

RICE UNIVERSITY

Crystallographic and Computational Studies of the Metal Ion Binding  
Properties of Parvalbumin

by

Mary Susan Cates

A THESIS SUBMITTED  
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

Doctor of Philosophy

APPROVED, THESIS COMMITTEE

---

George N. Phillips, Jr., Professor  
Biochemistry and Cell Biology

---

Edward P. Nikonowicz, Associate Professor  
Biochemistry and Cell Biology

---

Kathleen S. Matthews, Dean  
Wiess School of Natural Sciences

---

Seiichi Matsuda, Assistant Professor  
Biochemistry and Cell Biology

---

Gustavo Scuseria, Professor  
Chemistry

Houston, Texas  
April, 2000

## **Abstract**

### **Crystallographic and Computational Studies of the Metal Ion Binding Properties of Parvalbumin**

by

Mary Susan Cates

An astonishing number of important physiological processes are regulated by the small alkaline earth metal, calcium. Regulatory  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding proteins must be able to distinguish  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions in the presence of greater concentrations of other metal cations, such as  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$ . The EF-hand family is a large class of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding proteins that displays this sort of preferential  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding. The secondary and tertiary structure of the EF-hand metal ion binding site is highly conserved from one member of the family to the next. Because of this conservation, we can use the small, amenable, EF-hand protein, parvalbumin, as a model system to study the mechanisms that define the metal ion affinities and specificities of EF-hand  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding sites in general.

Our collaborator, Dr. James Potter, has designed a mutant to test directly the role of the last coordinating residue in the EF-hand binding site, the PVEF-E101D parvalbumin mutant. The crystal structures of both the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ - and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ -bound complexes of PVEF-E101D have been determined. The PVEF-E101D mutant displayed a 100-fold decrease in the binding affinity for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , and the  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ -binding affinity was increased 10-fold. Moreover, the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  off-rate escalated from  $1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in wild-type parvalbumin to  $600 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in the PVEF-E101D mutant. The conformation of the

mutated EF-hand in the PVEF-E101D/Mg<sup>2+</sup> structure was typical of a Mg<sup>2+</sup>-bound EF-hand, with the exception of an F helix movement of ~1 Å toward the bound cation that allowed the shorter aspartate residue to coordinate the Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion. The PVEF-E101D/Ca<sup>2+</sup> structure showed that the aspartate residue is unable to bind Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the bidentate mode normally adopted by the wild type glutamate. The resulting sixfold Ca<sup>2+</sup> coordination in the mutant is usually characteristic of Mg<sup>2+</sup>-bound EF-hands, and this finding indicates that the binding loop is not sufficiently flexible to allow the aspartate residue to move in far enough to offer bidentate ligation of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion.

Two MD simulations were used to further investigate the relationship between the last coordinating residue of the EF-hand binding loop and the overall plasticity and flexibility of the loop region. The first simulation, called Alchemy, simulated the transition from Ca<sup>2+</sup> to Mg<sup>2+</sup> coordination through varying the van der Waals parameters for the bound metal ions. The glutamate at position 12 was accurately and reversibly predicted to be the source of bidentate ligation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in our simulations. A second simulation, the Aspartate simulation, produced results that correlated well with the experimental result that an E101D substitution at EF loop position 12 resulted in monodentate Ca<sup>2+</sup> coordination. The F helix was able to move in to the binding cavity during the simulation to allow one aspartate oxygen to bind the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion, but the aspartate was unable to achieve a favorable orientation for bidentate Ca<sup>2+</sup> coordination. The findings indicate that the interplay between the last coordinating residue of the loop, and the plasticity, or flexibility, of the binding loop, to a great extent determines the species of cations that are allowed to bind in a particular EF-hand site.

## Acknowledgements

I owe many thanks to my advisor, Dr. George Phillips, for providing me with a project that was extraordinarily well suited to my talents and interests. I have also appreciated his receptiveness to my ideas and thoughts, and his benevolence regarding my pursuit of tangential avenues, that were sometimes scientifically productive, and sometimes not, but I have always valued that I was given the opportunity to pursue them. The members of my thesis committee, Dr. Ed Nikonowicz, Dr. Kathy Matthews, Dr. Seiichi Matsuda, and Dr. Gustavo Scuseria have offered many helpful suggestions, for which I have also been grateful. Additionally, I wish to thank Dr. John Olson, who has always been both supportive and available, even though he was not a member of my committee.

This body of work has been a collaborative effort, and I would like to thank each of my collaborators. First, I owe a great debt of gratitude to Miguel Teodoro, who has collaborated with me on all of the NAMD molecular dynamics simulations. He has been very gracious about sharing his hard-won knowledge of the NAMD program with me, and has thereby made the learning process a gentler one for me. Also, I want to thank Andrew Daniels and Dr. Gustavo Scuseria for introducing me to the Gaussian software and for their contribution and cooperation in the computational aspect of my graduate studies. Dr. James D. Potter, our collaborator from the University of Miami Medical School, designed the parvalbumin mutants contained in this study, and Dr. Qi Li in the Potter Laboratory expressed the mutant protein and determined the binding

properties. Finally, Mike Berry and Emai Ho, who worked on this project before I joined the lab, determined the structure of the F102W/Ca<sup>2+</sup> mutant complex, and performed all but the final few rounds of refinement on the PVEF-E101D/Mg<sup>2+</sup> complex.

I have very much appreciated the support and friendship of my fellow graduate students during my time at Rice University. In particular, I want to mention Nicole Magnasco and Dave Maillett, who have both been willing to read more parvalbumin papers and hear more parvalbumin presentations than anyone should be expected to endure. Also, I will always remember Eric DeJong and Elaine Liong for having survived in the trenches together. Many thanks to Diane Wycuff and Nicole for walking me through my first protein expression, and to Tod Romo for being the infinite source of information and assistance on both graphics rendering and computational issues. I would also like to express special appreciation for Wil Radding, who possesses an unbelievable store of scientific information and is always willing to patiently discuss it with a novice.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank my family for their support and encouragement. My parents and my brother have always expressed pride and enthusiasm for my pursuit of higher education. My children, Catherine and John, have tolerated having a graduate student for a mother admirably; they are immense sources of joy in my life. Even so, the person without whom I could not have done this is my husband, John. Sometimes people have asked me how I have managed graduate school

with a husband and children at home, but I wonder how I could have possibly managed it without them.

This body of work would not have been possible without financial support from the Keck Center for Computational Biology, National Library of Medicine Medical Informatics Training Grant No. 1T15LM07093, from the Robert A. Welch Foundation grant C-1142, and also from the NIH.

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The EF-hand family of Ca <sup>2+</sup> -binding proteins.....	1
1.2 Parvalbumin as a model EF-hand protein .....	4
1.3 Structural homology in EF-hand binding sites.....	7
1.4 Ca <sup>2+</sup> -specific EF-hand binding sites vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup> /Mg <sup>2+</sup> sites .....	12
1.5 Cooperativity in EF-hand pairs .....	13
1.6 General background regarding Ca <sup>2+</sup> and Mg <sup>2+</sup> .....	14
1.7 Experimental goals of this project.....	17
<b>Chapter 2. Methods.....</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Site-directed mutagenesis.....	19
2.2 Expression and purification of parvalbumin mutants.....	19
2.3 Crystallization .....	21
2.4 Data collection and processing.....	22
2.5 Refinement .....	23
2.6 Structure analysis and figures.....	24

2.7 Tryptophan fluorescence .....	24
2.8 Structure deposition.....	25
2.9 NAMD molecular dynamics starting model .....	25
2.10 NAMD model solvation .....	27
2.11 NAMD model equilibration .....	27
2.12 NAMD model parameterization.....	29
2.13 NAMD simulations .....	35
<b>Chapter 3. Parvalbumin Mutants .....</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	43
3.2 The F102W mutation.....	43
3.3 The D51A mutation.....	44
3.4 The E101D mutation .....	46
<b>Chapter 4. Crystallographic Results .....</b>	<b>50</b>
4.1 Objectives.....	50
4.2 F102W/Ca <sup>2+</sup> .....	50
4.3 PVEF/Ca <sup>2+</sup> (D51A/F102W double mutant) .....	53
4.4 PVEF-E101D (D51A/E101D/F102W triple mutant) .....	64
4.5 Summary.....	74
<b>Chapter 5. The Alchemy Simulation .....</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1 Objectives.....	76
5.2 Introduction .....	78
5.3 Alchemy protocol .....	79



5.4 Alchemy in the CD site .....	80
5.5 Alchemy in the EF site .....	93
5.6 Summary.....	98
<b>Chapter 6. The Aspartate Simulation.....</b>	<b>102</b>
6.1 Objectives .....	102
6.2 Aspartate protocol .....	103
6.3 Results of the Aspartate simulation in the EF site.....	104
6.4 Summary.....	110
<b>Chapter 7. Conclusions .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>126</b>

## List of Tables

1-1.	EF-hand proteins and their functions .....	3
1-2.	EF-hand consensus sequence .....	9
2-1.	Crystallization, processing and refinement statistics for parvalbumin mutants .....	21
2-2.	Carp and pike parvalbumin sequence alignment .....	26
2-3.	Van der Waals parameters .....	29
2-4.	Serine charge distribution calculation .....	32
2-5.	Backbone carbonyl charge distribution calculation .....	33
4-1.	Calcium-oxygen distances in the EF loop (Å) .....	53
4-2.	Calcium-oxygen distances in the CD loop (Å) .....	62
4-3.	Metal ion-oxygen distances in the EF loop (Å) .....	66
A-1.	Ca <sup>2+</sup> - and Mg <sup>2+</sup> -binding parameters for parvalbumins .....	122
B-1.	Mulliken population analysis for the parvalbumin CD site .....	124
B-2.	Mulliken population analysis for the parvalbumin EF site .....	125

## List of Figures

1-1.	The $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx response.....	2
1-2.	The three domains of parvalbumin .....	6
1-3.	The lysine in carp parvalbumin versus the methionine in pike.....	8
1-4.	Coordinating residues of an EF-hand site .....	10
1-5.	Coordination geometry in EF-hand sites .....	11
1-6.	The pairwise conformation of EF-hands.....	15
2-1.	Definition of a dihedral angle .....	36
2-2.	Graph illustrating the van der Waals switching function.....	40
2-3.	The particle mesh Ewald method for electrostatics calculations .....	41
3-1.	The F102W mutation .....	45
3-2.	The D51A mutation .....	47
3-3.	The E101D mutation.....	49
4-1.	Delta distance plot of F102W/ $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ minus wild-type carp/ $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ .....	52
4-2.	Delta distance plot of PVEF/ $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ minus wild-type carp/ $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ .....	54
4-3.	The EF site of PVEF vs. wild-type .....	56
4-4.	The CD site of PVEF vs. wild-type .....	57
4-5.	Graph of the PVEF CD loop $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ titration .....	59
4-6.	“EF-hand type” binding sites with alanine at position 1.....	61
4-7.	Structural rearrangements near alanine 51 in the PVEF mutant .....	63
4-8.	The inactive CD loop of PVEF-E101D .....	65

4-9.	Delta distance plots of the PVEF-E101D mutant structures and PVEF .....	67
4-10.	Mg <sup>2+</sup> coordination in the EF loop of PVEF-E101D.....	69
4-11.	The F helix of the PVEF-E101D/Mg <sup>2+</sup> mutant.....	70
4-12.	Ca <sup>2+</sup> coordination in PVEF-E101D.....	71
4-13.	The orientation of residue 101 in the parvalbumin mutants .....	73
5-1.	Alchemy simulation RMSD from x-ray coordinates .....	81
5-2.	The coordinating aspartates in the CD loop of the Alchemy simulation .....	82
5-3.	The coordinating serine in the CD loop of the Alchemy simulation .....	84
5-4.	Serine in the EF-hand sites of calbindin D9k and myosin RLC .....	87
5-5.	The backbone carbonyl oxygen of phenylalanine 57.....	89
5-6.	Metal ion-binding geometry in the CD site .....	90
5-7.	The glutamate at position 12 in the CD loop of the Alchemy simulation .....	91
5-8.	Metal ion coordination by the glutamate at position 9 of the CD loop.....	92
5-9.	The aspartates in the EF loop of the Alchemy simulation .....	94
5-10.	The carbonyl oxygen and water molecule in the EF site .....	95
5-11.	Metal ion-binding geometry in the EF site .....	97
5-12.	The glutamate at EF site position 12 in the Alchemy simulation .....	99
5-13.	The glutamate at position 12 is solvent-exposed .....	100
6-1.	Aspartate simulation RMSD from starting coordinates.....	105
6-2.	Ca-O bond distances in the Aspartate simulation .....	106
6-3.	Aspartate 101 moves in to coordinate Ca <sup>2+</sup> within the first 80 femtoseconds of simulation.....	108

6-4.	Aspartate 101 exhibits monodentate $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ coordination .....	109
6-5.	The F helix moves in toward the metal ion in the Aspartate simulation .....	111
6-6.	The F helix backbone nitrogens shift in the Aspartate simulation.....	112
6-7.	The F helix moves 0.97 angstroms during the Aspartate simulation.....	113
6-8.	Modeling the aspartate 101 sidechain with equidistant Ca-O bonds .....	115