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## Cholesky Factorization

- Symmetric positive definite matrix $A$ has Cholesky factorization

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^{T}
$$

where $L$ is lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries

- Linear system

$$
A x=b
$$

can then be solved by forward-substitution in lower triangular system $\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{b}$, followed by back-substitution in upper triangular system $\boldsymbol{L}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y}$

## Computing Cholesky Factorization

- Algorithm for computing Cholesky factorization can be derived by equating corresponding entries of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^{T}$ and generating them in correct order
- For example, in $2 \times 2$ case

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\ell_{11} & 0 \\
\ell_{21} & \ell_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\ell_{11} & \ell_{21} \\
0 & \ell_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

so we have

$$
\ell_{11}=\sqrt{a_{11}}, \quad \ell_{21}=a_{21} / \ell_{11}, \quad \ell_{22}=\sqrt{a_{22}-\ell_{21}^{2}}
$$

## Cholesky Factorization Algorithm

```
for }k=1\mathrm{ to }
    akk}=\sqrt{}{\mp@subsup{a}{kk}{}
    for i=k+1 to n
        aik}=\mp@subsup{a}{ik}{}/\mp@subsup{a}{kk}{
    end
    for j=k+1 to n
        for i=j to n
            aij}=\mp@subsup{a}{ij}{}-\mp@subsup{a}{ik}{}\mp@subsup{a}{jk}{
        end
    end
end
```


## Cholesky Factorization Algorithm

- All $n$ square roots are of positive numbers, so algorithm well defined
- Only lower triangle of $\boldsymbol{A}$ is accessed, so strict upper triangular portion need not be stored
- Factor $L$ is computed in place, overwriting lower triangle of A
- Pivoting is not required for numerical stability
- About $n^{3} / 6$ multiplications and similar number of additions are required (about half as many as for LU)


## Parallel Algorithm

## Partition

- For $i, j=1, \ldots, n$, fine-grain task $(i, j)$ stores $a_{i j}$ and computes and stores

$$
\begin{cases}\ell_{i j}, & \text { if } i \geq j \\ \ell_{j i}, & \text { if } i<j\end{cases}
$$

yielding 2-D array of $n^{2}$ fine-grain tasks

- Zero entries in upper triangle of $L$ need not be computed or stored, so for convenience in using 2-D mesh network, $\ell_{i j}$ can be redundantly computed as both task $(i, j)$ and task $(j, i)$ for $i>j$
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## Fine-Grain Tasks and Communication



## Fine-Grain Parallel Algorithm

for $k=1$ to $\min (i, j)-1$
recv broadcast of $a_{k j}$ from task $(k, j)$
recv broadcast of $a_{i k}$ from task $(i, k)$
$a_{i j}=a_{i j}-a_{i k} a_{k j}$
end
if $i=j$ then
$a_{i i}=\sqrt{a_{i i}}$
broadcast $a_{i i}$ to tasks $(k, i)$ and $(i, k), k=i+1, \ldots, n$
else if $i<j$ then
recv broadcast of $a_{i i}$ from task $(i, i)$
$a_{i j}=a_{i j} / a_{i i}$
broadcast $a_{i j}$ to tasks $(k, j), k=i+1, \ldots, n$
else
recv broadcast of $a_{j j}$ from task $(j, j)$
$a_{i j}=a_{i j} / a_{j j}$
broadcast $a_{i j}$ to tasks $(i, k), k=j+1, \ldots, n$
end

## Agglomeration Schemes

## Agglomerate

- Agglomeration of fine-grain tasks produces
- 2-D
- 1-D column
- 1-D row
parallel algorithms analogous to those for LU factorization, with similar performance and scalability
- Rather than repeat analyses for dense matrices, we focus instead on sparse matrices, for which column-oriented algorithms are typically used


## Loop Orderings for Cholesky

Each choice of $i, j$, or $k$ index in outer loop yields different Cholesky algorithm, named for portion of matrix updated by basic operation in inner loops

- Submatrix-Cholesky: with $k$ in outer loop, inner loops perform rank-1 update of remaining unreduced submatrix using current column
- Column-Cholesky: with $j$ in outer loop, inner loops compute current column using matrix-vector product that accumulates effects of previous columns
- Row-Cholesky: with $i$ in outer loop, inner loops compute current row by solving triangular system involving previous rows
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## Memory Access Patterns



Submatrix-Cholesky


Column-Cholesky


Row-Cholesky

## Column-Oriented Cholesky Algorithms

Submatrix-Cholesky
for $k=1$ to $n$
$a_{k k}=\sqrt{a_{k k}}$
for $i=k+1$ to $n$
$a_{i k}=a_{i k} / a_{k k}$
end
for $j=k+1$ to $n$
for $i=j$ to $n$
$a_{i j}=a_{i j}-a_{i k} a_{j k}$
end
end
end

Column-Cholesky
for $j=1$ to $n$
for $k=1$ to $j-1$ for $i=j$ to $n$
$a_{i j}=a_{i j}-a_{i k} a_{j k}$
end
end
$a_{j j}=\sqrt{a_{j j}}$
for $i=j+1$ to $n$

$$
a_{i j}=a_{i j} / a_{j j}
$$

end
end

## Column Operations

Column-oriented algorithms can be stated more compactly by introducing column operations

- cdiv $(j)$ : column $j$ is divided by square root of its diagonal entry

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{j j}=\sqrt{a_{j j}} \\
& \text { for } i=j+1 \text { to } n \\
& \quad a_{i j}=a_{i j} / a_{j j} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$ : column $j$ is modified by multiple of column $k$, with $k<j$
for $i=j$ to $n$

$$
a_{i j}=a_{i j}-a_{i k} a_{j k}
$$

end

## Column-Oriented Cholesky Algorithms

Submatrix-Cholesky
for $k=1$ to $n$
cdiv( $k$ )
for $j=k+1$ to $n$ $\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$
end
end

- right-looking
- immediate-update
- data-driven
- fan-out

Column-Cholesky
for $j=1$ to $n$
for $k=1$ to $j-1$
$\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$
end
$\operatorname{cdiv}(j)$
end

- left-looking
- delayed-update
- demand-driven
- fan-in


## Data Dependences



## Data Dependences

- $\operatorname{cmod}(k, *)$ operations along bottom can be done in any order, but they all have same target column, so updating must be coordinated to preserve data integrity
- $\operatorname{cmod}(*, k)$ operations along top can be done in any order, and they all have different target columns, so updating can be done simultaneously
- Performing cmods concurrently is most important source of parallelism in column-oriented factorization algorithms
- For dense matrix, each cdiv $(k)$ depends on immediately preceding column, so cdivs must be done sequentially


## Sparse Matrices

- Matrix is sparse if most of its entries are zero
- For efficiency, store and operate on only nonzero entries, e.g., $\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$ need not be done if $a_{j k}=0$
- But more complicated data structures required incur extra overhead in storage and arithmetic operations
- Matrix is "usefully" sparse if it contains enough zero entries to be worth taking advantage of them to reduce storage and work required
- In practice, sparsity worth exploiting for family of matrices if there are $\Theta(n)$ nonzero entries, i.e., (small) constant number of nonzeros per row or column


## Sparsity Structure

- For sparse matrix $M$, let $M_{i *}$ denote its $i$ th row and $M_{* j}$ its $j$ th column
- Define $\operatorname{Struct}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{i *}\right)=\left\{k<i \mid m_{i k} \neq 0\right\}$, nonzero structure of row $i$ of strict lower triangle of $M$
- Define $\operatorname{Struct}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{* j}\right)=\left\{k>j \mid m_{k j} \neq 0\right\}$, nonzero structure of column $j$ of strict lower triangle of $M$
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## Sparse Cholesky Algorithms

Submatrix-Cholesky
for $k=1$ to $n$
$\operatorname{cdiv}(k)$
for $j \in \operatorname{Struct}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{* k}\right)$ $\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$
end
end

- right-looking
- immediate-update
- data-driven
- fan-out


## Column-Cholesky

for $j=1$ to $n$
for $k \in \operatorname{Struct}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{j *}\right)$
$\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$
end
$\operatorname{cdiv}(j)$
end

- left-looking
- delayed-update
- demand-driven
- fan-in


## Graph Model

- Graph $G(\boldsymbol{A})$ of symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ is undirected graph having $n$ vertices, with edge between vertices $i$ and $j$ if $a_{i j} \neq 0$
- At each step of Cholesky factorization algorithm, corresponding vertex is eliminated from graph
- Neighbors of eliminated vertex in previous graph become clique (fully connected subgraph) in modified graph
- Entries of $\boldsymbol{A}$ that were initially zero may become nonzero entries, called fill


## Example: Graph Model of Elimination



## Elimination Tree

- parent $(j)$ is row index of first offdiagonal nonzero in column $j$ of $L$, if any, and $j$ otherwise
- Elimination tree $T(\boldsymbol{A})$ is graph having $n$ vertices, with edge between vertices $i$ and $j$, for $i>j$, if $i=$ parent $(j)$
- If matrix is irreducible, then elimination tree is single tree with root at vertex $n$; otherwise, it is more accurately termed elimination forest
- $T(\boldsymbol{A})$ is spanning tree for filled graph $F(\boldsymbol{A})$, which is $G(\boldsymbol{A})$ with all fill edges added
- Each column of Cholesky factor $L$ depends only on its descendants in elimination tree


## Example: Elimination Tree

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
$$




## Effect of Matrix Ordering

- Amount of fill depends on order in which variables are eliminated
- Example: "arrow" matrix - if first row and column are dense, then factor fills in completely, but if last row and column are dense, then they cause no fill



## Ordering Heuristics

General problem of finding ordering that minimizes fill is NP-complete, but there are relatively cheap heuristics that limit fill effectively

- Bandwidth or profile reduction: reduce distance of nonzero diagonals from main diagonal (e.g., RCM)
- Minimum degree: eliminate node having fewest neighbors first
- Nested dissection: recursively split graph into pieces, numbering nodes in separators last


## Symbolic Factorization

- For SPD matrices, ordering can be determined in advance of numeric factorization
- Only locations of nonzeros matter, not their numerical values, since pivoting is not required for numerical stability
- Once ordering is selected, locations of all fill entries in $L$ can be anticipated and efficient static data structure set up to accommodate them prior to numeric factorization
- Structure of column $j$ of $L$ is given by union of structures of lower triangular portion of column $j$ of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and prior columns of $L$ whose first nonzero below diagonal is in row $j$


## Solving Sparse SPD Systems

Basic steps in solving sparse SPD systems by Cholesky factorization
(1) Ordering: Symmetrically reorder rows and columns of matrix so Cholesky factor suffers relatively little fill
(2) Symbolic factorization: Determine locations of all fill entries and allocate data structures in advance to accommodate them
(3) Numeric factorization: Compute numeric values of entries of Cholesky factor
(4) Triangular solution: Compute solution by forward- and back-substitution

## Parallel Sparse Cholesky

- In sparse submatrix- or column-Cholesky, if $a_{j k}=0$, then $\operatorname{cmod}(j, k)$ is omitted
- Sparse factorization thus has additional source of parallelism, since "missing" cmods may permit multiple cdivs to be done simultaneously
- Elimination tree shows data dependences among columns of Cholesky factor $L$, and hence identifies potential parallelism
- At any point in factorization process, all factor columns corresponding to leaf nodes of elimination tree can be computed simultaneously


## Parallel Sparse Cholesky

- Height of elimination tree determines longest serial path through computation, and hence parallel execution time
- Width of elimination tree determines degree of parallelism available
- Short, wide, well-balanced elimination tree desirable for parallel factorization
- Structure of elimination tree depends on ordering of matrix
- So ordering should be chosen both to preserve sparsity and to enhance parallelism


## Levels of Parallelism in Sparse Cholesky

- Fine-grain
- Task is one multiply-add pair
- Available in either dense or sparse case
- Difficult to exploit effectively in practice
- Medium-grain
- Task is one cmod or cdiv
- Available in either dense or sparse case
- Accounts for most of speedup in dense case
- Large-grain
- Task computes entire set of columns in subtree of elimination tree
- Available only in sparse case
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## Example: Band Ordering, 1-D Grid



Sparse Elimination

## Example: Minimum Degree, 1-D Grid



## Example: Nested Dissection, 1-D Grid



Sparse Elimination

## Example: Band Ordering, 2-D Grid



## Example: Minimum Degree, 2-D Grid



## Example: Nested Dissection, 2-D Grid



## Mapping

- Cyclic mapping of columns to processors works well for dense problems, because it balances load and communication is global anyway
- To exploit locality in communication for sparse factorization, better approach is to map columns in subtree of elimination tree onto local subset of processors
- Still use cyclic mapping within dense submatrices ("supernodes")
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## Example: Subtree Mapping



Cholesky Factorization

## Fan-Out Sparse Cholesky

```
for j}\in\mathrm{ mycols
    if j}\mathrm{ is leaf node in T(A) then
            cdiv(j)
            send }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{*j}{}\mathrm{ to processes in map(Struct ( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{*j}{})
            mycols = mycols - {j}
    end
end
while mycols }\not=
    receive any column of L
    for j G mycols \cap Struct( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{*k}{}
        cmod (j,k)
        if column j requires no more cmods then
        cdiv(j)
        send }\mp@subsup{L}{*j}{}\mathrm{ to processes in map(Struct( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{*j}{})
        mycols = mycols - {j}
        end
    end
end
```


## Fan-In Sparse Cholesky

```
for }j=1\mathrm{ to }
    if j\in mycols or mycols \cap Struct ( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{j*}{})\not=\varnothing\mathrm{ then
        u=0
        for k}\in\mathrm{ mycols }\cap\operatorname{Struct}(\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{j*}{*}
        u=u+\ell \elljk}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{L}}{*k}{
        if j\in mycols then
        incorporate }u\mathrm{ into factor column }
        while any aggregated update column
            for column j remains, receive one
            and incorporate it into factor column j
        end
        cdiv(j)
        else
            send u to process map ( j)
        end
    end
end
```


## Multifrontal Sparse Cholesky

- Multifrontal algorithm operates recursively, starting from root of elimination tree for $\boldsymbol{A}$
- Dense frontal matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{j}$ is initialized to have nonzero entries from corresponding row and column of $\boldsymbol{A}$ as its first row and column, and zeros elsewhere
- $\boldsymbol{F}_{j}$ is then updated by extend_add operations with update matrices from its children in elimination tree
- extend_add operation, denoted by $\oplus$, merges matrices by taking union of their subscript sets and summing entries for any common subscripts
- After updating of $\boldsymbol{F}_{j}$ is complete, its partial Cholesky factorization is computed, producing corresponding row and column of $L$ as well as update matrix $\boldsymbol{U}_{j}$


## Example: extend_add

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{13} & a_{15} & a_{18} \\
a_{31} & a_{33} & a_{35} & a_{38} \\
a_{51} & a_{53} & a_{55} & a_{58} \\
a_{81} & a_{83} & a_{85} & a_{88}
\end{array}\right] \oplus\left[\begin{array}{llll}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{15} & b_{17} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{25} & b_{27} \\
b_{51} & b_{52} & b_{55} & b_{57} \\
b_{71} & b_{72} & b_{75} & b_{77}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{11}+b_{11} & b_{12} & a_{13} & a_{15}+b_{15} & b_{17} & a_{18} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 & b_{25} & b_{27} & 0 \\
a_{31} & 0 & a_{33} & a_{35} & 0 & a_{38} \\
a_{51}+b_{51} & b_{52} & a_{53} & a_{55}+b_{55} & b_{57} & a_{58} \\
b_{71} & b_{72} & 0 & b_{75} & b_{77} & 0 \\
a_{81} & 0 & a_{83} & a_{85} & 0 & a_{88}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Multifrontal Sparse Cholesky

Factor $(j)$
Let $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}=\operatorname{Struct}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{* j}\right)$
Let $\boldsymbol{F}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a_{j, j} & a_{j, i_{1}} & \ldots & a_{j, i_{r}} \\ a_{i_{1}, j} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_{r}, j} & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right]$
for each child $i$ of $j$ in elimination tree
Factor $(i)$

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{j}=\boldsymbol{F}_{j} \oplus \boldsymbol{U}_{i}
$$

end
Perform one step of dense Cholesky:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\ell_{j, j} & \mathbf{0} \\
\ell_{i_{1}, j} & \\
\vdots & \boldsymbol{I} \\
\ell_{i_{r}, j} &
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{U}_{j}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\ell_{j, j} & \ell_{i_{1}, j} & \ldots & \ell_{i_{r}, j} \\
\mathbf{0} & & \boldsymbol{I} &
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Advantages of Multifrontal Method

- Most arithmetic operations performed on dense matrices, which reduces indexing overhead and indirect addressing
- Can take advantage of loop unrolling, vectorization, and optimized BLAS to run at near peak speed on many types of processors
- Data locality good for memory hierarchies, such as cache, virtual memory with paging, or explicit out-of-core solvers
- Naturally adaptable to parallel implementation by processing multiple independent fronts simultaneously on different processors
- Parallelism can also be exploited in dense matrix computations within each front


## Summary for Parallel Sparse Cholesky

Principal ingredients in efficient parallel algorithm for sparse Cholesky factorization

- Reordering matrix to obtain relatively short and well balanced elimination tree while also limiting fill
- Multifrontal or supernodal approach to exploit dense subproblems effectively
- Subtree mapping to localize communication
- Cyclic mapping of dense subproblems to achieve good load balance
- 2-D algorithm for dense subproblems to enhance scalability


## Scalability of Sparse Cholesky

- Performance and scalability of sparse Cholesky depend on sparsity structure of particular matrix
- Sparse factorization requires factorization of dense matrix of size $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ for 2-D grid problem with $n$ grid points, so isoefficiency function is at least $\Theta\left(p^{3}\right)$ for 1-D algorithm and $\Theta(p \sqrt{p})$ for 2-D algorithm
- Scalability analysis is difficult for arbitrary sparse problems, but best current parallel algorithms for sparse factorization can achieve isoefficienty $\Theta(p \sqrt{p})$ for important classes of problems
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