$ | 5.00 |  |

The variance of the grades of each exam equals:

## Exam 1:

$$
S_{1}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{1}{4}=0.25
$$

Exam 2:

$$
S_{2}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{5}{4}=1.25
$$

Since the variance of the grades of the second exam is greater, on the second exam the grades are more dispersed around the arithmetic mean than on the first exam.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Measure of dispersion
- Standard deviation
- Variance of a random variable
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## Variance Analyses

See analysis of variance.

## Variance of a Random Variable

The variance of a quantitative random variable measures the value of the mean deviations of the values of this random variable from the mathematical expectancy (that is, from its mean).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Depending on whether the random variable is discrete or continuous, we speak about the variance of a discrete or continuous random variable.
In the case where the random variable is discrete $X$ taking $n$ values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with relative frequencies $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$, the variance is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ represents the mathematical expectancy of $X$.
We can establish another formula for which the calculation of the variance is made thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[X^{2}-2 \mu X+\mu^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-E[2 \mu X]+E\left[\mu^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-2 \mu E[X]+\mu^{2} \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-2 \mu^{2}+\mu^{2} \\
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-\mu^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =E\left[X^{2}\right]-(E[X])^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In practice, this formula is generally better suited for making the calculations.
If random variable $X$ is continuous in interval $D$, the expression of the variance becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =\int_{D}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

We can also transform this formula by the same operations as in the discrete case, and so the variance equals:
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\int_{D} x^{2} f(x) \mathrm{d} x-\left(\int_{D} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2}$.

## Properties of the Variance

1. Let $a$ and $b$ be two constants and $X$ a random variable:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(a X+b)=a^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two random variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X+Y)= & \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X-Y)= & \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y) \\
& -2 \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ is the covariance between $X$ and $Y$. In particular, if $X$ and $Y$ are independent:
$\operatorname{Var}(X+Y)=\operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)$
$\operatorname{Var}(X-Y)=\operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)$.

## EXAMPLES

We consider two examples, one concerning a discrete random variable, another a continuous random variable.
We throw a die several times in a row. Suppose we win 1 euro if the result is even and 2 euros if the result is 1 or 3 , and that we lose 3 euros if the result is 5 .
Random variable $X$ describes the number of euros won or lost. The following table represents different values of $X$ and their respective probabilities:


The variance of discrete random variable $X$ equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} x_{i}\right]^{2} \\
= & (-3)^{2} \frac{1}{6}+(1)^{2} \frac{3}{6}+(2)^{2} \frac{2}{6} \\
& -\left(-3 \frac{1}{6}+1 \frac{3}{6}+2 \frac{2}{6}\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{26}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider a continuous random variable $X$ whose density function is uniform on $(0,1)$ :

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } 0<x<1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The mathematical expectancy of this random variable equals $\frac{1}{2}$.
We can calculate the variance of random variable $X$ :
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} f(x) \mathrm{d} x-\left(\int_{0}^{1} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \cdot 1 \mathrm{~d} x-\left(\int_{0}^{1} x \cdot 1 \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2} \\
& =\left.\frac{x^{3}}{3}\right|_{0} ^{1}-\left(\left.\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right|_{0} ^{1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Expected value
- Random variable


## Variance-Covariance Matrix

The variance-covariance matrix $\mathbf{V}$ between $n$ variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a matrix of order $(n \times n)$, where the diagonal contains the variances of each variable $X_{i}$ and outside the diagonals are the covariances between the pairs of variables $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ for each $i \neq j$. For $n$ variables, we calculate $n$ variances and $n(n-$ 1) covariances. The result is that matrix $\mathbf{V}$ is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$. In the literature, we often encounter this matrix under another name: dispersion matrix or covariance matrix.
If the variables are standardized (see standardized data), the variances of the variables equal 1 and the covariances become correlations, and so the matrix becomes a correlation matrix.

## HISTORY

See variance and covariance.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ random variables. The variance-covariance matrix is a matrix of order $(n \times n)$; it is symmetric and contains
on the diagonal the variances of each variable and as the other terms the covariances between the variables. Matrix $\mathbf{V}$ is the following matrix:
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right) & \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{n}\right) \\ \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{1}\right) & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{n}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{n}, X_{1}\right) & \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{n}, X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n}\right)\end{array}\right]$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=E\left[X_{i}^{2}\right]-\left(E\left[X_{i}\right]\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \\
& =E\left[\left(X_{i}-E\left[X_{i}\right]\right)\left(X_{j}-E\left[X_{j}\right]\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Consider the following three variables $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{3}$ summarized in the following table:

| $X_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |

In the case $n=3$, each random variable consists of five terms, which we denote $N=5$. We want to calculate the variancecovariance matrix $\mathbf{V}$ of these variables. We complete the following steps.
We estimate the expectancy of each variable by its empirical mean $\bar{x}_{i}$ and get the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{1}=2.6 \\
& \bar{X}_{2}=3 \\
& \bar{X}_{3}=3.4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance for a variable $X_{j}$ is given by:

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{2}}{4} .
$$

The covariance between two variables $X_{r}$ and $X_{s}$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i r}-\bar{x}_{r}\right)\left(x_{i s}-\bar{x}_{s}\right)}{4} .
$$

Then we should subtract the mean $\bar{X}_{i}$ of each variable $X_{i}$. We get a new variable $Y_{i}=X_{i}-$ $\bar{X}_{i}$.

| $\mathbf{Y}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{Y}_{3}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -1.6 | -1 | -0.4 |
| -0.6 | 0 | 0.6 |
| -1.6 | -1 | -0.4 |
| 2.4 | 1 | -0.4 |
| 1.4 | 1 | 0.6 |

Note that the mean of each new variable $Y_{i}$ equals 0 . We find these results in matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-1.6 & -1 & -0.4 \\
-0.6 & 0 & 0.6 \\
-1.6 & -1 & -0.4 \\
2.4 & 1 & -0.4 \\
1.4 & 1 & 0.6
\end{array}\right]
$$

From this matrix we calculate matrix $\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$, a symmetric matrix, in the following form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 1}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2} \cdot y_{i 1} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 1} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2} \cdot y_{i 1} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 2} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 1} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 2} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3}^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

This matrix contains the values of the sum of products of squares of each variable $Y_{i}$ (on the diagonal) and the cross product of each pair of variables $\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$ on the rest of the matrix. For the original variables $X_{i}$, the following matrix contains on the diagonal the sum of squared deviations from the mean for
each variable and on the external terms the cross products of these deviations.
Numerically we get:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
13.2 & 7 & 0.8 \\
7 & 4 & 1 \\
0.8 & 1 & 1.2
\end{array}\right]
$$

The mean of the sum of squared deviations from the mean of a random variable $X_{i}$ of $N$ terms is known as the variance. The mean of the crossed products of these deviations is called the covariance. Thus to get the variance-covariance matrix $V$ of the variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, we should divide the elements of matrix $\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$ by $N-1$, which is mathematically the same as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 1}^{2}}{N-1} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2} \cdot y_{i 1}}{N-1} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 1}}{N-1} \\
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2} \cdot y_{i 1}}{N-1} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 2}^{2}}{N-1} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 2}}{N-1} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 1} & \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_{i 3} \cdot y_{i 2} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i 3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The fact of dividing by $N-1$ and not by $N$ for obtaining the means is related to the fact that we generally work with a sample of random variables without knowing the real population and for which we make a nonbiased estimation of the variance and of the covariance. Numerically we get:

$$
\mathbf{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
3.3 & 1.75 & 1.75 \\
1.75 & 1 & 0.2 \\
0.2 & 0.25 & 0.3
\end{array}\right]
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Correlation coefficient
- Covariance
- Standardized data
- Variance


## Vector

We call a vector of dimension $n$ an ( $n \times$ 1) matrix , that is, a table having only one column and $n$ rows. The elements in this table are called coefficients or vector components.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be two vectors of dimension $n$.
We define:

- The sum of two vectors made component by component:

$$
\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\left(x_{i}\right)+\left(y_{i}\right)=\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right) .
$$

- The product of vector $\mathbf{x}$ by the scalar $k$ :

$$
k \cdot \mathbf{x}=\left(k \cdot x_{i}\right)
$$

where each component is multiplied by $k$.

- The scalar product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\left(x_{i}\right)^{\prime} \cdot\left(y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}
$$

- The norm of $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
& \|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We call a unit vector a vector of norm (or length) 1 . We obtain a unit vector by dividing a vector by its norm. Thus for example

$$
\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

is a unit vector having the same direction as $\mathbf{x}$.

- The $i$ th vector of the canonic bases in the space of $n$ dimensions is defined by the vector of dimension $n$ having a " 1 " on line $i$ and " 0 " for other components.
- A vector is a matrix of a certain dimension $(n \times 1)$. It is possible to multiply a vector by an $(m \times n)$ matrix according to the multiplication rules defined for matrices.


## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

A vector can be considered a column of numbers, whence the term vector-column, just as we use the term vector-line to designate the transpose of a vector.
Thus the inner product of two vectors corresponds to a vector-row multiplied by a vector-column.

## EXAMPLES

In a space of three dimensions, we consider the vectors:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{y}$ is the second vector of the canonic basis.

- The norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}=1+4+9 \\
& =14,
\end{aligned}
$$

from where $\|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{14} \approx 3.74$.

- In the same way, we get $\|\mathbf{y}\|=1$.
- The sum of two vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1+0 \\
2+1 \\
3+0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
3 \\
3
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- The multiplication of vector $\mathbf{x}$ by the scalar product 3 gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \cdot \mathbf{x} & =3 \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \cdot 1 \\
3 \cdot 2 \\
3 \cdot 3
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
6 \\
9
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- The scalar product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =1 \cdot 0+2 \cdot 1+3 \cdot 0=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## FURTHER READING

- Matrix
- Transpose


## Von Mises, Richard

Von Mises, Richard was born in 1883 in Lemberg in the Austro-Hungarian Empire (now L'viv, Ukraine) and died in 1953. Von

Mises studied mechanical engineering at the Technical University in Vienna until 1906. He became assistant to Georg Hamel, professor of mechanics, in Bruenn (now Brno, Czech Republic), where he received the Venia Legendi in 1908. He then worked as associate professor of applied mathematics. After the First World War, in 1920, he became director of the Institute of Applied Mathematics in Berlin.
Von Mises, Richard is principally known for his work on the foundations of probability and statistics, which were rehabilitated in
the 1960s. He founded the applied school of mathematics and wrote his first work on philosophical positivism in 1939.

Selected principal works and articles of von Mises, Richard:

1928 Wahrscheinlichkeit, Statistik und Wahrheit. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

1939 Probability, Statistics and Truth, trans. Neyman, J., Scholl, D., and Rabinowitsch, E. Hodge, Glasgow.

## Weighted Arithmetic Mean

The weighted arithmetic mean is a measure of central tendency of a set of quantitative observations when not all the observations have the same importance.
We must assign a weight to each observation depending on its importance relative to other observations.
The weighted arithmetic mean equals the sum of observations multiplied by their weights divided by the sum of their weights.

## HISTORY

The weighted arithmetic mean was introduced by Cotes, Roger in 1712. His work was published in 1722, six years after his death.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ quantities or $n$ observations relative to a quantitative variable $X$ to which we assign the weights $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}$.
The weighted arithmetic mean equals:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot w_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} .
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The weighted arithmetic mean is now used in economics, especially in consumer and producer price indices, etc.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that during a course on the company, management was composed of three relatively different requirements of different importance:

| Individual project | $30 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mid-term exam | $20 \%$ |
| Final exam | $50 \%$ |

Each student receives a grade on a scale of 1 to 10 . One student receives an 8 for his individual project, a 9 on the mid-term exam, and a 4 on the final exam.
His final grade is calculated by the weighted arithmetic mean:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{(8 \cdot 30)+(9 \cdot 20)+(4 \cdot 50)}{30+20+50}=6.2 .
$$

In this example, the weights correspond to the relative importance of the different requirements of the course.

FURTHER READING

- Arithmetic mean
- Mean
- Measure of central tendency


## REFERENCES

Cotes, R.: Aestimatio Errorum in Mixta
Mathesi, per variationes partium Trianguli plani et sphaerici. In: Smith, R. (ed.) Opera Miscellania, Cambridge (1722)

## Weighted Least-Squares Method

The weighted least-squares method is used when the variance of errors is not constant, that is, when the following hypothesis of the least-squares method is violated: the variance of errors is constant (equal to the unknown value $\sigma^{2}$ ) for any observation $i$ (that is, whatever the value of the concerned $x_{i j}$. Thus, instead of having, for each $i=$ $1, \ldots, n, \operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2} w_{i}
$$

where the weights $w_{i}>0$ can be different for each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In the matrix form, we have the model

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector $(n \times 1)$ of the observations relative to the dependent variable ( $n$ observations), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the vector ( $p \times 1$ ) of parameters to be estimated, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the vector ( $n \times 1$ ) of errors, and

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & X_{11} & \ldots & X_{1(p-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & X_{n 1} & \ldots & X_{n(p-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the matrix $(n \times p)$ of the plan concerning the independent variables. Moreover, we have:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{V}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 / w_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 / w_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 / w_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Stating

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{w} & =\mathbf{W Y}, \\
\mathbf{X}_{w} & =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}, \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{w} & =\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\sqrt{w_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{w_{2}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{w_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

such as $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{V}^{-1}$, we obtain the equivalent model:

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{w}=\mathbf{X}_{w} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{w},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) & =\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\mathbf{W} \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \\
& =\sigma^{2} \cdot \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{n}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $n$. Since, for this new model, the variance of errors is constant, the least-squares method is used. The vector of estimators is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w} & =\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{w}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{w} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vectors of estimated values for $\mathbf{Y}_{w}=$ WY become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{w} & =\mathbf{X}_{w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w} \\
& =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can obtain a vector of estimated values for $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_{w}$ setting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} & =\mathbf{W}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{w} \\
& =\mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance $\sigma^{2}$ is estimated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{w}^{2} & =\frac{\left(\mathbf{Y}_{w}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{w}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{w}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{w}\right)}{n-p} \\
& =\frac{(\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}})^{\prime} \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}})}{n-p} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of a simple regression, from a sample of $n$ observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ and weights $w_{i}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} & =\binom{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus if we set $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w}=\binom{\widehat{\beta}_{0 w}}{\widehat{\beta}_{1 w}}$, we find: $\widehat{\beta}_{0 w}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}}$,
$\widehat{\beta}_{1 w}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}}$.

Considering the ponderated weighted means:

$$
\bar{x}_{w}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}, \quad \bar{y}_{w}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}},
$$

we have the estimators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\beta}_{1 w} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \bar{x}_{w} \bar{y}_{w}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \bar{x}_{w}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}_{w}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\beta}_{0 w}=\bar{y}_{w}-\widehat{\beta}_{1 w} \bar{x}_{w}
$$

that strongly resemble the least-squares estimators, except that we give more weight to the observations with a large $w_{i}$ (that is, observation for which the errors have a smaller variance in the initial model). The estimated values are given by:

$$
\widehat{y}_{i}=\widehat{\beta}_{0 w}+\widehat{\beta}_{1 w} x_{i}=\bar{y}_{w}+\widehat{\beta}_{1 w}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)
$$

and the variance $\sigma^{2}$ is estimated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{w}^{2} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-2} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}_{w}\right)^{2}-\widehat{\beta}_{1 w}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)^{2}}{n-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples of weights $w_{i}$ chosen in simple regression are given by $w_{i}=1 / x_{i}$ in cases where the variance of $\varepsilon_{i}$ is proportional to $x_{i}$, or where $w_{i}=1 / x_{i}^{2}$ when the variance of $\varepsilon_{i}$ is proportional to $x_{i}^{2}$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The greatest disadvantage of the weighted least-squares method, which many people prefer not to know about, is probably the fact that it is based on the assumption that the weight is known exactly. This is almost never the case in practice, and the estimated weights are used instead. Generally, it is difficult to evaluate the effect of the use of estimated weights, but experience indicates that the results of most regression analyses are not very sensitive to the weights used. The advantages of a weighted analysis are often obtained on a large scale, though not always entirely, with the approximate weights. It is important to be aware of and avoid this problem and to use only weights that can be estimated with precision relative to one another. The weighted least-squares regression, as other least-squares methods, is also sensitive to outliers.

## EXAMPLES

Consider a data set containing 10 observations with explanatory variable $X$ taking values $X_{i}=i$ with $i=1,2, \ldots, 10$. Variable $Y$ is generated using the model:

$$
y_{i}=3+2 x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i},
$$

where the $\varepsilon_{i}$ are normally distributed with $E\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\left(0.2 x_{i}\right)^{2}$. Thus the variance of error of the first observation corresponds to $[(1)(0.2)]^{2}=0.04$, just as those of the tenth observation correspond to $[(10)(0.2)]^{2}=4$. The data thus generated are presented in the following table:

Values $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ generated by model $y_{i}=3+$ $2 x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$


| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 3 | 8.67 |
| 4 | 4 | 12.59 |
| 5 | 5 | 17.38 |
| 6 | 6 | 13.81 |
| 7 | 7 | 14.60 |
| 8 | 8 | 32.46 |
| 9 | 9 | 18.73 |
| 10 | 10 | 20.27 |

First we perform a nonweighted regression. We obtain the equation of regression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widehat{y}_{i}=3.49+2.09 x_{i} \\
\widehat{\beta}_{0}=3.49 \quad \text { with } \quad t_{c}=0.99 \\
\widehat{\beta}_{1}=2.09 \quad \text { with } \quad t_{c}=3.69 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Analysis of variance

| Source <br> of var- <br> iation | Degrees <br> of free- <br> dom | squares | Mean of | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regres- <br> sion | 1 | 360.68 | 360.68 | 13.59 |
| Resi- <br> dual | 8 | 212.39 | 26.55 |  |
| Total | 9 | 573.07 |  |  |

The $R^{2}$ of this regression is $62.94 \%$. At first glance, the results seem to be good. The estimated coefficient associated to the explanatory variable is clearly significative. This result is not at all surprising because the fact that the variance of errors is not constant rarely influences the estimated coefficients. The mean square of residuals is difficult to explain, considering there is no unique variance to estimate. Moreover, the standard errors and the lengths of the confidence intervals at $95 \%$ for the estimated conditional means are relatively constant for all observations. The nonweighted analysis does not
take into account the observed inequalities between the variances of the errors.
We now make a weighted regression using as weights the values $1 / x^{2}$. The weights are known because they are proportional to the real variances, which equal $(0.2 x)^{2}$. The results are the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widehat{y}_{i}=2.53+2.28 x_{i} \\
\widehat{\beta}_{0}=2.53 \quad \text { with } \quad t_{c}=3.07 \\
\widehat{\beta}_{1}=2.28 \quad \text { with } \quad t_{c}=7.04
\end{gathered}
$$

## Analysis of variance

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | 1 | 23.29 | 23.29 | 49.6 |
| Residual | 8 | 3.75 | 0.47 |  |
| Total | 9 | 27.05 |  |  |

The $R^{2}$ of this regression is $86.11 \%$. On this basis, we can make the following comments:

- All numbers related to the sum of squares of the dependent variable are affected by the weights and are not comparable to those obtained by nonweighted regression.
- The Fisher test and the $R^{2}$ can be compared between two models. In our example, two values are greater than the nonweighted regression, but this is not always the case.
- The summarized coefficients are relatively close to those of the nonweighted regression. This is often the case but not a generality.
- The standard errors and confidence intervals for the conditional means reflect the fact that the precision of the estimations
decreases with increasing values of $x$. This result is the first reason to use the weighted regression.


## FURTHER READING

- Generalized linear regression
- Least squares
- Regression analysis
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## Wilcoxon, Frank

Wilcoxon, Frank (1892-1965) received his master's degree in chemistry at Rutgers University in 1921 and his doctorate in physics in 1924 at Cornell University, where he also did postdoctoral work from 1924 to 1925. From 1928 to 1929 he was employed by Nichols Copper Company in Maspeth in Queens, New York and was then hired by the Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research as chief of a group studying the effects of insecticides and fungicides. He remain at the institute until the start of the Second World War; at this time and for the next 2 years, he moved to the Atlas Powder Company in Ohio where he directed the Control Laboratory.
In 1943, Wilcoxon, Frank was appointed head of the group at the American Cyanamid Company laboratory (in Stamford, CT) that studied insecticides and fungicides. He then developed an interest in statistics: in 1950, he was transferred to Lederle Laboratories division of Cyanamid in Pearl River, NY, where he developed a statistical consultation group. From 1960 until his death in 1965 he taught
applied statistics in the Department of Statistics to natural science majors at Florida State University.

Selected principal works and articles of Frank Wilcoxon:

1945 Individual comparisons by ranking methods. Biometrics 1, 80-83.
1957 Some rapid approximate statistical procedures. American Cyanamid, Stamford Research Laboratories, Stamford, CT.

## Wilcoxon Signed Table

The Wilcoxon signed table gives theoretical values of statistic $T$ of the Wilcoxon signed
test applied to two paired samples, on the hypothesis that two populations follow the same distribution.

## HISTORY

Owen, D.B. (1962) published a Wilcoxon signed table for $n \leq 20$ (where $n$ is the number of paired observations) for the Wilcoxon signed test developed by Wilcoxon, F. (1945).

The generally used table is that of Harter, H.L. and Owen, D.B. (1970) established for $n \leq 50$.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a set of $n$ pairs of observations $\left(\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)\right)$. We calculate the absolute differences $\left|X_{i}-Y_{i}\right|$ to which we assign a rank (that is, we associate rank 1 to the smallest value, rank 2 to the next highest value, and so on, up to rank $n$ for the great-
est value). Then we give to this rank the sign corresponding to the difference $X_{i}-Y_{i}$. Thus we calculate the sum of positive ranks:

$$
T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}
$$

where $k$ is the number of pairs representing a positive difference ( $X_{i}-Y_{i}>0$ ).
For different values of $m$ and $\alpha$ (the significance level), the Wilcoxon signed table gives the theoretical values of statistic $T$ of the Wilcoxon signed test, on the hypothesis that the two underlying populations are identically distributed.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Wilcoxon signed table is used in nonparametric tests that use rank and especially in the Wilcoxon signed test.

## EXAMPLES

Below is an extract of a Wilcoxon signed table $m$ going from 10 to 15 and $\alpha=0.05$ to $\alpha=0.975$ :

| $m$ | $\alpha=0.05$ | $\alpha=0.975$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 11 | 46 |
| 11 | 14 | 55 |
| 12 | 18 | 64 |
| 3 | 22 | 73 |
| 14 | 26 | 83 |
| 15 | 31 | 94 |

For an example of how the Wilcoxon signed table is used, see Wilcoxon signed table.

## FURTHER READING

[^0]
## REFERENCES

Harter, H.L., Owen, D.B.: Selected Tables in Mathematical Statistics, vol. 1.Markham, Chicago (5.7 Appendix) (1970)

Owen, D.B.: Handbook of Statistical Tables. (3.3, 5.7, 5.8, 5.10). Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1962)
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## Wilcoxon Signed Test

The Wilcoxon signed test is a nonparametric test. As a signed test, it serves to check for any difference between two populations. It tells one if the difference between two pairs of observations is positive or negative, taking into account the amplitude of this difference.

## HISTORY

The Wilcoxon signed was created by Wilcoxon, F. in 1945. In 1949, he proposed another method to treat the zero differences that can appear between two observations of considered samples.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ be two samples of size $n$. We consider $n$ pairs of observations $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. We denote by $\left|d_{i}\right|$ the difference in absolute value between $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ :

$$
\left|d_{i}\right|=\left|y_{i}-x_{i}\right|, \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

We subtract from the dimension all the pairs of observations that do not represent the difference ( $d_{i}=0$ ). We denote by $m$ the number of pairs remaining. We assign then to
the pairs of observations a rank from 1 to $m$ depending on the size of $\left|d_{i}\right|$, that is, we give rank 1 to the smallest value of $\left|d_{i}\right|$ and rank $m$ to the largest value of $\left|d_{i}\right|$. We name $R_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$ the rank thus defined.
If many pairs of variables represent the same absolute difference $\left|d_{i}\right|$, we assign to them the mean rank. If there are mean ranks, the statistic of the test is calculated by the following expression:

$$
T_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}^{2}}}
$$

where $R_{i}$ is the rank of the pair $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ without the sign of the difference $d_{i}$.
If there are no mean ranks, it is more correct to use the sum of ranks associated to the positive difference:

$$
T=\sum_{\substack{\text { (for } \left.i \text { such } \\ \text { as } d_{i}>0\right)}} R_{i} .
$$

## Hypotheses

The underlying hypotheses in the Wilcoxon signed test are based on $d_{k}$, the median of $d_{i}$. According to whether it is a two-tail test or a one-tailed test, we have the following cases:

A: Two-sided test:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & d_{k}=0 \\
H_{1}: & d_{k} \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

B: One-sided test:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & d_{k} \leq 0 \\
H_{1}: & d_{k}>0
\end{array}
$$

C: One-sided test:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & d_{k} \geq 0 \\
H_{1}: & d_{k}<0
\end{array}
$$

In case A, the hypothesis represents the situation where there are no differences between the two populations.
In case $B$, we make the hypothesis that the values of the population of $X$ have a tendency to be greater than those of the population of $Y$. In case $C$, we make the contrary hypothesis, i.e., that the values of the population of $X$ have a tendency to be smaller than those of the population of $Y$.

## Decision Rules

If $T$ is used and if $m<50$, then the Wilcoxon signed table will be used to test the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.
The random variable $T$ is a linear combination of $m$ independent Bernoulli random variables (but not identically distributed). It has as mean and standard deviation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\frac{m(m+1)}{4} \quad \text { and } \\
& \sigma=\sqrt{\frac{m(m+1)(2 m+1)}{24}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce the random variable $Z$ :

$$
Z=\frac{T-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

We denote by $w_{\alpha}$ the value of the Wilcoxon signed table with parameters $m$ and $\alpha$ (where $\alpha$ is the significance level).
If $m>20$, then $w_{\alpha}$ can be approximated with the help of the corresponding values of the normal distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{\alpha}= & \frac{m(m+1)}{4} \\
& +z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{m(m+1)(2 m+1)}{24}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{\alpha}$ is the values in the normal table at level $\alpha$.

If $T_{1}$ is used, we will base our calculation directly on the normal table to test the null
hypothesis $H_{0}$. The decision rules are different depending on the hypotheses. We have the decision rules $A, B$, and $C$ relative to the previous cases $A, B$, and $C$. If $T_{1}$ is used, we should replace $T$ by $T_{1}$ and $w_{\alpha}$ by $z_{\alpha}$ in the rules that follow.

## Case A

We reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $T$ is greater than $w_{1-\alpha / 2}$ or smaller than $w_{\alpha / 2}$, that is, if

$$
T<w_{\alpha / 2} \text { ou } T>w_{1-\alpha / 2}
$$

## Case B

We reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $T$ is greater than $w_{1-\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
T>w_{1-\alpha}
$$

Case C
We reject $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $T$ is smaller than $w_{\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
T<w_{\alpha}
$$

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To use the Wilcoxon signed test, the following criteria must be met:

1. The distribution of $d_{i}$ must be symmetric.
2. $d_{i}$ must be independent.
3. $d_{i}$ must be measured in true values.

The Wilcoxon signed test is also used as the test of the median. The data $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ consist of a single sample of dimension $n$. The hypotheses corresponding to the previous cases $A, B$, and $C$ are the following:

## A: Two-tail cases:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : The median of $X$ equals a presumed value $M_{\mathrm{d}}$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : The median of $X$ does not equal $M_{\mathrm{d}}$

## B: One-tail case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : The median of $X$ is $\geq M_{\mathrm{d}}$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}$ : The median of $X$ is $<M_{\mathrm{d}}$

## C: One-tail case:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : The median of $X$ is $\leq M_{\mathrm{d}}$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : The median of $X$ is $>M_{\mathrm{d}}$
Note that "median" could be replaced by "mean" because we accepted the hypothesis of the symmetry of the distribution of $X$. In what concerns the calculations, we form $n$ pairs $\left(X_{1}, M_{\mathrm{d}}\right),\left(X_{2}, M_{\mathrm{d}}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, M_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ and treat them in the same way as before. The rest of the test is identical.

## EXAMPLES

We conduct tests on eight pairs of twins. The goal is to see if the first born is more intelligent than the second born.
The data are presented in the following table, the higher scores corresponding to better test results.

| Pair of | First | Second | Rank of |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| twins | born $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | born $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right\|$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 90 | 88 | -2 | 2 | -2 |
| 2 | 75 | 79 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 99 | 98 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 4 | 60 | 66 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 72 | 64 | -8 | 6 | -6 |
| 6 | 83 | 83 | 0 | - | - |
| 7 | 86 | 86 | 0 | - | - |
| 8 | 92 | 95 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

As pairs 6 and 7 represent no difference ( $d_{6}=d_{7}=0$ ), the number of remaining pairs equals $m=6$. As the $d_{i}$ are all different, the mean ranks must not be calculated, and we use the sum of positive ranks:

$$
T=\sum_{\substack{\text { (for } \left.i \text { such } \\ \text { as } d_{i}>0\right)}} R_{i},
$$

where $R_{i}$ is the rank of the pair $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ representing a positive $d_{i}$. Thus we have:

$$
T=4+5+3=12 .
$$

The hypotheses are the following:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : There is no difference in the level of intelligence between the first and second twin $\left(d_{m}=0\right)$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}:$ There is a difference $\left(d_{m} \neq 0\right)$
These hypotheses corresponding to case $A$ and the decision rule are the following:
Reject $H_{0}$ at level $\alpha$ if $T<w_{\alpha / 2}$ or $T>$ $w_{1-\alpha / 2}$.
If we choose the significance level $\alpha=$ 0.05 , we obtain from the Wilcoxon signed table for $m=6$ :

$$
w_{1-\alpha / 2}=20 \quad \text { and } \quad w_{\alpha / 2}=1
$$

where $T$ is neither greater than 20 nor smaller than 1 , and we cannot reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.
Now we suppose that the results for pairs 7 and 8 have changed with the new hypothesis in the following table:

| Pair of <br> twins | First | Sorn $X_{i}$ | Second <br> born $Y_{i}$ | Rank of |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right\|$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 90 | 88 | -2 | 4 | -4 |  |
| 2 | 75 | 79 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 99 | 98 | -1 | 2 | -2 |  |
| 4 | 60 | 66 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 5 | 72 | 64 | -8 | 7 | -7 |  |
| 6 | 83 | 83 | 0 | - | - |  |
| 7 | 86 | 87 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8 | 92 | 91 | -1 | 2 | -2 |  |

Now, pair 6 does not represent a difference ( $d_{6}=0$ ); thus $m$ equals 7 .
Pairs 3, 7, and 8 represent the same absolute difference: $\left|d_{3}\right|=\left|d_{7}\right|=\left|d_{8}\right|=1$. We
assign a mean rank to the pairs that equal the rank of the ex aequo to which we add half the number of ex aequo minus one. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mean rank } & =\text { rank of }\left|d_{3}\right|+\frac{1}{2}(3-1) \\
& =2
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, we use the statistical test $T_{1}$ calculated by:

$$
T_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}^{2}}}=\frac{-2}{11.75}=-0.17
$$

The decision rule is the following:
Reject $H_{0}$ at level $\alpha$ if $T_{1}<z_{\alpha / 2}$ or $T_{1}>$ $z_{1-\alpha / 2}$.
If we choose the level $\alpha=0.05$, then the values of the normal table are:

$$
z_{1-\alpha / 2}=-1.96 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{\alpha / 2}=1.96
$$

In consequence, $T_{1}$ is neither greater than 1.96 nor smaller than -1.96 .

We obtain the same result as in the previous case and do not reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Nonparametric test
- Wilcoxon signed table
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## Wilcoxon Table

The Wilcoxon table gives the theoretical values of statistic $T$ of the Wilcoxon test under the hypothesis that there is no difference between the distribution of two compared populations.

## HISTORY

The critical values for $N \leq 20$ (where $N$ is the total number of observations) were calculated by Wilcoxon, F. (1947).

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be a sample of dimension $n$ coming from population 1 and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$ a sample of dimension $m$ coming from population 2 .

Thus we obtain $N=n+m$ observations that we want to class in increasing order without taking into account their belonging to the samples. We assign a rank to each value: rank 1 to the smallest value, rank 2 to the next value, and so on up to rank $N$ to the largest value.
We define the statistical test $T$ in the following manner:

$$
T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

where $R\left(X_{i}\right)$ is the rank assigned to the observation $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ relative to the set of two samples $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$. For different values of $n, m$, and $\alpha$ (the significance level), the Wilcoxon table gives the theoretical values of statistic $T$ of the Wilcoxon test, under the hypothesis that the two underlying populations are identically distributed.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

The Wilcoxon table is used in nonparametric tests that use rank, and especially in the

## Wilcoxon test.

## EXAMPLES

Here is an extract of a Wilcoxon table for $n=$ $14, m=11$, and $\alpha=0.05$ equalling 0.95 :

| $n$ | $m$ | $\alpha$ | critical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 11 | 0.05 | 152 |
| 14 | 11 | 0.95 | 212 |

For an example of the use of the Wilcoxon table, see Wilcoxon test.

## FURTHER READING

- Statistical table
- Wilcoxon test
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## Wilcoxon Test

The Wilcoxon test is a nonparametric test. It is used when we have two samples coming from two populations. The goal is to verify if there is a difference between the populations on the basis of the random samples taken from these populations.

## HISTORY

The Wilcoxon test is named for its author and was introduced in 1945.
See also Mann-Whitney test.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be a sample of dimension $n$ coming from a population 1 , and let
$\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$ be a sample of dimension $m$ coming from a population 2.
Thus we obtain $N=n+m$ observations that we will class in increasing order without taking into account their belonging to the samples. Then we assign a rank of 1 to the smallest value, a rank of 2 to the next highest value, and so on up to rank $N$, which is assigned to the highest value. If many observations have exactly the same value, then we will assign a mean rank. We denote by $R\left(X_{i}\right)$ the rank assigned to $X_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Statistic $W$ of the test is defined by:

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(X_{i}\right) .
$$

If the dimension of the sample is large ( $N \geq$ 12), then we use, according to Gibbons, J.D. (1971), an approximation using the statistic $W_{1}$, which is supposed to follow a standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$ :

$$
W_{1}=\frac{W-\mu}{\sigma},
$$

where $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are, respectively, the mean and the standard deviation of random variable $W$ :

$$
\mu=\frac{n(N+1)}{2}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{m n(N+1)}{12}}
$$

If we use the approximation for the large samples $W_{1}$, and if we use the fact that there are ranks ex aequo among the $N$ observations, we replace the standard deviation by:

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{m n}{12}\left(N+1-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{g} t_{j}\left(t_{j}^{2}-1\right)}{N(N-1)}\right)},
$$

where $g$ is the number of groups of equal (tie) ranks and $t_{j}$ the dimension of group $j$. (If there are no equal (tie) ranks, the observations are seen as many groups of dimension 1 . In consequence, $g=N$ and $t_{j}=1$ for $j=1, \ldots, N$, and $\sigma$ reduces to $\sqrt{\frac{m n(N+1)}{12}}$.)

## Hypotheses

The Wilcoxon test can be made on the basis of a two-tail test or one-tailed test, according to the type of hypothesis:
A: Two-tail test:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: P(X<Y)=\frac{1}{2} \\
& H_{1}: P(X<Y) \neq \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

B: One-tail test:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: P(X<Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
& H_{1}: P(X<Y)>\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

C: One-tail test:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: P(X<Y) \geq \frac{1}{2} \\
& H_{1}: P(X<Y)<\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case A expresses the hypothesis that there is no difference between two populations.
Case B represents the hypothesis that population 1 (from where we took the sample of $X)$ generally takes greater values than does population 2 (from where we took the sample of $Y$ ).
Case C expresses the contrary hypothesis, i.e., that the values of population 1 have a tendency to be smaller than those of population 2.

## Decision Rules

Case A
We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $W$ is smaller than the value of the Wilcoxon table with parameters $n$,
$m$, and $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ denoted by $t_{n, m, \alpha / 2}$ or if $W$ is greater than the value in the table for $n, m$, and $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$, by $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha / 2}$, that is, if

$$
W<t_{n, m, \alpha / 2} \quad \text { or } \quad W>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha / 2} .
$$

If the test uses statistic $W_{1}$, a comparison is made with the normal table:

$$
W_{1}<z_{\alpha / 2} \quad \text { or } \quad W_{1}>z_{1-\alpha / 2},
$$

with $z_{\alpha / 2}$ and $z_{1-\alpha / 2}$ the values of the normal table with, respectively, the parameters $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$.

## Case B

We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $W$ is smaller than the value of the Wilcoxon table with parameters $n, m$, and $\alpha$, denoted by $t_{n, m, \alpha}$, that is, if

$$
W<t_{n, m, \alpha},
$$

and in the case where statistic $W_{1}$ is used:

$$
W_{1}<z_{\alpha},
$$

with $z_{\alpha}$ being the value of the normal table with parameter $\alpha$.

## Case C

We reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ at the significance level $\alpha$ if $W$ is greater than the value in the Wilcoxon table with parameters $n, m$, and $1-\alpha$, denoted by $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}$, that is, if

$$
W>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha},
$$

and with statistic $W_{1}$ :

$$
W_{1}>z_{1-\alpha},
$$

with $z_{1-\alpha}$ being the value of the normal table with parameter $\alpha$.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

To use the Wilcoxon test, the following criteria must be met:

1. The two samples must be from random samples taken from their respective populations.
2. In addition to the independence inside each sample, there must be mutual independence between the two samples.
3. The scale of measure must be at least ordinal.

## EXAMPLES

In a class, we count 25 students: 14 boys and 11 girls. We test them on mental calculation to see if the boys in this class have a tendency to be better than the girls.
The data are in the following table, the highest scores corresponding to the best test results.

| Boys $\left(X_{i}\right)$ | Girls $\left(Y_{i}\right)$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19.8 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 23.6 |
| 12.3 | 17.9 | 7.1 | 11.1 |
| 10.6 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 20.3 |
| 11.3 | 16.4 | 10.6 | 15.6 |
| 14.0 | 7.7 | 13.3 |  |
| 9.2 | 15.2 | 8.6 |  |
| 15.6 | 16.0 | 14.1 |  |

We class the scores in increasing order and assign a rank:

| Scores | Sample | Rank | $\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 7.1 | $Y$ | 1 | - |
| 7.7 | $X$ | 2 | 2 |
| 8.6 | $Y$ | 3 | - |
| 9.2 | $X$ | 4 | 4 |
| 10.6 | $Y$ | 5.5 | - |
| 10.6 | $X$ | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 11.1 | $Y$ | 7 | - |
| 11.3 | $X$ | 8 | 8 |
| 12.3 | $X$ | 9 | 9 |


| Scores | Sample | Rank | $\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 13.3 | $Y$ | 10 | - |
| 14.0 | $X$ | 11 | 11 |
| 14.1 | $Y$ | 12 | - |
| 15.2 | $X$ | 13 | 13 |
| 15.6 | $X$ | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| 15.6 | $Y$ | 14.5 | - |
| 16.0 | $X$ | 16 | 16 |
| 16.4 | $X$ | 17 | 17 |
| 17.5 | $X$ | 18 | 18 |
| 17.7 | $Y$ | 19 | - |
| 17.9 | $X$ | 20 | 20 |
| 19.8 | $X$ | 21 | 21 |
| 20.3 | $Y$ | 22 | - |
| 21.0 | $Y$ | 23 | - |
| 21.1 | $X$ | 24 | 24 |
| 23.6 | $Y$ | 25 | - |

We calculate statistic $W$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
W= & \sum_{i=1}^{14} R\left(X_{i}\right) \\
= & 2+4+5.5+8+9+11+13 \\
& +14.5+16+17+18+20 \\
& +21+24=183 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose the approximation for the large samples, taking into account the mean ranks, we make the adjustment:

$$
W_{1}=\frac{W-\frac{n(N+1)}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{m n}{12}\left(m+n+1-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{g} t_{j}\left(t_{j}^{2}-1\right)}{(m+n)(m+n-1)}\right)}}
$$

Here $g=2$ and $t_{j}=2$ for $j=1,2$. Thus we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{1} & =\frac{183-\frac{14(25+1)}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{11 \cdot 14}{12}\left(11+14+1-\frac{2\left(2^{2}-1+2\left(2^{2}-1\right)\right.}{(11+14)(11+14-1)}\right)}} \\
& =0.0548
\end{aligned}
$$

The hypotheses that we want to test are:
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : The boys in this class do not have a tendency to be better than the girls in this class in mental calculation.
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : The boys in this class have a tendency to be better than the girls in this class in mental calculation.

This is expressed by $H_{0}: P(X<Y) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and corresponds to case C . The decision rule is the following:
Reject $H_{0}$ at significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
W>t_{n, m, 1-\alpha} \quad \text { or } \quad W_{1}>z_{1-\alpha} .
$$

If we choose $\alpha=0.05$, the theoretical value in the Wilcoxon table is $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}=212$.
The calculated value of $W(W=183)$ is not greater than $t_{n, m, 1-\alpha}=212$, and we cannot reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}$.
The value in the normal table $z_{1-\alpha}$ equals 1.6449. In consequence, $W_{1}$ is not greater than $z_{1-\alpha}(0.0548<1.6449)$, and we draw the same conclusion on the basis of statistic $W$ : the boys in this class do not have a tendency to be better than the girls in this class in mental calculation.

## FURTHER READING

- Hypothesis testing
- Mann-Whitney test
- Nonparametric test
- Wilcoxon signed test
- Wilcoxon table


## REFERENCES

Gibbons, J.D.: Nonparametric Statistical Inference. McGraw-Hill, New York (1971)

Wilcoxon, F.: Individual comparisons by ranking methods. Biometrics 1, 80-83 (1945)

## Willcox, Walter Francis

Willcox, Walter Francis (1861-1964) graduated from Amherst College in Massachusetts (receiving his bachelor's degree in 1884 and his master's degree in 1888). He continued his studies at Columbia University in New York in the late 1880s. He received his doctorate from Columbia University in 1891. His Ph.D. thesis was called The Divorce Problem: A Study in Statistics. From 1891, Willcox became professor of economics and of statistics at Cornell University, where he stayed until his retirement in 1931.

His principal contributions in statistics were in the domain of demography as well as in the development of the system of federal statistics.

From 1899 to 1902 he managed the 12th census of the United Nations and presided over the American Statistical Association in 1912, the American Economic Association in 1915, and the International Statistical Institute in 1947.

Selected principal works and articles of Willcox, Walther Francis:

1897 The Divorce Problem: A Study in Statistics. Studies in History, Economics and Public Law. Columbia University Press, New York.

1940 Studies in American Demography, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.

## Yates' Algorithm

Yates' algorithm is a process used to compute the estimates of main effects and of the interactions in a factorial experiment.

## HISTORY

Yates' algorithm was created by Yates, Franck in 1937.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

Consider a factorial experiment with $n$ factors, each having two levels. We denote this experiment by $2^{n}$.
The factors are denoted by uppercase letters. We use a lowercase letter for the heigh level of the factor and omit the letter for the lower level. When all the factors are at the lower level, we use the symbol (1).
The algorithm presented in a table. In the first column we find all the combinations of the levels of different factors in a standard order (the introduction of a letter is followed by combinations with all previous combinations). The second column contains the observations of all the combinations.
For the subsequent $n$ columns, the procedure is the same: the first half of the column is obtained by summing the pairs of successive values of the previous column; the second half of the column is obtained by subtract-
ing the first value from the second value of each pair.
Column $n$ contains the contrasts of the main effects and interactions given in the first column. To find the estimators of these effects, we divide the $n$th column by $m \cdot 2^{n-1}$, where $m$ is the number of repetitions of the experiment and $n$ the number of factors. Concerning the first line, we find in column $n$ the sum of all the observations.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

Yates' algorithm can be applied to experiments with factors that have more than two levels.
One can also use this algorithm to find the sum of squares corresponding to the main effects and interactions of the $2^{n}$ factorial experiment. They are obtained by squaring the $n$th column and dividing the result by $m$. $2^{n}$ (where $m$ is the number of replications and $n$ the number of factors).

## EXAMPLES

To compute the estimates of the main effects and those of the three interactions, let us consider a factorial experiment $2^{3}$ (meaning three factors with two levels) relative to the production of the sugar beet. The first factor, denoted A, concerns the type of fertilizer (manure or nonmanure); the
second, denoted $B$, concerns the depth of plowing ( 20 or 30 cm ); and the last factor is C, the variety of sugar beet (variety 1 or 2 ). Since each factor has two levels, one can speak of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ experiment.
For example, the interaction between factor A and factor B is denoted by $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B}$, and the interaction between all three factors is written $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{C}$.
The eight observations indicate the weight of the harvesting in kilograms for half a hectare, giving the following table:

|  | A |  | Manure |  | Nonmanure |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | B | 20 cm | 30 cm | 20 cm | 30 cm |  |
|  | Var. 1 | 80 | 96 | 84 | 88 |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Var. 2 | 86 | 96 | 89 | 93 |  |

In doing this experiment, we want to quantify not only the effect of fertilizer, plowing, and the variety of beet (simple effects), but also the impact of combined effects (effects of interaction) on harvesting.
To establish the table of Yates' algorithm, the eight observations must be classified in Yates' order. The classification table is practical in this case; the symbols "-" and " + " represent, respectively, the lower and upper level of the factors. For example, the first line indicates the observation " 80 " corresponding to the lower levels for all three factors (A: manure, B: $20 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{C}$ : variety 1 ).

Observation classification table in Yates' order

| Obs. | Factor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | A | B | Corresponding |  |
| observation |  |  |  |  |


| Obs. | Factor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | Corresponding |  |
| observation |  |  |  |  |

We can now establish the table of Yates' algorithm:

Table corresponding to Yates' algorithm

| Combi- <br> nation | Obs. | Columns |  |  |  |  |  | Esti- <br> mation |  | Average <br> effect |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 80 | 164 | 348 | 712 | 89 | - |  |  |  |  |
| a | 84 | 184 | 364 | -4 | -1 | A |  |  |  |  |
| b | 96 | 175 | -4 | 34 | 8.5 | B |  |  |  |  |
| ab | 88 | 189 | 0 | -18 | -4.5 | $\mathrm{~A} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |  |
| c | 86 | 4 | 20 | 16 | 4 | C |  |  |  |  |
| ac | 89 | -8 | 14 | 4 | 1 | $\mathrm{~A} \times \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |
| bc | 96 | 3 | -12 | -6 | -1.5 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |
| abc | 93 | -3 | -6 | 6 | 1.5 | $\mathrm{~A} \times \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |

The terms in column 2, for example, are calculated in the following way:

- First half of column (sum of elements of each pair of previous column, in this case column 1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
348 & =164+184 \\
364 & =175+189 \\
-4 & =4+(-8) \\
0 & =3+(-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Second half of column (subtraction of elements of each pair, in opposite order):

$$
\begin{aligned}
20 & =184-164 \\
14 & =189-175 \\
-12 & =-8-4 \\
-6 & =-3-3
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimation for the main effect of factor A is equal to -1 . As one can see, the value -4 (column 3) has been divided by $m \cdot 2^{n-1}=1 \cdot 2^{3-1}=4$, where $m$ is equal to 1 because the experiment was done only once (without repetition) and $n$ corresponds to the number of factors, which is three in this case.

## FURTHER READING

- Analysis of variance
- Contrast
- Design of experiments
- Factorial experiment
- Interaction
- Main effect


## REFERENCES
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## Youden, William John

Youden, William John (1900-1971) earned his master's degree in chemistry from Columbia University in 1924. From 1924 to 1948 he worked in the Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research in Yonkers, NY. From 1948 until his retirement in 1965, Youden, W.J. was a member of the Applied Mathematics Division in the National Bureau of Standards in Washington, D.C. During this period Youden developed a test and wrote Statistical Methods for Chemists.
Principal work of Youden, William John:
1951 Statistical Methods for Chemists. Wiley, New York.

## Yule and Kendall Coefficient

The Yule coefficient is used to measure the skewness of a frequency distribution. It takes into account the relative positions of the quartiles with respect to the median, and compares the spreading of the curve to the right and left of the median.

## MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS

In a symmetrical distribution, the quartiles are at an equal distance on each side of the median. This means that

$$
\left(Q_{3}-M\right)-\left(M-Q_{1}\right)=0,
$$

where $M$ is the median, $Q_{1}$ the first quartile, and $Q_{3}$ the third quartile.
If the distribution is asymmetric, then the previous equality is not true.
The left part of the equation can be rearranged in the following way:

$$
Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 M
$$

To obtain a coefficient of skewness that is independent of the measure unit, the equation should be divided by the value $\left(Q_{3}-\right.$ $Q_{1}$ ).
The Yule coefficient is then:

$$
C_{Y}=\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 M}{Q_{3}-Q_{1}}
$$

If $C_{Y}$ is positive, then the distribution spreads toward the right; if $C_{Y}$ is negative, the distribution spreads toward the left.

## DOMAINS AND LIMITATIONS

If the coefficient is positive, then it represents a curve that spreads toward the right. If it is positive, then it represents a curve that spreads toward the left. A coefficient that is
close to zero means that the curve is approximately symmetric.
The Yule and Kendall coefficient can vary between -1 and +1 . In fact, since the median $M$ is always located between the first and third quartile, the following two extreme possibilities occur:

1. $M=Q_{1}$
2. $M=Q_{3}$

In the first case the coefficient becomes:

$$
C_{Y}=\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 Q_{1}}{Q_{3}-Q_{1}}=1
$$

and in the second case it becomes:

$$
C_{Y}=\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 Q_{3}}{Q_{3}-Q_{1}}=-1
$$

In these conditions, the coefficient should be close to zero for the distribution to be considered symmetric.
This coefficient as well as the other measures of skewness are of interest only if they can compare the shapes of two or several distributions. The results vary considerably from one formula to another. It is obvious that comparisons must be made using the same formula.

## EXAMPLES

Suppose that we want to compare the shape of the distribution of the daily turnover in 75 bakeries over 2 years. We then calculate in both cases the Yule and Kendall coefficients. The data are the following:

| Turnover | Frequency <br> year 1 | Frequency <br> year 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $215-235$ | 4 | 25 |
| $235-255$ | 6 | 15 |
| $255-275$ | 13 | 9 |
| $275-295$ | 22 | 8 |


| Turnover | Frequency <br> year 1 | Frequency <br> year 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $295-315$ | 15 | 6 |
| $315-335$ | 6 | 5 |
| $335-355$ | 5 | 4 |
| $355-375$ | 4 | 3 |

For year 1, the first quartile, the median, and the third quartile are respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =268.46, \\
M & =288.18, \\
Q_{3} & =310 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient is then the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{Y} & =\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 M}{Q_{3}-Q_{1}} \\
& =\frac{310+268.46-2(288.18)}{310-268.46} \\
& =0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

For year 2, these values are respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =230, \\
M & =251.67, \\
Q_{3} & =293.12 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Yule and Kendall coefficient is then equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{Y} & =\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}-2 M}{Q_{3}-Q_{1}} \\
& =\frac{293.12+230-2(251.67)}{293.12-230} \\
& =0.31 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For year 1, since the coefficient gives a result that is close to zero, we can admit that the distribution of the daily turnover in the 75 bakeries is very close to a symmetric distribution. For year 2, the coefficient is higher; this means that the distribution spreads toward the right.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of shape
- Measure of skewness
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## Yule, George Udny

Yule, George Udny was born in 1871 in Beech Hill near Haddington (Ecosse) and died in 1951 in Cambridge.
The Yule coefficient of association, the Yule paradox (also called later the Simpson paradox), and the Yule procedure bear his name in statistics who also contributed to the Mendel theory and the time series.

Selected principal works of Yule, George Udny:

1897 On the Theory of Correlation. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. 60, 812-854.
1900 On the association of attributes in statistics: with illustration from the material of the childhood society.Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 194, 257-319.
1926 Why do we sometimes get nonsensecorrelations between time-series? A study in sampling and the nature of time-series. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. (2) 89, 1-64.

1968 (with Kendall, M.G.) An Introduction to the Theory of Statistics. 14th edn. Griffin, London.

## FURTHER READING

- Measure of skewness
- Yule and Kendall coefficient


## Appendix

Appendix A: Table of Random Numbers, Decimals of $\pi$

| 1415926535 | 3305727036 | 5024459455 | 8583616035 | 816470600 | 946 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8979323846 | 5759591953 | 3469083026 | 6370766010 | 6145249192 | 9512694683 |
| 2643383279 | 9218611739 | 4252230825 | 4710181942 | 1732172147 | 9835259570 |
| 5028841971 | 8193261179 | 3344685035 | 9555961989 | 7235014144 | 9825822620 |
| 6939937510 | 3105118548 | 2619311881 | 4676783744 | 1973568548 | 5224894077 |
| 5820974944 | 7446237999 | 7101000313 | 9448255379 | 1613611573 | 2671947826 |
| 5923078164 | 6274956735 | 7838752886 | 7747268471 | 5255213347 | 8482601476 |
| 6286208995 | 1885752724 | 5875332083 | 4047534640 | 5741849468 | 9909026401 |
| 8628034825 | 8912279381 | 8142061717 | 6208046684 | 4385233239 | 3639443745 |
| 3421170679 | 8301194912 | 7669147303 | 2590694912 | 7394143337 | 5305068203 |
| 8214808651 | 9833673362 | 5982534904 | 3313677028 | 4547762416 | 4962524517 |
| 3282306647 | 4406566430 | 2875546873 | 8989152104 | 8625189835 | 4939965143 |
| 9384460952 | 8602139494 | 1159562863 | 7521620569 | 6948556209 | 1429809190 |
| 5058223172 | 6395224737 | 8823537875 | 6602405803 | 9219222184 | 6592509372 |
| 5359408128 | 1907021798 | 9375195778 | 8150193511 | 2725502542 | 2169646151 |
| 4811174502 | 6094370277 | 1857780532 | 2533824300 | 5688767179 | 5709858387 |
| 8410270193 | 5392171760 | 1712268066 | 3558764024 | 4946016530 | 4105978859 |
| 8521105559 | 2931767523 | 1300192787 | 7496473263 | 4668049886 | 5977297549 |
| 6446229489 | 8467481846 | 6611195909 | 9141992726 | 2723279178 | 8930161753 |
| 5493038196 | 7669405132 | 2164201989 | 4269922792 | 6085784383 | 9284681382 |
| 4428810975 | 5681271702 | 3809525720 | 6782354781 | 8279679766 | 6868386894 |
| 6659334461 | 4526356082 | 1065485863 | 6360093417 | 8145410095 | 2774155991 |
| 2847564823 | 7785771342 | 2788659361 | 2164121992 | 3883786360 | 8559252459 |
| 3786783165 | 7517896091 | 5338182796 | 4586315030 | 9506800642 | 5395943104 |
| 2712019091 | 7363717872 | 8230301952 | 2861829745 | 2512520511 | 9972524680 |
| 4564856692 | 1468440901 | 3530185292 | 5570674983 | 7392984896 | 8459872736 |
| 3460348610 | 2249534301 | 6899577362 | 8505494588 | 8412848862 | 4469584865 |
| 4543266482 | 4654958537 | 2599413891 | 5869269956 | 2694560424 | 3836736222 |
| 1339360726 | 1050792279 | 2497217752 | 9092721079 | 1965285022 | 6260991246 |
| 2491412733 | 6892589235 | 8347913151 | 7509302955 | 2106611863 | 8051243888 |
| 7245870066 | 4201995611 | 5574857242 | 3211653449 | 6744278629 | 4390451244 |
| 6315588170 | 2129021960 | 4541500959 | 8720275596 | 2039194945 | 1365497627 |
| 4881520920 | 8640344181 | 5082953311 | 2364806657 | 4712371373 | 8079771569 |
| 9628292540 | 5981362977 | 6861727855 | 4991198818 | 8696095636 | 1435997700 |
| 9171536436 | 4771309960 | 8890750983 | 3479775356 | 4371917287 | 1296160894 |
| 7892590360 | 5187072113 | 8175463746 | 6369807426 | 4677646575 | 4169486855 |
| 1133053052 | 4999999837 | 4939319255 | 5425278625 | 7396241389 | 5848406353 |
| 4882046652 | 2978049951 | 6040092774 | 5181841757 | 8658326451 | 4220722258 |
| 1384146951 | 5973173280 | 1671139001 | 4672890977 | 9958133904 | 2848864815 |
| 9415116094 | 1609631859 | 9848824012 | 7727938000 | 7802759009 | 8456028506 |

## Appendix B: Binomial Table

| Number of trials |  | Probability of success |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 0 | 0.9510 | 0.9039 | 0.8587 | 0.8154 | 0.7738 | 0.5905 | 0.3277 | 0.1681 | 0.0313 |
|  | 1 | 0.9990 | 0.9962 | 0.9915 | 0.9852 | 0.9774 | 0.9185 | 0.7373 | 0.5282 | 0.1875 |
|  | 2 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9997 | 0.9994 | 0.9988 | 0.9914 | 0.9421 | 0.8369 | 0.5000 |
|  | 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9995 | 0.9933 | 0.9692 | 0.8125 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9997 | 0.9976 | 0.9688 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 | 0.9044 | 0.8171 | 0.7374 | 0.6648 | 0.5987 | 0.3487 | 0.1074 | 0.0282 | 0.0010 |
|  | 1 | 0.9957 | 0.9838 | 0.9655 | 0.9418 | 0.9139 | 0.7361 | 0.3758 | 0.1493 | 0.0107 |
|  | 2 | 0.9999 | 0.9991 | 0.9972 | 0;9938 | 0.9885 | 0.9298 | 0.6778 | 0.3828 | 0.0547 |
|  | 3 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9996 | 0.9990 | 0.9872 | 0.8791 | 0.6496 | 0.1719 |
|  | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9984 | 0.0672 | 0.8497 | 0.3770 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9936 | 0.9527 | 0.6230 |
|  | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9991 | 0.9894 | 0.8281 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9984 | 0.9453 |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9893 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9990 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.8601 | 0.7386 | 0.6333 | 0.5421 | 0.4633 | 0.2059 | 0.0352 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
|  | 1 | 0.9904 | 0.9647 | 0.9270 | 0.8809 | 0.8290 | 0.5490 | 0.1671 | 0.0353 | 0.0005 |
|  | 2 | 0.9996 | 0.9970 | 0.9906 | 0.9797 | 0.9638 | 0.8159 | 0.3980 | 0.1268 | 0.0037 |
|  | 3 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 | 0.9992 | 0.9976 | 0.9945 | 0.9444 | 0.6482 | 0.2969 | 0.0176 |
|  | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9998 | 0.9994 | 0.9873 | 0.8358 | 0.5155 | 0.0592 |
|  | 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9978 | 0.9389 | 0.7216 | 0.1509 |
|  | 6 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9997 | 0.9819 | 0.8689 | 0.3036 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9958 | 0.9500 | 0.5000 |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9992 | 0.9848 | 0.6964 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9963 | 0.8491 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9993 | 0.9408 |
|  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9824 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9963 |
|  | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9995 |
|  | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
|  | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Number <br> of trials |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.8179 | 0.6676 | 0.5438 | 0.4420 | 0.1585 | 0.1216 | 0.0115 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 |
|  | 1 | 0.9831 | 0.9401 | 0.8802 | 0.8103 | 0.7358 | 0.3917 | 0.0692 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 |
|  | 2 | 0.9990 | 0.9929 | 0.9790 | 0.9561 | 0.9245 | 0.6769 | 0.2061 | 0.0355 | 0.0002 |
|  | 3 | 1.0000 | 0.9994 | 0.9973 | 0.9926 | 0.9841 | 0.8670 | 0.4114 | 0.1071 | 0.0013 |
|  | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9997 | 0.9990 | $0 ; 9974$ | 0.9568 | 0.6296 | 0.2375 | 0.0059 |
|  | 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9001 | 0.9887 | 0.8042 | 0.4164 | 0.0207 |
|  | 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9976 | 0.9133 | 0.6080 | 0.0577 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9996 | 0.9679 | 0.7723 | 0.1316 |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9900 | 0.8867 | 0.2517 |
| 20 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9974 | 0.9520 | 0.4119 |
|  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9994 | 0.9829 | 0.5881 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9949 | 0.7483 |
|  | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9987 | 0.8684 |
|  | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9793 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9941 |  |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9987 |  |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 |  |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |  |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |


| Number of trials | Probability of success |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 0 | 0.7397 | 0.5455 | 0.4010 | 0.2939 | 0.2146 | 0.0424 | 0.0012 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1 | 0.9639 | 0.8795 | 0.7731 | 0.6612 | 0.5535 | 0.1837 | 0.0105 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.9967 | 0.9783 | 0.9399 | 0.8831 | 0.8122 | 0.4114 | 0.0442 | 0.0021 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.9998 | 0.9971 | 0.9881 | 0.9694 | 0.9392 | 0.6474 | 0.1227 | 0.0093 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.9997 | 0.9982 | 0.9937 | 0.9844 | 0.8245 | 0.2552 | 0.0302 | 0.0000 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 | 0.9989 | 0.9967 | 0.9268 | 0.4275 | 0.0766 | 0.0002 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9994 | 0.9742 | 0.6070 | 0.1595 | 0.0007 |
| 7 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9922 | 0.7608 | 0.2814 | 0.0026 |
| 8 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9980 | 0.8713 | 0.4315 | 0.0081 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9995 | 0.9389 | 0.5888 | 0.0214 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9744 | 0.7304 | 0.0494 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9905 | 0.8407 | 0.1002 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9969 | 0.9155 | 0.1808 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9991 | 0.9599 | 0.2923 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 | 0.9831 | 0.4278 |
| $30 \quad 15$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 | 0.9936 | 0.5722 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9979 | 0.7077 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9994 | 0.8192 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 | 0.8998 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9506 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9786 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9919 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9974 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9993 |
| 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.9998 |
| 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |
| 26 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |
| 27 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |
| 28 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |
| 29 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000 |
| 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Appendix C: Fisher Table for $\alpha=\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$

$v_{1}$

| $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 . 5}$ | 215.7 | 224.6 | 230.2 | 234.0 | 236.8 | 238.9 | 240.5 | 241.9 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1 6}$ | 19.25 | 19.30 | 19.33 | 19.35 | 19.37 | 19.38 | 19.40 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.13 | 9.55 | 9.28 | 9.12 | 9.01 | 8.94 | 8.89 | 8.85 | 8.81 | 8.79 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 1}$ | 6.94 | 6.59 | 6.39 | 6.26 | 6.16 | 6.09 | 6.04 | 6.00 | 5.96 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 6.61 | 5.79 | 5.41 | 5.19 | 5.05 | 4.95 | 4.88 | 4.82 | 4.77 | 4.74 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 5.99 | 5.14 | 4.76 | 4.53 | 4.39 | 4.28 | 4.21 | 4.15 | 4.10 | 4.06 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 5.59 | 4.74 | 4.35 | 4.12 | 3.97 | 3.87 | 3.79 | 3.73 | 3.68 | 3.64 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 5.32 | 4.46 | 4.07 | 3.84 | 3.69 | 3.58 | 3.50 | 3.44 | 3.39 | 3.35 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 5.12 | 4.26 | 3.86 | 3.63 | 3.48 | 3.37 | 3.29 | 3.23 | 3.18 | 3.14 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 4.96 | 4.10 | 3.71 | 3.48 | 3.33 | 3.22 | 3.14 | 3.07 | 3.02 | 2.98 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 4.84 | 3.98 | 3.59 | 3.36 | 3.20 | 3.09 | 3.01 | 2.95 | 2.90 | 2.85 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 4.75 | 3.89 | 3.49 | 3.26 | 3.11 | 3.00 | 2.91 | 2.85 | 2.80 | 2.75 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 4.67 | 3.81 | 3.41 | 3.18 | 3.03 | 2.92 | 2.83 | 2.77 | 2.71 | 2.67 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 4.60 | 3.74 | 3.34 | 3.11 | 2.96 | 2.85 | 2.76 | 2.70 | 2.65 | 2.60 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 4.54 | 3.68 | 3.29 | 3.06 | 2.90 | 2.79 | 2.71 | 2.64 | 2.59 | 2.54 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 4.49 | 3.63 | 3.24 | 3.01 | 2.85 | 2.74 | 2.66 | 2.59 | 2.54 | 2.49 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 4.45 | 3.59 | 3.20 | 2.96 | 2.81 | 2.70 | 2.61 | 2.55 | 2.49 | 2.45 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 4.41 | 3.55 | 3.16 | 2.93 | 2.77 | 2.66 | 2.58 | 2.51 | 2.46 | 2.41 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 4.38 | 3.52 | 3.13 | 2.90 | 2.74 | 2.63 | 2.54 | 2.48 | 2.42 | 2.38 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 4.35 | 3.49 | 3.10 | 2.87 | 2.71 | 2.60 | 2.51 | 2.45 | 2.39 | 2.35 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 4.32 | 3.47 | 3.07 | 2.84 | 2.68 | 2.57 | 2.49 | 2.42 | 2.37 | 2.32 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 4.30 | 3.44 | 3.05 | 2.82 | 2.66 | 2.55 | 2.46 | 2.40 | 2.34 | 2.30 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 4.28 | 3.42 | 3.03 | 2.80 | 2.64 | 2.53 | 2.44 | 2.37 | 2.32 | 2.27 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 4.26 | 3.40 | 3.01 | 2.78 | 2.62 | 2.51 | 2.42 | 2.36 | 2.30 | 2.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 4.24 | 3.39 | 2.99 | 2.76 | 2.60 | 2.49 | 2.40 | 2.34 | 2.28 | 2.24 |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 4.23 | 3.37 | 2.98 | 2.74 | 2.59 | 2.47 | 2.39 | 2.32 | 2.27 | 2.22 |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 4.21 | 3.35 | 2.96 | 2.73 | 2.57 | 2.46 | 2.37 | 2.31 | 2.25 | 2.20 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 4.20 | 3.34 | 2.95 | 2.71 | 2.56 | 2.45 | 2.36 | 2.29 | 2.24 | 2.19 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 4.18 | 3.33 | 2.93 | 2.70 | 2.55 | 2.43 | $2 ; 35$ | 2.28 | 2.22 | 2.18 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4.17 | 3.32 | 2.92 | 2.69 | 2.53 | 2.42 | 2.33 | 2.27 | 2.21 | 2.16 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 4.08 | 3.23 | 2.84 | 2.61 | 2.45 | 2.34 | 2.25 | 2.18 | 2.12 | 2.08 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 4.00 | 3.15 | 2.76 | 2.53 | 2.37 | 2.25 | 2.17 | 2.10 | 2.04 | 1.99 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 3.92 | 3.07 | 2.68 | 2.45 | 2.29 | 2.17 | 2.09 | 2.02 | 1.96 | 1.91 |
| $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ | 3.84 | 3.00 | 2.60 | 2.37 | 2.21 | 2.10 | 2.01 | 1.94 | 1.88 | 1.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\nu_{2}$ | 12 | 15 | 20 | 24 | $30$ | 40 | 60 | 120 | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 243.9 | 245.9 | 248.0 | 249.1 | 250.1 | 251.1 | 252.2 | 253.3 | 254.3 |
| 2 | 19.41 | 19.43 | 19.45 | 19.45 | 19.46 | 19.47 | 19.48 | 19.49 | 19.50 |
| 3 | 8.74 | 8.70 | 8.66 | 8.64 | 8.62 | 8.59 | 8.57 | 8.55 | 8.53 |
| 4 | 5.91 | 5.86 | 5.80 | 5.77 | 5.75 | 5.72 | 5.69 | 5.66 | 5.63 |
| 5 | 4.68 | 4.62 | 4.56 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.43 | 4.40 | 4.36 |
| 6 | 4.00 | 3.94 | 3.87 | 3.84 | 3.81 | 3.77 | 3.74 | 3.70 | 3.67 |
| 7 | 3.57 | 3.51 | 3.44 | 3.41 | 3.38 | 3.34 | 3.30 | 3.27 | 3.23 |
| 8 | 3.28 | 3.22 | 3.15 | 3.12 | 3.08 | 3.04 | 3.01 | 2.97 | 2.93 |
| 9 | 3.07 | 3.01 | 2.94 | 2.90 | 2.86 | 2.83 | 2.79 | 2.75 | 2.71 |
| 10 | 2.91 | 2.85 | 2.77 | 2.74 | 2.70 | 2.66 | 2.62 | 2.58 | 2.54 |
| 11 | 2.79 | 2.72 | 2.65 | 2.61 | 2.57 | 2.53 | 2.49 | 2.45 | 2.40 |
| 12 | 2.69 | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.51 | 2.47 | 2.43 | 2.38 | 2.34 | 2.30 |
| 13 | 2.60 | 2.53 | 2.46 | 2.42 | 2.38 | 2.34 | 2.30 | 2.25 | 2.21 |
| 14 | 2.53 | 2.46 | 2.39 | 2.35 | 2.31 | 2.27 | 2.22 | 2.18 | 2.13 |
| 15 | 2.48 | 2.40 | 2.33 | 2.29 | 2.25 | 2.20 | 2.16 | 2.11 | 2.07 |
| 16 | 2.42 | 2.35 | 2.28 | 2.24 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 2.11 | 2.06 | 2.01 |
| 17 | 2.38 | 2.31 | 2.23 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 2.10 | 2.06 | 2.01 | 1.96 |
| 18 | 2.34 | 2.27 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 2.11 | 2.06 | 2.02 | 1.97 | 1.92 |
| 19 | 2.31 | 2.23 | 2.16 | 2.11 | 2.07 | 2.03 | 1.98 | 1.93 | 1.88 |
| 20 | 2.28 | 2.20 | 2.12 | 2.08 | 2.04 | 1.99 | 1.95 | 1.90 | 1.84 |
| 21 | 2.25 | 2.18 | 2.10 | 2.05 | 2.01 | 1;96 | 1.92 | 1.87 | 1.81 |
| 22 | 2.23 | 2.15 | 2.07 | 2.03 | 1.98 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.84 | 1.78 |
| 23 | 2.20 | 2.13 | 2.05 | 2.01 | 1.96 | 1.91 | 1.86 | 1.81 | 1.76 |
| 24 | 2.18 | 2.11 | 2.03 | 1.98 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 1.73 |
| 25 | 2.16 | 2.09 | 2.01 | 1.96 | 1.92 | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.77 | 1.71 |
| 26 | 2.15 | 2.07 | 1.99 | 1.95 | 1.90 | 1.85 | 1.80 | 1.75 | 1.69 |
| 27 | 2.13 | 2.06 | 1.97 | 1.93 | 1.88 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 1.73 | 1.67 |
| 28 | 2.12 | 2.04 | 1.96 | 1.91 | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.77 | 1.71 | 1.65 |
| 29 | 2.10 | 2.03 | 1.94 | 1.90 | 1.85 | 1.81 | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.64 |
| 30 | 2.09 | 2.01 | 1.93 | 1.89 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 1.74 | 1.68 | 1.62 |
| 40 | 2.00 | 1.92 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 1.74 | 1.69 | 1.64 | 1.58 | 1.51 |
| 60 | 1.92 | 1.84 | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.65 | 1.59 | 1.53 | 1.47 | 1.39 |
| 120 | 1.83 | 1.75 | 1.66 | 1.61 | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.43 | 1.35 | 1.25 |
| $\infty$ | 1.75 | 1.67 | 1.57 | 1.52 | 1.46 | 1.39 | 1.32 | 1.22 | 1.00 |

## Appendix D: Kruskal-Wallis Table

| $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{3}$ | Critical value | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2.7000 | 0.500 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 3.6000 | 0.267 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 4.5711 | 0.067 |
|  |  |  | 3.7143 | 0.200 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 3.2000 | 0.300 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 4.2857 | 0.100 |
|  |  |  | 3.8571 | 0.133 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 5.3572 | 0.029 |
|  |  |  | 4.7143 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  | 4.5000 | 0.067 |
|  |  |  | 4.4643 | 0.105 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 5.1429 | 0.043 |
|  |  |  | 4.5714 | 0.100 |
|  |  |  | 4.0000 | 0.129 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 6.2500 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | 5.3611 | 0.032 |
|  |  |  | 5.1389 | 0.061 |
|  |  |  | 4.5556 | 0.100 |
|  |  |  | 4.2500 | 0.121 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 7.2000 | 0.004 |
|  |  |  | 6.4889 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | 5.6889 | 0.029 |
|  |  |  | 5.0667 | 0.086 |
|  |  |  | 4.6222 | 0.100 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 3.5714 | 0.200 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 4.8214 | 0.057 |
|  |  |  | 4.5000 | 0.076 |
|  |  |  | 4.0179 | 0.114 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 6.0000 | 0.014 |
|  |  |  | 5.3333 | 0.033 |
|  |  |  | 5.1250 | 0.052 |
|  |  |  | 4.3750 | 0.100 |
|  |  |  | 4.1667 | 0.105 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 5.8333 | 0.021 |
|  |  |  | 5.2083 | 0.050 |
|  |  |  | 5.0000 | 0.057 |
|  |  |  | 4.0556 | 0.093 |
|  |  |  | 3.8889 | 0.129 |



| $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{3}$ | Critical value | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 3.8571 | 0.143 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 5.2500 | 0.036 |
|  |  |  | 5.0000 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  | 4.4500 | 0.071 |
|  |  |  | 4.2000 | 0.095 |
|  |  |  | 4.0500 | 0.119 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 6.5333 | 0.008 |
|  |  |  | 6.1333 | 0.013 |
|  |  |  | 5.1600 | 0.034 |
|  |  |  | 5.0400 | 0.056 |
|  |  |  | 4.3733 | 0.090 |
|  |  |  | 4.2933 | 0.122 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 6.4000 | 0.012 |
|  |  |  | 4.9600 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  | 4.8711 | 0.052 |
|  |  |  | 4.0178 | 0.095 |
|  |  |  | 3.8400 | 0.123 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 6.9091 | 0.009 |
|  |  |  | 6.8606 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | 5.4424 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  | 5.3455 | 0.050 |
|  |  |  | 4.5333 | 0.097 |
|  |  |  | 4.4121 | 0.109 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 6.9818 | 0.010 |
|  |  |  | 6.8608 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | 5.4424 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  | 5.3455 | 0.050 |
|  |  |  | 4.5333 | 0.097 |
|  |  |  | 4.4121 | 0.109 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 6.9545 | 0.008 |
|  |  |  | 6.8400 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  | 4.9855 | 0.044 |
|  |  |  | 4.8600 | 0.056 |
|  |  |  | 3.9873 | 0.098 |
|  |  |  | 3.9600 | 0.102 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 7.2045 | 0.009 |
|  |  |  | 7.1182 | 0.010 |
|  |  |  | 5.2727 | 0.049 |
|  |  |  | 5.2682 | 0.050 |
|  |  |  | 4.5409 | 0.098 |
|  |  |  | 4.5182 | 0.101 |


| $n_{1}$ | $n_{2} \quad n_{3}$ | Critical value | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 43 | 7.4449 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 7.3949 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 5.6564 | 0.049 |
|  |  | 5.6308 | 0.051 |
|  |  | 4.5487 | 0.099 |
|  |  | 4.5231 | 0.103 |
| 5 | 44 | 7.7604 | 0.009 |
|  |  | 7.7440 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 5.6571 | 0.049 |
|  |  | 5;6116 | 0.050 |
|  |  | 4.6187 | 0.100 |
|  |  | 4.5527 | 0.102 |
| 5 | 51 | 7.3091 | 0.009 |
|  |  | 6.8364 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 5.1273 | 0.049 |
|  |  | 4.9091 | 0.053 |
|  |  | 4.1091 | 0.086 |
|  |  | 4.0364 | 0.105 |
| 5 | 52 | 7.3385 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 7.5429 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 5.7055 | 0.046 |
|  |  | 5.6264 | 0.051 |
|  |  | 4.5451 | 0.100 |
|  |  | 4.5363 | 0.102 |
| 5 | 54 | 7.8229 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 7.1914 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 5.6657 | 0.049 |
|  |  | 5.6429 | 0.050 |
|  |  | 4.5229 | 0.099 |
|  |  | 4.6200 | 0.101 |
| 5 | 55 | 8.0000 | 0.09 |
|  |  | 7.9800 | 0.010 |
|  |  | 5.7800 | 0.049 |
|  |  | 5.6600 | 0.051 |
|  |  | 4.5600 | 0.100 |
|  |  | 4.5000 | 0.102 |

Appendix E: Student Table

| $\alpha$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v$ | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0.025 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| 1 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.706 | 31.821 | 63.656 | 318.289 |
| 2 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.328 |
| 3 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.214 |
| 4 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 |
| 5 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.894 |
| 6 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 |
| 7 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.315 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 |
| 8 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 |
| 9 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.212 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 |
| 10 | 1.312 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 |
| 11 | 1.363 | 1.796 | 2.201 | 2.718 | 3.106 | 4.025 |
| 12 | 1.356 | 1.182 | 2.179 | 2.681 | 3.055 | 3.930 |
| 13 | 1.350 | 1.771 | 2.160 | 2.650 | 3.012 | 3.852 |
| 14 | 1.345 | 1.761 | 2.145 | 2.624 | 2.977 | 3.787 |
| 15 | 1.341 | 1.753 | 2.131 | 2.602 | 2.947 | 3.733 |
| 16 | 1.337 | 1.746 | 2.120 | 2.583 | 2.921 | 3.686 |
| 17 | 1.133 | 1.740 | 2.110 | 2.567 | 2.898 | 3.646 |
| 18 | 1.330 | 1.734 | 2.101 | 2.552 | 2.878 | 3.610 |
| 19 | 1.328 | 1.729 | 2.093 | 2.539 | 2.861 | 3.579 |
| 20 | 1.325 | 1.725 | 2.086 | 2.528 | 2.845 | 3.552 |
| 21 | 1.323 | 1.721 | 2.080 | 2.518 | 2.831 | 3.527 |
| 22 | 1.321 | 1.717 | 2.074 | 2.508 | 2.519 | 3.505 |
| 23 | 1.319 | 1.714 | 2.069 | 2.500 | 2.807 | 3.485 |
| 24 | 1.318 | 1.711 | 2.064 | 2.492 | 2.797 | 3.467 |
| 25 | 1.316 | 1.708 | 2.060 | 2.485 | 2.787 | 3.450 |
| 26 | 1.315 | 1.706 | 2.056 | 2.479 | 2.779 | 3.435 |
| 27 | 1.314 | 1.103 | 2.052 | 2.473 | 2.771 | 3.421 |
| 28 | 1.313 | 1.701 | 2.048 | 2.467 | 2.763 | 3.408 |
| 29 | 1.311 | 1.699 | 2.046 | 2.462 | 2.756 | 3.396 |
| 30 | 1.310 | 1.697 | 2.042 | 2.457 | 2.750 | 3.385 |
| 40 | 1.303 | 1.684 | 2.021 | 2.123 | 2.704 | 3.301 |
| 50 | 1.299 | 1.676 | 2.009 | 2.403 | 2.678 | 3.261 |
| 60 | 1.296 | 1.571 | 2.000 | 2.300 | 2.660 | 3.232 |
| 70 | 1.294 | 1.667 | 1.994 | 2.381 | 2.648 | 3.211 |
| 80 | 1.292 | 1.664 | 1.990 | 2.374 | 2.639 | 3.195 |
| 90 | 1.291 | 1.662 | 1.987 | 2.368 | 2.632 | 3.183 |
| 100 | 1.290 | 1.660 | 1.984 | 2.364 | 2.626 | 3.174 |
| $\infty$ | 1.282 | 1.645 | 1.960 | 2.326 | 2.576 | 3.090 |

## Appendix F: Chi-Square Table

| $v$ | Degree of freedeom |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.990 | 0.950 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 2.71 | 3.84 | 5.020 | 6.63 |
| 2 | 0.020 | 0.103 | 4.61 | 5.99 | 7.380 | 9.21 |
| 3 | 0.115 | 0.352 | 6.25 | 7.81 | 9.350 | 11.34 |
| 4 | 0.297 | 0.711 | 7.78 | 9.49 | 11.140 | 13.23 |
| 5 | 0.554 | 1.145 | 9.24 | 11.07 | 12.830 | 15.09 |
| 6 | 0.872 | 1.635 | 10.64 | 12.53 | 14.450 | 16.81 |
| 7 | 1.239 | 2.107 | 12.02 | 14.07 | 16.010 | 18.48 |
| 8 | 1.646 | 2.733 | 13.36 | 15.51 | 17.530 | 20.09 |
| 9 | 2.088 | 3.325 | 14.68 | 16.92 | 19.020 | 21.67 |
| 10 | 2.558 | 3.940 | 15.99 | 18.31 | 20.480 | 23.21 |
| 11 | 3.050 | 4.580 | 17.29 | 19.68 | 21.920 | 21.72 |
| 12 | 3.570 | 5.230 | 18.55 | 21.03 | 23.340 | 26.22 |
| 13 | 4.110 | 5.890 | 19.81 | 21.36 | 24.740 | 27.69 |
| 14 | 4.660 | 6.570 | 21.06 | 23.68 | 26.120 | 29.14 |
| 15 | 5.230 | 7.260 | 22.31 | 25.00 | 27.100 | 30.58 |
| 16 | 5.810 | 7.960 | 23.54 | 26.30 | 28.850 | 32.00 |
| 17 | 6.410 | 8.670 | 24.77 | 27.59 | 30.190 | 33.41 |
| 18 | 7.020 | 9.390 | 25.99 | 28.87 | 31.530 | 34.81 |
| 19 | 7.630 | 10.120 | 27.20 | 30.14 | 32.850 | 36.19 |
| 20 | 8.260 | 10.850 | 28.41 | 31.41 | 34.170 | 37.57 |
| 21 | 8.900 | 11.590 | 29.62 | 39.67 | 35.480 | 38.93 |
| 22 | 9.540 | 12.340 | 30.81 | 33.92 | 36.780 | 40.29 |
| 23 | 10.200 | 13.090 | 32.01 | 35.17 | 38.080 | 41.64 |
| 24 | 10.860 | 13.850 | 33.20 | 36.42 | 39.360 | 42.98 |
| 25 | 11.520 | 14.610 | 34.38 | 37.65 | 40.650 | 44.31 |
| 26 | 12.200 | 15.380 | 35.56 | 38.89 | 41.920 | 45.64 |
| 27 | 12.880 | 16.150 | 36.74 | 40.11 | 43.190 | 46.96 |
| 28 | 13.570 | 16.930 | 37.92 | 41.34 | 44.160 | 48.28 |
| 29 | 14.260 | 17.710 | 39.09 | 42.56 | 45.720 | 49.59 |
| 30 | 14.950 | 18.490 | 40.26 | 43.77 | 46.980 | 50.89 |

Appendix G: Standard Normal Table

| Z | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.500 | 0.504 | 0.508 | 0.512 | 0.5 | 0.5199 | 0.5239 | 0.5279 | 0.5319 | 0.5359 |
| 0.1 | 0.53 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5557 | 0.5596 | 0.5636 | 0.5675 | 0.5714 | 0.5753 |
| 0.2 | 0.579 | 0.583 | 0587 | 0.5910 | 0.5948 | 0.5987 | 0.6026 | 0.6064 | 0.6103 | 0.6141 |
| 0.3 | 0.6179 | 0.6217 | 0.6255 | 0.6293 | 0.633 | 0.6368 | 0.6406 | 0.6443 | 6480 | 0.6517 |
| 0.4 | 0.650 | 65 | 0.662 | 66 | 0.6700 | 0.6736 | 0.6772 | 0.6808 | 0.6844 | 6879 |
| 0.5 | 0.69 | 0.6950 | 0.698 | 0.70 | . 70 | 0.7088 | 0.7123 | 0.7157 | 0.7190 | 0.7224 |
| 0.6 | 0.72 | 0.7291 | 0.7324 | 0.7357 | 0.7389 | 0.7422 | 0.7454 | 0.7486 | 0.7517 | 0.7549 |
| 0.7 | 0.758 | 0.761 | 0.76 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7852 |
| 0.8 | 0.788 | 0.79 | 0.793 | 0.796 | . 799 | 0.802 | 0.805 | 0.807 | 0.8106 | 8133 |
| 0.9 | 0.811 | 0.818 | 0.821 | 0.8238 | 0.826 | 0.828 | 0.831 | 0.83 | 0.836 | . 838 |
| 1.0 | 0.841 | 0.843 | 0.846 | 0.848 | 0.850 | 0.85 | 0.855 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.862 |
| 1.1 | 0.86 | 0.866 | 0.868 | 0.870 | . 87 | 0.8 | 0.87 | 0.879 | . 88 | 0.883 |
| 1.2 | 0.884 | 0.886 | 0.888 | 0.890 | . 89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 89 | 90 |
| 1.3 | 0.9032 | 0.904 | 0.906 | 0.908 | . 90 | 0.91 | 0.9 | 0.9147 | 0.91 | 0.9177 |
| 1.4 | 0.9192 | 0.920 | 0.922 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.929 | . 93 | 93 |
| 1.5 | 0.9332 | 0.934 | 0.935 | 0.937 | 0.938 | 0.939 | 0.940 | 0.941 | 0.942 | 0.94 |
| 1.6 | 0.9452 | 0.946 | 0.947 | 0.948 | 0.949 | 0.950 | 0.95 | 0.952 | 0.953 | 0.95 |
| 1.7 | 0.955 | 0.956 | 0.957 | 0.958 | 0.959 | 0.95 | 0.960 | 0.961 | 0.96 | 0.963 |
| 1.8 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.965 | 0.966 | . 96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | . 96 | 97 |
| 1.9 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.972 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| 2.0 | 0.9772 | 0.9 | 0.978 | 0.9 | 0.979 | 0.9798 | 0.9803 | 980 | 0.9812 | 981 |
| 2. | 0.9821 | 0.9826 | 0.9830 | 0.983 | 0.983 | 0.984 | 0.984 | 0.985 | 0.985 | 0.985 |
| 2.2 | 0.9861 | 0.986 | 0.9868 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.988 | 0.988 | 0.988 | 0.989 |
| 2.3 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.990 | 0.990 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 2.4 | 0.991 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.99 | . 99 | 99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | . 99 |
| 2.5 | 0.993 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | . 99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.9952 |
| 2.6 | 0.9953 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.99 | 0.995 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | . 99 | .996 |
| 2.7 | 0.9965 | 0.9966 | 0.9967 | 0.9968 | 0.996 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.99 | 0.997 |
| 2.8 | 0.9974 | 0.9975 | 0.9976 | 0.9977 | 0.997 | 0.9978 | 0.9979 | 0.9979 | 0.9980 | 0.9981 |
| 2.9 | 0.9981 | 0.9982 | 0.9982 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.9984 | 0.9985 | 0.9985 | 0.998 | 0.9986 |
| 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.9987 | 0.9987 | 0.998 | 0.9988 | 0.9989 | 0. | 0.99 | 0.9990 | 0.9990 |
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