# **Historical linguistics**

# Lexical semantic change

http://www.ling.cam.ac.uk/li7/

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Difficult to formulate a general theory:

- unlike other areas of linguistic change, lexical semantic change is linked to change in culture / society: arbitrary cultural factors can give rise to highly unpredictable semantic changes
- the link between form (signifiant) and meaning (signifié) is arbitrary. Any link is as good as any other, hence unlimited change is possible (but see later)
- there are no physiological restrictions on change as there are for sound change

#### 2 TRADITIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEXICAL SEMANTIC CHANGE

- 1. Restriction e.g. starve 'die' > 'die of hunger' (cf. German sterben)

  meat 'food' > 'animal flesh as food'

  fowl 'bird' > 'edible domestic bird' (cf. German Vogel)
- 2. Extension e.g. Fr. panier 'bread basket' (cf. pain) > 'basket'

  arrive 'come to shore' > 'arrive'

  batch 'quantity of bread baked at once' > 'anything handled

  in a single operation'
- 3. Pejoration e.g. silly 'blessed' > 'silly' (cf. German selig)
  villain 'serf' > 'criminal'
  amateur 'lover of a topic' > 'incompetent person' (F. aimer)
- 4. Amelioration e.g. *knight* 'servant' > 'knight' *sophisticated* 'artificial' (cf. *sophistry*) > 'cultured' *pretty* 'sly' > 'good-looking'

# 3 MEILLET'S AND ULLMANN'S CAUSES OF SEMANTIC CHANGE (MEILLET 1905; ULLMANN 1957, 1962)

- 1. Language-internal e.g. French *pas* 'step' > negative marker
- 2. Historical causes i.e. a change in material culture e.g. *car* 'cart' > 'automobile', *pen* 'quill' > 'pen'; *fly* 'fly (of bird)' > 'fly (of bird or aeroplane etc.)'.
- 3. Social causes i.e. a word changes its social sphere e.g. *lure* 'bundle of feathers used in falconry' > 'attraction', *harvest* 'autumn' > 'agricultural work carried out in autumn'.
- 4. Psychological causes i.e. spread of metaphors from frequently used areas, or avoidance of taboo words e.g. new words for 'bear' in English *bear* ('brown') or Russian *medved'* ('honey-eater').

# **4 ROLE OF METAPHOR AND METONYMY**

Metaphor = one concept is understood in terms of another / an imagined link is established e.g. foot 'foot' > 'lower part of hill', pay for 'give money' > 'suffer

(e.g. for mistakes)'. Metaphors conventionalise and are no longer regarded as creative:

- 1. stage when using the metaphoric meaning is an act of creativity
- 2. a period of imitation: speakers are aware of using a well-known metaphor
- 3. metaphor is completely conventionalised

Metaphors generally shift from concrete to abstract e.g. *grasp* 'take hold of' > 'understand' or from specialised to general spheres of usage (as with *pay*).

Cf. grammaticalisation OE *ba hwile be* 'at the time that' > 'while (temporal)' > 'while (concessive)'

Metonymy = change arising from contiguity (nearness) of meaning i.e. a real rather than imagined link e.g. part-for-whole *tea* 'drink' > 'evening meal'; material for object *glass* 'material' > 'container'; or close association e.g. *cheek* 'jaw' > 'cheek'.

## **5 TENDENCIES**

Some common semantic shifts can be identified:

- hearing > vision e.g. *loud*
- touch > taste e.g. sharp
- taste > emotion e.g. bitter, sweet
- sensory > personality e.g. *sharp*, *dull*, *bright* (or just physical > mental)
- deontic > epistemic e.g. may (You may go to the ball > She may have arrived by now, see Traugott 1989)
- see > understand e.g. clear, observe, regard, view
- diminutives > ordinary e.g. *chicken* 'young fowl' > 'adult fowl', *pig* (ditto) replacing *swine*
- cheap > negative connotations e.g. Latin vilis 'cheap' > Fr. vile 'contemptible'.
- intelligent > dishonest e.g. cunning

What's the point of all this?

- classes show what changes are likely to occur but offer no explanation
- even the 'causes' do not go much beyond classifying the data
- these tendencies have different sources (so do not form a unified explanation)

Some of these are due to universal patterns of metaphor (e.g. emotion as a taste, comprehension as vision, cf. Lakoff & Johnson 1980). Such tendencies have also been systematised (Traugott 1985, 1989):

## **Tendency I**

Meanings based in the external described situation > meanings based in the internal (evaluative / perceptual / cognitive) described situation e.g. feel 'touch' > 'experience', grasp 'take in one's hands' > 'understand', weigh (up) 'determine weight' > 'evaluate', value 'monetary value' > 'worth'.

# **Tendency II**

Meanings based in the external or internal described situation > meanings based in the textual and metalinguistic situation e.g. *observe* 'perceive' > 'state', *while* 'at the same time that' > 'although'

# **Tendency III**

Meanings tend to become increasingly based in the speaker's subjective belief state / attitude towards the proposition e.g. go 'walk' > 'intend', may 'have permission' > 'be possible', difficult 'hard to do' > 'hard to please'.

On the spread of metaphor see Ogura and Wang (1995).

### **6 OTHER ATTEMPTS TO EXPLAIN SEMANTIC CHANGE**

## 6.1 Linguistic causes

- words become too short to be effective carriers of meaning and are replaced by longer versions which take over their meaning
- explains frequent replacement of ordinary forms by diminutive e.g. Fr. abeille 'bee' for expected \*\*ap /a/, German Mädchen 'little girl' > 'girl' replacing Magd.
- fails to explain cases where this does not take place e.g. Fr. eau /o/ 'water'.
- a word changes its sense to replace a word that became 'unfit' through homonymy e.g. Fr. *manger*, It. *mangiare* etc. (< Lat. *mandicare* 'chew') replaced the existing word for 'eat' because it was sometimes homophonous with forms of *esse* 'be' (see Lüdtke 1999).
- a newly-created or borrowed word pushes an existing word out of place (e.g. sloth 'slowness' > 'laziness' after the appearance of slowness; deer 'animal' > 'deer' after borrowing Fr. animal) (cf. structuralist 'push chains' in sound change).
- a word is influenced by an unrelated but phonetically similar word: suggests that words are stored / retrieved by their phonetic form as well as their meaning e.g. sap 'undermine' > 'drain' under influence of sap (of a tree).
- a word's meaning changes as a result of syntactic change e.g. *like* 'give pleasure to' > 'derive pleasure from'.

## 6.2 Polysemy and vagueness

Polysemy and vagueness are central to semantic change:

- a word acquires a second (polysemous) sense
   e.g. write 'cut' > 'cut, write'; spin 'draw out (fibre into thread)' > 'turn rapidly'
- a word loses a second (polysemous) sense write 'cut, write' > 'write'
- if both happen, the word change its sense entirely

# 6.3 Acquisition

Children have access only to reference (i.e. use on a particular occasion) never sense, so are liable to make abductive inferences which alter the language. They may:

• interpret a common context in which a word is applied as the only one (e.g. write)

- assume that the fact that a word is not applied in a particular context is an accidental gap in the data (e.g. dog)
- construct derivational links where none existed historically and apply regular semantic mappings to those links (e.g. *sap*)
- misinterpret what the intended reference is completely e.g. e.g. bead 'prayer' > 'bead' from phrases such as counting one's beads

## 6.4 Social and cultural causes

See above. Unpredictable and can be extreme.

# 6.5 Language use (cf. metaphor)

Attribution of meaning of one part of an utterance to another e.g. in *grow taller* the sense of growth is attributed to the adjective *taller*, hence *grow* comes to mean 'become', hence *grow smaller*.

Also the cause of pejoration / ameloriation (e.g. via euphemism) e.g. positive associations of OE *hros* 'steed' are lost as every owner applies it to their own horse; *crafty* 'skilled' is used to avoid saying 'dishonest' openly, but the meaning is understood by inference, and becomes attached to the item itself; *cheap*.

#### **FURTHER READING**

- Lakoff, George and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors we live by*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lüdtke, Helmut. 1999. *Diachronic semantics: Towards a unified theory of language change?* edited by Andreas Blank and Peter Koch. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 49–60.
- Ogura, M. & W. S.-Y. Wang. 1995. Lexical diffusion in semantic change: With special reference to universal changes. *Folia Linguistica Historica* **16**: 29–73.
- \* Sweetster, Eve. 1990. From etymology to pragmatics: Metaphorical and cultural aspects of semantic structure. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Traugott, Elizabeth C. 1989. On the rise of epistemic meanings in English: An example of subjectification in semantic change. *Language* **65**: 31–55.
- Traugott, Elizabeth C. & Bernd Heine. 1982. From propositional to textual and expressive meanings: Some semantic-pragmatic aspects of grammaticalization. In *Perspectives on historical linguistics*, edited by W. P. Lehmann and Y. Malkiel. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 245–71.
- Traugott, Elizabeth C. 1990. From less to more situated in language: The undirectionality of semantic change. In *Papers from the Fifth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics*, edited by Sylvia Adamson, Vivien Law, Nigel Vincent and Susan Wright. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 496–517.
- \* Traugott, Elizabeth Closs, & Dasher, Richard B. 2001. *Regularity in semantic change*: Cambridge Studies in Linguistics 96. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Argues for an extensive role for language use, metaphor and metonymy in explaining semantic change, using exemplification mostly from English and Japanese.]
- Ullmann, Stephen. 1957. Principles of semantics. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Ullmann, Stephen. 1962. *Semantics: An introduction to the science of meaning*. Oxford: Blackwell.