TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 641-655, December 2003 This paper is available online at http://www.math.nthu.edu.tw/tjm/

GENERALIZED JENSEN'S EQUATIONS IN BANACH MODULES OVER A C*-ALGEBRA AND ITS UNITARY GROUP

Deok-Hoon Boo, Sei-Qwon Oh, Chun-Gil Park and Jae-Myung Park

Abstract. We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized Jensen's equations in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra associated with its unitary group. It is applied to show the stability of generalized Jensen's equations in a Hilbert module over a unital C^* -algebra associated with its unitary group.

1. GENERALIZED JENSEN'S EQUATIONS

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Consider $f: E_1 \to E_2$ to be a mapping such that f(tx) is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E_1$. Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon \ge 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \le \epsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Th.M. Rassias [5] showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T: E_1 \to E_2$ such that

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} ||x||^p$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Lemma A. Let V, W be vector spaces, and let r, s, t be positive integers. A mapping $f: V \to W$ with f(0) = 0 is a solution of the equation

(A)
$$rf(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) = sf(x) + tf(y)$$

Received June 11, 2002.

Communicated by S.-Y. Shaw.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47J25, 46L05, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: Banach module over C^* -algebra, Hilbert module over C^* -algebra, Generalized Jensen's equation, Stability.

This work was supported by grant No. 1999-2-102-001-3 from the interdisciplinary Research program year of the KOSEF.

for all $x, y \in V$ if and only if the mapping $f : V \to W$ satisfies the additive Cauchy equation f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) for all $x, y \in V$.

Proof. Assume that $f: V \to W$ satisfies the equation (A). Then

$$\begin{split} rf(\frac{s}{r}x) &= rf(\frac{sx+t\cdot 0}{r}) = sf(x) + tf(0) = sf(x),\\ rf(\frac{t}{r}x) &= rf(\frac{s\cdot 0 + tx}{r}) = sf(0) + tf(x) = tf(x) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in V$. So

$$f(\frac{s}{r}x) = \frac{s}{r}f(x) \quad \& \quad f(\frac{t}{r}x) = \frac{t}{r}f(x)$$

for all $x \in V$. And

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= f(\frac{s}{r} \cdot \frac{r}{s} x) = \frac{s}{r} f(\frac{r}{s} x), \\ f(x) &= f(\frac{t}{r} \cdot \frac{r}{t} x) = \frac{t}{r} f(\frac{r}{t} x) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in V$. So

$$f(\frac{r}{s}x) = \frac{r}{s}f(x) \quad \& \quad f(\frac{r}{t}x) = \frac{r}{t}f(x)$$

for all $x \in V$. Thus

$$f(x+y) = \frac{1}{r} \cdot rf(\frac{s}{r} \cdot \frac{r}{s}x + \frac{t}{r} \cdot \frac{r}{t}y) = \frac{1}{r}(sf(\frac{r}{s}x) + tf(\frac{r}{t}y))$$
$$= \frac{1}{r}(s \cdot \frac{r}{s}f(x) + t \cdot \frac{r}{t}f(y)) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$.

The converse is obvious.

Throughout this paper, let A be a unital C^* -algebra with norm $|\cdot|$ and $\mathcal{U}(A)$ the unitary group of A. Let ${}_{A}\mathcal{B}$ and ${}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be left Banach A-modules with norms $||\cdot||$ and $||\cdot||$, respectively, and ${}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ a left Hilbert A-module with norm $||\cdot||$. Let s, t be different positive integers, r a positive integer, and d an integer greater than 1.

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (A) in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra associated with its unitary group.

642

Generalized Jensen's Equations

2. Stability of Generalized Jensen's Equations in Banach Modules Over a C^* -Algebra

We are going to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (A) in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra for the case $s \neq t$.

Theorem 1. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty)$ such that

(i)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varphi}(x,y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2k} \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2k}x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2k}y) < \infty \\ & \| ruf(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sf(ux) - tf(uy) \| \le \varphi(x,y) \end{split}$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in AB$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : AB \to AC$ such that

(ii)
$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{1}{s}\widetilde{\varphi}(x, -\frac{s}{t}x) + \frac{t}{s^2}\widetilde{\varphi}(-\frac{s}{t}x, (\frac{s}{t})^2x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Put $u = 1 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. For $y = -\frac{s}{t}x$,

(1)
$$\|sf(x) + tf(-\frac{s}{t}x)\| \le \varphi\left(x, -\frac{s}{t}x\right).$$

Replacing x by $-\frac{s}{t}x$ and y by $(\frac{s}{t})^2 x$, one can obtain

(2)
$$\|sf(-\frac{s}{t}x) + tf((\frac{s}{t})^2x)\| \le \varphi(-\frac{s}{t}x, (\frac{s}{t})^2x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. From (1) and (2), we get

$$\|f(x) - (\frac{t}{s})^2 f((\frac{s}{t})^2 x)\| \le \frac{1}{s}\varphi(x, -\frac{s}{t}x) + \frac{t}{s^2}\varphi(-\frac{s}{t}x, (\frac{s}{t})^2 x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

(3)
$$\|f(x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\frac{1}{s} (\frac{t}{s})^{2k} \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2k} x, -(\frac{s}{t})^{2k+1} x) + \frac{1}{s} (\frac{t}{s})^{2k+1} \varphi(-(\frac{s}{t})^{2k+1} x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2k+2} x))$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the sequence $\{(\frac{t}{s})^{2n}f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for n > m, we have

$$\begin{split} \| (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2m} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2m}x) \| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \| (\frac{t}{s})^{2k+2} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2k+2}x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2k} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2k}x) \| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{s} (\frac{t}{s})^{2k} \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2k}x, -(\frac{s}{t})^{2k+1}x) + \frac{1}{s} (\frac{t}{s})^{2k+1} \varphi(-(\frac{s}{t})^{2k+1}x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2k+2}x) \right) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. It follows from (i) that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2k} \varphi\left(\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2k} x, -\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2k+1} x\right) + \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2k+1} \varphi\left(-\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2k+1} x, \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2k+2} x\right)\right) = 0$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Since ${}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is a Banach space, the sequence $\{(\frac{t}{s})^{2n}f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\}$ converges. Define

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2n} f\left(\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2n}x\right)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Taking the limit in (3) as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{1}{s}\widetilde{\varphi}(x, -\frac{s}{t}x) + \frac{t}{s^2}\widetilde{\varphi}(-\frac{s}{t}x, (\frac{s}{t})^2x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$, which is the inequality (ii). From the definition of T, we get

(4)
$$(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}T(x) = T((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) \text{ and } T(0) = 0.$$

By (i) and the definition of T,

$$\begin{split} \|rT(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sT(x) - tT(y)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} \|rf((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} \frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sf((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) - tf((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} y) = 0 \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$rT(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) = sT(x) + tT(y)$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. By Lemma A, T is additive.

If $F : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is another additive mapping satisfying (ii), then it follows from (ii), (4) and the proof of Lemma A that

$$\begin{split} \|T(x) - F(x)\| &= \|(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} T((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} F((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x)\| \\ &\leq \|(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} T((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x)\| + \|(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) - (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} F((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x)\| \\ &\leq 2(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} (\frac{1}{s} \widetilde{\varphi}((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} (-\frac{s}{t}) x) + \frac{t}{s^2} \widetilde{\varphi}((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} (-\frac{s}{t}) x), (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x)], \end{split}$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ by (i). Thus we conclude that

$$T(x) = F(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. This completes the uniqueness of T.

By the assumption, for each $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|ruf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) - (s+t)f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux)\| &\leq \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x), \\ \|rf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) - (s+t)f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux)\| &\leq \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$\begin{split} \|rf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) - ruf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\| \\ &\leq \|rf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) - (s+t)f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux)\| \\ &+ \|ruf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) - (s+t)f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux)\| \\ &\leq \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) + \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) \end{split}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} \|rf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) - ruf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Hence

$$rT(\frac{s+t}{r}ux) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} rf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}ux) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ruf(\frac{s+t}{r}(\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)$$
$$= ruT(\frac{s+t}{r}x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. So

$$T(ux) = \frac{r}{s+t}T(\frac{s+t}{r}ux) = \frac{r}{s+t}uT(\frac{s+t}{r}x) = uT(x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Now let $a \in A$ $(a \neq 0)$ and M an integer greater than 4|a|. Then

$$|\frac{a}{M}| = \frac{1}{M}|a| < \frac{|a|}{4|a|} = \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

By [3, Theorem 1], there exist three elements $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $3\frac{a}{M} = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$. And $T(x) = T(3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}x) = 3T(\frac{1}{3}x)$ for all $x \in {}_A\mathcal{B}$. So $T(\frac{1}{3}x) = \frac{1}{3}T(x)$ for all $x \in {}_A\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$T(ax) = T(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M}x) = M \cdot T(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M}x) = \frac{M}{3}T(3\frac{a}{M}x)$$
$$= \frac{M}{3}T(u_1x + u_2x + u_3x) = \frac{M}{3}(T(u_1x) + T(u_2x) + T(u_3x))$$
$$= \frac{M}{3}(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)T(x) = \frac{M}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M}T(x)$$
$$= aT(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Obviously, T(0x) = 0T(x) for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Hence

$$T(ax + by) = T(ax) + T(by) = aT(x) + bT(y)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So the unique additive mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is an A-linear mapping, as desired.

Corollary 2. Let 0 and <math>t < s. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 such that

$$\|ruf(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sf(ux) - tf(uy)\| \le \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{s^{2(1-p)}}{s^{2(1-p)} - t^{2(1-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t^p s^{1-p}} + \frac{t^{1-p}}{s^{2-p}} + \frac{t^{1-2p}}{s^{2-2p}}\right) \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [\ell, \infty)$ by $\varphi(x, y) = ||x||^p + ||y||^p$, and apply Theorem 1.

Corollary 3. Let p > 1 and t > s. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 such that

$$\|ruf(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sf(ux) - tf(uy)\| \le \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in AB$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}B \rightarrow {}_{A}C$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{t^{2(p-1)}}{t^{2(p-1)} - s^{2(p-1)}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{s^{p-1}}{t^p} + \frac{s^{p-1}}{t^{p-1}} + \frac{s^{2-2p}}{t^{2p-1}}\right) \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0,\infty)$ by $\varphi(x,y) = ||x||^{p} + ||y||^{p}$, and apply Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a continuous mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i) such that

$$\|ruf(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sf(ux) - tf(uy)\| \le \varphi(x,y)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. If the sequence $\{(\frac{t}{s})^{2n}f((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\}$ converges uniformly on ${}_{A}\mathcal{B}$, then there exists a unique continuous A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ satisfying (ii).

Proof. By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ satisfying (ii). By the continuity of f, the uniform convergence and the definition of T, the A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is continuous, as desired.

Theorem 5. Let $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ be a continuous mapping with h(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i) such that

$$\|ruh(\frac{sx+ty}{r}) - sh(ux) - th(uy)\| \le \varphi(x,y)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$. Assume that $h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) = (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}h(x)$ for all positive integers n and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$. Then the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a bounded A-linear operator. Furthermore,

(1) if the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the inequality

$$||h(x) - h^*(x)|| \le \varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$, then the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a self-adjoint operator,

(2) if the mapping $h: {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the inequality

$$||h \circ h^*(x) - h^* \circ h(x)|| \le \varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$, then the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a normal operator,

(3) if the mapping $h: {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \|h \circ h^*(x) - x\| &\leq \varphi(x, x), \\ \|h^* \circ h(x) - x\| &\leq \varphi(x, x) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$, then the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a unitary operator, and

(4) if the mapping $h: {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the inequalities

$$egin{aligned} \|h\circ h(x)-h(x)\|&\leq arphi(x,x),\ \|h^*(x)-h(x)\|&\leq arphi(x,x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$, then the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a projection.

Proof. The sequence $\{(\frac{t}{s})^{2n}h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\}$ converges uniformly on $_A\mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 4, there exists a unique continuous A-linear operator $T: _A\mathcal{H} \to _A\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (ii). By the assumption,

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} (\frac{s}{t})^{2n} h(x) = h(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$, where the mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is given in the proof of Theorem 1. Hence the A-linear operator T is the mapping h. So the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a continuous A-linear operator. Thus the A-linear operator $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is bounded (see [1, Proposition II.1.1).

(1) By the assumption,

$$\|h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) - h^*((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\| \le \varphi((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x, (\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)$$

for all positive integers n and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$. Thus

$$(\frac{t}{s})^{2n} \|h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x) - h^*((\frac{s}{t})^{2n}x)\| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$. Hence

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} h((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{t}{s})^{2n} h^*((\frac{s}{t})^{2n} x) = h^*(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$. So the mapping $h : {}_{A}\mathcal{H} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{H}$ is a self-adjoint operator.

The proofs of the others are similar to the proof of (1).

Now we are going to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (A) in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra for the case s = t = 1 and r = d.

Theorem 6. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty)$ such that

(iii)
$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x,y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(d-1)^k} \varphi((d-1)^k x, (d-1)^k y) < \infty$$
$$\|duf(\frac{x+y}{d}) - f(ux) - f(uy)\| \le \varphi(x,y)$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in AB$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}B \rightarrow {}_{A}C$ such that

(iv)
$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{1}{d-1}\widetilde{\varphi}(x, (d-1)x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Put $u = 1 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Replacing y by (d-1)x, one can obtain

$$||(d-1)f(x) - f((d-1)x)|| \le \varphi(x, (d-1)x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$\|f(x) - \frac{f((d-1)x)}{d-1}\| \le \frac{1}{d-1}\varphi(x, (d-1)x),$$

and hence

(5)
$$||f(x) - \frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}|| \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{k+1}} \varphi((d-1)^k x, (d-1) \cdot (d-1)^k x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the sequence $\{\frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for n > m, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n} - \frac{f((d-1)^m x)}{(d-1)^m} \| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \|\frac{f((d-1)^{k+1} x)}{(d-1)^{k+1}} - \frac{f((d-1)^k x)}{(d-1)^k} \| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{k+1}} \varphi((d-1)^k x, (d-1) \cdot (d-1)^k x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. It follows from (iii) that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{k+1}} \varphi((d-1)^k x, (d-1) \cdot (d-1)^k x) = 0$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Since ${}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is a Banach space, the sequence $\{\frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}\}$ converges. Define

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Taking the limit in (5) as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{1}{d-1}\widetilde{\varphi}(x, (d-1)x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$, which is the inequality (iv). From the definition of T, we get

(6)
$$(d-1)^n T(x) = T((d-1)^n x)$$
 and $T(0) = 0$.

By (iii) and the definition of T,

$$\begin{split} \|dT(\frac{x+y}{d}) - T(x) - T(y)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(d-1)^n} \|df(\frac{(d-1)^n (x+y)}{d}) - f((d-1)^n x) - f((d-1)^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(d-1)^n} \varphi((d-1)^n x, (d-1)^n y) = 0 \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$dT(\frac{x+y}{d}) = T(x) + T(y)$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. By Lemma A, T is additive.

If $F: {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is another additive mapping satisfying (iv), then it follows from (iv), (6) and the proof of Lemma A that

$$\begin{split} \|T(x) - F(x)\| &= \|\frac{T((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n} - \frac{F((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}\|\\ &\leq \|\frac{T((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n} - \frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}\|\\ &+ \|\frac{f((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n} - \frac{F((d-1)^n x)}{(d-1)^n}\|\\ &\leq 2\frac{1}{(d-1)^{n+1}}\widetilde{\varphi}((d-1)^n x, (d-1) \cdot (d-1)^n x), \end{split}$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ by (iii). Thus we conclude that

$$T(x) = F(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. This completes the uniqueness of T.

By the assumption, for each $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|duf(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}x) - 2f((d-1)^n ux)\| &\leq \varphi((d-1)^n x, (d-1)^n x), \\ \|df(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}ux) - 2f((d-1)^n ux)\| &\leq \varphi((d-1)^n ux, (d-1)^n ux) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$\begin{split} \|df(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}ux) - duf(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}x)\| \\ &\leq \|df(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}ux) - 2f((d-1)^nux)\| \\ &+ \|duf(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}x) - 2f((d-1)^nux)\| \\ &\leq \varphi((d-1)^nux, (d-1)^nux) + \varphi((d-1)^nx, (d-1)^nx) \end{split}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{(d-1)^n} \|df(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}ux) - duf(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}x)\| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Hence

$$dT(\frac{2}{d}ux) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{df(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}ux)}{(d-1)^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{duf(\frac{2(d-1)^n}{d}x)}{(d-1)^n} = duT(\frac{2}{d}x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$T(ux) = \frac{d}{2}T(\frac{2}{d}ux) = \frac{d}{2}uT(\frac{2}{d}x) = uT(x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 7. Let d be an integer greater than 2 and $0 . Let <math>f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 such that

$$\|duf(\frac{x+y}{d}) - f(ux) - f(uy)\| \le \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{1 + (d-1)^p}{d-1 - (d-1)^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0,\infty)$ by $\varphi(x,y) = ||x||^{p} + ||y||^{p}$, and apply Theorem 6.

Theorem 8. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty)$ such that

(v)
$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x,y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (d-1)^k \varphi(\frac{1}{(d-1)^k}x, \frac{1}{(d-1)^k}y) < \infty$$
$$\|duf(\frac{x+y}{d}) - f(ux) - f(uy)\| \le \varphi(x,y)$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x, y \in AB$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : AB \to AC$ such that

(vi)
$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \widetilde{\varphi}(\frac{1}{d-1}x, x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Put $u = 1 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{d-1}$ and y by x, one can obtain

$$\|(d-1)f(\frac{x}{d-1}) - f(x)\| \le \varphi(\frac{x}{d-1}, x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

(7)
$$||(d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - f(x)|| \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (d-1)^k \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^k})$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the sequence $\{(d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for n > m, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - (d-1)^m f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^m})\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \|(d-1)^{k+1} f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{k+1}}) - (d-1)^k f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^k})\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (d-1)^k \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^k}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. It follows from (v) that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (d-1)^k \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^k}) = 0$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Since ${}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is a Banach space, the sequence $\{(d-1)^{n}f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{n}})\}$ converges. Define

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n})$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Taking the limit in (7) as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$||T(x) - f(x)|| \le \widetilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{d-1}, x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$, which is the inequality (vi). From the definition of T, we get

(8)
$$\frac{1}{(d-1)^n}T(x) = T(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) \text{ and } T(0) = 0.$$

By (v) and the definition of T,

$$\begin{split} \|dT(\frac{x+y}{d}) - T(x) - T(y)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} (d-1)^n \|df(\frac{x+y}{d(d-1)^n}) - f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - f(\frac{y}{(d-1)^n})\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} (d-1)^n \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}, \frac{y}{(d-1)^n}) = 0 \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$dT(\frac{x+y}{d}) = T(x) + T(y)$$

for all $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. By Lemma A, T is additive.

If $F : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ is another additive mapping satisfying (vi), then it follows from (vi), (8) and the proof of Lemma A that

$$\begin{split} \|T(x) - F(x)\| &= \|(d-1)^n T(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - (d-1)^n F(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n})\| \\ &\leq \|(d-1)^n T(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - (d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n})\| \\ &+ \|(d-1)^n f(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) - (d-1)^n F(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n})\| \\ &\leq 2(d-1)^n \widetilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{(d-1)^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^n}), \end{split}$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ by (v). Thus we conclude that

$$T(x) = F(x)$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. This completes the uniqueness of T.

By the assumption, for each $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|duf(\frac{2x}{d(d-1)^n}) - 2f(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n})\| &\leq \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^n}),\\ \|df(\frac{2ux}{d(d-1)^n}) - 2f(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n})\| &\leq \varphi(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n}, \frac{ux}{(d-1)^n}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$\begin{split} \|df(\frac{2ux}{d(d-1)^n}) - duf(\frac{2x}{d(d-1)^n})\| \\ &\leq \|df(\frac{2ux}{d(d-1)^n}) - 2f(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n})\| + \|duf(\frac{2x}{(d-1)^n}) - 2f(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n})\| \\ &\leq \varphi(\frac{ux}{(d-1)^n}, \frac{ux}{(d-1)^n}) + \varphi(\frac{x}{(d-1)^n}, \frac{x}{(d-1)^n}) \end{split}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$(d-1)^n \|df(\frac{2ux}{d(d-1)^n}) - duf(\frac{2x}{d(d-1)^n})\| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Hence

$$dT(\frac{2}{d}ux) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (d-1)^n df(\frac{2ux}{d(d-1)^n})$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} (d-1)^n duf(\frac{2x}{d(d-1)^n})$$
$$= duT(\frac{2}{d}x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. So

$$T(ux) = \frac{d}{2}T(\frac{2}{d}ux) = \frac{d}{2}uT(\frac{2}{d}x) = uT(x)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 9. Let d be an integer greater than 2 and p > 1. Let $f : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 such that

$$\|duf(\frac{x+y}{d}) - f(ux) - f(uy)\| \le ||x||^p + ||y||^p$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and $x, y \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a unique A-linear mapping $T : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to {}_{A}\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{(d-1)^p + 1}{(d-1)^p + 1 - d} ||x||^p$$

for all $x \in {}_{A}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \times {}_{A}\mathcal{B} \to [0,\infty)$ by $\varphi(x,y) = ||x||^p + ||y||^p$, and apply Theorem 8.

References

- 1. J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1985.
- 2. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, Basel and Boston, 1998.
- R. Kadison and G. Pedersen, Means and convex combinations of unitary operators, Math. Scand. 57 (1985), 249-266.
- P. S. Muhly and B. Solel, Hilbert modules over operator algebras, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 117 No. 559 (1995), 1-53.
- Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978), 297-300.
- H. Schröder, K-Theory for Real C*-Algebras and Applications, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 290, Longman, Essex, 1993.

Deok-Hoon Boo¹, Sei-Qwon Oh², Chun-Gil Park³ and Jae-Myung Park⁴ Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, South Korea E-mail: ¹dhboo@math.cnu.ac.kr ²sqoh@math.cnu.ac.kr ³cgpark@math.cnu.ac.kr ⁴jmpark@math.cnu.ac.kr