

## Inhibitive Performance of Bitter Leaf Root Extract on Mild Steel Corrosion in Sulphuric Acid Solution

Awe I. Caroline.<sup>1</sup>, Abdulrahaman A. S.<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ibrahim H. Kobe<sup>1</sup>, Kareem A. Ganiyu<sup>1</sup>, Adams S. M<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering and Engineering Technology, Minna, P.M.B. 65, Niger State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Detartment of Metallurgical Engineering, Kogi State Polytechnique, Lokoja, Nigeria

\*Corresponding author: asipita.salawu@futminna.edu.ng

Received May 01, 2015; Revised May 20, 2015; Accepted May 29, 2015

**Abstract** Cost of organic and some inorganic inhibitors are relatively low but many of the effective inhibitors such as chromate, arsenate and ethanolamine are very toxic, harmful to human health and their environment. The inhibitive ability of Bitter leaf (*Vernonia amygdalina*) root extract was investigated on corrosion of mild steel in 1.5 M Sulphuric acid solution using weight loss, hydrogen evolution and thermometric measurements at temperature ranges of 30-60°C. The root extract was characterized for phytochemical screening using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Fourier Transformation Infra-Red Spectroscopy (FTIS). The result showed the extracts contained some organic compounds which are responsible for the inhibitive ability. The corrosion rate of mild steel in the presence of inhibitors decreases and increase as the temperature increases. The inhibitor exhibit excellent inhibition efficiency on mild steel corrosion in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution as 90 %, 84.82 %, 79.65 % and 76.90 % of inhibition efficiency achieved with addition of 0.5 g/l concentration of bitter leaf root extract (BLRE) at 30°C, 40°C, 50°C and 60°C temperature respectively. The inhibition efficiency increase with in concentration of inhibitor and decreases with rise in temperature. The adsorption parameters also obeyed the Langmuir adsorption isotherm and the result of Gibbs free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G_{ads}$ ) showed spontaneous process of adsorption that is consistent with physical adsorption mechanism.

Keywords: bitter leaf root, inhibitive performance physical adsorption, and mild steel

**Cite This Article:** Awe I. Caroline., Abdulrahaman A. S., Ibrahim H. Kobe, Kareem A. Ganiyu, and Adams S. M, "Inhibitive Performance of Bitter Leaf Root Extract on Mild Steel Corrosion in Sulphuric Acid Solution." *American Journal of Materials Engineering and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 2 (2015): 35-45. doi: 10.12691/materials-3-2-3.

## **1. Introduction**

Corrosion is an electrochemical phenomenon by which metallic structures are damaged slowly through anodic dissolution [1,2]. The damage is chemically induced on a metal which leads to deterioration of its properties and finally fail under construction. The failure of mild steel as construction materials can be dangerous to both human health and economy. Mild steel is much prone to corrosion when exposed to the action of bases or acids in the industries [3]. The use of inhibitors during acid pickling, acid descaling, oil well acidizing are practical methods for protection against corrosion in acidic media [3]. Among the several methods of corrosion control and prevention, the use of corrosion inhibitors, is very popular [4]. Corrosion inhibitors are used to protect metals from corrosion. It has been documented that most of the efficient inhibitors are organic compounds that contain in their structures mostly nitrogen, sulphur or oxygen atoms and function via adsorption of the molecules on the metal surface creating a barrier to corrosion attack [4]. Some organic inhibitors had been found effective to control corrosion attack but toxic to human aggressive media

attributed to the type of organic compounds that constitute their phytochemistry [5,6]. Extracts of many plants have been investigated and reported by several authors as having the potentiality to reduce metal dissolution in various aggressive acids [5]. In recent times, studies have been carried out to identify more useful applications of this abundant noxious weed and certain active compounds with antioxidant activities such as chlorophylls and carotenoids, phenols, alkaloids and terpenoids which was reported present in bitter leaf extract [7]. The yield of these compounds as well as the corrosion inhibition abilities vary widely depending on the part of the plant and its geographical location and the efficiency is justified by the phytochemical compounds present therein with molecular and electronic structures bearing close similarity to conventional organic inhibitor molecules [8]. Bitter leaf plant (Vernonia amygdalina) is a commonly available plant in most parts of the tropical nations in Africa and Asia. The plant leaves, root and stem are edible in which the leaves is taking as vegetable soup and the root/stem is usually taking as chew stick in some parts of west African countries (Nigeria). As shown in Figure 1, the root of bitter leaf is non toxic and cheap. Vernonia amygdalina leaf extract has been reported to have inhibitory effect on mild steel and stainless steel in acidic

media [9,10,11,12]. The leaves extract has been reported to contained tannin and saponins that contribute to the inhibitory action via physical adsorption mechanism [9,13]. The present study intends to investigate the performance of the root extract on mild steel in sulphuric acid solution.



Figure 1. 250 g Root samples of Bitter leaf

## 2. Materials and Methods

## **2.1. Preparation of Inhibitor (BLRE)**

The Bitter Leaf Root (BLR) sample was sourced at Gidan kwano campus, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State. The plants parts were washed thoroughly with water to remove unwanted material. The samples were shade dried, pulverized, and weighed. The weighed inhibitor was stored in desiccator prior used. 60 g of ground sample was mixed with ethanol, tightly cover to prevent evaporation and kept for 48 hours the extracts were filtered to obtain high yield of the concentration. The filtered solution was heated in rotary evaporator setup to expel the ethanol at 78°C for 20 min.

## 2.2. Phytochemical Analysis

The extracts obtained were analyzed at National Research Institute of Chemicals Technology (NARICT), Zaria, Nigeria and results were printed from spectroscopy, to identify the compounds, functional groups and their structures. These tests were;

Fourier Transformation Infrared (FTIR) analyses: BLRE was characterized by FTIR spectroscopy for identification of all active functional groups with FTIR-8400S instrument.

Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer (GCMS) analyses: BLRE also analyzed on GCMS-QP2010 PLUS SHIMADZU instrument, to detect all organic species present quantitatively.

## 2.3. Preparation of Test Solution

The solution of 1.5 M Sulphuric acid was prepared by dilution of 98 %  $H_2SO_4$  with distilled water. The inhibitors were accurately weighed dissolve in prepared 1.5 M sulphuric acid solution to obtain different inhibitors concentrations (0.1, to 0.5 g/l). The test solutions were prepared in different containers to carry out the corrosion experiment.

## 2.4. Preparation of Metal Specimen

The mild steel sample obtained has chemical composition of carbon 0.053 %, Silicon 0.14%, Aluminium 0.2 %, Calcium 0.1 %, Manganese 0.48 %,

Iron 97.48 %, Copper 0.057 % and Chromium 0.018 %. The mild steel specimens were mechanically cut in to dimension of 2.0 x 0.2 x 2.5 cm (with a surface area of  $11.8 \text{ cm}^2$ ). Prior to all, the mild steel coupons were mechanically polished with series of emery paper from 400 to 1200 grades to sufficiently remove any mill scale on the sample mild steel as also done by reference [14]. The specimen were washed thoroughly with distilled water, degreased with absolute ethanol, dipped into acetone and dried in air. The dried specimens were stored in desiccators before used.

## 2.5. Weight Loss (WL) Measurement

Weight loss measurements were conducted under total immersion using 250 ml capacity beakers containing 200 ml prepared solution at 30°C to 60°C which was maintained in a thermostated water bath. The mild steel coupons were weighed and dropped in 1.5 M sulfuric acid solution with the aid of acid resistance plastic clip. The coupons were retrieved at 3 hours interval progressively for 15 hours. After each exposure time, the mild steel coupons were removed, washed thoroughly to remove the corrosion product (Rust Stain) with emery paper, rinsed with distilled water and dried in acetone as previously reported [4,14]. The mild steel was re-weighed to determine the weight loss, in gramme by the difference of mild steel weight before and after immersion. The corrosion rates (g/cm<sup>2</sup>h) in the absence and presence of the inhibitors were determined.

Weight loss was calculated by finding the difference between weight of each coupon before and after immersion [12,15]

$$\Delta W = W_h - W_a \tag{1}$$

 $W_b$  is the weight before immersion,  $W_a$  is the weight after immersion. While the corrosion rate (g/cm<sup>2</sup>h) in absence and presence of inhibitors was calculated using equation 2 [4].

$$CR = \frac{\Delta w}{At} \tag{2}$$

Where  $\Delta w$  is the weight loss (g) after exposure time t (h), A is the area of the specimen ( $cm^2$ ), t is time of exposure in hours and CR is the corrosion rate at each exposure time. The corrosion rate obtained in the absence and presence of inhibitor was used to calculate inhibiton efficiency (IE %) as in equation 3 [14].

$$IE(\%) = \left(\frac{CR_1 - CR_2}{CR_1}\right) \times 100 \tag{3}$$

Where IE(%) is inhibition efficiency,  $CR_1$  is the corrosion rate of mild steel in absence of inihibitors,  $CR_2$  is the corrosion rate of mild steel coupons in presence of concentration of inhibitors.

#### 2.6. Hydrogen Evolution (HE) measurement

Hydrogen evolution measurement was carried out using gasometric assembly similar to the gasometric setup described by [16]. The assembly was setup to measure the volume of hydrogen gas evolved from mild steel corrosion in test solution. This apparatus consists of two necked conical flask (reaction vessel) which contained the corrodent and metal coupons. Others are separating funnel, calibrated tube with taps, water bath and retort stand. The measurement was carried out with different test solutions that were introduced into the reaction vessel that was connected to an inverted calibrated tube through a delivery tube. Initial volume of water in the calibrated tube was recorded and mild steel coupons were carefully dropped in the test solution then quickly closed. The volume of hydrogen gas evolved from corrosion reaction was monitored by the downward displacement of water. This displacement was monitored at 3 minutes interval, progressively for 27 minutes and the difference in the level of water was recorded as the volume of hydrogen gas. From obtained values of hydrogen gas evolved, the inhibition efficiency (IE %) was calculated using equation 4 [4,16].

$$Slope = \frac{V_f - V_i}{t_f - t_i} \tag{4}$$

Where  $V_f$  (cm<sup>3</sup>) is the Volume of hydrogen gas evolved at final time taken,  $V_i$  (cm<sup>3</sup>) is the volume of hydrogen gas evolved at initial time taken,  $t_f$  (min) is the final time recorded and  $t_i$  (min) is the initial time recorded for each experiment.

$$IE\% = 1 - (CR_{inh} / CR_{blank})$$
(5)

Where  $CR_{blank}$  is the hydrogen evolution rate in absence of inhibitors and  $CR_{inh}$  is the hydrogen evolution rate in the presence of different concentration of inhibitors.

## 2.7. Thermometric Measurement

The thermometric measurement was conducted using digital thermometer having probe to take temperature reading in the reaction system. The temperature probe was inserted into test tube as described by [16] and shown in

Figure 2. The flask was filled with 1.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  solution and mild steel coupons were carefully dropped in the test solution and quickly closed while the thermometer probe was pierced through the cock that covers the test tube. The temperature variation on thermometer was monitored at 15 minutes interval until maximum temperature was reached and recorded. The experiment was repeated in the presence of different concentrations of studied inhibitors. Computation reaction number was calculated as obtained in the past literature [16,17] and this was used to evaluate the inhibition efficiency (IE %) as in equations 6 and 7:

$$R(^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\min^{-1}) = \frac{T_m - T_i}{t} \tag{6}$$

Where  $T_m$  is the maximum temperature,  $T_i$  is the initial temperature of the system and t is time (min) taken to reach the maximum temperature. The inhibition efficiency (IE %) was computed from the value of percentage reduction in reaction number as below:

$$IE\% = \frac{R_{aq} - R_{wi}}{R_{aq}} \times 100 \tag{7}$$

Where  $R_{aq}$  is the reaction number of the aqueous 1.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  and  $R_{wi}$  is the reaction number in the presence of each inhibitors.



Figure 2. Thermometric setup



Figure 3. Chromatogram of Bitter leaf root extracts (BLRE)

## 3. Results and Discussion

# **3.1.** Properties of Inhibitors in Inhibition Efficiency

The role of inhibitors is to form a barrier of one or several molecular layers against acid attack and this depends on phytochemical compounds which vary widely on the part of the plant and its geographical location [18]. The inhibitive characteristics of such compounds derive from the adsorption ability of their molecules, with the polar group acting as the reaction center for the adsorption process [19]. The resulting adsorbed film acts as a barrier that separates the metal from the corrodent, and efficiency of inhibition depends on the mechanical, structural, and chemical characteristics of the adsorption layers formed under particular conditions [19]. It was previously reported that bitter leaves extract in the literature that they contained many phytochemical compounds like tanins, saponins, alkaloids, and flavanoids [9]. Figure 3 is Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of bitter leaf root extract (BLRE) to confirm the number of organic compounds in each extract. The chromatogram is plot of intensity (Relative abundance of each organic compound) against the minute (min) each organic compounds detected.

S/N	Compounds Name	Structure
1	Ethylbenzen	
2	Decane	
3	1, 4-dimethylbenzen	106
4	Isobutyl pentyl ester	L ~ L ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
5	Nonadecanoic acid	ОН
6	Methyl ester	
7	Methyl tridecanoate	$\sim$
8	13-Docosenoic acid	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9	Pentafluoropropionic acid	
10	13-Docosenoic acid	~~~~~Å.
11	9, 12-Octadecandienoyl chloride	
12	2-Buthyl-1-octanol	но
	45 %T 	2382.78- 2302.12- 2161.31- 2161.31- 2161.31- 1949.80- 1950.92- 137.38- 137.38- 1031.95- 1035.95- 1035.



1750

1500

1250

2000

The result from Figure 3 showed twelve peaks and it was reported that the peaks were proportional to the amount of the organic compounds formed [20]. It was observed from the chromatogram that bitter leaf root extract (BLRE) contained twelve organic compounds. The detail of the organic compounds names with their

3500

SAMPLE F (KBr)

3000

2500

4000

NAME AWE

4500

structures are shown in Table 1. The presence of these compounds and their structures contribute to the inhibition efficiency due to the many functional groups that was found present in compounds as shown cleared in Table 1 and these functional groups were analyzed with Fourier transformation infrared spectrum (FTIS) in Figure 4.

750

500

1/cm

1000

The spectrum is the plot of percentage of intensity (Relative abundance of each functional group) and frequency values that depicts the surface coverage of each functional group in inhibition process. It has been established that the strength of the adsorption bond depends upon the electron density on the donor atom of the functional group and also the polarizability of the group [19]. When an H atom attached to the C in the ring is replaced by a substituent group (NH<sub>2</sub>, OH, NH, NO<sub>2</sub>, CHO, or COOH) and theses improves inhibition [21]. Since large amount of saponin compounds was reported present in Bitter leaf root extracts, highest peak with frequency value of 1683.91 cm<sup>-1</sup> from Figure 4 is attributed to hydroxyl (OH) group which is the largest functional groups in saponin compounds according to [22].

Therefore, this protective action is often associated with chemical and/or physical adsorption involving a variation in the charge of the adsorbed substance and transfer of charge from one phase to the other. Sulphur and/or nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compounds with various substituents are considered to be effective corrosion inhibitors [19].

## **3.2.** Corrosion Evaluation of Test Specimen without and with Inhibitor.

Reference [9] reported the evaluation of corrosion on mild steel in corrosive media without and with inhibitor evaluation of corrosion by visual inspection and parameters (corrosion rate, inhibition efficiency and surface coverage) experimental from corrosion measurement ..

#### 3.2.1. Visual Inspection

Visual inspection was investigated on the corroded mild steel specimen without and with addition of inhibitor in 1.5 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions to compare the degree of their corrosion rates as shown in Figure 5. Image a, b, c, d, and e from Figure 5 represent the mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.5 g/l, 0.4 g/l, 0.3 g/l, 0.2 g/l, and 0.1 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time. It could be observed from the image a, b, c, d, and e in Figure 5 that the influence of BLRE as corrosion inhibitor in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution with mild steel samples reduced the formation of rust from 0.5 g/l to 0.1 g/l concentration of BLRE and this means surface specimens were protected by adhesive layer formation. The image (f) depicts mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution without inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time and this gives the evidence that the sample without corrosion inhibitor corrodes uniformly as reported by [9] with increased thickness of corrosion products.



Figure 5. Immersed Mild steel specimen in 1.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution for 15 hours exposure time at 30°C temperature

(a) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.5 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time (b) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.4 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time (c) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.3 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time (d) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.2 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time (e) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution with 0.1 g/l concentration of BLRE inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time (f) Mild steel sample immersed in 1.5 M H2SO4 solution without inhibitor after 15 hours exposure time.

		Ta	ble 2. Corr	osion Para	meters for	Weight los	s and Hydr	ogen evol	ution Me	thods			
Methods					Weight los	<b>S</b>				Hydr	ogen Evo	lution	
Temp	Conc. (g/l)	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
30													
	CR	0.029	0.0082	0.0068	0.0057	0.0036	0.0029	0.353	0.103	0.087	0.067	0.045	0.033
	IE %		71.72	76.55	80.34	87.58	90		70.82	75.35	81.02	87.25	90.65
	θ		0.717	0.766	0.803	0.876	0.9		0.708	0.753	0.81	0.872	0.906
40													
	CR	0.0323	0.0097	0.0088	0.0078	0.0059	0.0049	0.485	0.151	0.136	0.125	0.096	0.079
	IE %		69.96	72.75	75.85	81.73	84.82		68.87	71.96	74.23	80.21	83.71
	θ		0.7	0.728	0.759	0.817	0.848		0.689	0.72	0.742	0.802	0.837
50													
	CR	0.0344	0.0119	0.0101	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.593	0.212	0.183	0.163	0.147	0.121
	IE %		65.41	70.64	73.83	76.74	79.65		64.25	69.14	72.51	75.21	79.59
	θ		0.654	0.706	0.738	0.767	0.797		0.642	0.691	0.725	0.752	0.796
60													
	CR	0.0368	0.015	0.0131	0.0109	0.0097	0.0085	0.634	0.258	0.231	0.191	0.173	0.145
	IE %		59.23	64.4	70.38	73.64	76.9		59.31	63.57	69.87	72.71	77.12
	θ		0.592	0.644	0.704	0.736	0.769		0.593	0.636	0.699	0.727	0.771

. ..... . . . . . . . . . .

#### 3.2.2. Evaluation of Corrosion Parameters.

The parameters of corrosion include corrosion rates (CR), inhibition efficiency (IE %), and surface coverage



Figure 6. Plot of Weight loss against Time for mild steel in 1.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  in absence and presence of BLRE at (a) 30°C, (b) 40°C, (c) 50°C and (d) 60°C respectively

The various corrosion rates obtained decreased with addition of different concentrations of inhibitor (BLRE) and lowest values were obtained at addition of highest concentration (0.5 g/l) of the studied extracts at all investigated temperature. It is also noticed that corrosion rate of mild steel in the presence of inhibitor (BLRE) increases with rise in temperatures.

The values of inhibition efficiency (IE %) and surface coverage ( $\theta$ ) for each investigated inhibitors were listed in the Table 1 and it can be observed that the inhibition efficiency and surface coverage increases with increase in inhibitors concentrations. Reference [18] shows the corrosion inhibition is initiated by the displacement of adsorbed water molecules by inhibitor species leading to specific adsorption of inhibitor on the metal surface. The inhibition efficiency was found to be decreasing with rise in temperatures. Some non uniformity of inhibition efficiency values was as a result of dimeric film formed on the surface of mild steel [23]. Therefore, the inhibition decrease with increase in temperature which result to gradual desorption of the outer layer of the dimeric inhibitor film on mild steel surface.

Figure 6 and 7 depict the graphs of weight loss and volume of hydrogen gas evolved against time for mild

steel corrosion in 1.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  in absence and presence of different concentrations of inhibitors at 30°C to 60°C respectively. It was observed from the Figure 5 that the weight loss of mild steel increase with increase in time and temperature but decrease with increase in concentration of inhibitor (BLRE).

Similar behaviour was observed in Figure 7 where liberation of hydrogen gas evolved decreased on introduction of different concentration of inhibitor (Bitter leaves root extract) compared to the liberation of hydrogen gas in solution of mild steel and sulphuric acid without inhibitor. This showed that the inhibitors slow the reaction of mild steel and 1.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The volume of hydrogen gas evolved also observed increasing with rise in temperatures and time but decreasing with increase in concentration of inhibitors. It can be seen clearly from the Tables that hydrogen evolution rate values decrease with addition of inhibitors compared with uninhibited system. Reference [4] reported the values of hydrogen evolution rate can be correlated to the corrosion rate of mild steel coupons without and with inhibitor (BLRE) used to obtained inhibition efficiency as in Table 2. The increasing values of hydrogen evolution rate were observed with rise in temperature which is equivalent to

( $\theta$ ) obtained from weight loss and hydrogen evolution experimental methods are listed in Table 2 at different temperatures and concentrations of inhibitor.

decreasing values of inhibition efficiency but increases

with increase in the concentration of inhibitor.



Figure 7. Plot of Volume of Hydrogen gas evolved against Time for mild steel in  $1.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in absence and presence of BLRE at (a) 30°C, (b) 40°C, (c) 50°C and (d) 60°C respectively

#### 3.2.2. Thermometric Measurement Corrosion Analysis.

Corrosion reaction was also investigated through digital thermometric readings obtained by inserted the thermometer in the test solution in absence and presence of studied inhibitors. According to [18,24] the significance of using this method has been proved in evaluating corrosion of metals and alloys in various corroding agents. The application of this method also showed the different behaviour of corrosion reaction on mild steel in absence and presence of studied inhibitors. Table 3 below gives the obtained corrosion parameter for the measurement.

Table 3. Corrosion Parameters for Thermometric Method					
Conc. of Inhibitor	Reaction Number	Inhibition Efficiency			
0	0.0212				
0.1 (g/l)	0.0111	47.61			
0.2 (g/l)	0.0100	52.85			
0.3 (g/l)	0.0094	55.48			
0.4 (g/l)	0.0089	58.09			
0.5 (g/l)	0.0083	60.71			



Figure 8. Graph of Temperature against time for Bitter leaf root extract

The corrosion rate in this method is the calculated reaction number listed in the Table 3 with the corresponding inhibition efficiency computed from the values of reaction number obtained. Introduction of different concentrations of inhibitors reduced the maximum temperature compared with uninhibited system reaction as showed in Figure 8.

This is an indication that the studied inhibitors inhibit corrosion of mild steel in acidic solution. It has been reported that the inhibition process depend on the degree of surface coverage of adsorbed molecules on metals. It was observed from the reaction number obtained that at highest concentration (0.5 g/l) of inhibitors added and lower valued of reaction number obtained (0.0083 for BLRE).

From the reaction numbers (RN) evaluated, it is much cleared that RN decreases with increase in concentrations of inhibitors. The inhibition efficiency increase with increase in concentration of inhibitors as 60.71% was obtained at 0.5 g/l concentration.

## **3.3. Adsorption Considerations**

Adsorption is the process in which atoms, ions or molecules from chemical substances adhere to a surface of the adsorbent. Adsorption behaviour is usually described and analyzed with adsorption isotherm model like Freundlich, Flory-Huggins, Temkin, Bockris-swinkles, Langmuir and Frumkin adsorption isotherms [18]. The degree of surface coverage was obtained for different concentrations of the studied extract from weight loss and hydrogen evolution measurement with expression IE % =  $\theta X100$  and the obtained values of inhibitors concentration upon the surface coverage (C/ $\theta$ ).

The adsorption was achieved by fitting the degree of surface coverage data into various adsorption isotherms or models and the correlation coefficients (highest) used to determine the best fit isotherm which can then be used to describe the inhibitor adsorption mechanism [6]. The evaluated values obtained for ratio of inhibitor concentration to surface coverage was applied on adsorption isotherm using Langmuir adsorption model which relates the degree of surface coverage to the different concentrations of the studied inhibitor with equation 8 [18]

$$\frac{C}{\theta} = \frac{1}{K_{ads}} + C \tag{8}$$

Where  $\theta$  is the surface coverage and is division of inhibition efficiency (IE %) by 100, C is the concentration,  $K_{ads}$  is the equilibrium constant of adsorption process. Figure 9 represent the plots of Langmuir adsorption model for mild steel in 1.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> containing bitter leaf root extract (BLRE), obtained from weight loss and hydrogen evolution measurement in which the values were plotted against the concentration of inhibitors (C).



Figure 9. Langmuir adsorption isotherm model for mild steel in 1.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> containing BLRE at different temperatures

From Figure 9 represent Langmuir adsorption model for mild steel in 1.5M  $H_2SO_4$  containing BLRE. The intercept obtained from the graph was related with inverse of equilibrium constant of adsorption (K<sub>ads</sub>) to compute the values of K<sub>ads</sub>. Kads was related to free energy of adsorption  $\Delta G_{ads}$  as employed by many researchers [6,25,26]

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ads}} = (1/55.5) \mathrm{Exp}(-\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ads}} / \mathrm{RT})$$
(9)

Where 55.5 is the water concentration, R is the universal gas constant and T is the thermodynamic temperature.

Table 4 represent the Langmuir adsorption parameters obtained for both studied inhibitors at all investigated temperatur

Table 4. S	ole 4. Some parameters from Langmuir isotherm in method				
Methods	Temp.	$\Delta G_{ads}$ (KJ/mol)	Slope	K <sub>ads</sub> (g/l)	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Weight Loss					
	30°C	-17.71	1.020	20.36	0.99
	40°C	-17.57	1.100	19.23	0.99
	50°C	-18.10	1.186	23.78	0.99
	60°C	-17.14	1.195	16.25	0.99
Hydrogen Ev	olution				
	30°C	-17.50	1.020	18.72	0.99
	40°C	-17.41	1.130	18.07	0.99
	50°C	-17.36	1.140	17.40	0.99
	60°C	-16.63	1.150	13.24	0.99

The adsorption parameters derived from value indicate the binding power of the inhibitor to the metal surface is decreasing with increase in temperature [27]. The same values was obtained in  $K_{ads}$  value from the Table 4 and this behavior was reported increase in temperature results in desorption of some absorbed components of the extract on the metal surface which is consistent with the physisorption mechanism [4,6].

It was observed from the correlation coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) obtained that strong inhibitive and adsorption behaviour was acquired using the investigated extracts. The linearity of Langmuir isotherm plots depict by  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value (0.99) and the inhibitor adsorbed on anodic/cathodic sites resulting to the deviation of unit gradients of correlation coefficient [28].

The free energy of adsorption of  $(\Delta G_{ads})$  obtained from equation 4.3 and given in the Table 4. The result obtained were negatives and this implied spontaneous process of adsorption of studied inhibitor via physical adsorption process. It was also observed that the free energy of adsorption decrease with increase in temperature. Reference [18] reported this phenomenon that the adsorption of inhibitor on to mild steel surface was unfavorable with increase in experimental temperatures which result to desorption of adsorption inhibitor from the metal surface. Many researcher have reported the values of free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G_{ads}$ ) is around -20 KJ/mol or less as obtained in the present study is consistent with physisorption adsorption and when it is around -40 KJ/mol or higher is consistent with chemical adsorption mechanism.

#### 3.4. Effect of Temperature on Corrosion Rate

The influence of temperature on corrosion of mild steel showed in the relationship between corrosion rate and inhibition efficiency as reported previously. This effect contributed to rapid corrosion of mild steel and reduces the inhibition efficiency of any inhibitors applied to protect the surface of the metal. Further analysis was carried out with Arrhenius equation to obtain the kinetic corrosion parameters for the temperature influence on mild steel in  $H_2SO_4$  in absence and presence of BLRE. The equation to analyze this, is given in equation 10 [14].

$$LogCR = logA - \left(\frac{E_a}{2.303RT}\right)$$
(10)

Where CR is the corrosion rate  $E_a$  is the apparent activation energy of the mild steel dissolution, R is the molar gas constant T is the absolute temperature, and A is the frequency factor.

Figure 10a and 10b represent the Arrhenius graph for weight loss (wl) and hydrogen evolution (he) measurements where log of corrosion rate (LogCR) was plotted against the inverse of temperature (1/T) values for mild steel in 1.5M  $H_2SO_4$  in absence and presence of inhibitors (BLRE).



Figure 10. a and b: Arrhenius graph for mild steel corrosion in 1.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the presence and absence of BLRE using weight and hydrogen evolution methods respectively



Figure 11. Transition state graph for mild steel corrosion in  $1.5 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the presence and absence of BLRE using weight loss and hydrogen evolution methods

Straight lines graphs were obtained and the activation energy was evaluated from the slope of the straight line plots

In order to calculate enthalpy and entropy of activation for the corrosion process, the alternative formulation of Arrhenius equation, also called transition state equations was given in equation 11 [14].

$$\operatorname{Log}\left(\operatorname{CR}\right) = \left[\left(\operatorname{log}\left(\frac{R}{Nh}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{\Delta S}{2.303R}\right)\right] - \frac{\Delta H}{2.303RT} (11)$$

Where h is the Planck's constant, N is the Avogadro's number  $\Delta S$  is the entropy of activation, T is the absolute temperature and R is the universal gas constant.

The linear plots of log (CR/T) against I/T deduced from Equation (11) was applied in the computation of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  from the slope of (- $\Delta H/2.303R$ ) and intercept of (log (R/Nh) =  $\Delta S/2.303R$ ) as shown in Figure 11 for both measurements and this represent Transition state graph for mild steel in 1.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the presence and absence of inhibitors using both measurement values.

The slopes and intercept obtained from Figure 11a and 11b were used to evaluate enthalpy and entropy change of the system and the values obtained are presented in Table 5. Table 5 give the activation parameters of temperature influence on corrosion rate of mild steel in the absence and presence of inhibitor with 1.5 M sulfuric acid.

Methods	Concentration (g/l)	Ea (KJ/mol)	$\Delta H$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta S (Jmol^{-1}K^{-1})$
Weight Loss (wl)				
	1.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> Only	6.31856	3.89376	-261.59
	0.1 g/l of BLRE	17.2324	14.2987	-238.02
	0.2 g/l of BLRE	17.2324	14.9570	-237.16
	0.3 g/l of BLRE	17.4239	14.9690	-238.35
	0.4 g/l of BLRE	24.3169	24.3592	-210.82
	0.5 g/l of BLRE	27.5719	27.7193	-201.66
Hydrogen Evolution (he)				
	2M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> Only	15.3177	13.6600	-208.16
	0.1 g/l of BLRE	26.4231	22.9172	-187.97
	0.2 g/l of BLRE	28.9122	23.9602	-185.80
	0.3 g/l of BLRE	27.9548	25.8370	-181.26
	0.4 g/l of BLRE	31.7843	34.1937	-156.68
	0.5 g/l of BLRE	33.8904	37.5548	-147.94

Increased activation energy (Ea) in inhibited solutions compared to the uninhibited 1.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  suggests that the inhibitor adsorbed on the corroding mild steel surface is consistent with physical adsorption mechanism while either unchanged or lower Ea in the presence of inhibitor suggest chemisorptions [29]. It is seen from Table 5 that Ea values were lower in the presence of studied leaves extract compared to that in their absence hence leading to reduction in the corrosion rates.

However, It has been suggested that adsorption of an organic inhibitor can affect the corrosion rate by either decreasing the available reaction area (geometric blocking effect) or by modifying the activation energy of the anodic or cathodic reactions occurring in the inhibitor-free surface in the course of the inhibited corrosion process [3,30]. The apparent activation energy (Ea) values obtained in Table 5 occur primarily through physisorption mechanism on the mild steel surface in the presence of inhibitor (BLRE).

The positive values of  $\Delta H$  both in the absence and presence of inhibitors reflect the endothermic nature of the steel dissolution process and it indicates that the dissolution of steel is difficult [31]. It was observed in Table 5 that E<sub>a</sub> and  $\Delta H$  vary in the same manner but however, the values of  $\Delta H$  are lower than that of Ea. This has been reported that the corrosion process must involve a gaseous reaction, simply hydrogen evolution reaction associated with decrease in total reaction volume [29,32]. The values of  $\Delta S$  in the absence and presence of the extract are negative (Table 5). This indicates that the activated complex in the rate of determining step represents an association rather than dissociation meaning that a decrease in disordering takes place on going from reactants to activated complex. Similar observations have been reported in the literature for mild steel dissolution in the absence and presence of inhibitors in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution [4,31]. Also the  $\Delta$ S values tend to more negative values as the extract concentration increases showing more ordered behavior leading to increase inhibition efficiency. The gradual increase in negative entropy ( $\Delta$ S) in the presence of the inhibitor signifies the formation of more ordered stable layer of active molecules of the extracts on the mild steel surface as extract concentration increase [33].

## 3.5. Conclusion

The main conclusion drawn from the corrosion inhibition potential of studied plant extract (Inhibitors) on mild steel in  $1.5M~H_2SO_4$  examined by weight loss, hydrogen evolution and thermometric measurement studies are:

- 1. The presence of functional groups in phytochemical compounds structure confirmed from GCMS and FTIR analyses increased the inhibitive action of the inhibitors
- 2. The inhibitor exhibit excellent inhibition efficiency on mild steel corrosion in  $H_2SO_4$  solution than the leaf samples. The inhibition efficiency increase with increase in concentration of inhibitors as 90 % obtained at 30°C compared with 69 % inhibition efficiency obtained for bitter leaf extract on stainless steel, 80 % inhibition efficiency for *Sida acuta* leaves extract from the literature at same temperature but the root samples are scarce to obtained compared to the leaf samples.
- 3. The result of free energy of adsorption decrease with increase in temperature. The values obtained for free

energy of adsorption were negatives and this implied spontaneous process of adsorption that is consistent with physical adsorption process.

4. The positive values of  $\Delta H$  both in the absence and presence of inhibitors reflect the endothermic nature of the steel dissolution process and it indicates that the dissolution of steel is difficult. Finally, the  $\Delta S$  values tend to more negative values as the extract concentration increases showed more ordered behavior that result to increase inhibition efficiency.

## References

- Ajani, K.C., Abdulrahman A.S., and Mudiare E., (2014). Inhibitory Action of Aqueous Citrus aurantifolia Seed Extract on the Corrosion of Mild Steel in H2SO4 Solution. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 31 (12): 2141-2147.
- [2] Asipita, S.A., M. Ismail, M.Z.A. Majid, Z.A. Majid, C.S. Abdullah and J. Mirza. 2014. Green Bambusa Arundinacea leaves extract as a sustainable corrosion inhibitor in steel reinforced concrete. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 67: 139-146.
- [3] Obot, I.B., Umoren, S. A., Obi-Egbedi, N.O., (2011). Corrosion inhibition and adsorption behaviour for aluminuim by extract of Aningeria robusta in HCl solution: Synergistic effect of iodide ions. *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science*. 2 (1):60-71.
- [4] Umoren, S.A., Eduok, U.M., Solomon, M.M., Udoh A.P., (2011). Corrosion inhibition by leaves and stem extracts of Sida acuta for mild steel in 1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions investigated by chemical and spectroscopic techniques. *Arabian journal of chemistry*. Volume 3, page 008.
- [5] Ebenso E.E., Eddy N.O., Odiongenyi A.O., (2008). Corrosion inhibitive properties and adsorption behavior of ethanol extract of piper guinensis as a green corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in H2SO4. *African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry*. Volume 2, number 11, page 107-115.
- [6] Ituen E. B., and Udo,U. E.,Odozi N. W., and Dan, E. U., (2013). Adsorption and kinetic/thermodynamic characterization of aluminium corrosion inhibition in sulphuric acid by extract of Alstonia boonei. *Applied Chemistry*, issue 4, Volume 3, page 52-59.
- [7] Chauchan, L.R. and G. Gunasekaran, (2007). Corrosion inhibition of mild steel by plant extract in dilute HCL medium. *Corros. Sci.*, 49: 1143.
- [8] Oguzie, E.E., Enenebeaku, C.K., Akalezi, C.O., Okoro, S.C., Ayuk,A.A., Ejike, E.N., (2010). Adsorption and corrosioninhibiting effect of Dacryodis edulis extract on low-carbon-steel corrosion in acidic media. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 349, 283-292.
- [9] Debi,G.E., H. Esah, I. Mohammed, A.S. Abdulrahman and M. Aminu, 2013. "Effect of Vernonia Amygdalina Extract on Corrosion Inhibition of Mild Steel in Simulated seawater. *Australian Journal of basic and Applied Sciences*. Volume 7, number 14, pp: 257-263.
- [10] Loto, C. A., (2003). The effect of bitter leaf on the inhibition of mild steel in HCl and H2SO4. *Corrosion prevention and control Journal*, 50: 43-49.
- [11] Loto, C. A., (1998). The effect of bitter leaf extracts on corrosion of mild steel in 0.5 M HCl and H2SO4 Solutions, *Nigeria corrosion Journal international*, 1:19-20.
- [12] Obiukwu, O. O., Opara, I. O., and Oyinna, B. C., 2013. Corrosion Inhibition of Stainless Steel Using Plant Extract Vernonia amygdalina and Azadirachta indica. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology. Volume 14. Number 2. Page 31-35.
- [13] Ayeni, F. A., Madugu, I. A., Sukop, P., Ihom, A. P., Alabi, O. O., Okara, R., and Abdulwahab, M., 2012. Effect of aqueous extract of bitter leaf power on corrosion inhibition of Al-Si Alloy in 0.5 caustic Soda Solution. *Journal of Minerals and Materials characterization and Engineering*. Volume 11, page 667-670.
- [14] Noor, E. A., Al-Moubaraki, A. H., (2008). Thermodynamics of metal corrosion and inhibition adsorption process in mild steel/1methyl-4[4'(-X)-styrylpyridiniumiodides/hydrochloric acid

systems. Journal of Material Chemistry and Physics., Volume 110 page 145-154.

- [15] Nwoko, V.O and J.B. Lakeman. 2009. An Introduction to Aqueous Corrosion Theory. Corrosion Science and Engineering, FUTO: Nigeria.
- [16] Ajayi, O. M, Odusote, J. K., Yahya, R. A., (2013). Inhibition of mild steel corrosion using Jatropha curcas leaves extracts. *Journal* of electrochemistry science and engineering. doi: 10.5599/jese, 2014.0046.
- [17] Solomon, M. M., Umoren, S. A., Udosoro, I. I., Udoh, A. P., (2010). Inhibitive and adsorption behavior of carboxymethyl cellulose on mild steel corrosion in sulphuric acid solution. *Corrosion science*, Volume 52, page 1317-1325.
- [18] Oguzie, E. E., (2007). Corrosion inhibition of aluminium in acidic and alkaline media by Sansevieria trifasciata extract. *Corrosion Science*. Volume 49, number 3, page 1527
- [19] Amitha Rani, B. E., Bharathi Bai, J. B., (2012). Green inhibitors for protection of metals and alloys. *International Journal of corrosion*, volume 2012, page 15.
- [20] Leelavathi, S. Rajalakshmi R. J. (2013). Dodonaea viscosa (L.) Leaves extract as acid Corrosion inhibitor for mild Steel – A Green approach Materials Environmental Science. Volume 4, number 5, page. 625-638.
- [21] Evans, U. R., (1976). The Corrosion and Oxidation of Metals Hodder Annold, 1976.
- [22] Doughari J. H., (2012). Phytochemical: Extraction Methods, Basic Structures and Mode of Action as Potential Chemotherapeutic Agents, Phytochemical - A Global Perspective of Their Role in Nutrition and Health, Dr Venketeshwer Rao (Ed.), ISBN:978-953-51-02960., from:http://www.intechopen.com/books/phytochemicals-a-globalperspective-of-their-role-in-nutrition-andhealth/ phytochemicalsextraction methods-basic-structures-and-mode-of-action-as-
- potentialchemotherapeutic. [Accessed 3/11/2014]
  [23] Norr E.A., (2005). The inhibition of mild steel corrosion in phosphoric acid by some N-heterocyclic compound in the salt form. *Corrosion Science*, volume 47, page 33.
- [24] Oza, B.N., Singha, R.S., (1982). Thermometric study of corrosion behaviour of high strength Al-Mg alloy in phosphoric acid in presence of halides. *Trans. SAEST*, volume 17, page 281.
- [25] De Souza, F. S., and Spinelli, A., (2009). Cafeic acid as a green corrosion inhibitor for mild steel. *Corrosion science*. Volume 51, page 642-649.
- [26] Ameer, M.A., Fekry, A.M., (2011). Corrosion inhibition of mild steel by natural product compound. *Progress in Organic Coatings*. Volume 71, page 343-349.
- [27] Tebbji, K., Faska, N., Tounsi, A., Oudda, H., Benkaddour, M., Hammouti, B., 2007. The effect of some lactones as inhibitors for the corrosion of mild steel in 1M hydrochloric acid. Mater. *Chem. Phys.* 106, 260-267.
- [28] Seth T., Chaturved A., Updhyay R.K., M athur S.P. (2007). Corrosion inhibitory effects of some schiffs bases on mild steel in acidic media, *Journal of chil. Chem. Soc.* Volume 52 page 1206.
- [29] Ekanem, U.F., Umoren, S.A., Udousoro, I.I., Udoh, A.P., Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in HCl using pineapple leaves (Ananas comosus L.) extract. *Journal of Materials Science* 45 (2010) 5558.
- [30] Obot, I.B., Obi-Egbedi, N.O., Adsorption properties and inhibition of mild steel corrosion in sulphuric acid solution by ketoconazole: Experimental and theoretical investigation. *Corrosion Science* 52 (2010) 198
- [31] Zerga, B., Attayibat, A., Sfaira, M., Taleb, M., Hammouti, B., Ebn Touhami, M., Radi, S., Rais, Z., 2010. Effect of some tripodal bipyrazolic compounds on C38 steel corrosion in hydrochloric acid solution. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*.
- [32] Noor, E.A., 2009. Potential of aqueous extract of Hibiscus sabdariffa leaves for inhibiting the corrosion of aluminium in alkaline solutions. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*. 39, 1465-1475.
- [33] Prathibha, B. S., Kotteeswaran P., and Raju, V. B., Study on the inhibition of mild steel corrosion by N, N-dimethyl-N-(2phenoxyethyl)dodecan-1-aminiumbromide in HCl mediun. *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry*.