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## PREFACE

RF Circuit Design is written for those who desire a practical approach to the design of rf amplifiers, impedance matching networks, and filters. It is totally user oriented. If you are an individual who has little rf circuit design experience. you can use this book as a catalog of circuits, using component values designed for your application. On the other hand, if you are interested in the theory behind the rf circuitry being designed, you can use the more detailed information that is provided for in-depth study.

An expert in the of circuit design field will find this book to be an excellent reference mumual, containing most of the commonly used circuit-design formulas that are needed. However, an electrical engineering student will find this book to be a valuable bridge between classroom studies and the real world. And, finally, if you are an experimenter or ham, who is interested in designing your own equipment, RF Circuit Design will provide numerous examples to guide you every step of the way.

Chapter 1 begins with some basics about components and how they behave at rf frequencies; how capacitors become inductors, inductors become capacitors. and wires become inductors, capacitors, and resistors. Toroids are introduced and toroidal inductor design is covered in detail.

Chapter 2 presents a review of resonant circuits and their properties including a discussion of Q , passband ripple, bandwidth, and coupling. You learn how to design single and multiresonator circuits, at the loaded Q you desire. An understanding of resonant circuits naturally leads to filters and their design. So, Chapter 3 presents complete design procedures for multiple-pole Butterworth, Chebysher: and Bessel filters including low-pass, high-pass, bandpass, and bandstop designs. Within minutes after reading Chapter 3, you will be able to design multiplepole filters to meet your specifications. Filter design was never easier.

Next, Chapter 4 covers impedance matching of both real and complex impendances. This is done both numerically and with the aid of the Smith Chart. Mathematics are kept to a bare minimum. Both high-Q and low-Q matching networks are covered in depth.

Transistor behavior at rf frequencies is discussed in Chapter 5. Input impedance. output impedance, feedback capacitance, and their variation over frequency are outlined. Transistor data sheets are explained in detail, and Y and $S$ parameters are introduced

Chapter 6 details complete cookbook design procedures for rf small-signal amplifiers, using both Y and S parameters. Transistor biasing, stability, impedance matching, and neutralization techniques are covered in detail, complete with practical examples. Constant-gain circles and stability circles, as plotted on a Smith Chart, are introduced while rf amplifier design procedures for minimum noise figure are also explained.

The subject of Chapter 7 is rf power amplifiers. This chapter describes the differences between small- and large-signal amplifiers, and provides step-by-step procedures for designing the latter. Design sections that discuss coaxial-feedline impedance matching and broadband transformers are included.

Appendix A is a math tutorial on complex number manipulation with emphasis on their relationship to complex impedances. This appendix is recommended reading for those who are not familiar with complex number arithmetic. Then, Appendix B presents a systems approach to low-noise design by examining the Noise Figure parameter and its relationship to circuit design and total systems design. Finally, in Appendix C, a bibliography of technical papers and books related to rf circuit design is given so that you, the reader, can further increase your understanding of of design procedures.

Chris Bowick
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## COMPONENTS



Components, those bits and pieces which make up a radio frequency (rf) circuit, seem at times to be taken for granted. A capacitor is, after all, a capacitor -isn't it? A 1-megohm resistor presents an impedance of at least 1 megohm-doesn't it? The reactance of an inductor always increases with frequency, right? Well, as we shall see later in this discussion, things aren't always as they seem. Capacitors at certain frequencies may not be capacitors at all, but may look inductive, while inductors may look like capacitors, and resistors may tend to be a little of both.

In this chapter, we will discuss the properties of resistors, capacitors, and inductors at radio frequencies as they relate to circuit design. But, first, let's take a look at the most simple component of any system and examine its problems at radio frequencies.

## WIRE

Wire in an rf circuit can take many forms. Wirewound resistors, inductors, and axial- and radial-leaded capacitors all use a wire of some size and length either in their leads, or in the actual body of the component, or both. Wire is also used in many interconnect applications in the lower rf spectrum. The behavior of a wire in the rf spectrum depends to a large extent on the wire's diameter and length. Table 1-1 lists, in the American Wire Gauge (AWG) system, each gauge of wire, its corresponding diameter, and other characteristics of interest to the rf circuit designer. In the AWG system, the diameter of a wire will roughly double every six wire gauges. Thus, if the last six

## EXAMPLE 1-1

Given that the diameter of AWG 50 wire is 1.0 mil ( 0.001 inch), what is the diameter of AWG 14 wire?

## Solution

AWG $50=1 \mathrm{mil}$
AWG $44=2 \times 1 \mathrm{mil}=2 \mathrm{mils}$
AWG $38=2 \times 2 \mathrm{mils}=4 \mathrm{mils}$
AWG $32=2 \times 4 \mathrm{mils}=8 \mathrm{mils}$
AWG $26=2 \times 8 \mathrm{mils}=16 \mathrm{mils}$
AWG $20=2 \times 16 \mathrm{mils}=32 \mathrm{mils}$
AWG $14=2 \times 32$ mils $=64$ mils $(0.064$ inch $)$
gauges and their corresponding diameters are memorized from the chart, all other wire diameters can be determined without the aid of a chart (Example 1-1).

## Skin Effect

A conductor, at low frequencies, utilizes its entire cross-sectional area as a transport medium for charge carriers. As the frequency is increased, an increased magnetic field at the center of the conductor presents an impedance to the charge carriers, thus decreasing the current density at the center of the conductor and increasing the current density around its perimeter. This increased current density near the edge of the conductor is known as skin effect. It occurs in all conductors including resistor leads, capacitor leads, and inductor leads.

The depth into the conductor at which the chargecarrier current density falls to $1 / e$, or $37 \%$ of its value along the surface, is known as the skin depth and is a function of the frequency and the permeability and conductivity of the medium. Thus, different conductors, such as silver, aluminum, and copper, all have different skin depths.

The net result of skin effect is an effective decrease in the cross-sectional area of the conductor and, therefore, a net increase in the ac resistance of the wire as shown in Fig. 1-1. For copper, the skin depth is approximately 0.85 cm at 60 Hz and 0.007 cm at 1 MHz . Or, to state it another way: $63 \%$ of the rf current flowing in a copper wire will flow within a distance of 0.007 cm of the outer edge of the wire.

## Straight-Wire Inductors

In the medium surrounding any current-carrying conductor, there exists a magnetic field. If the current in the conductor is an alternating current, this magnetic field is alternately expanding and contracting and, thus, producing a voltage on the wire which opposes any change in current flow. This opposition to change is called self-inductance and we call anything that possesses this quality an inductor. Straight-wire inductance might seem trivial, but as will be seen later in the chapter, the higher we go in frequency, the more important it becomes.

The inductance of a straight wire depends on both its length and its diameter, and is found by:


Fig. 1-1. Skin depth area of a conductor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=0.002\left[\left[2.3 \log \left(\frac{4 l}{\mathrm{~d}}-0.75\right)\right] \mu \mathrm{H}\right. \tag{Eq.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the inductance in $\mu \mathrm{H}$,
$l=$ the length of the wire in cm ,
$\mathrm{d}=$ the diameter of the wire in cm .
This is shown in calculations of Example 1-2.

## EXAMPLE 1-2

Find the inductance of 5 centimeters of No. 22 copper wire.

## Solution

From Table 1-1, the diameter of No. 22 copper wire is 25.3 mils. Since 1 mil equals $2.54 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}$, this equals 0.0643 cm . Substituting into Equation 1-1 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =(0.002)(5)\left[2.3 \log \left(\frac{4(5)}{0.0643}-0.75\right)\right] \\
& =57 \text { nanohenries }
\end{aligned}
$$

The concept of inductance is important because any and all conductors at radio frequencies (including hookup wire, capacitor leads, etc.) tend to exhibit the property of inductance. Inductors will be discussed in greater detail later in this chapter.

## RESISTORS

Resistance is the property of a material that determines the rate at which electrical energy is converted into heat energy for a given electric current. By definition:

> 1 volt across 1 ohm $=1$ coulomb per second $=1$ ampere

The thermal dissipation in this circumstance is 1 watt.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{EI} \\
& =1 \text { volt } \times 1 \text { ampere } \\
& =1 \text { watt }
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1-2. Resistor equivalent circuit.
Resistors are used everywhere in circuits, as transistor bias networks, pads, and signal combiners. However, very rarely is there any thought given to how a resistor actually behaves once we depart from the world of direct current (dc). In some instances, such as in transistor biasing networks, the resistor will still perform its de circuit function, but it may also disrupt the circuit's rf operating point.

## Resistor Equivalent Circuit

The equivalent circuit of a resistor at radio frequencies is shown in Fig. 1-2. R is the resistor value itself, L is the lead inductance, and C is a combination of parasitic capacitances which varies from resistor to resistor depending on the resistor's structure. Carboncomposition resistors are notoriously poor high-frequency performers. A carbon-composition resistor consists of densely packed dielectric particulates or carbon granules. Between each pair of carbon granules is a very small parasitic capacitor. These parasitics, in aggregate, are not insignificant, however, and are the major component of the device's equivalent circuit.
Wirewound resistors have problems at radio frequencies too. As may be expected, these resistors tend to exhibit widely varying impedances over various frequencies. This is particularly true of the low resistance values in the frequency range of 10 MHz to 200 MHz . The inductor L , shown in the equivalent circuit of Fig. 1-2, is much larger for a wirewound resistor than for a carbon-composition resistor. Its value can be calculated using the single-layer air-core inductance approximation formula. This formula is discussed later in this chapter. Because wirewound resistors look like inductors, their impedances will first increase as the frequency increases. At some frequency ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ), however, the inductance ( L ) will resonate with the shunt capaci-


Fig. 1-3. Impedance characteristic of a wirewound resistor.


Fig. 1-4. Frequency characteristics of metal-film vs. carbon-composition resistors. (Adapted from Handbook of Components for Electronics, McGraw-Hill).
tance (C), producing an impedance peak. Any further increase in frequency will cause the resistor's impedance to decrease as shown in Fig. 1-3.

A metal-film resistor seems to exhibit the best characteristics over frequency. Its equivalent circuit is the same as the carbon-composition and wirewound resistor, but the values of the individual parasitic elements in the equivalent circuit decrease.

The impedance of a metal-film resistor tends to decrease with frequency above about 10 MHz , as shown in Fig. 1-4. This is due to the shunt capacitance in the equivalent circuit. At very high frequencies, and with low-value resistors (under 50 ohms ), lead inductance and skin effect may become noticeable. The lead in-
ductance produces a resonance peak, as shown for the 5-ohm resistance in Fig. 1-4, and skin effect decreases the slope of the curve as it falls off with frequency.

Many manufacturers will supply data on resistor behavior at radio frequencies but it can often be misleading. Once you understand the mechanisms involved in resistor behavior, however, it will not matter in what form the data is supplied. Example 1-3 illustrates that fact.

The recent trend in resistor technology has been to eliminate or greatly reduce the stray reactances associated with resistors. This has led to the development of thin-film chip resistors, such as those shown in Fig. 1-6. They are typically produced on alumina or beryllia substrates and offer very little parasitic reactance at frequencies from dc to 2 GHz .


Fig. 1-6. Thin-film chip resistors. (Courtesy Piconics, Inc.)

## EXAMPLE 1-3

In Fig. 1-2, the lead lengths on the metal-film resistor are 1.27 cm ( 0.5 inch), and are made up of No. 14 wire. The total stray shunt capacitance ( C ) is 0.3 pF . If the resistor value is 10,000 ohms, what is its equivalent rf impedance at 200 MHz ?

## Solution

From Table 1-1, the diameter of No. 14 AWG wire is 64.1 mils ( 0.1628 cm ). Therefore, using Equation 1-1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =0.002(1.27)\left[2.3 \log \left(\frac{4(1.27)}{0.1628}-0.75\right)\right] \\
& =8.7 \text { nanohenries }
\end{aligned}
$$

This presents an equivalent reactance at 200 MHz of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\omega \mathrm{L} \\
& =2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{6}\right)\left(8.7 \times 10^{-\theta}\right) \\
& =10.93 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

The capacitor (C) presents an equivalent reactance of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\frac{1}{\omega \overline{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{8}\right)\left(0.3 \times 10^{-12}\right)} \\
& =2653 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

The combined equivalent circuit for this resistor, at 200 MHz , is shown in Fig. 1-5. From this sketch, we can see that, in this case, the lead inductance is insignificant when compared with the 10 K series resistance and it may be


Fig. 1-5. Equivalent circuit values for Example 1-3.
neglected. The parasitic capacitance, on the other hand, cannot be neglected. What we now have, in effect, is a 2563 -ohm reactance in parallel with a 10,000 -ohm resistance. The magnitude of the combined impedance is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z} & =\frac{\mathbf{R X}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{R}^{2}+\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{(10 \mathrm{~K})(2563)}{\sqrt{(10 \mathrm{~K})^{2}+(2563)^{2}}} \\
& =1890.5 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, our 10K resistor looks like 1890 ohms at 200 MHz .

## CAPACITORS

Capacitors are used extensively in rf applications, such as bypassing, interstage coupling, and in resonant circuits and filters. It is important to remember, however, that not all capacitors lend themselves equally well to each of the above mentioned applications. The primary task of the rf circuit designer, with regard to capacitors, is to choose the best capacitor for his particular application. Cost effectiveness is usually a major factor in the selection process and, thus, many trade-offs occur. In this section, we'll take a look at the capacitor's equivalent circuit and we will examine a few of the various types of capacitors used at radio frequencies to see which are best suited for certain applications. But first, a little review.

## Parallel-Plate Capacitor

A capacitor is any device which consists of two conducting surfaces separated by an insulating material or dielectric. The dielectric is usually ceramic, air, paper, mica, plastic, film, glass, or oil. The capacitance of a capacitor is that property which permits the storage of a charge when a potential difference exists between the conductors. Capacitance is measured in units of farads. A 1 -farad capacitor's potential is raised by 1 volt when it receives a charge of 1 coulomb.

$$
C=\frac{Q}{V}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{C}=$ capacitance in farads,
$Q=$ charge in coulombs,
$\mathrm{V}=$ voltage in volts.
However, the farad is much too impractical to work with, so smaller units were devised.

1 microfarad $=1 \mu \mathrm{~F}=1 \times 10^{-6}$ farad
1 picofarad $=1 \mathrm{pF}=1 \times 10^{-12}$ farad
As stated previously, a capacitor in its fundamental form consists of two metal plates separated by a dielectric material of some sort. If we know the area (A) of each metal plate, the distance (d) between the plate (in inches), and the permittivity ( $\epsilon$ ) of the dielectric material in farads/meter ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{m}$ ), the capacitance of a parallel-plate capacitor can be found by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{0.2249 \epsilon \mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{~d} \epsilon_{\mathrm{o}}} \text { picofarads } \tag{Eq.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\epsilon_{o}=\text { free-space permittivity }=8.854 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{m} .
$$

In Equation 1-2, the area (A) must be large with respect to the distance (d). The ratio of $\epsilon$ to $\epsilon_{0}$ is known as the dielectric constant ( $k$ ) of the material. The dielectric constant is a number which provides a comparison of the given dielectric with air (see Fig. 1-7). The ratio of $\epsilon / \epsilon_{0}$ for air is, of course, 1 . If the dielectric constant of a material is greater than 1 , its use in a capacitor as a dielectric will permit a greater amount

| Dielectric | K |
| :--- | :---: |
| Air | 1 |
| Polystrene | 2.5 |
| Paper | 4 |
| Mica | 5 |
| Ceramic (low K) | 10 |
| Ceramic (high K) | $100-10,000$ |

Fig. 1-7. Dielectric constants of some common materials.
of capacitance for the same dielectric thickness as air. Thus, if a material's dielectric constant is 3 , it will produce a capacitor having three times the capacitance of one that has air as its dielectric. For a given value of capacitance, then, higher dielectric-constant materials will produce physically smaller capacitors. But, because the dielectric plays such a major role in determining the capacitance of a capacitor, it follows that the influence of a dielectric on capacitor operation, over frequency and temperature, is often important.

## Real-World Capacitors

The usage of a capacitor is primarily dependent upon the characteristics of its dielectric. The dielectric's characteristics also determine the voltage levels and the temperature extremes at which the device may be used. Thus, any losses or imperfections in the dielectric have an enormous effect on circuit operation.

The equivalent circuit of a capacitor is shown in Fig. 1-8, where $C$ equals the capacitance, $R_{8}$ is the heat-dissipation loss expressed either as a power factor (PF) or as a dissipation factor (DF), $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the insulation resistance, and L is the inductance of the leads and plates. Some definitions are needed now.

Power Factor-In a perfect capacitor, the alternating current will lead the applied voltage by $90^{\circ}$. This phase angle ( $\phi$ ) will be smaller in a real capacitor due to the total series resistance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) that is shown in the equivalent circuit. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{PF}=\operatorname{Cos} \phi
$$

The power factor is a function of temperature, frequency, and the dielectric material.

Insulation Resistance-This is a measure of the amount of dc current that flows through the dielectric of a capacitor with a voltage applied. No material is a perfect insulator; thus, some leakage current must flow. This current path is represented by $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in the equivalent circuit and, typically, it has a value of 100,000 megohms or more.

Effective Series Resistance-Abbreviated ESR, this resistance is the combined equivalent of $R_{s}$ and $R_{p}$, and is the ac resistance of a capacitor.


Fig. 1-8. Capacitor equivalent circuit.

$$
\mathrm{ESR}=\frac{\mathrm{PF}}{\omega \mathrm{C}}\left(1 \times 10^{6}\right)
$$

where,

$$
\omega=2 \pi \mathrm{f}
$$

Dissipation Factor-The DF is the ratio of ac resistance to the reactance of a capacitor and is given by the formula:

$$
\mathrm{DF}=\frac{\mathrm{ESR}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}} \times 100 \%
$$

$Q$-The Q of a circuit is the reciprocal of DF and is defined as the quality factor of a capacitor.

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{DF}}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{ESR}}
$$

Thus, the larger the Q , the better the capacitor.


Fig. 1-9. Impedance characteristic vs. frequency.
The effect of these imperfections in the capacitor can be seen in the graph of Fig. 1-9. Here, the impedance characteristic of an ideal capacitor is plotted against that of a real-world capacitor. As shown, as the frequency of operation increases, the lead inductance becomes important. Finally, at $F_{r}$, the inductance becomes series resonant with the capacitor. Then, above $F_{r}$, the capacitor acts like an inductor. In general, larger-value capacitors tend to exhibit more internal inductance than smaller-value capacitors. Therefore, depending upon its internal structure, a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor may not be as good as a $300-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor in a bypass application at 250 MHz . In other words, the classic formula for capacitive reactance, $\mathrm{X}_{c}=\frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{C}}$, might seem to indicate that larger-value capacitors have less reactance than smaller-value capacitors at a given frequency. At rf frequencies, however, the opposite may be true. At certain higher frequencies, a $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitor might present a higher impedance to the signal than would a $330-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor. This is something that must be considered when designing circuits at frequencies above 100 MHz . Ideally, each component that is to be used in any vhf,


Fig. 1-10. Hewlett-Packard 8505A Network Analyzer.
or higher frequency, design should be examined on a network analyzer similar to the one shown in Fig. 1-10. This will allow the designer to know exactly what he is working with before it goes into the circuit.

## Capacitor Types

There are many different dielectric materials used in the fabrication of capacitors, such as paper, plastic, ceramic, mica, polystyrene, polycarbonate, teflon, oil, glass, and air. Each material has its advantages and disadvantages. The rf designer is left with a myriad of capacitor types that he could use in any particular application and the ultimate decision to use a particular capacitor is often based on convenience rather than good sound judgement. In many applications, this approach simply cannot be tolerated. This is especially true in manufacturing environments where more than just one unit is to be built and where they must operate reliably over varying temperature extremes. It is often said in the engineering world that anyone can design something and make it work once, but it takes a good designer to develop a unit that can be produced in quantity and still operate as it should in different temperature environments.

Ceramic Capacitors-Ceramic dielectric capacitors vary widely in both dielectric constant ( $\mathrm{K}=5$ to 10,000 ) and temperature characteristics. A good rule of thumb to use is: "The higher the K , the worse is its temperature characteristic." This is shown quite clearly in Fig. 1-11.

As illustrated, low-K ceramic capacitors tend to have linear temperature characteristics. These capacitors are generally manufactured using both magnesium titanate, which has a positive temperature coefficient (TC), and calcium titanate which has a negative TC. By combining the two materials in varying proportions, a range of controlled temperature coefficients can be generated. These capacitors are sometimes called temperature compensating capacitors, or NPO (negative positive zero) ceramics. They can have TCs that range anywhere from +150 to $-4700 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (parts-per-


Fig. 1-11. Temperature characteristics for ceramic dielectric capacitors.
million-per-degree-Celsius) with tolerances as small as $\pm 15 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because of their excellent temperature stability, NPO ceramics are well suited for oscillator, resonant circuit, or filter applications.

Moderately stable ceramic capacitors (Fig. 1-11) typically vary $\pm 15 \%$ of their rated capacitance over their temperature range. This variation is typically nonlinear, however, and care should be taken in their use in resonant circuits or filters where stability is important. These ceramics are generally used in switching circuits. Their main advantage is that they are generally smaller than the NPO ceramic capacitors and, of course, cost less.

High-K ceramic capacitors are typically termed general-purpose capacitors. Their temperature characteristics are very poor and their capacitance may vary as much as $80 \%$ over various temperature ranges (Fig. 1-11). They are commonly used only in bypass applications at radio frequencies.

There are ceramic capacitors available on the market which are specifically intended for rf applications. These capacitors are typically high-Q (low ESR) devices with flat ribbon leads or with no leads at all. The lead material is usually solid silver or silver plated and, thus, contains very low resistive losses. At vhf frequencies and above, these capacitors exhibit very low lead inductance due to the flat ribbon leads. These devices are, of course, more expensive and require special printed-circuit board areas for mounting. The capacitors that have no leads are called chip capacitors. These capacitors are typically used above 500 MHz where lead inductance cannot be tolerated. Chip capacitors and flat ribbon capacitors are shown in Fig. 1-12.


Fig. 1-12. Chip and ribbon capacitors.
Mica Capacitors-Mica capacitors typically have a dielectric constant of about 6 , which indicates that for a particular capacitance value, mica capacitors are typically large. Their low K , however, also produces an extremely good temperature characteristic. Thus, mica capacitors are used extensively in resonant circuits and in filters where pc board area is of no concern.

Silvered mica capacitors are even more stable. Ordinary mica capacitors have plates of foil pressed against the mica dielectric. In silvered micas, the silver plates are applied by a process called vacuum evaporation which is a much more exacting process. This produces an even better stability with very tight and reproducible tolerances of typically $+20 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a range $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The problem with micas, however, is that they are becoming increasingly less cost effective than ceramic types. Therefore, if you have an application in which a mica capacitor would seem to work well, chances are you can find a less expensive NPO ceramic capacitor that will work just as well.

Metalized-Film Capacitors-"Metalized-film" is a


Fig. l-13. A simple microwave air-core inductor (Courtesy Piconics, Inc.)
broad category of capacitor encompassing most of the other capacitors listed previously and which we have not yet discussed. This includes teflon, polystyrene, polycarbonate, and paper dielectrics.

Metalized-film capacitors are used in a number of applications, including filtering, bypassing, and coupling. Most of the polycarbonate, polystyrene, and teflon styles are available in very tight ( $\pm 2 \%$ ) capacitance tolerances over their entire temperature range. Polystyrene, however, typically cannot be used over +85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as it is very temperature sensitive above this point. Most of the capacitors in this category are typically larger than the equivalent-value ceramic types and are used in applications where space is not a constraint.

## INDUCTORS

An inductor is nothing more than a wire wound or coiled in such a manner as to increase the magnetic flux linkage between the turns of the coil (see Fig. 1-13). This increased flux linkage increases the wire's self-inductance (or just plain inductance) beyond that which it would otherwise have been. Inductors are used extensively in rf design in resonant circuits, filters, phase shift and delay networks, and as rf chokes used to prevent, or at least reduce, the flow of rf energy along a certain path.

## Real-World Inductors

As we have discovered in previous sections of this chapter, there is no "perfect" component, and inductors are certainly no exception. As a matter of fact, of the components we have discussed, the inductor is probably the component most prone to very drastic changes over frequency.

Fig. 1-14 shows what an inductor really looks like


Fig. 1-14. Distributed capacitance and series resistance in an inductor.
at rf frequencies. As previously discussed, whenever we bring two conductors into close proximity but separated by a dielectric, and place a voltage differential between the two, we form a capacitor. Thus, if any wire resistance at all exists, a voltage drop (even though very minute) will occur between the windings, and small capacitors will be formed. This effect is shown in Fig. 1-14 and is called distributed capacitance ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ). Then, in Fig. 1-15, the capacitance ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) is an aggregate of the individual parasitic distributed capacitances of the coil shown in Fig. 1-14.


Fig. 1-15. Inductor equivalent circuit.


Fig. 1-16. Impedance characteristic vs. frequency for a practical and an ideal inductor.

The effect of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ upon the reactance of an inductor is shown in Fig. 1-16. Initially, at lower frequencies, the inductor's reactance parallels that of an ideal inductor. Soon, however, its reactance departs from the ideal curve and increases at a much faster rate until it reaches a peak at the inductor's parallel resonant frequency ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). Above $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$, the inductor's reactance begins to decrease with frequency and, thus, the inductor begins to look like a capacitor. Theoretically, the resonance peak would occur at infinite reactance (see Example 1-4). However, due to the series resistance of the coil, some finite impedance is seen at resonance.

Recent advances in inductor technology have led to the development of microminiature fixed-chip inductors. One type is shown in Fig. 1-17. These inductors feature a ceramic substrate with gold-plated solderable wrap-around bottom connections. They come in values from $0.01 \mu \mathrm{H}$ to 1.0 mH , with typical Qs that range from 40 to 60 at 200 MHz .

It was mentioned earlier that the series resistance of a coil is the mechanism that keeps the impedance of the coil finite at resonance. Another effect it has is


Fig. 1-17. Microminiature chip inductor. (Courtesy Piconics, Inc.)

## EXAMPLE 1-4

To show that the impedance of a lossless inductor at resonance is infinite, we can write the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{C}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{C}}} \tag{Eq.l-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{Z}=$ the impedance of the parallel circuit,
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ the inductive reactance ( $\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{L}$ ) ,
$X_{c}=$ the capacitive reactance $\left(\frac{1}{j \omega C}\right)$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{j \omega L\left(\frac{1}{j \omega C}\right)}{j \omega L+\frac{1}{j \omega C}} \tag{Eq.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying numerator and denominator by $\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{C}$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\frac{j \omega L}{(j \omega L)(j \omega C)+1} \\
& =\frac{j \omega L}{j^{2} \omega^{2} L C+1} \tag{Eq.1-5}
\end{align*}
$$

From algebra, $j^{2}=-1$; then, rearranging:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{j \omega L}{1-\omega^{2} L C} \tag{Eq.1-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the term $\omega^{2} \mathrm{LC}$, in Equation 1-6, should ever become equal to 1 , then the denominator will be equal to zero and impedance $Z$ will become infinite. The frequency at which $\omega^{2} \mathrm{LC}$ becomes equal to 1 is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{2} \mathrm{LC} & =1 \\
\mathrm{LC} & =\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \\
\sqrt{\mathrm{LC}} & =\frac{1}{\omega} \\
2 \pi \sqrt{\mathrm{LC}} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{f}} \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{\mathrm{LC}}} & =\mathrm{f} \tag{Eq.1-7}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the familiar equation for the resonant frequency of a tuned circuit.
to broaden the resonance peak of the impedance curve of the coil. This characteristic of resonant circuits is an important one and will be discussed in detail in Chapter 3.

The ratio of an inductor's reactance to its series resistance is often used as a measure of the quality of the inductor. The larger the ratio, the better is the inductor. This quality factor is referred to as the $Q$ of the inductor.

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}}
$$

If the inductor were wound with a perfect conductor, its $Q$ would be infinite and we would have a lossless inductor. Of course, there is no perfect conductor and, thus, an inductor always has some finite Q .

At low frequencies, the $Q$ of an inductor is very good because the only resistance in the windings is the dc resistance of the wire-which is very small. But as the frequency increases, skin effect and winding capacitance begin to degrade the quality of the inductor. This is shown in the graph of Fig. 1-18. At low frequencies, $Q$ will increase directly with frequency because its reactance is increasing and skin effect has not yet become noticeable. Soon, however, skin effect does become a factor. The $Q$ still rises, but at a lesser rate, and we get a gradually decreasing slope in the curve. The flat portion of the curve in Fig. 1-18 occurs as the series resistance and the reactance are changing at the same rate. Above this point, the shunt capacitance and skin effect of the windings combine to decrease the Q of the inductor to zero at its resonant frequency.

Some methods of increasing the $Q$ of an inductor and extending its useful frequency range are:

1. Use a larger diameter wire. This decreases the ac and dc resistance of the windings.
2. Spread the windings apart. Air has a lower dielectric constant than most insulators. Thus, an air gap between the windings decreases the interwinding capacitance.
3. Increase the permeability of the flux linkage path. This is most often done by winding the inductor around a magnetic-core material, such as iron or


Fig. 1-18. The Q variation of an inductor vs. frequency.
ferrite. A coil made in this manner will also consist of fewer turns for a given inductance. This will be discussed in a later section of this chapter.

## Single-Layer Air-Core Inductor Design

Every rf circuit designer needs to know how to design inductors. It may be tedious at times, but it's well worth the effort. The formula that is generally used to design single-layer air-core inductors is given in Equation 1-8 and diagrammed in Fig. 1-19.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{0.394 \mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}}{9 \mathrm{r}+10 l} \tag{Eq.1-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{r}=$ the coil radius in cm ,
$l=$ the coil length in cm ,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the inductance in microhenries.
However, coil length $l$ must be greater than 0.67 r . This formula is accurate to within one percent. See Example 1-5.
Keep in mind that even though optimum $Q$ is attained when the length of the coil $(l)$ is equal to its diameter (2r), this is sometimes not practical and, in many cases, the length is much greater than the di-


Fig. 1-19. Single-layer air-core inductor requirements.

## EXAMPLE 1-5

Design a $100 \mathrm{nH}(0.1 \mu \mathrm{H})$ air-core inductor on a $1 / 4$ inch ( 0.635 cm ) coil form.

## Solution

For optimum $Q$, the length of the coil should be equal tos its diameter. Thus, $l=0.635 \mathrm{~cm}, r=0.317 \mathrm{~cm}$, and L. $=0.1 \mu \mathrm{H}$.

Using Equation 1-8 and solving for N' gives:

$$
\mathrm{N}=\sqrt{\frac{29 \mathrm{~L}}{0.394 \mathrm{r}}}
$$

where we have taken $l=2 \mathrm{r}$, for optimum Q .
Substituting and solving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N} & =\sqrt{\frac{29(0.1)}{(0.3 \overline{94)(0.317)}}} \\
& =4.8 \mathrm{turns}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we need 4.8 turns of wire within a length of 0.635 cin. A look at Table 1-1 reveals that the largest diameter enamel-coated wire that will allow 4.8 turns in a length of 0.635 cm is No. 18 AWG wire which has a diameter of 42.4 mils ( 0.107 cm ).

Table 1-l. AWG Wire Chart

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Wire } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (AWG) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dia } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Mils } \\ (\text { Bare }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dia } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Mils } \\ \text { (Coated) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O h m s / \\ & 1000 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ | Area Circular Mils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 289.3 |  | 0.124 | 83690 |
| 2 | 257.6 |  | 0.156 | 66360 |
| 3 | 229.4 |  | 0.197 | 52620 |
| 4 | 204.3 |  | 0.249 | 41740 |
| 5 | 181.9 |  | 0.313 | 33090 |
| 6 | 162.0 |  | 0.395 | 26240 |
| 7 | 144.3 |  | 0.498 | 20820 |
| 8 | 128.5 | 131.6 | 0.628 | 16510 |
| 9 | 114.4 | 116.3 | 0.793 | 13090 |
| 10 | 101.9 | 104.2 | 0.999 | 10380 |
| 11 | 90.7 | 93.5 | 1.26 | 8230 |
| 12 | 80.8 | 83.3 | 1.59 | 6530 |
| 13 | 72.0 | 74.1 | 2.00 | 5180 |
| 14 | 64.1 | 66.7 | 2.52 | 4110 |
| 15 | 57.1 | 59.5 | 3.18 | 3260 |
| 16 | 50.8 | 52.9 | 4.02 | 2580 |
| 17 | 45.3 | 47.2 | 5.05 | 2050 |
| 18 | 40.3 | 42.4 | 6.39 | 1620 |
| 19 | 35.9 | 37.9 | 8.05 | 1290 |
| 20 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 10.1 | 1020 |
| 21 | 28.5 | 30.2 | 12.8 | 812 |
| 22 | 25.3 | 27.0 | 16.2 | 640 |
| 23 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 20.3 | 511 |
| 24 | 20.1 | 21.6 | 25.7 | 404 |
| 25 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 32.4 | 320 |
| 26 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 41.0 | 253 |
| 27 | 14.2 | 15.4 | 51.4 | 202 |
| 28 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 65.3 | 159 |
| 29 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 81.2 | 123 |
| 30 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 104.0 | 100 |
| 31 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 131 | 79.2 |
| 32 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 162 | 64.0 |
| 33 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 206 | 50.4 |
| 34 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 261 | 39.7 |
| 35 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 331 | 31.4 |
| 36 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 415 | 25.0 |
| 37 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 512 | 20.2 |
| 38 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 648 | 16.0 |
| 39 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 847 | 12.2 |
| 40 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 1080 | 9.61 |
| 41 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 1320 | 7.84 |
| 42 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1660 | 6.25 |
| 43 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2140 | 4.84 |
| 44 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2590 | 4.00 |
| 45 | 1.76 | 1.9 | 3350 | 3.10 |
| 46 | 1.57 | 1.7 | 4210 | 2.46 |
| 47 | 1.40 | 1.6 | 5290 | 1.96 |
| 48 | 1.24 | 1.4 | 6750 | 1.54 |
| 49 | 1.11 | 1.3 | 8420 | 1.23 |
| 50 | . 99 | 1.1 | 10600 | c. 98 |

ameter. In Example 1-5, we calculated the need for 4.8 turns of wire in a length of 0.635 cm and decided that No. 18 AWG wire would fit. The only problem with this approach is that when the design is finished, we end up with a very tightly wound coil. This increases the distributed capacitance between the turns and, thus, lowers the useful frequency range of the inductor by lowering its resonant frequency. We could take either one of the following compromise solutions to this dilemma:

1. Use the next smallest AWG wire size to wind the inductor while keeping the length ( $l$ ) the same. This approach will allow a small air gap between windings and, thus, decrease the interwinding capacitance. It also, however, increases the resistance of the windings by decreasing the diameter of the conductor and, thus, it lowers the Q .
2. Extend the length of the inductor (while retaining the use of No. 18 AWG wire) just enough to leave a small air gap between the windings. This method will produce the same effect as Method No. 1. It reduces the Q somewhat but it decreases the interwinding capacitance considerably.

## Magnetic-Core Materials

In many rf applications, where large values of inductance are needed in small areas, air-core inductors cannot be used because of their size. One method of decreasing the size of a coil while maintaining a given inductance is to decrease the number of turns while at the same time increasing its magnetic flux density. The flux density can be increased by decreasing the "reluctance" or magnetic resistance path that links the windings of the inductor. We do this by adding a magnetic-core material, such as iron or ferrite, to the inductor. The permeability ( $\mu$ ) of this material is much greater than that of air and, thus, the magnetic flux isn't as "reluctant" to flow between the windings. The net result of adding a high permeability core to an inductor is the gaining of the capability to wind a given inductance with fewer turns than what would be required for an air-core inductor. Thus, several advantages can be realized.

1. Smaller size-due to the fewer number of turns needed for a given inductance.
2. Increased Q-fewer turns means less wire resistance.
3. Variability-obtained by moving the magnetic core in and out of the windings.
There are some major problems that are introduced by the use of magnetic cores, however, and care must be taken to ensure that the core that is chosen is the right one for the job. Some of the problems are:
4. Each core tends to introduce its own losses. Thus, adding a magnetic core to an air-core inductor could possibly decrease the Q of the inductor, depending on the material used and the frequency of operation.
5. The permeability of all magnetic cores changes with frequency and usually decreases to a very small value at the upper end of their operating range. It eventually approaches the permeability of air and becomes "invisible" to the circuit.
6. The higher the permeability of the core, the more sensitive it is to temperature variation. Thus, over wide temperature ranges, the inductance of the coil may vary appreciably.
7. The permeability of the magnetic core changes with applied signal level. If too large an excitation is applied, saturation of the core will result.

These problems can be overcome if care is taken, in the design process, to choose cores wisely. Manufacturers now supply excellent literature on available sizes and types of cores, complete with their important characteristics.

## TOROIDS

A toroid, very simply, is a ring or doughnut-shaped magnetic material that is widely used to wind rf inductors and transformers. Toroids are usually made of iron or ferrite. They come in various shapes and sizes (Fig. 1-20) with widely varying characteristics. When used as cores for inductors, they can typically yield very high Qs. They are self-shielding, compact, and best of all, easy to use.
The Q of a toroidal inductor is typically high because the toroid can be made with an extremely high permeability. As was discussed in an earlier section, high permeability cores allow the designer to construct an inductor with a given inductance (for example, $35 \mu \mathrm{H}$ ) with fewer turns than is possible with an air-core design. Fig. 1-21 indicates the potential savings obtained in number of turns of wire when coil design is changed from air-core to toroidal-core inductors. The air-core inductor, if wound for optimum


Fig. 1-20. Toroidal cores come in various shapes and sizes.


Fig. 1-21. Turns comparison between inductors for the same inductance.

Q, would take 90 turns of a very small wire (in order to fit all turns within a $1 / 4$-inch length) to reach 35 $\mu \mathrm{H}$; however, the toroidal inductor would only need 8 turns to reach the design goal. Obviously, this is an extreme case but it serves a useful purpose and illustrates the point. The toroidal core does require fewer turns for a given inductance than does an air-core design. Thus, there is less ac resistance and the Q can be increased dramatically.

(A) Typical inductor.


Magnetic Flux
(B) Toroidal inductor.

Fig. 1-22. Shielding effect of a toroidal inductor.
The self-shielding properties of a toroid become evident when Fig. 1-22 is examined. In a typical aircore inductor, the magnetic-flux lines linking the turns of the inductor take the shape shown in Fig. 1-22A. The sketch clearly indicates that the air surrounding the inductor is definitely part of the magnetic-flux path. Thus, this inductor tends to radiate the rf signals flowing within. A toroid, on the other hand (Fig. 1-22B), completely contains the magnetic flux within the material itself; thus, no radiation occurs. In actual practice, of course, some radiation will occur but it is minimized. This characteristic of toroids eliminates the need for bulky shields surrounding the inductor. The shields not only tend to reduce available space, but they also reduce the Q of the inductor that they are shielding.

## Core Characteristics

Earlier, we discussed, in general terms, the relative advantages and disadvantages of using magnetic cores. The following discussion of typical toroidal-core characteristics will aid you in specifying the core that you need for your particular application.
Fig. 1-23 is a typical magnetization curve for a magnetic core. The curve simply indicates the mag-netic-flux density (B) that occurs in the inductor with a specific magnetic-field intensity ( H ) applied. As the magnetic-field intensity is increased from zero (by in-


Fig. 1-23. Magnetization curve for a typical core.
creasing the applied signal voltage), the magneticflux density that links the turns of the inductor increases quite linearly. The ratio of the magnetic-flux density to the magnetic-field intensity is called the permeability of the material. This has already been mentioned on numerous occasions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{H} \text { (Webers/ampere-turn) } \tag{Eq.1-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the permeability of a material is simply a measure of how well it transforms an electrical excitation into a magnetic flux. The better it is at this transformation, the higher is its permeability.

As mentioned previously, initially the magnetization curve is linear. It is during this linear portion of the curve that permeability is usually specified and, thus, it is sometimes called initial permeability $\left(\mu_{1}\right)$ in various core literature. As the electrical excitation increases, however, a point is reached at which the magnetic-flux intensity does not continue to increase at the same rate as the excitation and the slope of the curve begins to decrease. Any further increase in excitation may cause saturation to occur. $\mathrm{H}_{\text {sat }}$ is the excitation point above which no further increase in magnetic-flux density occurs ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{sat}}$ ). The incremental permeability above this point is the same as air. Typically, in rf circuit applications, we keep the excitation small enough to maintain linear operation.
$\mathrm{B}_{\text {вat }}$ varies substantially from core to core, depending upon the size and shape of the material. Thus, it is necessary to read and understand the manufacturer's literature that describes the particular core you are using. Once $\mathrm{B}_{\text {gat }}$ is known for the core, it is a very simple matter to determine whether or not its use in a particular circuit application will cause it to saturate. The in-circuit operational flux density ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{op}}$ ) of the core is given by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{op}}=\frac{\mathrm{E} \times 10^{8}}{(4.44) \mathrm{NA}_{\mathrm{e}}} \tag{Eq,1-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{op}}=$ the magnetic-flux density in gauss,
$\mathrm{E}=$ the maximum rms voltage across the inductor in volts,
$\mathrm{f}=$ the frequency in hertz,
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of turns,
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ the effective cross-sectional area of the core in $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$.
Thus, if the calculated $B_{\text {op }}$ for a particular application is less than the published specification for $B_{s a t}$, then the core will not saturate and its operation will be somewhat linear.
Another characteristic of magnetic cores that is very important to understand is that of internal loss. It has previously been mentioned that the careless addition of a magnetic core to an air-core inductor could possibly reduce the Q of the inductor. This concept might seem contrary to what we have studied so far, so let's examine it a bit more closely.

The equivalent circuit of an air-core inductor (Fig. 1-15) is reproduced in Fig. 1-24A for your convenience. The Q of this inductor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}} \tag{Eq.1-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}=\omega \mathrm{L}, \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { the resistance of the windings. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If we add a magnetic core to the inductor, the equivalent circuit becomes like that shown in Fig. 1-24B. We have added resistance $R_{p}$ to represent the losses which take place in the core itself. These losses are in the form of hysteresis. Hysteresis is the power lost in the core due to the realignment of the magnetic particles within the material with changes in excitation, and the eddy currents that flow in the core due to the voltages induced within. These two types of internal loss, which are inherent to some degree in every magnetic core and are thus unavoidable, combine to reduce the efficiency of the inductor and, thus, increase its loss. But what about the new Q for the magnetic-core inductor? This question isn't as easily answered. Remember, when a magnetic core is inserted into an existing inductor, the value of the inductance is increased. Therefore, at any given frequency, its reactance increases proportionally. The question that must be answered then, in order to de-


Fig. 1-24. Equivalent circuits for air-core and magnetic-core inductors.
termine the new $Q$ of the inductor, is: By what factors did the inductance and loss increase? Obviously, if by adding a toroidal core, the inductance were increased by a factor of two and its total loss was also increased by a factor of two, the $Q$ would remain unchanged. If, however, the total coil loss were increased to four times its previous value while only doubling the inductance, the Q of the inductor would be reduced by a factor of two.

Now, as if all of this isn't confusing enough, we must also keep in mind that the additional loss introduced by the core is not constant, but varies (usually increases) with frequency. Therefore, the designer must have a complete set of manufacturer's data sheets for every core he is working with.

Toroid manufacturers typically publish data sheets which contain all the information needed to design inductors and transformers with a particular core. (Some typical specification and data sheets are given in Figs. 1-25 and 1-26.) In most cases, however, each manufacturer presents the information in a unique manner and care must be taken in order to extract the information that is needed without error, and in a form that can be used in the ensuing design process. This is not always as simple as it sounds. Later in this chapter, we will use the data presented in Figs. 1-25 and 1-26 to design a couple of toroidal inductors so that we may see some of those differences. Table 1-2 lists some of the commonly used terms along with their symbols and units.

## Powdered Iron Vs. Ferrite

In general, there are no hard and fast rules governing the use of ferrite cores versus powdered-iron cores in rf circuit-design applications. In many instances, given the same permeability and type, either core could be used without much change in performance of the actual circuit. There are, however, special applications in which one core might out-perform another, and it is those applications which we will address here.

Powdered-iron cores, for instance, can typically handle more rf power without saturation or damage than the same size ferrite core. For example, ferrite, if driven with a large amount of rf power, tends to retain its magnetism permanently. This ruins the core by changing its permeability permanently. Powdered iron, on the other hand, if overdriven will eventually return to its initial permeability ( $\mu_{1}$ ). Thus, in any application where high rf power levels are involved, iron cores might seem to be the best choice.
In general, powdered-iron cores tend to yield higherQ inductors, at higher frequencies, than an equivalent size ferrite core. This is due to the inherent core characteristics of powdered iron which produce much less internal loss than ferrite cores. This characteristic of powdered iron makes it very useful in narrow-band or tuned-circuit applications. Table 1-3 lists a few of the common powdered-iron core materials along with their typical applications.


## 

- Values measured at $100 \mathrm{KHz}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Temperature Coefficient (TC) $=0$ to $+0.75 \%{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ max. 40 to $+70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Disaccommodation (D) $=3.0 \%$ max., $10.100 \mathrm{~min} ., 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Hysteresis Core Constant ( $\eta_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) measured at 20 KHz to 30 gauss ( 3 milli Tesia).
- For mm dimensions and core constants, see page 30.

MECHANICAL SPECIFICATIONS


ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATIONS

|  | PART NUMBER |  |  |  | TOL | UNITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBR.7401 | E8R. 7402 | Sen. 7403 | 08R-7404 |  |  |
| $A_{L}$ | 510 | 365 | 485 | 440 | 1 20\% | $n \mathrm{H} /$ turn $^{2}$ |
| $X_{p} / N^{2}$ | 0.320 | 0.229 | 0.310 | 0.276 | 20\% | ohm/turn ${ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}} / \mathbf{N}^{2}$ | 10.4 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 8.9 | min. | ohm/turn ${ }^{2}$ |
| 0 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | min. |  |
| $V_{\text {mis }}$ | 7.9 | 7.1 | 13.6 | 5.1 | max. | mv |
| $\eta_{i}$ | 1,480 | 1,400 | 0,920 | 2,150 | max. | $V S A^{-2} H^{-3 / 2}$ |

TYPICAL CHARACTERISTIC CURVES - Part Numbers 7401, 7402, 7403 and 7404


Fig. I-25. Data sheet for ferrite toroidal cores. (Courtesy Indiana General)


Shunt Reactance and Resistance per Turn Squared versus Frequency (sine wave)


BBR-7402


88R-7403


BBR-7404


Fig. 1-25-cont. Data sheet for ferrite toroidal cores. (Courtesy Indiana General)

IRON-POWDER TOROIDAL CORES

| Core size | PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outer Diam. (in) | Inner Diam. (in) | Height <br> (in) | Cross Sect. Area (cm) ${ }^{2}$ | Mean Length (cm) |
| T-225A - - | 2.250 | 1.400 | 1.000 | 2.742 | 14.56 |
| T-225- - | 2.250 | 1.400 | . 550 | 1.508 | 14.56 |
| T-200 - - | 2.000 | 1.250 | . 550 | 1.330 | 12.97 |
| T-184 - - | 1.840 | . 960 | . 710 | 2.040 | 11.12 |
| T-157 - - | 1.570 | . 950 | . 570 | 1.140 | 10.05 |
| T-130-- | 1.300 | . 780 | . 437 | . 930 | 8.29 |
| T-106 - - | 1.060 | . 560 | . 437 | . 706 | 6.47 |
| T-94-- | . 942 | . 560 | . 312 | . 385 | 6.00 |
| T- 80-- | . 795 | . 495 | . 250 | . 242 | 5.15 |
| T-68-- | . 690 | . 370 | . 190 | . 196 | 4.24 |
| T- 50-- | . 500 | . 303 | . 190 | . 121 | 3.20 |
| T- 44-- | . 440 | . 229 | . 159 | . 107 | 2.67 |
| T- 37-- | . 375 | . 204 | . 128 | . 070 | 2.32 |
| T- $30-$ | . 307 | . 150 | . 128 | . 065 | 1.83 |
| T- 25 -- | . 255 | . 120 | . 096 | . 042 | 1.50 |
| T- 20-- | . 200 | . 088 | . 070 | . 034 | 1.15 |
| T- 16-- | . 160 | . 078 | . 060 | . 016 | 0.75 |
| T- 12-- | . 120 | . 062 | . 050 | . 010 | 0.74 |

IRON - POWDER MATERIAL vs. FREQUENCY RANGE
Higher $Q$ will be obtained in the upper portion of a materials frequency range when smaller cores are used. Likewise, in the lower portion of a materials frequency range, higher $Q$ can be achieved when using the larger cores.

MATERIAL


## IRON-POWDER TOROIDAL CORES

FOR RESONANT CIRCUITS




Key to part numbers for :
IRON POWDER TOROIDAL CORES


Number of turns $=100$
$A_{L}$ values $\pm 5 \%$


## IRON-POWDER TOROIDAL CORES

FOR RESONANT CIRCUITS

| MATERIAL \# | permeability 6 |  | 10 MHz to 100 MHz | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core number | Outer diam. (in.) | Inner diam. (in.) | Height (in.) | $A_{L}$ value uh / 100 t |
| T-94-10 | . 942 | . 560 | . 312 | 58 |
| T-80-10 | . 795 | . 495 | . 250 | 32 |
| T-68-10 | . 690 | . 370 | . 190 | 32 |
| T-50-10 | . 500 | . 303 | . 190 | 31 |
| T-44-10 | . 440 | . 229 | . 159 | 33 |
| T-37-10 | . 375 | . 205 | . 128 | 25 |
| T-30-10 | . 307 | . 151 | . 128 | 25 |
| T-25-10 | . 255 | . 120 | . 096 | 19 |
| T-20-10 | . 200 | . 088 | . 067 | 16 |
| T-16-10 | . 160 | . 078 | . 060 | 13 |
| T-12-10 | . 125 | . 062 | . 050 | 12 |


| Core Size | NUMBER OF TURNS vs. WIRE SIZE and CORE SIZE <br> Approximate number of furns of wire - single layer wound - single insulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 32 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { wire } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { size } \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| T-12 | 47 | 37 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | J |
| T-16 | 63 | 49 | 38 | 29 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | a) |
| T-20 | 72 | 56 | 43 | 33 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | :) |
| T-25 | 101 | 79 | 62 | 48 | 37 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T-30 | 129 | 101 | 79 | 62 | 48 | 37 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | ! | 1 |
| T-37 | 177 | 140 | 110 | 87 | 67 | 53 | 41 | 31 | 23 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 3 | \% |
| T-44 | 199 | 157 | 124 | 97 | 76 | 60 | 46 | 35 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| T-50 | 265 | 210 | 166 | 131 | 103 | 81 | 63 | 49 | 37 | 28 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| T-68 | 325 | 257 | 205 | 162 | 127 | 101 | 79 | 61 | 47 | 36 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| T-80 | 438 | 347 | 276 | 219 | 172 | 137 | 108 | 84 | 66 | 51 | 39 | 30 | 23 | 17 | 12 | 8 |
| T-94 | 496 | 393 | 313 | 248 | 195 | 156 | 123 | 96 | 75 | 58 | 45 | 35 | 27 | 20 | 14 | 10 |
| T-106 | 496 | 393 | 313 | 248 | 195 | 156 | 123 | 96 | 75 | 58 | 45 | 35 | 27 | 20 | 14 | i0 |
| T-130 | 693 | 550 | 439 | 348 | 275 | 220 | 173 | 137 | 107 | 83 | 66 | 51 | 40 | 30 | 23 | 17 |
| T-157 | 846 | 672 | 536 | 426 | 336 | 270 | 213 | 168 | 132 | 104 | 82 | 64 | 50 | 38 | 29 | 22 |
| T-184 | 846 | 672 | 536 | 426 | 336 | 270 | 213 | 168 | 132 | 104 | 82 | 64 | 50 | 38 | 29 | 22 |
| T-200 | 1115 | 888 | 707 | 562 | 445 | 357 | 282 | 223 | 176 | 139 | 109 | 86 | 68 | 53 | 41 | 31 |
| T-225 | 12.50 | 993 | 793 | 631 | 499 | 400 | 317 | 250 | 198 | 156 | 123 | 98 | 77 | 60 | 46 | 36 |



Fig. 1-26-cont. Data sheet for powdered-iron toroidal cores. (Courtesy Amidon Associates)

## IRON-POWDER TOROIDAL CORES

## TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT CURVES



Table 1-2. Toroidal Core Symbols and Definitions

| Symbol | Description | Units |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Available cross-sectional area. <br> The area (perpendicular to the <br> direction of the wire) for wind- <br> ing turns on a particular core. | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | Effective area of core. The <br> cross-sectional area that an <br> equivalent gapless core would <br> have. | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | Inductive index. This relates the <br> inductance to the number of <br> turns for a particular core. | $\mathrm{nH} / \mathrm{turn}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{ant}}$ | Saturation flux density of the <br> core. | gauss |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {op }}$ | Operating flux density of the <br> core. This is with an applied <br> voltage. | gauss |
| $l_{e}$ | Effective length of the flux path. | cm |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{I}}$ | Initial permeability. This is the <br> effective permeability of the core <br> at low excitation in the linear <br> region. | numeric |

Table 1-3. Powdered-Iron Materials

| Material | Application/Classification |
| :---: | :--- |
| Carbonyl C | A medium-Q powdered-iron material at <br> lu0 kHz. A high-cost material for am <br> tuning applications and low-frequency if <br> transformers. |
| Carbonyl E | The most widely used of all powdered- <br> iron materials. Offers high-Q and me- <br> dium permeability in the I MHz to 30 <br> MHz frequenc range. A medium-cost <br> material for use in if transformers, an- <br> tenna coils, and general-purpose designs. |
| Carbonyl J | A high-Q powdered-iron material at 40 <br> to 100 MHz, with a medium permeabil- <br> ity. A high-cost material for fm and tv <br> applications. |
| Carbonyl SF | Similar to carbonyl E, but with a better <br> Q up through 50 MHz. Costs more than <br> carbonyl E. |
| Carbonyl TH | A powdered-iron material with a higher <br> Q than carbonyl E up to 30 MHz, but <br> less than carbonyl SF. Higher cost than <br> carbonyl E. |
| Carbonyl W | The highest cost powdered-iron mate- <br> rial. Offers a high Q to 100 MHz, with <br> medium permeability. |
| Carbonyl HP | Excellent stability and a good Q for <br> lower frequency operation-to 50 kHz. <br> A powdered-iron material. |
| Carbonyl GS6 | For commercial broadcast frequencies. <br> Offers good stability and a high Q. |
| IRN-8 | A synthetic oxide hydrogen-reduced <br> material with a good Q from 50 to 150 <br> MHz. Medium priced for use in fm and <br> tv applications. |

At very low frequencies, or in broad-band circuits which span the spectrum from vlf up through vhf, ferrite seems to be the general choice. This is true because, for a given core size, ferrite cores have a much higher permeability. The higher permeability is needed at the low end of the frequency range where, for a given inductance, fewer windings would be needed with the ferrite core. This brings up another point. Since ferrite cores, in general, have a higher permeability than the same size powdered-iron core, a coil of a given inductance can usually be wound on a much smaller ferrite core and with fewer turns. Thus, we can save circuit board area.

## TOROIDAL INDUCTOR DESIGN

For a toroidal inductor operating on the linear (nonsaturating) portion of its magnetization curve, its inductance is given by the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{0.4 \pi \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mu_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{e}} \times 10^{-2}}{l_{\mathrm{e}}} \tag{Eq.1-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the inductance in microhenries,
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of turns,
$\mu_{1}=$ initial permeability,
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the cross-sectional area of the core in $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$,
$l_{\mathrm{e}}=$ the effective length of the core in cm .
In order to make calculations easier, most manufacturers have combined $\mu_{i}, A_{c}, l_{\mathrm{e}}$, and other constants for a given core into a single quantity called the inductance index, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$. The inductance index relates the inductance to the number of turns for a particular core. This simplification reduces Equation 1-12 to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{L}} \text { nanohenries } \tag{Eq.1-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the inductance in nanohenries,
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of turns,
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}=$ the inductance index in nanohenries/turn ${ }^{2}$
Thus, the number of turns to be wound on a given core for a specific inductance is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{L}}}} \tag{Eq.1-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is shown in Example 1-6.
The $Q$ of the inductor cannot be calculated with the information given in Fig. 1-25. If we look at the $X_{p} / N^{2}, R_{p} / N^{2}$ vs. Frequency curves given for the BBR-7403, however, we can make a calculated guess. At low frequencies ( 100 kHz ), the Q of the coil would be approximately 54 , where,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Q} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{N}^{2}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{N}^{2}}  \tag{Eq.1-16}\\
& =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}
\end{align*}
$$

As the frequency increases, resistance $R_{p}$ decreases

## EXAMPLE 1-6

Using the data given in Fig. 1-25, design a toroidal inductor with an inductance of $50 \mu \mathbf{H}$. What is the largest AWC wire that we could possibly use while still maintaining a single-laver winding? What is the inductor's $Q$ at 100 MHz ?

## Solution

There are numerous possibilities in this particular design since no constraints were placed on us. Fig. 1-25 is a data sheet for the Indiana General 7400 Series of ferrite toroidal cores. This type of core would normally be used in broadband or low-Q transformer applications rather than in narrow-band tuned circuits. This exercise will reveal why.

The mechanical specifications for this series of cores indicate a fairly typical size for toroids used in small-signal If circuit design. The largest core for this series is just under a quarter of an inch in diameter. Since no size constraints were placed on us in the problem statement, we will use the BBR-7403 which has an outside diameter of 0.0230 inch. This will allow us to use a larger diameter wire to wind the inductor.

The published value for $A_{L}$ for the given core is 495 $\mathrm{nH} /$ turn $^{2}$. Vising Equation 1-14, the number of turns required for this core is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =\sqrt{\frac{50,000 \mathrm{nH}}{495 \mathrm{nH} / \mathrm{turn}^{2}}} \\
& =10 \text { turns }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the inductance of $50 \mu \mathrm{H}$ was replaced with its equivalent of $50,000 \mathrm{nH}$. The next step is to determine the largest diameter wire that can be used to wind the transformer while still maintaining a single-layer winding. In some cases, the data supplied by the manufacturer will include this type of winding information. Thus, in those cases, the designer need only look in a table to determine the maximum wire size that can be used. In our case, this information was not given, so a simple calculation must be made. Fig. 1-27 illustrates the geometry of the problem. It is obvious from the diagram that the inner radius ( $\mathrm{r}_{2}$ ) of


Wire Radius $R=d 2$
Fig. 1-27. Toroid coil winding geometry.
the toroid is the limiting factor in determining the maximum number of turns for a given wire diameter. The exact maximum diameter wire for a given number of turns can be found by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{r}_{1}}{\mathrm{~N}+\pi} \tag{Eq.1-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{d}=$ the diameter of the wire in inches.
$r_{1}=$ the inner radius of the core in inches,
$N=$ the number of turns.
For this example, we obtain the value of $r$ from Fig. 1-25 ( $\mathrm{d}_{2}=0.120$ inch $)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} & =\frac{2 \pi \frac{0.120}{2}}{10+\pi} \\
& =28.69 \times 10-3 \text { inches } \\
& =28.69 \mathrm{mils}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a practical nule of thumb, however, taking into account the insulation thickness variation among manufacturers, it is best to ald a "fudge factor" and take $90 \%$ of the calculated value, or 25.82 mils. Thus the largest diameter wire used would be the next size below 25.82 mils, which is AWG No. 22 wire.

## EXAMPLE 1-7

Using the information provided in the data sheet of Fig. 1-26, design a high-Q $(Q>80), 300 \mathrm{nH}$, toroidal inductor for use at $100 \mathrm{MH} \%$ Due to pc board space available, the toroid may not be any larger than 0.3 inch in diameter.

## Solution

Fig. 1-26 is an excerpt from an Amidon Associates ironpowder toroidal-core data sheet. The recommended operating frequencies for various materials are shown in the Iron-Powder Material vs. Frequency Range graph. Either material No. 12 or material No. 10 seems to be well suited for operation at 100 MHz . Elsewhere on the data sheet, material No. 12 is listed as IRN-8. (IRN-8 is described in Table 1-3.) Material No. 10 is not described, so choose material No. 12.

Then, under a heading of Iron-Powder Toroidal Cores, the data sheet lists the physical dimensions of the toroids along with the value of $A_{\text {I }}$ for each. Note, however, that this particular company chooses to specify $A_{L}$ in $\mu \mathrm{H} / 100$ turns rather than $\mu \mathrm{H} / 100$ turns $^{2}$. The conversion factor between their value of $A_{L}$ and $A_{L}$ in $n H /$ turn $^{2}$ is to divide their value of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ by 10 . Thus, the T-80-12 core with an $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of $22 \mu \mathrm{H} / 100$ turns is equal to $2.2 \mathrm{nH} / \mathrm{turn}^{2}$.

Next, the data sheet lists a set of Q-curves for the cores listed in the preceding charts. Note that all of the curves shown indicate Qs that are greater than 80 at 100 MHz .

Choose the largest core available that will fit in the allotted pc board area. The core you should have chosen is the number T-25-12, with an outer diameter of 0.255 inch.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{L} & =12 \mu \mathrm{H} / 100 \mathrm{t} \\
& =1.2 \mathrm{nH} / \text { turn }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using Equation 1-14, the number of turns required is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{300}{1.2}} \\
& =15.81 \\
& =16 \mathrm{turns}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the chart of Number of Turns vs. Wire Size and Core Size on the data sheet clearly indicates that, for a T-25 size core, the largest size wire we can use to wind this particular toroid is No. 28 AWG wire.
while reactance $X_{p}$ increases. At about $3 \mathrm{MHz}, X_{p}$ equals $R_{D}$ and the $Q$ becomes unity. The $Q$ then falls below unity until about 100 MHz where resistance $R_{p}$ begins to increase dramatically and causes the Q to again pass through unity. Thus, due to losses in the core itself, the $Q$ of the coil at 100 MHz is probably very close to 1 . Since the $Q$ is so low, this coil would not be a very good choice for use in a narrow-band tuned circuit. See Example 1-7.

## PRACTICAL WINDING HINTS

Fig. 1-28 depicts the correct method for winding a toroid. Using the technique of Fig. 1-28A, the interwinding capacitance is minimized, a good portion of the available winding area is utilized, and the resonant frequency of the inductor is increased, thus extending the useful frequency range of the device. Note that by using the methods shown in Figs. 1-28B and 1-28C, both lead capacitance and interwinding capacitance will affect the toroid.

(A) Correct.

(B) Incorrect.

(C) Incorrect.

Fig. 1-28. Practical winding hints.

## RESONANT CIRCUITS



In this chapter, we will explore the parallel resonant circuit and its characteristics at radio frequencies. We will examine the concept of loaded- $Q$ and how it relates to source and load impedances. We will also see the effects of component losses and how they affect circuit operation. Finally, we will investigate some methods of coupling resonant circuits to increase their selectivity.

## SOME DEFINITIONS

The resonant circuit is certainly nothing new in rf circuitry. It is used in practically every transmitter, receiver, or piece of test equipment in existence, to selectively pass a certain frequency or group of frequencies from a source to a load while attenuating all other frequencies outside of this passband. The perfect resonant-circuit passband would appear as shown in Fig. 2-1. Here we have a perfect rectangular-shaped passband with infinite attenuation above and below the frequency band of interest, while allowing the desired signal to pass undisturbed. The realization of this filter is, of course, impossible due to the physical characteristics of the components that make up a filter. As we learned in Chapter 1, there is no perfect component and, thus, there can be no perfect filter. If we understand the mechanics of resonant circuits, however, we can certainly tailor an imperfect circuit to suit our needs just perfectly.

Fig. 2-2 is a diagram of what a practical filter re-


Fig. 2-1. The perfect filter response.
sponse might resemble. Appropriate definitions are presented below:

1. Bandwidth-The bandwidth of any resonant circuit is most commonly defined as being the difference between the upper and lower frequency ( $f_{2}-f_{1}$ ) of the circuit at which its amplitude response is 3 dB below the passband response. It is often called the half-power bandwidth.
2. $Q$-The ratio of the center frequency of the resonant circuit to its bandwidth is defined as the circuit Q .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{f}_{2}-\mathrm{f}_{1}} \tag{Eq.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $Q$ should not be confused with component $Q$ which was defined in Chapter 1. Component $Q$ does have an effect on circuit $Q$, but the reverse is not true. Circuit $Q$ is a measure of the selectivity of a resonant circuit. The higher its $Q$, the narrower its bandwidth, the higher is the selectivity of a resonant circuit.
3. Shape Factor-The shape factor of a resonant circuit is typically defined as being the ratio of the $60-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth to the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of the resonant circuit. Thus, if the $60-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth ( $f_{4}-f_{3}$ ) were 3 MHz and the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth ( $f_{2}-f_{1}$ ) were 1.5 MHz , then the shape factor would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SF} & =\frac{3 \mathrm{MHz}}{1.5 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =2
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 2-2. A practical filter response.


Fig. 2-3. An impossible shape factor.
Shape factor is simply a degree of measure of the steepness of the skirts. The smaller the number, the steeper are the response skirts. Notice that our perfect filter in Fig. 2-1 has a shape factor of 1, which is the ultimate. The passband for a filter with a shape factor smaller than 1 would have to look similar to the one shown in Fig. 2-3. Obviously, this is a physical impossibility.
4. Ultimate Attenuation-Ultimate attenuation, as the name implies, is the final minimum attenuation that the resonant circuit presents outside of the specified passband. A perfect resonant circuit would provide infinite attenuation outside of its passband. However, due to component imperfections, infinite attenuation is infinitely impossible to get. Keep in mind also, that if the circuit presents response peaks outside of the passband, as shown in Fig. 2-2, then this, of course, detracts from the ultimate attenuation specification of that resonant circuit.
5. Insertion Loss-Whenever a component or group of components is inserted between a generator and its load, some of the signal from the generator is absorbed in those components due to their inherent resistive losses. Thus, not as much of the transmitted signal is transferred to the load as when the load is connected directly to the generator. (I am assuming here that no impedance matching function is being performed.) The attenuation that results is called insertion loss and it is a very important characteristic of resonant circuits. It is usually expressed in decibels (dB).
6. Ripple-Ripple is a measure of the flatness of the passband of a resonant circuit and it is also expressed in decibels. Physically, it is measured in the response characteristics as the difference between the maximum attenuation in the passband and the minimum attenuation in the passband. In Chapter 3 , we will actually design filters for a specific passband ripple.

## RESONANCE (LOSSLESS COMPONENTS)

In Chapter 1, the concept of resonance was briefly mentioned when we studied the parasitics associated with individual component elements. We will now examine the subject of resonance in detail. We will
determine what causes resonance to occur and how we can use it to our best advantage.

The voltage division rule (illustrated in Fig. 2-4) states that whenever a shunt element of impedance $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is placed across the output of a generator with an internal resistance $R_{s}$, the maximum output voltage available from this circuit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tn}}\right) \tag{Eq.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ will always be less than $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}$. If $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a fre-quency-dependent impedance, such as a capacitive or inductive reactance, then $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ will also be frequency dependent and the ratio of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{1 \mathrm{n}}$, which is the gain (or, in this case, loss) of the circuit, will also be frequency dependent. Let's take, for example, a $25-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor as the shunt element (Fig. 2-5A) and plot the function of $V_{o n t} / V_{i n}$ in $d B$ versus frequency, where we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {tn }}}=20 \log _{10} \frac{X_{C}}{\mathbf{R}_{6}+X_{C}} \tag{Eq.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {Iu }}}=$ the loss in dB ,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the source resistance,
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ the reactance of the capacitor.


Fig. 2-4. Voltage division rule.

(A) Simple circuit.

(B) Response curve.

Fig. 2-5. Frequency response of a simple
RC low-pass filter.
and, where,

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{C}} .
$$

The plot of this equation is shown in the graph of Fig. 2-5B. Notice that the loss of this circuit increases as the frequency increases; thus, we have formed a simple low-pass filter. Notice, also, that the attenuation slope eventually settles down to the rate of 6 dB for every octave (doubling) increase in frequency. This is due to the single reactive element in the circuit. As we will see later, this attenuation slope will increase an additional 6 dB for each significant reactive element that we insert into the circuit.

If we now delete the capacitor from the circuit and insert a $0.05-\mu \mathrm{H}$ inductor in its place, we obtain the circuit of Fig. 2-6A and the plot of Fig. 2-6B, where we are plotting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {owt }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}}=20 \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{Eq.2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {in }}}=$ the loss in dB ,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the source resistance,
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}}=$ the reactance of the coil.
and, where,

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{~L}
$$

Here, we have formed a simple high-pass filter with a final attenuation slope of 6 dB per octave.

Thus, through simple calculations involving the basic voltage division formula (Equation 2-2), we were able to plot the frequency response of two separate and opposite reactive components. But what happens if we place both the inductor and capacitor

(A) Simple circuit.

(B) Response curee.

Fig. 2-6. Simple high-pass filter.


Fig. 2-7. Resonant circuit with two reactive components.


Fig, 2-8. Frequency response of an LC resonant circuit.
across the generator simultaneously? Actually, this case is no more difficult to analyze than the previous two circuits. In fact, at any frequency, we can simply apply the basic voltage division rule as before. The only difference here is that we now have two reactive components to deal with instead of one and these components are in parallel (Fig. 2-7). If we make the calculation for all frequencies of interest, we will obtain the plot shown in Fig. 2-8. The mathematics behind this calculation are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\text {total }}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}+X_{\text {total }}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tn}}\right) \tag{Eq.2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}
$$

and, where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{C}=\frac{1}{j \omega C}, \\
& X_{L}=j \omega L .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\text {total }} & =\frac{\frac{1}{j \omega C}(j \omega L)}{\frac{1}{j \omega C}+j \omega L} \\
& =\frac{\frac{L}{C}}{\frac{1}{j \omega C}+j \omega L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply the numerator and the denominator by $\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{C}$. (Remember that $\mathrm{j}^{2}=-1$.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\text {total }} & =\frac{\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{~L}}{1+(\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{~L})(\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{C})} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{~L}}{1-\omega^{2} \mathrm{LC}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, substituting and transposing in Equation 2-5, we have:

$$
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {In }}}=\frac{\frac{j \omega L}{1-\omega^{2} L C}}{R_{s}+\frac{j \omega L}{1-\omega^{2} L C}}
$$

Multiplying the numerator and the denominator through by $1-\omega^{2}$ LC yields:

$$
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {tn }}}=\frac{j \omega L}{\left(R_{s}-\omega^{2} R_{s} L C\right)+j \omega L}
$$

Thus, the loss at any frequency may be calculated from the above equation or, if needed, in dB .

$$
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {in }}}=20 \log _{10}\left|\frac{j \omega L}{R_{s}-\omega^{2} R_{8} L C+j \omega L}\right|
$$

where | $\mid$ represents the magnitude of the quantity within the brackets.

Notice, in Fig. 2-8, that as we near the resonant frequency of the tuned circuit, the slope of the resonance curve increases to 12 dB /octave. This is due to the fact that we now have two significant reactances present and each one is changing at the rate of 6 dB / octave and sloping in opposite directions. As we move away from resonance in either direction, however, the curve again settles to a $6-\mathrm{dB}$ /octave slope because, again, only one reactance becomes significant. The other reactance presents a very high impedance to the circuit at these frequencies and the circuit behaves as if the reactance were no longer there.

## LOADED Q

The $Q$ of a resonant circuit was defined earlier to be equal to the ratio of the center frequency of the circuit to its $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth (Equation 2-1). This "circuit $Q$," as it was called, is often given the label loaded $Q$ because it describes the passband characteristics of the resonant circuit under actual in-circuit or loaded conditions. The loaded $Q$ of a resonant circuit is dependent upon three main factors. (These are illustrated in Fig. 2-9.)

1. The source resistance ( $R_{s}$ ).
2. The load resistance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ).
3. The component Q as defined in Chapter 1.

## Effect of $\mathbf{R}_{s}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ on the Loaded $\mathbf{Q}$

Let's discuss briefly the role that source and load impedances play in determining the loaded $Q$ of a resonant circuit. This role is probably best illustrated


Fig. 2-9. Circuit for loaded-Q calculations.
through an example. In Fig. 2-8, we plotted a resonance curve for a circuit consisting of a 50 -ohm source, a $0.05-\mu \mathrm{H}$ lossless inductor, and a $25-\mathrm{pF}$ lossless capacitor. The loaded Q of this circuit, as defined by Equation 2-1 and determined from the graph, is approximately 1.1. Obviously, this is not a very narrow-band or high-Q design. But now, let's replace the 50 -ohm source with a 1000 -ohm source and again plot our results using the equation derived in Fig. 2-7 (Equation 2-5). This new plot is shown in Fig. 2-10. (The resonance curve for the 50 -ohm source circuit is shown with dashed lines for comparison purposes.) Notice that the Q , or selectivity of the resonant circuit, has been increased dramatically to about 22 . Thus, by raising the source impedance, we have increased the Q of our resonant circuit.

Neither of these plots addresses the effect of a load impedance on the resonance curve. If an external load of some sort were attached to the resonant circuit, as shown in Fig. 2-11A, the effect would be to broaden or "de-Q" the response curve to a degree that depends on the value of the load resistance. The equivalent circuit, for resonance calculations, is shown in Fig. $2-11 \mathrm{~B}$. The resonant circuit sees an equivalent resistance of $\mathrm{R}_{s}$ in parallel with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ as its true load. This total external resistance is, by definition, smaller in value than either $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and the loaded Q must decrease. If we put this observation in equation form, it becomes (assuming lossless components):


Fig. 2-10. The effect of $R_{s}$ and $R_{L}$ on loaded $Q$.

(A) Resonant circuit with an external load.

(B) Equivalent circuit for $Q$ calculations.

Fig 2-11. The equivalent parallel impedance across a resonant circuit.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{R_{p}}{X_{p}} \tag{Eq.2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$R_{\mathrm{p}}=$ the equivalent parallel resistance of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$,
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ either the inductive or capacitive reactance.
(They are equal at resonance.)
Equation 2-6 illustrates that a decrease in $R_{\mathrm{p}}$ will decrease the $Q$ of the resonant circuit and an increase in $R_{r}$ will increase the circuit $Q$, and it also illustrates another very important point. The same effect can be obtained by keeping $R_{1}$ constant and varying $X_{n}$. Thus, for a given source and load impedance, the optimum Q of a resonant circuit is obtained when the inductor is a small value and the capacitor is a large value. Therefore, in either case, $\mathrm{X}_{11}$ is decreased. This effect is shown using the circuits in Fig. 2-12 and the characteristics curves in Fig. 2-13.

The circuit designer, therefore, has two approaches he can follow in designing a resonant circuit with a particular Q (Example 2-1).

1. He can select an optimum value of source and load impedance.
2. He can select component values of L and C which optimize Q.
Often there is no real choice in the matter because, in many instances, the source and load are defined and we have no control over them. When this occurs, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}$


Fig. 2-12. Effect of $Q$ vs. $X_{p}$ at 142.35 MHz .


Fig. 2-13. Plot of loaded-Q curves for ciraits :n Fig. 2-12.
is automatically defined for a given $Q$ and we usually end up with component values that are impractical at best. Later in this chapter, we will study some methods of eliminating this problem.

## EXAMPLE 2-1

Design a resonant circuit to operate between a source resistance of 150 ohms and a Joad resistance of 1000 ohms. The loaded Q must be equal to 20 at the resomant frequency of 50 MHz . Assume lossless components and no impedance matching.

## Solution

The effective parallel resistance across the resonant circuit is 150 ohms in parallel with 1000 ohms. or

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=130 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

Thus, using Equation 2-6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{r} & =\frac{R_{v}}{Q} \\
& =\frac{130}{20} \\
& =6.5 \text { ohm }
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
X_{\mathrm{n}}=\omega \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{C}}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{L}=20.7 \mathrm{nH}$. and $\mathrm{C}=489.7 \mathrm{pl}{ }^{\circ}$.

## The Effect of Component Q on Loaded Q

Thus far in this chapter, we have assumed that the components used in the resonant circuits are lossless and, thus, produce no degradation in loaded Q. In reality, however, such is not the case and the individual component Q's must be taken into account. In a lossless resonant circuit, the impedance seen across the circuit's terminals at resonance is infinite. In a practical circuit, however, due to component losses, there exists some finite equivalent parallel resistance. This is illustrated in Fig. 2-14. The resistance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) and its associated shunt reactance ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) can be found from the following transformation equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r}=\left(Q^{2}+1\right) R_{r} \tag{Eq.2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R}_{11}=$ the equivalent parallel resistance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the series resistance of the component.


Fig. 2-14. A series-to-parallel transformation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} \text { which equals } \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { which equals the } \mathrm{Q} \text { of the } \\
& \text { component. }
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{Eq.2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $Q$ of the component is greater than 10 , then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} \approx \mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{Eq.2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \approx \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{Eq.2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

These transformations are valid at only one frequency because they involve the component reactance which is frequency dependent (Example 2-2).

Example 2-2 vividly illustrates the potential drastic effects that can occur if poor-quality (low Q) components are used in highly selective resonant circuit designs. The net result of this action is that we effectively place a low-value shunt resistor directly across the circuit. As was shown earlier, any low-value resistance that shunts a resonant circuit drastically reduces its loaded Q and, thus, increases its bandwidth.
In most cases, we only need to involve the $Q$ of the inductor in loaded- Q calculations. The Q of most capacitors is quite high over their useful frequency range, and the equivalent shunt resistance they present to the circuit is also quite high and can usually be neglected. Care must be taken, however, to ensure that this is indeed the case.

## INSERTION LOSS

Insertion loss (defined earlier in this chapter) is another direct effect of component $Q$. If inductors and capacitors were perfect and contained no internal resistive losses, then insertion loss for LC resonant circuits and filters would not exist. This is, of course, not the case and, as it turns out, insertion loss is a very critical parameter in the specification of any resonant circuit.

Fig. 2-16 illustrates the effect of inserting a resonant circuit between a source and its load. In Fig. 2-16A, the source is connected directly to the load. Using the voltage division rule, we find that:

$$
V_{1}=0.5 V_{i n}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2-2

Given a 50 -nanohenry coil as shown in Fig. 2-15A, compute its $Q$ at 100 MHz . Then, transform the series circuit of Fig. 2-15A into the equivalent parallel inductance and resistance circuit of Fig. 2-15B.

(A) Series circuit.

(B) Equivalent parallel circuit.

Fig. 2-15. Example of a series-to-parallel transformation.

## Solution

The Q of this coil at 100 MHz is, from Chapter 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\frac{X_{a}}{R_{s}} \\
& =\frac{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)\left(50 \times 10^{-8}\right)}{10} \\
& =3.14
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since the $Q$ is less than 10 , use Equation 2-7 to find $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} & =\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& =\left[(3.14)^{2}+1\right] 10 \\
& =108.7 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we find $X_{p}$ using Equation 2-8:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
& =\frac{108.7}{3.14} \\
& =34.62
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the parallel inductance becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathrm{P}} & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\omega} \\
& =\frac{34.62}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =55.1 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

These values are shown in the equivalent circuit of Fig. 2-15B.

Fig. 2-16B shows that a resonant circuit has been placed between the source and the load. Then, Fig. 2-16C illustrates the equivalent circuit at resonance. Notice that the use of an inductor with a Q of 10 at the resonant frequency creates an effective shunt resistance of 4500 ohms at resonance. This resistance, combined with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L},}$, produces an $0.9-\mathrm{dB}$ voltage loss at $V_{1}$ when compared to the equivalent point in the circuit of Fig. 2-16A.

An insertion loss of 0.9 dB doesn't sound like much, but it can add up very quickly if we cascade several

(A) Source connected directly to the load.

(B) Insertion of a resonant circuit.

(C) Equivalent circuit at resonance.

Fig. 2-16. The effect of component $Q$ on insertion loss.
resonant circuits. We will see some very good examples of this later in Chapter 3. For now, examine the problem given in Example 2-3.

## IMPEDANCE TRANSFORMATION

As we have seen in earlier sections of this chapter, low values of source and load impedance tend to load a given resonant circuit down and, thus, tend to decrease its loaded $Q$ and increase its bandwidth. This makes it very difficult to design a simple LC high-Q resonant circuit for use between two very low values of source and load resistance. In fact, even if we were able to come up with a design on paper, it most likely would be impossible to build due to the extremely small (or negative) inductor values that would be required.

One method of getting around this potential design problem is to make use of one of the impedance transforming circuits shown in Fig. 2-18. These handy circuits fool the resonant circuit into seeing a source or load resistance that is much larger than what is actually present. For example, an impedance transformer could present an impedance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\prime}$ ) of 500 ohms to the resonant circuit, when in reality there is an impedance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) of 50 ohms. Consequently, by utilizing these transformers, both the $Q$ of the resonant tank and its selectivity can be increased. In many cases, these methods can make a previously unworkable problem workable again, complete with realistic values for the coils and capacitors involved.

The design equations for each of the transformers
are presented in the following equations and are useful for designs that need loaded Q's that are greater than 10 (Example 2-4). For the tapped-C transformer (Fig. 2-18A), we use the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{Eq.2-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalent capacitance $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ that will resonate with the inductor is equal to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ in series with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}} \tag{Eq.2-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the tapped-L network of Fig. 2-18B, we use the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\right)^{2} \tag{Eq.2-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an exercise, you might want to rework Example $2-4$ without the aid of an impedance transformer. You will find that the inductor value which results is much more difficult to obtain and control physically because it is so small.

## COUPLING OF RESONANT CIRCUITS

In many applications where steep passband skirts and small shape factors are needed, a single resonant circuit might not be sufficient. In situations such as this, individual resonant circuits are often coupled together to produce more attenuation at certain frequencies than would normally be available with a single resonator. The coupling mechanism that is used is generally chosen specifically for each application as each type of coupling has its own peculiar characteristics that must be dealt with. The most common forms of coupling are: capacitive, inductive, transformer (mutual), and active (transistor).

## Capacitive Coupling

Capacitive coupling is probably the most frequently used method of linking two or more resonant circuits. This is true mainly due to the simplicity of the arrangement but another reason is that it is relatively inexpensive. Fig. 2-19 indicates the circuit arrangement for a two-resonator capacitively coupled filter.

The value of the capacitor that is used to couple each resonator cannot be just chosen at random, as Fig. 2-20 indicates. If capacitor $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ of Fig. 2-19 is too large, too much coupling occurs and the frequency response broadens drastically with two response peaks in the filter's passband. If capacitor $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ is too small, not enough signal energy is passed from one resonant circuit to the other and the insertion loss can increase to an unacceptable level. The compromise solution to these two extremes is the point of critical coupling, where we obtain a reasonable bandwidth and the lowest possible insertion loss and, consequently, a maximum transfer of signal power. There are instances in

## EXAMPLE 2-3

Design a simple parallel resonant circuit to provide a $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of 10 MHz at a center frequency of 100 MHz . The source and load impedances are each 1000 ohms . Assume the capacitor to be lossless. The $Q$ of the inductor (that is available to us) is 85 . What is the insertion loss of the network?

## Solution

From Equation 2-1, the required loaded Q of the resonant circuit is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} & =\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{f}_{2}-\mathrm{f}_{1}} \\
& =\frac{100 \mathrm{MHz}}{10 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =10
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the inductor and capacitor values needed to complete the design, it is necessary that we know the equivalent shunt resistance and reactance of the components at resonance. Thus, from Equation 2-8:

$$
X_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{X}_{9}=$ the reactance of the inductor and capacitor at resonance,
$R_{\mathrm{p}}=$ the equivalent shunt resistance of the inductor,
$Q_{p}=$ the $Q$ of the inductor.
Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=(85) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{Eq.2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The loaded Q of the resonant circuit is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\frac{R_{\text {total }}}{X_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
10 & =\frac{R_{\text {total }}}{X_{\mathrm{p}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,
$\mathbf{R}_{\text {©o, }}=$ the shunt resistance, which equals $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\left\|\mathbf{R}_{n}\right\| \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$. Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
10=\frac{\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}(500)}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}+500}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{Eq.2-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which overcoupling or undercoupling might serve a useful purpose in a design, such as in tailoring a specific frequency response that a critically coupled filter


Fig. 2-18. Two methods used to perform an impedance transformation.
(A) Tapped-C circuit.


(B) Tapped-L circuit.

We now have two equations and two unknowns ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ). If we substitute Equation 2-11 into Equation 2-12 and solve for $X_{r}$, we get:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}=44.1 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

Plugging this value back into Equation 2-11 gives:

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=3.75 \mathrm{~K}
$$

Thus, our component values must be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\omega}=70 \mathrm{nH} \\
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}=36 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 2-17. Resonant circuit design for Example 2-3.
The final circuit is shown in Fig. 2-17.
The insertion-loss calculation, at center frequency, is now very straightforward and can be found by applying the voltage division rule as follows. Resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in parallel with resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is equal to 789.5 ohms. The voltage at $V_{L}$ is, therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\frac{789.5}{789.5+1000}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \\
& =.44 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The voltage at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$, without the resonant circuit in place, is equal to $0.5 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ due to the 1000 -ohm load. Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Insertion Loss } & =20 \log _{10} \frac{0.44 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}}{0.5 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}} \\
& =1.1 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

cannot provide. But these applications are generally left to the multiple resonator filter. The multiple resonator filter is covered in Chapter 3. In this section, we will only concern ourselves with critical coupling as it pertains to resonant circuit design.

The loaded $Q$ of a critically coupled two-resonator circuit is approximately equal to 0.707 times the loaded $Q$ of one of its resonators. Therefore, the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of a two-resonator circuit is actually wider than that of one of its resonators. This might seem contrary to what we have studied so far, but remember, the


Fig. 2-19. Capacitive coupling.

## EXAMPLE 2-4

Design a resonant circuit with a loaded $Q$ of 20 at a center frequency of 100 MHz that will operate between a source resistance of 50 ohms and a load resistance of 2000 ohms. Use the tapped-C approach and assume that inductor $Q$ is 100 at 100 MHz .

## Solution

We will use the tapped-C transformer to step the source resistance up to 2000 ohms to match the load resistance for optimum power transfer. (Impedance matching will be covered in detail in Chapter 4.) Thus,

$$
\mathrm{R}_{s^{\prime}}=2000 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

and from Equation 2-13, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{s}}}-1 \\
& =5.3
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{C}_{2} \tag{Eq.2-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding as we did in Example 2-3, we know that for the inductor:

$$
Q_{u}=\frac{R_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{D}}}=100
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=100 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{Eq.2-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know that the loaded Q of the resonant circuit is equal to:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathbf{R}_{+n+1}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}
$$



Frequency
Fig. 2-20. The effects of various values of capacitive coupling on passband response.
main purpose of the two-resonator passively coupled filter is not to provide a narrower $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth, but to increase the steepness of the stopband skirts and, thus, to reach an ultimate attenuation much faster than a single resonator could. This characteristic is shown in Fig. 2-21. Notice that the shape factor has decreased for the two-resonator design. Perhaps one way to get an intuitive feel for how this occurs is to consider that each resonator is itself a load for the other resonator, and each decreases the loaded Q of the other. But as we move away from the passband and into the stopband. the response tends to fall much
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\text {toota }} & =\text { the total equivalent shunt resistance }, \\
& =\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\left\|\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}\right\| \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \\
& =1000 \| \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, where we have taken $R_{0}$ ' and $R_{L}$ to each be 2000 ohms, in parallel. Hence, the loaded $Q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1000 R_{\mathrm{p}}}{\left(1000+R_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{Eq.2-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equation 2-17 (and the value of the desired loaded Q) into Equation 2-18, and solving for $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$, yields:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}=40 \text { ohms }
$$

And, substituting this result back into Equation 2-17 gives

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=4000 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\omega} \\
& =63.6 \mathrm{nH} \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \omega} \\
& =39.78 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now know what the total capacitance must be to resonate with the inductor. We also know from Equation 2-16 that $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is 5.3 times larger than $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Thus, if we substitute Equation 2-16 into Equation 2-14, and solve the equations simultaneously, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{2}=47.3 \mathrm{pF} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=250.6 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final circuit is shown in Fig. 2-18D.


Fig. 2-21. Selectivity of single- and two-resonator designs.
more quickly due to the combined response of each resonator.

The value of the capacitor used to couple two identical resonant circuits is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{12}=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{Q}} \tag{Eq.2-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{C}_{12}=$ the coupling capacitance.
$\mathrm{C}=$ the resonant circuit capacitance,
$Q=$ the loaded $Q$ of a single resonator.


Fig. 2-22. Equivalent circuit of capacitively coupled resonant circuits.

One other important characteristic of a capacitively coupled resonant circuit can be seen if we take another look at Fig. 2-20. Notice that even for the critically coupled case, the response curve is not symmetric around the center frequency but is skewed somewhat. The lower frequency portion of the response plummets down at the rate of 18 dB per octave while the upper slope decreases at only 6 dB per octave. This can be explained if we take a look at the equivalent circuit both above and below resonance. Below resonance, we have the circuit of Fig. 2-22A. The reactance of the two resonant-circuit capacitors (Fig. 2-19) has increased, and the reactance of the two inductors has decreased to the point that only the inductor is seen as a shunt element and the capacitors can be ignored. This leaves three reactive components and each contributes 6 dB per octave to the response.

On the high side of resonance, the equivalent circuit approaches the configuration of Fig. 2-22B. Here the inductive reactance has increased above the capacitive reactance to the point where the inductive reactance can be ignored as a shunt element. We now have an arrangement of three capacitors that effectively looks like a single shunt capacitor and yields a slope of 6 dB per octave.

## Inductive Coupling

Two types of inductively coupled resonant circuits are shown in Fig. 2-23. One type (Fig. 2-23A) uses


Fig. 2-23. Inductive coupling.

(A) Inductive coupling.

(B) Transformer coupling.

Fig. 2-24. The effects of various values of inductive coupling on passband response.
a series inductor or coil to transfer energy from the first resonator to the next, and the other type (Fig. 2-23B) uses transformer coupling for the same purpose. In either case, the frequency response curves will resemble those of Fig. 2-24 depending on the amount of coupling. If we compare Fig. 2-24A with Fig. 2-20, we see that the two are actually mirror images of each other. The response of the inductively coupled resonator is skewed toward the higher end of the frequency spectrum, while the capacitively coupled response is skewed toward the low frequency side. An examination of the equivalent circuit reveals why. Fig. 2-25A indicates that below resonance, the capaci-


Fig. 2-25. Equivalent circuit of inductively coupled resonant circuits.
tors drop out of the equivalent circuit very quickly because their reactance becomes much greater than the shunt inductive reactance. This leaves an arrangement of three inductors which can be thought of as a single tapped inductor and which produces a $6-\mathrm{dB}$ per octave rolloff. Above resonance, the shunt inductors can be ignored for the same reasons, and you have the circuit of Fig. 2-25B. We now have three effective elements in the equivalent circuit with each contributing 6 dB per octave to the response for a combined slope of 18 dB per octave.

The mirror-image characteristic of inductively and capacitively coupled resonant circuits is a very useful concept. This is especially true in applications that require symmetrical response curves. For example, suppose that a capacitively coupled design exhibited tor) much skew for your application. One very simple way to correct the problem would be to add a "top-L" coupled section to the existing network. The top-L coupling would attempt to skew the response in the opposite direction and would, therefore, tend to counteract any skew caused by the capacitive coupling. The net result is a more symmetric response shape.

The value of the inductor used to couple two identical resonant circuits can be found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{12}=\mathrm{QL} \tag{Eq.2-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}=$ the inductance of the coupling inductor,
$Q=$ the loaded $Q$ of a single resonator,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the resonant circuit inductance.
A little manipulation of Equations 2-19 and 2-20 will reveal a very interesting point. The reactance of $\mathrm{C}_{1:}$ calculated with Equation 2-19 will equal the reactance of $\mathrm{L}_{12}$ calculated with Equation 2-20 for the same operating $Q$ and resonant frequency. The designer now has the option of changing any "top-C" coupled resonator to a top-L design simply by replacing the coupling capacitor with an inductor of equal reactance at the resonant frequency. When this is done, the degree of coupling, Q , and resonant frequency of the design will remain unchanged while the slope of the stopband skirts will flip-flop from one side to the other. For obvious reasons, top-L coupled designs work best in applications where the primary objective is a certain ultimate attenuation that must be met above the passband. Likewise, top-C designs are best for meeting ultimate attenuation specifications below the passband.

Transformer coupling does not lend itself well to an exact design procedure because there are so many factors which influence the degree of coupling. The geometry of the coils, the spacing between them, the core materials used, and the shielding, all have a pronounced effect on the degree of coupling attained in any design.

Probably the best way to design your own transformer is to use the old trial-and-error method. But do
it in an orderly fashion and be consistent. It's a very sad day when one forgets how he got from point A to point $B$, especially if point $B$ is an improvement in the design. Remember:

1. Decreasing the spacing between the primary and secondary increases the coupling.
2. Increasing the permeability of the magnetic path increases the coupling.
3. Shielding a transformer decreases its loaded $Q$ and has the effect of increasing the coupling.
Begin the design by setting the loaded $Q$ of each resonator to about twice what will be needed in the actual design. Then, slowly decrease the spacing between the primary and secondary until the response broadens to the loaded $Q$ that is actually needed. If that response can't be met, try changing the geometry of the windings or the permeability of the magnetic path. Then, vary the spacing again. Use this as an iterative process to zero-in on the response that is needed. Granted, this is not an exact process, but it works and, if documented, can be reproduced.

There are literally thousands of commercially available transformers on the market that just might suit your needs perfectly. So before the trial-and-error method is put into practice, try a little research-it just might save a lot of time and money.

## Active Coupling

It is possible to achieve very narrow $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidths in cascaded resonant circuits through the use of active coupling. Active coupling, for this purpose, is defined as being either a transistor or vacuum tube which, at least theoretically, allows signal flow in only one direction (Fig. 2-26). If each of the tuned circuits is the same and if each has the same loaded $Q$, the total loaded Q of the cascaded circuit is approximately equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t \text { tutal }}=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2^{1 / n}-1}} \tag{Eq.2-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$Q_{\text {total }}=$ the total $Q$ of the cascaded circuit,
$Q=$ the $Q$ of each individual resonant circuit.
$\mathrm{n}=$ the number of resonant circuits.
The first step in any design procedure must be to


Fig. 2-26. Active coupling.
relate the required $Q_{\text {total }}$ of the network back to the individual loaded $Q$ of each resonator. This is done by rearranging Equation 2-21 to solve for Q. As an example, with $n=4$ resonators, and given that $Q_{\text {total }}$ of the cascaded circuit must be 50, Equation 2-21 tells us that the Q of the individual resonator need only be about 22-a fairly simple and realizable design task.

Active coupling is obviously more expensive than passive coupling due to the added cost of each active device. But, in some applications, there is no real
trade-off involved because passive coupling just might not yield the required loaded Q. Example 2-5 illustrates some of the factors you must deal with.

This chapter was meant to provide an insight into how resonant circuits actually perform their function as well as to provide you with the capability for designing one to operate at a certain value of loaded $Q$. In Chapter 3, we will carry our study one step further to include low-pass, high-pass, and bandpass filters of various shapes and sizes.

## EXAMPLE 2-5

Design a top-L coupled two-resonator tuned circuit to meet the following requirements:

1. Center frequency $=75 \mathrm{MHz}$
2. $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth $=3.75 \mathrm{MHz}$
3. Source resistance $=100 \mathrm{ohms}$
4. Load resistance $=1000 \mathrm{ohms}$

Assume that inductors are available that have an unloaded Q of 85 at the frequency of interest. Finally, use a tapped-C transformer to present an effective source resistance ( $\mathrm{Ra}^{\prime}$ ) of 1000 ohms to the filter.

## Solution

The solution to this design problem is not a very difficult one, but it does involve quite a few separate and distinct calculations which might tend to make you lose sight of our goal. For this reason, we will walk through the solution in a very orderly fashion with a complete explanation of each calculation.


Fig. 2-27. Circuit for Example 2-5.
The circuit we are designing is shown in Fig. 2-27. Let's begin with a few definitions.
$Q_{\text {total }}=$ the loaded $Q$ of the entire circuit
$Q_{p}=$ the $Q$ of the inductor
$Q_{R}=$ the loaded $Q$ of each resonator

From our discussion on coupling and its effects on bandwidth, we know that

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathfrak{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}_{10 t a 1}}{0.707}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\text {total }} & =\frac{f_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~B}} \\
& =\frac{75 \mathrm{MHz}}{3.75 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =20
\end{aligned}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}_{R} & =\frac{20}{0.707} \\
& =28.3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, to provide a total loaded $Q$ of 20 , it is necessary that the loaded $Q$ of each resonator be 28.3. For the inductor,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
& =85
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=85 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{Eq.2-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The loaded $Q$ of each resonant circuit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{tota1}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{Eq.2-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}_{\text {totat }}=\text { the total equivalent shunt resistance for each } \\
& \text { resonator and } \\
&=\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \| \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}} \\
&=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \| \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since both circuits are identical. Remember, we have already taken into account the loading effect that each resonant circuit has on the other through the factor 0.707, which was used at the beginning of the example. Now, we have:

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}^{\prime}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}
$$

Substituting into Equation 2-23:

$$
Q_{R}=\frac{R_{a^{\prime}} R_{p}}{\left(R_{a^{\prime}}+R_{p}\right) X_{p}}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{p} & =\frac{R_{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{\prime}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}} \\
& =\frac{1000 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\left(1000+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) 28.3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Eq. 2-24)
We can now substitute Equation 2-22 into Equation 2-24 and solve for $X_{p}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{(1000)\left(85 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)}{\left(1000+85 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) 28.3} \\
& =23.57 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} & =85 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \\
& =2003 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the component values

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\omega} \\
& =50 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2.5-cont

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} & =\frac{1}{\omega X_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
& =90 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now all that remains is to design the tapped-C transformer and the coupling inductor. From Equation 2-12:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{s^{\prime}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{u^{\prime}}}{\mathrm{R}_{s}}}-1 \\
& =2.16
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}=2.16 \mathrm{C}_{2} \tag{Eq.2-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that the total capacitance that must be used to resonate with the inductor is 90 pF and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}} \tag{Eq.2-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equation 2-25 into Equation 2-26 and taking $\mathrm{C}_{\text {tocal }}$ to be 90 pF yields:

$$
90 \mathrm{pF}=\frac{2.16 \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}}{3.16 \mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{2}=132 \mathrm{pF} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=285 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

To solve for the coupling inductance from Equation 2-20:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{12} & =\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~L} \\
& =(28.3)(50 \mathrm{nH}) \\
& =1.415 \mu \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

The design is now complete. Notice that the tapped-C transformer is actually serving a dual purpose. It provides a de block between the source and load in addition to its transformation properties.

## FILTER DESIGN



Filters occur so frequently in the instrumentation and communications industries that no book covering the field of rf circuit design could be complete without at least one chapter devoted to the subject. Indeed, entire books have been written on the art of filter design alone, so this single chapter cannot possibly cover all aspects of all types of filters. But it will familiarize you with the characteristics of four of the most commonly used filters and will enable you to design very quickly and easily a filter that will meet, or exceed, most of the common filter requirements that you will encounter.

We will cover Butterworth, Chebyshev, and Bessel filters in all of their common configurations: lowpass, high-pass, bandpass, and bandstop. We will learn how to take advantage of the attenuation characteristics unique to each type of filter. Finally, we will learn how to design some very powerful filters in as little as 5 minutes by merely looking through a catalog to choose a design to suit your needs.

## BACKGROUND

In Chapter 2, the concept of resonance was explored and we determined the effects that component value changes had on resonant circuit operation. You should now be somewhat familiar with the methods that are used in analyzing passive resonant circuits to find quantities, such as loaded Q , insertion loss, and bandwidth. You should also be capable of designing one- or two-resonator circuits for any loaded Q desired (or, at least, determine why you cannot). Quite a few of the filter applications that you will encounter, however, cannot be satisfied with the simple bandpass arrangement given in Chapter 2. There are occasions when, instead of passing a certain band of frequencies while rejecting frequencies above and below (bandpass), we would like to attenuate a small band of frequencies while passing all others. This type of filter is called, appropriately enough, a bandstop filter. Still other requirements call for a low-pass or high-pass response. The characteristic curves for these responses are shown in Fig. 3-1. The low-pass filter will allow all signals below a certain cutoff frequency to pass while attenuating all others. A high-pass filter's response is the mirror-image of the low-pass response
and attenuates all signals below a certain cutoff frequency while allowing those above cutoff to pass. These types of response simply cannot be handled very well with the two-resonator bandpass designs of Chapter 2.

In this chapter, we will use the low-pass filter as our workhorse, as all other responses will be derived from it. So let's take a quick look at a simple low-pass filter and examine its characteristics. Fig. 3-2 is an example of a very simple two-pole, or second-order low-pass filter. The order of a filter is determined by the slope of the attenuation curve it presents in the stopband. A second-order filter is one whose rolloff is a function of the frequency squared, or 12 dB per octave. A third-order filter causes a rolloff that is proportional to frequency cubed, or 18 dB per octave. Thus, the order of a filter can be equated with the number of significant reactive elements that it presents to the source as the signal deviates from the passband.

The circuit of Fig. 3-2 can be analyzed in much the same manner as was done in Chapter 2. For instance, an examination of the effects of loaded Q on the response would yield the family of curves shown in Fig. $3-3$. Surprisingly, even this circuit configuration can cause a peak in the response. This is due to the fact that at some frequency, the inductor and capacitor will become resonant and, thus, peak the response if the loaded $Q$ is high enough. The resonant frequency can be determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{\mathrm{LC}}} \tag{Eq.3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For low values of loaded $Q$, however, no response peak will be noticed.

The loaded $Q$ of this filter is dependent upon the individual Q's of the series leg and the shunt leg where, assuming perfect components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}} \tag{Eq.3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{Eq.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total Q is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2}}{\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}} \tag{Eq.3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the total $Q$ of the circuit is greater than about 0.5 , then for optimum transfer of power from the source to the load, $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ should equal $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$. In this case, at the

(A) Low-pass.

(B) High-pass.

(C) Bandstop.

Fig. 3-1. Typical filter response curves.


Fig. 3-2. A simple low-pass filter.
peak frequency, the response will approach $0-\mathrm{dB}$ insertion loss. If the total Q of the network is less than about 0.5 , there will be no peak in the response and, for optimum transfer of power, $R_{8}$ should equal $R_{L}$. The peaking of the filter's response is commonly called ripple (defined in Chapter 2) and can vary consider-


Fig. 3-3. Typical two-pole filter response curves.


Fig. 3-4. Three-element low-pass filter.


Fig. 3-5. Typical response of a three-element low-pass filter.


Fig. 3-6. Curves showing frequency response vs. loaded Q for three-element low-pass filters.
ably from one filter design to the next depending on the application. As shown, the two-element filter exhibits only one response peak at the edge of the passband.

It can be shown that the number of peaks within the passband is directly related to the number of elements in the filter by:

$$
\text { Number of Peaks }=\mathrm{N}-1
$$

where,
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of elements.
Thus, the three-element low-pass filter of Fig. 3-4 should exhibit two response peaks as shown in Fig. $3-5$. This is true only if the loaded Q is greater than one. Typical response curves for various values of loaded Q for the circuit given in Fig. 3-4 are shown in Fig. 3-6. For all odd-order networks, the response at dc and at the upper edge of the passband approaches 0 dB with dips in the response between the two frequencies. All even-order networks will produce an insertion loss at dc equal to the amount of passband ripple in dB . Keep in mind, however, that either of these two networks, if designed for low values of loaded Q, can be made to exhibit little or no passband ripple. But, as you can see from Figs. 3-3 and $3-6$, the elimination of passband ripple can be made only at the expense of bandwidth. The smaller the ripple that is allowed, the wider the bandwidth becomes and, therefore, selectivity suffers. Optimum flatness in the passband occurs when the loaded Q of the three-element circuit is equal to one (1). Any value of loaded Q that is less than one will cause the response to roll off noticeably even at very low frequencies, within the defined passband. Thus, not only is the selectivity poorer but the passband insertion loss is too. In an application where there is not much signal to begin with, an even further decrease in signal strength could be disastrous.

Now that we have taken a quick look at two representative low-pass filters and their associated responses, let's discuss filters in general:

1. High-Q filters tend to exhibit a far greater initial slope toward the stopband than their low-Q counterparts with the same number of elements. Thus, at any frequency in the stopband, the attenuation will be greater for a high-Q filter than for one with a lower Q . The penalty for this improvement is the increase in passband ripple that must occur as a result.
2. Low-Q filters tend to have the flattest passband response but their initial attenuation slope at the band edge is small. Thus, the penalty for the reduced passband ripple is a decrease in the initial stopband attenuation.
3. As with the resonant circuits discussed in Chapter 2, the source and load resistors loading a filter will have a profound effect on the $Q$ of the filter and, therefore, on the passband ripple and shape factor
of the filter. If a filter is inserted between two resistance values for which it was not designed, the performance will suffer to an extent, depending upon the degree of error in the terminating impedance values.
4. The final attenuation slope of the response is dependent upon the order of the network. The order of the network is equal to the number of reactive elements in the low-pass filter. Thus, a second-order network ( 2 elements) falls off at a final attenuation slope of 12 dB per octave, a third-order network (3 elements) at the rate of 18 dB per octave, and so on, with the addition of 6 dB per octave per element.

## MODERN FILTER DESIGN

Modern filter design has evolved through the years from a subject known only to specialists in the field (because of the advanced mathematics involved) to a practical well-organized catalog of ready-to-use circuits available to anyone with a knowledge of eighth grade level math. In fact, an average individual with absolutely no prior practical filter design experience should be able to sit down, read this chapter, and within 30 minutes be able to design a practical highpass, low-pass, bandpass, or bandstop filter to his specifications. It sounds simple and it is-once a few basic rules are memorized.

The approach we will take in all of the designs in this chapter will be to make use of the myriad of normalized low-pass prototypes that are now available to the designer. The actual design procedure is, therefore, nothing more than determining your requirements and, then, finding a filter in a catalog which satisfies these requirements. Each normalized element value is then scaled to the frequency and impedance you desire and, then, transformed to the type of response (bandpass, high-pass, bandstop) that you wish. With practice, the procedure becomes very simple and soon you will be defining and designing filters.

The concept of normalization may at first seem foreign to the person who is a newcomer to the field of filter design, and the idea of transforming a low-pass filter into one that will give one of the other three types of responses might seem absurd. The best advice I can give (to anyone not familiar with these practices and who might feel a bit skeptical at this point) is to press on. The only way to truly realize the beauty and simplicity of this approach is to try a few actual designs. Once you try a few, you will be hooked, and any other approach to filter design will suddenly seem tedious and unnecessarily complicated.

## NORMALIZATION AND THE LOW-PASS PROTOTYPE

In order to offer a catalog of useful filter circuits to the electronic filter designer, it became necessary to
standardize the presentation of the material. Obviously, in practice, it would be extremely difficult to compare the performance and evaluate the usefulness of two filter networks if they were operating under two totally different sets of circumstances. Similarly, the presentation of any comparative design information for filters, if not standardized, would be totally useless. This concept of standardization or normalization, then, is merely a tool used by filter experts to present all filter design and performance information in a manner useful to circuit designers. Normalization assures the designer of the capability of comparing the performance of any two filter types when given the same operating conditions.

All of the catalogued filters in this chapter are lowpass filters normalized for a cutoff frequency of one radian per second $(0.159 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and for source and load resistors of one ohm. A characteristic response of such a filter is shown in Fig. 3-7. The circuit used to generate this response is called the low-pass prototype.


Fig. 3-7. Normalized low-pass response.
Obviously, the design of a filter with such a low cutoff frequency would require component values much larger than those we are accustomed to working with; capacitor values would be in farads rather than microfarads and picofarads, and the inductor values would be in henries rather than in microhenries and nanohenries. But once we choose a suitable low-pass prototype from the catalog, we can change the impedance level and cutoff frequency of the filter to any value we wish through a simple process called scaling. The net result of this process is a practical filter design with realizable component values.

## FILTER TYPES

Many of the filters used today bear the names of the men who developed them. In this section, we will take a look at three such filters and examine their attenuation characteristics. Their relative merits will be discussed and their low-pass prototypes presented. The three filter types discussed will include the Butterworth, Chebyshev, and Bessel responses.

## The Butterworth Response

The Butterworth filter is a medium-Q filter that is used in designs which require the amplitude response
of the filter to be as flat as possible. The Butterworth response is the flattest passband response available and contains no ripple. The typical response of such a filter might look like that of Fig. 3-8.
Since the Butterworth response is only a medium-Q filter, its initial attenuation steepness is not as good as some filters but it is better than others. This characteristic often causes the Butterworth response to be called a middle-of-the-road design.
The attenuation of a Butterworth filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dB}}=10 \log \left[1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)^{2 \cdot \mathrm{n}}\right] \tag{Eq.3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\omega=$ the frequency at which the attenuation is desired,
$\omega_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the cutoff frequency ( $\omega_{3 \mathrm{~dB}}$ ) of the filter,
$n=$ the number of elements in the filter.
If Equation 3-5 is evaluated at various frequencies for various numbers of elements, a family of curves is generated which will give a very good graphical representation of the attenuation provided by any order of filter at any frequency. This information is illustrated in Fig. 3-9. Thus, from Fig. 3-9, a 5-element (fifth order) Butterworth filter will provide an attenuation of approximately 30 dB at a frequency equal to


Fig. 3-8. The Butterworth response.


Fig. 3-9. Attenuation characteristics for Butterworth filters.
twice the cutoff frequency of the filter. Notice here that the frequency axis is normalized to $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$ and the graph begins at the cutoff ( -3 dB ) point. This graph is extremely useful as it provides you with a method of determining, at a glance, the order of a filter needed to meet a given attenuation specification. A brief example should illustrate this point (Example 3-1).

## EXAMPLE 3-I

How many elements are required to design a Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency of 50 MHz , if the filter must provide at least 50 dB of attenuation at 150 MHz ?

## Solution

The first step in the solution is to find the ratio of $\omega / \omega_{c}$ $=\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}} & =\frac{150 \mathrm{MHz}}{50 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, at 3 times the cutoff frequency, the response must be down by at least 50 dB . Referring to Fig. 3-9, it is seen very quickly that a minimum of 6 elements is required to meet this design goal. At an $f / f_{c}$ of 3 , a 6 -element design would provide approximately 57 dB of attenuation, while a 5 -element design would provide only about 47 dB , which is not quite good enough.

The element values for a normalized Butterworth low-pass filter operating between equal l-ohm terminations (source and load) can be found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=2 \sin \frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 n}, k=1,2, \ldots n \tag{Eq.3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$n$ is the number of elements,
$A_{k}$ is the $k$-th reactance in the ladder and may be either an inductor or capacitor.

The term $(2 \mathrm{k}-1) \pi / 2 \mathrm{n}$ is in radians. We can use Equation 3-6 to generate our first entry into the cata$\log$ of low-pass prototypes shown in Table 3-1. The placement of each component of the filter is shown immediately above and below the table.

The rules for interpreting Butterworth tables are simple. The schematic shown above the table is used whenever the ratio $R_{S} / R_{L}$ is calculated as the design criteria. The table is read from the top down. Alternately, when $R_{L} / R_{\mathrm{S}}$ is calculated, the schematic below the table is used. Then, the element designators in the table are read from the bottom up. Thus, a four-element low-pass prototype could appear as shown in Fig. 3-10. Note here that the element values not given in Table 3-1 are simply left out of the prototype ladder network. The 1 -ohm load resistor is then placed directly across the output of the filter.

Remember that the cutoff frequency of each filter is 1 radian per second, or 0.159 Hz . Each capacitor value given is in farads, and each inductor value is in hen-
ries. The network will later be scaled to the impedance and frequency that is desired through a simple multiplication and division process. The component values will then appear much more realistic.

Occasionally, we have the need to design a filter that will operate between two unequal terminations as shown in Fig. 3-11. In this case, the circuit is normal-


Fig. 3-10. A four-element Butterworth low-pass prototype circuit.

Table 3-1. Butterworth Equal Termination Low-Pass Prototype Element Values ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ )


Fig. 3-11. Unequal terminations.


Fig. 3-12. Normalized unequal terminations.
ized for a load resistance of 1 ohm, while taking what we get for the source resistance. Dividing both the load and source resistor by 10 will yield a load resistance of 1 ohm and a source resistance of 5 ohms as shown in Fig. 3-12. We can use the normalized terminating resistors to help us find a low-pass prototype circuit.

Table 3-2 is a list of Butterworth low-pass prototype values for various ratios of source to load impedance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{R}_{L}$ ). The schematic shown above the table is used when $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is calculated, and the element values are read down from the top of the table.

Table 3-2A. Butterworth Low-Pass Prototype Element Values

|  |  |  | $L_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{R} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ |
| 2 | 1.111 | 1.035 | 1.835 |  |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.849 | 2.121 |  |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.697 | 2.439 |  |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.566 | 2.828 |  |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.448 | 3.346 |  |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.342 | 4.095 |  |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.245 | 5.313 |  |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.156 | 7.707 |  |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.074 | 14.814 |  |  |
|  | $\times$ | 1.414 | 0.707 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.900 | 0.808 | 1.633 | 1.599 |  |
|  | 0.800 | 0.844 | 1.384 | 1.926 |  |
|  | 0.700 | 0.915 | 1.165 | 2.277 |  |
|  | 0.600 | 1.023 | 0.965 | 2.702 |  |
|  | 0.500 | 1.181 | 0.779 | 3.261 |  |
|  | 0.400 | 1.425 | 0.604 | 4.064 |  |
|  | 0.300 | 1.838 | 0.440 | 5.363 |  |
|  | 0.200 | 2.669 | 0.284 | 7.910 |  |
|  | 0.100 | 5.167 | 0.138 | 15.455 |  |
|  | $\sim$ | 1.500 | 1.333 | 0.500 |  |
| 4 | 1.111 | 0.466 | 1.592 | 1.744 | 1.469 |
|  | 1.250 | 0.388 | 1.695 | 1.511 | 1.811 |
|  | 1.429 | 0.325 | 1.862 | 1.291 | 2.175 |
|  | 1.667 | 0.269 | 2.103 | 1.082 | 2.613 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.218 | 2.452 | 0.883 | 3.187 |
|  | 2.500 | 0.169 | 2.986 | 0.691 | 4.009 |
|  | 3.333 | 0.124 | 3.883 | 0.507 | 5.338 |
|  | 5.000 | 0.080 | 5.684 | 0.331 | 7.940 |
|  | 10.000 | 0.039 | 11.094 | 0.162 | 15.642 |
|  | $\sim$ | 1.531 | 1.577 | 1.082 | 0.383 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Alternately, when $R_{L} / R_{S}$ is calculated, the schematic below the table is used while reading up from the bottom of the table to get the element values (Example 3-2).

## EXAMPLE 3.2

Find the low-pass prototype value for an $\mathrm{n}=4$ Butterworth filter with unequal terminations: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=50 \mathrm{ohms}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ $=100 \mathrm{ohms}$.

## Solution

Normalizing the two terminations for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=1$ ohm will yield a value of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.5$. Reading down from the top of Table 3-2, for an $n=4$ low-pass prototype value, we see that there is no $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.5$ ratio listed. Our second choice, then, is to take the value of $R_{L} / R_{s}=2$, and read up from the bottom of the table while using the schematic below the table as the form for the low-pass prototype values. This approach results in the low-pass prototype circuit of Fig. 3-13.


Fig. 3-13. Low-pass prototype circuit for Example 3-2.
Obviously, all possible ratios of source to load resistance could not possibly fit on a chart of this size. This, of course, leaves the potential problem of not being able to find the ratio that you need for a particular design task. The solution to this dilemma is to simply choose a ratio which most closely matches the ratio you need to complete the design. For ratios of $100: 1$ or so, the best results are obtained if you assume this value to be so high for practical purposes as to be infinite. Since, in these instances, you are only approximating the ratio of source to load resistance, the filter derived will only approximate the response that was originally intended. This is usually not too much of a problem.

## The Chebyshev Response

The Chebyshev filter is a high-Q filter that is used when: (1) a steeper initial descent into the stopband is required, and (2) the passband response is no longer required to be flat. With this type of requirement, ripple can be allowed in the passband. As more ripple is introduced, the initial slope at the beginning of the stopband is increased and produces a more rectangular attenuation curve when compared to the rounded Butterworth response. This comparison is made in Fig. 3-14. Both curves are for $\mathrm{n}=3$ filters. The Chebyshev response shown has 3 dB of passband ripple and produces a 10 dB improvement in stopband attenuation over the Butterworth filter.

Table 3-2B. Butterworth Low-Pass Prototype Element Values

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {S }} / R_{L}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $L_{6}$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 5 | 0.900 | 0.442 | 1.027 | 1.910 | 1.756 | 1.389 |  |  |
|  | 0.800 | 0.470 | 0.866 | 2.061 | 1.544 | 1.738 |  |  |
|  | 0.700 | 0.517 | 0.731 | 2.285 | 1.333 | 2.108 |  |  |
|  | 0.600 | 0.586 | 0.609 | 2.600 | 1.126 | 2.552 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 0.686 | 0.496 | 3.051 | 0.924 | 3.133 |  |  |
|  | 0.400 | 0.838 | 0.388 | 3.736 | 0.727 | 3.965 |  |  |
|  | 0.300 | 1.094 | 0.285 | 4.884 | 0.537 | 5.307 |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 1.608 | 0.186 | 7.185 | 0.352 | 7.935 |  |  |
|  | 0.100 | 3.512 | 0.091 | 14.095 | 0.173 | 15.710 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.545 | 1.694 | 1.382 | 0.894 | 0.309 |  |  |
| 6 | 1.111 | 0.289 | 1.040 | 1.322 | 2.054 | 1.744 | 1.335 |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.245 | 1.116 | 1.126 | 2.239 | 1.550 | 1.688 |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.207 | 1.236 | 0.957 | 2.499 | 1.346 | 2.062 |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.173 | 1.407 | 0.801 | 2.858 | 1.143 | 2.509 |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.141 | 1.653 | 0.654 | 3.369 | 0.942 | 3.094 |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.111 | 2.028 | 0.514 | 4.141 | 0.745 | 3.931 |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.082 | 2.656 | 0.379 | 5.433 | 0.552 | 5.280 |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.054 | 3.917 | 0.248 | 8.020 | 0.363 | 7.922 |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.026 | 7.705 | 0.122 | 15.786 | 0.179 | 15.738 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.553 | 1.759 | 1.553 | 1.202 | 0.758 | 0.259 |  |
| 7 | 0.900 | 0.299 | 0.711 | 1.404 | 1.489 | 2.125 | 1.727 | 1.296 |
|  | 0.800 | 0.322 | 0.606 | 1.517 | 1.278 | 2.334 | 1.546 | 1.652 |
|  | 0.700 | 0.357 | 0.515 | 1.688 | 1.091 | 2.618 | 1.350 | 2.028 |
|  | 0.600 | 0.408 | 0.432 | 1.928 | 0.917 | 3.005 | 1.150 | 2.477 |
|  | 0.500 | 0.480 | 0.354 | 2.273 | 0.751 | 3.553 | 0.951 | 3.064 |
|  | 0.400 | 0.590 | 0.278 | 2.795 | 0.592 | 4.380 | 0.754 | 3.904 |
|  | 0.300 | 0.775 | 0.206 | 3.671 | 0.437 | 5.761 | 0.560 | 5.258 |
|  | 0.200 | 1.145 | 0.135 | 5.427 | 0.287 | 8.526 | 0.369 | 7.908 |
|  | 0.100 | 2.257 | 0.067 | 10.700 | 0.142 | 16.822 | 0.182 | 15.748 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.558 | 1.799 | 1.659 | 1.397 | 1.055 | 0.656 | 0.223 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $L_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $L_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The attenuation of a Chebyshev filter can be found by making a few simple but tiresome calculations, and can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dB}}=10 \log \left[1+\epsilon^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{\prime}\right] \tag{Eq.3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{\prime}$ is the Chebyshev polynomial to the order $n$ evaluated at $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{\prime}$.
The Chebyshev polynomials for the first seven orders
are given in Table 3-3. The parameter $\epsilon$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\sqrt{10^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{aB}} / 10}-1} \tag{Eq.3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$R_{d B}$ is the passband ripple in decibels.
Note that $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)$ ' is not the same as $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)$. The quantity $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)$ ' can be found by defining another parameter:

$$
\mathrm{B}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

(Eq. 3-9)


Fig. 3-14. Comparison of three-element Chebyshev and Butterworth responses.

Table 3-3. Chebyshev Polynomials to the Order n

| $n$ | Chebyshev Polynomial |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}$ |
| 2 | $2\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2}-1$ |
| 3 | $4\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{3}-3\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)$ |
| 4 | $8\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{4}-8\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2}+1$ |
| 5 | $16\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{5}-20\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r}}\right)^{3}+5\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)$ |
| 6 | $32\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{15}-48\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{4}+18\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2}-1$ |
| , | $64\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{7}-112\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{5}+56\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{3}-7\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)$ |

where,
$n=$ the order of the filter,
$\epsilon=$ the parameter defined in Equation 3-8,
$\cosh ^{-1}=$ the inverse hyperbolic cosine of the quan-
tity in parentheses.
Finally, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right) \cosh \mathrm{B} \tag{Eq.3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r}}\right)=$ the ratio of the frequency of interest to the cutoff frequency,
cosh $=$ the hyperbolic cosine.
If your calculator does not have hyperbolic and inverse hyperbolic functions, they can be manually determined from the following relations:

$$
\cosh x=0.5\left(e^{x}+e^{-x}\right)
$$

and

$$
\cosh ^{-1} \mathrm{x}=\ln \left(\mathrm{x} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}-1}\right)
$$

The preceding equations yield families of attenuation curves, each classified according to the amount of
ripple allowed in the passband. Several of these families of curves are shown in Figs. 3-15 through 3-18, and include $0.01-\mathrm{dB}, 0.1-\mathrm{dB}, 0.5-\mathrm{dB}$, and $1.0-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple. Each curve begins at $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}=1$, which is the normalized cutoff, or $3-\mathrm{dB}$ frequency. The passband ripple is, therefore, not shown.

If other families of attenuation curves are needed with different values of passband ripple, the preceding Chebyshev equations can be used to derive them. The problem in Example 3-3 illustrates this.

Obviously, performing the calculations of Example 3-3 for various values of $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$, ripple, and filter order is a very time-consuming chore unless a programmable calculator or computer is available to do most of the work for you.

The low-pass prototype element values corresponding to the Chebyshev responses of Figs. 3-15 through $3-18$ are given in Tables 3-4 through 3-7. Note that the Chebyshev prototype values could not be separated into two distinct sets of tables covering the equal and


Fig. 3-15. Attenuation characteristic's for a Chebyshes filter with 0.01-d 13 ripple.


Fig. 3-16. Attenuation characteristics for a Chebyshev filter with $0.1-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple.


Fig. 3-17. Attenuation characteristics for a Chebyshev filter with $0.5-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple.
unequal termination cases, as was done for the Butterworth prototypes. This is because the even order ( $\mathrm{n}=2,4,6, \ldots$ ) Chebyshev filters cannot have equal terminations. The source and load must always be different for proper operation as shown in the tables.

## EXAMPLE 3-3

Find the attenuation of a 4 -element, $2.5-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple, lowpass Chebyshev filter at $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}=2.5$.

## Solution

First evaluate the parameter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon & =\sqrt{10^{2.5 / 10}-1} \\
& =0.882
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, find B.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =1 / 4\left[\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{0.882}\right)\right] \\
& =0.1279
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left(\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{\prime}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{\prime} & =2.5 \cosh .1279 \\
& =2.5204
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we evaluate the fourth order ( $n=4$ ) Chebyshev polynomial at $\left(\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{\prime}=2.52$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right) & =8\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{4}-8\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{2}+1 \\
& =8(2.5204)^{4}-8(2.5204)^{2}+1 \\
& =273.05
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now evaluate the final equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dB}} & =10 \log _{10}\left[1+\epsilon^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{e}}}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& =10 \log _{10}\left[1+(0.882)^{2}(273.05)^{2}\right] \\
& =47.63 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, at an $\omega / \omega_{c}$ of 2.5 , you can expect 47.63 dB of attenuation for this filter.


Fig. 3-18. Attenuation characteristics for a Chebyshev filter with $1-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple.

The rules used for interpreting the Butterworth tables apply here also. The schematic shown above the table is used, and the element designators are read down from the top, when the ratio $R_{S} / R_{L}$ is calculated as a design criteria. Alternately, with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ calculations, use the schematic given below the table and read the element designators upwards from the bottom of the table. Example 3-4 is a practice problem for use in understanding the procedure.

## EXAMPLE 3-4

Find the low-pass prototype values for an $n=5,0.1-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple, Chebyshev filter if the source resistance you are designing for is 50 ohms and the load resistance is 250 ohms.

## Solution

Normalization of the source and load resistors yields an $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.2$. A look at Table 3-5, for a $0.1-\mathrm{dB}$ ripple filter with an $n=5$ and an $R_{s} / R_{L}=0.2$, yields the circuit values shown in Fig. 3-19.


Fig. 3-19. Low-pass prototype circuit for Example 3-4.

It should be mentioned here that equations could have been presented in this section for deriving the element values for the Chebyshev low-pass prototypes. The equations are extremely long and tedious, however, and there would be little to be gained from their presentation.

Table 3-4A. Chebyshev Low-Pass Element Values for 0.01-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {S }} / R_{\text {I }}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ |
| 2 | 1.101 | 1.347 | 1.483 |  |  |
|  | 1.111 | 1.247 | 1.595 |  |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.943 | 1.997 |  |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.759 | 2.344 |  |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0. 609 | 2.750 |  |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.479 | 3.277 |  |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.363 | 4.033 |  |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.259 | 5.255 |  |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.164 | 7.650 |  |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.078 | 14.749 |  |  |
|  | $x$ | 1.412 | 0.742 |  |  |
| 3 | 1.000 | 1.181 | 1.821 | 1.181 |  |
|  | 0.900 | 1.092 | 1.660 | 1.480 |  |
|  | 0.800 | 1.097 | 1.443 | 1.806 |  |
|  | 0.700 | 1.160 | 1.228 | 2.165 |  |
|  | 0.600 | 1.274 | 1.024 | 2.598 |  |
|  | 0.500 | 1.452 | 0.829 | 3.164 |  |
|  | 0,400 | 1.734 | 0.645 | 3.974 |  |
|  | 0.300 | 2.216 | 0.470 | 5.280 |  |
|  | 0.200 | . 3.193 | 0.305 | 7.834 |  |
|  | 0.100 | 6.141 | 0.148 | 15.390 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.501 | 1.433 | 0.591 |  |
| 4 | 1.100 | 0.950 | 1.938 | 1.761 | 1.046 |
|  | 1.111 | 0.854 | 1.946 | 1.744 | 1.165 |
|  | 1.250 | 0.618 | 2.075 | 1.542 | 1.617 |
|  | 1.429 | 0.495 | 2.279 | 1.334 | 2.008 |
|  | 1.667 | 0.398 | 2.571 | 1.128 | 2.461 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.316 | 2.994 | 0.926 | 3.045 |
|  | 2.500 | 0.242 | 3.641 | 0.729 | 3.875 |
|  | 3.333 | 0.174 | 4.727 | 0.538 | 5.209 |
|  | 5.000 | 0.112 | 6.910 | 0.352 | 7.813 |
|  | 10,000 | 0.054 | 13.469 | 0.173 | 15.510 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.529 | 1.691 | 1.312 | 0.523 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## The Bessel Filter

The initial stopband attenuation of the Bessel filter is very poor and can be approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d B}=3\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{2} \tag{Eq.3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression, however, is not very accurate above an $\omega / \omega_{\text {c }}$ that is equal to about 2 . For values of $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{e}}$ greater than 2 , a straight-line approximation of 6 dB
per octave per element can be made. This yields the family of curves shown in Fig. 3-20.

But why would anyone deliberately design a filter with very poor initial stopband attenuation characteristics? The Bessel filter was originally optimized to obtain a maximally flat group delay or linear phase characteristic in the filter's passband. Thus, selectivity or stopband attenuation is not a primary concern when dealing with the Bessel filter. In high- and medium-Q filters, such as the Chebyshev and Butterworth filters. the phase response is extremely nonlinear over the filter's passband. This phase nonlinearity results in distortion of wideband signals due to the widely varying time delays associated with the different spectral components of the signal. Bessel filters, on the other hand, with their maximally flat (constant) group delay are able to pass wideband signals with a minimum of distortion, while still providing some selectivity.

The low-pass prototype element values for the Bessel filter are given in Table 3-8. Table 3-8 tabulates the prototype element values for various ratios of source to load resistance.

## FREQUENCY AND IMPEDANCE SCALING

Once you specify the filter, choose the appropriate attenuation response, and write down the low-pass prototype values, the next step is to transform the prototype circuit into a usable filter. Remember, the cutoff frequency of the prototype circuit is 0.159 Hz ( $\omega=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ ), and it operates between a source and load resistance that are normalized so that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}=1 \mathrm{ohm}$.


Fig. 3-20. Attenuation characteristics of Bessel filters.

Table 3-4B. Chebyshev Low-Pass Element Values for 0.01-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  | $c_{3} \frac{1}{I}$ | $\frac{L_{1}^{2}}{L_{1}}{ }_{c}^{\mathrm{L}_{0}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{S} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $L_{6}$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 5 | 1.000 | 0.977 | 1.685 | 2.037 | 1.685 | 0.977 |  |  |
|  | 0.900 | 0.880 | 1.456 | 2.174 | 1.641 | 1.274 |  |  |
|  | 0.800 | 0.877 | 1.235 | 2.379 | 1.499 | 1.607 |  |  |
|  | 0.700 | 0.926 | 1.040 | 2.658 | 1.323 | 1.977 |  |  |
|  | 0.600 | 1.019 | 0.863 | 3.041 | 1.135 | 2.424 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 1.166 | 0.699 | 3.584 | 0.942 | 3.009 |  |  |
|  | 0.400 | 1.398 | 0.544 | 4.403 | 0.749 | 3.845 |  |  |
|  | 0.300 | 1.797 | 0.398 | 5.772 | 0.557 | 5.193 |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 2.604 | 0.259 | 8.514 | 0.368 | 7.826 |  |  |
|  | 0.100 | 5.041 | 0.127 | 16.741 | 0.182 | 15.613 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.547 | 1.795 | 1.645 | 1.237 | 0.488 |  |  |
| 6 | 1.101 | 0.851 | 1.796 | 1.841 | 2.027 | 1.631 | 0.937 |  |
|  | 1.111 | 0.760 | 1.782 | 1.775 | 2.094 | 1.638 | 1.053 |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.545 | 1.864 | 1.489 | 2.403 | 1.507 | 1.504 |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.436 | 2.038 | 1.266 | 2.735 | 1.332 | 1.899 |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.351 | 2.298 | 1.061 | 3.167 | 1.145 | 2.357 |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.279 | 2.678 | 0.867 | 3.768 | 0.954 | 2.948 |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.214 | 3.261 | 0.682 | 4.667 | 0.761 | 3.790 |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.155 | 4.245 | 0.503 | 6.163 | 0.568 | 5.143 |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.100 | 6.223 | 0.330 | 9.151 | 0.376 | 7.785 |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.048 | 12.171 | 0.162 | 18.105 | 0.187 | 15.595 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.551 | 1.847 | 1.790 | 1.598 | 1.190 | 0.469 |  |
| 7 | 1.000 | 0.913 | 1.595 | 2.002 | 1.870 | 2.002 | 1.595 | 0.913 |
|  | 0.900 | 0.816 | 1.362 | 2.089 | 1.722 | 2.202 | 1.581 | 1.206 |
|  | 0.800 | 0.811 | 1.150 | 2.262 | 1.525 | 2.465 | 1.464 | 1.538 |
|  | 0.700 | 0.857 | 0.967 | 2.516 | 1.323 | 2.802 | 1.307 | 1.910 |
|  | 0.600 | 0.943 | 0.803 | 2.872 | 1.124 | 3.250 | 1.131 | 2.359 |
|  | 0.500 | 1.080 | 0.650 | 3.382 | 0.928 | 3.875 | 0.947 | 2.948 |
|  | 0.400 | 1.297 | 0.507 | 4.156 | 0.735 | 4.812 | 0.758 | 3.790 |
|  | 0.300 | 1.669 | 0.372 | 5.454 | 0.546 | 6.370 | 0.568 | 5.148 |
|  | 0.200 | 2.242 | 0.242 | 8.057 | 0.360 | 9.484 | 0.378 | 7.802 |
|  | 0.100 | 4.701 | 0.119 | 15.872 | 0.173 | 18.818 | 0.188 | 15.652 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.559 | 1.867 | 1.866 | 1.765 | 1.563 | 1.161 | 0.456 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $L_{5}$ | $C_{6}$ | $L_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The transformation is affected through the following formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}} \tag{Eq.3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{R L_{\mathrm{n}}}{2 \pi f_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{Eq.3-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$C=$ the final capacitor value,
$\mathrm{L}=$ the final inductor value,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ a low-pass prototype element value,
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ a low-pass prototype element value,
$\mathrm{R}=$ the final load resistor value,
$f_{c}=$ the final cutoff frequency.
The normalized low-pass prototype source resistor must also be transformed to its final value by multiplying it by the final value of the load resistor (Example 3-5). Thus, the ratio of the two always remains the same.

Table 3-5A. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for 0.1-dB Ripple

|  |  | $\underbrace{R_{n}}_{\sim}$ $c_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{2} \\ \mathrm{~m}_{3} \\ \mathrm{C}_{3} \\ = \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-4}{ }^{-4}\{$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {N }} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ |
| 2 | 1.355 | 1.209 | 1.638 |  |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.977 | 1.982 |  |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.733 | 2.489 |  |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.560 | 3.054 |  |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.417 | 3.827 |  |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.293 | 5.050 |  |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.184 | 7.426 |  |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.087 | 14.433 |  |  |
|  | $\times$ | 1.391 | 0.819 |  |  |
| 3 | 1.000 | 1.433 | 1.594 | 1.433 |  |
|  | 0.900 | 1.426 | 1.494 | 1.622 |  |
|  | 0.800 | 1.451 | 1.356 | 1.871 |  |
|  | 0.700 | 1.521 | 1.193 | 2.190 |  |
|  | 0.600 | 1.648 | 1.017 | 2.603 |  |
|  | 0.500 | 1.853 | 0.838 | 3.159 |  |
|  | 0.400 | 2.186 | 0.660 | 3.968 |  |
|  | 0.300 | 2.763 | 0.486 | 5.279 |  |
|  | 0.200 | 3.942 | 0.317 | 7.850 |  |
|  | 0.100 | 7.512 | 0.155 | 15.466 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.513 | 1.510 | 0.716 |  |
| 4 | 1.355 | 0.992 | 2.148 | 1.585 | 1.341 |
|  | 1.429 | 0.779 | 2.348 | 1.429 | 1.700 |
|  | 1.667 | 0.576 | 2.730 | 1.185 | 2.243 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.440 | 3.227 | 0.967 | 2.856 |
|  | 2.500 | 0.329 | 3.961 | 0.760 | 3.698 |
|  | 3.333 | 0.233 | 5.178 | 0.560 | 5.030 |
|  | 5.000 | 0.148 | 7.607 | 0.367 | 7.614 |
|  | 10.000 | 0.070 | 14.887 | 0.180 | 15.230 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.511 | 1.768 | 1.455 | 0.673 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

The process for designing a low-pass filter is a very simple one which involves the following procedure:

1. Define the response you need by specifying the required attenuation characteristics at selected frequencies.
2. Normalize the frequencies of interest by dividing them by the cutoff frequency of the filter. This step forces your data to be in the same form as that of the attenuation curves of this chapter, where the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ point on the curve is:

$$
\frac{f}{f_{c}}=1
$$

## EXAMPLE 3-5

Scale the low-pass prototype values of Fig. 3-19 (Example 3-4) to a cutoff frequency of 50 MHz and a load resistance of 250 ohms.

## Solution

Use Equations 3-12 and 3-13 to scale each component as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\frac{3.546}{2 \pi\left(50 \times 10^{6}\right)(250)} \\
& =45 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{C}_{3} & =\frac{9.127}{2 \pi\left(50 \times 10^{6}\right)(250)} \\
& =116 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{C}_{5} & =\frac{7.889}{2 \pi\left(50 \times 10^{8}\right)(250)} \\
& =100 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{2} & =\frac{(250)(0.295)}{2 \pi\left(50 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =235 \mathrm{nH} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{6} & =\frac{(250)(0.366)}{2 \pi\left(50 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =291 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The source resistance is scaled by multiplying its normal ized value by the final value of the load resistor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\text {(f|nal) }} & =0.2(250) \\
& =50 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final circuit appears in Fig. 3-21.


Fig. 3-21. Low-pass filter circuit for Example 3-5.
3. Determine the maximum amount of ripple that you can allow in the passband. Remember, the greater the amount of ripple allowed, the more selective the filter is. Higher values of ripple may allow you to eliminate a few components.
4. Match the normalized attenuation characteristics (Steps 1 and 2) with the attenuation curves provided in this chapter. Allow yourself a small "fudgefactor" for good measure. This step reveals the minimum number of circuit elements that you can get away with-given a certain filter type.
5. Find the low-pass prototype values in the tables.
6. Scale all elements to the frequency and impedance of the final design.

Example 3-6 diagrams the process of designing a lowpass filter using the preceding steps.

Table 3-5B. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for 0.1-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \xrightarrow{I}$ |  | $\left.R_{L}\right\}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{S} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $L_{6}$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 5 | 1.000 | 1.301 | 1.556 | 2.241 | 1.556 | 1.301 |  |  |
|  | 0.900 | 1.285 | 1.433 | 2.380 | 1.488 | 1.488 |  |  |
|  | 0.800 | 1.300 | 1.282 | 2.582 | 1.382 | 1.738 |  |  |
|  | 0.700 | 1.358 | 1.117 | 2.868 | 1.244 | 2.062 |  |  |
|  | 0.600 | 1.470 | 0.947 | 3.269 | 1.085 | 2.484 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 1.654 | 0.778 | 3.845 | 0.913 | 3.055 |  |  |
|  | 0.400 | 1.954 | 0.612 | 4.720 | 0.733 | 3.886 |  |  |
|  | 0.300 | 2.477 | 0.451 | 6.196 | 0.550 | 5.237 |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 3.546 | 0.295 | 9.127 | 0.366 | 7.889 |  |  |
|  | 0.100 | 6.787 | 0.115 | 17.957 | 0.182 | 15.745 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.561 | 1.807 | 1.766 | 1.417 | 0.651 |  |  |
| 6 | 1.355 | 0.942 | 2.080 | 1.659 | 2.247 | 1.534 | 1.277 |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.735 | 2.249 | 1.454 | 2.544 | 1.405 | 1.629 |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.542 | 2.600 | 1.183 | 3.064 | 1.185 | 2.174 |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.414 | 3.068 | 0.958 | 3.712 | 0.979 | 2.794 |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.310 | 3.765 | 0.749 | 4.651 | 0.778 | 3.645 |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.220 | 4.927 | 0.551 | 6.195 | 0.580 | 4.996 |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.139 | 7.250 | 0.361 | 9.261 | 0.384 | 7.618 |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.067 | 14.220 | 0.178 | 18.427 | 0.190 | 15.350 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.534 | 1.884 | 1.831 | 1.749 | 1.394 | 0.638 |  |
| 7 | 1.000 | 1.262 | 1.520 | 2.239 | 1.680 | 2.239 | 1.520 | 1.262 |
|  | 0.900 | 1.242 | 1.395 | 2.361 | 1.578 | 2.397 | 1.459 | 1.447 |
|  | 0.800 | 1.255 | 1.245 | 2.548 | 1.443 | 2.624 | 1.362 | 1.697 |
|  | 0.700 | 1.310 | 1.083 | 2.819 | 1.283 | 2.942 | 1.233 | 2.021 |
|  | 0.600 | 1.417 | 0.917 | 3.205 | 1.209 | 3.384 | 1.081 | 2.444 |
|  | 0.500 | 1.595 | 0.753 | 3.764 | 0.928 | 4.015 | 0.914 | 3.018 |
|  | 0.400 | 1.885 | 0.593 | 4.618 | 0.742 | 4.970 | 0.738 | 3.855 |
|  | 0.300 | 2.392 | 0.437 | 6.054 | 0.556 | 6.569 | 0.557 | 5.217 |
|  | 0.200 | 3.428 | 0.286 | 8.937 | 0.369 | 9.770 | 0.372 | 7.890 |
|  | 0.100 | 6.570 | 0.141 | 17.603 | 0.184 | 19.376 | 0.186 | 15.813 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.575 | 1.858 | 1.921 | 1.827 | 1.734 | 1.379 | 0.631 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $L_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $L_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HIGH-PASS FILTER DESIGN

Once you have learned the mechanics of low-pass filter design, high-pass design becomes a snap. You can use all of the attenuation response curves presented, thus far, for the low-pass filters by simply inverting the $f / f_{c}$ axis. For instance, a 5 -element, 0.1-dB-ripple Chebyshev low-pass filter will produce an attenuation of about 60 dB at an $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of 3 (Fig. 3-16). If you were working instead with a high-pass filter of the same size and type, you could still use Fig. 3-16 to tell you
that at an $f / f_{c}$ of $1 / 3$ (or, $f_{c} / f=3$ ) a 5-element, 0.1 dB -ripple Chebyshev high-pass filter will also produce an attenuation of 60 dB . This is obviously more convenient than having to refer to more than one set of curves.

After finding the response which satisfies all of the requirements, the next step is to simply refer to the tables of low-pass prototype values and copy down the prototype values that are called for. High-pass values for the elements are then obtained directly from the low-pass prototype values as follows (refer to Fig. 3-24):

## EXAMPLE 3-6

Design a low-pass filter to meet the following specifications:
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=35 \mathrm{MHz}$.
Response greater than 60 dB down at 105 MHz ,
Maximally flat passband-no ripple,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=50 \mathrm{ohms}$,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=500$ ohms.

## Solution

The need for a maximally flat passband automatically indicates that the design must be a Butterworth response. The first step in the design process is to nomalize everything. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{R}_{4}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}} & =\frac{50}{500} \\
& =0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, normalize the frequencies of interest so that they may be found in the graph of Fig. 3-9. Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f_{\text {mall }}}{f_{3, \mathrm{AIN}}} & =\frac{105 \mathrm{MHz}}{35 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =3
\end{aligned}
$$

We next look at Fig. 3-9 and find a response that is down at least 60 dB at a frequency ratio of $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{e}}=3$. Fig. 3-9 indicates that it will take a minimum of 7 elements to provide the attenuation specified. Referring to the catalog of Butterworth low-pass prototype values given in Table 3-2 yields the prototype circuit of Fig. 3-22.


Fig. 3-22. Low-pass prototype circuit for Example 3-6.
We then scale these values using Equations 3-12 and 3-13. The first two values are worked out for you.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & =\frac{2.257}{2 \pi\left(35 \times 10^{6}\right) 500} \\
& =21 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}: & =\frac{(500)}{2 \pi(35 \times 10.067)} \\
& =150 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

Simidarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{3}=97 \mathrm{pF}, \\
& \mathrm{C}_{5}=153 \mathrm{pF}, \\
& \mathrm{C}_{5}=143 \mathrm{pF}, \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{1}=323 \mathrm{nH}, \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{I}}=414 \mathrm{nH}, \\
& \mathrm{R}_{5}=50 \mathrm{ohms}, \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=500 \mathrm{ohms} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The final circuit is shown in Fig. 3-23.


Fig. 3-23. Low-pass filter circuit for Example 3-6.

Table 3-6A. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for 0.5-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 1 ; \\ 1 ; \end{array}\right\}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {S }} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ |
| 2 | 1.984 | 0.983 | 1.950 |  |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.909 | 2.103 |  |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.564 | 3.165 |  |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.375 | 4.411 |  |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.228 | 6.700 |  |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.105 | 13.322 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.307 | 0.975 |  |  |
| 3 | 1.000 | 1.864 | 1.280 | 1.834 |  |
|  | 0.900 | 1.918 | 1.209 | 2.026 |  |
|  | 0.800 | 1.997 | 1.120 | 2.237 |  |
|  | 0.700 | 2.114 | 1.015 | 2.517 |  |
|  | 0.500 | 2.557 | 0.759 | 3.436 |  |
|  | 0.400 | 2.985 | 0.615 | 4.242 |  |
|  | 0.300 | 3.729 | 0.463 | 5.576 |  |
|  | 0.200 | 5.254 | 0.309 | 8.225 |  |
|  | 0.100 | 9.890 | 0.153 | 16.118 |  |
|  | $\therefore$ | 1.572 | 1.518 | 0.932 |  |
| 4 | 1.984 | 0.920 | 2.586 | 1.304 | 1.826 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.845 | 2.720 | 1.238 | 1.985 |
|  | 2.500 | 0.516 | 3.766 | 0.869 | . 3.121 |
|  | 3.333 | 0.344 | 5.120 | 0.621 | 4.480 |
|  | 5.000 | 0.210 | 7.708 | 0.400 | 6.987 |
|  | 10.000 | 0.098 | 15.352 | 0.194 | 14.262 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.436 | 1.889 | 1.521 | 0.913 |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {I }} / R_{\text {S }}$ | $L$, | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Simply replace each filter element with an element of the opposite type and with a reciprocal valuc. Thus, $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ of Fig. 3-24B is equal to $1 / \mathrm{C}_{1}$ of Fig. 3-24A. Likewise, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=1 / \mathrm{L}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{3}=1 / \mathrm{C}_{3}$.
Stated another way, if the low-pass prototype indicates a capacitor of 1.181 farads, then, use an inductor with a value of $1 / 1.181=0.847$ henry, instead, for a high-pass design. However, the source and load resistors should not be altered.

The transformation process results in an attenuation characteristic for the high-pass filter that is an exact mirror image of the low-pass attenuation characteristic. The ripple, if there is any, remains the same and the magnitude of the slope of the stopband (or pass-

Table 3-6B. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for 0.5-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{S} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $L_{6}$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 5 | 1.000 | 1.807 | 1.303 | 2.691 | 1.303 | 1.807 |  |  |
|  | 0.900 | 1.854 | 1.222 | 2.849 | 1.238 | 1.970 |  |  |
|  | 0.800 | 1.926 | 1.126 | 3.060 | 1.157 | 2.185 |  |  |
|  | 0.700 | 2.035 | 1.015 | 3.353 | 1.058 | 2.470 |  |  |
|  | 0.600 | 2.200 | 0.890 | 3.765 | 0.942 | 2.861 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 2.457 | 0.754 | 4.367 | 0.810 | 3.414 |  |  |
|  | 0.400 | 2.870 | 0.609 | 5.296 | 0.664 | 4.245 |  |  |
|  | 0.300 | 3.588 | 0.459 | 6.871 | 0.508 | 5.625 |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 5.064 | 0.306 | 10.054 | 0.343 | 8.367 |  |  |
|  | 0.100 | 9.556 | 0.153 | 19.647 | 0.173 | 16.574 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.630 | 1.740 | 1.922 | 1.514 | 0.903 |  |  |
| 6 | 1.984 | 0.905 | 2.577 | 1.368 | 2.713 | 1.299 | 1.796 |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.830 | 2.704 | 1.291 | 2.872 | 1.237 | 1.956 |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.506 | 3.722 | 0.890 | 4.109 | 0.881 | 3.103 |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.337 | 5.055 | 0.632 | 5.699 | 0.635 | 4.481 |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.206 | 7.615 | 0.406 | 8.732 | 0.412 | 7.031 |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.096 | 15.186 | 0.197 | 17.681 | 0.202 | 14.433 |  |
| 7 | 1.000 | 1.790 | 1.296 | 2.718 | 1.385 | 2.718 | 1.296 | 1.790 |
|  | 0.900 | 1.835 | 1.215 | 2.869 | 1.308 | 2.883 | 1.234 | 1.953 |
|  | 0.800 | 1.905 | 1.118 | 3.076 | 1.215 | 3.107 | 1.155 | 2.168 |
|  | 0.700 | 2.011 | 1.007 | 3.364 | 1.105 | 3.416 | 1.058 | 2.455 |
|  | 0.600 | 2.174 | 0.882 | 3.772 | 0.979 | 3.852 | 0.944 | 2.848 |
|  | 0.500 | 2.428 | 0.747 | 4.370 | 0.838 | 2.289 | 0.814 | 3.405 |
|  | 0.400 | 2.835 | 0.604 | 5.295 | 0.685 | 5.470 | 0.669 | 4.243 |
|  | 0.300 | 3.546 | 0.455 | 6.867 | 0.522 | 7.134 | 0.513 | 5.635 |
|  | 0.200 | 5.007 | 0.303 | 10.049 | 0.352 | 10.496 | 0.348 | 8.404 |
|  | 0.100 | 9.456 | 0.151 | 19.649 | 0.178 | 20.631 | 0.176 | 16.665 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.646 | 1.777 | 2.031 | 1.789 | 1.924 | 1.503 | 0.895 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $L_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $L_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

band) skirts remains the same. Example 3-7 illustrates the design of high-pass filters.
A closer look at the filter designed in Example 3-7 reveals that it is symmetric. Indeed, all filters given for the equal termination class are symmetric. The equal termination class of filter thus yields a circuit that is easier to design (fewer calculations) and, in most cases, cheaper to build for a high-volume product, due to the number of equal valued components.

## THE DUAL NETWORK

Thus far, we have been referring to the group of low-pass prototype element value tables presented and, then, we choose the schematic that is located
either above or below the tables for the form of the filter that we are designing, depending on the value of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Either form of the filter will produce exactly the same attenuation, phase, and group-delay characteristics, and each form is called the dual of the other.
Any filter network in a ladder arrangement, such as the ones presented in this chapter, can be changed into its dual form by application of the following rules:

1. Change all inductors to capacitors, and vice-versa, without changing element values. Thus, 3 hemries becomes 3 farads.
2. Change all resistances into conductances, and viceversa, with the value unchanged. Thus, 3 ohms becomes 3 mhos, or $1 / 3 \mathrm{ohm}$.

(A) Low-pass prototype circuit.

(B) Equivalent high-pass prototype circuit.

Fig. 3-24. Low-pass to high-pass filter transformation.
3. Change all shunt branches to series branches, and vice-versa.
4. Change all elements in series with each other into elements that are in parallel with each other.
5. Change all voltage sources into current sources, and vice-versa.
Fig. 3-26 shows a ladder network and its dual representation.

Dual networks are convenient, in the case of equal terminations, if you desire to change the topology of the filter without changing the response. It is most often used, as shown in Example 3-7, to eliminate an unnecessary inductor which might have crept into the design through some other transformation process. Inductors are typically more lower-Q devices than capacitors and, therefore, exhibit higher losses. These losses tend to cause insertion loss, in addition to generally degrading the overall performance of the filter. The number of inductors in any network should. therefore, be reduced whenever possible.

A little experimentation with dual networks having unequal terminations will reveal that you can quickly get yourself into trouble if you are not careful. This is especially true if the load and source resistance are a design criteria and cannot be changed to suit the needs of your filter. Remember, when the dual of a network with unequal terminations is taken, then, the terminations must, by definition, change value as shown in Fig. 3-26.

## BANDPASS FILTER DESIGN

The low-pass prototype circuits and response curves given in this chapter can also be used in the design of bandpass filters. This is done through a simple

Table 3-7A. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for $1.0-\mathrm{dB}$ Ripple

transformation process similar to what was done in the high-pass case.

The most difficult task awaiting the designer of a bandpass filter, if the design is to be derived from the low-pass prototype, is in specifying the bandpass attenuation characteristics in terms of the low-pass response curves. A method for doing this is shown by the curves in Fig. 3-27. As you can see, when a lowpass design is transformed into a bandpass design, the attenuation bandwidth ratios remain the same. This means that a low-pass filter with a $3-\mathrm{dB}$ cutoff frequency, or a bandwidth of 2 kHz , would transform into a bandpass filter with a $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of 2 kHz . If the response of the low-pass network were down 30 dB at a frequency or bandwidth of 4 kHz ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=2$ ), then the response of the bandpass network would be down 30 dB at a bandwidth of 4 kHz . Thus, the normalized $f / f$, axis of the low-pass attenuation curves becomes a ratio of bandwidths rather than frequencies, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B W}{B W_{c}}=\frac{f}{f_{r}} \tag{Eq.3-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$B W=$ the bandwidth at the required value of at tenuation,
$B W_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of the bandpass filter.

Table 3-7B. Chebyshev Low-Pass Prototype Element Values for 1.0-dB Ripple

|  |  |  |  | $I_{I}^{I_{I}^{\prime}}$ | $\begin{gathered} L_{6} \\ m \\ C_{7}= \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\mathcal{S}} / R_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $L_{6}$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 5 | 1.000 | 2.207 | 1.128 | 3.103 | 1.128 | 2.207 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 4.414 | 0.565 | 4.653 | 1.128 | 2.207 |  |  |
|  | 0.333 | 6.622 | 0.376 | 6.205 | 1.128 | 2.207 |  |  |
|  | 0.250 | 8.829 | 0.282 | 7.756 | 1.128 | 2.207 |  |  |
|  | 0.125 | 17.657 | 0.141 | 13.961 | 1.128 | 2.207 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.721 | 1.645 | 2.061 | 1.493 | 1.103 |  |  |
| 6 | 3.000 | 0.679 | 3.873 | 0.771 | 4.711 | 0.969 | 2.406 |  |
|  | 4.000 | 0.481 | 5.644 | 0.476 | 7.351 | 0.849 | 2.582 |  |
|  | 8.000 | 0.227 | 12.310 | 0.198 | 16.740 | 0.726 | 2.800 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.378 | 2.097 | 1.690 | 2.074 | 1.494 | 1.102 |  |
| 7 | 1.000 | 2.204 | 1.131 | 3.147 | 1.194 | 3.147 | 1.131 | 2.204 |
|  | 0.500 | 4.408 | 0.566 | 6.293 | 0.895 | 3.147 | 1.131 | 2.204 |
|  | 0.333 | 6.612 | 0.377 | 9.441 | 0.796 | 3.147 | 1.131 | 2.204 |
|  | 0.250 | 8.815 | 0.283 | 12.588 | 0.747 | 3.147 | 1.131 | 2.204 |
|  | 0.125 | 17.631 | 0.141 | 25.175 | 0.671 | 3.147 | 1.131 | 2.204 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.741 | 1.677 | 2.155 | 1.703 | 2.079 | 1.494 | 1.102 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $L_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $L_{7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Often a bandpass response is not specified, as in Example 3-8. Instead, the requirements are often given as attenuation values at specified frequencies as shown by the curve in Fig. 3-28. In this case, you must transform the stated requirements into information that takes the form of Equation 3-14. As an example, consider Fig. 3-28. How do we convert the data that is given into the bandwidth ratios we need? Before we can answer that, we have to find $\mathrm{f}_{3}$. Use the following method.
The frequency response of a bandpass filter exhibits geometric symmetry. That is, it is only symmetric when plotted on a logarithmic scale. The center frequency of a geometrically symmetric filter is given by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{o}=\sqrt{f_{\mathrm{a}} f_{b}} \tag{Eq.3-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{a}$ and $f_{b}$ are any two frequencies (one above and one below the passband) having equal attenuation. Therefore, the center frequency of the response curve shown in Fig. 3-28 must be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{o} & =\sqrt{(45)(75)} \mathrm{MHz} \\
& =58.1 \mathrm{MHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can use Equation 3-15 again to find $\mathrm{f}_{3}$.

$$
58.1=\sqrt{f_{3}(125)}
$$

or,

$$
\mathrm{f}_{3}=27 \mathrm{MHz}
$$

Now that $f_{3}$ is known, the data of Fig. 3-28 can be put into the form of Equation 3-14.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{B W_{40 \mathrm{~dB}}}{B W_{3 \mathrm{~dB}}} & =\frac{125 \mathrm{MHz}-27 \mathrm{MHz}}{75 \mathrm{MHz}-45 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =3.27
\end{aligned}
$$

To find a low-pass prototype curve that will satisfy these requirements, simply refer to any of the pertinent graphs presented in this chapter and find a response which will provide 40 dB of attenuation at an $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of 3.27. (A fourth-order or better Butterworth filter will do quite nicely.)

The actual transformation from the low-pass to the bandpass configuration is accomplished by resonating each low-pass element with an element of the opposite type and of the same value. All shunt elements of the low-pass prototype circuit become parallel-resonant

## EXAMPLE 3-7

Design an LC high-pass filter with an $f_{c}$ of 60 MHz and a minimum attenuation of 40 dB at 30 MHz . The source and load resistance are equal at 300 ohms. Assume that a $0.5-\mathrm{dB}$ passband ripple is tolerable.

## Solution

First, normalize the attenuation requirements so that the low-pass attenuation curves may be used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{e}}} & =\frac{30 \mathrm{MHz}}{60 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Inverting, we get:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{f}}=2
$$

Now, select a normalized low-pass filter that offers at least $40-\mathrm{dB}$ attenuation at a ratio of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{f}=2$. Reference to Fig. 3.17 (attenuation response of $0.5-\mathrm{dB}$-ripple Chebyshev filters) indicates that a normalized $\mathrm{n}=5$ Chebyshev will provide the needed attenuation. Table 3-6 contains the element values for the corresponding network. The normalized low-pass prototype circuit is shown in Fig. 3-25A. Note that the schematic below Table 3-6B was chosen as the low-pass prototype circuit rather than the schematic above the table. The reason for doing this will become obvious after the next step. Keep in mind, however, that the ratio of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the same as the ratio of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$, and is unity. Therefore, it does not matter which form is used for the prototype circuit.

Next, transform the low-pass circuit to a high-pass network by replacing each inductor with a capacitor, and viceversa, using reciprocal element values as shown in Fig. 3-25B. Note here that had we begun with the low-pass prototype circuit shown above Table 3-6B, this transformation would have yielded a filter containing three inductors rather than the two shown in Fig. 3-25B. The object in any of these filter designs is to reduce the number of inductors in the final design. More on this later.

The final step in the design process is to scale the network in both impedance and frequency using Equations 3-12 and 3-13. The first two calculations are done for you.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\frac{\frac{1}{1.807}}{2 \pi\left(60 \times 10^{6}\right)(300)} \\
& =4.9 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$


(C) Frequency and impedance-scaled filter circuit.

Fig. 3-25. High-pass filter design for Example 3-7.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{300\left(\frac{1}{1.303}\right)}{2 \pi\left(60 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =611 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{3}=3.3 \mathrm{pF} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{5}=4.9 \mathrm{pF} \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{4}=611 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final filter circuit is given in Fig. 3-25C.

EXAMPLE 3-8
Find the Butterworth low-pass prototype circuit which, when transformed, would satisfy the following bandpass filter requirements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B W_{\mathrm{ads}} & =2 \mathrm{MHz} \\
B W_{\mathrm{man}} & =6 \mathrm{MHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution

Note that we are not concerned with the center frequency of the bandpass response just yet. We are only concerned with the relationship between the above requirements and
the low-pass response curves. Using Equation 3-14, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{BW}}{\mathrm{BW}}=\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}} & =\frac{\mathrm{B} W_{40 d B}}{\mathrm{~B} W_{3}+\mathrm{H}} \\
& =\frac{6 \mathrm{MHz}}{2 \mathrm{MHz}} \\
& =3
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, turn to the Butterworth response curves shown in Fig. 3-9 and find a prototype value that will provide 40 dB of attenuation at an $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=3$. The curves indicate a 5 element Butterworth filter will provide the needed attenuation.

(B) Its dual form.

Fig. 3-26. Duality.

(A) Low-pass prototype response.

(B) Bandpass response.

Fig. 3-27. Low-pass to bandpass
transformation bandwidths.


Fig. 3-28. Typical bandpass specifications.

Table 3-8A. Bessel Low-Pass Prototype Element Values

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}} / \mathrm{R}_{L}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $L_{4}$ |
| 2 | 1.000 | 0.576 | 2,148 |  |  |
|  | 1.111 | 0.508 | 2.310 |  |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.443 | 2.510 |  |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.380 | 2.764 |  |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.319 | 3.099 |  |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.260 | 3.565 |  |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.203 | 4.258 |  |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.149 | 5.405 |  |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.097 | 7.688 |  |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.047 | 14.510 |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.362 | 0.454 |  |  |
| 3 | 1.000 | 0.337 | 0.971 | 2.203 |  |
|  | 0.900 | 0.371 | 0.865 | 2.375 |  |
|  | 0.800 | 0.412 | 0.761 | 2.587 |  |
|  | 0.700 | 0.466 | 0.658 | 2.858 |  |
|  | 0.600 | 0.537 | 0.558 | 3.216 |  |
|  | 0.500 | 0.635 | 0.459 | 3.714 |  |
|  | 0.400 | 0.783 | 0.362 | 4.457 |  |
|  | 0.300 | 1.028 | 0.267 | 5.689 |  |
|  | 0.200 | 1.518 | 0.175 | 8.140 |  |
|  | 0.100 | 2.983 | 0.086 | 15.470 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.463 | 0.843 | 0.293 |  |
| 4 | 1.000 | 0.233 | 0.673 | 1.082 | 2.240 |
|  | 1.111 | 0.209 | 0.742 | 0.967 | 2.414 |
|  | 1.250 | 0.184 | 0.829 | 0.853 | 2.630 |
|  | 1.429 | 0.160 | 0.941 | 0.741 | 2.907 |
|  | 1.667 | 0.136 | 1.089 | 0.630 | 3.273 |
|  | 2.000 | 0.112 | 1.295 | 0.520 | 3.782 |
|  | 2.500 | 0.089 | 1.604 | 0.412 | 4.543 |
|  | 3.333 | 0.066 | 2.117 | 0.306 | 5.805 |
|  | 5.000 | 0.043 | 3.142 | 0.201 | 8.319 |
|  | 10.000 | 0.021 | 6.209 | 0.099 | 15.837 |
|  | $\infty$ | 1.501 | 0.978 | 0.613 | 0.211 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} / R_{S}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 3-29. Low-pass to bandpass circuit transformation.
circuits, and all series elements become series-resonant circuits. This process is illustrated in Fig. 3-30.
To complete the design, the transformed filter is

Table 3-8B. Bessel Low-Pass Prototype Element Values

|  |  |  |  |  | mom | $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{l} .}\right\}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $R_{\text {s }} \cdot R_{\text {I }}$ | $C_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $L_{+}$ | $C_{5}$ | $L_{6 ;}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{-}$ |
| 5 | 1.000 | 0.174 | 0.507 | 0.804 | 1.111 | 2.258 |  |  |
|  | 0.900 | 0.193 | 0.154 | 0.889 | 0.995 | 2.43:3 |  |  |
|  | 0.800 | 0.215 | 0.402 | 0.996 | 0.879 | 2.6.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.700 | 0.245 | 0.349 | 1.132 | 0.764 | 2.927 |  |  |
|  | 0.600 | 0.284 | 0.298 | 1.314 | 0.651 | 3.295 |  |  |
|  | 0.500 | 0.338 | 0.247 | 1.567 | 0.538 | 3.808 |  |  |
|  | 0.400 | 0.419 | 0.196 | 1.946 | 0.427 | 4.573 |  |  |
|  | 0.300 | 0.555 | 0.146 | 2.577 | 0.317 | 5.843 |  |  |
|  | 0.200 | 0.825 | 0.096 | 3.835 | 0.210 | 8.375 |  |  |
|  | 0.100 | 1.635 | 0.048 | 7.604 | 0.104 | 15.949 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 1.513 | 1.023 | 0.753 | 0.473 | 0.162 |  |  |
| 6 | 1.000 | 0.137 | 0.400 | 0.639 | 0.854 | 1.113 | 2.265 |  |
|  | 1.111 | 0.122 | 0.443 | 0.573 | 0.946 | 0.996 | 2.439 |  |
|  | 1.250 | 0.108 | 0.496 | 0.508 | 1.060 | 0.881 | 2.655 |  |
|  | 1.429 | 0.094 | 0.564 | 0.442 | 1.207 | 0.767 | 2.933 |  |
|  | 1.667 | 0.080 | 0.655 | 0.378 | 1.402 | 0.653 | 3.300 |  |
|  | 2.000 | 0.067 | 0.782 | 0.313 | 1.675 | 0.541 | 3.812 |  |
|  | 2.500 | 0.053 | 0.973 | 0.249 | 2.084 | 0.429 | 4.577 |  |
|  | 3.333 | 0.040 | 1.289 | 0.186 | 2.76 .3 | 0.319 | 5.847 |  |
|  | 5.000 | 0.026 | 1.289 | 0.123 | 4.120 | 0.211 | 8.378 |  |
|  | 10.000 | 0.013 | 3.815 | 0.061 | 8.186 | 0.105 | 15.951 |  |
|  | r | 1.512 | 1.033 | 0.813 | 0.607 | 0.379 | 0.129 |  |
| 7 | 1.000 | 0.111 | 0.326 | 0.525 | 0.702 | 0.869 | 1.105 | 2.266 |
|  | 0.900 | 0.122 | 0.292 | 0.582 | 0.630 | 0.963 | 0.990 | 2.440 |
|  | 0.800 | 0.137 | 0.259 | 6.652 | 0.559 | 1.080 | 0.875 | 2.656 |
|  | 0.700 | 0.156 | 0.226 | 0.743 | 0.487 | 1.231 | 0.762 | 2.932 |
|  | 0.600 | 0.182 | 0.193 | 0.863 | 0.416 | 1.431 | 0.649 | 3.298 |
|  | 0.500 | 0.217 | 0.160 | 1.032 | 0.346 | 1.711 | 0.537 | 3.809 |
|  | 0. .00 | 0.270 | 0.127 | 1.285 | 0.276 | 2.130 | 0.427 | 4.572 |
|  | 0.300 | 0.358 | 0.095 | 1.705 | 0.206 | 2.828 | 0.318 | 5.838 |
|  | 0.200 | 0.534 | 0.063 | 2.545 | 0.137 | 4.221 | 0.210 | 8.362 |
|  | 0.100 | 1.061 | 0.03 I | 5.062 | 0.068 | 8.397 | 0.104 | 15.917 |
|  | $\sim$ | $1.50 \%$ | 1.029 | 0.835 | 0.675 | 0.503 | 0.311 | 0.105 |
| $n$ | $R_{L} R_{\text {S }}$ | $L_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | $L_{\overline{5}}$ | $C_{6}$ | $L_{\text {- }}$ |
| (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 3-30. Typical band-rejection filter curves.
then frequency- and impedance-scaled using the following formulas. For the parallel-resonant branches,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2 \pi \mathrm{RB}}  \tag{Eq.3-16}\\
& \mathrm{~L}=\frac{\mathrm{RB}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{0}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

(Eq. 3-17)
and, for the series-resonant branches,

$$
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{B}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R}}
$$

(Eq. 3-18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{RL}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2 \pi \overline{\mathrm{~B}}} \tag{Eq.3-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in all cases,
$R=$ the final load impedance,
$\mathrm{B}=$ the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth of the final design,
$\mathrm{f}_{0}=$ the geometric center frequency of the final design,
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ the normalized inductor bandpass element values,
$\mathrm{C}_{n}=$ the normalized capacitor bandpass element values.
Example 3-9 furnishes one final example of the procedure for designing a bandpass filter.

## SUMMARY OF THE BANDPASS FILTER DESIGN PROCEDURE

1. Transform the bandpass requirements into an equivalent low-pass requirement using Equation 3-14.
2. Refer to the low-pass attenuation curves provided in order to find a response that meets the requirements of Step 1 .
3. Find the corresponding low-pass prototype and write it down.
4. Transform the low-pass network into a bandpass configuration.
5. Scale the bandpass configuration in both impedance and frequency using Equations 3-16 through 3-19.

## BAND-REJECTION FILTER DESIGN

Band-rejection filters are very similar in design approach to the bandpass filter of the last section. Only, in this case, we want to reject a certain group of frequencies as shown by the curves in Fig. 3-30.
The band-reject filter lends itself well to the lowpass prototype design approach using the same procedures as were used for the bandpass design. First, define the bandstop requirements in terms of the lowpass attenuation curves. This is done by using the inverse of Equation 3-14. Thus, referring to Fig. 3-30, we have:

$$
\frac{B W_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{BW}}=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{4}-\mathrm{f}_{1}}{\mathrm{f}_{3}-\mathrm{f}_{2}}
$$

This sets the attenuation characteristic that is needed and allows you to read directly off the low-pass attenuation curves by substituting $\mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{BW}$ for $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{f}$ on the normalized frequency axis. Once the number of elements that are required in the low-pass prototype circuit is determined, the low-pass network is transformed into a band-reject configuration as follows:

Each shunt element in the low-pass prototype circuit is replaced by a shunt series-resonant circuit, and each series-element is replaced by a series parallel-resonant circuit.

EXAMPLE 3-9
Design a bandpass filter with the following requirements:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}=75 \mathrm{MHz} & \text { Passband Ripple }=1 \mathrm{~dB} \\
\mathrm{BW}_{3 \mathrm{~dB}}=7 \mathrm{MHz} & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=50 \mathrm{ohms} \\
\mathrm{BW}_{\mathrm{td} \mathrm{~dB}}=35 \mathrm{MHz} & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=100 \text { ohms }
\end{array}
$$

Solution
Using Equation 3-14:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{B W_{4 \mathrm{ABP}}}{B W_{3 \mathrm{~dB}}} & =\frac{35}{7} \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute this value for $f / f_{c}$ in the low-pass attenuation curves for the $1-\mathrm{dB}$-ripple Chebyshev response shown in Fig. 3-18. This reveals that a 3 -element filter will provide about 50 dB of attenuation at an $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=5$, which is more than adequate. The corresponding element values for this filter can be found in Table 3-7 for an $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.5$ and an $\mathrm{n}=3$. This yields the low-pass prototype circuit of Fig. 3-32A which is transformed into the bandpass prototype circuit of Fig. 3-32B. Finally, using Equations 3-16 through 3-19, we obtain the final circuit that is shown in Fig. 3-32C. The calculations follow. Using Equations 3-16 and 3-17:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\frac{4.431}{2 \pi(100)\left(7 \times 10^{6}\right)} \\
& =1007 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{1} & =\frac{(100)\left(7 \times 10^{8}\right)}{2 \pi\left(75 \times 10^{8}\right)^{2}(4.431)} \\
& =4.47 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Equations 3-18 and 3-19:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =\frac{7 \times 10^{6}}{2 \pi\left(75 \times 10^{8}\right)^{2}(0.817) 100} \\
& =2.4 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{2} & =\frac{(100)(0.817)}{2 \pi\left(7 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =1.86 \mu \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=504 \mathrm{pF}$
$\mathrm{L}_{3}=8.93 \mathrm{nH}$
This is shown in Fig. 3-31. Note that both elements in each of the resonant circuits have the same normalized value.

Once the prototype circuit has been transformed into its band-reject configuration, it is then scaled in impedance and frequency using the following formulas. For all series-resonant circuits:


Fig. 3-31. Low-pass to band-reject transformation.

( A) Low-pass prototype circuit.

(B) Bandpass transformation.

(C)Final circuit with frequency and impedance scaled.

Fig. 3-32. Bandpass filter design for Example 3-9.


Fig. 3-3.3. The effect of finite-Q elements on filter response.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2 \pi \mathrm{RB}}  \tag{Eq.3-20}\\
& \mathrm{~L}=\frac{\mathrm{RB}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{n}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{n}} \tag{Eq.3-21}
\end{align*}
$$

For all parallel-resonant circuits:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{B}}{2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{RC}_{\mathrm{n}}}  \tag{Eq.3-22}\\
& \mathrm{~L}=\frac{\mathrm{RL}}{2 \pi \mathrm{~B}^{\frac{3}{3}}} \tag{Eq.3-23}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in all cases,
$B=$ the $3-d B$ bandwidth,
$R=$ the final load resistance.
$f_{0}=$ the geometric center frequency,
$C_{n}=$ the normalized capacitor band-reject element value,
$L_{\Lambda_{\|}}=$the normalized inductor band-reject element value.

## THE EFFECTS OF FINITE Q

Thus far in this chapter, we have assumed the inductors and capacitors used in the designs to be lossless. Indeed, all of the response curves presented in this chapter are based on that assumption. But we know from our previous study of Chapters 1 and 2 that even though capacitors can be approximated as having infinite Q , inductors cannot. and the effects of the finite- $Q$ inductor must be taken into account in any filter design.

The use of finite element $Q$ in a design intended for lossless elements causes the following unwanted effects (refer to Fig. 3-33) :

1. Insertion loss of the filter is increased whereas the final stopband attenuation does not change. The relative attenuation between the two is decreased.
2. At frequencies in the vicinity of cutoff ( $f_{r}$ ), the response becomes more rounded and usually results in an attenuation greater than the 3 dB that was originally intended.
3. Ripple that was designed into the passband will be reduced. If the element $Q$ is sufficiently low, ripple will be totally eliminated.
4. For band-reject filters, the attenuation in the stopband becomes finite. This, coupled with an increase in passband insertion loss, decreases the relative attenuation significantly.
Regardless of the gloomy predictions outlined above, however, it is possible to design filters, using the approach outlined in this chapter, that very closely resemble the ideal response of each network. The key is to use the highest- $Q$ inductors available for the given task. Table 3-9 outlines the recommended minimum element-Q requirements for the filters presented in this chapter. Keep in mind, however, that anytime a low-Q component is used, the actual attenuation response of the network strays from the ideal response to a degree depending upon the element $Q$. It is. therefore, highly recommended that you make it a habit to use only the highest-Q components available.

Table 3-9. Filter Element-Q Requirements

| Filter Type | Minimum Flement $Q$ <br> Required |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bessel | 3 |
| Butterworth | 15 |
| $0.01-\mathrm{dB}$ Chebyshev | 24 |
| 0.1-dB Chebyshev | 3.9 |
| $0.5-\mathrm{dB}$ Chebyshev | .77 |
| $1-\mathrm{dB}$ Chebyshev | 7.5 |

The insertion loss of the filters presented in this chapter can be calculated in the same manner as was used in Chapter 2. Simply replace each reactive element with resistor values corresponding to the $Q$ of the element and, then, exercise the voltage division rule from source to load.

## IMPEDANCE MATCHING



Impedance matching is often necessary in the design of rf circuitry to provide the maximum possible transfer of power between a source and its load. Probably the most vivid example of the need of such a transfer of power occurs in the front end of any sensitive receiver. Obviously, any unnecessary loss in a circuit that is already carrying extremely small signal levels simply cannot be tolerated. Therefore, in most instances, extreme care is taken during the initial design of such a front end to make sure that each device in the chain is matched to its load.

In this chapter, then, we will study several methods of matching a given source to a given load. This will be done both numerically and with the aid of the Smith Chart and, in both cases, exact step-by-step procedures will be presented making any calculations as painless as possible.

## BACKGROUND

There is a well-known theorem which states that, for dc circuits, maximum power will be transferred
from a source to its load if the load resistance equals the source resistance. A simple proof of this theorem is given by the calculations and the sketches shown in Fig. 4-1. In the calculation, for convenience, the source is normalized for a resistance of one ohm and a source voltage of one volt.
In dealing with ac or time-varying waveforms, however, that same theorem states that the maximum transfer of power, from a source to its load, occurs when the load impedance ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) is equal to the complex conjugate of the source impedance. Complex conjugate simply refers to a complex impedance having the same real part with an opposite reactance. Thus, if the source impedance were $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{jX}$, then its complex conjugate would be $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{jX}$.
If you followed the mathematics associated with Fig. 4-1, then it should be obvious why maximum transfer of power does occur when the load impedance is the complex conjugate of the source. This is shown schematically in Fig. 4-2. The source ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), with a series reactive component of +jX (an inductor), is driving its complex conjugate load impedance con-

Proof that $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}$ MAX occurs when $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$, in the circuit of Fig. 4-1A, is given by the formula:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)
$$

Set $V_{8}=1$ and $R_{S}=1$, for convenience. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}{1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}
$$

Then, the power into $R_{L}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{1} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}{1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\left(1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If you plot $P_{1}$ versus $R_{L}$, as in the preceding equation, the result is shown by the curve of the graph in Fig. 4-1B.
sisting of a $-j X$ reactance (capacitor) in series with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$. The +jX component of the source and the -jX component of the load are in series and, thus, cancel each other, leaving only $R_{S}$ and $R_{L}$, which are equal by definition. Since $\mathbf{R}_{S}$ and $R_{L}$ are equal, maximum power transfer will occur. So when we speak of a source driving its complex conjugate, we are simply referring to a condition in which any source reactance is resonated with an equal and opposite load reactance; thus, leaving only equal resistor values for the source and the load terminations.

The primary objective in any impedance matching scheme, then, is to force a load impedance to "look like" the complex conjugate of the source impedance so that maximum power may be transferred to the load. This is shown in Fig. 4-3 where a load impedance of $2-\mathrm{j} 6$ ohms is transformed by the impedance matching network to a value of $5+\mathrm{j} 10$ ohms. Therefore, the source "sees" a load impedance of $5+\mathrm{j} 10$ ohms, which just happens to be its complex conjugate. It should be noted here that because we are dealing with reactances, which are frequency dependent, the perfect impedance match can occur only at one frequency. That is the frequency at which the +jX component exactly equals the - j X component and, thus, cancellation or resonance occurs. At all other frequencies removed from the matching center frequency, the impedance match becomes progressively worse and eventually nonexistent. This can be a problem in broadband circuits where we would ideally like to provide a perfect match everywhere within the broad passband. There are methods, however, of increasing the bandwidth of the match and a few of these methods will be presented later in this chapter.
There are an infinite number of possible networks which could be used to perform the impedance matching function of Fig. 4-3. Something as simple as a 2 element LC network or as elaborate as a 7 -element filter, depending on the application, would work equally well. The remainder of this chapter is devoted to providing you with an insight into a few of those infinite possibilities. After studying this chapter, you should be able to match almost any two complex loads with a minimum of effort.

## THE L NETWORK

Probably the simplest and most widely used matching circuit is the $L$ network shown in Fig. 4-4. This circuit receives its name because of the component orientation which resembles the shape of an L. As shown in the sketches, there are four possible arrangements of the two L and C components. Two of the arrangements (Figs. 4-4A and 4-4B) are in a low-pass configuration while the other two (Figs. 4-4C and 4-4D) are in a high-pass configuration. Both of these circuits should be recognized from Chapter 3.

Before we introduce equations which can be used to design the matching networks of Fig. 4-4, let's first


Fig. 4-2. Source impedance driving its complex conjugate and the resulting equivalent circuit.


Fig. 4-3. Impedance transformation.


Fig. 4-4. The L network.


Fig. 4-5. Simple impedance-match network between a $100-\mathrm{ohm}$ source and a 1000 -ohm load.
analyze an existing matching network so that we can understand exactly how the impedance match occurs. Once this analysis is made, a little of the "black magic" surrounding impedance matching should subside.


Fig. 4-6. Impedance looking into the parallel combination of $R_{L}$ and $X_{c}$.


Fig. 4-7. Equivalent circuit of Fig. 4-6.


Fig. 4-8. Completing the match.
Fig. 4-5 shows a simple L network impedancematching circuit between a 100 -ohm source and a 1000 -ohm load. Without the impedance-matching network installed, and with the $100-\mathrm{ohm}$ source driving the $1000-\mathrm{ohm}$ load directly, about 4.8 dB of the available power from the source would be lost. Thus, roughly one-third of the signal available from the source is gone before we even get started. The im-pedance-matching network eliminates this loss and allows for maximum power transfer to the load. This is done by forcing the 100 -ohm source to see 100 ohms when it looks into the impedance-matching network. But how?
If you analyze Fig. 4-5, the simplicity of how the match occurs will amaze you. Take a look at Fig. 4-6. The first step in the analysis is to determine what the load impedance actually looks like when the -j 333 -ohm capacitor is placed across the 1000 -ohm load resistor. This is easily calculated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
& =\frac{-\mathrm{j} 333(1000)}{-j 333+1000} \\
& =315 \angle-71.58^{\circ} \\
& =100-\mathrm{j} 300 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the parallel combination of the -j 333 -ohm ca-
pacitor and the 1000 -ohm resistor looks like an impedance of $100-\mathrm{j} 300$ ohms. This is a series combination of a 100 -ohm resistor and a -j 300 -ohm capacitor as shown in Fig. 4-7. Indeed, if you hooked a signal generator up to circuits that are similar to Figs. 4-6 and 4-7, you would not be able to tell the difference between the two as they would exhibit the same characteristics (except at dc, obviously).
Now that we have an apparent series $100-\mathrm{j} 300-\mathrm{ohm}$ impedance for a load, all we must do to complete the impedance match to the 100 -ohm source is to add an equal and opposite ( +j 300 ohm ) reactance in series with the network of Fig. 4-7. The addition of the +j 300 -ohm inductor causes cancellation of the -j 300 ohm capacitor leaving only an apparent 100 -ohm load resistor. This is shown in Fig. 4-8. Keep in mind here that the actual network topology of Fig. 4-5 has not changed. All we have done is to analyze small portions of the network so that we can understand the function of each component.
To summarize then, the function of the shunt component of the impedance-matching network is to transform a larger impedance down to a smaller value with a real part equal to the real part of the other terminating impedance (in our case, the 100 -ohm source). The series impedance-matching element then resonates with or cancels any reactive component present, thus leaving the source driving an apparently equal load for optimum power transfer. So you see, the impedance match isn't "black magic" at all but can be completely explained every step of the way.
Now, back to the design of the impedance-matching networks of Fig. 4-4. These circuits can be very easily designed using the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}}-1}  \tag{Eq.4-1}\\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}} & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}}  \tag{Eq.4-2}\\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{Eq.4-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as shown in Fig. 4-9:
$Q_{s}=$ the $Q$ of the series leg,
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ the $Q$ of the shunt leg,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ the shunt resistance,
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ the shunt reactance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ the series resistance,
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the series reactance .
The quantities $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ may be either capacitive or inductive reactance but each must be of the opposite type. Once $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is chosen as a capacitor, for example, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ must be an inductor, and vice-versa. Example 4-1 illustrates the procedure.

## DEALING WITH COMPLEX LOADS

The design of Example 4-1 was used for the simple case of matching two real impedances (pure resis-


Fig. 4-9. Summary of the L-network design.

## EXAMPLE 4-1

Design a circuit to match a 100 -ohm source to a 1000 ohm load at 100 MHz . Assume that a de voltage must also be transferred from the source to the load.

## Solution

The need for a dc path between the source and load dictates the need for an inductor in the series leg, as shown in Fig. 4-4A. From Equation 4-1, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}, & =\mathrm{Q}_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{1000}{100}-1} \\
& =\sqrt{9} \\
& =3
\end{aligned}
$$

From Equation 4-2, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1} & =Q_{\checkmark} R_{\mathbf{r}} \\
& =(3)(100) \\
& =300 \text { ohms (inductive) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from Equation 4-3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{p} & =\frac{R_{p}}{Q_{p}} \\
& =\frac{1000}{3} \\
& =333 \text { ohms (capacitive) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the component values at 100 MHz are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 . & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{*}}{\omega} \\
& =\frac{300}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)} \\
& =477 \mathrm{nH} \\
\mathrm{C}= & \frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{8}\right)(333)} \\
= & 4.8 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the circuit shown in Fig. 4-10. Notice that what you have done is to design the circuit that was previously given in Fig. 4-5 and, then, analyzed.


Fig. 4-10. Final circuit for Example 4-1.
tances). It is very rare when such an occurrence actually exists in the real world. Transistor input and output impedances are almost always complex; that is they contain both resistive and reactive components ( $\mathrm{R} \pm \mathrm{jX}$ ). Transmission lines, mixers, antennas, and most other sources and loads are no different in that respect. Most will always have some reactive component which must be dealt with. It is, therefore, necessary to know how to handle these stray reactances and, in some instances, to actually put them to work for you.

There are two basic approaches in handling complex impedances:

1. Absorption-To actually absorb any stray reactances into the impedance-matching network itself. This can be done through prudent placement of each matching element such that element capacitors are placed in parallel with stray capacitances, and element inductors are placed in series with any stray inductances. The stray component values are then subtracted from the calculated element values leaving new element values ( $C^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ), which are smaller than the calculated element values.
2. Resonance-To resonate any stray reactance with an equal and opposite reactance at the frequency of interest. Once this is done the matching network design can proceed as shown for two pure resistances in Example 4-1.

Of course, it is possible to use both of the approaches outlined above at the same time. In fact, the majority of impedance-matching designs probably do utilize a little of both. Let's take a look at two simple examples to help clarify matters.

Notice that nowhere in Example 4-2 was a conjugate match even mentioned. However, you can rest assured that if you perform the simple analysis outlined in the previous section of this chapter, the impedance looking into the matching network, as seen by the source, will be $100-j 126$ ohms, which is indeed the complex conjugate of $100+\mathrm{j} 126$ ohms.

Obviously, if the stray element values are larger than the calculated element values, absorption cannot take place. If, for instance, the stray capacitance of Fig. 4-11 were 20 pF , we could not have added a shunt element capacitor to give us the total needed shunt capacitance of 4.8 pF . In a situation such as this, when absorption is not possible, the concept of resonance coupled with absorption will often do the trick.

Examples 4-2 and 4-3 detail some very important concepts in the design of impedance-matching networks. With a little planning and preparation, the design of simple impedance-matching networks between complex loads becomes a simple number-crunching task using elementary algebra. Any stray reactances present in the source and load can usually be absorbed in the matching network (Example 4-2), or they can

EXAMPLE 4-2
Use the absorption approach to match the source and load shown in Fig. 4-11 (at 100 MHz ).


Fig. 4-11. Complex source and load circuit for Example 4-2.

## Solution

The first step in the design process is to totally ignore the reactances and simply match the 100 -ohm real part of the source to the $1000-\mathrm{ohm}$ real part of the load (at 100 MHz ). Keep in mind that you would like to use a matching network that will place element inductances in series with stray inductance and element capacitances in parallel with stray capacitances. Thus, conveniently, the network circuit shown in Fig. 4-4A is again chosen for the design and, again, Example 4-1 is used to provide the details of the procedure. Thus, the calculated values for the network, if we ignore stray reactances, are shown in the circuit of Fig. $4-10$. But, since the stray reactances really do exist, the design is not yet finished as we must now somehow absorb the stray reactances into the matching network. This is done as follows. At the load end, we need 4.8 pF of capacitance for the matching network. We already have a stray 2 pF available at the load so why not use it. Thus, if we use a $2.8-\mathrm{pF}$ element capacitor, the total shunt capacitance becomes 4.8 pF , the design value. Similarly, at the source, the matching network calls for a series $477-\mathrm{nH}$ inductor. We already have a +j 126 -ohm, or $200-\mathrm{nH}$, inductor available in the source. Thus, if we use an actual element inductance of $477 \mathrm{nH}-200 \mathrm{nH}=277 \mathrm{nH}$, then the total series inductance will be 477 nH -which is the calculated design value. The final design circuit is shown in Fig. 4-12.


Fig. 4-12. Final design circuit for Example 4-2.
be resonated with an equal and opposite reactance, which is then absorbed into the network (Example $4-3$ ).

## THREE-ELEMENT MATCHING

Equation 4-1 reveals a potential disadvantage of the 2 -element L networks described in the previous sections. It is a fact that once $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$, or the source and load impedance, are determined, the Q of the network is defined. In other words, with the L network, the
designer does not have a choice of circuit Q and simply must take what he gets. This is, of course, usually the case because the source and load impedance are typically given in any design and, thus, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ cannot be changed.
The lack of circuit-Q versatility in a matching network can be a hindrance, however, especially if a narrow bandwidth is required. The 3-element network overcomes this disadvantage and can be used for narrow-band high-Q applications. Furthermore, the designer can select any practical circuit $Q$ that he wishes as long as it is greater than that $Q$ which is possible with the L-matching network alone. In other words, the circuit Q established with an L-matching network is the minimum circuit Q available in the 3 element matching arrangement.
The 3 -element network (shown in Fig. 4-17) is called a Pi network because it closely resembles the Greek letter $\pi$. Its companion network (shown in Fig. 4-18) is called a T network for equally obvious reasons.

## The Pi Network

The Pi network can best be described as two "back-to-back" L networks that are both configured to match the load and the source to an invisible or "virtual" resistance located at the junction between the two networks. This is illustrated in Fig. 4-19. The significance of the negative signs for $-\mathrm{X}_{81}$ and $-\mathrm{X}_{82}$ is symbolic. They are used merely to indicate that the $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ values are the opposite type of reactance from $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 2}$, respectively. Thus, if $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p1}}$ is a capacitor, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 1}$ must be an inductor, and vice-versa. Similarly, if $\mathrm{X}_{p^{2}}$ is an inductor, $\mathrm{X}_{92}$ must be a capacitor, and viceversa. They do not indicate negative reactances (capacitors).
The design of each section of the Pi network proceeds exactly as was done for the L networks in the previous sections. The virtual resistance ( R ) must be smaller than either $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ because it is connected to the series arm of each $L$ section but, otherwise, it can be any value you wish. Most of the time, however, R is defined by the desired loaded Q of the circuit that you specify at the beginning of the design process. For our purposes, the loaded Q of this network will be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{R}}-1} \tag{Eq.4-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ the largest terminating impedance of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$, $\mathrm{R}=$ the virtual resistance.

Although this is not entirely accurate, it is a widely accepted Q-determining formula for this circuit, and is certainly close enough for most practical work. Example 4-4 illustrates the procedure.
Any of the networks in Fig. 4-21 will perform the impedance match between the 100 -ohm source and the

## EXAMPLE 4-3

Design an impedance matching network that will block the flow of de from the source to the load in Fig. 4-13. The frequency of operation is 75 MHz . Try the resonant approach.


Fig. 4-13. Complex load circuit for Example 4-3.

## Solution

The need to block the flow of dcom the source to the load dictates the use of the matching network of Fig. 4-4C. But, first, let's get rid of the stray $40-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor by resonating it with a shunt inductor at 75 MHz .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\frac{1}{\omega^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\text {meray }}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left[2 \pi\left(75 \times 10^{8}\right)\right]^{2}\left(40 \times 10^{-12}\right)} \\
& =112.6 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig, 4-14. Resonating the stray load capacitance.
This leaves us with the circuit shown in Fig. 4-14. Now that we have eliminated the stray capacitance, we can proceed with matching the network between the 50 -ohm load and the apparent $600-$ ohm load. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\bullet} & =Q_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}-1} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{600}{50}-1} \\
& =3.32 \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& =(3.32)(50) \\
& =166 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \\
& =\frac{600}{3.32} \\
& =181 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the element values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =\frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{X}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(75 \times 10^{8}\right)(166)} \\
& =12.78 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L} & =\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\omega} \\
& =\frac{181}{2 \pi\left(75 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =384 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 4-15. The circuit of Fig. 4-14 after impedance matching.

These values, then, yield the circuit of Fig. 4-15. But notice that this circuit can be further simplified by simply replacing the two shunt inductors with a single inductor. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n} \theta w} & =\frac{\mathrm{L}_{1} L_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{3}} \\
& =\frac{(384)(112.6)}{384+112.6} \\
& =87 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final circuit design appears in Fig. f-I6.


Fig. 4-16. Final design circuit for Example 4-3.


Fig. 4-17. The three-element Pi network.


Fig. 4-18. The three-element ' T ' network.


Fig. 4-19. The Pi network shown as two back-to-back L networks.

1000 -ohm load. The one that you choose for each particular application will depend on any number of factors including:

1. The elimination of stray reactances.
2. The need for harmonic filtering.
3. The need to pass or block dc voltage.

## The T network

The design of the 3 -element T network is exactly the same as for the Pi network except that with the T , you match the load and the source, through two L-type networks, to a virtual resistance which is larger than either the load or source resistance. This means that the two L-type networks will then have their shunt legs connected together as shown in Fig. 4-22.

The T network is often used to match two lowvalued impedances when a high- $Q$ arrangement is needed. The loaded Q of the T network is determined by the $L$ section that has the highest $Q$. By definition, the $L$ section with the highest $Q$ will occur on the end which has the smallest terminating resistor. Remember, too, that each terminating resistor is in the series leg of each network. Therefore, the formula for determining the loaded Q of the T network is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\text {small }}}-1} \tag{Eq.4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R}=$ the virtual resistance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {small }}=$ the smallest terminating resistance.

This formula is exactly the same as the $Q$ formula that was previously given for the Pi-type networks. However, since we have reversed or "flip-flopped" the L sections to produce the T network, we must also make sure that we redefine the Q formula to account for the new resistor placement, in relation to those L networks. In other words, Equations 4-4 and 4-5 are only special applications of the general formula that is given in Equation 4-1 (and repeated here for convenience).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}}-1} \tag{Eq.4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$R_{p}=$ the resistance in the shunt branch of the $L$ network,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ the resistance in the series branch of the L network.

So, try not to get confused with the different definitions of circuit Q . They are all the same.

Each L network is calculated in exactly the same manner as was given in the previous examples and, as we shall soon see, we will also end up with four possible configurations for the T network (Example 4-5).

## LOW-Q OR WIDEBAND MATCHING NETWORKS

Thus far in this chapter we have studied: (1) the L network, which has a circuit Q that is automatically defined when the source and load impedances are set, and (2) the Pi and T networks, which allow us to select a circuit Q independent of the source and load impedances as long as the $Q$ chosen is larger than that which is available with the $L$ network. This seems to indicate, and rightfully so, that the Pi and T networks are great for narrow-band matching networks. But what if an impedance match is required over a fairly broad range of frequencies. How do we handle that? The answer is to simply use two L sections in still another configuration as shown in Fig. 4-25. Notice here that the virtual resistor is in the shunt leg of one L section and in the series leg of the other L section. We, therefore, have two series-connected L sections rather than the back-to-back configuration of the Pi and T networks. In this new configuration, the value of the virtual resistor ( R ) must be larger than the smallest termination impedance and, also, smaller than the largest termination impedance. Of course, any virtual resistance that satisfies these criteria may be chosen. The net result is a range of loaded-Q values that is less than the range of Q values obtainable from either a single L section, or the Pi and T networks previously described.

The maximum bandwidth (minimum Q) available from this network is obtained when the virtual resistor $(\mathrm{R})$ is made equal to the geometric mean of the two impedances being matched.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\sqrt{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{Eq.4-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The loaded Q of the network, for our purposes, is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\text {smaller }}}-1}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\text {larger }}-1}{\mathrm{R}}-1} \tag{Eq.4-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R}=$ the virtual resistance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{smanliler}}=$ the smallest terminating resistance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {larger }}=$ the largest terminating resistance.
If even wider bandwidths are needed, more $L$ networks may be cascaded with virtual resistances between each network. Optimum bandwidths in these cases are obtained if the ratios of each of the two succeeding resistances are equal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{\text {smaller }}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}} \ldots=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1 \text { arger }}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{Eq.4-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 4-4

Using Fig. 4-19 as a reference, design four different Pi networks to match a 100 -ohm source to a 1000 -ohm load. Each network must have a loaded $Q$ of 15 .

## Solution

From Equation 4-4, we can find the virtual resistance we will be matching.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}+1} \\
& =\frac{1000}{226} \\
& =4.42 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $\mathrm{X}_{p z}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{p 2} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{p}}{\mathrm{Q}_{p}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{L}}{\mathrm{Q}} \\
& =\frac{1000}{15} \\
& =66.7 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, to find $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{yz}} & =\mathrm{QR}_{\text {veripy }} \\
& =15(\mathrm{R}) \\
& =(15)(4.42) \\
& =66.3 \mathrm{obms}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the design of the L section on the load side of the network. Note that $\mathrm{R}_{\text {refien }}$ in the above equation was substituted for the virtual resistor $R$, which by definition is in the series arm of the $L$ section.

The Q for the other L network is now defined by the ratio of R* to R, as per Equation 4-1, where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\sqrt{\frac{R_{1}}{R}-1} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{100}{4.42}-1} \\
& =4.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice here that the source resistor is now considered to be in the shunt leg of the $L$ network. Therefore, $R_{s}$ is defined as $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{p}}{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \\
& =\frac{100}{4.6} \\
& =21.7 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{v_{1}} & =Q_{1} R_{v e r l e s} \\
& =Q_{1} R \\
& =(4.6)(4.46) \\
& =20.51 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

The actual network design is now complete and is shown in Fig. 4-20. Remember that the virtual resistor ( R ) is not really in the circuit and, therefore, is not shown. Reactances - $\mathrm{X}_{* 1}$ and -- $\mathrm{X}_{n \pm}$ are now in series and can simply be added together to form a single component.

So far in the design, we have dealt only with reactances and have not yet computed actual component values. This


Fig. 4-20. Calculated reactances for Example 4-4.
is because of the need to maintain a general design approach so that four final networks can be generated quickly as per the problem statement.
Notice that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{pl}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{n} 1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p2}}$, and $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ can all be either capacitive or inductive reactances. The only constraint is that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 2}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{41}$ are of opposite types, and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 2}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x} 2}$ are of opposite types. This yields the four networks of Fig. 4-21 (the source and load have been omitted). Each component in Fig. 4-21 is shown as a reactance (in ohms). Therefore, to perform the transformation from the dual-L to the Pi network, the two series components are merely added if they are alike, and subtracted if the reactances are of opposite type. The final step, of course, is to change each reactance into a component value of capacitance and inductance at the frequency of operation.

(A)

(B)

(C)

(D)

Fig. 4-21. The transformation from double-L to Pi networks.


Fig. 4-22. The T network shown as two back-to-back L networks.
where,
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {smaller }}=$ the smallest terminating resistance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {larger }}=$ the largest terminating resistance,
$R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots R_{n}=$ virtual resistors.
This is shown in Fig. 4-26.
The design procedure for these wideband matching networks is precisely the same as was given for the previous examples. To design for a specific low $Q$, simply solve Equation 4-7 for $R$ to find the virtual

EXAMPLE 4-5
Using Fig. 4-22 as a reference, design four different networks to match a 10 -ohm source to a 50 -ohm load. Each network is to have a loaded Q of 10 .

## Solution

Using Equation 4-5, we can find the virtual resistance we need for the match.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{R}_{1 \mathrm{man} 11}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}+1\right) \\
& =10(101) \\
& =1010 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

From Equation 4-2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a1}} & =\mathrm{QR}_{s} \\
& =10(10) \\
& =100 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Equation 4-3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{p 1} & =\frac{R}{Q} \\
& =\frac{1010}{10} \\
& =101 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for the $L$ network on the load end, the $Q$ is defined by the virtual resistor and the load resistor. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}_{2} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}}-1} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1010}{50}-1} \\
& =4.4
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 2} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{Q}_{2}} \\
& =\frac{1010}{4.4} \\
& =230 \mathrm{ohms} \\
\mathrm{X}_{* 2} & =\mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \\
& =(4.4)(50) \\
& =220 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

The network is now complete and is shown in Fig. 4-23 without the virtual resistor.

The two shunt reactances of Fig. 4-23 can again be combined to form a single element by simply substituting a value that is equal to the combined equivalent parallel reactance of the two.

The four possible T-type networks that can be used for matching the 10 -ohm source to the 50 -ohm load are shown in Fig. 4-24.


Fig. 4-23. The calculated reactances of Example 4-5.

(A)

(B)

(C)

(D)

Fig. 4-24. The transformation of circuits from double-L to T-type networks.


Fig. 4-25. Two series-connected $L$ networks for lower $Q$ applications.


Fig. 4-26. Expanded version of Fig. 4-25 for even wider bandwidths.
resistance needed. Or, to design for an optimally wide bandwidth, solve Equation 4-6 for R. Once R is known, the design is straightforward.

## THE SMITH CHART

Probably one of the most useful graphical tools available to the rf circuit designer today is the Smith Chart shown in Fig. 4-27. The chart was originally conceived back in the Thirties by a Bell Laboratories engineer named Phillip Smith, who wanted an easier method of solving the tedious repetitive equations that often appear in rf theory. His solution, appropriately named the Smith Chart, is still widely in use.

At first glance, a Smith Chart appears to be quite complex. Indeed, why would anyone of sound mind even care to look at such a chart? The answer is really quite simple; once the Smith Chart and its uses are understood, the rf circuit designer's job becomes much less tedious and time consuming. Very lengthy complex equations can be solved graphically on the chart in seconds, thus lessening the possibility of errors creeping into the calculations.

## Smith Chart Construction

The mathematics behind the construction of a Smith Chart are given here for those that are interested. It is important to note, however, that you do not need to know or understand the mathematics surrounding the actual construction of a chart as long as you
understand what the chart represents and how it can be used to your advantage. Indeed, there are so many uses for the chart that an entire volume has been written on the subject. In this chapter, we will concentrate mainly on the Smith Chart as an impedance matching tool and other uses will be covered in later chapters. The mathematics follow.

The reflection coefficient of a load impedance when given a source impedance can be found by the formula:

$$
\rho=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}}
$$

(Step 1)
In normalized form, this equation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{Z_{0}-1}{Z_{0}+1} \tag{Step2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ is a complex impedance of the form $R+j X$.
The polar form of the reflection coefficient can also be represented in rectangular coordinates:

$$
\rho=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{jq}
$$

Substituting into Step 2, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+i q=\frac{R+j X-1}{R+j X+1} \tag{Step3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we solve for the real and imaginary parts of $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{jq}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}-1+\mathrm{X}^{2}}{(\mathrm{R}+1)^{2}+\mathrm{X}^{2}} \tag{Step4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{2 x}{(R+1)^{2}+X^{2}} \tag{Step5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solve Step 5 for X :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{R}+1)^{2}-\mathrm{R}^{2}+1}{1-\mathrm{p}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{Step6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, substitute Step 6 into Step 5 to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p-\frac{R}{R+I}\right)^{2}+q^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{R+1}\right)^{2} \tag{Step7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 7 is the equation for a family of circles whose centers are at:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}+1} \\
& \mathrm{q}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and whose radii are equal to:

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}+1}
$$

These are the constant resistance circles, some of which are shown in Fig. 4-28A.
Similarly, we can eliminate $R$ from Steps 4 and 5 to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)^{2}+\left(q-\frac{1}{X}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{X}\right)^{2} \tag{Step8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 4-27. The Smith Chart. (Courtesy Analog Instruments Co.)

(A) Constant resistance circles.

(B) Constant reactance circles.

Fig. 4-28. Smith Chart construction.
which represents a family of circles with centers at $\mathrm{p}=1, \mathrm{~V}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}}$, and radii of $\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}}$. These circles are shown plotted on the p , jq axis in Fig. 4-28B.
As the preceding mathematics indicate, the Smith Chart is basically a combination of a family of circles and a family of arc of circles-the centers and radii of which can be calculated using the equations given (Steps 1 through 8). Fig. 4-28 shows the chart broken down into these two families. The circles of Fig. 4-28A are known as constant resistance circles. Each point on a constant resistance circle has the same resistance as any other point on the circle. The arcs of circles shown
in Fig. 4-28B are known as constant reactance circles, as each point on a circle has the same reactance as any other point on that circle. These circles are centered off of the chart and, therefore, only a small portion of each is contained within the boundary of the chart. All arcs above the centerline of the chart represent +jX , or inductive reactances, and all arcs below the centerline represent - jX , or capacitive reactances. The centerline must, therefore, represent an axis where $\mathrm{X}=0$ and is, therefore, called the real axis.
Notice in Fig. 4-28A that the "constant resistance $=$ 0 " circle defines the outer boundary of the chart. As the resistive component increases, the radius of each circle decreases and the center of each circle moves toward the right on the chart. Then, at infinite resistance, you end up with an infinitely small circle that is located at the extreme right-hand side of the chart. A similar thing happens for the constant reactance circles shown in Fig. 4-28B. As the magnitude of the reactive component increases ( -jX or +jX ), the radius of each circle decreases, and the center of each circle moves closer and closer to the extreme right side of the chart. Infinite resistance and infinite reactance are thus represented by the same point on the chart.
Since the outer boundary of the chart is defined as the " $R=0$ " circle, with higher values of $R$ being contained within the chart, it follows then that any point outside of the chart must contain a negative resistance. The concept of negative resistance is useful in the study of oscillators and it is mentioned here only to state that the concept does exist, and if needed, the Smith Chart can be expanded to deal with it.
When the two charts of Fig. 4-28 are incorporated into a single version, the Smith Chart of Fig. 4-29 is born. If we add a few peripheral scales to aid us in other rf design tasks, such as determining standing wave ratio (SWR), reflection coefficient, and transmission loss along a transmission line, the basic chart of Fig. 4-27 is completed.

## Plotting Impedance Values

Any point on the Smith Chart represents a series combination of resistance and reactance of the form $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{jX}$. Thus, to locate the impedance $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{jl}$, you would find the $\mathrm{R}=1$ constant resistance circle and follow it until it crossed the $\mathrm{X}=1$ constant reactance circle. The junction of these two circles would then represent the needed impedance value. This particular point, shown in Fig. 4-30, is located in the upper half of the chart because X is a positive reactance or an inductor. On the other hand, the point $1-j 1$ is located in the lower half of the chart because, in this instance, X is a negative quantity and represents a capacitor. Thus, the junction of the $\mathrm{R}=1$ constant resistance circle and the $\mathrm{X}=-1$ constant reactance circle defines that point.
In general, then, to find any series impedance of the form $\mathrm{R} \pm \mathrm{jX}$ on a Smith Chart, you simply find the junction of the $\mathrm{R}=$ constant and $\mathrm{X}=$ constant circles.


Fig. 4-29. The basic Smith Chart.


Fig. 4-30. Plotting impedances on the chart.
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Fig. 4-31. More impedances are plotted on the chart.

In many cases, the actual circles will not be present on the chart and you will have to interpolate between two that are shown. Thus, plotting impedances and, therefore, any manipulation of those impedances must be considered an inexact procedure which is subject to "pilot error." Most of the time, however, the error introduced by subjective judgements on the part of the user, in plotting impedances on the chart, is so small as to be negligible for practical work. Fig. 4-31 shows a few more impedances plotted on the chart.

Notice that all of the impedance values plotted in Fig. 4-31 are very small numbers. Indeed, if you try to plot an impedance of $\mathrm{Z}=100+\mathrm{j} 150$ ohms, you will not be able to do it accurately because the $\mathrm{R}=100$ and $\mathrm{X}=150 \mathrm{ohm}$ circles would be (if they were drawn) on the extreme right edge of the chart-very close to infinity. In order to facilitate the plotting of larger impedances, normalization must be used. That is, each impedance to be plotted is divided by a convenient number that will place the new normalized impedance near the center of the chart where increased accuracy in plotting is obtained. Thus, for the preceding example, where $\mathrm{Z}=100+\mathrm{j} 150$ ohms, it would be convenient to divide Z by 100 , which yields the value $\mathrm{Z}=1+\mathrm{j} 1.5$. This is very easily found on the chart. Once a chart is normalized in this manner, all impedances plotted on that chart must be divided by the same number in the normalization process. Otherwise, you will be left with a bunch of impedances with which nothing can be done.

## Impedance Manipulation on the Chart

Fig. 4-32 graphically indicates what happens when a series capacitive reactance of -j 1.0 ohm is added to an impedance of $Z=0.5+\mathrm{j} 0.7 \mathrm{ohm}$. Mathematically, the result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z} & =0.5+\mathrm{j} 0.7-\mathrm{j} 1.0 \\
& =0.5-\mathrm{j} 0.3 \mathrm{ohm}
\end{aligned}
$$

which represents a series RC quantity. Graphically, what we have done is move downward along the $\mathrm{R}=$ 0.5 -ohm constant resistance circle for a distance of $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{j} 1.0$ ohm. This is the plotted impedance point of $\mathrm{Z}=0.5-\mathrm{j} 0.3 \mathrm{ohm}$, as shown. In a similar manner, as shown in Fig. 4-33, adding a series inductance to a plotted impedance value simply causes a move upward along a constant resistance circle to the new impedance value. This type of construction is very important in the design of impedance-matching networks using the Smith Chart and must be understood. In general then, the addition of a series capacitor to an impedance moves that impedance downward (counterclockwise) along a constant resistance circle for a distance that is equal to the reactance of the capacitor. The addition of any series inductor to a plotted impedance moves that impedance upward (clockwise) along a constant resistance circle for a distance that is equal to the reactance of the inductor.

## Conversion of Impedance to Admittance

The Smith Chart, although described thus far as a family of impedance coordinates, can easily be used to convert any impedance ( Z ) to an admittance ( Y ), and vice-versa. In mathematical terms, an admittance is simply the inverse of an impedance, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}} \tag{Eq.4-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, the admittance ( Y ) contains both a real and an imaginary part, similar to the impedance ( Z ). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=G \pm j B \tag{Eq.4-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{G}=$ the conductance in mhos,
$B=$ the susceptance in mhos.
The circuit representation is shown in Fig. 4-34. Notice that the susceptance is positive for a capacitor and negative for an inductor, whereas, for reactance, the opposite is true.

To find the inverse of a series impedance of the form $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{jX}$ mathematically, you would simply use Equation 4-9 and perform the resulting calculation. But, how can you use the Smith Chart to perform the calculation for you without the need for a calculator? The easiest way of describing the use of the chart in performing this function is to first work a problem out mathematically and, then, plot the results on the chart to see how the two functions are related. Take, for example, the series impedance $\mathrm{Z}=1+\mathrm{j}$. The inverse of Z is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{jl}} \\
& =\frac{1}{1.414 \angle 45^{\circ}} \\
& =0.7071 \angle-45^{\circ} \\
& =0.5-\mathrm{j} 0.5 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we plot the points $1+\mathrm{jl}$ and $0.5-\mathrm{j} 0.5$ on the Smith Chart, we can easily see the graphical relationship between the two. This construction is shown in Fig. 4-35. Notice that the two points are located at exactly the same distance (d) from the center of the chart but in opposite directions ( $180^{\circ}$ ) from each other. Indeed, the same relationship holds true for any impedance and its inverse. Therefore, without the aid of a calculator, you can find the reciprocal of an impedance or an admittance by simply plotting the point on the chart, measuring the distance (d) from the center of the chart to that point, and, then, plotting the measured result the same distance from the center but in the opposite direction ( $180^{\circ}$ ) from the original point. This is a very simple construction technique that can be done in seconds.

Another approach that we could take to achieve the same result involves the manipulation of the actual chart rather than the performing of a construc-


Fig. 4-32. Addition of a series capacitor.


Fig. 4-33. Addition of a series inductor.


Fig. 4-34. Circuit representation for admittance.
tion on the chart. For instance, rather than locating a point $180^{\circ}$ away from our original starting point, why not just rotate the chart itself $180^{\circ}$ while fixing the starting point in space? The result is the same, and it can be read directly off of the rotated chart without performing a single construction. This is shown in Fig. 4-36 (Smith Chart Form ZY-01-N) ${ }^{\circ}$ where the rotated chart is shown in black. Notice that the impedance plotted (solid lines on the red coordinates) is located at $\mathrm{Z}=1+\mathrm{j} 1$ ohms, and the reciprocal of that (the admittance) is shown by dotted lines on the black coordinates as $\mathrm{Y}=0.5-\mathrm{j} 0.5$. Keep in mind that because we have rotated the chart $180^{\circ}$ to obtain the admittance coordinates, the upper half of the admittance chart represents negative susceptance ( -jB ) which is inductive, while the lower half of the admittance chart represents a positive susceptance $(+\mathrm{jB})$ which is capacitive. Therefore, nothing has been lost in the rotation process.
The chart shown in Fig. 4-36, containing the superimposed impedance and admittance coordinates, is an extremely useful version of the Smith Chart and is the one that we will use throughout the remainder of the book. But first, let's take a closer look at the admittance coordinates alone.

## Admittance Manipulation on the Chart

Just as the impedance coordinates of Figs. 4-32 and 4 -33 were used to obtain a visual indication of what occurs when a series reactance is added to an impedance, the admittance coordinates provide a visual indication of what occurs when a shunt element is added to an admittance. The addition of a shunt capacitor is shown in Fig. 4-37. Here we begin with an admittance of $\mathrm{Y}=0.2-\mathrm{j} 0.5$ mho and add a shunt capacitor with a susceptance (reciprocal of reactance) of +j 0.8 mho. Mathematically, we know that parallel susceptances are simply added together to find the equivalent susceptance. When this is done, the result becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =0.2-\mathrm{j} 0.5+\mathrm{j} 0.8 \\
& =0.2+\mathrm{j} 0.3 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

If this point is plotted on the admittance chart, we quickly recognize that all we have done is to move along a constant conductance circle ( G ) downward (clockwise) a distance of $\mathrm{jB}=0.8 \mathrm{mho}$. In other words,

[^1]the real part of the admittance has not changed, only the imaginary part has. Similarly, as Fig. 4-38 indicates, adding a shunt inductor to an admittance moves the point along a constant conductance circle upward (counterclockwise) a distance ( -jB ) equal to the value of its susceptance.

If we again superimpose the impedance and admittance coordinates and combine Figs. 4-32, 4-33, 4-37, and $4-38$ for the general case, we obtain the useful chart shown in Fig. 4-39. This chart graphically illustrates the direction of travel, along the impedance and admittance coordinates, which results when the particular type of component that is indicated is added to an existing impedance or admittance. A simple example should illustrate the point (Example 4-6).

## IMPEDANCE MATCHING ON THE SMITH CHART

Because of the ease with which series and shunt components can be added in ladder-type arrangements on the Smith Chart, while easily keeping track of the impedance as seen at the input terminals of the structure, the chart seems to be an excellent candidate for an impedance-matching tool. The idea here is simple. Given a load impedance and given the impedance that the source would like to see, simply plot the load impedance and, then, begin adding series and shunt elements on the chart until the desired impedance is achieved-just as was done in Example 4-6.

## Two-Element Matching

Two-element matching networks are mathematically very easy to design using the formulas provided in earlier sections of this chapter. For the purpose of illustration, however, let's begin our study of a Smith Chart impedance-matching procedure with the simple network given in Example 4-7.
To make life much easier for you as a Smith Chart user, the following equations may be used. For a series-C component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{1}{\omega X N} \tag{Eq.4-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a series-L component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{XN}}{\omega} \tag{Eq.4-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a shunt-C component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\omega \mathrm{~N}} \tag{Eq.4-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a shunt-L component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\omega \mathrm{~B}} \tag{Eq.4-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\omega=2 \pi f,
$$

$\mathrm{X}=$ the reactance as read from the chart,


Fig. 4-35. Impedance-admittance conversion on the Smith Chart.

| NAME | TITLE | DWG, NO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMITH CHART FORM ZY-01-N | ANALOG INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, NEW PROVIDENCE, N.J. 07974 | DATE |
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Fig. 4-36. Superimposed admittance coordinates.


Fig. 4-37. Addition of a shunt capacitor.


Fig. 4-38. Addition of a shunt inductor.

| NAME | TITLE | DWG. NO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMITH CHART FORM ZY-01-N | ANALOG INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, NEW PROVIDENCE, N.J. O7974 | DATE |
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Fig. 4-39. Summary of component addition on a Smith Chart.
$B=$ the susceptance as read from the chart,
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number used to normalize the original impedances that are to be matched.

If you use the preceding equations, you will never have to worry about changing susceptances into reactances before unnormalizing the impedances. The equations take care of both operations. The only thing you have to do is read the value of susceptance (for shunt components) or reactance (for series components) directly off of the chart, plug this value into the equation used, and wait for your actual component values to pop out.

## Three-Element Matching

In earlier sections of this chapter, you learned that the only real difference between two-element and three-element matching is that with three-element matching, you are able to choose the loaded Q for the network. That was easy enough to do in a mathe-matical-design approach due to the virtual resistance concept. But how can circuit $Q$ be represented on a Smith Chart?

As you have seen before, in earlier chapters, the $Q$ of a series-impedance circuit is simply equal to the ratio of its reactance to its resistance. Thus, any point on a Smith Chart has a $Q$ associated with it. Alternately, if you were to specify a certain $Q$, you could find an infinite number of points on the chart that could satisfy that $Q$ requirement. For example, the following impedances located on a Smith Chart have a $Q$ of 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R+j X & =1 \pm j 5 \\
& =0.5 \pm j 2.5 \\
& =0.2 \pm j 1 \\
& =0.1 \pm j 0.5 \\
& =0.05 \pm j 0.25
\end{aligned}
$$

These values are plotted in Fig. 4-45 and form the arcs shown. Thus, any impedance located on these arcs must have a Q of 5 . Similar arcs for other values of $Q$ can be drawn with the arc of infinite $Q$ being located along the perimeter of the chart and the $\mathrm{Q}=0$ arc (actually a straight line) lying along the pure resistance line located at the center of the chart.

The design of high- $Q$ three-element matching networks on a Smith Chart is approached in much the same manner as in the mathematical methods presented earlier in this chapter. Namely, one branch of the network will determine the loaded Q of the circuit, and it is this branch that will set the characteristics of the rest of the circuit.
The procedure for designing a three-element im-pedance-matching network for a specified Q is summarized as follows:

1. Plot the constant-Q arcs for the specified Q .
2. Plot the load impedance and the complex conjugate of the source impedance.
3. Determine the end of the network that will be used to establish the loaded $Q$ of the design. For $T$ networks, the end with the smaller terminating resistance determines the Q . For Pi networks, the end with the larger terminating resistor sets the Q .
4. For T networks:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}>\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

## EXAMPLE 46

What is the impedance looking into the network shown in Fig. 4-40? Note that the task has been simplified due to the fact that shunt susceptances are shown rather than shunt reactances.


Fig. 4-40. Circuit for Example 4-6.

## Solution

This problem is very easily handled on a Smith Chart and not a single calculation needs to be performed. The solution is shown in Fig. 4-42. It is accomplished as follows.

First, break the circuit down into individual branches as shown in Fig. 4-41. Plot the impedance of the series RL branch where $\mathbf{Z}=1+j 1$ ohm. This is point $\mathbf{A}$ in Fig. 4-42. Next, following the rules diagrammed in Fig. 4-39, begin adding each component back into the circuit-one at a time. Thus, the following constructions (Fig. 4-42) should be noted:


Fig. 4-41. Circuit is broken down into individual branch elements.

> Arc $\mathrm{AB}=$ shunt $\mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{jB}=0.3 \mathrm{mho}$
> $\operatorname{Arc} \mathrm{BC}=$ series $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{jX}=1.4 \mathrm{ohms}$
> $\operatorname{Arc} \mathrm{CD}=$ shunt $\mathrm{C}=+\mathrm{jB}=1.1 \mathrm{mhos}$
> Arc $\mathrm{DE}=$ series $\mathrm{L}=+\mathrm{jX}=0.9 \mathrm{ohm}$

The impedance at point E (Fig. 4-42) can then be read directly off of the chart as $\mathrm{Z}=0.2+\mathrm{j} 0.5 \mathrm{ohm}$.

EXAMPLE 4-6-Cont.

| NAME | TITLE |  | OWG. NQ. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMITH CHART FORM $Z Y-01-N$ | ANALOG |  |  |
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Fig. 4-42. Smith Chart solution for Example 4-6.

Move from the load along a constant-R circle (series element) and intersect the $Q$ curve. The length of this move determines your first element. Then, proceed from this point to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{Z}_{8}{ }^{\circ}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}$ conjugate) in two moves-first with a shunt and, then, with a series element.

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}<\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Find the intersection (I) of the Q curve and the source impedance's $\mathrm{R}=$ constant circle, and plot that point. Move from the load impedance to point I with two elements-first, a series element and, then, a shunt element. Move from point $I$ to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\circ}$ along the $\mathrm{R}=$ constant circle with another series element.
5. For Pi networks:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}>\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Find the intersection (I) of the $Q$ curve and the source impedance's $G=$ constant circle, and plot that point. Move from the load impedance to point

I with two elements-first, a shunt element and, then, a series element. Move from point I to $\mathrm{Z}_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ along the $\mathrm{G}=$ constant circle with another shunt element.

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}<\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Move from the load along a constant G circle (shunt element) and intersect the Q curve. The length of this move determines your first element. Then, proceed from this point to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\circ}$ in two moves-first, with a series element and, then, with a shunt element.

The above procedures might seem complicated to the neophyte but remember that we are only forcing the constant-resistance or constant-conductance arc, located between the Q -determining termination and the specified- $Q$ curve, to be one of our matching elements. An example may help to clarify matters (Example 4-8).

## Multielement Matching

In multielement matching networks where there is no Q constraint, the Smith Chart becomes a veritable

## EXAMPLE 4-7

Design a two-element impedance-matching network on a Smith Chart so as to match a 25 - j15-ohm source to a 100 - j25-ohm load at 60 MHz . The matching network must also act as a low-pass filter between the source and the load.

## Solution

Since the source is a complex impedance, it wants to "see" a load impedance that is equal to its complex conjugate ( as discussed in earlier sections of this chapter). Thus, the task before us is to force the 100 - j25-ohm load to look like an impedance of $25+j 15$ ohms.
Obviously, the source and load impedances are both too large to plot on the chart, so normalization is necessary. Let's choose a convenient number ( $N=50$ ) and divide all impedances by this number. The results are $0.5+\mathrm{j} 0.3 \mathrm{ohm}$ for the impedance the source would like to see and $2-\mathrm{j} 0.5$ ohms for the actual load impedance. These two values are easily plotted on the Smith Chart, as shown in Fig. 4-44, where, at point $A, Z_{I}$ is the normalized load impedance and, at point $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Z}_{8}{ }^{\circ}$ is the normalized complex conjugate of the source impedance.

The requirement that the matching network also be a low-pass filter forces us to use some form of series-L, shunt-C arrangement. The only way we can get from the impedance at point $A$ to the impedance at point $C$ and still fulfill this requirement is along the path shown in Fig. 4-44. Thus, following the rules of Fig. 4-39, the are AB of Fig. 4-44 is a shunt capacitor with a value of $+\mathrm{jB}=0.73 \mathrm{mho}$. The arc $B C$ is a series inductor with a value of $+\mathrm{jX}=1.2$ ohms.

The shunt capacitor as read from the Smith Chart is a susceptance and can be changed into an equivalent reactance by simply taking the reciprocal.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{1}{+\mathrm{jB}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{j} 0.73 \mathrm{mho}} \\
& =-\mathrm{j} 1.37 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE 4-7-Cont.
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Fig. 4-44. Solution to Example 4-7.


Fig. 4-45. Lines of constant Q.
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Fig. 4-46. Smith Chart solution for Example 4-8.

## EXAMPLE 4-8

Design a T network to match a $\mathrm{Z}=15+j 15$-ohm source to a 225 -ohm load at 30 MHz with a loaded Q of 5 .

## Solution

Following the procedures previously outlined, draw the arcs for $\mathrm{Q}=5$ first and, then, plot the load impedance and the complex conjugate of the source impedance. Obviously, normalization is necessary as the impedances are too large to be located on the chart. Divide by a convenient value (choose $\mathrm{N}=75$ ) for normalization. Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\circ} & =0.2-\mathrm{j} 0.2 \mathrm{ohm} \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} & =3 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

The construction details for the design are shown in Fig. 4-46.

The design statement specifies a $T$ network. Thus, the source termination will determine the network Q because $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}<\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

Following the procedure for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}<\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (Step 4, above), first plot point $I$, which is the intersection of the $Q=5$ curve and the $\mathrm{R}=$ constant circuit that passes through $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{2}^{\circ}}$. Then, move from the load impedance to point I with two elements.

Element $1=\operatorname{arc} \mathrm{AB}=$ series $\mathrm{L}=j 2.5 \mathrm{ohms}$
Element $2=\operatorname{arc} \mathrm{BI}=$ shunt $\mathrm{C}=j 1.15$ mhos
Then, move from point $I$ to $Z{ }^{\circ}$ along the $\mathrm{R}=$ constant circle.

Element $3=\operatorname{arc} \mathrm{IC}=$ series $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{j} 0.8$ ohm

Use Equations 4-11 through 4-14 to find the actual element values.

Element $1=$ series L:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\frac{(2.5) 75}{2 \pi\left(30 \times 10^{6}\right)} \\
& =995 \mathrm{nHI}
\end{aligned}
$$

Element 2 = shunt C :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =\frac{1.15}{2 \pi\left(30 \times 10^{8}\right) 75} \\
& =81 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

Element $3=$ series L:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\frac{(0.8) 75}{2 \pi\left(30 \times 10^{6}\right)} \\
& =318 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final network is shown in Fig. 4-47.


Fig. 4-47. Final circuit for Example 4-8.
treasure trove containing an infinite number of possible solutions. To get from point A to point B on a Smith Chart, there is, of course, an optimum solution. However, the optimum solution is not the only solution. The two-element network gets you from point A to point $B$ with the least number of components and the threeelement network can provide a specified Q by following a different route. If you do not care about Q , however, there are $3-, 4$-, 5 -, 10 -, and 20 -element (and more) impedance-matching networks that are easily designed on a Smith Chart by simply following the constant-conductance and constant-resistance circles until you eventually arrive at point B, which, in our case, is usually the complex conjugate of the source impedance. Fig. 4-48 illustrates this point. In the lower right-hand corner of the chart is point A. In the upper left-hand corner is point B. Three of the infinite number of possible solutions that can be used to get from point A to point B , by adding series and shunt inductances and capacitances, are shown. Solu-
tion 1 starts with a series-L configuration and takes 9 elements to get to point B. Solution 2 starts with a shunt-L procedure and takes 8 elements, while Solution 3 starts with a shunt-C arrangement and takes 5 elements. The element reactances and susceptances can be read directly from the chart, and Equations 4-11 through 4-14 can be used to calculate the actual component values within minutes.

## SUMMARY

Impedance matching is not a form of "black magic" but is a step-by-step well-understood process that is used to help transfer maximum power from a source to its load. The impedance-matching networks can be designed either mathematically or graphically with the aid of a Smith Chart. Simpler networks of two and three elements are usually handled best mathematically, while networks of four or more elements are very easily handled using the Smith Chart.


Fig. 4-48. Multielement matching.

## THE TRANSISTOR AT RADIO FREQUENCIES



In Chapter 1, we discussed resistors, capacitors, and inductors, and their behavior at radio frequencies. We found that when working at higher frequencies, we could no longer think of a capacitor as just a capacitor, or an inductor as a perfect inductor. In fact, each of these components can be represented by an equivalent circuit that indicates just how imperfect that component really is.

In this chapter, we will find that the transistor, too, is an imperfect device whose characteristics also vary with frequency. Therefore, the equivalent circuit for a typical transistor is introduced and analyzed. Then, with the aid of the equivalent circuit, the input, output, feedback, and gain characteristics are described. We will then examine $Y$ and $S$ parameters and take a look at how manufacturers typically present the transistor's characteristics on their data sheets.

## THE TRANSISTOR EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT

Just as resistors, capacitors, and inductors can be modeled by an equivalent circuit at radio frequencies, transistor behavior can also be best described by such a circuit as shown in Fig. 5-1. This is a common-emitter configuration of the equivalent circuit known as the hybrid- $\pi$ model. At first glance, the hybrid- $\pi$ model looks to be quite formidable for analysis purposes. After defining each component of the model, however, some simplifying assumptions will be made to aid in the analysis process.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bb}}$-Base spreading resistance. This is an inevitable resistance that occurs at the junction between the base terminal or contact and the semiconductor


Fig. 5-I. Transistor equivalent circuit-common-emitter configuration.
material that composes the base. Its value is usually in the tens of ohms. Smaller transistors tend to exhibit larger values of $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b b}}$.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b} \text { 'e }}$-Input resistance. The resistance that occurs at the base-emitter junction of a forward-biased transistor. Typical values range around 1000 ohms.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{c}-\text { Feedback resistance. This is a very large }(\approx 5) ~}^{\text {. }}$ megohm) resistance appearing from the base to the collector of the transistor.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ce}}-$-Output resistance. As the name implies, this is simply the resistance seen looking back into the collector of the transistor. A value for a typical transistor would be about 100 K .
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}-$ Emitter diffusion capacitance. This capacitance is really the sum of the emitter diffusion capacitance and the emitter junction capacitance, both of which are associated with the physics of the semiconductor junction itself and which is beyond the scope of this book. It does exist, however, and since the junction capacitance is so small, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is usually called diffusion capacitance with a typical value of 100 pF .
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}-$ Feedback capacitance. This component is formed at the reverse-biased collector-to-base junction of the transistor. As the frequency of operation for the transistor increases, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ can begin to have a very pronounced effect on transistor operation. A typical value for this component might be 3 pF .

Also shown in Fig. 5-1 is a current source of value $\beta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}$. Beta ( $\beta$ ) is, of course, the small-signal ac current gain of the transistor while $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\prime}$ is the current through $r_{b^{\prime}}$. The current source can be thought of as simply an indication of current flow in the collector that is dependent upon the current that flows in the base of the transistor. Therefore, the collector current is equal to the base current times the $\beta$ of the transistor, or $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=\beta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}$.

Keep in mind that Fig. 5-1 depicts only those inherent parasitic elements that are internal to the semiconductor material itself. Somehow, however, a connection has to be made from the semiconductor material to the transistor leads. This is done with a minute piece of wire called a bonding wire, which, at high frequencies, adds a bit of inductance to the equivalent circuit. The transistor leads themselves
tend to exhibit additional series inductance and the equivalent circuit begins to resemble that of Fig. 5-2, where $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E}}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{c}$ are the base, emitter, and collector lead and bonding inductance, respectively.
It certainly should be obvious now that the equivalent circuit for a typical transistor is not trivial, but contains numerous components, all of which will affect the device's operation at high frequency to $a$ certain degree. If some simplifying assumptions are made, however, we should be able to use the equivalent circuit to determine how the transistor behaves at radio frequencies.

## Input Impedance

One of the first simplifications that can be made to the circuit of Fig. 5-2 is to eliminate $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}}$. Five megohms is, after all, a rather large resistance and, for our purposes, looks like an open circuit. The next step is to use a principle called the Miller effect to transpose $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ from its series base-to-collector connection to a position that is in parallel with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$, with a new value of $\left(C_{r}\right)\left(1-\beta R_{L}\right)$, where $R_{L}$ is the load resistance. This capacitance is then combined with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ to form a new total capacitance, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$. These changes are shown in Fig. 5-3.
The input impedance variation over frequency for a transistor is very easily found by analyzing the circuit of Fig. 5-4. Here we have included only the elements of the equivalent circuit that have an effect on the transistor's input impedance. Notice that the primary contributors are $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$-neither of which the designer has any control over. The quantity $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bb}}$, on the other hand, is a very small resistance while $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E}}$ can vary in size depending on circuit layout. If you are very careful, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E}}$ can be limited practically to the bonding inductance that was mentioned previously. If this is the case, these elements will have practically no effect on input impedance until well above very high frequencies (vhf).

If we begin our analysis at dc, the circuit of Fig. 5-4 reduces to $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bb}}$ in series with $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{r}}$ and the input impedance is a pure resistance and is at its maximum value. As the frequency of operation increases, however, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ begins to play an increasingly important role. Its shunting effect (around $r_{b^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}$ ) tends to reduce the impedance considerably, until at high frequencies, it


Fig. 5-2. An equivalent circuit including lead inductance.
effectively eliminates $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}}$ from the circuit. When this occurs, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bb}}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E}}$ become the major contributors to the transistor's input impedance.

The impedance looking into the terminals of Fig. $5-4$ can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{i n}=j \omega L_{B}+r_{b^{\prime}}+\frac{\frac{1}{j \omega C_{T}}\left(r_{b^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}\right)}{\frac{1}{j \omega C_{T}}+r_{h^{\prime \prime}}}+j \omega L_{F} \\
& =j \omega\left(L_{R}+L_{F^{\prime}}\right)+r_{b b^{\prime}}+\frac{r_{b^{\prime}}}{1+r_{b^{\prime} \mathrm{e}} j \omega C_{T}} \\
& =j \omega L_{T}+r_{b^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}+\frac{r_{b^{\prime}}}{1+j \omega r_{b^{\prime} e^{\prime}} C_{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is plotted on the Smith Chart shown in Fig. 5-5 with the following values inserted into the equation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=20 \mathrm{nH} & \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{h}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}}=1000 \mathrm{ohms} \\
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{bb}}=50 \mathrm{ohms} & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}=100 \mathrm{pF}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that the chart is normalized for convenience. The actual input impedance of the hypothetical transistor is 1050 ohms at dc and 50 ohms at 112 MHz . Therefore, to find the actual impedance of this transistor at any frequency, simply multiply the value found on the chart by 100 .

The impedance is presented on the Smith Chart for two reasons. First, and most obvious, is for practice and, second, because of the ease with which both impedance and admittance can be read from the chart at a glance. Most manufacturers, as you will see, use admittance parameters rather than impedance parameters to describe transistor characteristics on their data sheets. This can sometimes be confusing to the


Fig. 5-3. An equivalent circuit using the Miller effect.


Fig. 5-4. Equivalent input impedance.


Fig. 5-5. Input impedance vs. frequency.
designer who is not used to working with admittances. However, you will soon be handling both impedance and admittance information equally well.

## Output Impedance

The output impedance of a transistor typically decreases with frequency. Let's go back to the original circuit of Fig. 5-1 to see why. We can manipulate Fig. 5-1 in much the same manner as was done in the last section, and can arrive at a convenient circuit that will be useful for an output impedance analysis. Looking into the collector terminal, the first component quantity that we see is $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ce}}$, which has a typical value of 100 K . This resistance is very large in comparison to the other components in the network and can usually be ignored. The same thing can be said for $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \text {. }}$. This leaves us with the circuit of Fig. 5-6.


Fig. 5-6. Equivalent output impedance.
The first inclination in an analysis of this circuit would be to assume that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ are the determining factors in any output impedance calculation and that they alone cause the output impedance to decrease with frequency. Although $\mathrm{C}_{r}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{e}$ do have an effect on the output impedance of the device, there is another mechanism that is not so obvious that also has quite an effect. This can best be understood if we assume that the transistor is in operation and that some of the collector signal is being fed back to the base through $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c} .}$. When this occurs, some of the signal voltage being fed back appears across $r_{b^{\prime} e}$ causing current to flow in the resistor. This current flow in the base region is amplified by the $\beta$ of the transistor, thus increasing the collector current. The increase in collector current appears as a decrease in collector impedance. Therefore, even though $C_{e}$ and $C_{e}$ act to reduce the output impedance level of the transistor through a decrease in their capacitive reactances, there is also a hidden element which tends to further decrease the impedance level beyond that which you would ordinarily expect to find by just looking at the equivalent circuit. Any changes in an external source resistance ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) will also change $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {out }}$. Increasing $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$ decreases $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {out }}$ because more of the signal current being fed back is forced through $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \text { e. }}$.

## Feedback Characteristics

The feedback components of the transistor equivalent circuit that is shown in Fig. 5-1 are $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Of the two, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the most important since it is the element whose value changes with frequency. The
quantity $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}$, on the other hand, is very large and constant and contributes very little to the feedback characteristics of the device.

As the frequency of operation for a transistor increases, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ becomes more and more important to the circuit designer because, of course, its reactance is decreasing. Thus, more and more of the collector signal is fed back to the base. At low frequencies, the feedback is usually not much of a problem because $\mathrm{C}_{r}$. coupled with other stray capacitances located in and around the circuit or circuit-board area, is usually not enough to cause instability. At high frequencies, however, stray reactances coupled with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ could act to produce a $180^{\circ}$ phase shift from collector to base in the fed-back signal. This $180^{\circ}$ phase shift, when added to the $180^{\circ}$ phase shift that is produced in the normal signal inversion from base-to-collector during amplification, could turn an amplifier into an oscillator very quickly.

Another problem associated with the internal feedback of the transistor is the fact that the collector circuitry is not truly isolated from the base circuitry. Thus, any change in the load resistance of the collector circuitry directly affects the input impedance of the transistor. Or, similarly, any change in the source resistance in the base circuitry directly affects the output impedance of the transistor. This malady is especially important to consider when you are trying to perform an impedance match on both the input and the output of the transistor simultaneously. If, for example, you first match the transistor's input impedance to the source and then match the load to the transistor's output impedance, the output matching network will cause the transistor's input impedance to change from its original value. Therefore, the input matching network is no longer valid and must be redesigned. Once you redesign the input matching network, however, this impedance change will reflect through to the collector causing an output impedance change which invalidates the output matching network. Therefore, if you totally ignore the feedback components in the transistor's equivalent circuit when designing impedance matching networks, you will not obtain a perfect match for the transistor. Nevertheless, if $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is small, the match at both the input and the output might be tolerable in many cases.

It should be pointed out that there is a method for performing a simultaneous coniugate match on a transistor while taking into account the effects of $\mathrm{C}_{6}$. This method is covered in detail in Chapter 6.

## Gain

The gain that we are normally interested in for rf transistors is the power gain of the device, rather than just the voltage or current gain. It is power gain that is important because of the myriad of impedance levels which abound in rf circuitry. When an impedance level changes in a circuit, voltage and current gains alone no longer mean anything. Even a passive


Fig. 5-7. Typical power gain vs. frequency curve.
device can produce a voltage or current gain but it cannot produce both simultaneously. That is what transistors are for-to produce real gain.
The power gain of a transistor typically resembles a curve similar to that shown in Fig. 5-7. This curve is not at all surprising if you again consider the equivalent transistor circuit of Fig. 5-3. Notice that what we have, in effect, is an RC low-pass filter with a gain which must fall off (neglecting lead inductance) at the rate of 6 dB per octave. The maximum frequency at which the transistor provides a power gain is labeled as $\mathrm{f}_{\text {max }}$ in the diagram. The gain curve passes through $f_{\text {max }}$ at $0 \mathrm{~dB}($ Gain $=1)$, and at the rate of 6 dB per octave.
Gain is usually classified as either unilateralized, neutralized, or unneutralized. Unilateralized power gain is defined as the gain available from the transistor when the effects of both feedback components ( $\mathrm{rb}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) are negated. Remember that $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are providing negative feedback internal to the transistor and, thus, decrease the gain of the device. Eliminating the negative feedback increases the gain of the transistor. Neutralized power gain is that gain which occurs when only the feedback capacitance ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) is negated or neutralized. Unneutralized gain, on the other hand, occurs when neither feedback component is compensated for. Of the three, the unilateralized amplifier produces the most gain and the unneutralized amplifier produces the least. The difference in power gains between the unilateralized case and the neutralized case is usually so small as to be negligible. Thus, neutralization is usually sufficient.
Neutralization is accomplished by providing external feedback from the collector to the base of the transistor at just the right amplitude and phase to exactly cancel the internal negative feedback. Further details on this are provided in Chapter 6.

## Y PARAMETERS

In Chapter 4, admittance was introduced, with the help of the Smith Chart, as the reciprocal of impedance. It is expressed in the form of $Y=G \pm j B$, where G is conductance or the reciprocal of resistance and B is susceptance or the reciprocal of reactance. Both G and $\mathrm{j} B$ are taken to be parallel components as opposed
to the series representation ( $Z=R \pm j X$ ) for impedance.
The admittance parameters of a transistor are simply a tool to aid in the unambiguous presentation of the characteristics of the device at a certain frequency and bias point. Or, put another way, they are a method of indicating to a potential user what the transistor "looks like" to something connected to its terminals under certain conditions. Admittance parameters can be used to design impedance-matching networks for the transistor, to determine its maximum available gain, and to determine its stability-or lack thereof. In short, they present a model of the transistor to the designer so that he may best utilize the device in his particular application.

## The Transistor as a Two-Port Network

The transistor is obviously a three-terminal device consisting of an emitter, base, and collector. In most applications, however, one of the terminals is common to both the input and the output network as shown in Fig. 5-8. In the common-emitter configuration of Fig. 5-8A, for instance, the emitter is grounded and is thus common to both the input and the output network. So, rather than describe the device as a threeterminal network, it is convenient to describe the transistor in a black-box fashion by calling it a two-port network. One port is described as the input port and the other as the output port. This is shown in Fig. 5-8. Once the two-port realization is made, the transistor can be completely characterized by observing its behavior at the two ports.

## Two-Port Y Parameters

Two-port admittance parameters can be used to completely characterize the behavior of a transistor at a certain frequency and bias point, and are con-

(A) Common emitter.

(B) Common base.

(C) Common collector.

Fig. 5-8. The three-terminal transistor as a two-port network.


Fig. 5-9. The transistor as a two-port "black box."
sidered to be independent of applied signal level as long as linear operation is maintained. The black-box configuration used to create the Y-parameter characterization is shown in Fig. 5-9.

The short-circuit Y parameters for the two-port configuration of Fig. 5-9 are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{V}_{2}=0  \tag{Eq.5-1}\\
& \left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{V}_{1}=0  \tag{Eq.5-2}\\
& \left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{V}_{2}=0  \tag{Eq.5-3}\\
& \left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=0 \tag{Eq.5-4}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$y_{i}=$ the short-circuit input admittance,
$y_{\mathrm{r}}=$ the short-circuit reverse-transfer admittance,
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ the short-circuit forward-transfer admittance,
$y_{o}=$ the short-circuit output admittance.

The short circuit that is used to make $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ equal to zero is not a de short circuit, but a short circuit presented at the signal or test frequency. This is usually accomplished by placing a large capacitor across the terminal which requires a short. An examination of Equation 5-1, for instance, reveals that in order to measure $y_{f}$ in a laboratory, you would first have to connect a large capacitor across the output terminals of the device. This will set $V_{2}$ equal to zero. Then, a known signal voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ ) is injected into the input port and a measurement of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ is made. The ratio of $I_{1}$ to $V_{1}$, with their appropriate phase relationships accounted for, is the short-circuit input admittance of the device, which is usually a complex number in the form of $\mathrm{G} \pm \mathrm{jB}$. Similarly, to measure $y_{p}$, simply leave the short circuit in place, inject signal voltage $V_{1}$, and measure $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. The complex ratio of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is the shortcircuit transfer admittance. Similar methods are used to measure $y_{0}$ and $y_{r}$.

The short circuit is used in the measurement of $Y$ parameters because of the definition of the two-port model. Referring to Fig. 5-9, it is obvious that the current $I_{1}$ is dependent upon the voltage $\left(V_{1}\right)$ at the input terminals of the device. But, what might not be so obvious is the fact that $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ is also dependent upon $V_{2}$. This is due to the internal feedback ( $R_{b^{\prime} c}$ and $C_{c}$ ) of the transistor and it must be accounted for. Stated mathematically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=y_{1} V_{1}+y_{r} V_{2} \tag{Eq.5-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simply states that $I_{1}$ is dependent upon the
input admittance, the reverse-transfer (feedback) admittance, $V_{1}$, and $V_{2}$. Notice, however, that if we force $V_{2}$ equal to zero, $I_{1}$ is totally dependent upon $V_{1}$ and the input admittance of the device. Or stated another way, the input admittance can be found by injecting $V_{1}$ and measuring $I_{1}$. A similar argument can be made for $y_{r}$ if $V_{1}$ is forced equal to zero in Equation 5-5. The equation for the output port is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=y_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \tag{Eq.5-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 5-3 and 5-4 can be derived from Equation 5-6 by alternately setting $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ equal to zero.

Transistor admittance parameter variations with frequency are often published by manufacturers to aid the designer in his design efforts. They are extremely useful, but often very difficult to measure, especially at high frequency. The difficulty arises at high frequencies mainly due to the fact that a good short circuit is difficult to obtain. As we learned in Chapter 1, a capacitor at high frequencies is not a short circuit at all, but presents some reactance at the operating frequency. Obviously, if any reactance creeps into the "short circuit," the voltage at the port in question is no longer zero and our measurement is no longer valid. The higher the impedance at the "shorted" port, the worse our measurement error becomes. There are, of course, other methods besides capacitors for producing short circuits at the test frequency. But they are generally cumbersome, tedious, and time-consuming, and, as such, leave a lot to be desired. Because of the problems associated with finding a true short circuit at high frequencies, the trend in recent years has been to characterize higher frequency transistors in terms of their scattering or $S$ parameters.

## S PARAMETERS

Scattering, or S, parameters are another extremely useful design aid that most manufacturers supply for their higher frequency transistors. $S$ parameters are becoming more and more widely used because they are much easier to measure and work with than Y parameters. They are easy to understand, convenient, and provide a wealth of information at a glance.

While Y parameters utilize input and output voltages and currents to characterize the operation of the two-port network, S parameters use normalized incident and reflected traveling waves at each network port. Furthermore, with $S$ parameters, there is no need to present a short circuit to the two-port device. Instead, the network is always terminated in the characteristic impedance of the measuring system. In the majority of measuring systems, this impedance is 50 ohms (purely resistive). The 50 -ohm termination requirement is much easier to control than the shortcircuit Y-parameter requirement, thus facilitating measurement. In addition, the $50-\mathrm{ohm}$ source and load seen by the two-port network generally forces the device under test, if active, to be stable and not oscil-
late. This was not always true in a short-circuit measuring system where an active device often does not want to see a short circuit applied to one of its ports. Often such a termination would cause an active device, such as a transistor, to become unstable, thus making measurements impossible. S parameters, therefore, are usually much easier for the manufacturer to measure and, because they are also conceptually easy to understand, are widely used in the design of transistor amplifiers and oscillators.

## Transmission Line Background

In order to understand the concept of S parameters, it is necessary to first have a working knowledge of some very simplified transmission line theory. The mathematics have been extensively discussed in the many references cited at the end of the book (Appendix C) and will not be covered here. Instead, you should try to gain an intuitive feel for the incident and reflected traveling waves in a transmission line system.
As shown in Fig. 5-10, voltage, current, or power emanating from a source impedance ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) and delivered to a load ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) can be considered to be in the form of incident and reflected waves traveling in opposite directions along a transmission line of characteristic impedance $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{0}\right)$. If the load impedance $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ is exactly equal to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the incident wave is totally absorbed in the load and there is no reflected wave. If, on the other hand, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ differs from $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$, some of the incident wave is not absorbed in the load but is reflected back toward the source. If the source impedance $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ were equal to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the reflected wave from the load would be absorbed in the source and no further reflections would occur. Of course, for a $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ not equal to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$, a portion of the reflected wave from the load is re-reflected from the source back toward the load and the entire process repeats itself perpetually (for a lossless transmission line). The degree of mismatch between $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$, or $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}$, determines the amount of the incident wave that is reflected. The ratio of the reflected wave to the incident wave is known as the reflection coefficient and is simply a measure of the quality of the match between the transmission line and the terminating impedances. The reflection coefficient is a complex quantity expressed as a magnitude and an angle in polar form.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma & =\text { reflection coefficient } \\
& =\frac{V_{\text {reflected }}}{V_{\text {incident }}} \\
& =\rho \angle \theta \tag{Eq.5-7}
\end{align*}
$$

As the match between the characteristic impedance of the transmission line and the terminating impedances improves, the reflected wave becomes smaller. Therefore, using Equation 5-7, the reflection coeffcient decreases. When a perfect match exists, there is no reflected wave and the reflection coefficient is


Fig. 5-10. Incident and reflected waves on a transmission line.
zero. If the load impedance, on the other hand, is an open or short circuit, none of the incident power can be absorbed in the load and all of it must be reflected back toward the source. In this case, the reflection coefficient is equal to 1 , or a perfect mismatch. Thus, the normal range of values for the magnitude of the reflection coefficient is between zero and one. The reason normal is stressed is that in order for the reflection coefficient to be greater than one, the magnitude of the reflected wave from a load impedance must be greater than the magnitude of the incident wave to that load. In order for that to occur, it follows that the load in question must be a source of power. This concept is useful in the design of oscillators, but reflection coefficients that are greater than unity, in the input networks of amplifiers, are very bad news.
As we learned in Chapter 4, reflection coefficient can be expressed in terms of the impedances under consideration. For example, the reflection coefficient at the load of the circuit shown in Fig. 5-10 can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{Z}_{0}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{Z}_{0}} \tag{Eq.5-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is set equal to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$ in Equation 5-8, the reflection coefficient becomes zero. Conversely, setting $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r} \text {. }}$ equal to zero (a short circuit), the magnitude of the reflection coefficient goes to unity. Thus, Equation 5-8 holds true for the concepts we have discussed thus far.
Often Equation 5-8 is normalized to the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. Thus, dividing the numerator and denominator of Equation $5-8$ by $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma & =\frac{\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}}-1}{\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{o}}}+1} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{u}}-1}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}+1} \tag{Eq.5-9}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the normalized load impedance.
Equation 5-9 is the same equation that was used in Chapter 4 to develop the Smith Chart. In fact, you will find that reflection coefficients may be plotted directly on the Smith Chart, and the corresponding
load impedance read off of the chart immediatelywithout the need for any calculation using Equations $5-8$ or 5-9. The converse is also true. Given a specific characteristic impedance of a transmission line and a load impedance, the reflection coefficient can be read directly from the chart. No calculation is necessary. Example 5-1 illustrates this fact.

The construction performed in Example 5-1 should take less than 30 seconds once you become familiar with the chart. Obviously, an alternate solution would have been to use Equation 5-8 or 5-9 and perform the computation mathematically. Without the aid of a good scientific calculator to perform the complex number manipulation, however, the numerical computation becomes both tedious and time-consuming. That is the reason Mr. Smith developed the chart in the first place-to perform the transformation between impedance and reflection coefficient, and vice-versa, without the need for complex number manipulation.

To find the impedance that gives a certain value of reflection coefficient in a normalized system, you would simply perform the reverse of the construction given in Example 5-1. These procedures are outlined as follows:

1. Draw a line from the center of the chart to the angle of the given reflection coefficient. The normalized impedance is located somewhere along that line.
2. From the voltage-reflection coefficient scale located at the bottom of the chart, transfer the value for distance ( d ), corresponding to the magnitude of the reflection coefficient, to the line drawn in Step 1. Plot this point for a distance (d) from the center of the chart along the line drawn in Step 1.
3. The normalized impedance at the point plotted in Step 2 is then read directly from the chart just as any other impedance would be read.

## S Parameters and the Two-Port Network

Let us now insert a two-port network between the source and the load in the circuit of Fig. 5-10. This yields the circuit of Fig. 5-13. The following may be said for any traveling wave that originates at the source:

1. A portion of the wave originating from the source and incident upon the two-port device ( $a_{1}$ ) will be reflected ( $b_{1}$ ) and another portion will be transmitted through the two-port device.
2. A fraction of the transmitted signal is then reflected from the load and becomes incident upon the output of the two-port device ( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ).
3. A portion of the signal $\left(a_{2}\right)$ is then reflected from the output port back toward the load ( $b_{2}$ ), while a fraction is transmitted through the two-port device back to the source.
It is obvious from the above discussion that any traveling wave present in the circuit of Fig. 5-13 is made up
of two components. For instance, the total travelingwave component flowing from the output of the twoport device to the load is actually made up of that portion of $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ which is reflected from the output of the two-port device, plus that portion of $a_{1}$ that is transmitted through the two-port device. Similarly, the total traveling wave flowing from the input of the two-port device back toward the source is made up of that portion of $a_{1}$ that is reflected from the input port plus that fraction of $a_{2}$ that is transmitted through the two-port device.

If we set these observations in equation form, just as was done for the $Y$ parameters, we get the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{a}_{1}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{a}_{2}  \tag{Eq.5-10}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{21} \mathrm{a}_{1}+\mathrm{S}_{22} \mathrm{a}_{2} \tag{Eq.5-11}
\end{align*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 5-1

What is the load reflection coefficient for the circuit shown in Fig. 5-11?


Fig. 5-11. Transmission line circuit for Example 5-1.

## Solution

The first step is to normalize the load impedance so that you may plot it on a Smith Chart as was done in Chapter 4 . In this case, however, since we are dealing with transmission lines, you must normalize the chart to the characteristic impedance of the line rather than just some convenient number.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\frac{100+\mathrm{j} 75}{50} \\
& =2+\mathrm{j} 1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Plot this point on the chart as shown in Fig. 5-12. Draw a line from the center of the chart through the point $2+i 1.5$ and extend this line to the outside edge of the chart (which is calibrated in degrees). Note that for clarity, all extraneous scales normally shown around the periphery of the chart have been eliminated. The reflection coefficient can now be read directly from the chart. The distance from the center of the chart to the point $Z=2+j 1.5$ is equal to the magnitude of the reflection coefficient. To find its numerical value, simply transfer this distance ( d ) to the coltage-teflection coefficient scale located at the bottom of the Smith Chart. This yields a value of 0.54 for the magnitude. To find the angle in degrees, simply read the angle at the intersection of the previously constructed line and the outside edge of the chart. This angle is approximately $29.7^{\circ}$. Thus, the load-reflection coefficient for a load impedance of 100 +j 75 ohms in a 50 -ohm system is:
$0.54 \angle 29.7^{\circ}$
Continued on next page

EXAMPLE 5-1—Cont.


Fig. 5-12. Solution to Example 5-1.


Fig. 5-13. Incident and reflected waves for a two-port device.
where,
$S_{11}=$ the input reflection coefficient, $S_{12}=$ the reverse transmission coefficient, $S_{21}=$ the forward transmission coefficient, $\mathrm{S}_{\underline{2}, 2}=$ the output reflection coefficient.

Notice, in Equation 5-10, that if we set $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ equal to zero, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{11}=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}} \right\rvert\, a_{2}=0 \tag{Eq.5-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a reflected wave divided by an incident wave and, therefore, by definition, is equal to the input reflection coefficient studied previously. Thus, $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ can be plotted on a Smith Chart and the input impedance of the two-port device can be found immediately.

Similarly, using Equation 5-11,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{22}=\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}} \right\rvert\, a_{1}=0 \tag{Eq.5-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is also a reflection coefficient and can be plotted on a Smith Chart. Thus, the output impedance of the two-port device can also be found immediately.

The other two S parameters are found as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.S_{21}=\frac{b_{2}}{a_{1}} \right\rvert\, a_{2}=0  \tag{Eq.5-14}\\
& \left.S_{12}=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{2}} \right\rvert\, a_{1}=0 \tag{Eq.5-15}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that Equations 5-12 through 5-15 all require that $a_{1}$ or $a_{2}$ be set equal to zero in order to measure the individual S parameters. This is easily done by forcing $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}}$ to be equal to the characteristic impedance of the measuring system. Therefore, any wave that is incident upon $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}$ or $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is totally absorbed and none is reflected back toward the two-port device. For example, let's consider the measurement of the input reflection coefficient, $S_{11}$. Ideally, we would like to provide an input signal to the two-port device and measure only that fraction of the input signal that is reflected back toward the source. In a practical situation, however, some of the incident signal is transmitted through the two-port device, reflected ( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ) from load impedance, and, then, reverse transmitted through the two-port device back to the source. The measured reflected signal is then an aggregate con-
sisting of that portion of $a_{1}$, which is reflected, and that portion of $a_{2}$, which is transmitted. Obviously, this is not what we need. If $Z_{L}$ is set equal to $Z_{o}$, however, then there is no reflection from the load and the measured reflected signal from the input port, divided by the signal incident upon that port, is truly the input reflection coefficient, $S_{11}$. Similar arguments can be made for the other $S$ parameters to be measured. Therefore, to measure the $S$ parameters of a two-port network, the network is always terminated (source and load) in the characteristic impedance of the measuring system; thus, eliminating all reflections from the terminations.

The significance of $S_{21}$ and $S_{12}$, as shown in Equations 5-14 and 5-15, is that they are simply the forward and reverse gain (or loss) of the two-port network, respectively, when the two-port device is terminated in the characteristic impedance of the measuring system. These are more meaningful than the equivalent $Y$ parameters $y_{f}$ and $y_{r}$, which were previously studied. Parameter $y_{\mathrm{f}}$, for instance, is a forward transadmittance and $y_{r}$ is a reverse transadmittance, neither of which can be intuitively related to an insertion gain or loss for the two-port network.

S parameters, like Y parameters, are simply a convenient method of presenting the characteristics of a device to a potential user. Often, a manufacturer will publish both sets of parameters, along with their variation over frequency, to give the designer the flexibility of working with the parameters with which he feels more comfortable. There will come a time, however, when you will be given only one set of parameters and, as things usually go, it will be the wrong set. If you ever run into this problem, simply refer to the following conversion formulas:

1. $S_{11}=\frac{\left(1-y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{0}\right)+y_{r} y_{f}}{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{0}\right)-y_{r} y_{f}}$
2. $S_{12}=\frac{-2 y_{\mathrm{r}}}{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{0}\right)-y_{\mathrm{r}} y_{\mathrm{t}}}$
3. $S_{21}=\frac{-2 y_{f}}{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{0}\right)-y_{r} y_{f}}$
4. $S_{22}=\frac{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1-y_{0}\right)+y_{f} y_{r}}{\left(1+y_{i}\right)\left(1+y_{0}\right)-y_{r} y_{\mathrm{t}}}$
5. $y_{1}=\frac{\left(1+S_{22}\right)\left(1-S_{11}\right)+S_{12} S_{21}}{\left(1+S_{11}\right)\left(1+S_{22}\right)-S_{12} S_{21}} \times \frac{1}{Z_{0}}$
6. $y_{r}=\frac{-2 S_{12}}{\left(1+S_{11}\right)\left(1+S_{22}\right)-S_{12} S_{21}} \times \frac{1}{Z_{6}}$
7. $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{-2 \mathrm{~S}_{21}}{\left(1+\mathrm{S}_{11}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{S}_{22}\right)-\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}_{0}}$
8. $y_{0}=\frac{\left(1+S_{11}\right)\left(1-S_{22}\right)+S_{12} S_{21}}{\left(1+S_{22}\right)\left(1+S_{11}\right)-S_{12} S_{21}} \times \frac{1}{Z_{0}}$

Notice that if you are converting from Y to $S$ parameters, as in the first four formulas, each individual $Y$ parameter must first be multiplied by $\mathrm{Z}_{o}$ before being substituted into the equations.


Fig. 5-14. Data sheet. (Courtesy Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc.).

## 2N5179

*ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS $\operatorname{T} T_{A}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise noted)

| Characteristic | Symbol | Min | Max | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFF CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |
| Collector-Emitter Sustaining Voltage $1 \mathrm{C}=3.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{I}_{8}=0$ | VCEOIsus) | 12 | - | Vdc |
| Collector-Base Breakdown Voltage $\left.{ }^{(1} \mathrm{C}=0.001 \mathrm{mAdc}, I_{E}=0\right)$ | $\mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{CBO}}$ | 20 | - | Vac |
| Emitter-Base Breakdown Voltage $\left.I_{E}=0.01 \mathrm{mAdc} . \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=0\right)$ | BVEBO | 2.5 |  | Vodc |
| Collector Cutoff Current $\begin{aligned} & \left(V_{C B}=15 V d c, I_{E}=0\right) \\ & \left(V_{C B}=15 V d c, I_{E}=0, T_{A}=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {I CBO }}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HAdc |

ON CHARACTERISTICS

| OC Current Gain $\left(I_{C}=3.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}\right)$ | hfe | 25 | 250 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage ( $1 \mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.0 \mathrm{mAdc}$ ) | $V_{\text {CE }}($ sat $)$ | - | 0.4 | Vdc |
| Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage $\left\langle I_{C}=10 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.0 \mathrm{mAdc}\right\rangle^{2}$ | $V_{B E}($ set $)$ | - | 1.0 | Vdc |

DYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

| Current-Gain - Bandwidth Product (1) $\left.{ }^{( }{ }_{C}=5.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, V_{C E}=6.0 \mathrm{Vdc}, f=100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ | ${ }^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}$ | 900 | 2000 | MHz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collector-Base Capacitence $\left(V_{\mathrm{CB}}=10 \mathrm{Vdc}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}=0, f=0.1 \text { to } 1.0 \mathrm{MHz}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ | - | 1.0 | pF |
| Small-Signal Current Gain $\left.{ }^{( } I_{C}=2.0 \mathrm{mAde}, V_{C E}=6.0 \mathrm{Vdc}, f=1.0 \mathrm{kHz}\right)$ | hte | 25 | 300 | -- |
| Collector-Base Time Constant $\left(I_{E}=2.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, V_{C B}=6.0 \mathrm{Vdc}, t=31.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3.0 | 14 | ps |
| ```Noise Figure (See Figure 1) (IC = 1.5 mAdc, V VCE = 6.0 Vdc, R}\mp@subsup{\textrm{R}}{S}{}=50\mathrm{ ohms, }t=200\textrm{MHz}``` | NF | - | 4.5 | d8 |

FUNCTIONAL TEST

| Common-Emitter Amplifier Power Gsin (Soe Figure 1 ) $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=6.0 \mathrm{Vdc}, \mathrm{IC}=5.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, f=200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ | $G_{p e}$ | 15 | - | dB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Output (See Figure 2) $\left(V_{C B}=10 \mathrm{Vdc}, I_{E}=12 \mathrm{mAdc}, f \geq 500 \mathrm{MHz} \mid\right.$ | $P_{\text {out }}$ | 20 | - | mW |

## - indicates JEDEC Regiatered Values.

(1) ${ }^{f} T$ is defined as the frequency at which $\mid$ hfe| extrapoleter to unity.

## mOTOROLA Somiconductor Products inc. (4)
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Fig. 5-15. Equivalent circuit for a 2 N 5179 (at 200 MHz ),

## UNDERSTANDING RF TRANSISTOR DATA SHEETS

The rf transistor data sheet is only a bit more complex than that of its low-frequency counterpart. In addition to all of the low-frequency information normally provided on a transistor data sheet, the transistor's rf characteristics, in the form of Y parameters and $S$ parameters and other related information, are included as well. It is assumed that you are already familiar with the low-frequency portion of the data sheet. Therefore, we will concern ourselves only with that information that is typically added specifically for rf transistors.

Fig. 5-14 is a data sheet for the Motorola 2N5179 npn silicon rf high-frequency transistor. This particular transistor was chosen simply because the data sheet provides both Y and S parameters and is, therefore, very good for instructional use.

One of the first things you might notice about this particular transistor is that it has four leads! The extra lead is not connected internally to the device itself, but is connected to the case which just happens to be a metal can. In normal circuit operation, the extra pin is grounded, thus providing a shield around the device to help reduce unwanted stray fields.

The first page of the data sheet is fairly straightforward and provides the never-exceed ratings for the transistor. This is a common practice even for lowfrequency transistors and is nothing new. Notice that this manufacturer does list those applications in which he feels the transistor might be useful. This particular device was designed for high-gain, lownoise amplifier, oscillator, and mixer applications.

On page 2 of the data sheet, under the heading Dynamic Characteristics, several parameters of interest to the rf designer are listed.
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$-This is called the transition frequency, or more commonly, the gain bandwidth product of the device. $f_{T}$ is the theoretical frequency at which the common-emitter current gain ( $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{fe}}$ ) of the transistor is unity or 0 dB .

Very rarely is $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$ used in the rf amplifier-design process except to verify how close you might be to the transistor's upper frequency limit. Keep in mind that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is only an indication of the frequency at which the current gain of the device drops to 0 dB . Power gain may still be possible depending upon the available voltage gain from the device at the frequency in question. Usually $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is not measured directly for very highfrequency transistors, but is usually extrapolated from data taken at lower frequencies. The accuracy of the measurement is, therefore, somewhat questionable and, as one manufacturer has stated, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is provided on the data sheets simply for historical reasons.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cb}}$-This is the collector-to-base capacitance of the transistor as measured at 1 MHz with a collector-to-base voltage of 10 volts and the emitter opencircuited.

The smaller this capacitance is, the better off you will be, if you are using the transistor in an amplifier configuration. This capacitance can be equated to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the transistor equivalent circuit of Fig. 5-1 at the beginning of this chapter.
$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{fe}}$-This is the common-emitter current gain or beta of the transistor at the specified low frequency of 1 kHz .

For an rf circuit design, $h_{\text {fe }}$ will not do you much good either. The $d c$ beta of the transistor ( $\mathrm{her}_{\mathrm{Fr}}$ ), however, will provide you with needed information in controlling the de collector or bias current. This parameter is listed under the On Characteristics heading for the device (on the second page).
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$-The collector-to-base time constant for the transistor is another measure of its feedback characteristics.
The smaller this number is, the better off you will be. This is another bit of information that is often ignored.
NF-The noise figure of the transistor is simply a measure of how much noise the transistor adds to the signal during the amplification process (see Appendix B). Notice that for these data sheets, a maximum noise figure of 4.5 dB was measured for the device under a very rigid set of conditions.
Figure 1, on page 3 of the data sheet, was used for the noise figure measurement with the transistor biased at a $V_{\text {CE }}$ of 6 volts, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.5 \mathrm{~mA}$, and the source resistance set equal to 50 ohms. This method of presenting the NF for a transistor, as you can well imagine, is practically useless. Very rarely will the circuit designer ever see the transistor under this exact set of operating conditions. Any variation from these conditions changes the measured noise figure drastically. For this reason, the manufacturer often provides a few noise figure contours which present NF graphically under a wide variety of operating conditions. These
contours are shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5 of the data sheet. Figure 3 is a graph of noise figure versus frequency. The NF is measured at various frequencies under the same bias conditions. Notice, however, that this measurement was taken with a variable source resistance, where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ was made equal to its optimum value for a minimum noise figure. The concept of an optimum source resistance for a minimum noise figure is presented in Chapter 6 of this book. Notice that the minimum noise figure increases as the frequency is increased. This is typical of of transistors.
Figure 4 on the data sheet is a plot of noise figure versus both collector current and source resistance for the transistor at a $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}$ of 6 volts and an operating frequency of 105 MHz . It is obvious from the diagram that there are an infinite number of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$ combinations which will provide you with a specified noise figure. For example, the following combinations of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$. will provide you with a $3.5-\mathrm{dB}$ noise figure:

| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathrm{mA})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}($ ohms $)$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| 0.5 | 105 or 600 |
| 1.0 | 90 or 500 |
| 1.5 | 85 or 430 |
| 2.0 | 82 or 390 |
| 3.0 | 81 or 320 |
| 5.0 | 94 or 250 |

Notice that for each value of collector current there are two values of source resistance that will provide the specified noise figure. Obviously, any variation from the intended bias current or source resistance could change the noise figure drastically.
Figure 5 is simply another set of contours measured at the same bias levels but at a different frequency ( 200 MHz ). If you intended to use the transistor at 300 MHz and wanted to know what bias current and source resistance to use for a specific noise figure, you would be out of luck. There are no noise contours provided for that frequency.
Figure 6, on page 4 of the data sheet, is a graph of $f_{T}$ versus collector current. Optimum $f_{T}$ is obtained at the peak of the curve which occurs at approximately 12 mA of collector current. This graph becomes more important at frequencies close to $f_{T}$, when you are trying to squeeze every last bit of gain out of the device that you possibly can. It will indicate the optimum collector current at which to operate the device. Once the value of collector current is defined, a sample device could then be biased accordingly and its Y or S parameters measured so that the design could proceed.

Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10 are a graphical presentation of the Y parameters versus frequency for the 2 N 5179. Measurements were plotted at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cF}}=6$ volts and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ 1.5 mA . If you prefer a different set of bias conditions, you will have to measure your own Y parameters as no other data is provided.
The vertical axis of each diagram is calibrated in millimhos (mmhos). Therefore, the input admittance
(Figure 7) of the 2 N 5179 at 200 MHz is approximately $y_{i}=2.5+\mathrm{j} 7.5$ mmhos, which can be represented by the circuit of Fig. 5-15A. Remember that positive susceptance $(+\mathrm{jB})$ indicates a shunt capacitor while negative susceptance ( -jB ) indicates an inductor. Similarly, the output admittance of the transistor at 200 MHz is read in Figure 8 as $y_{o}=0.25+j 1.8$ mmhos. The equivalent circuit for this output admittance is shown in Fig. 5-15B. The forward and reverse transfer admittances for the transistor are given in Figures 9 and 10 , respectively, on the data sheet.

In Chapter 6, you will learn how to apply the given Y parameter information from data sheets to the design of rf small-signal amplifiers.

Figures 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15 on the data sheet are plots of the transistor's S parameters versus frequency at two different bias levels: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=6.0$ volts, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.5$ mA and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=6.0$ volts, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=5.0 \mathrm{~mA}$. The four plots shown on page 5 of the data sheet provide $S$-parameter data in polar form. The radial distance outward from the center of the chart is equal to the magnitude; and the angle is read along the perimeter of the chart. For example, the S parameters for the 2N5179 with a bias of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=6$ volts, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$, at 100 MHz , are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{11}=0.65 \angle 309^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{22}=0.84 \angle 348^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{12}=0.03 \angle 70^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{21}=8.2 \angle 123^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Parameters $S_{21}$ and $S_{12}$ are the forward and reverse gain of the device in magnitude form. To find the gain in dB , simply take the logarithm of the number and multiply it by 20 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{12}(\mathrm{~dB}) & =20 \log _{10} 0.03 \\
& =-30.5 \mathrm{~dB} \\
\mathrm{~S}_{21}(\mathrm{~dB}) & =20 \log _{10} 8.2 \\
& =18.3 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the preceding calculations, we can deduce that the output port to input port isolation $\left(\mathrm{S}_{12}\right)$ of the transistor is very good at -30.5 dB . Also, the gain of the transistor ( $S_{21}$ ), when driven with a 50 -ohm source and terminated in a 50 -ohm load (even without impedance matching), is better than 18 dB . Notice that each gain was calculated as a voltage gain. In actuality, voltage and power gains are identical in this instance because the input and the output impedance levels are the same ( 50 ohms).

Figure 15 on the last page of the data sheet is another plot of the input and output reflection coefficients of the transistor. This time, however, a Smith Chart is used. As was stated previously in this chapter, $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ are simply reflection coefficients and can be plotted just like any other reflection coefficient. Once the information is plotted, the input and output impedance of the device can be read directly from the chart.

The chart shown in Figure 15 is a bit different from the charts we have used thus far in the book. It has been normalized to 50 ohms rather than the usual 1 ohm. Thus, the center of the chart now represents $50 \pm \mathrm{j} 0$ ohms rather than $1 \pm \mathrm{j} 0$. This type of chart normalization is often used when the impedances that the designer is working with tend to concentrate around a certain value-in this case, 50 ohms.

The input impedance of the 2 N 5179 as read from the Smith Chart, at 100 MHz with a collector current of 5 mA and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=6$ volts, is:

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{fn}}=48-\mathrm{j} 79 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

This agrees, within reading accuracy, with the polar plot of $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ (Figure 11) under the same operating conditions and can be verified numerically by plugging $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ in Equation 5-8 for $\Gamma$ and solving for $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

## SUMMARY

The transistor is no different from any other component when it comes to misbehaving at radio fre-
quencies. Like other components, the transistor, too, has stray inductance and capacitance which tends to limit its high-frequency performance. Y and S parameters were devised as a means of presenting this complex transistor behavior over frequency with a minimum of effort. Manufacturers typically present the Y- and S-parameter information in the form of data sheets, which should be considered only as a starting point when used in any rf design task. Manufacturers cannot possibly hope to provide Y- and S-parameter information at every conceivable bias point and in every possible circuit configuration. Instead, they usually try to provide a set of typical operating conditions for the device in question. Inevitably, however, the day will come when the data sheet provided with a device is of no use to you whatsoever, and you will find yourself measuring your own parameters and creating your own data sheets in order to complete a design task.

## SMALL-SIGNAL RF AMPLIFIER DESIGN



The design of rf small-signal amplifiers is a step-by-step logical procedure with an exact solution for each problem. There are many books available on the market today that offer schematics (complete with parts values) which are "adaptable to any of your circuit needs." That is, a circuit which the author may have designed for a specific set of operating conditions is offered and it may or may not meet our needs. Nonetheless, the design is presented without any design procedure attached, and the reader is left out in the cold when he tries to adapt the circuit to his particular set of operating conditions.
The chapter presented here, however, takes the opposite approach. Detailed step-by-step procedures are followed in the design process so that you can choose the transistor you want and use it under any (realistic) operating conditions that you desire. You will no longer have to adapt someone else's schematic to your needs. Rather you will create your own homemade rf amplifiers and optimize them for your personal application.
We will begin our discussion with a very brief overview of transistor biasing. We will discuss both the bipolar and the field-effect transistor (FET). As was shown in the last chapter, the quiescent bias point of a transistor has a great effect on its Y and S parameters. Biasing a transistor is, therefore, serious business and should not be taken lightly.
Next, we'll jump head first into the rf aspect of amplifiers by examining stability (tendency for oscillation), gain, impedance matching, and general amplifier design, with emphasis on the use of Y and S parameters as a design tool.

## TRANSISTOR BIASING

In most rf amplifier designs, unfortunately, very little thought is ever given to the design of bias networks for the individual transistors involved. Often, the lack of interest in bias networks may be justified. If, for instance, the amplifier is to be operated only at room temperature, there would be little need to spend much time developing an extremely tempera-ture-stable dc operating point. If, on the other hand, the amplifier must operate reliably and maintain certain specifications (gain, noise figure, etc.) over large temperature extremes, the dc bias network must
be carefully considered. Consider, for example, the 2N5179 data sheet presented in the last chapter. A quick look at the Y- and S-parameter curves for the device will reveal that a change in the transistor's bias point does in fact change all of its rf operating characteristics. It only stands to reason, then, that the dc operating point must remain stable under your specified operating conditions or the rf characteristics may change drastically.

It has been shown that there are two basic internal transistor characteristics that have a profound effect upon the transistor's dc operating point over temperature; they are $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ and $\Delta \beta$. The object of a good tem-perature-stable bias design (see Fig. 6-1) is to minimize the effects of these parameters.

As the temperature increases, the base-to-emitter voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ ) of a transistor decreases at the rate of about $2.5 \mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from its nominal room-temperature value of 0.7 volt (for a silicon device). As $\mathrm{V}_{\text {be }}$ decreases, more base current is allowed to flow which, in turn, produces more collector current and that is exactly what we would like to prevent. The total change in $V_{B E}$ for a given temperature change is called $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$. The primary external circuit factor that the circuit designer has control over, and which tends to minimize the effects of $\Delta V_{B E}$, is the emitter voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) of the transistor. This is shown in Fig. 6-1. Here, a decrease in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ with temperature would cause an increase in emitter current and, hence, an increase in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$. The increase in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is a form of negative feedback that tends to reverse bias the base-emitter junction and, therefore, decrease the collector current. A decrease in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$, therefore, tends to be counteracted by the increase in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$, and the collector current does not increase as much with temperature. If these observations were put into equation form, we would have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}} \approx-\frac{\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{E}}} \tag{Eq.6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ the change in collector current,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ the quiescent collector current,
$\Delta V_{B E}=$ the change in base-to-emitter voltage,
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=$ the quiescent emitter voltage.
Thus, if $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ were made equal to 20 times $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$, the collector current would change only $5 \%$ over tempera-
ture due to $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$. It is important to note that it is the value of the emitter voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) and not the value of the emitter resistor ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) that is the important biasdesign criteria.

Equation 6-1 tends to imply that the higher $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is, the better. This would be exactly true if we had nothing to worry about except biasing the transistor for the specified operating point. Obviously, there are other things that must be considered in the design. A high emitter voltage, for instance, does tend to waste power and decrease the ac signal gain. A bypass capacitor across $R_{E}$ at the signal frequency is usually used to prevent the loss in gain, but the wasted power may still be a problem.

If we assume that the amplifier is to operate over a change in temperature of no more than $\pm 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then an emitter voltage of 2.5 volts will provide a $\pm 5 \%$ variation in $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$ due to $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$. In fact, you will find that the majority of the transistor bias networks that are similar to Fig. 6-1 will provide a value of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ from two to four volts depending upon the values of $V_{c c}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ chosen. Higher values are, of course, possible depending upon the degree of stability you need.

The change in a transistor's dc current gain, or $\beta$, over temperature, is also of importance to the circuit designer. Any variation in $\beta$ will produce a corresponding change in quiescent collector current and will, therefore, disrupt the transistor's designed operating point. The $\beta$ of a silicon transistor typically increases with temperature at the rate of about $0.5 \%$ per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, for a $\pm 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature variation you can expect the $\beta$ of the transistor and, hence, its collector current to vary as much as $\pm 25 \%$.

Not only does $\beta$ vary with temperature, but the manufacturing tolerance for $\beta$ among transistors of the same part number is typically very poor. It is not uncommon, for instance, for a manufacturer to specify a 10 to 1 range for $\beta$ on the data sheet (such as 50 to 500 ). This, of course, makes it extremely difficult to design a bias network for the device in question when it is to be used in a production environment. Thus, a stable operating point with respect to $\beta$ is difficult to obtain from a production standpoint as well as from a temperature standpoint.

The change in collector current for a corresponding change in $\beta$ can be approximated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 1}\left(\frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}}\right) \tag{Eq.6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}=\text { the collector current at } \beta=\beta_{1}, \\
& \beta_{1}=\text { the lowest value of } \beta, \\
& \beta_{2}=\text { the highest value of } \beta, \\
& \Delta \beta=\beta_{2}-\beta_{1} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}=\text { the parallel combination of } \mathrm{R}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{R}_{2} \text { (in Fig. } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}=\text { the emitter resistor. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation indicates that once a transistor is specified, the only control that the designer has over the


1. Choose the operating point for the transistor.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=20 \mathrm{~V}, \beta=50
$$

2. Assume a value for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ that considers bias stability:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=2.5 \text { volts }
$$

3. Assume $I_{E} \approx I_{c}$ for high-beta transistors.
4. Knowing $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$, calculate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathrm{E}} & =\frac{V_{E}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}} \\
& =\frac{2.5}{10 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =250 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Knowing $V_{c c}, V_{c}$, and $I_{c}$, calculate $R c$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-V_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& =\frac{20-10}{10 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =1000 \mathrm{hms}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Knowing $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\beta$, calculate $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}} & =\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\beta} \\
& =0.2 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Knowing $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$, calculate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Bb}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BB}} & =\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}} \\
& =2.5+0.7 \\
& =3.2 \text { volts }
\end{aligned}
$$

8. Assume a value for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BB}}$, the larger the better (see text) :

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BB}}=1.5 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

9. Knowing $I_{B B}$ and $V_{B B}$, calculate $R_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{1} & =\frac{V_{\mathrm{BB}}}{I_{\mathrm{BB}}} \\
& =\frac{3.2}{1.5 \times 10^{-3}} \mathrm{ohms} \\
& =2133 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

10. Knowing $V_{C C}, V_{B B}, I_{B B}$, and $I_{B}$, calculate $R_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{2} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BB}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BB}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}} \\
& =\frac{20-3.2}{1.7 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =9882 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6-1. Bias network design 1 .


1. Choose the operating point for the transistor.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=20 \mathrm{~V}, \beta=50
$$

2. Assume values for $V_{R B}$ and $I_{R B}$ to supply a constant current, $I_{B}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathrm{BB}} & =2 \mathrm{volts} \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BB}} & =1 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Knowing $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\beta$, calculate $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}} & =\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\beta} \\
& =0.2 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Knowing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{nt}}=0.7 \mathrm{~V}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$, calculate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{B} & =\frac{V_{B B}-V_{B E}}{I_{B}} \\
& =\frac{2-0.7}{0.2 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =6500 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Knowing $V_{H B}$ and $I_{B E}$, calculate $R_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{1} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BB}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BA}}} \\
& =\frac{2}{1 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =2000 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Knowing $V_{R u}, I_{B B}, I_{B}$, and $V_{C}$, calculate $R_{r}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{F} & =\frac{V_{C}-V_{B B}}{I_{H B}+I_{H}} \\
& =\frac{10-2}{1.2 \times 10-3} \\
& =6667 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Knowing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Cr}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{HB}}$, calculate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{C} & =\frac{V_{C U}-V_{\mathrm{C}}}{I_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{I}_{B}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RA}}} \\
& =\frac{20-10}{11.2 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =893 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6-2. Bias network design 2.
effect of $\beta$ changes on collector current is through the resistance ratio $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$. The smaller this ratio, the less the collector current varies. Again, however, some compromise is necessary. As you decrease the ratio $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}$, you also produce the undesirable effect of decreasing the current gain of the amplifier. Also, as the ratio approaches unity, the improvement in oper-


1. Choose the operating point for the transistor ( $\left.V_{\ell}, I_{r}\right)$.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=20 \mathrm{~V}, \beta=50
$$

2. Knowing $I_{C}$ and $\beta$, calculate $I^{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{13} & =\frac{I_{r}}{\beta} \\
& =0.2 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Knowing $V_{C}, V_{G}=V_{B E}=0.7 \mathrm{~V}$, and $I_{R}$, calculate $R_{r}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{F} & =\frac{V_{\mathrm{C}}-V_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{H}}} \\
& =\frac{10-0.7}{200 \times 10^{-8}} \\
& =46.5 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Knowing $I_{B}, I_{C}, V_{c c}$, and $V_{C}$, calculate $R_{C}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{C} & =\frac{V_{\mathrm{cc}}-V_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& =\frac{20-10}{10.2 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =980 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6-3. Bias network design 3.
ating-point stability rapidly decreases. As a practical rule of thumb for stable designs, the ratio $R_{B} / R_{F}$ should be less than 10 .

Figs. 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3 indicate three possible bias configurations for bipolar transistors-in order of decreasing bias stability. Complete step-by-step design instructions using a typical example are included with each circuit-configuration sketch. Note that the bias networks of Figs. 6-2 and 6-3 do not contain the emitter resistor ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{E}}$ ) which provides the negative feedback needed to counteract collector-current variations over temperature. Instead, resistor $R_{F}$ is connected from the collector to the base of the transistor to provide the negative feedback. Obviously, for these two designs, the designer has control over neither the ratio $R_{B} / R_{E}$ nor the voltage $V_{E}$ of Fig. 6-1. The designs are, therefore, of the "pot-luck" variety as far as dc stability is concerned. You basically take what you get. Surprisingly, however, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ works quite well in minimizing the effects of transistor-parameter variations over temperature.

Figs. 6-4 and 6-5 show similar bias arrangements and design procedures for a field-effect transistor (FET). These are based on the well-known formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D}=I_{D S S}\left(1-\frac{V_{G S}}{V_{D}}\right)^{z} \tag{Eq.6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$



1. Choose the operating point for the transistor.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{D}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=20 \mathrm{~V}
$$

2. Knowing $V_{C C}, V_{D}$, and $I_{D}$, calculate $R_{a}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}} & =\frac{V_{\mathrm{cc}}-V_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{10 \mathrm{~V}}{10 \mathrm{~mA}} \\
& =1000 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Determine $V_{p}$ and $I_{\text {bss }}$ from the data sheet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\mathrm{D}}=-6 \text { volts } \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Dss}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Knowing $I_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{pss}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$, calculate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cs}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{G S} & =V_{\mathrm{P}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{I_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{DSS}}}}\right) \\
& =-6\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{10 \times 10^{-3}}{5 \times 10^{-3}}}\right) \\
& =2.48 \text { volts }
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Assume a value for $\mathrm{V}_{8}$ in the 2 - to 3 -volt range.

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}=2.5 \mathrm{volts}
$$

6. Knowing $V_{s}$ and $I_{D}$, calculate $R_{s}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{2.5}{10 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =250 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Knowing $V_{s}$ and $V_{G s}$, calculate $V_{G}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}} & =\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Gs}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& =2.48+2.5 \\
& =4.98 \text { volts }
\end{aligned}
$$

8. Assume a value for $R_{1}$ based upon dc input resistance needs.

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=220 \mathrm{~K}
$$

9. Knowing $R_{1}, V_{G}$, and $V_{C C}$, calculate $R_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{2} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Cc}}-\mathrm{V}_{a}\right)}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}} \\
& =\frac{220 \times 10^{3}(20-4.98)}{4.98} \\
& =664 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6-4. Bias network design 4.


1. Choose an operating point for the transistor.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{D}}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=20 \mathrm{~V}
$$

2. Knowing $V_{C c}, V_{D}$, and $I_{D}$, calculate $R_{D}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-V_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{20-10}{10 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =1000 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Determine $V_{D}$ and $I_{D s s}$ from the transistor data sheet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}=-6 \mathrm{volts} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{DSs}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Knowing $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Dss}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$, calculate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Gs}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{G S} & =V_{p}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{I_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Dss}}}}\right) \\
& =-6\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{10 \times 10^{-3}-3}{5 \times 10^{-3}}}\right) \\
& =2.48 \text { volts }
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Knowing $I_{G}=0, V_{G s}=V_{s}$, and $I_{D}$, calculate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Rs} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Gs}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{2.48}{10 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =248 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Since $I_{G}=0, R_{G}$ can be chosen to be any large value of resistor-approximately 1 megohm.

Fig. 6-5. Bias network design 5.
where,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=$ the drain current,
$I_{D S S}=$ the drain current with $V_{G S}=0$,
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{GS}}=$ the gate-to-source voltage,
$V_{p}=$ the pinch-off voltage.
$I_{D}$ is usually a value chosen by the user as part of the bias specifications, and $I_{\text {Dss }}$ and $V_{p}$ can be found on the data sheet for the transistor. Once these three values are known, Equation 6-3 can be used to solve for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{GS}}$, and a suitable bias circuit can then be found.

## DESIGN USING Y PARAMETERS

The rf small-signal performance of a transistor can be completely characterized by its two-port admittance parameters. Based on these parameters, equa-
tions can be written to aid you both in finding a transistor to suit your needs and in completing the design once the transistor is selected.

One of the first requirements in any amplifier design is to choose the transistor which is best suited for the job. Many rf amplifier designs are doomed from the beginning simply because the active device chosen for the job should have never been considered. Spend a little time shopping for the right device for your application. The more time you spend shopping prior to the start of the actual design, the less hair-pulling there will be later. Two of the most important considerations, in choosing a transistor for use in any amplifier design, are its stability and its maximum available gain (MAG). Stability, as it is used here. is a measure of the transistor's tendency toward oscillation. MAG is a type of figure-of-merit for the transistor, which indicates the maximum theoretical power gain you can expect to obtain from the device when it is conjugately matched to its source and load impedance. The MAG is never actually reached in practice; nevertheless, it is quite useful in gauging the capabilities of a transistor.

## Stability Calculations

It has been said that one of the easiest methods of building an oscillator is to design an amplifier. Although experience has found this to be true, it really need not be the case. A bit of prior planning and basic apriori knowledge about the transistor that is to be used can go a long way toward preventing oscillations in any amplifier design.

It is possible to predict the degree of stability (or lack thereof) of a transistor before you actually place the device in a circuit. This is done through a calculation of the Linvill stability factor, C.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{\left|y_{r} y_{r}\right|}{2 g_{1} g_{v}-\operatorname{Re}\left(y_{r} y_{r}\right)} \tag{Eq.6-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$=$ the magnitude of the product in brackets,
$y_{r}=$ the reverse-transfer admittance,
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ the forward-transfer admittance,
$\mathfrak{q}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the input conductance,
$g_{n}=$ the output conductance,
Re $=$ the real part of the product in parentheses.
When C is less than 1, the transistor is unconditionally stable at the bias point you have chosen. This means that you could choose any possible combination of source and load impedance for the device, and the amplifier would remain stable providing that no external feedback paths exist which have not been accounted for.

If C is greater than 1, the transistor is potentially unstable and will oscillate for certain values of source and load impedance. A C-factor greater than 1 does not indicate, however, that the transistor cannot be used as an amplifier. It merely indicates that you
must exercise extreme care in choosing your source and load impedances or oscillations may occur.

The Linvill stability factor is useful in predicting a potential stability problem. It does not indicate the actual impedance values between which the transistor will go unstable. Obviously, if a transistor is chosen for a particular design problem, and the transistor's C-factor is less than 1 (unconditionally stable). it will be much easier to work with than a transistor which is potentially unstable. Keep in mind also that if C is less than but very close to 1 for any transistor, then any change in the bias point due to temperature variation could cause the transistor to become potentially unstable and most likely oscillate at some frequency. This is because Y parameters are specified at a particular bias point which varies with temperature. This is a very important concept to remember. The smaller C is, the better.

Y parameters can also be used to predict the stability of an amplifier given certain values of load and source impedance. This is called the Stern stability factor and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{2\left(g_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)}{\left|y_{\mathrm{r}} y_{\mathrm{f}}\right|+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \tag{Eq.6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ the source conductance.
$\mathrm{G}_{1 .}=$ the load conductance.
In this case, if K is greater than 1 , the circuit will be stable for that value of source and load impedance. If K is less than l , the circuit is potentially unstable and will most likely oscillate at some frequency. Note that the K-factor is a more definitive calculation for stability in that it predicts stability for a particular circuit. The C-factor, on the other hand, predicts a kind of nebulous possibility for instability without giving you an indication as to where the instability may occur.
The Linvill stability factor is, therefore useful in finding stable transistors while the Stern stability factor predicts possible stability problems with circuits.

## Maximum Available Gain

The MAG of a transistor can be found by using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M A G=\frac{\left|y_{t}\right|^{2}}{4 g_{i} g_{.}} \tag{Eq.6-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

MAG is a useful calculation in the initial search for a transistor for any particular application. It will give you a good indication as to whether or not the transistor can provide enough gain for the task.
The maximum available gain for a transistor occurs when $y_{r}=0$, and when $Y_{\mathrm{I}}$, and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the complex conjugates of $y_{0}$ and $y_{i}$, respectively. The condition that $y_{r}$ must equal zero for maximum gain to occur is due to the fact that under normal conditions, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ acts as a negative feedback path internal to the transistor. With
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$, no feedback is allowed and the gain is at a maximum.

In practical situations, it is physically impossible to reduce $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ to zero and, as a result, MAG can never truly be attained. It is possible, however, to very nearly achieve the MAG calculated in Equation 6-6 through a simultaneous conjugate match of the input and output admittance of the transistor. Thus, Equation 6-6 remains a valid tool in the search for a suitable transistor as long as you understand its limitations. For example, if an amplifier design calls for a minimum power gain of 18 dB at 200 MHz , don't choose a transistor with a calculated MAG of 19 dB . Allow yourself a small margin to cover for realistic values of $y_{r}$, component losses in the matching network, and variation in the bias point over temperature.

## Simultaneous Conjugate Matching

## (Unconditionally Stable Transistors)

Optimum power gain is obtained from a transistor when $y_{i}$ and $y_{o}$ are conjugately matched to $Y_{S}$ and $Y_{L}$, respectively. As was discussed in Chapter 5, however, the reverse-transfer admittance ( $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) associated with each transistor tends to reflect any impedance changes made at one port back toward the other port, causing a change in that port's impedance characteristics. This makes it very difficult to design good matching networks for a transistor while using only its input and output admittances and totally ignoring the contribution that $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ makes to the transistor's impedance
characteristics. Even though $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}$ affects the input admittance of the transistor and $Y_{s}$ affects its output admittance, it is still possible to provide the transistor with a simultaneous conjugate match for maximum power transfer (from source to load) by using the following design equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\sqrt{\left[2 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~g}_{0}-\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right]^{2}-\left|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{o}}}  \tag{Eq.6-7}\\
& B_{S}=-j b_{1}+\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(y_{r} y_{r}\right)}{2 g_{o}}  \tag{Eq.6-8}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\sqrt{\left[2 \mathrm{gig}_{\mathrm{g}}-\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right]^{2}-\left|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{l}}}  \tag{Eq.6-9}\\
& =\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~g}_{0}}{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{l}}}  \tag{Eq.6-10}\\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}=-\mathrm{jb}_{\mathrm{o}}+\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{Eq.6-11}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the source conductance,
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ the source susceptance,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ the load conductance,
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ the load susceptance,
$\mathrm{Im}=$ the imaginary part of the product in parentheses.
The above equations may look formidable but actually they are not-once you have used them a few times. Let's try an example of a simultaneous conjugate match for clarification (Example 6-1).

## EXAMPLE 6-1

A transistor has the following Y parameters at 100 MHz , with $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}=10$ volts and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=8+j 5.7 \mathrm{mmhos} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{o}}=0.4+\mathrm{j} 1.5 \mathrm{mmhos} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}=52-\mathrm{j} 20 \mathrm{mmhos} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.01-\mathrm{j} 0.1 \mathrm{mmho}
\end{aligned}
$$

Design an amplifier which will provide maximum power gain between a $50-\mathrm{ohm}$ source and a $50-\mathrm{ohm}$ load at 100 MHz .

## Solution

First, calculate the Linvill stability factor using Equation 6-4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\frac{\left|y_{r} y_{r}\right|}{2 g_{1} g_{0}-\operatorname{Re}\left(y_{r} y_{r}\right)} \\
& =\frac{|(52-j 20)(0.01-j 0.1)|}{2(8)(0.4)-\operatorname{Re}[(52-j 20)(0.01-j 0.1)]} \\
& =\frac{5.57}{6.4-(-1.47)} \\
& =0.71
\end{aligned}
$$

Since C is less than 1, the device is unconditionally stable and we may proceed with the design. Had C been greater than 1 , however, we would have had to be extremely careful in matching the transistor to the source and load as instability could occur.

The MAG of this transistor is computed with Equation 6-6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { MAG } & =\frac{\left|y_{t}\right|^{2}}{4 g_{i} \mathrm{~g}_{0}} \\
& =\frac{|52-j 20|^{2}}{4(8)(0.4)} \\
& =242.5 \\
& =23.8 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

The actual gain we can achieve will be somewhat less than this due to $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and component losses.

Using Equations 6-7 through 6-11, calculate the source and load admittances for a simultaneous conjugate match. For the source, using Equation 6-7:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\frac{\sqrt{\left[2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}_{0}-\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right]^{2}-\left|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{[6.4+1.47]^{2}-(5.57)^{2}}}{2(.4)} \\
& =6.95 \mathrm{mmhos}
\end{aligned}
$$

And, with Equation 6-8:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}} & =-j \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}+\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(y_{r} y_{r}\right)}{2 g_{\mathrm{o}}} \\
& =-\mathrm{j} 5.7+\mathrm{j} \frac{-5.37}{2(.4)} \\
& =-\mathrm{j} 12.41 \text { mmhos }
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE 6-1-Cont.

| NAME | TITILE |  | DWG. NQ. |
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NORMALIZED IMPEDANCE AND ADMITTANCE COORDINATES
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Fig. 6-6. Input network design for Example 6-1.


## EXAMPLE 6-1-Cont.

Therefore, the source admittance that the transistor must "see" for optimum power transfer is $6.95-\mathrm{j} 12.41$ mmhos. The transistor's actual input admittance is the conjugate of this number, or $6.95+\mathrm{j} 12.41$ mmhos. For the load, using Equation 6-10:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{L} & =\frac{G_{\mathrm{sg}}}{g} \\
& =\frac{(6.95)(0.4)}{8} \\
& =0.347 \mathrm{mmho}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ind, with Equation 6-11:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\mathrm{L}} & =-j b_{\mathrm{o}}+\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(y_{e} y_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}{2 g_{1}} \\
& =-j 1.5+\mathrm{j} \frac{-5.37}{2(8)} \\
& =-\mathrm{j} 1.84 \text { mmhos }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for optimum power transfer, the load admittance must be $0.347-j 1.84$ mmhos. The actual output admittance of the transistor is the conjugate of the load admittance, or $0.347+\mathrm{il} .84$ mmhos.

The next step is to design the input and output imped-ance-matching networks that will transform the 50 -ohm source and load to the impedance which the transistor would like to see for optimum power transfer. The input matching design is shown on the Smith Chart of Fig. 6-6. This chart is normalized so that the center of the chart represents 50 ohms or 20 mmhos. Thus, the point, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{s}}=6.95$ - j12.42 mmhos, is normalized to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{s}} & =50(6.95-\mathrm{j} 12.41) \mathrm{mmhos} \\
& =0.34-\mathrm{j} 0.62 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

This normalized admittance is shown plotted in Fig. 6-6. Note that its corresponding impedance can be read directly from the chart as $\mathrm{Z}_{s}=0.69+\mathrm{jl} .2$ ohms. The input matching network must transform the $50-0 \mathrm{hm}$ source impedance to the impedance represented by this point. As was discussed in Chapter 4, there are numerous impedance-matching networks available to do the trick. The two-element L network was chosen here for simplicity and convenience.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { series } \mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{jl} 1.3 \text { ohms } \\
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{BC}=\text { shunt } \mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{jl} .1 \text { mhos }
\end{aligned}
$$

The output circuit is designed and plotted in Fig. 6-7. Because the admittance values needed in the output network are so small, this chart had to be normalized to 200 ohms ( 5 mmhos). Thus, the normalized admittance plotted on the chart is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}} & =200(0.347-j 1.84) \mathrm{mmhos} \\
& =0.069-j 0.368 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t} .}=0.495+\mathrm{j} 2.62 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

The normalized 50 -ohm load must be transformed to this impedance for maximum transfer of power. Again, the twoelement L network was chosen to perform the match.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { series } \mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{j} 1.9 \text { ohms } \\
& \text { Are } \mathrm{BC}=\text { shunt } \mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{j} 0.89 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

The input and output matching networks are shown in Fig. 6-8. For clarity, the bias circuitry is not shown.

Actual component values are found using Equations 4-I1 through 4-14. For the input network:


Fig. 6-8. Circuit topology for Example 6-1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\frac{1}{\omega \mathrm{XN}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)(1.3)(50)} \\
& =24.5 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{1} & =\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\omega \mathrm{~B}} \\
& =\frac{50}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)(1.1)} \\
& =72 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for the output network:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{8}\right)(1.9)(200)} \\
& =4.18 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{200}{2 \pi\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)(0.89)} \\
& =358 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final circuit, including the bias network, might appear as shown in Fig. 6-9. The $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitors provide rf bypass at 100 MHz .


Fig. 6-9. Final circuit for Example 6-1.

## Transducer gain

Transducer gain is defined as the output power that is delivered to a load by a source, divided by the maximum power available from the source. This is the gain term most often referenced in rf amplifier design work. Transducer gain includes the effects of input and output impedance matching as well as the contribution that the transistor makes to the overall gain of the amplifier stage. Component resistive losses are neglected.

Given the source admittance ( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) and load admittance ( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) as seen by the transistor, the transducer gain is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}=\frac{4 G_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}\left|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}} \tag{Eq.6-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 6.2

Find the gain of the circuit that was designed in Example 6-1. Disregard any component losses.

## Solution

The transducer gain for the amplifier is determined by substituting the values given in Example 6-1 into the Equation 6-12:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{T}}= \\
& \begin{aligned}
&(8+j 5.7+6.95-j 12.41)(0.4+\mathrm{j} 1.5+0.347-\mathrm{j} 1.84) \\
& \frac{|52-j 20|^{2}}{-\left.(52-j 20)(0.01-\mathrm{j} 0.1)\right|^{2}} \\
&=\frac{29943}{\sqrt{8.88-j 10.1+1.47+\left.j 5.37\right|^{2}}} \\
&=231.2 \\
&=23.64 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The transducer gain calculated in Example 6-2 is very close to the MAG that was calculated in Example $6-1$. Therefore, in this case, the reverse-transfer admittance ( $y_{r}$ ) of the transistor has very little effect on the overall gain of the stage. In many instances, however, $y_{r}$ can take an appreciable toll on gain. For this reason, it is best to calculate $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$ once the transistor's load and source admittances are determined. The calculation will provide you with a very good estimate of what the actual gain of the amplifier will be.

## Designing with Potentially Unstable Transistors

If the Linvill stability factor (C) calculated with Equation 6-4 is greater than 1, the transistor you have chosen is potentially unstable and may oscillate under certain conditions of source and load impedance. If this is the case, there are several options available that will enable you to use the transistor in a stable amplifier configuration:

1. Select a new bias point for the transistor.
2. Unilateralize or neutralize the transistor.
3. Selectivity mismatch the input and output impedance of the transistor to reduce the gain of the stage.

The simplest solution to a stability problem is very often Option 1. This is especially true if C calculates to be very close to, but greater than, 1. Remember, any change in a transistor's operating point has a direct effect on its rf characteristics. Therefore, by simply changing the dc bias point, it is possible to change the Y parameters of the transistor and, hence, its stability. Of course, if this approach is taken, it is absolutely critical that the bias point be temperaturestable over the range of temperatures that the device must operate.

Since instability is generally caused by the feedback path, which consists of the reverse-transfer admittance $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of the transistor, unilateralization or neutralization will often stabilize a design. Unilateralization consists of providing an external feedback path ( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) from the output to the input, such that $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}}$ cancels $y_{\mathrm{r}}$ leaving a composite reverse-transfer admittance ( $y_{\mathrm{rc}}$ ) equal to zero. With $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ equal to zero, the device is unconditionally stable. This can be verified by substituting $y_{\mathrm{rc}}=0$ for $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in Equation 6-4. The Linvill stability factor in this case becomes zero, thus, indicating unconditional stability.

Often, when $y_{r}$ is a complex admittance consisting of $g_{r} \pm j b_{r}$, it becomes very difficult to provide the correct external reverse admittance needed to totally eliminate the effect of $y_{r}$. In such cases, neutralization is often used. Neutralization is similar to unilateralization except that only the imaginary component of $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is counteracted. An external feedback path is constructed from output to input such that $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Thus, the composite reverse-transfer susceptance ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ ) is equal to zero. Neutralization also tends to tame wild amplifiers because, in most transistors, $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is negligible when compared to $b_{r}$. Thus, the elimination of $b_{r}$ very nearly eliminates $y_{r}$. For this reason, neutralization is generally preferred over unilateralization. Two types of neutralizing circuits are shown in Fig. 6-10. In Fig. $6-10 \mathrm{~A}$, the series inductor and capacitor can be tuned to provide the correct amount of negative susceptance (inductance) necessary to cancel a positive reversetransfer susceptance internal to the transistor. The circuit of Fig. 6-10B can be used to provide the correct amount of external positive susceptance necessary to cancel any -jb that is internal to the transistor.
The addition of external components, in order to neutralize an amplifier, tends to increase the cost and complexity of the circuit. Also, most neutralization circuits tend to neutralize the amplifier at the operating frequency only, and may cause problems (instability) at other frequencies. It is possible, however, to stabilize an amplifier without any form of external feedback. Another look at the Stern stability factor (K) in Equation 6-5 will reveal how.

If $G_{s}$ and $G_{I}$ are made sufficiently large enough to force K to be greater than 1, then the amplifier will


Fig. 6-10. Neutralization circuits.
remain stable for those terminations. This suggests selectively mismatching the transistor to achieve stability. Thus, the gain of the amplifier must be less than that which would be possible with a simultaneous conjugate match. The procedure for a design using unstable devices is as follows:

1. Choose $G_{s}$ based on the optimum noise-figure information in the transistor's data sheet. Alternately, choose $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ based on some other criteria, such as convenience or input-network $Q$.
2. Select a value of $K$ that will assure you of a stable amplifier ( $K>1$ ).
3. Substitute the above values for $K$ and $G_{s}$ into Equation 6-5 and solve for $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}$.
4. Now that $G_{s}$ and $G_{\mathrm{I}}$ are known, all that remains is to find $B_{s}$ and $B_{r_{1}}$. Choose a value of $B_{\mathrm{L}}$ equal to the $-b_{\text {, }}$, of the transistor. The corresponding $Y_{\text {L }}$, which results. will then be very close to the true $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{I}}$. that is theoretically needed to complete the design.
5. Next, calculate the transistor's input admittance ( $Y_{i n}$ ) using the load chosen in Step 4 and the formula in Equation 6-13.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i n}=y_{i}-\frac{y_{r} y_{p}}{y_{o}+Y_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{Eq.6-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where.

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{I}} \pm \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{I}}(\text { found in Steps } 3 \text { and } 4)
$$

6. Once $Y_{i n}$ is known, set $B_{s}$ equal to the negative of the imaginary part of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{in}}$, or:

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{in}}
$$

7. Calculate the gain of the stage using Equation - 12.

From this point forward, it is only necessary to provide input and output networks that will present the calculated $Y_{S}$ and $Y_{I}$ to the transistor. Example 6-3 illustrates the procedure.

## DESIGN USING S PARAMETERS

Is we discussed in Chapter 5, transistors can also be completely characterized by their scattering or S

## EXAMPLE 6-3

A 2N5179 transistor has the following Y parameters at 200 MHz :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=2.25+\mathrm{j} 7.2 \\
& y_{0}=0.4+\mathrm{j} 1.9 \\
& y_{\mathrm{r}}=40-\mathrm{j} 20 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.05-j 0.7
\end{aligned}
$$

All of the above parameters are in mmhos. Find source and load admittances that will assure you of a stable design. Find the gain of the amplifier.

## Solution

The Lincill stability factor (C) for the transistor is equal to 2.27 as calculated using Equation 6-4. Therefore, the device is potentially unstable and you must exercise extreme caution in choosing a source and load admittance for the transistor. Proceed as previously outlined in Steps 1 through 7.

The data sheet for the 2N5179 transistor states that the optimum source resistance for the best noise figure is 250 ohms. Thus, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}=1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=4 \mathrm{mmhos}$. Choose a Stern stability factor of $K=3$ for an adequate safety margin.

Substitute $G_{s}$ and $K$ into Equation 6-5 and solve for $G_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\frac{2\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}+\mathrm{G}_{1 .}\right)}{\mathrm{y}_{r_{r}}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)} \\
& 3=\frac{2(2.25+4)\left(0.4+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)}{31.35+(-12)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t}=4.24 \mathrm{mmhos}
$$

Set $B_{t}$, equal to $-b_{\text {. of }}$ of the transistor.

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{L}}=-\mathrm{j} 1.9 \mathrm{mmhos}
$$

The load admittance is now defined.

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}=4.24-\mathrm{j} 1.9 \mathrm{mmhos}
$$

Calculate the input admittance of the transistor usine Equation 6-13 and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{Y_{n}} & =y_{1}-\frac{y_{r} y_{r}}{y_{n}+Y_{1 .}} \\
& =2.25+j 7.2-\frac{\left(0.701 \angle-85.9^{\circ}\right)\left(44.72 \angle-26.6^{\circ}\right)}{0.4+\mathrm{j} 1.9+4.24-\mathrm{j} 1.9} \\
& =4.84+\mathrm{j} 13.44 \text { mmhos }
\end{aligned}
$$

Set Bs equal to the negative of the imaginary part of $\mathrm{Y}_{1 n}$,

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=-\mathrm{j} 13.44 \mathrm{mmhns}
$$

The source admittance needed for the design is now defined as:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{s}}=4.84-\mathrm{j} 13.44 \mathrm{mmhos}
$$

Now that $Y_{s}$ and $Y_{\text {I }}$ are known, you can calculate the expected gain of the amplifier using Equation 6-12.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{r}} & =\frac{4(4.84)(4.24)|(44.72)|^{2}}{\mid(7.08-\mathrm{j} 6.24)(4.64)-(-12-128.96)!^{2}} \\
& =\frac{135,671.7}{2011} \\
& =67.61 \\
& =18.3 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, even though the transistor is not conjugately matched. you can still realize a respectable amount of gain while maintaining a perfectly stable amplifier. Component values can be found by following the procedures outlined in Example 6-1
parameters. With these parameters, it is possible to calculate potential instabilities (tendency toward oscillation), maximum available gain, input and output impedances, and transducer gain. It is also possible to calculate optimum source and load impedances either for simultaneous conjugate matching or simply to help you choose specific source and load impedances for a specified transducer gain.

Like Y parameters, S parameters vary with frequency and bias level. Therefore, you must first choose a transistor, select a stable operating point, and determine its S parameters at that operating point (either by measurement or from a data sheet) before following the procedures given in the following sections.

## Stability

The tendency of a transistor toward oscillation can be gauged by its S-parameter data in much the same manner as was done in an earlier section with Y parameters. The calculation can be made even before an amplifier is built and, thus, it serves as a useful tool in finding a suitable transistor for your application.
To calculate the stability of a transistor with S parameters, you must first calculate the intermediate quantity $\mathrm{D}_{s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{22}-\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21} \tag{Eq.6-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Rollett Stability Factor ( $K$ ) is then calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{1+\left|\mathrm{D}_{8}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{S}_{11}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{S}_{22}\right|^{2}}{2 \cdot\left|\mathrm{~S}_{21}\right| \cdot\left|\mathrm{S}_{12}\right|} \tag{Eq.6-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If K is greater than 1 , then the device will be unconditionally stable for any combination of source and load impedance. If, on the other hand, K calculates to be less than 1 , the device is potentially unstable and will most likely oscillate with certain combinations of source and load impedance. With $K$ less than 1, you must be extremely careful in choosing source and load impedances for the transistor. It does not mean that the transistor cannot be used for your application, it merely indicates that the transistor will be more diffcult to use.

If $K$ calculates to be less than 1 , there are several approaches that you can take to complete the design:

1. Select another bias point for the transistor.
2. Choose a different transistor.
3. Follow the procedures outlined later in this chapter.

## Maximum Available Gain

The maximum gain you could ever hope to achieve from a transistor under conjugately matched conditions is called the Maximum Available Gain (MAG). To calculate MAG, first calculate the intermediate quantity $B_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{1}=1+\left|\mathrm{S}_{11}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{S}_{22}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}\right|^{2} \tag{Eq.6-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{s}$ is the quantity calculated using Equation 6-14.

The MAG is then calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MAG}=10 \log \frac{\left.\left|\frac{\left|S_{21}\right|}{\left|\mathrm{S}_{12}\right|}+10 \log \right| \mathrm{K} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{K}^{2}-1} \right\rvert\,}{} \tag{Eq.6-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
MAG is in dB,
K is the stability factor calculated using Equation 6-15.
The reason $B_{1}$ had to be calculated first is because its polarity determines which sign ( $\pm$ ) to use before the radical in Equation 6-17. If $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is negative, use the plus sign. If $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is positive, use the minus sign.

Note that K must be greater than 1 (unconditionally stable) or Equation 6-17 will be undefined. That is, for a $K$ less than 1 , the radical in the equation will produce an imaginary number and the MAG calculation is no longer valid. Thus, MAG is undefined for unstable transistors.

## Simultaneous Conjugate Match <br> (Unconditionally Stable Transistors)

Once a suitable stable transistor has been found, and its gain capabilities have been found to match your requirements, you can proceed with the design.

The following design procedures will result in load and source reflection coefficients which will provide a conjugate match for the actual output and input impedances, respectively, of the transistor. Remember that the actual output impedance of a transistor is dependent upon the source impedance that the transistor "sees." Conversely, the actual input impedance of the transistor is dependent upon the load impedance that the transistor "sees." This dependency is, of course, caused by the reverse gain of the transistor $\left(S_{12}\right)$. If $S_{12}$ were equal to zero, then, the load and source impedances would have no effect on the transistor's input and output impedances.

To find the desired load reflection coefficient for a conjugate match, perform the following calculations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{22}-\left(\mathrm{D}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{11}{ }^{\circ}\right) \tag{Eq.6-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, the asterisk indicates the complex conjugate of $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ (same magnitude, but angle has the opposite sign). The quantity $D_{s}$ is the intermediate quantity as calculated in Equation 6-14.

Next, calculate $\mathrm{B}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{2}=1+\left|\mathrm{S}_{22}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{S}_{11}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{4}\right|^{2} \tag{Eq.6-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of the reflection coefficient is then found from the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{B}_{2} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{B}_{2}^{2}-4\left|\mathrm{C}_{2}\right|^{2}}}{2\left|\mathrm{C}_{2}\right|} \tag{Eq.6-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign preceding the radical is the opposite of the sign of $B_{2}$ (which was previously calculated in Equation 6-19). The angle of the load-reflection coefficient is simply the negative of the angle of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ (found in Equation 6-18).

Once the desired load-reflection coefficient is found, it can be plotted on a Smith Chart, and the corresponding load impedance can be found directly. Or, if you prefer, you can substitute $\Gamma_{\mathrm{I}}$ into Equation 5-8, and solve for $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{I}}$, mathematically.

With the desired load-reflection coefficient specified, you can now calculate the source-reflection coefficient that is needed to properly terminate the transistor's input.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{S}=\left[S_{11}+\frac{S_{12} S_{21} \Gamma_{L}}{1-\left(\Gamma_{L} \cdot S_{22}\right)}\right]^{\circ} \tag{Eq.6-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asterisk again indicates that you should take the conjugate of the quantity in brackets i same magnitude. but opposite sign for the angle). In other words, once you complete the calculation (within the brackets) of Equation 6-21, the magnitude of the result will be correct, but the angle will have the wrong sign. Simply change the sign of the angle.

Once $\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}$ is found, it can either be plotted on a Smith Chart or substituted into Equation 5-8 to find the corresponding source impedance. An example should help clarify matters (Example 6-4)

## EXAMPLE 6-4

A transistor has the following S parameters at 200 MHz , with a $V_{\text {cs }}=10 \mathrm{~V}$ and an $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{11}=0.4 \angle 162^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{22}=0.35 \angle-39^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{12}=0.04 \angle 60^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{21}=5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

The amplifier must operate between 50 -ohm terminations. Design input and output matching networks to simultaneously conjugate match the transistor for maximum gain.

## Solution

First use Equations 6-14 and 6-15 to see if the transistor is stable at the operating frequency and bias point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=\overline{=} \\
&\left.\quad=162^{\circ}\right)\left(0.35 \angle-39^{\circ}\right)-\left(0.04 \angle 60^{\circ}\right)\left(5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}\right) \\
&=0.14 \angle 123^{\circ}-0.208 \angle 123^{\circ} \\
&=0.068 \angle-57^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use the magnitude of $D *$ to calculate $K$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} & =\frac{1+(0.068)^{2}-(0.4)^{2}-(0.35)^{2}}{2(5.2)(0.04)} \\
& =1.74
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K$ is greater than 1 , the transistor is unconditionally stable and we may proceed with the design.

Next, calculate B; using Equation 6-16.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}_{1} & =1+(0.4)^{2}-(0.35)^{2}-(0.068)^{2} \\
& =1.0 .3
\end{aligned}
$$

The Maximum Available Gain is then given by Equation 6-17:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MAG} & =10 \log \frac{5.2}{0.04}+10 \log \left|1.74-\sqrt{(1.74)^{2}-1}\right| \\
& =21.14+(-5) \\
& =16.1 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

The negative sign shown in front of the radical in the above equation results from $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ being positive.

If the design specification had called out a minimum gain greater than 16.1 dB , a different transistor would be needed. We will consider 16.1 dB adequate for our purposes.

The next step is to find the load-reflection coefficient needed for a conjugate match. The two intermediate quantities ( $C_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ ) must first be found. From Equation 6-18:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =0.35 \angle-.39^{\circ}-\left[\left(0.068 \angle-57^{\circ}\right)\left(0.4 \angle-162^{\circ}\right)\right] \\
& =0.272-\mathrm{j} 0.22-[-0.021+\mathrm{j} 0.017] \\
& =0.377 \angle-39^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, from Equation 6-19:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}_{2} & =1+(0.35)^{2}-(0.4)^{2}-(0.068)^{2} \\
& =0.958
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the magnitude of the load-reflection coefficient can now be found using Equation 6-20.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}\right| & =\frac{0.958-\sqrt{(0.958)^{2}-4(0.377)^{2}}}{2(0.377)} \\
& =0.487
\end{aligned}
$$

The angle of the load-reflection coefficient is simply equal to the negative of the angle of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, or $+39^{\circ}$. Thus.

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}=0.487 \angle 39^{\circ}
$$

Using $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}$, calculate $\Gamma_{s}$ using Equation 6-21

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{s}= \\
& \left.\quad 0.4 \angle 162^{\circ}+\frac{\left(0.04 \angle 60^{\circ}\right)\left(5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}\right)\left(0.487 \angle 39^{\circ}\right)}{1-\left(0.487 \angle 39^{\circ}\right)\left(0.35 \angle-39^{\circ}\right)}\right]^{\circ} \\
& \quad=\left[0.522 \angle 162^{\circ}\right]^{\circ} \\
& \quad=0.522 \angle-162^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Once the desired $\Gamma_{\times}$and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}$ are known, all that remains is to surround the transistor with components that provide it with source and load impedances which "look like" $\Gamma$. and $\Gamma_{1}$..

The input matching-network design is shown on the Smith Chart of Fig. 6-11. The object of the design is to force the 50 -ohm source to present a reflection coefficient of $0.522 \angle-162^{\circ}$. With $\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$ plotted as shown, the corresponding desired and normalized impedance is read directly from the chart as $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.32-\mathrm{j} 0.14 \mathrm{ohm}$. Remember, this is a normalized impedance because the chart has been normalized to 50 ohms. The actual impedance represented by $\Gamma_{*}$ is, equal to $50(0.32-j 0.14)=16-j 7$ ohms. To force the 50 -ohm source to actually appear as a $16 \ldots \mathrm{j} 7$ ohm impedance to the transistor, we merely add a shunt and a series reactive component as shown on the chart of Fig. 6-11. Proceeding from the source, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } A B=\text { Shunt } C=j 1.45 \mathrm{mhos} \\
& \text { Arc } B C=\text { Series } L=j 0.33 \mathrm{mhm}
\end{aligned}
$$

The actual component values are found using Fiquations 4 12 and 4-13.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\frac{1,45}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{6}\right) 50} \\
& =23 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{1} & =\frac{(0.33)(50)}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{6}\right)} \\
& =1.3 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE 6-4-Cont.

| NAME | TITLE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMITH CHART | FORM ZY-01-N | ANALOG INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, NEW PROVIDENCE, N.J. O7974 |

NORMALIZED IMPEDANCE AND ADMITTANCE COORDINATES


Cont. on next page
Fig. 6-11. Input network-design values for Example 6-4.

EXAMPLE 6-4-Cont.
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Fig. 6-12. Output network-design values for Example 6-4.

## EXAMPLE 6-4-Cont.

This completes the input matching network.
The load-reflection coefficient is plotted in Fig. 6-12 and represents a desired load impedance (as read from the chart) of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=50(1.6+j 1.28)$ ohms, or $80+j 64$ ohms. The matching network is designed as follows. Proceeding from the load:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { Series } \mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{j} 1.3 \mathrm{ohms} \\
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{BC}=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{j} 0.78 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

Component values are now found using Equations 4-11 and 4-14.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{6}\right)(1.3)(50)} \\
& =12 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{50}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{8}\right)(0.78)} \\
& =51 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final design, excluding bias circuitry, is shown in Fig. 6-13.


Fig. 6-13. Final circuit for Example 6-4.

## Transducer Gain

The transducer gain, as defined earlier in this chapter, is the actual gain of an amplifier stage including the effects of input and output matching and device gain. It does not include losses attributed to power dissipation in imperfect components.

Transducer gain is found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\left|\mathrm{S}_{21}\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}-\left|\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|\left(1-\mathrm{S}_{11} \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{S}_{22} \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}\right)-\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21} \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}} \bar{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{S}}\right|^{2}} \tag{Eq.6-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}$ are the source- and load-reflection coefficients, respectively.

Calculation of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is a useful method of checking the power gain of an amplifier before it is built. This is shown by Example 6-5.

## EXAMPLE 6-5

Calculate the transducer gain of the amplifier that was designed in Example 6-4.

## Solution

Using Equation 6-22, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{(5.2)^{2}}{\mid(1-0.2088)(1-0.170)} \\
&=\left(1-(0.522)^{2}\right)\left(1-(0.487)^{2}\right) \\
&=\left.\left(0.04 \angle 60^{\circ}\right)\left(5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}\right)\left(0.487 \angle 39^{\circ}\right)\left(0.522 \angle-162^{\circ}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&=41.15 \\
&=16.1 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice, again, that the transducer gain calculates to be very close to the MAG. If you carry the calculation out to several decimal places, you will find that $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is still less than the MAG by a few hundredths of a dB. This is due to the fact that $S_{12}$ is not equal to zero and is, therefore, providing a slight amount of negative feedback internal to the transistor.

## Design for a Specified Gain

Often, when designing amplifiers, it is required that a single stage provide a certain amount of gain-no more and no less. In a situation such as this, a simultaneous conjugate match for the transistor would probably provide too much gain for the stage and would probably overdrive its load (or the succeeding stage). Obviously, if you so desired, you could search through mountains of manufacturer's literature hoping to find a transistor that, when conjugately matched, would provide exactly the amount of gain desired. This approach could take weeks or even months. Even if you did find a transistor with exactly the gain needed, you are now at the mercy of the manufacturer and are subject to any and all gain variations among transistors of the same type. There is a better way, however, and it alleviates the above problems very easily. It is called selective mismatching.
Selective mismatching is simply a controlled manageable way of decreasing gain by not matching the transistor to its load. This may sound like heresy to some, but it is a practical, logical, and well-accepted design procedure. There are still those who believe that at rf frequencies, a transistor must be matched to its source and load impedance. This is just not true. A transistor is simultaneously conjugate matched to its source and load only if maximum gain is desired, without regard for any other parameter, such as noise figure and bandwidth.

One of the easiest methods of selectively mismatching a transistor is through the use of a constant-gain circle as plotted on a Smith Chart. A constant-gain circle is simply a circle, the circumference of which represents a locus of points (load impedances) that will force the amplifier gain to a specified value. For instance, any of the infinite number of impedances located on the circumference of a $10-\mathrm{dB}$ constant-gain circle would force the amplifier stage gain to 10 dB . Once the circle is drawn on a Smith Chart, you can see the load impedances that will provide a desired gain.

A constant-gain circle is plotted on a Smith Chart by performing a few calculations to determine:

1. Where the center of the circle is located.
2. The radius of the circle.

This information is calculated as follows:

1. Calculate $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ using Equation 6-14.
2. Calculate $\mathrm{D}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{2}=\left|\mathrm{S}_{22}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}}\right|^{2} \tag{Eq.6-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Calculate $\mathrm{C}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{22}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~S}_{11}{ }^{\circ} \tag{Eq.6-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Calculate G.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\text { Gain desired (absolute) }}{\left|S_{21}\right|^{2}} \tag{Eq.6-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the numerator in Equation 6-25 must be an absolute gain and not a gain in dB.
5. Calculate the location of the center of the circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{\mathrm{GC}_{2}{ }^{\bullet}}{\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}} \tag{Eq.6-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Calculate the radius of the circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{u}=\frac{\sqrt{1-2 K\left|S_{12} S_{21}\right| G+\left|S_{12} S_{21}\right|^{2} \mathrm{G}^{2}}}{1+\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}} \tag{Eq.6-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 6-6

A transistor has the following $S$ parameters at 250 MHz , with a $V_{: v}=5 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{11}=0.277 \angle-59^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{22}=0.848 \angle-31^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{12}=0.078 \angle 93^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{21}=1.92 \angle 64^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Design an amplifier to provide 9 dB of gain at 250 MHz . The source impedance is $Z_{s}=35-j 60$ ohms and the load impedance is $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=50-\mathrm{j} 50$ ohms. The transistor is unconditionally stable with $K=1.033$.

Solution
Using Equation 6-14 and Equations 6-23 through 6-27, and proceeding "by the numbers." we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{w z}-\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21} \\
&=\left(0.277 \angle-59^{\circ}\right)\left(0.848 \angle-31^{\circ}\right) \\
&\left.=0.324 \angle-643^{\circ}\right)\left(1.92 \angle 64^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{D}_{z}=(0.848)^{2}-(0.324)^{2} \\
&=0.614 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2}=0.848 \angle-31^{\circ}-\left(0.324 \angle-64.8^{\circ}\right)\left(0.277 \angle 59^{\circ}\right) \\
&= 0.768 \angle-3.3 .9^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{G}= \frac{7.94}{(1.92)^{2}} \\
&= 2.15
\end{aligned}
$$

The center of the circle is then located at the point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\mathrm{o}} & =\frac{2.15\left(0.768 \angle 33.9^{\circ}\right)}{1+(0.614)(2.15)} \\
& =0.712 \angle 33.9^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

This point can now be plotted on the Smith Chart.
The radius of the $9-\mathrm{dB}$ gain circle is calculated as:

$=0.285$
The Smith Chart construction is shown in Fig. 6-14, Note that any load impedance located along the circumference of the circle will produce an amplifier gain of 9 dB if the input impedance of the transistor is coniugately matched.

The actual load impedance we have to work with is 50 - i 50 ohms, as given in the problem statement. Its normalized value ( $1-j \mathrm{l}$ ) is shown in Fig. 6-14 (point A). The transistor's output network must transform the actual load impedance into a value that falls on the constant-gain circle. Obviously, there are numerous circuit configurations that will do the trick. The configuration shown was chosen for convenience. Proceeding from the load:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { Series } \mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{j} 2 \text { ohms } \\
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{BC}=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{j} 0.425 \mathrm{mho}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, using Equations 4-11 through 4-14, the actual component values are:
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Fig. 6-14. Output network-design values for Example 6-6.
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Fig. 6-15. Input network-design values for Example 6-6.

EXAMPLE 6-6-Cont.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{4} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(250 \times 10^{6}\right)(2)(50)} \\
& =6.4 \mathrm{pF}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{1} & =\frac{50}{2 \pi\left(250 \times 10^{8}\right)(0.425)} \\
& =75 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a conjugate match at the input to the transistor with $\Gamma_{\llcorner }=0.82 \angle 14.2^{\circ}$ (point C), the desired source-reflection coefficient must be (using Equation 6-21):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{s}=\left[0.277 \angle-59^{\circ}+\right. \\
\left.\frac{\left(0.078 \angle 93^{\circ}\right)\left(1.92 \angle 64^{\circ}\right)\left(0.82 \angle 14.2^{\circ}\right)}{1-\left(0.82 \angle 14.2^{\circ}\right)\left(0.848 \angle-31^{\circ}\right)}\right]^{\circ} \\
=0.105 \angle 160^{\circ}
\end{gathered}
$$

This point is plotted as point $D$ in Fig. 6-15. The actual normalized source impedance is plotted at point A ( 0.7 - $\mathbf{j 1 . 2}$ ohms). Thus, the input network must transform the actual impedance at point A to the desired impedance at point D. For practice, this was done with a three-element design as shown.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{C}_{2}=j 0.62 \mathrm{mho} \\
& \text { Arc } B C=\text { Series } \mathrm{L}_{2}=j 1.09 \mathrm{ohms} \\
& \text { Arc } C D=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{C}_{3}=j 2.1 \mathrm{mhos}
\end{aligned}
$$

methods of determining those source and load impedances that will cause the transistor to go unstable is to plot stability circles on a Smith Chart.

A stability circle is simply a circle on a Smith Chart which represents the boundary between those values of source or load impedance that cause instability and those that do not. The perimeter of the circle thus represents the locus of points which forces $\mathrm{K}=1$. Either the inside or the outside of the circle may represent the unstable region and that determination must be made after the circles are drawn.
The locations and radaii of the input and output stability circles are found as follows:

1. Calculate $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ using Equation 6-14.
2. Calculate $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{S}_{11}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~S}_{22}{ }^{\circ} \tag{Eq.6-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Calculate $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ using Equation 6-18.
4. Calculate the center location of the input stability circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{si}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{*}}{\left|\mathrm{~S}_{11}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{2}} \tag{Eq.6-29}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Calculate the radius of the input stability circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=\left|\frac{\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21}}{\left|\mathrm{~S}_{11}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}}\right|^{2}}\right| \tag{Eq.6-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Calculate the center location of the output stability circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{0}}{\left|\mathrm{~S}_{22}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{8}}\right|^{2}} \tag{Eq.6-31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 4-11 through 4-14:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =\frac{(0.62)}{2 \pi\left(250 \times 10^{6}\right)(50)} \\
& =7.9 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{C}_{3} & =\frac{2.1}{2 \pi\left(250 \times 10^{8}\right) 50} \\
& =27 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{2} & =\frac{(1.09)(50)}{2 \pi\left(250 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =34.7 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The completed design, excluding the bias network, is shown in Fig. 6-16.


Fig. 6-16. Final circuit for Example 6-6.
7. Calculate the radius of the output stability circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=\left|\frac{\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21}}{\left|\mathrm{~S}_{22}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}}\right|^{2}}\right| \tag{Eq.6-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once the calculations are made, the stability circles can be plotted directly on the Smith Chart. Note, however, that if you try to plot stability circles on the Smith Chart for an unconditionally stable transistor, you may never find them. This is because for an unconditionally stable amplifier the entire chart represents a stable operating region, as shown in Fig. 6-17.

For a potentially unstable transistor, the stability circles might resemble those shown in Fig. 6-18. Often, only a portion of the stability circle intersects the chart as shown.

After the stability circles are plotted on the chart, the next step is to determine which side of the circle


Fig. 6-17. Typical stability circles for an unconditionally stable amplifier.
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Fig. 6-18. Typical stability circles for a potentially unstable transistor.
(inside or outside) represents the stable region. This is very easily done if $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{22}$ for the transistor are less than 1 . Since the $S$ parameters were measured with a 50 -ohm source and load, and since the transistor remained stable under these conditions ( $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{22}$ would be greater than 1 for an unstable transistor), then the center of the normalized Smith Chart must be part of the stable region as described by the stability circles. Therefore, in this case, if one of the circles surrounds the center of the chart, the inside of that circle must represent the region of stable impedances for that port. If, on the other hand, the circle does not surround the center of the chart, then the entire area outside of that circle must represent the stable operating region for that port.
It is very rare that you will find a transistor that is unstable with a 50 -ohm source and load and, if you do, it would probably be wise to try another device. Therefore, the procedure outlined above should be
considered to be the most direct method of locating the stable operating regions on a Smith Chart. Example 6-7 diagrams the procedure.

## Design for Optimum Noise Figure

The noise figure of any two-port network gives a measure of the amount of noise that is added to a signal that is transmitted through the network. For any practical circuit, the signal-to-noise ratio at its output will be worse (smaller) than that at its input. In most circuit-design applications, however, it is possible to minimize the noise contribution of each two-port network through a judicious choice of operating point and source resistance.

In Chapter 5, it was briefly mentioned that for each transistor, indeed for each two-port network, there exists an optimum source resistance necessary to establish a minimum noise figure (see also Appendix B). Many manufacturers specify an optimum source

## EXAMPLE 6-7

The S parameters for a 2 N 5179 transistor at 200 MHz , with a $V_{c k}=6$ volts and an $I_{c}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$, are (see the data sheet in Chapter 5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{11}=0.4 \angle 280^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{22}=0.78 \angle 345^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{12}=0.048 \angle \frac{65^{\circ}}{} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{21}=5.4 \angle 103^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a stable load- and source-reflection coefficient that will provide a power gain of 12 dB at 200 MHz .

## Solution

A calculation of Rollett's stability factor ( K ) for the transistor indicates a potential instability with $\mathrm{K}=0.802$. Therefore, you must exercise extreme caution in choosing source and load impedances for the device or it may oscillate. To find the stable operating regions on the Smith Chart, plot the input and output stability circles. Proceeding with Step 1, above, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{1}} & =\left(0.4 \angle 280^{\circ}\right)\left(0.75 \angle 345^{\circ}\right) \\
& -\left(0.048 \angle 65^{\circ}\right)\left(5.4 \angle 103^{\circ}\right) \\
& =0.429 \angle-58.18^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =0.4 \angle 280^{\circ}-\left(0.429 \angle-58.2^{\circ}\right)\left(0.78 \angle-345^{\circ}\right) \\
& =0.241 \angle-136.6^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =0.78 \angle 345^{\circ}-\left(0.429 \angle-58.2^{\circ}\right)\left(0.4 \angle-280^{\circ}\right) \\
& =0.65 \angle-24^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the center of the input stability circle is located at the point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{x 1} & =\frac{0.241 \angle 136.6^{\circ}}{(0.4)^{2}-(0.429)^{2}} \\
& =10 \angle 136.6^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

The radius of the circle is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{a 1} & =\left|\frac{\left(0.048 \angle 65^{\circ}\right)\left(5.4 \angle 103^{\circ}\right)}{(0.4)^{2}-(0.429)^{2}}\right| \\
& =10.78
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for the output stability circle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}_{* 2} & =\frac{0.65 \angle 24^{\circ}}{(0.78)^{2}-(0.429)^{2}} \\
& =1.53 \angle 24^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{p}_{\Delta 2}= & \left|\frac{\left(0.048 \angle 65^{\circ}\right)\left(5.4 \angle 103^{\circ}\right)}{(0.78)^{2}-(0.429)^{2}}\right| \\
= & 0.610
\end{aligned}
$$

These circles are shown in Fig. 6-19. Note that the input stability circle is actually drawn as a straight line because the radius of the circle is so large. Since $S_{11}$ and $S_{82}$ are both less than 1 , we can deduce that the inside of the input stability circle represents the region of stable source impedances while the outside of the output stability circle represents the region of stable load impedances for the device.

The 12-dB gain circle is also shown plotted in Fig. 6-19. It is found using Equation 6-14 and Equations 6-23 through 6-27. Note that $\mathrm{D}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ have already been calculated. The center location of the circle is found to be:

$$
\mathrm{r}_{0}=0.287 \angle 24^{\circ}
$$

with a radius of:

$$
\mathrm{p}_{0}=0.724
$$

The only load impedances that we may not select for the transistor are located inside of the input stability circle. Any other load impedance located on the $12-\mathrm{dB}$ gain circle will provide the needed gain as long as the input of the device is conjugately matched and as long as the impedance required for a conjugate match falls inside of the input stability circle.
Choose $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}$ equal to a convenient value on the $12-\mathrm{dB}$ gain circle.

$$
\Gamma_{L}=0.89 \angle 70^{\circ}
$$

Using Equation 6-21, calculate the source-reflection coefficient needed for a conjugate match and plot this point on the Smith Chart.

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}=0.678 \angle 79.4^{\circ}
$$

Notice that $\Gamma_{s}$ falls within the stable region of the input stability circle and, therefore, represents a stable termination for the transistor.

## EXAMPLE 6-7-Cont.
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Fig. 6-19. Stability and gain circles for the transistor in Example 6-7.

## FEATURES

- HIGH GAIN BANDWIDTH PRODUCT ( 1.5 GHz )
- HIGH f max ${ }^{\left(4.2 \mathrm{GHz} @ \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=20 \mathrm{~mA}\right)}$
- GOLD METALLIZATION
- high rellability
- DIRECT INTERCHANGABILITY WITH THE FMT 1060 SERIES OF FAIRCHILD TRANSISTORS
specification sheet



MA-42120 SERIES R.F. SPECIFICATIONS

| MODEL NO. | MA-42120 | MA-42121 | MA.42122 | MA-42123 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASE STYLE | 508 | 508 | 509 | 509 |
| Test Frequency (MHz) | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Max Noise Fig. <br> @ IC (dB) | -- | -- | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Gu (max) <br> Typ. (dB) | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| IC (mA) | - | -- | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 1 dB Compression <br> Point (dBm) | -- | -12 | -12 |  |
| Fairchild <br> Equivalent | FMT | 1060 | FMT | FMT |

MA-42120 SERIES HIGH FREQUENCY SPECIFICATIONS ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Ambient Temperature Unless Otherwise Noted)

| Symbol | Characteristics | Type | Min. | Typ. | Max. | Units | Test Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{t}$ | Gain Bandwidth Product | MA42121 | 1.3 | 1.5 |  | GHz | $\mathrm{V}_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=20 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
|  |  | MA42120 | 1.0 | 1.3 |  | GHz | $f=500 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
|  |  | MA42123 | 1.3 | 1.5 |  | GHz |  |
|  |  | MA42122 | 1.0 | 1.3 |  | GHz |  |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A} \text { max }}$ | Maximum Available Gain | MA42121 |  | 12.8 |  | d8 | $V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=20 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
|  |  | MA42123 |  | 13.8 |  |  | $f-1.0 \mathrm{GHz}$ |
| NF | Noise Figure | MA42123 |  | 2.3 | 3.0 | dB | $V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{t}_{C}=1.5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
|  |  | MA42122 |  | 2.7 | 3.5 | dB | $f=450 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{R}=50 \mathrm{ohms}$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {pe }}$ | Neutralized Power Gain | MA42123 |  | 17.0 |  | dB | $\begin{aligned} & V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V}, I_{E}=1.5 \mathrm{~mA} \\ & f=450 \mathrm{MHZ}, \mathrm{R}=50 \mathrm{ohms} \end{aligned}$ |
| $f_{\text {max }}$ | Maximum Frequency of | MA42121 |  | 4.2 |  | GHz | $V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V} . \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=20 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
|  | Qscillation' | MA42120 |  | 3.8 |  | GHz | $V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{IC}=20 \mathrm{~mA}$ |

NOTE:

1. Calculated from S-Parameters, $f_{\max }$ is the frequency at which the extrapolated $G A_{\text {max }}$ is 0 dB .

## MA-42120 SERIES

## specification sheet



TYPICAL NOISE FIGURE VS FREQUENCY


MA 42123.509 TYPICAL $\left|S_{21 E}\right|^{2}$ VS COLLECTOR CURRENT

 MA-42123-509 TYPICAL OPTIMUM N.F. VS COLLECTOR CURRENT
 MA- $\mathbf{4 2 1 2 3 - 5 0 9}$ TYPICAL Gu (MAX) VS FREQUENCY


MA-42120-50B TYPICAL GAIN.VS FREQUENCY


Cont. on next page
Fig. 6-20.-Cont. Data sheet for Microwave Associates' MA-42120 series of transistors. (Courtesy Microwave Associates)

MA-42120 SERIES

## specification sheet

ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ Ambient Temperature Unless Otherwise Noted)

| Symbol | Characteristics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MA42120 } \\ & \text { MA42122 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MA42121 } \\ & \text { MA42123 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Units | Test Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min | Typ | Max | Min | Typ | Max |  |  |
| hFE | DC Current Gain | 20 | 45 | 110 | 40 | 75 | 185 |  | $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{C}}=5.0 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {CE }}=5.0$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {CE }}$ (sat) | Pulsed Collector Saturation Voltage' |  | 0.30 | 0.38 |  | 0.25 | 0.35 | v | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}=80 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}=8.0 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| $V_{\text {BE }}$ (sal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Pulsed Base Saruration Voltage ${ }^{1}$ |  | 0.95 | 0.98 |  | 0.93 | 0.96 | V | $I_{C}=40 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{B}=20 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| $\mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{CBO}}$ | Collector to Base Breakdown | 30 | 35 |  | 30 | 35 |  | $v$ | $I^{1} C=10 \mu \mathrm{~A}, ~ I_{E}=0$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {CEO }}$ isus. | Collector to Emitter Sustain. ing Voltage | 14 | 16.5 |  | 14 | 16.5 |  | v | $I_{C}=1.0 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}=0$ |
| Iebo | Emitter Cutoff Current |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | $\mu \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{C}}=0, \mathrm{~V}_{E B}=4.0 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| I'cbo | Collector Cutoff Current |  | 0.01 | 50 |  | 0.01 | 50 | nA | $V_{C B}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}=0$ |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CbO}$ | Collector Cutoff Current |  | 0.3 | 1.0 |  | 0.3 | 1.0 | $\mu \mathrm{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{C B}=10 \mathrm{~V}, I_{E}=0 \\ & T_{A}=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ | Collector to Base Capacitance (MA42120, MA42121) |  | 1.0 | 1.4 |  | 1.0 | 1.4 | pF | $V_{C B}=10 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}=0$ |
| $c_{\text {eb }}$ | Collector to Base Capacitance (MA42122, MA42123) |  | 0.85 | 1.0 |  | 0.85 | 1.0 | pF | $V_{C B}=10 \mathrm{~V} \cdot 1_{E}-0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {eb }}$ | Emitter to Base Capacitance |  | 1.5 | 3.0 |  | 1.5 | 3.0 | pF | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{EB}}=0.5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=0$ |
| $\left\|h_{\text {fe }}\right\|$ | Magnitude of High Frequency Current Gain | 2.0 | 2.6 |  | 2.6 | 3.0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & V_{C E}=10 \mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=20 \mathrm{~mA} . \\ & f=500 \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ |

NOTE:

1. Pulse Conditions: Length $=\mathbf{3 0 0} \mu \mathrm{s}$; duty cycle $=1 \%$

MAXIMUM RATING
(Case Temperature $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise noted)

|  | Total Power Dissipation | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \text { case }-1.0 \mathrm{~W} \\ & 509 \text { case }-.5 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{\text {cBo }}$ | Collector to Base Voltage | 30 V |
| Vebo | Emitter to Base Voltage | 4.0 V |
| VCES | Collector to Emitter Voltage | 30 V |
| ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ | Collector Current | 80 mA |
|  | Storage Temperature | $-65^{\circ}$ to $+200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Operating Junction Temperature Lead Temperature (Soldering - |  | $+200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |
| 10 seconds each lead) |  | $+250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Hermeticity |  | $5 \times(10)^{-8} \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$ of He |

ENVIRONMENTAL RATINGS PER MIL-STD- 750

|  | Method | Level |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Storage Temperature | 1031 | $-65 \mathrm{to}+200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Temperature Cycle | 1051 | 10 cycles |
|  |  | $-65 \mathrm{to}+200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Shock | 2016 | $500 \mathrm{~g} ' \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Vibration | 2056 | 15 g 's |
| Constant Acceleration | 2006 | $20,000 \mathrm{~g}$ s |
| Humidity | 1021 | 10 days |



MA-42120 SERIES
specification sheet

| $\text { MA } 42122.509$ <br> TYPICAL COMMON-EMITTER S-PARAMETERS AT 25 C LEAD TEMPERATURE $V_{C E}=10$ VOLTS, $Z_{G}=\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=50 \Omega$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREQUENCY | collegtor CURRENT | REF | ION <br> ENT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FO } \\ \text { TRAN } \\ \text { COE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RD SSION <br> IENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RE } \\ & \text { TRAN } \\ & \text { COEF } \end{aligned}$ | SE SSION IENT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UT } \\ & \text { TION } \\ & \text { IENT } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ MHz ] | (mA) | \| $\mathrm{S}_{11 \mathrm{E}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ¢5,1E | S21E ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | OS21E | \| S12E ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | ${ }^{0}$ \$12E | \| S22E] | $\psi_{\text {S }}^{22 E}$ |
| 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 66 \\ & .43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -43^{\circ} \\ -70^{\circ} \\ -103^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 81 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145^{\circ} \\ & 127^{\circ} \\ & 109^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \\ & 02 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63^{\circ} \\ & 533^{\circ} \\ & 55^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 87 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ -\because 2 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |
| 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ .52 \\ .37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -69^{\circ} \\ -102^{\circ} \\ -132^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 51 \\ & 70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127^{\circ} \\ 107^{\circ} \\ 93^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 04 \\ & 03 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54^{\circ} \\ & 56^{\circ} \\ & 66^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 79 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 300 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 5.0 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ .45 \\ .36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -94^{\circ} \\ -126^{\circ} \\ -149^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 41 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110^{6} \\ 94^{\circ} \\ 83^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 03 \\ & 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47^{\circ} \\ & 50^{\circ} \\ & 70^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 77 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 6 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 400 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 41 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -115 \\ & -144 \\ & 162 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99^{\circ} \\ & 84^{\circ} \\ & 75^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 04 \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45^{\circ} \\ & 62^{\circ} \\ & 73^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 76 \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & ? 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 450 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 40 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \\ -151 \\ -167 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 25 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93^{\circ} \\ & 79^{\circ} \\ & 10^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 04 \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47^{\circ} \\ & 66^{\prime} \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 76 \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 37 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 500 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 40 \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132^{5} \\ -157 \\ -172^{5} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 20 \\ 2.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88^{\circ} \\ & 75^{\circ} \\ & 66^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 05 \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49^{\circ} \\ & 69^{\circ} \\ & 79^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 15 \\ & 73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 13 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 600 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 ; \\ & 40 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -148^{\circ} \\ -169^{\circ} \\ 179^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & 28 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80^{\circ} \\ & 69^{\circ} \\ & 60^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 05 \\ 05 \\ .06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53^{2} \\ & 74 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 74 \\ & 73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 76 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ |
| 700 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 40 \\ & 41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $160^{\circ}$ $-179^{\circ}$ $172^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 20 \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72^{\circ} \\ & 62^{\circ} \\ & 53^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63^{6} \\ & 79^{\circ} \\ & 84^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 72 \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 800 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 41 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -171^{\circ} \\ 173^{\circ} \\ 166^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66^{\circ} \\ & 57^{\circ} \\ & 49^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72^{\circ} \\ & 83^{\circ} \\ & 8 i^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 19 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 34 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 901 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 41 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 178^{\circ} \\ & 166^{\circ} \\ & 159^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61^{\circ} \\ & 52^{\circ} \\ & 45^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $80^{\circ}$ $85^{\circ}$ $88^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 70 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 39 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1009 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 41 \\ & 44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168^{\circ} \\ & 167^{\circ} \\ & 151^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: \\ & 14 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55^{\circ} \\ & 47^{\circ} \\ & 39^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 08 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89^{\prime} \\ & 90^{\prime} \\ & 9 \mathbf{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 70 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 45 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ |


| $\text { MA } 42123.509$ <br> TYPICAL COMMON-EMITTER S-PARAMETERS AT 25 C LEAD TEMPERATURE $V C E=10 V O L T S . Z_{G}=Z_{L}=50 \Omega$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREQUENCY(MHz) | COLLECTOR CURRENT (mA) | INPUT REFLECTION COEFFICIENT |  | FORWARD TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT |  | REVERSE TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTPUT } \\ & \text { REFLECTION } \\ & \text { COEFFICIENT } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mid S_{11 E}$ \| | ¢S11E | \| $\mathrm{S}_{21 \mathrm{E}}$ \| | $\mathrm{OS}_{21 \mathrm{E}}$ | $\mid \mathrm{ST2E}$ \| | $\mathrm{OS}_{12 \mathrm{E}}$ | \| S ${ }^{\text {22E }}$ \| | $0 S_{22 \mathrm{E}}$ |
| 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 68 \\ 43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -399^{\circ} \\ -85^{\circ} \\ -121^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 86 \\ 10.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147^{\circ} \\ & 128^{\circ} \\ & 104^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 04 \\ & 03 \\ & 02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 56 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 85 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 53 \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -63 \\ -95 \\ -144^{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 6 . \\ & 6,6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129^{\circ} \\ 108^{\circ} \\ 89^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \\ & 04 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56^{\circ} \\ & 55 \\ & 66^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 75 \\ .61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 300 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .69 \\ .45 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -86^{\circ} \\ -118^{\circ} \\ -158^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 4.4 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113^{\circ} \\ 95^{\circ} \\ 80^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 \\ & 05 \\ & 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48^{\circ} \\ & 57 \\ & 70^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 72 \\ & .61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 20 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 409 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 41 \\ 41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -100^{\circ} \\ & -135^{\circ} \\ & -168^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101^{2} \\ 96 \\ 72^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} \\ & 58^{\circ} \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 71 \\ .61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25^{\circ} \\ -22^{-} \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 450 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 40 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -194^{6} \\ & . i 41^{\circ} \\ & -172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 3.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96^{\circ} \\ & 81^{\prime} \\ & 67^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45^{\circ} \\ & 62^{\circ} \\ & 73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 70 \\ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 500 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 39 \\ 43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121^{\prime} \\ 148^{\circ} \\ -176^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 28 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91^{\circ} \\ & 77^{\circ} \\ & 64^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45^{\circ} \\ & 65^{\circ} \\ & 76^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 70 \\ 62 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 289^{9} \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| goc | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 39 \\ 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136^{2} \\ -159^{\circ} \\ 173 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 24 \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83^{\circ} \\ & 71^{\circ} \\ & 58^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \\ & 06 \\ & 08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46^{\circ} \\ & 66 \\ & 77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 69 \\ 62 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 21 \\ -27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 5.0 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 39 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1488^{\circ} \\ -1688^{\prime} \\ 171 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 21 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78^{0} \\ & 64 \\ & 51 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \\ & 07 \\ & 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51^{\circ} \\ & 70^{\circ} \\ & 80^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ 67 \\ .61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 29 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| 800 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 39 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 759^{\circ} \\ 176^{\circ} \\ 166^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,4 \\ 19 \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68^{\circ} \\ & 59^{\circ} \\ & 47 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \\ & 98 \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57^{\circ} \\ & 744^{\circ} \\ & 80^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ .66 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 3 n \\ & 3 n \end{aligned}$ |
| 900 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 39 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167^{\circ} \\ & 178 \\ & 160^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 17 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63^{\circ} \\ & 55 \\ & 43^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \\ & 09 \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64^{2} \\ & 76 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 65 \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 38 \\ -43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1000 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 50 \\ 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ 39 \\ .50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176^{\circ} \\ & 171 \\ & 154^{\prime \prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 15 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57^{\circ} \\ & 49^{\circ} \\ & 37^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 08 \\ & 10 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74^{n} \\ & 81^{\prime} \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 66 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $49^{\circ}$ 43 $49^{\circ}$ |

Fig. 6-20.-Cont. Data sheet for Microwave Associates ${ }^{\circ}$ MA-42120 series of transistors. (Courtesy Microuave Associates)
resistance on the data sheet, such as in the case of the 2N5179 transistor given in Chapter 5. Others will specify an optimum source-reflection coefficient. Such is the case for the Microwave Associates' MA-42120Series transistor data sheet that is shown in Fig. 6-20. Note the Smith Chart, on page 3 of the data sheet, labeled "Typical Optimum Noise Source Impedance vs. Collector Current." Obviously, as shown on the chart, if you were planning to use the transistor at some frequency other than 60 MHz or 450 MHz , you would be out of luck as far as optimum noise-figure design is concerned. Typically, most data sheets are incomplete like this. There is just not enough space in a typical data book to provide the user with all of the information that he needs in order to design amplifiers at every possible frequency and bias point. The data sheet is meant only as a starting point in any design. Chances are you will end up making many of your own measurements on a device before it becomes a part of the design.

On page 2 of the data sheet, you will find a set of curves labeled "Typical Optimum N.F. vs. Collector Current." Note that for this particular device, at 450 MHz , the optimum collector current for minimum noise figure is approximately 1.5 mA . This value of collector current should result in a noise figure of
just above 2 dB . Again, the data is presented for only 60 MHz and 450 MHz .

Designing amplifiers for a minimum noise figure is simply a matter of determining, either experimentally or from the data sheet, the source resistance and the bias point that produce the minimum noise figure for the device (Example 6-8). Once determined, the actual source impedance is simply forced to "look like" the optimum value. Of course, all stability considerations still apply. If the Rollett stability factor (K) calculates to be less than 1 , then you must be careful in your choice of source- and load-reflection coefficients. It is best, in this case, to draw the stability circles for an accurate graphical indication of where the unstable regions lie.
After providing the transistor with its optimum source impedance, the next step is to determine the optimum load-reflection coefficient needed to properly terminate the transistor's output. This is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}=\left[\mathrm{S}_{22}+\frac{\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{21} \Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}}{1-\mathrm{S}_{11} \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}}\right]^{0} \tag{Eq.6-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the source-reflection coefficient for minimum noise figure.

## EXAMPLE 6.8

It has been determined that the optimum bias point for minimum noise figure for a transistor is $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cE}}=10 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$. Its optimum source-reflection coefficient, as given on the data sheet, is:

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}=0.7 \angle 140^{\circ}
$$

The $S$ parameters for the transistor, under the given bias conditions at 200 MHz , are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{11}=0.4 \angle 162^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{22}=0.35 \angle-39^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{12}=0.04 \angle 60^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{S}_{21}=5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Design a low-noise amplifier to operate between a 75 -ohm source and a $100-\mathrm{ohm}$ load at 200 MHz . What gain can you expect from the amplifier when it is built?

## Solution

The Rollett stability factor ( K ) calculates to be 1.74 which indicates unconditional stability (Equation 6-15).

Therefore, we may proceed with the design. The design values of the input-matching network are shown in Fig. $6-21$. Here the normalized 75 -ohm source resistance is transformed to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$ using two components.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{C}=j 1.7 \mathrm{mhos} \\
& \operatorname{Arc} B C=\text { Series } \mathrm{L}=j 0.86 \mathrm{ohm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Equations $4-11$ through 4-14, the component values are calculated to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{1}= & \frac{1.7}{(50)(2 \pi)\left(200 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
= & 27 \mathrm{pF} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{1} & =\frac{(0.86)(50)}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =34 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The load-reflection coefficient needed to properly terminate the transistor is then found using Equation 6-33.

EXAMPLE 6-8-Cont.

| NAME | TITLE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMITH CHART FORM $2 Y-01-N$ | ANAL.OG INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, NEW PROVIDENCE, N.J. 07974 | DATE |

NORMALIZED IMPEDANCE AND ADMITTANCE COORDINATES


Cont. on next page
Fig. 6-21. Input network-design values for Example 6-8.

EXAMPLE 6-8-Cont.


Fig. 6-22. Output network-design values for Example 6-8.

EXAMPLE 6-8-Cont.
$\Gamma_{L}=$
$\left[0.35 \angle-39^{\circ}+\frac{\left(0.04 \angle 60^{\circ}\right)\left(5.2 \angle 63^{\circ}\right)\left(0.7 \angle 140^{\circ}\right)}{1-\left(0.4 \angle 162^{\circ}\right)\left(0.7 \angle 140^{\circ}\right)}\right]^{\circ}$
$\quad=0.427 \angle 60.7^{\circ}$
This value, along with the normalized load-resistance value, is plotted in Fig. 6-22. The 100 -ohm load must be transformed into $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}$. One possible method is shown in Fig. 6-22. Note that a single shunt inductor provides the necessary impedance transformation:

$$
\text { Arc } \mathrm{AB}=\text { Shunt } \mathrm{L}=-\mathrm{j} 0.48 \mathrm{mho}
$$

Again using Equations 4-11 through 4-14, the inductor's value is found to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{50}{2 \pi\left(200 \times 10^{6}\right)(0.48)} \\
& =83 \mathrm{nH}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final design, including a typical bias network, is shown in Fig. 6-23. The $0.1-\mu \mathrm{F}$ capacitors are used only as bypass
and coupling elements. The gain of the amplifier, as calculated with Equation 6-22, is 13.3 dB .


Fig. 6-23. Final circuit for Example 6-8.

## RF POWER AMPLIFIERS



In Chapters 5 and 6, we studied the transistor as a small-signal device. Y and S parameters were introduced as a means of facilitating amplifier design, and design equations were provided. When the transistor is used as a large-signal device, however, these equations are no longer valid. In fact, both Y and S parameters are called small-signal parameters, and should not be considered in the design of rf power amplifiers.

## RF POWER TRANSISTOR CHARACTERISTICS

Instead of specifying the $Y$ and $S$ parameters for a power transistor, manufacturers will typically specify the large-signal input impedance and the large-signal output impedance for the device. These parameters are typically measured on the device when it is operating as a matched amplifier at the desired dc supply voltage and rf power output level. A matched amplifier, in this case, refers to a condition in which the input and output impedances are conjugately matched to the source and load, respectively.

## The Rf Power Transistor Data Sheet

Pertinent design information for rf power transistors is usually presented in the form of large-signal input and output impedances, as shown in Fig. 7-1. Fig. 7-1 is a data sheet for the Motorola MRF233 rf power transistor. This particular data sheet was chosen for instructional purposes because it includes both seriesand shunt-impedance information. This gives the circuit designer the opportunity of using an impedance format with which he is accustomed-without the need of converting from one format to the other.

Figure 5, on page 3 of the data sheet, is a Smith Chart representation of the series input and output impedance of the transistor (between 40 and 100 MHz ). The information is also tabulated on the right side of the chart for your convenience. Note that the impedance is presented in the form $Z=R \pm j X$. Thus, at 100 MHz , the input impedance of the transistor is found to be $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{in}}=1.7-\mathrm{j} 2.7$ ohms, while the output impedance is $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {out }}=5-j 5.6 \mathrm{ohms}$. This equivalent-series representation for the transistor is shown in Fig. 7-2.

Figures $6,7,8$, and 9 , of the data sheet, present the same impedance information in parallel form. The in-
put and output impedance of the transistor are presented as a shunt resistance in parallel with a capacitor. Thus, referring to Figures 6 and 7 of the data sheet, the input impedance of the transistor is represented by a 6 -ohm shunt resistor in parallel with a $422-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor. The curves of Figures 8 and 9 indicate an equivalent parallel output impedance for the transistor, which includes an 11.3 -ohm resistor in parallel with a $158-\mathrm{pF}$ capacitor, at 100 MHz . These shunt combinations are shown in the equivalent circuit of Fig. 7-3.

Note that you can perform your own transformation from series to shunt, and back again, by using Equations 2-6 and 2-7 and, then, following the procedure of Example 2-2.

Figure 2, on page 3 of the data sheet, is useful in helping you determine how much input signal power you will need to produce a given output power. Note that as the frequency of operation increases, the required input drive level increases. An input power to the transistor of 1 watt will produce a 20 -watt output signal at 50 MHz ( $13-\mathrm{dB}$ Gain), while, at 90 MHz , that same input level will produce only 14 watts out (11.5dB Gain).

Figure 3 presents the same basic information as Figure 2, but in a different format. Note that the output power decreases as the frequency of operation increases when given a constant input power level.

The remainder of the data sheet is straightforward and resembles that of any typical small-signal transistor.

## TRANSISTOR BIASING

The type of bias applied to an rf power transistor is determined by the "class" of amplification that the designer wishes. There are many different classes of amplification available for the designer to choose from. The particular class chosen for a design will depend upon the application at hand.

The primary emphasis of this chapter will be on class-C amplifiers. However, class-A and class-B amplifier bias arrangements will also be covered.

## Class-A Amplifiers and Linearity

A class-A amplifier is defined as an amplifier that is biased so that the output current flows at all times.


Fig. 7-1. Data sheet. (Courtesy Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc.)

## MRF233

ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS (TC ${ }_{C}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise noted)

| Characteristic | Symbol | Min | Typ | Max | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFF CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector-Emitter Breakdown Voltage $\left(I_{C}=100 \mathrm{mAdc}, 1_{\mathrm{B}}=0\right)$ | BVCEO | 18 | - | - | Vdc |
| Collector-Emitter Breakdown Voltage $\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}=50 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{BE}}=0\right)$ | BVCES | 36 | - | - | Vdc |
| Emitter-Base Breakdown Voltage $\left(I_{E}=5.0 \mathrm{mAdc}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=0\right)$ | 8VEBO | 4.0 | - | - | Vdc |
| Collector Cutoff Current $\left(V_{C B}=15 \mathrm{Vdc}, I_{E}=0\right)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CBO}$ | - | - | 1.0 | mAdc |
| ON CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |
| OC Current Gain $\left.{ }^{(1} \mathrm{C}=1.0 \mathrm{Adc}, V_{\mathrm{CE}}=5.0 \mathrm{Vdc}\right)$ | hFE | 5.0 | - | - | - |

## DYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

| Output Capacitance <br> $\left(V_{C B}=12.5 \mathrm{Vdc}, I_{E}=0, f=1.0 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ob}}$ | - | 100 | 120 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




MOTOROLA Semiconductor Products Inc.

Fig. 7-1.-Cont. Data sheet. (Courtesy Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc.)

## MRF233



## MRF233

FIGURE 6 - PARALLEL EQUIVALENT INPUT RESISTANCE versus FREQUENCY


FIGURE 8 - PARALLEL EQUIVALENT OUTPUT RESISTANCE versus FAEQUENCY


FIGURE 7 - PARALLEL EQUIVALENT INPUT CAPACITANCE varsu: FREQUENCY


FIGURE 9 - PARALLEL EQUIYALENT OUTPUT CAPACITANCE varsus FREQUENCY


Fig. 7-1.-Cont. Data sheet. (Courtesy Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc.)


Fig. 7-2. Equivalent circuit for series input and output impedance at 100 MHz .


Fig. 7-3. Equivalent circuit for parallel input and output impedance at 100 MHz .


Fig, 7-4. Transfer characteristic for a linear amplifier.
Thus, the input signal-drive level to the amplifier is kept small enough to avoid driving the transistor into cutoff. Another way of stating this is to say that the conduction angle of the transistor is $360^{\circ}$-meaning that the transistor conducts for the full cycle of the input signal.

The class-A amplifier is the most linear of all amplifier types. Linearity is simply a measure of how closely the output signal of the amplifier resembles the input signal. A linear amplifier is one in which the output signal is proportional to the input signal, as shown in Fig. 7-4. Notice that in this case, the output signal level is equal to twice the input signal level, and the transfer function from input to output is a straight line.

No transistor is perfectly linear, however, and, therefore, the output signal of an amplifier is never an exact replica of the input signal. There are always spurious components added to a signal in the form of harmonic
generation or intermodulation distortion (IMD). These types of nonlinearities in transistors produce amplifier transfer functions which no longer resemble straight lines. Instead, a curved characteristic appears, as shown in Fig. 7-5A. The distortion caused to an input signal of such an amplifier is shown at Fig. 7-5B. Notice the flat topping of the output signal that occurs due to the second-harmonic content generated by the amplifier. This type of distortion is called harmonic distortion and is expressed by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {out }}=A V_{\text {tn }}+B V_{t \mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}+C V_{\text {tn }}{ }^{3}+\ldots \ldots \tag{Eq.7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term of Equation $7-1$ is known as the second harmonic or second-order distortion. The third term is called the third harmonic or third-order distortion. Of course, a perfectly linear amplifier will produce no second, third, or higher order products to distort the signal.

Notice in Fig. 7-5, where the amplifier's transfer function is given as $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=5 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tn}}+2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{In}^{2}}{ }^{2}$, that the sec-ond-order distortion component increases as the square of the input signal. Thus, with increasing input-signal levels, the second-order component will increase much faster than the fundamental component in the output signal. Eventually, the second-order content in the output signal will equal the amplitude of the fundamental. This effect is shown graphically in Fig. 7-6. The point at which the second-order and first-order content of the output signal are equal is called the second-

(A) Transfer characteristic.

(B) Resulting waveforms.

Fig. 7-5. Nonlinear amplifier characteristics.


Fig. 7-6. Second-order intercept point.
order intercept point. A similar graph may be drawn for an amplifier which exhibits a third-order distortion characteristic. In this case, the third-order term is plotted along with the fundamental gain term of the amplifier. In this manner, the third-order intercept may be determined. The second- and third-order intercept of an amplifier are often used as figures of merit. The higher the intercept point, the better the amplifier is at amplifying large signals.
When two or more signals are input to an amplifier simultaneously, the second-, third-, and higher-order intermodulation components are caused by the sum and difference products of each of the fundamental input signals and their associated harmonics. For example, when two perfect sinusoidal signals, at frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, are input to any nonlinear amplifier, the following output components will result:
fundamental: $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{2}$
second order: $2 f_{1}, 2 f_{2}, f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{1}-f_{2}$
third order: $3 f_{1}, 3 f_{2}, 2 f_{1} \pm f_{2}, 2 f_{2} \pm f_{1}$

+ higher order terms
Under normal circuit operation, the second-, third-, and higher-order terms are usually at a much smaller signal level than the fundamental component and, in the time domain, this is seen as distortion. Note that, if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are very close in frequency, the $2 f_{1}-f_{2}$ and $2 \mathrm{f}_{2}-\mathrm{f}_{1}$ terms fall very close to the two fundamental terms. Third-order distortion products are, therefore, much more difficult to eliminate through filtering once they are generated within an amplifier.
The bias requirements for a class-A power amplifier are the same as those for the small-signal amplifiers presented in Chapter 6. In fact, the distinction between a class-A power amplifier and its small-signal counterpart is a hazy one at best. For all practical purposes, they are equivalent except for input and output signal levels.


## Class-B Power Amplifiers

A class-B amplifier is one in which the conduction angle for the transistor is approximately $180^{\circ}$. Thus,
the transistor conducts only half the time-either on the positive or negative half cycle of the input signal. Again, it is the dc bias applied to the transistor that determines the class-B operation.

Class-B amplifiers are more efficient than class-A amplifiers ( $70 \%$ vs. less than $50 \%$ ). However, they are much less linear. Therefore, a typical class-B amplifier will produce quite a bit of harmonic distortion that must be filtered from the amplified signal.

Probably the most common configuration of a class-B amplifier is the push-pull arrangement shown in Fig. 7-7. In this configuration, transistor $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ conducts during the positive half cycles of the input signal while transistor $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ conducts during the negative half cycles. In this manner, the entire input signal is reproduced at the secondary of transformer $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. Thus, neither device by itself produces an amplified replica of the input signal. Instead, the signal is actually split in half. Each half is then amplified and reassembled at the output.
Of course, a single transistor may be used in a class$B$ configuration. The only requirement is that a resonant circuit must be placed in the output network of the transistor in order to reproduce the "other" half of the input signal.

There are several methods of biasing a transistor for class-B operation. One of the most widely used methods is shown in Fig. 7-8. This method simply establishes a base voltage of 0.7 volt on the transistor, using an external silicon diode. Often, this diode is mounted on the transistor itself to help prevent thermal runaway, which is often a problem with incorrectly biased power amplifiers. Diode CR1 is usually of the heavyduty variety because the value of resistor R is usually


Fig. 7-7. Push-pull class-B amplifier.


Fig. 7-8. Simple diode bias for class-B operation.


Fig. 7-9. Emitter-follower bias for class-B operation.


Fig. 7-10. Operational amplifier bias for class-B operation.


Fig. 7-11. Class-C self bias.


Fig. 7-12. Circuit for class-C self bias.
chosen so that the current through CR1 is rather high. This ensures that the bias to the transistor is stable. An alternative bias network is shown in Fig. 7-9. Here, two silicon diodes are used to forward bias an emitter-follower, which is used as a current amplifier. The voltage at the emitter of $Q_{1}$ and, hence, at the base of $Q_{2}$, is still 0.7 volt due to the $V_{\text {be }}$ drop across transistor $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$. The rf choke and capacitor shown in both Figs. 7-8 and 7-9 are there only to prevent the flow of rf into the bias network.

Still another bias arrangement for class-B operation is shown in Fig. 7-10. Here the bias voltage is made variable so that an optimum solution may be found for
best IMD performance. Care must be taken in all three bias arrangements to ensure that the RFC is a low-Q choke for optimum operation.

## Class-C Power Amplifiers

A class-C amplifier is one in which the conduction angle for the transistor is significantly less than $180^{\circ}$. The transistor is biased such that under steady-state conditions no collector current flows. The transistor idles at cutoff. Linearity of the class-C amplifier is the poorest of the classes of amplifiers. Its efficiency can approach $85 \%$, however, which is much better than either the class-B or the class-A amplifier.
In order to bias a transistor for class-C operation, it is necessary to reverse bias the base-emitter junction. External biasing is usually not needed, however, because it is possible to force the transistor to provide its own bias. This is shown in Fig. 7-11. If the base of the transistor is returned to ground through an rf choke (RFC), the base current flowing through the internal base-spreading resistance ( $\mathrm{rbb}^{\prime}$ ) tends to reverse bias the base-emitter junction. This is exactly the effect you would like to achieve. Of course, it is possible to provide an external dc voltage to reverse bias the junction, but why bother with the extra time and expense if the transistor will provide everything you need. Fig. 7-12 shows a typical class-C amplifier bias arrangement.

## POWER AMPLIFIER DESIGN

At the beginning of this chapter, you learned that the important design information for rf power transistors is presented in the form of large-signal impedance parameters. The formulas presented in Chapter 6 for small-signal transistor design, using Y and S parameters, are no longer valid. Instead, the designer must model with the help of the data sheet. deciding what the input and output impedance of the transistor looks like at the frequency of interest. With this information in hand, the designer needs only to match the input and output impedance of the device to the source and load, respectively. These two steps require only that the designer read the input and output impedances off of the data sheet, and then apply the principles of Chapter 4 to complete the matching network. Care must be taken to ensure that the information extracted from the data sheet is of the proper format-series or shunt information.
Often, instead of supplying complete output information for a transistor in the form of a series or shunt resistance and capacitance output. manufacturers will supply output capacitance information only. This is because the optimum load resistance for the transistor is very easily calculated using a very simple formula, as we shall soon see.

## Optimum Collector Load Resistance

In the absence of collector output resistance information on the data sheet, it becomes necessary for the
designer to make a very simple calculation to determine the optimum load resistance for the transistor (Example 7-1). This value of load resistance is dependent upon the output power level required and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{L}=\frac{\left(V_{C C}-V_{\text {sat }}\right)^{2}}{2 P} \tag{Eq.7-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}=$ the supply voltage,
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {eat }}=$ the saturation voltage of the transistor,
$\mathrm{P}=$ the output power level required.

## EXAMPLE 7-1

What value of load resistance is required to obtain 2.0 watts of rf output from a transistor if the supply voltage is 12 volts and the saturation voltage of the transistor is 2 volts?

## Solution

Using Equation 7-2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\frac{(12-2)^{2}}{2(2)} \\
& =25 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that Equation 7-2 provides you with a value of load resistance only. It does not indicate anything about the reactive portion of the load. On the data sheet, however, the manufacturer typically provides values of shunt output capacitance versus frequency for the transistor. The designer's job is to provide a load for the transistor which absorbs this stray or parasitic capacitance so that the transistor may be matched to its load (see Chapter 4). Example 7-2 may illustrate this point.

Keep in mind that if the output resistance information had not been provided in the data sheet, it would have been a simple matter to calculate the required $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ using Equation 7-2. Once this calculation is made, the output matching network is designed in the same manner as was done in Example 7-2. The 50 -ohm load is simply transformed into the impedances that the transistor would like to see for the specified power output.

## Driver Amplifiers and Interstage Matching

Often it is required that power gain be distributed throughout several amplifier stages in order to produce a specified output power into a load. This is especially true in transmitter applications that require a substantial amount of power into an antenna.

The typical procedure for such a design involves finding, first, an output transistor that will handle the required output power and, then, designing driver amplifiers that will provide the necessary drive power to the final transistor. This type of gain distribution is shown in Fig. 7-17. Note that the required outputpower level from the final amplifier is 15 watts. A final
transistor was chosen which will handle the required output power and which will provide a gain of 10 dB . The required drive level to the stage is, therefore, 1.5 watts, and is supplied by a transistor with a gain of 15 dB . The signal source must, therefore, supply the driver with a signal level of 47 milliwatts, which is within the capabilities of most oscillators.

Let's examine the interstage match between Stage A and Stage B in a little more detail. Often, in dealing with power amplifiers, it is unclear whether or not a true impedance match occurs between the power amplifier and its load. A true impedance match for an amplifier would involve providing a load for the transistor that is the complex conjugate of its output impedance. In designing power amplifiers, however, we speak of providing a load resistance (Equation 7-2) for the transistor in order to extract a specified power gain from the stage. This is simply a matter of semantics and, from a circuit-design viewpoint, it doesn't really matter how you look at it. Fig. 7-18 illustrates this point. Suppose the transistor of Stage B has an input impedance as shown ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{in}}=1.7-\mathrm{j} 2.7 \mathrm{ohms}$ ). Also, suppose that Stage A, in order to supply the required 1.5 watts of rf drive, requires a load resistance of 25 ohms. The role of the impedance-matching network, then, is to transform the low-input impedance of Stage B up to the 25 -ohm level required by Stage A. In addition, the matching network must absorb or resonate out the $15-\mathrm{pF}$ output capacitance of Stage A.

## MATCHING TO COAXIAL FEEDLINES

The T and Pi networks studied in Chapter 4 are excellent candidates for use in matching coaxial feedlines to power amplifiers. Often such a network will serve a dual purpose, especially when configured as a low-pass filter, in providing harmonic suppression for a transmitter.
Fig. 7-19 is a diagram of a coaxial feed to an antenna at the antenna's resonant frequency. Resistance $R_{n}$ is the antenna's radiation resistance. A quarter-wavelength vertical antenna operating against a very good ground plane has a radiation resistance of about 35 ohms while a half-wave center-fed dipole has a radiation resistance of about 70 ohms-at its resonant frequency. This is simply the resistance that the coaxial cable sees at the antenna terminals. Above and below the resonant frequency of the antenna, its radiation resistance begins to show a reactive component. This is illustrated in Fig. 7-20. Above resonance (Fig. 7-20A), the antenna looks inductive, and below resonance (Fig. 7-20B), the antenna looks capacitive.

At the transmitter end of the coaxial feedline, the impedance that the output transistor actually sees is not only a function of the antenna's radiation resistance, but also a function of the length of the coaxial feedline. The impedance along the line varies sinusoidally as you move away from the antenna. Thus, at a distance of one half-wavelength back from the an-

## EXAMPLE 7-2

Using the data sheet of Fig. 7-1, design a class-C power amplifier that will deliver 15 watts between a 50 -ohm source and load at 100 MHz .

## Solution

The data sheet for the MRF233 transistor provides input and output impedance information for the transistor in both series and parallel form. The designer is, therefore, left with a choice as to which he prefers. The input-matching design may proceed as in the following method.


Fig. 7-13. Transistor input impedance for Example 7-2.
The input impedance of the transistor appears as shown in the diagram of Fig. 7-I3. This information was taken from Figure 5 of the data sheet. Note that the object of the input-matching network is to transform the input impedance of the transistor up to 50 ohms to provide an optimum load for the source.

Using the techniques of Chapter 4, first resonate the series capacitance with an equal and opposite series inductance of +j 2.7 ohms. Then, match the remaining $1.7-\mathrm{ohm}$ resistive load to the source as follows. Using Equation 4-1 through 4-3, for the L-network:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}}-1}  \tag{Eq.4-1}\\
&=\sqrt{\frac{50}{1.7}-1} \\
&=5.33 \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}= \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}  \tag{Eq.4-2}\\
&=(1.7)(5.33) \\
&= 9.06 \mathrm{ohms}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{Eq.4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{50}{5.33}
$$

$$
=9.38 \mathrm{ohms}
$$



Fig. 7-14. Input matching network for Example 7-2.

This matching network is shown in Fig. 7-14. Note that it is convenient to use a shunt-C series-L matching network in order to easily absorb the +j 2.7 -ohm inductor that was needed earlier. Thus, the two inductors may be combined into a single component in the actual design.

Since complete output-impedance information is provided for the transistor (Figure 5 of the data sheet), it is only necessary to match this output impedance to the $50-$ ohm load. Proceeding as before, first resonate the -j 5.6 -ohm series capacitance with an inductor of equal value. Then, match the remaining 5 -ohm resistive portion of the transistor output to the load as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{s}=Q_{\mathrm{v}} & =\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{R}_{s}}-1} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{50}{5}-1} \\
& =3 \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& =(3)(5) \\
& =15 \text { ohms } \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}}} \\
= & \frac{50}{3} \\
& =16.7 \text { ohms }
\end{aligned}
$$

The output network is shown in Fig. 7-15. Note that it is again convenient to use the series-L shunt-C arrangement so that we may absorb the +j 5.6 -ohm inductor used previously.

A practical circuit for this design might appear as shown in Fig. 7-16.


Fig. 7-15. Output matching network for Example 7-2.


Fig. 7-16. Final circuit realization for Example 7-2.
tenna, the impedance looking into the coax would appear to be equal to the antenna's radiation resistance. At other distances removed from the antenna, however, the coax would appear to have a much different
input impedance depending upon the degree of mismatch between the antenna and the feedline. Therefore, it is extremely difficult to estimate the actual input impedance of any transmission line unless the line


Fig. 7-17. System drive requirements for a 15-watt transmitter.


Fig. 7-18. Requirement for an interstage impedance-matching network.


Fig. 7-19. Antenna radiation resistance at resonance.


Fig. 7-20. Radiation resistance of an antenna.
is terminated in its characteristic impedance. That is to say, a 50 -ohm coaxial cable will not look like 50 ohms at its input unless there is a 50 -ohm load at the other end of the cable. Since this is hardly ever the case when driving practical antenna systems, it is not very practical to design a matching network unless the network is tunable. In addition, many antenna installations operate over quite a range of frequencies. Since the radiation resistance of the antenna varies with frequency, the input impedance of the coaxial cable must also vary, and the matching network must be able to compensate for these variations.

Fig. 7-21 indicates two possible methods of providing a tunable impedance-matching network for a transmission line. The T network of Fig. 7-21A uses both tapped inductors and a tunable capacitor. The Pi network of Fig. 7-21B uses only tunable capacitors. Note that, in both cases, the low-pass configuration is used to aid in suppressing harmonics of the transmitted signal. The circuits of Fig. 7-21 are designed in the same manner as those shown in Chapter 4. Of course, if you had a requirement that the harmonics of the trans-

(A) $T$ network.

(B) Pi network.

Fig. 7-21. Variable coaxial feedline matching networks.
mitted signal were required to be at a certain level below the fundamental, say 50 dB , then the filter-design approach used in Chapter 3 might be the best approach to take.

## AUTOMATIC SHUTDOWN CIRCUITRY

Since power amplifiers are designed to supply a considerable amount of power to an antenna system, an impedance mismatch presented to the amplifier could cause very severe problems. As we learned in Chapter 4, an impedance mismatch between a source and its load causes reflection of some of the signal incident upon that load. This reflected signal will eventually make its way back to the source and, in high-power transmitters, can cause serious side-effects, such as transistor damage in the form of secondary breakdown. For this reason, many manufacturers of power amplifiers include a VSWR monitoring circuit in the transmitter, which monitors the standing wave ratio of the output circuit and, in the event that the VSWR becomes excessive, indicating a severe mismatch, the circuit automatically decreases the rf drive to the final amplifier, thereby reducing the transmitter's output power. The reduction in output power subsequently reduces the reflected power from the load, thus, protecting the output transistor. A simplified diagram of such a system appears in Fig. 7-22.


Fig. 7-22. Automatic shutdown circuitry.

(A) 1:1 balun.

(B) 4:I transformer.

(C) 9:1 transformer.

Fig. 7-23. Types of broadband transformers.

## BROADBAND TRANSFORMERS

Several types of broadband transformers, which are often used in power-amplifier design, are illustrated in Fig. 7-23. Fig. 7-23A is known as a $1: 1$ balun. It is used mainly to connect a balanced source to an unbalanced load, or vice-versa, and it provides no imped-ance-transforming function.

Fig. 7-23B is a $4: 1$ transformer. That is to say, it will transform an impedance of 4 R down to an impedance of $R$, or vice-versa. The small dot located next to each winding indicates polarity. A detailed look at Fig. $7-23 B$ will explain how the $4: 1$ transformation occurs. First, suppose that a voltage (V) has been impressed across the load resistor and the voltage across the same voltage must also be impressed across the lower winding of the transformer since the two are in parallel. The voltage on the lower winding impresses the same voltage (V) on the upper winding with the polarity indicated. This is true because each winding has the same number of turns. The voltage at the input terminals is, therefore, equal to the sum of the voltage across the load resistor and the voltage across the upper winding, or 2 V . Suppose now that a current of $\mathrm{I} / 2$ is injected at the input terminals of the transformer. This current flowing in the top winding of the transformer induces a current of $I / 2$ in the bottom winding
in the direction shown. The current in the load resistor is, therefore, equal to $\mathrm{I} / 2+\mathrm{I} / 2$ or I . Therefore, if the load resistor is equal to 1 ohm , the resistance seen looking into the input terminals of the transformer, then, must be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Resistance } & =\frac{\text { Voltage }}{\text { Current }} \\
& =\frac{2 \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{I} / 2} \\
& =4 \mathrm{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

A 9:1 transformer is illustrated in Fig. 7-23C. Note that this transformer is actually made up of two separate transformers, T1 and T2. A similar analysis may be made of this transformer to confirm the 9:1 transformation. Voltages and currents are included in the diagrams to aid in the analysis process. Obviously, several transformers may be included in such a configuration to produce other transformation ratios. Fig. 7-24, for example, includes three separate transformers that are used to produce a $16: 1$ impedance transformation.


Fig. 7-24. A 16:1 broadband transformer.

## Power Splitters

A basic power splitter is shown in Fig. 7-25. Ideally, the power into the primary of the transformer is split evenly between amplifier No. 1 and amplifier No. 2. However, due to input-impedance variations between the two amplifiers, this is rarely the case. Instead, one amplifier is usually provided with a bit more drive power than the other. To aid in equalizing the power split, resistor $R$ at the center tap of the secondary is often left out of the circuit. (Once again, the small dots are used to indicate polarity.)

## Power Combiners

A typical power combiner is shown in Fig. 7-26. Here, the power output of each amplifier is combined in transformer Tl to provide an output power of


Fig. 7-25. A power splitter.


Fig. 7-26. A power combiner.
$P_{1}+P_{2}$. Power combiners are often used in poweramplifier designs where it is impractical for a single stage to produce the necessary output power. In this case, two amplifiers, operating $180^{\circ}$ out-of-phase, will each provide half the needed output power to the power combiner. The combined output is, therefore, equal to the power level required.

## PRACTICAL WINDING HINTS

Broadband transformers are often called transmis-sion-line transformers because they make use of the transmission-line properties of the windings. This is done by using bifilar- or trifilar-type windings rather than the conventional type of winding.
A conventional transformer usually has two entirely separate windings. That is, one of the windings is usually wound onto the core first and, then, the other winding is wound on top of the first winding. Typically, the larger winding is wound first for convenience. This winding technique is shown in the toroidal transformer diagram of Fig. 7-27. Note that an impedance transformation occurs between the primary and secondary of the transformer. The value of the transformation is dependent upon the turns ratio from the primary to the secondary. Transmission-line transformers use an entirely different technique for the windings, as shown in Fig. 7-28. First, the primary and secondary windings are made by twisting the wires together for a certain number of turns per inch (Fig. 7-28A). This
produces a certain characteristic impedance for the resulting "transmission line" in much the same manner that a coaxial cable exhibits a certain characteristic impedance which is dependent upon the spacing of its center conductor to its outer conductor. The actual characteristic impedance of the twisted pair is dependent upon the number of turns per inch, the shape of the windings, and the size wire used. For low-impedance lines, tight twists (many turns per



Fig. 7-27. A conventional transformer.

(A) The windings.

(B) Turns wound around a toroid.
Fig. 7-28. A bifilar-wound broadband transformer.
inch) are used while high-impedance lines may not be twisted at all. Instead, the windings will be placed side-by-side around the core. For optimum operation, the characteristic impedance of the winding should be equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{O}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{Eq.7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ the primary impedance,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ the secondary impedance.
Typically, $\mathrm{Z}_{0}$ must be found experimentally.
The transformer of Fig. 7-28 is called a bifilar-wound transformer because it uses two conductors in the twisted-winding arrangement. A trifilar transformer is one that uses three conductors, and so on.
As shown, Fig. 7-28 is simply a 1:1 broadband transformer. If connected as shown in Fig. 7-23B, however, this arrangement could be used to produce a $4: 1$ broadband transformer. This may be done by simply connecting lines 2 and 3 together and using that junction as your output port. Line 4 is connected to ground and line 1 is then the input port.

Often, instead of using a twisted pair, the designer may instead use coaxial cable for the windings. The center conductor and outer conductor of the coax, then, take the place of each conductor in the twisted pair. This is done primarily because each type of coax has a very well-defined and consistent characteristic impedance and this eliminates the experimentation involved in defining the characteristic impedance of the twisted pair. Of course, the use of standard coaxial cable does not allow for trifilarwound transformers. Typically, broadband transformers are wound on low-Q, high-permeability, ferrite toroidal cores (see Chapter 1). The high permeability is needed at the low end of the frequency spectrum where, for a given inductance, fewer turns would be needed.

## SUMMARY

The power-amplifier design process is not as well defined as that of the small-signal amplifier. Thus, considerable experimentation may be necessary in order to optimize a design. Standard Y and S parameters are not used in power-amplifier design. Instead, large-signal impedance parameters are typically provided by the transistor manufacturers to aid in the design process. Following the impedance-matching procedures outlined in Chapter 4, the designer must match the source to the transistor's input impedance, and transform the load impedance to a value that is dependent upon the required output-power level from the stage. The source must be capable of providing the required rf drive level, or the calculated rf power output from the stage will never be achieved.

## VECTOR ALGEBRA

Many of the design equations contained in earlier chapters require that the user be familiar with vector algebra. It is the intent of this appendix to provide, for those who are unfamiliar with this subject, a working knowledge of vector addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.
As illustrated in Fig. A-1, a vector may be expressed in either rectangular or polar form. In rectangular form, the vector quantity is expressed as a sum of its coordinate parts. Thus, the vector A shown in Fig. A-1 can be expressed as the sum of 5 units in the $x$ direction and 5 units in the $y$ direction, or $A=5+j 5$. That same vector may be expressed in polar notation as a distance ( $R$ ) from the point of origin at an angle $(\theta)$ from the x axis. If vector A were measured, its length would be found to be 7.07 units at an angle of $45^{\circ}$ from the x axis. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{A}=5+\mathrm{j} 5 \text { or } \mathrm{A}=7.07 \angle 45^{\circ}
$$

Similarly, vector B can be expressed in rectangular form as $5-\mathrm{j} 10$ or in polar form as $11.18<-63.4^{\circ}$. Note that negative angles are measured clockwise from the x axis while positive angles are measured counterclockwise.

## Rectangular/Polar and

## Polar/Rectangular Conversion

Rather than plotting a vector to graphically determine its component parts, it is more convenient to perform a few simple mathematical calculations. Any vector expressed in rectangular form may be converted to polar form (Example A-l) using the following formulas:

$$
R=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \text { and } \theta=\arctan \frac{y}{x}
$$

The conversion from polar to rectangular notation (Example A-2) can be made by using the following formulas:

$$
x=R \cos \theta \text { and } y=R \sin \theta
$$

## Vector Addition

Two vector quantities may be added by performing two separate additions-one for the respective x components and one for the respective y components (Ex-

## EXAMPLE A-1

The input impedance of a transistor is found to be $Z$ $=25-\mathrm{j} 10 \mathrm{ohms}$. Express this impedance in polar notation.

## Solution

The magnitude of the resulting vector ( R ) is found as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R} & =\sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{625+100} \\
& =26.9
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting angle from the x axis is found to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\arctan \frac{y}{x} \\
& =\arctan \frac{-10}{25} \\
& =-21.8^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Z}=25-\mathrm{j} 10$ ohms can also be expressed as $\mathrm{Z}=26.9$ $\angle-21.8^{\circ}$ ohms.


Fig. A-1. Vector coordinates in rectangular and polar form.

## EXAMPLE A-2

The input impedance of a transistor is found to be $\mathrm{Z}=$ $26.9-21.8^{\circ}$. Express this impedance in rectangular form.

## Solution

First:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\mathrm{R} \cos \theta \\
& =26.9 \cos \left(-21.8^{\circ}\right) \\
& =26.9(0.9285) \\
& =25
\end{aligned}
$$

and, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\mathrm{R} \sin \theta \\
& =26.9 \sin \left(-21.8^{\circ}\right) \\
& =26.9(-0.3714) \\
& =-10
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Z}=25-\mathrm{j} 10$ ohms.
ample A-3). Of course, the resultant may be expressed in either rectangular or polar form.

## Vector Subtraction

Vector subtraction is performed in a similar manner to that of addition (Example A-4). The two vector

## EXAMPLE A-3

An impedance of $Z_{1}=11.18 \angle 63.40^{\circ}$ ohms is added in series with an impedance of $\mathrm{Z}_{2}=18.03 \angle-56.3^{\circ} \mathrm{ohms}$. What is the resulting series impedance ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) expressed in rectangular form?

## Solution

Before the addition can be performed, the polar quantities of the problem must be transformed to rectangular notation. For $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{1} & =\mathrm{R}_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \\
& =11.18 \cos \left(63.4^{\circ}\right) \\
& =5 \\
\mathrm{y}_{1} & =\mathrm{R}_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \\
& =11.18 \sin \left(63.4^{\circ}\right) \\
& =10
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1}=5+\mathrm{j} 10 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

For $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{E}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{2} & =\mathrm{R}_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \\
& =18.03 \cos \left(-56.3^{\circ}\right) \\
& =10 \\
\mathrm{y}_{2} & =\mathrm{R}_{2} \sin \theta_{2} \\
& =18.03 \sin \left(-56.3^{\circ}\right) \\
& =-15
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}=10-\mathrm{j} 15$ ohms.
To perform the addition, add the respective x components and the respective $y$ components.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{T} & =x_{1}+x_{2} \\
& =5+10 \\
& =15 \\
y_{T} & =y_{1}+y_{2} \\
& =10-15 \\
& =-5
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}}=15-\mathrm{j} 5 \mathrm{ohms}$.

EXAMPLE A-4
Using the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=11.18 \angle 63.4^{\circ} \\
& V_{2}=18.03 \angle-56.3^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

perform the calculation, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2}$.

## Solution

Both quantities must first be expressed in rectangular form. For $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{1} & =\mathrm{R}_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \\
& =11.18 \cos \left(63.4^{\circ}\right) \\
& =5 \\
\mathrm{y}_{1} & =\mathrm{R}_{2} \sin \theta_{1} \\
& =11.18 \sin \left(63.4^{\circ}\right) \\
& =10
\end{aligned}
$$

and, then, for $V_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{2} & =\mathrm{R}_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \\
& =18.03 \cos \left(-56.3^{\circ}\right) \\
& =10 \\
\mathrm{y}_{2} & =\mathrm{R}_{2} \sin \theta_{2} \\
& =18.03 \sin \left(-56.3^{\circ}\right) \\
& =-15
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting the $x$ and $y$ components, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}} & =\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2} \\
& =5-10 \\
& =-5 \\
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}= & y_{1}-y_{2} \\
= & 10-(-15) \\
= & 25
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=-5+\mathrm{j} 25$.
quantities must first be expressed in rectangular form, and their respective x and y components may then be subtracted.

## Vector Multiplication

Multiplication of two vectors is accomplished by first converting both vectors to polar form. The magnitudes ( R ) of the vectors are then multiplied and their angles are added (Example A-5). Thus,

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{\mathrm{T}}=\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}
$$

## Vector Division

Vector division is performed by first converting both vectors to polar form. The vector quotient is then found by dividing the magnitudes and subtracting the angles (Example A-6). Use the formulas:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{\mathrm{T}}=\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}
$$

## Real, Imaginary, and Magnitude Components

Several references are made throughout the text to "the real part of," "the imaginary part of," and "the

## EXAMPLE A-5

For a transistor, $\mathrm{S}_{21}=5.6 \angle 60^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{12}=0.1 \angle 30^{\circ}$. Find the product $\mathrm{S}_{21} \mathrm{~S}_{12}$.

## Solution

Both S parameters are already in polar form, therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{T}} & =\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{2} \\
& =(5.6)(0.1) \\
& =0.56
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{\mathrm{T}} & =\theta_{1}+\theta_{2} \\
& =60^{\circ}+30^{\circ} \\
& =90^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the product $S_{31} S_{22}$ is equal to $0.56 \angle 90^{\circ}$.

## EXAMPLE A-6

Perform the following vector division:

$$
V_{T}=\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}}
$$

where,
$V_{1}=40 \angle 60^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=5+j 5$

## Solution

$V_{1}$ is already in polar form. Convert $V_{2}$ to polar form.

$$
V_{2}=7.071 \angle 45^{\circ}
$$

Divide the magnitudes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{T}} & =\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}} \\
& =\frac{40}{7.071} \\
& =5.66
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtract the angles.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{T} & =\theta_{2}-\theta_{3} \\
& =60^{\circ}-45^{\circ} \\
& =15^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the quotient is equal to $5.66 \angle 15^{\circ}$.
magnitude of' a complex vector (Example A-7). These components are described as follows:

When given the complex vector $V$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =R \angle \theta \\
& =x+j y
\end{aligned}
$$

The real part of the vector V is given as:

$$
\operatorname{Re}(V)=x
$$

the imaginary part of the vector V is given as:

$$
\operatorname{Im}(V)=j y
$$

and the magnitude of the vector V is, then, given as:

$$
|\mathbf{V}|=\mathbf{R}
$$

## EXAMPLE A-7

Given the complex vector $V=10 \angle 60^{\circ}$, find $\operatorname{Re}(V)$, $\operatorname{Im}(V)$, and $|V|$.

## Solution

First, express the vector in rectangular form.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =R \cos \theta \\
& =10 \cos \left(60^{\circ}\right) \\
& =5 \\
y & =R \sin \theta \\
& =10 \sin \left(60^{\circ}\right) \\
& =8.66
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $V=5+j 8.66$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{V}) & =5 \\
\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{~V}) & =j 8.66 \\
|\mathrm{~V}| & =10
\end{aligned}
$$

## NOISE CALCULATIONS

Noise can be defined as any undesired disturbance, be it man-made or natural, in any dynamic electrical or electronic system. It may take the form of atmospheric noise caused by the immense energy of the sun or it may be something as trivial as the thermal noise associated with a carbon resistor. Whatever the source, noise is an obstacle that man has been trying to overcome since time began.

The purpose of this appendix is to simply pinpoint a few of the many sources of noise in the electronic systems of today. We will examine noise in its relationship with amplifier design and receiver systems design. The emphasis of this appendix will be placed on the practical aspects of noise rather than on its probabilistic nature.

## TYPES OF NOISE

Basically, we are concerned with two types of noise -thermal noise and shot noise.

## Thermal Noise

In any conducting medium whose temperature is above absolute zero ( 0 Kelvin), the random motion of charge carriers within the conductor produces random voltages and currents. These voltages and currents produce noise. As the temperature of the conductor increases, the random motion and the velocity of the charge carriers increase; hence, the noise voltage increases.

The open-circuit noise voltage across the terminals of any conductor is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\sqrt{4 \mathrm{kTRB}} \tag{Eq.B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{V}=$ the rms noise voltage in volts,
$\mathrm{k}=$ Boltzmann's constant ( $1.38 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{j} /$ Kelvin $)$,
$\mathrm{T}=$ the absolute temperature in Kelvin ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273$ ),
$\mathrm{R}=$ the resistance of the conductor in ohms,
$B=$ the bandwidth in hertz.
Notice that the amount of noise voltage present in the conductor is dependent upon the system bandwidth. The narrower the system bandwidth, the less thermal noise that is introduced. Consequently, for optimum noise performance, the bandwidth of any circuit

EXAMPLE B-1
What noise voltage is produced in a 10 K resistor at room temperature ( 293 Kelvin ) over an effective bandwidth of 10 MHz ?

Solution
Using Equation B-1, we find that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} & =\sqrt{4 \mathrm{kTRB}} \\
& =\sqrt{4\left(1.38 \times 10^{-23}\right)(293)(10,000)\left(10 \times 10^{8}\right)} \\
& =40.22 \text { microvolts }
\end{aligned}
$$

should never be wider than that required to transmit the desired signal.
Thermal noise is also known as Johnson noise and white noise.

## Shot Noise

Shot noise is a type of noise that is common to the particle-like nature of the charge carriers. It is often thought that a dc current flow in any semiconductor material is constant at every instant. In fact, however, since the current flow is made up of individual electrons and holes, it is only the time-average flow of these charge carriers that is seen as a constant current. Any fluctuation in the number of charge carriers at any instant produces a random current change at that instant. This random current change is known as noise.
Shot noise is also often called Schottky noise and is found by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}=2 q \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dc}} B \tag{Eq.B-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{I}_{n}{ }^{2}=$ the mean square noise current,
$\mathrm{q}=$ the electron charge ( $1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ coulombs $)$,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{de}}=$ the direct current in amperes,
$B=$ the bandwidth in hertz.

## NOISE FIGURE

The noise figure, or NF, of a network is a quantity used as a "figure-of-merit" to compare the noise in a network with the noise in an ideal or noiseless network. It is a measure of the degradation in signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) between the input and output ports of the
network. Noise factor (F) is the numerical ratio of NF, where NF is expressed in dB . Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N F=10 \log _{10} F \tag{Eq.B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\text { Input } \mathrm{SNR}}{\text { Output } \mathrm{SNR}} \tag{Eq.B-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Cascaded Devices

Often, it is necessary to calculate the noise figure of a group of amplifiers that are connected in cascade (Example B-2). This is easily done if the noise figure of each individual amplifier in the cascade configuration is known.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOTAL}}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{F}_{2}-1}{\mathrm{G}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{F}_{3}-1}{\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{F}_{4}-1}{\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{3}} \ldots \tag{Eq.B-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ the noise factor of each stage,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ the numerical gain of each stage (not in dB ).

## EXAMPLE B-2

What is the noise figure of the three cascade-connected amplifiers diagrammed in Fig. B-1?


Fig. B-1. Block diagram for Example B-2.

## Solution

Since the gains and noise figures of each stage are given in dB , they must first be changed to numerical ratios.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~F}_{8}=5, \mathrm{~F}_{8}=31.6 \\
& \mathrm{G}_{2}=5, \mathrm{G}_{2}=10, \mathrm{G}_{2}=10
\end{aligned}
$$

The overall noise factor is then given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TOTAL}} & =2+\frac{5-1}{5}+\frac{31.6-1}{(5)(10)} \\
& =3.4 \\
\mathrm{NF} & =10 \log _{10} 3.4 \\
& =5.3 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Another look at Equation B-5 will reveal a very interesting point. If the gain of the first stage is sufficiently high, the denominators of the second and succeeding terms will force those terms to very small values leaving only $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ in the equation. Hence, the NF of the first stage will typically determine the NF of the cascade configuration (Example B-3).

## Lossy Networks

The NF of a lossy network is equal to the loss of the network in dB. For example, a mixer with a conversion

## EXAMPLE B-3

If the gain of the first stage in Example B-2 were 25 dB , what would be the NF of the entire cascade?

## Solution

We know that 25 dB is a numerical ratio of 316 . Therefore, using Equation B-5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\text {Total }} & =2+\frac{5-1}{316}+\frac{31.6-1}{(316)(10)} \\
& =2.022 \\
\mathrm{NF} & =10 \log _{10} 2.022 \\
& =3.06 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the NF of the entire cascade is approximately equal to the NF of the first stage.

## EXAMPLE B-4

Find the NF of the receiver whose block diagram is shown in Fig. B-2.

## Solution

For analysis purposes, the last three blocks of Fig. B-2 may be replaced with a single block having a noise figure of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{c}} & =10 \mathrm{~dB}+7 \mathrm{~dB}+4 \mathrm{~dB} \\
& =21 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
F_{e}=128
$$

The noise factor looking into the preamplifier is, therefore, equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\text {Droamp }}=F_{D}+\frac{F_{c}-1}{\mathbf{G}_{\text {prosmp }}} \\
& \quad=2+\frac{126-1}{10} \\
& \quad=2+12.5 \\
& \quad=14.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the NF at the preamplifier is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NF}_{\text {prosmp }} & =10 \log _{\mathrm{xD}} 14.5 \\
& =11.6 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

The noise figure of the entire receiver is, thus, equal to the sum of 11.6 dB and the noise figure of the two-pole filter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{revr}} & =11.6 \mathrm{~dB}+6 \mathrm{~dB} \\
& =17.6 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. B-2. Receiver block diagram for Examples B-3 and B-4.
loss of 10 dB has an NF of 10 dB . Similarly, a filter with an insertion loss of 5 dB has an NF of 5 dB .

The NF of two or more cascaded lossy networks can be found simply by adding the losses (in dB ) of each network. Thus, if a mixer with a conversion loss of 10 dB is followed by a filter with an insertion loss of 3.5 dB , the combined noise figure of the cascaded network is equal to 13.5 dB (Example B-4).

## RECEIVER SYSTEMS CALCULATIONS

The thermal noise that is added to a signal while passing through a system can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{0}=\mathrm{kTB} \tag{Eq.B-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ the noise power in watts,
$\mathrm{k}=$ Boltzmann's constant,
$\mathrm{T}=$ the temperature in Kelvins,
$B=$ the noise bandwidth of the system.
Expressed as noise power in dBm , we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{0}=10 \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{kTB}}{1 \times 10^{-3}} \tag{Eq.B-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ and the NF of a receiver are known (or can be calculated), the required input signal level to the receiver for a given output signal-to-noise ratio can then be calculated (Example B-5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{NF}+\mathrm{n}_{0}+\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \tag{Eq.B-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ the required input signal level in dBm ,
$\mathrm{NF}=$ the noise figure of the receiver,
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ the thermal noise power of the receiver in dBm , $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=$ the required output signal-to-noise ratio in dB.

## EXAMPLE B-5

Using the block diagram shown in Fig. B-2, calculate the required input signal level for a $10-\mathrm{dB}$ signal-to-noise ratio at the output of the if stage. The noise bandwidth (B) is 1.25 MHz .

## Solution

The NF of the receiver was determined in Example B-4 to be 17.6 dB .
Calculate $n_{0}$ using Equation B-7. (Assume a room temperature of 293 K .)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{n}_{0} & =10 \log _{10} \frac{\left(1.38 \times 10^{-23}\right)(293)\left(1.25 \times 10^{8}\right)}{1 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& =-113 \mathrm{dBm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the required input signal level is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}} & =\mathrm{NF}+\mathrm{n}_{0}+\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \\
& =17.6-113+10 \\
& =-85.4 \mathrm{dBm}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Absorption, 68, 70
Ac resistance, 9, 12, 13, 19
Active coupling, 37, 41-42
Addition, vector, 164-165
Admittance, 99-101, 102, 103, 115
conversion of impedance to, 81-84
load, 126
manipulation, 84
source, 126
Air core
inductor design, single-layer, 17-18
inductors, 18,20
Algebra, vector, 184-166
Alumina substrate, 11
Aluminum, 9
American Wire Gauge, 9,17
Amplifier(s)
and linearity, class-A, 150-156
class-B power, 156-157
class-C power, 157
driver, 158
power, 157-158
small-signal rf, 117-149
rf power, 150-163
Analog Instruments Company, 84
Area, cross-sectional, 9
Attenuation
characteristics, 44
ultimate, 32
Automatic shutdown circuitry, 160
AWG: See American Wire Gauge
B
Balun, 161
Bandpass filter design, 59-64
Band-rejection filter design, 64-65
Bandstop filter, 44
Bandwidth, 31, 34, 36, 37, 42, 44, 59
Base spreading resistance, 88
Bell Laboratories, 75
Beryllia substrate, 11
Bessel
filters, 44, 47, 53, 65
response, 47, 53
Beta, 98, 114, 118
Bias
networks, 117, 118-120
transistor, 10
stability, 118, 119
Biasing, transistor, 117-120, 150-157
Bifilar-type windings, 162
Bipolar transistor, 117, 119
Bonding wire, 98
Broadband transformers, 161-162, 183
Butterworth
filters, 44
response, 47-49
tables, 48-49

Capacitance
collector-to-base, 114
distributed, 15, 17
emitter diffusion, 98
feedback, 98
interwinding, $16,18,30$
parasitic, 11
Capacitive
coupling, 37-40
reactance, 13
Capacitor(s), 9, 10, 65, 81, 98
ceramic, 13-14
chip, 14
flat ribbon, 14
metalized-film, 14-15
mica, 14
NPO, 13-14
parallel-plate, 12
real-world, 12-13
temperature compensating, 13-14
types, 13-15
Carbon
composition resistors, 10,11
granules, 10
Carbonyl, 28
Cascaded devices, 168
Ceramic capacitors, 13-14
Charge carriers, 9
Chebyshev
filter, 44, 47, 49-53, 56, 57, 58-60, 65
polynomials, 50-51
response, 47, 49-53
Chip capacitors, 14
Circle(s)
constant
gain, 132-133
reactance, 77
resistance, 75, 77, 96
family of, 75-77
stability, 133-138
Circuit Q, 70, 72, 90
Class
-A amplifiers and linearity, 150-156
-B power amplifiers, 156-157
-C power amplifiers, 157
of amplification, 150
Coaxial feedlines, matching to, 158-160
Coil length, 17
Collector
load resistance, 157-158
-to-base capacitance, 114
Combiners, signal, 10
Common
-emitter
circuit, 98
current gain, 114
Complex
conjugate, 66-87, 69, 80,02
impedances, 68

Complex - cont
loads, dealing with, 68-70
Component(s), 9-30, 32, 84-90 Q, 35-36
real, imaginary, and magnitude, 165-166
Conductance, 81, 102, 121
Conduction angle, $155,156,157$
Conductors, 9
Conjugate match, simultaneous, 101, 122, 128-129, 132
Conjugately matched, 121
Constant
dielectric, 12
gain circle, 132-133
resistance circles, 75, 77, 96
Conversion
formulas, 107
of impedance to admittance, 81-84
Copper, 9, 10
Core(s)
characteristics, 19-20
ferrite, 20-28
magnetic, 19, 20
powdered-iron, 20-28
Coulomb, 10, 12
Coupling
active, 37, 41-42
capacitive, 37-40
critical, 37, 38
inductive, 37, 40-4]
mutual, 37
of resonant circuits, 37-43
passive, 42
top-L, 41, 42
transformer, 37, 40, 41
transistor, 37, 41
Critical coupling, 37, 38
Cross-sectional area, 9
Current
density, 9
gain, 118
common-emitter, 114
Cutoff frequency, 47, 48, 53, 65

D
Data sheets, 20-27, 98, 108-117, 127, 145-146, 158
rf power transistor, 150
understanding rf transistor, 114-116
Dc
beta, 114
current gain, 118
Dealing with complex loads, 68-70
Decibels, 32
Density current, 9
flux, 19-20, 28
magnetic flux, 18
Design
for a specified gain, 132-133
for optimum noise figure, 138-146
using $S$ parameters, 127-149
using Y parameters, 120-127
Designing with potentially unstable transistors, 126-127
Dielectric
constant, 12, 14
materials, 12, 13
particulates, 10
Dissipation
factor, 12, 13
thermal, 10
Distortion, 155
Distributed capacitance, 15, 17

Division, vector, 165
Driver amplifiers, 158
Dual network, 58-59

E
Effective series resistance, 12-13
Effects of finite Q, 65
Emitter diffusion capacitance, 98
Equivalent circuit, transistor, 98 -102
External feedback, 102

F
Family of circles, 75-77
Feedback, 102, 121-122
capacitance, 98
characteristics, 98, 101, 114
resistance, 98
Feedlines, matching to coaxial, 158-160
Ferrite, 17, 18, 20
cores, 20-28
toroidal cores, 163
FET; see field-effect transistor
Field-effect transistor, 117, 119
Filter
bandstop, 44
Bessel, 44, 47, 53, 65
Butterworth, 44, 47-49, 65
Chebyshev, 44, 47, 49-53, 56, 58-60, 65
design, 44-65
bandpass, 59-64
band-rejection, 64-65
high-pass, $56-58$
high-pass, 33, 56-58
high-Q, 46, 49
low-pass, 45, 55, 59
low-Q, 46
medium-Q, 47
response, 31-32
second-order, 44-46
third-order, 44
three-element, 45, 46
two-pole, 44
types, 47-53, 65
Finite Q, effects of, 65
Fixed-chip inductors, 15, 16
Flat ribbon capacitors, 14
Flux
density, 19-20, 28
linkage, 15,16
Formulas, conversion, 107
Four-element filter, 48, 52
Frequencies, radio, 9, 10, 14, 31, 98
Frequency
and impedance scaling, 53-55
cutoff, 47, 48, 53, 65
response, 60
transition, 114
G
Gain, 101-102, 117
bandwidth product, 114
characteristics, 98
current, 114
dc current, 118
design for a specified, 132-133
maximum available, 121-122
power, 114, 122, 158
transducer, 126
Gauge, American Wire, 9, 17

H
Harmonic distortion, 155, 156
Heat energy, 10
High-pass
filter, 33
design, 56-58, 59
High-Q filters, 46, 49, 53, 65
Hybrid- $\pi$ model, 98
Hysteresis, 20

Imaginary components, 165-166
Impedance(s), 11, 102
characteristics, 13,15
complex, 69
large-signal, 150
load, 75, 90-92
manipulation, 81
matching, 66-97, 117, 158
on the Smith Chart, 84-90
scaling, 53-55
series, 81-84
source, 31, 75, 90-92
to admittance, conversion of, 81-84
transformation, 37
values, plotting, 77-81
Incident wave, 104
Inductance, 9, 10, 28
Inductive
coupling, 37, 40-41
index, 28
Inductor(s), 9, 13, 15-18, 65, 81, 98
air-core, 18-19, 20
design
single-layer air-core, 17-18
toroidal, 28-30
fixed-chip, 15
lossless, 16
magnetic core, 20
real-world, 15-17
toroidal, 18-19
Input
characteristics, 98
impedance, large-signal, 150
network design, 123, 130, 135, 147
resistance, 98, 99-101
Insertion loss, 32, 36-37, 44, 45, 46, 65
Insulation resistance, 12
Intermodulation distortion, 155
Interstage matching, 158
Interwinding capacitance, $16,18,30$
IRN-8, 28

Johnson noise, 167
$\mathbf{K}$; see dielectric constant

L
L network, 67-68, 70, 72
Large-signal
impedance parameters, 163
input impedance, 150
output impedance, 150

Linearity, 150-156, 157
Linvill stability factor, 121, 122, 126, 127
Load(s)
admittance, 126
complex, 68-70
Load impedance ( $s$ ), 31, 37, 66-67, 68, 75, 90-92, 104-105
Q, 44
reflection coefficient, 128-129, 132
resistance, 34, 66
optimum collector, 157-158
Loaded Q, 31, 34-36, 37, 41, 44, 90
Lossless
elements, 65
inductor, 16
Lossy networks, 168-169
Low-pass
filter, $33,44,45,55,59$
prototype, 46-47, 52, 53
Low-Q
filters, 46, 65
matching networks, 72-75

M
MAG, 121-122, 126, 128, 132
see also maximum available gain
Magnetic
core (s), 19, 20
inductors, 20
materials, 18
field, 9
flux density, 18
Matching
circuit, 67
networks, 72-75
to coaxial feedlines, 158-160
Materials, dielectric, 12, 13
Maximum available gain, 121-122, 128
Medium-Q filter, 47, 53
Metal-film resistors, 11
Metalized-film, 14-15
Mica capacitors, 14
Microwave Associates, 140-146
Miller effect, 99
Multielement matching, 92-96, 97
Multiplication, vector, 165

N
Negative positive zero; see NPO and temperature compensating
Network
dual, 58-59
L, 67-68, 70, 72
tapped-L, 37
Neutralization, 126
Neutralized power gain, 102
Noise
calculations, 167-169
figure, 114-115, 167-168
design for optimum, 138-146
Johnson, 167
Schottky, 167
shot, 167
thermal, 167, 169
types of, 167
white, 167
Normalization, 81
and the low-pass prototype, 46-47
Normalized load impedance, 104

## 0

Output
characteristics, 98
impedance, large-signal, 150
network design, 124, 131, 134, 148
resistance, 98, 101, 157-158

Parallel
-plate capacitor, 12
resonant circuit, 31
Parameters
S, 98, 103-107
two-port Y, 102-103
Y, 98, 102-103, 107
Parasitic
capacitance, 11 reactance, 11
Passband, 31, 32, 34, 37, 44, 47, 49
Passive coupling, 42
Permeability, 18, 19, 28
Pi network, 70-72, 73, 158, 160
Plotting impedance values, 77-81
Polar
notation, 164
/rectangular conversion, 164
Polynomials, Chebyshev, 50-51
Powdered iron, 20
cores, 20-28
materials, 28
Power
amplifier ( $s$ )
class-B, 156-157
class-C, 157
design, 157-158 rf, 150-163
combiners, 161-162
factor, 12
gain, 101-102, 114, 122, 158
splitters, 161
transfer of, 66, 67
transistor
characteristics, rf, 150
data sheet, rf, 150
Practical winding hints, 30, 162-163
Prototype, low-pass, 46-47, 52, 53-54

## Q

Q, 13, 16, 18, 20, 28, 30, 31, 90-92, 94 circuit, 70, 72
effects of finite, 65

## R

Radiation resistance, 158-159
Radio frequencies, 9, 10, 14, 31
the transistor at, 98-116
Reactance(s), 66-67, 69, 81
capacitive, 13
circles, 77
parasitic, 11
Real
imaginary, and magnitude components, 165-166
-world inductors, 15-17
Receiver systems calculations, 169
Rectangular
notation, 164
/polar conversion, 164
Reflected wave, 104

Reflection coefficient, 75, 77, 104, 105, 107, 128-129
Resistance
ac, $9,12,13,19$
base spreading, 98
circles, 75, 77
effective series, 12-13
feedback, 98
input, 98, 99-101
insulation, 12
load, 66
optimum collector load, 157-158
output, 98, 101, 157-158
radiation, 158-159
source, 66
virtual, 70,72
Mesistor(s), 9, 10-11, 98
carbon-composition, 10, 11
equivalent circuit, $10-11$
metal-film, 11
thin-film chip, 11
wirewound, 10-11
Resonance, 32-34, 44, 64, 65, 67, 69
Resonant circuits, 31-43, 64-65
coupling of, 37-43
parallel, 31
Responses
Bessel, 47, 53
Butterworth, 47-49
Chebyshev, 47, 49-53
passband, 47, 49, 60
Rf
amplifier design, small-signal, 117-149
circuit design, 44
power
amplifiers, 150-163
transistor
characteristics, 150
data sheet, 150
spectrum, 9
transistor data sheets, understanding, 114-116
Ripple, 32, 45, 49-51, 55
Rollet stability factor, 128, 133, 146

S
$S$ and $Y$ parameters, 107, 115, 116, 163
$S$ parameters, 98, 103-107
and the two-port network, 105-107
design using, 127-149
Scaling, 47
frequency and impedance, 53-55
Scattering parameters, 127-128; see also S parameters
Schottky noise, 167
Second-order distortion, 155-156 filter, 44, 46
intercept point, 155-156
Selectively mismatching, 126, 127, 132
Self-inductance, 9
Series circuit, 68 impedance, 81-84
Shape factor, 31-32, 37
Shielding a transformer, 41
Shot noise, 167
Shunt component, 68 inductor, 84
Shutdown circuitry, automatic, 160
Signal
combiners, 10
-to-noise ratio, 167, 169

Silver, 9
Simultaneous conjugate matching, 101, 122, 127, 128-129, 132
Single-layer
air-core inductor design, 17-18
winding, 29
Skin
depth, 8,10
effect, 9, 11, 16
Small-signal ff amplifier design, 117-149
Smith Chart, 66, 75-84, 92-96, 99, 105-107, 132-133, 136-138, 150
construction, 75-77
impedance matching on, 84-90
Smith, Phillip, 75
Source
admittance, 128
impedance, $31,37,66-67,75,90-92$
reflection coefficient, 132, 133, 146
resistance, $32,33,34,66$
Spectrum, rf, 9
Stability
calculations, 121
circles, 133-138
factor
Linvill, 121, 122, 126, 127
Rollett, 128, 133, 146
Stern, 121, 126
Standing wave ratio (SWR), 77, 160
Stern stability factor, 121, 126
Straight-wire inductors, $9-10$
Subtraction, vector, 165
Susceptance, 81, 84, 96, 102
T
T network, 72, 74, 158, 160
Tapped
-C transformer, 37, 43
-L network, 37
Temperature
characteristics, 14
coefficient, 13
compensating capacitors, 13-14
Thermal
dissipation, 10
noise, 167, 169
Thin-film chip resistors, 11
Third-order
distortion, 155-156
filter, 44
Three-element
filter, 45,46
matching, 70-72
network, 70
Top-L coupling, 41, 42
Toroidal
cores, ferrite, 163
inductor design, 28-30
inductors, 18-19
Toroids, 18-28
Transmission
line
theory, 104-105
transformers, 162
loss, 77
Transducer gain, 126, 132
Transfer of power, 66, 67
Transformer(s)
broadband, 161-162, 163
coupling, 37, 40, 41
impedance, 37
shielding a, 41

Transformer(s)-cont
tapped-C, 37, 43
transmission-line, 162
Transistor(s)
as a two-port network, 102
at radio frequencies, 88-116
bias networks, 10
biasing, 117-120, 150-157
bipolar, 117, 119
characteristics, rf power, 150
data sheet(s)
rf power, 150
understanding, 114-116
designing with potentially unstable, 126-127
equivalent circuit, 98-102
field-effect, 117, 119
Transition frequency, 114
Traveling wave, 105
Trifilar-type windings, 162
Twisted-pair winding, 162-163
Two
-element filter, 46 L networks, 70, 72
matching, 84-90
-pole filter, 44
-port
device, 105-107
network, 138
S parameters and, 105-107
transistor as, 102
Y parameters, 102-103
Types of noise, 167
U
Ultimate attenuation, 32
Unconditionally stable transistors, 122, 128, 128-129, 136
Understanding of transistor data sheets, 114-116
Unilateralization, 128
Unilateralized power gain, 102
Unneutralized power gain, 102
Unstable transistors, designing with potentially, 126-127

Vector
addition, 164-165
algebra, 164-166
division, 165
multiplication, 165
subtraction, 165
Virtual resistance, 70, 72
VSWR, 160

White noise, 167
Wideband matching networks, 72-75
Winding hints, practical, 162-163
Wire, 9-10, 29, 98
gauges, 9
size, 17, 18
Wirewound resistors, 10-11

## $\mathbf{Y}$

Y and S parameters, 115, 116, 117, 163
Y parameters, 98, 102-103, 115, 121, 128
design using, 120-127
two-port, 102-103

Newnes

## RF Circuit Design

- For the person who has design experience... who is an expert in the field of rf circuit design... or who is an engineer needing a good reference manual.
- Gives complete design procedures for multiple-pole Butterworth, Chebyshev, and Bessel filters.
- Covers capacitors, inductors, and other components with their behavior at rf frequencies being discussed in detail. Examines $Q$, bandwidth, and coupling of resonant circuits.
- Transistor behavior at rf frequencies is discussed and data sheets are explained. $Y$ and S parameters are introduced.
- You learn-
- Procedures for designing your own low-pass, high-pass,bandpass, and bandstop filter designs.
- How to design single- and multiresonator circuits.
- Impedance matching, both real and complex, with the aid of Smith Charts and numerical values.
- The difference between small- and large-signal amplifiers and the step-by-step procedures for designing the latter.
- Includes a bibliography of books and technical papers in the Appendix to help you further your learning.
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