Selective Contribution of Regional Adiposity, Skeletal Muscle, and Adipokines to Glucose Disposal in Older Adults

Ramona Ramachandran, MD,^{*} Kristofer S. Gravenstein, BS,^{*} E. Jeffrey Metter, MD,^{*} Josephine M. Egan, MD,^{*†} Luigi Ferrucci, MD, PhD,^{*} and Chee W. Chia, MD^{*}

OBJECTIVES: To study the relationships between muscle mass, regional adiposity, and adipokines and glucose disposal in an older population.

DESIGN: Cross-sectional analysis.

SETTING: Community-dwelling volunteers from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging.

PARTICIPANTS: Two hundred eighty men and 259 women with a mean age of 71.1 ± 0.4 (range 55–96) and complete data on fasting plasma adiponectin and leptin, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (plasma glucose available at 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 minutes), thigh computed tomography (CT), physical activity levels, and anthropometric measures.

MEASUREMENTS: Participants were classified into eight groups according to the presence of global adiposity (body mass index > 27 kg/m²), central adiposity (waist circumference > 88 cm for women and > 102 cm for men), and low muscle mass (CT thigh, lowest sex-specific tertile (93.8 cm² in women and 110.7 cm² in men) of adjusted thigh muscle area). Linear regression models were used to estimate the contribution of these eight groups to early glucose area under the curve (AUC) (t = 0-40 minutes), late glucose AUC (t = 60-120 minutes), and total glucose AUC (t = 0-120 minutes) from the OGTT.

RESULTS: Regardless of muscle mass, individuals with a combination of central and global adiposity were more likely to have delayed glucose disposal rates (P < .05). A strong negative association was also found between circulating adiponectin levels and glucose disposal rates (early AUC, $\beta = -0.14$; late AUC, $\beta = -0.20$; and total AUC, $\beta = -0.20$; P < .05 for all three AUCs) after adjusting for regional adiposity, muscle mass, circulating leptin levels, physical activity, age, and sex.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifiers: NCT00233272.

DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03865.x

CONCLUSION: Older individuals with global and central adiposity may be at risk of glucose intolerance unrelated to low muscle mass. J Am Geriatr Soc 60:707–712, 2012.

Key words: low muscle mass; regional adiposity; glucose disposal

A ging is associated with a progressive decline in glucose $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{$ causes this decline directly or whether it is caused indirectly because of the age-related changes in muscle mass and fat regional distribution. The lack of information on lean body or skeletal muscle mass limited previous studies that addressed this question,^{2,3} which may be problematic because changes in lean body mass and fat mass may not occur in parallel with aging. In some individuals, an accelerated decline in muscle mass with age accompanies a substantial increase in fat mass, leading to the syndrome of "sarcopenic obesity."⁴ In others, changes in fat mass are more variable; fat mass appears to increase, remain stable, or even decline in different individuals and at different ages.⁵ Furthermore, fat tends to deposit preferentially in the visceral compartment in some individuals, whereas it assumes a more global and diffuse pattern in others.⁶ It is still not understood how these complex patterns of body composition affect glucose metabolism.

Adipose tissue and skeletal muscle may affect glucose homeostasis through different mechanisms. Adipocytes secrete adiponectin and leptin, which directly influence glucose homeostasis. Leptin stimulates energy expenditure and inhibits food intake, preventing excess adiposity.⁷ Adiponectin enhances insulin sensitivity, upregulates fatty acid oxidation and energy expenditure, and reduces hepatic gluconeogenesis.⁸ High leptin⁹ and low adiponectin¹⁰ levels are associated with obesity, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Skeletal muscle accounts for approximately 85% of postprandial insulin mediated glucose disposal,¹¹ and changes in muscle mass may affect

From the ^{*}Clinical Research Branch, and [†]Laboratory of Clinical Investigation, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

Address correspondence to Chee W. Chia, MD, National Institute on Aging, Clinical Research Branch, 3001 S. Hanover Street, NM-533, Baltimore, MD 21225. E-mail: chiac@mail.nih.gov

glucose disposal and insulin sensitivity. Insulin resistance has been associated with lower muscle strength in elderly adults even after controlling for adiposity, regardless of a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus,^{12,13} suggesting that age-related sarcopenia could contribute to glucose intolerance in elderly adults.

In spite of the potential contributions that age-related changes in body composition may have on glucose tolerance, there is no study, to the knowledge of the authors, that has considered the collective effects of muscle mass, regional adiposity, and adipokines on glucose tolerance in elderly adults. The hypothesis that adipokines, muscle mass, and different patterns of regional adiposity independently affect glucose disposal in older persons was tested using cross-sectional data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The BLSA is an ongoing observational study of normative aging in community-dwelling volunteers conducted at and sponsored by the U.S. National Institute on Aging (NIA) since 1958. Participants undergo medical, physiological, and psychological examinations at regular intervals. The NIA Intramural Research Program and the Institutional Review Board of the MedStar Health Research Institute, Baltimore, Maryland, approved the BLSA protocol. All participants provided informed participation consent at each visit.

A cross-sectional analysis was performed on data from 539 BLSA participants aged 55 and older whose latest visit fell between January 2006 and October 2009 and who had information on fasting plasma adiponectin and leptin, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT; with plasma glucose values available from 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 minutes), computed tomography (CT) scan of the thigh, physical activity levels, and anthropometric measures. A 2-hour 75-g OGTT was performed in all participants after a 10-hour overnight fast; all participants taking insulin or corticosteroids within 3 months before the study visit were excluded.

Laboratory Measurements

Plasma glucose levels were measured using a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Brea, CA). Plasma leptin was measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with an interassay coefficient of variation (CV) of 2.6% to 6.2% and an intraassay CV of 2.6% to 4.6% (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Plasma total adiponectin was measured using radioimmunoassay with an interassay CV of 6.9% to 9.3% and an intraassay CV of 1.8% to 6.2% (Millipore).

Assessment of Glucose Disposal

Glucose disposal was assessed using the trapezoidal rule to determine the area under the curve (AUC) of plasma glucose values during OGTT. A high glucose AUC represents slow glucose disposal. Conversely, a low glucose AUC signifies more-rapid glucose disposal. In addition to total glucose disposal (total glucose AUC, 0–120 minutes), early-phase glucose disposal (early glucose AUC, 0–40 minutes) and late-phase glucose disposal (late glucose AUC, 60–120 minutes) were also evaluated. Plasma glucose response during the first 30 minutes of an OGTT has been associated with hepatic glucose uptake, and the decline in plasma glucose concentration 60 minutes after glucose ingestion primarily reflects glucose uptake by skeletal muscle.¹⁴

Anthropometrics

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height² (m²). Waist circumference (WC) was measured just below the rib cage, at the narrowest point where the waist tapers, and the average of three measurements was used in the analysis.¹⁵

Determination of Global and Central Adiposity

Global adiposity was defined as a BMI greater than 27.0 kg/m² for men and women. Central adiposity, a surrogate for visceral obesity, was defined as a waist circumference greater than 102 cm for men and greater than 88 cm for women, the same thresholds used by the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel to define metabolic syndrome.¹⁶

Muscle Mass

A cross-sectional 10-mm CT image of the thigh was obtained from each participant at midfemur (midpoint between the medial edge of the greater trochanter and the intercondyloid fossa in scout view image) using a Somatom Sensation 10 CT scanner (Siemens, Malvern, PA). The total mid-thigh cross-sectional area of nonadipose, nonbone tissue within the deep fascial plane was used as a proxy measure of muscle mass. Geanie software version 2.1 (BonAlyse Oy, Jyvaskyla, Finland) was used to quantify the cross-sectional area (cm²). This value was then divided by the participant's weight and normalized by the mean weight of the study population.

Determination of Low Muscle Mass

Low muscle mass was defined as the lowest sex-specific tertile (93.8 cm^2 in women and 110.7 cm^2 in men) of adjusted thigh muscle area. Partitioning muscle mass into tertiles allows for the exploration of muscle mass across its entire spectrum and avoids focusing on single standard definitions of sarcopenia, an approach that has been widely criticized in the literature.^{17,18}

Definitions of Body Composition Patterns

To study the independent effects of muscle mass and regional adiposity on glucose metabolism, participants were cross-classified into eight groups according to global adiposity, central adiposity, and muscle mass:

 Lean with normal muscle mass: BMI ≤ 27; WC ≤ 88 cm for women and ≤ 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area > 93.8 cm² for women and > 110.7 cm² for men (n = 203)

- Lean with low muscle mass: BMI ≤ 27; WC ≤ 88 cm for women and ≤102 cm for men; thigh muscle area ≤93.8 cm² for women and ≤110.7 cm² for men (n = 64)
- Central adiposity with normal muscle mass: BMI ≤ 27; WC > 88 cm for women and > 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area > 93.8 cm² for women and > 110.7 cm² for men (n = 21)
- Central adiposity with low muscle mass: BMI ≤ 27 ; WC > 88 cm for women and > 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area ≤ 93.8 cm² for women and ≤ 110.7 cm² for men (n = 23)
- Central and global adiposity with normal muscle mass: BMI > 27; WC > 88 cm for women and > 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area > 93.8 cm² for women and > 110.7 cm² for men (n = 93)
- Central and global adiposity with low muscle mass: BMI > 27; WC > 88 cm for women and > 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area $\leq 93.8 \text{ cm}^2$ for women and $\leq 110.7 \text{ cm}^2$ for men (n = 98)
- Global adiposity with normal muscle mass: BMI > 27; WC \leq 88 cm for women and \leq 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area > 93.8 cm² for women and > 110.7 cm² for men (n = 30)
- Global adiposity with low muscle mass: BMI > 27; WC \leq 88 cm for women and \leq 102 cm for men; thigh muscle area \leq 93.8 cm² for women and \leq 110.7 cm² for men (n = 7)

Physical Activity

Because physical activity levels may confound the association between body composition and glucose disposal, physical activity was included as a covariate in the analysis. Physical activity levels were assessed using the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire, a standardized, intervieweradministered instrument, supplemented with lower-intensity activities that older adults commonly perform.¹⁹ Total kilocalories expended in stair climbing, walking, and exercise activity per week (kcal/kg per hour) were used in this analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Distributions of plasma levels of adiponectin, leptin, and all markers of glucose disposal (early, late, and total glucose AUCs) were skewed and were log transformed for data analysis. Linear regression models were used to estimate early, late, and total glucose AUC, for the eight groups described above. Age, sex, and physical activity were added into regression models as covariates. Data with normal distributions were presented as means \pm SDs, and data with nonnormal distributions were presented as median values (interquartile ranges). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

The mean age of the study population was 71.1 ± 0.4 (range 55–96). The mean and median BMI of the study

population was 27.2 and 26.3 kg/m², respectively, therefore BMI greater than 27.0 kg/m² was set to define global adiposity. Of the 539 BLSA participants, 280 (51.9%) were men. Sixty of the 539 participants had diabetes mellitus based on OGTT. Thirty-nine of the 60 participants were taking medication for diabetes mellitus (19 metformin, 6 dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, 8 sulfonylureas, and 6 thiazolidinediones).

The characteristics of the participants according to the eight different body composition groups are summarized in Table 1. Many participants (38%) were considered lean with normal muscle mass, followed closely by those with central and global adiposity (total 35.5%). Fewer than 10% of participants were considered to have central or global adiposity alone. Participants classified in groups with low muscle mass were significantly older (P < .05 for all groups) than those with normal muscle mass, so all statistical comparisons between groups were age adjusted. Within groups of regional adiposity, there were no differences in adiponectin associated with muscle mass. Within the groups with central and global adiposity and in men and women, low muscle mass was associated with higher leptin.

Multivariable Analysis

Table 2 summarizes the multiple linear regression models estimating the independent contribution of the eight different body composition groups, leptin, and adiponectin to different phases of glucose disposal (early, late, and total glucose AUC) with the lean with normal muscle mass group serving as the reference group. All analyses were adjusted for age, sex, and physical activity.

The results showed no significant association between low muscle mass and glucose disposal in the lean group. Central adiposity by itself was also not associated with early, late, or total glucose AUC, regardless of presence of low muscle mass. Participants with central and global adiposity had higher early, late, and total glucose AUCs, and of them, those with low muscle mass had the highest effect on the three phases of glucose disposal (glucose AUCs: early: $\beta = 0.13$, P = .02; late: $\beta = 0.17$, P = .002: total: $\beta = 0.17$, P = .002). Participants with global adiposity and normal muscle mass also had significantly higher early, late, and total glucose AUCs.

Within each respective model, adiponectin had a significant inverse relationship with and was one of the strongest predictors of early ($\beta = -0.14$, P = .003), late $(\beta = -0.20, P < .001)$, and total $(\beta = -0.20, P < .001)$ glucose AUCs. Age was also a strong predictor of late $(\beta = 0.18, P < .001)$ and total $(\beta = 0.14, P = .003)$ glucose AUCs. Leptin was not a significant predictor of glucose disposal rate. To determine whether adiposity confounded this lack of association between leptin and glucose disposal, a separate backward regression analysis was run (data not shown). A significant direct interaction was found between leptin and glucose disposal rates, which was attenuated when the two groups with central and global adiposity remained in the model. Physical activity had a significant inverse relationship with early glucose AUC $(\beta = -0.10, P = .03)$ but not with late or total glucose AUC.

Table 1. Baseli	ne Characteristics	of the Study Popul	lation According t	o the Eight Differe	nt Body Compos	ition Groups (N =	= 539)	
	Le	an	Central Adi	posity Only	Central and G	lobal Adiposity	Global Adipo	osity Only
Characteristic	Normal MM	Low MM	Normal MM	Low MM	Normal MM	Low MM	Normal MM	Low MM
Male/female, n/n	107/96	39/25	5/16	8/15	51/42	46/52	20/10	4/3
Proportion of total	37.7	11.9	3.9	4.3	17.3	18.2	5.6	1.3
Age, mean (SE)	70.1 (0.7)	80.1 (1.2) [†]	68.9 (1.8)	75.6 (1.7)*	67.1 (0.8)	71.7 (0.9)*	66.1 (1.6)	75.7 (2.1) [‡]
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SE)	23.6 (0.2)	24.1 (0.2)	25.7 (0.2)	25.3 (0.2)	31.2 (0.3)	32.9 (0.4) [†]	28.8 (0.3)	28.0 (0.4)
Weight, kg, mean (Si	E)							
Women	59.1 (0.7)	61.7 (1.1)*	70.1 (1.2)	66.9 (1.3)	81.8 (1.3)	89.1 (1.5) [†]	74.8 (1.9)	73.9 (2.5)
Men	74.9 (0.7)	74.6 (1.1)*	81.5 (1.2)	82.3 ± 1.6	96.0 (1.3)	100.9 (2.0) [‡]	87.0 (1.2)	86.2 (3.8)
Height, cm, mean (S	E)							
Women	162 (0.6)	162 (1.1)	165 (1.7)	163 (1.8)	162 (0.8)	163 (0.9)	161 (1.6)	164 (2.4)
Men	175 (0.7)	175 (1.2)*	177 (1.0)	178 (1.5)	175 (0.9)	177 (1.0)*	174 (1.3)	174 (4.9)
Waist circumference,	cm, mean (SE)							
Women	77.1 (0.6)	79.8 (1.2)	91.6 (0.8)	91.4 (0.5)	96.9 (1.0)	101.9 (1.1)*	84.7 (0.6)	83.6 (2.3)
Men	91.7 (0.5)	93.7 (0.9)	105.5 (0.9)	107.1 (1.3)	109.5 (0.7)	113.2 (1.2)*	97.8 (0.8)	98.7 (0.7)
Muscle mass, cm ² , r	nean (SE)							
Women	115.5 (1.3)	87.5 (1.6) [†]	107.1 (3.1)	84.6 (1.4) [†]	109.1 (1.7)	81.8 (1.2) [†]	105.8 (3.2)	90.5 (1.6)
Men	132.8 (1.5)	$99.5(1.9)^{\dagger}$	119.9 (2.3)	94.9 (6.8)*	124.2 (1.6)	96.8 (1.6) [†]	134.5 (4.2)	103.7 (2.7)*
Adiponectin, μg/mL,	median (IQR)							
Women	17.4 (11.4–29.0)	16.6 (9.9–24.7)	14.1 (8.5–20.0)	15.3 (9.8–17.5)	8.7 (4.2–16.2)	10.4 (7.3–14.9)	12.5 (7.1–22.8)	11.2 (9.1–27.4)
Men	8.8 (5.1–14.8)	17.8 (7.1–27.0)	6.0 (1.8–19.9)	14.8 (5.3–26.6)	7.0 (3.6–15.0)	7.2 (5.3–12.0)	7.9 (4.4–11.2)	11.0 (7.1–25.8)
Leptin, ng/mL, media	ın (IQR)							
Women	12.0 (7.7–20.6)	15.2 (9.4–22.3)	25.4 (17.3–33.6)	31.9 (25.8 48.1)	29.6 (22.9–43.7)	53.8 (33.9–73.2) [‡]	12.6 (8.8–42.5)	38.6 (16.6-47.8)
Men	5.4(3.7-9.1)	7.0 (4.0–12.9)	10.7 (10.1–16.3)	7.9 (5.9–14.7)	13.2 (8.6–21.9)	19.7 (11.1–31.1)*	9.2 (6.5–15.8)	11.5 (5.6–24.4)
Fasting glucose, ma/dL. mean (SE)	89.8 (0.9)	89.4 (1.1)	89.5 (2.7)	75.6 (1.7)	96.5 (1.6)	99.1 (2.1)	101.5 (5.8)	102.1 (9.0)
Glucose area under t	he curve. ma × h/dL.	median (IQR)						
Early	81.0 (73.3–90.2)	79.7 (73.2–87.5)	79.0 (73.8–86.6)	86.6 (77.0–93.3)	86.6 (77.6–99.4)	85.8 (79.8-95.6)	87.4 (77.3–97.2)	83.3 (77–91.3)
Late	125.2 (101.3–156.7)	136.8 (119.1–164.4)	125.2 (102.0–161)	131.7 (109.0- 187.2)	143.5 (116-182)	153.3 (122–188)	151.1 (111.3–199.6)	119.5 (91.2-126.3)
Total	257.2 (216.2–301.2)	272.3 (237.2–301.6)	260.3 (216.2–297.8)	261.7 (235.5–344.2)	279.2 (241–340.3)	294.4 (251.8-341.4)	283.0 (234.0–357.3)	253 (198–301.3)
Physical activity, kcal/wk, median (IQR)	1,371 (765–2,487)	1,022 (378–1,794)	1,682 (547–2,729)	730 (233–2,205)	1,539 (432–3,112)	812 (202–2,042)*	1,157 (704-2,624)	497 (173–1,814)

All variables have age-adjusted means and medians. *P < .05, *P < .001, *P = .001 for comparisons between normal and low MM. MM = muscle mass; SE = standard error; IQR = interquartile range.

	Early Glucose AUC		Late Glucose AUC		Total Glucose AUC	
Independent Variable	β	P-Value	β	P-Value	β	<i>P</i> -Value
Lean + low muscle mass (n = 64)	-0.05	.26	0.02	.77	-0.002	.96
Central adiposity + normal muscle mass $(n = 21)$	-0.01	.70	0.02	.79	0.01	.83
Central adiposity + low muscle mass $(n = 23)$	0.04	.40	0.04	.38	0.04	.33
Central + global adiposity + normal muscle mass $(n = 93)$	0.11	.03	0.13	.01	0.14	.01
Central + global adiposity + low muscle mass $(n = 98)$	0.13	.02	0.17	.002	0.17	.002
Global adiposity $+$ normal muscle mass (n = 30)	0.09	.04	0.12	.01	0.12	.01
Global adiposity + low muscle mass $(n = 7)$	0.03	.54	-0.05	.27	-0.03	.47
Adiponectin	-0.14	.003	-0.20	< .001	-0.20	< .001
Leptin	0.07	.23	0.04	.52	0.04	.46
Age	0.03	.32	0.18	< .001	0.14	.003
Sex (female $=$ 0)	0.16	.002	0.08	.13	0.11	.04
Physical activity	-0.10	.03	-0.07	.09	-0.08	.07
Adjusted coefficient of determination	0.09		0.11		0.11	

1 able 2. Linear Regression Models Estimating Early, Late, and 1 otal Glucose Disposal	Table 2.	Linear Regression	Models Estimating	Early, Late, and	Total Glucose Disposal
--	----------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------------

Body composition group coefficients are in comparison with the lean and normal muscle mass group. Glucose area under the curve (AUC) variables, leptin, and adiponectin were log transformed for analyses.

 β = standardized coefficient.

DISCUSSION

This study attempted to identify the relationships between adipokines, regional adiposity, and muscle mass and glucose disposal rates in older adults. Evidence was found that individuals with a combination of central and global adiposity were more likely to have delayed glucose disposal rates during an OGTT, suggesting worse glucose tolerance regardless of the presence of low muscle mass than for the reference group. A strong negative association was also found between adiponectin and glucose disposal rates after adjusting for regional adiposity, muscle mass, leptin, age, sex, and physical activity.

A recent study found that sarcopenia exacerbated obesity-associated insulin resistance in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey population.²⁰ Although a strong association between low muscle mass and glucose disposal rates was not found in the current study, the analysis was strengthened by further classifying adiposity into central and global adiposity to examine differences based on regional fat depots.

These findings using early, late, and total glucose AUCs revealed that these body composition traits, as defined in the Materials and Methods Section, correlate with glucose disposal across the spectrum, with no predilection for a specific glucose disposal phase. Age is a significant positive predictor of late and total glucose disposal rates after adjusting for body composition and adipokines. This is in agreement with previous findings that showed an age-dependent increase in 2-hour glucose values during OGTT.²¹ Physical activity was a significant negative predictor of early glucose AUC but not of late or total glucose AUC.

Leptin levels were found to be significantly higher in the group with central and global adiposity and low muscle mass after controlling for age (Table 1). Although a higher leptin level is expected with obesity, the added insult of low muscle mass appears to contribute to higher circulating leptin levels. This is consistant with two recent studies that reported a correlation with high leptin levels and low muscle mass in healthy elderly people after adjusting for adiposity.^{22,23} A significant inverse relationship between adiponectin and glucose disposal rates was found after controlling for age, sex, leptin, muscle mass, physical activity, and adiposity. An unexpected finding was the lack of an association between leptin levels and glucose disposal rates after controlling for the same covariates, which suggests that leptin may depend on a combination of factors in its relationship with glucose disposal. A previous study found that insulin sensitivity measured in euglycemic clamp studies strongly correlated with fasting adiponectin and leptin, but only adiponectin remained significant after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, and waist circumference.²⁴

There are clinical implications from these findings. It was possible to identify a sizable number of elderly participants who did not necessarily have both central and global adiposity. Forty-four of the 272 participants (16.2%) were categorized as having only central adiposity, and 37 (13.6%) were categorized as having only global adiposity. Previous studies have shown that visceral fat is more predictive of insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes mellitus²⁵ and cardiovascular disease.²⁶ Participants with a combination of central and global adiposity had significantly slower glucose disposal than lean individuals. Therefore, by using a single measure of BMI or waist circumference, there is a possibility of ignoring risks associated with specific regional fat distributions. Thus, in elderly adults, a comprehensive assessment may require the use of more than one measurement to determine regional adiposity and stratify metabolic risk.

This study has limitations. First, it might have been underpowered to examine the contributions of the groups with central or global adiposity alone because of the small sample size. Second, a single slice of CT mid-thigh image might not be representative of the muscle mass of the whole body. Similarly, the use of waist circumference as a measure of central adiposity can be questioned because of its inability to differentiate subcutaneous from visceral fat. In addition, the OGTT is used as a measure of glucose disposal without taking into account insulin levels, so no inference regarding insulin resistance can be made. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow for assessment of cause-and-effect relationships.

In conclusion, the study results indicated that elderly adults with a combination of central and global adiposity were more likely to have worse glucose tolerance, and the presence of low muscle mass did not profoundly magnify this relationship. With the projected increases in lifespan and incidence of obesity in older adults, it may help to identify measures aimed at quantifying specific regional adiposity patterns as potential risk factors of age-related glucose impairment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported entirely by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging.

Conflict of Interest: The editor in chief has reviewed the conflict of interest checklist provided by the authors and has determined that the authors have no financial or any other kind of personal conflicts with this paper.

Author Contributions: RR: Study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, preparation of manuscript. KSG: Study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data. EJM: Study concept and design, acquisition of participants and data, analysis and interpretation of data. JME: Acquisition of participants and data and preparation of manuscript. CWC and LF: Study concept and design, acquisition of participants and data, analysis and interpretation of data, preparation of manuscript.

Sponsor's Role: None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Andres R. Aging and diabetes. Med Clin North America 1971;55:835-846.
- Amati F, Dubé JJ, Coen PM et al. Physical inactivity and obesity underlie the insulin resistance of aging. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1547–1549.
- Imbeault P, Prins JB, Stolic M et al. Aging per se does not influence glucose homeostasis. Diabetes Care 2003;26:480–484.
- Baumgartner RN. Body composition in healthy aging. Ann NY Acad Sci 2000;904:437–448.
- Baumgartner RN, Stauber PM, McHugh D et al. Cross-sectional age differences in body composition in persons 60+ years of age. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995;50A:M307–M316.
- 6. Bouchard C, Despres JP, Mauriege P. Genetic and nongenetic determinants of regional fat distribution. Endocr Rev 1993;14:72–93.
- Kelesidis T, Kelesidis I, Chou S et al. Narrative review: The role of leptin in human physiology: Emerging clinical applications. Ann Intern Med 2010;152:93–100.

- Berg AH, Combs TP, Scherer PE. ACRP30/adiponectin: An adipokine regulating glucose and lipid metabolism. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2002;13: 84–89.
- Maffei M, Halaas J, Ravussin E et al. Leptin levels in human and rodent: Measurement of plasma leptin and ob RNA in obese and weight-reduced subjects. Nat Med 1995;1:1155–1161.
- 10. Li S, Shin HJ, Ding EL et al. Adiponectin levels and risk of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2009;302:179–188.
- DeFronzo RA, Jacot E, Jequier E et al. The effect of insulin on the disposal of intravenous glucose. Results from indirect calorimetry and hepatic and femoral venous catheterization. Diabetes 1981;30:1000–1007.
- Barzilay JI, Cotsonis GA, Walston J et al. Insulin resistance is associated with decreased quadriceps muscle strength in nondiabetic adults aged > or =70 years. Diabetes Care 2009;32:736–738.
- Park SW, Goodpaster BH, Strotmeyer ES et al. Accelerated loss of skeletal muscle strength in older adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1507–1512.
- 14. Abdul-Ghani MA, Matsuda M, Balas B et al. Muscle and liver insulin resistance indexes derived from the oral glucose tolerance test. Diabetes Care 2007;30:89–94.
- Lohman TG RA, Martorell R. Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1988.
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486–2497.
- Baumgartner RN, Koehler KM, Gallagher D et al. Epidemiology of sarcopenia among the elderly in New Mexico. Am J Epidemiol 1998;147:755– 763.
- Abellan van Kan G. Epidemiology and consequences of sarcopenia. J Nutr Health Aging 2009;13:708–712.
- Taylor HL, Jacobs DR Jr, Schucker B et al. A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. J Chronic Dis 1978;31:741–755.
- Srikanthan P, Hevener AL, Karlamangla AS. Sarcopenia exacerbates obesity-associated insulin resistance and dysglycemia: Findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. PLoS ONE 2010;5: e10805.
- Shimokata H, Muller DC, Fleg JL et al. Age as independent determinant of glucose tolerance. Diabetes 1991;40:44–51.
- Waters DL, Qualls CR, Dorin RI et al. Altered growth hormone, cortisol, and leptin secretion in healthy elderly persons with sarcopenia and mixed body composition phenotypes. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2008;63A:536–541.
- Gill MS, Toogood A, O'Neill PA et al. Relationship between growth hormone (GH) status, serum leptin and body composition in healthy and GH deficient elderly subjects. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1997;47:161–167.
- Baratta R, Amato S, Degano C et al. Adiponectin relationship with lipid metabolism is independent of body fat mass: Evidence from both cross-sectional and intervention studies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:2665– 2671.
- Koh-Banerjee P, Wang Y, Hu FB et al. Changes in body weight and body fat distribution as risk factors for clinical diabetes in US men. Am J Epidemiol 2004;159:1150–1159.
- Wildman RP, McGinn AP, Lin J et al. Cardiovascular disease risk of abdominal obesity vs metabolic abnormalities. Obesity 2011;19:853–860.