Principles of Parallel Algorithm Design

Why is Parallel Computing Hard?

• Amdahl's law – insufficient available parallelism –

 $- \quad Speedup = 1/(fraction_enhanced/speedup_enhanced + (1-fraction_enhanced))$

- Overhead of communication and coordination
- Portability knowledge of underlying architecture often required

Parallel Programming Models

- Data parallel HPF, Fortran-D, Power C/Fortran
- Shared memory pthreads
- Message passing MPI, PVM
- Global address space

Steps in the Parallelization

- Decomposition into tasks
 - Expose concurrency
- Assignment to processes
 - Balancing load and maximizing locality
- Orchestration
 - Name and access data
 - Communicate (exchange) data
 - synchronization among processes
- Mapping
 - Assignment of processes to processors

Basics of Parallelization

- Dependence analysis
- Synchronization
 - Events
 - Mutual exclusion
- Parallelism patterns

Types of Dependences

- True (flow) dependence RAW
- Anti-dependence WAR
- Output dependence WAW

When can 2 statements execute in parallel?

- S1 and S2 can execute in parallel
 - iff
- there are no dependences between S1 and S2 $\,$
 - true dependences
 - anti-dependences
 - output dependences
- Some dependences can be removed.

Loop-Carried Dependence

- A loop-carried dependence is a dependence that is present only if the statements occur in two different instances of a loop
- Otherwise, we call it a loop-independent dependence
- Loop-carried dependences limit loop iteration parallelization

Synchronization

- Used to enforce dependences
- Control the ordering of events on different processors
 - Events signal(x) and wait(x)
 - Fork-Join or barrier synchronization (global)
 - Mutual exclusion/critical sections

Example 1: Creating Parallelism by Enforcing Dependences

```
for( i=1; i<100; i++ ) {
    a[i] = ...;
    ...;
    ... = a[i-1];
}
```

• Loop-carried dependence, not parallelizable

Synchronization Facility

- Suppose we had a set of primitives, signal(x) and wait(x).
- wait(x) blocks unless a signal(x) has occurred.
- signal(x) does not block, but causes a wait(x) to unblock, or causes a future wait(x) not to block.

Example 1: Enforcing Dependencies (continued)

for(i=...; i<...; i++) {
 a[i] = ...;
 signal(e_a[i]);
 ...;
 wait(e_a[i-1]);
 ... = a[i-1];
}</pre>

Example 1 (continued)

- Note that here it matters which iterations are assigned to which processor.
- It does not matter for correctness, but it matters for performance.
- Cyclic assignment is probably best.

Example 2: Enforcing Dependences

for(i=0; i<100; i++) a[i] = f(i); x = g(a); for(i=0; i<100; i++) b[i] = x + h(a[i]);

- First loop can be run in parallel.
- Middle statement is sequential.
- Second loop can be run in parallel.

Example 2 (continued)

- We will need to make parallel execution stop after first loop and resume at the beginning of the second loop.
- Two (standard) ways of doing that:
 - fork() join()
 - barrier synchronization

Fork-Join Synchronization

- fork() causes a number of processes to be created and to be run in parallel.
- join() causes all these processes to wait until all of them have executed a join().

Example 2 (continued)

```
fork();
for( i=...; i<...; i++ ) a[i] = f(i);
join();
x = g(a);
fork();
for( i=...; i<...; i++ ) b[i] = x + h( a[i] );
join();
```

Eliminating Dependences

- Privatization or scalar expansion
- Reduction (common pattern)

Example: Scalar Expansion or Privatization

for (I = 0; I < 100; I++) T = A[I]; A[I] = B[I]; B[I] = T;

Loop-carried anti-dependence on T Eliminate by converting T into an array or by making T private to each loop iteration

Example: Scalar Expansion

for (I = 0; I < 100; I++)T [I]=A[I];A[I] = B[I];B[I] = T[I];

Loop-carried anti-dependence eliminated

Removing Dependences: Reduction

sum = 0.0; for(i=0; i<100; i++) sum += a[i];

- Loop-carried dependence on sum.
- Cannot be parallelized, but ...

Reduction (continued)

for(i=0; i<...; i++) sum[i] = 0.0; fork(); for(j=...; j<...; j++) sum[i] += a[j]; join(); sum = 0.0; for(i=0; i<...; i++) sum += sum[i];

Common pattern often with explicit support e.g., sum = reduce (+, a, 0, 100) CAVEAT: Operator must be commutative and associative

Decomposition Techniques

- Recursive
- Data
- Exploratory
- Speculative

Patterns of Parallelism

- Data parallelism: all processors do the same thing on different data
 - Regular
 - Irregular
- Task parallelism: processors do different tasks
 - Task graph vs. master-slave
 - Task queue
 - Pipelines

Data Parallelism

- Essential idea: each processor works on a different part of the data (usually in one or more arrays).
- Regular or irregular data parallelism: using linear or non-linear indexing.
- Examples: MM (regular), SOR (regular), MD (irregular).

Matrix Multiplication

• Multiplication of two n by n matrices A and B into a third n by n matrix C

Matrix Multiply

 $\begin{array}{l} & \text{for(} i=0; \, i < n; \, i + + \,) \\ & \text{for(} j=0; \, j < n; \, j + + \,) \\ & c[i][j] = 0.0; \\ & \text{for(} i=0; \, i < n; \, i + + \,) \\ & \text{for(} j=0; \, j < n; \, j + + \,) \\ & \text{for(} k=0; \, k < n; \, k + + \,) \\ & c[i][j] + = a[i][k]^* b[k][j]; \\ \end{array}$

Parallel Matrix Multiply

- No loop-carried dependences in i- or j-loop.
- Loop-carried dependence on k-loop.
- All i- and j-iterations can be run in parallel.

Parallel Matrix Multiply (contd.)

- If we have P processors, we can give n/P rows or columns to each processor.
- Or, we can divide the matrix in P squares, and give each processor one square.

SOR

- SOR implements a mathematical model for many natural phenomena, e.g., heat dissipation in a metal sheet.
- Model is a partial differential equation.
- Focus is on algorithm, not on derivation.
- Discretized problem as in first lecture

Relaxation Algorithm

- For some number of iterations for each internal grid point compute average of its four neighbors
- Termination condition: values at grid points change very little (we will ignore this part in our example)

Discretized Problem Statement

```
/* Initialization */
for( i=0; i<n+1; i++ ) grid[i][0] = 0.0;
for( i=0; i<n+1; i++ ) grid[i][n+1] = 0.0;
for( j=0; j<n+1; j++ ) grid[0][j] = 1.0;
for( j=0; j<n+1; j++ ) grid[n+1][j] = 0.0;
for( i=1; i<n; i++ )
    for( j=1; j<n; j++ )
        grid[i][j] = 0.0;</pre>
```



```
for some number of timesteps/iterations {
for (i=1; i<n; i++ )
for( j=1, j<n, j++ )
temp[i][j] = 0.25 *
(grid[i-1][j] + grid[i+1][j]
grid[i][j-1] + grid[i][j+1] );
for( i=1; i<n; i++ )
for( j=1; j<n; j++ )
grid[i][j] = temp[i][j];
}
```

Parallel SOR

- No dependences between iterations of first (i,j) loop nest.
- No dependences between iterations of second (i,j) loop nest.
- Anti-dependence between first and second loop nest in the same timestep.
- True dependence between second loop nest and first loop nest of next timestep.

Parallel SOR (continued)

- First (i,j) loop nest can be parallelized.
- Second (i,j) loop nest can be parallelized.
- We must make processors wait at the end of each (i,j) loop nest.
- Natural synchronization: fork-join.

Parallel SOR (continued)

- If we have P processors, we can give n/P rows or columns to each processor.
- Or, we can divide the array in P squares, and give each processor a square to compute.