

# Fundamentals of Programming Languages

Evan Chang

Meeting 1: Welcome

CSCI 5535, Spring 2010

<http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~bec/courses/csci5535-s10/>



## Introductions

- Who am I?
- About you?
  - What do you want to get out of this class?

2

## Administrivia

- Website
  - <http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~bec/courses/csci5535-s10/>
  - readings, slides, assignments, etc.
- Moodle
  - discussion forums, assignment submission
- Office hours
  - T 1-2, R 4:45-5:45?
  - and by appointment
  - ECOT 621 and on Gchat/Skype (see moodle)

3

## Today

- Some historical context
- Goals for this course
- Requirements and grading
- Course summary
  
- Convince you that PL is useful

4

## Meta-Level Information

- Please interrupt at any time!
- It's completely ok to say:
  - I don't understand. Please say it another way.
  - Slow down! Wait, I want to read that!
- Discussion, not lecture



## "Isn't PL a solved problem?"

- PL is an old field within Computer Science
  
- 1920's: "computer" = "person"
- 1936: Church's Lambda Calculus (= PL)
- 1937: Shannon's digital circuit design
- 1940's: first digital computers
- 1950's: FORTRAN (= PL)
- 1958: LISP (= PL)
- 1960's: Unix
- 1972: C Programming Language
- 1981: TCP/IP
- 1985: Microsoft Windows

6

## New and Better Compilers?

### DOCTOR FUN

19 Mar 97



Copyright © 1997 David Farley, d.farley@trentu.com  
<http://sunsite.ume.edu/Dave/drfun.html>  
This cartoon is made available on the Internet for personal viewing only.  
Opinions expressed herein are solely those of the author.


Progress

7

## A Dismal View of PL Research

### DOCTOR FUN

19 Mar 97



Copyright © 1997 David Farley, d.farley@trentu.com  
<http://sunsite.ume.edu/Dave/drfun.html>  
This cartoon is made available on the Internet for personal viewing only.  
Opinions expressed herein are solely those of the author.

Progress

8

## Programming Languages

- Touches most other areas of CS
  - Theory: DFAs, TMs, language theory (e.g., LALR)
  - Systems: system calls, memory management
  - Arch: compiler targets, optimizations, stack frames
  - Numerics: FORTRAN, IEEE FP, Matlab
  - AI: theorem proving, search
  - DB: SQL, transactions
  - Networking: packet filters, protocols
  - Graphics: OpenGL, LaTeX, PostScript
  - Security: buffer overruns, .NET, bytecode, PCC, ...
  - Computational Biology: pathway models
  - Software Engineering: software quality, development tools
  - Human Computer Interaction: development tools
- Both theory (math) and practice (engineering)

9

## Overarching Theme

- I assert (and shall convince you) that
- PL is one of the most vibrant and active areas of CS research today
  - It is both theoretical and practical
  - It intersects most other CS areas
- You will be able to use PL techniques in your own projects

10

## Goals

### Goal 1

Learn to use advanced PL techniques



www.phdcomics.com

## No Useless Memorization

- I will not waste your time with useless memorization
- This course will cover complex subjects
- I will teach their details to help you understand them the first time
- But you will **never have to memorize anything low-level**
- Rather, learn to **apply broad concepts**

13

## Goal 2

When (not if) you **design** a language, it will avoid the mistakes of the past, and you will be able to describe it formally

14

## Discussion: Language Design

- Languages are adopted to fill a void
  - Enable a previously difficult/impossible application
  - Orthogonal to language design quality (almost)
- Training is the dominant adoption cost
  - Languages with many users are replaced rarely
  - But easy to start in a new niche. Examples:

APL (finance applications)  
R (statistical lang)  
Haskell (pure functional lang)  
ABAP (database apps)  
IDL (image processing lang)

15

## Why so many languages?

- new models needed => new languages [Lisp]*
- Specific purpose functionality  
eg, processing strings w/ Python / Perl
  - General purpose lang exist?  
[Jonathan] C — good at device drivers
    - strings? web pages?

Applications => proliferation of languages  
Inadequacies of existing lang => create new ones that fix it

16

## Why so many languages?

- Many languages were created for specific applications
- Application domains have distinctive (and conflicting) needs
  - which leads to a proliferation of languages.
- Examples:
  - Artificial intelligence: symbolic computation (Lisp, Prolog)
  - Scientific Computing: high performance (Fortran)
  - Business: report generation (COBOL)
  - Systems programming: low-level access (C)
  - Scripting (Perl, ML, Javascript, TCL)
  - Distributed systems: mobile computation (Java)
  - Special purpose languages: ...

17

## Why so many languages?

- Examples:
  - AI: symbolic computation (Lisp, Prolog)
  - Scientific Computing: high performance (Fortran)
  - Business: report generation (COBOL)
  - Systems Programming: low-level access (C)
  - Scripting (Perl, Python, TCL)
  - Distributed Systems: mobile computation (Java)
  - Web (PHP)
  - Special purpose languages: ...

18

## Language Paradigms

Loose classification of languages.

- Imperative (Examples? Notion of Computation?)

C, Perl, Java  
"stepping through list of instructions"  
"computation by stack transformation"

19

## Language Paradigms

Loose classification of languages.

- Other paradigms with which you have experience?

Functional  
Haskell, Lisp, Scheme, Erlang, ML  
- evaluation of expressions  
"encapsulated state"  
"messages"  
Object-oriented  
C#, Java, Smalltalk  
Logic  
prolog (LJ users in the class)  
"deduction"  
"computation by proof search"

20

## Language Paradigms

- Imperative
  - Fortran, Algol, Cobol, C, Pascal
- Functional
  - Lisp, Scheme, ML, Haskell
- Object oriented
  - Smalltalk, Eiffel, Self, C++, Java, C#, Javascript
- Logic
  - Prolog
- Concurrent
  - CSP, dialects of the above languages
- Special purpose
  - TEX, Postscript, TrueType, sh, HTML, make

21

## What makes a good language?

- No universally accepted metrics for design
- "A good language is one people use" ?

22

## What are good language features?

- Solves a problem
  - Usability + Learning Curves (Boers)  
"Face-foot to program developer"
  - Speed (efficient execution of the program)
    - compilation time
  - Maintainability
  - Robustness — how many applications
  - Portability (what platforms are supported)
  - Extensibility  
"Logically"
- Simplicity  
Expressive  
Semantics  
Safety  
Support for large systems

23

## What are good language features?

- Simplicity (syntax and semantics)
- Readability
- Safety
- Support for programming large systems
- Efficiency (of execution and compilation)

24

## Designing good languages is hard

- Goals almost always conflict.
- Examples:
  - Safety checks cost something in either compilation or execution time.
  - Type systems restrict programming style in exchange for strong guarantees.

25

## Story: The Clash of Two Features

- **Real story** about **bad** programming language design
- Cast includes famous scientists
- ML ('82) functional language with polymorphism and monomorphic references (i.e., pointers)
- Standard ML ('85) innovates by adding polymorphic references
- It took **10 years to fix** the "innovation"

26

## Polymorphism (Informal)

- Code that works uniformly on various types of data
- Examples of function signatures:
  - `length :  $\alpha$  list  $\rightarrow$  int` (takes an argument of type "list of  $\alpha$ ", returns an integer, for any type  $\alpha$ )
  - `head :  $\alpha$  list  $\rightarrow \alpha$`
- Type inference:
  - generalize all elements of the input type that are not used by the computation

27

## References in Standard ML

- Like "updatable pointers" in C
- Type constructor:  `$\tau$  ref`
  - `x : int ref` "x is a pointer to an integer"
- Expressions:
  - `ref :  $\tau \rightarrow \tau$  ref`
    - (allocate a cell to store a  $\tau$ , like `malloc`)
  - `!e :  $\tau$`  when `e :  $\tau$  ref`
    - (read through a pointer, like `*e`)
  - `e := e'` with `e :  $\tau$  ref` and `e' :  $\tau$` 
    - (write through a pointer, like `*e = e'`)
- Works just as you might expect

28

## Polymorphic References: A Major Pain

Consider the following program fragment:

Code	Type inference
<code>fun id(x) = x</code>	<code>id : <math>\alpha \rightarrow \alpha</math></code> (for any $\alpha$ )
<code>val c = ref id</code>	<code>c : (<math>\alpha \rightarrow \alpha</math>) ref</code> (for any $\alpha$ )
<code>fun inc(x) = x + 1</code>	<code>inc : int <math>\rightarrow</math> int</code>
<code>c := inc</code>	Ok, since <code>c : (int <math>\rightarrow</math> int) ref</code>
<code>!(c) (true)</code>	Ok, since <code>c : (bool <math>\rightarrow</math> bool) ref</code>



29

## Reconciling Polymorphism and References

- Type system **fails to prevent a type error!**
- Commonly accepted solution today:
  - value restriction: generalize only the type of values!
    - easy to use, simple proof of soundness
    - many "failed fixes"
- To see what went wrong we need to understand semantics, type systems, polymorphism and references

30

## Story: Java Bytecode Subroutines *xy-finally*

- Java **bytecode** programs contain **subroutines** (jsr) that run in the caller's stack frame (*why?*)
- jsr complicates the formal semantics of bytecodes
  - Several verifier bugs were in code implementing jsr
  - 30% of typing rules, 50% of soundness proof due to jsr
- It is **not worth it**:
  - In 650K lines of Java code, 230 subroutines, saving 2427 bytes, or 0.02%
  - 13 times more space could be saved by renaming the language back to Oak

31

## Recall Goal 2

When (not if) you **design** a language, it will avoid the mistakes of the past, and you will be able to describe it formally

32

## Goal 3

Understand **current PL research** (POPL, PLDI, OOPSLA, TOPLAS, ...)

33

## Most Important Goal

Have Lots of Fun!



34

## Requirements


## Prerequisites

- "Programming experience"
  - exposure to various language constructs and their meaning (e.g., CSCI 3155)
  - ideal: undergraduate compilers (e.g., CSCI 4555)
- "Mathematical maturity"
  - we'll use formal notation to describe the meaning of programs
- If you are an undergraduate or from another department, please see me.

36

## Assignments

- Reading and participation (each meeting)
- Weekly homework (for half semester)
- Take-home midterm exam
- **Final project**



## Reading and Participation

- ~2 papers/book chapter, each meeting
  - Spark class discussion, post/bring questions
- Online discussion forum
  - Post  $\geq 1$  **substantive** comment, question, or answer for each lecture
  - On [moodle.cs.colorado.edu](http://moodle.cs.colorado.edu)
  - Due **before** the next meeting
  - Distance students participate more online!

38

## What is "substantive"?

- May be less than a blog post but more than a tweet.
- Some examples:
  - Questions
  - Thoughtful answers
  - Clarification of some point
  - What you think is the main point in the reading set.
  - An idea of how some work could be improved
  - Comments on a related web resource related
- Intent: take a moment to **reflect on the day's reading/discussion** (*not* to go scour the web)

39

## Homework and Exam

- Homework/Problem Sets
  - You have **one week** to do each one
  - First half of the semester only
  - Some material will be "mathy"
  - Collaborate with peers (but acknowledge!)
- Take-Home Midterm Exam
  - Like a longer homework

40

## Final Project

- Options:
  - Research project
  - Literature survey
  - Implementation project
- Write a **~5-8 page paper** (conference-like)
- Give a **~15-20 minute presentation**
- On a topic of your choice
  - Ideal: **integrate PL with your research**
- **Pair projects** (indiv/3-person possible)

41

## Course Summary

## Course At-A-Glance

- Part I: Language Specification
  - Semantics = Describing programs
  - Evaluation strategies, imperative languages
  - **Textbook:** Glynn Winskel. *The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages*.
- Part II: Language Design
  - Types = Classifying programs
  - Typed  $\lambda$ -calculus, functional languages
- Part III: Applications

43

## Core Topics

- Semantics
  - Operational semantics
    - rules for execution on an abstract machine
    - useful for implementing a compiler or interpreter
  - Axiomatic semantics
    - logical rules for reasoning about the behavior of a program
    - useful for proving program correctness
  - Abstract interpretation
    - application: program analysis
- Types
  - $\lambda$ -calculus
  - tiny language to study core issues in isolation

44

## Possible Special Topics

- Software model checking
- Object-oriented languages
- Types for low-level languages
- Types for resource management
- Shape analysis
  
- What do you want to hear about?

45

## First Topic: Model Checking

- **Verify properties** or **find bugs** in software
- Take an important program (e.g., a device driver)
- Merge it with a property (e.g., no deadlocks)
- **Transform** the result into a **boolean program**
- Use a **model checker** to exhaustively explore the resulting **state space**
  - Result 1: program **provably satisfies property**
  - Result 2: program **violates property** "right here on line 92,376!"



46

## For Next Time

- Join the course moodle and introduce yourself (forum discussion for today)
  - Write a few sentences on why you are taking this course
- Read the two articles on SLAM
  - see the website under "Schedule"

47