stcp-rothwell-ANCOVA



The following resources are associated: **ANOVA in SPSS**, Checking normality in SPSS and the SPSS dataset 'Diet.sav'

ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance)

Dependent variable: Continuous (scale/interval/ratio),

Independent variables: Categorical factors (at least 3 unrelated/ independent groups in each), Scale (continuous) covariates

Common Applications: ANCOVA is similar to traditional ANOVA but is used to detect a difference in means of 3 or more independent groups, **whilst controlling for scale covariates**. A covariate is not usually part of the main research question but could influence the dependent variable and therefore needs to be controlled for.

Data: The data set 'Diet.sav' contains information on 78 people who undertook one of three diets. There is background information such as age, gender and height as well as weight lost on the diet (a positive value means they lost weight). The aim of the study was to see which diet was best for losing weight so the independent variable (group) is diet.

	Person	gender	Age	Height	preweight	Diet	weight10weeks	weightLOST	
1	1	0	22	159	58	1	54.2	3.8	
2	2	2 0	46	192	60	1	54.0	6.0	Weight lost
3			55	170	Di	at 1 2 or 3	63.3	<u> </u>	after 10 weeks
4		Female =	0 33	171			61.1	2.9	

Before carrying any analysis, summarise weight lost by diet using some summary statistics. Diet 3 seems better than the other diets as the mean weight lost is greater. The standard deviations are similar so weight lost within each group is equally spread out. One could suggest, however, that a

			Diet	
		1	2	3
Weight lost on	Mean	3.30	3.03	5.15
diet (kg)	Standard Deviation	2.24	2.52	2.40

person's height will have an added influence in the amount of weight they lose on a particular diet. This is where ANCOVA comes in useful. ANCOVA stands for 'Analysis of covariance', and it combines the

methods used in ANOVA with linear regression on a number of different levels. The resulting output shows the effect of the independent variable after the effects of the covariates have been removed/ accounted for.



© Joanne Rothwell University of Sheffield

General estimable function

Help

Confidence intervals are 95.0 %

Cancel

Steps in SPSS

To carry out an ANCOVA, select Analyze \rightarrow General Linear Model \rightarrow Univariate Put the dependent variable (weight lost) in the Dependent Variable box and the independent variable (diet) in the Fixed Factors box. Proceed to put the covariates of interest (height) in the Covariate(s) box.

Univariate Dependent Variable: Model	Select the default option <i>Full</i> <i>Factorial</i> from the ' Model' menu
Image: Second secon	Univariate: Options Estimated Marginal Means Factor(s) and Factor Interactions: OVERALL) Diet Diet Compare main effects Confidence interval adjustment. Bonferroni
Click on the Options button and move the ndependent variable (diet) over to the <i>Display</i>	Descriptive statistics Descriptive statistics Estimates of effect size Observed power Residual plot Parameter estimates Lack of fit

Contrast coefficient matrix

Continue

Significance level: .05

independent variable (diet) over to the *Display Means For* box, click on *Compare main effects* and select *Bonferroni* from the *Confidence interval adjustment* menu to request post hoc tests. Select *Descriptive statistics, Estimates of effect size* and *Homogeneity tests* from the *Display* options.

Tests of Between-Subje F = Test statistic Dependent Variable: Weight lost on diet (kg)								
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Ms Mean Square	S _{error} 5.	810	Sig.	Partial E Square	Eta ed
Corrected Model	71.360 ^a	3	23.787	4.(4	.010		.142
Intercept	6.945	1	6.945	1.1	5	.278		.016
Height	.266	1	.266	.0	1 6	.831		.001
Diet SS _{Between}	64.642	2	32.321	5.5	63	.006		.131
Error SS _{within}	429.913	74	5.810			\bigtriangleup		
Total	1654.350	78		D.	- n			
Corrected Total	501.273	77			- p P(F	>5.563) <mark>p</mark>	o=0.006	

a. R Squared = .142 (Adjusted R Squared = .108)

When writing up the results, it is common to report certain figures from the ANCOVA table. $F(df_{between}, df_{within}) = Test Statistic, p = \rightarrow F(2, 74) = 5.563, p = 0.006$ There was a significant difference in mean weight lost [F(2,74)=5.563, p=0.006] between the diets, whilst adjusting for height. The partial Eta Squared value indicates the effect size and should be

compared with Cohen's guidelines (0.2 - small effect, 0.5 - moderate effect, 0.8 - large effect). It



© Joanne Rothwell University of Sheffield can be seen that for Diet the effect size is small (0.13). This value is also used to describe how much of the variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable (13%). Ideally this number would be fairly large.

From this table the influence of the covariate can be determined using the Sig. column.

Post hoc tests

Dependent Variable: Weight lost on diet (kg)							
		Mean Difference (I-			95% Confidence Interval for Difference ^b		
(I) Diet	(J) Diet	J)	Std. Error	Sig. ^b	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
1	2	.249	.686	1.000	-1.431	1.930	
	3	-1.832	.681	.026	-3.499	165	
2	1	249	.686	1.000	-1.930	1.431	
	3	-2.081	.684	.010	-3.756	406	
3	1	1.832	.681	.026	.165	3.499	
	2	2.081	.684	.010	.406	3.756	

Pairwise Comparisons

If the main ANOVA is significant, post hoc tests are carried out to see which groups differ. There is a significant difference between diets 1 and 3 (p = 0.026) and diets 2 and 3 (p = 0.01).

Based on estimated marginal means

*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

b. Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni.

Estimated Marginal Means

The estimated marginal means section of the output gives the adjusted means (controlling for the covariate 'height') for each diet group. This simply means that the effect of 'height' has been statistically removed. From these adjusted means, it is clear that Diet 3 lost the most weight after adjusting for height.

	Estimates
Dependent Variable:	woight OST

Dependent variable. weight OST							
			95% Confidence Interval				
Diet	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
Diet 1	3.297 ^a	.492	2.316	4.278			
Diet 2	3.048 ^a	.475	2.101	3.994			
Diet 3	5.129 ^a	.473	4.187	6.070			

a. Covariates appearing in the model are evaluated at the following values: Height = 170.82.



Assumptions	How to check	What to do if the assumption is
		not met
Covariates should not be	Check correlation before performing analysis. Use Analyse \rightarrow Correlate \rightarrow	If there are some highly
more than 1)	<i>Bivariate</i> and check that none of the	select which covariates are of
	covariates have high correlation	most importance and use those in
	values (r>0.8)	the model.
Residuals should be normally distributed	Use the Save menu within GLM to request the standardised residuals for each subject to be added to the dataset and then use <i>Analyze</i> → <i>Descriptive Statistics</i> → <i>Explore</i> to produce histograms/ QQ plot / Shapiro Wilk tests of residuals.	If the residuals are very skewed, the results of the ANOVA are less reliable. One possible method of solving this issue is transformation of the dependent variable which may help with this assumption violation.
Homogeneity (equality) of variance: The variances (SD squared) should be similar for all the groups.	The Levene's test is carried out if the Homogeneity of variance test option is selected in the Options menu. If p > 0.05, equal variances can be assumed.	If p < 0.05, the results of the ANOVA are less reliable. One possibility it to transform the data (speak to a statistics tutor for help with this).

Checking the assumptions for ANCOVA

Checking the assumptions for this data



Reporting ANCOVA

A one-way ANCOVA was conducted to compare the effectiveness of three diets whilst controlling for height. Levene's test and normality checks were carried out and the assumptions met. There was a significant difference in mean weight lost [F(2,74)=5.563, p=0.006] between the diets. Post hoc tests showed there was a significant difference between diets 1 and 3 (p = 0.026) and diets 2 and 3 (p = 0.01).

Comparing the estimated marginal means showed that the most weight was lost on Diet 3 (mean=5.13kg) compared to Diets 1 and 2 (mean=3.30kg, 3.05kg respectively).



© Joanne Rothwell University of Sheffield