

REVIEW

Open Access



Overview of occupational cancer in painters in Korea

Jun-Pyo Myong^{1,2}, Younmo Cho¹, Min Choi¹ and Hyoung-Ryoul Kim^{1,2*}

Abstract

Comprehensive consideration is necessary for setting guidelines to evaluate evidence of occupational cancer in painters due to work-related exposure to carcinogens in paint (a phenomenon termed herein as “work-relatedness”). The aim of the present research is to perform a comprehensive review and to suggest criteria for the provision of compensation for occupational neoplasm among painters in Korea. In order to perform a comprehensive review, this study assessed and evaluated scientific reports of carcinogenicities from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and the Industrial Injuries Advisory Council (IIAC), as well as reviewed the existing literature about occupational exposure among painters in Korea and the epidemiologic investigations of claimed cases of cancer among painters in Korea. The IARC declares that occupational exposures in commercial painting are classified as Group 1 carcinogens for lung cancer and bladder cancer among painters. The epidemiologic studies show consistent causal relationships between occupational exposure in painters and cancers such as lung cancer [meta relative risk: 1.34 (95% confidence intervals (CIs): 1.23-1.41)] and bladder cancer [meta relative risk: 1.24 (95% CIs: 1.16-1.33)]. In reviewing occupational cancer risks for commercial painters, the Industrial Injuries Advisory Council (IIAC) confirms occupational cancer risks for lung and bladder cancer among commercial painters. According to the IIAC, however, the elevated cancer risks reported in existing literature are not doubled in either lung or bladder cancer in commercial painters relative to the risks of these cancers in the general population. Based on our review of existing Korean articles on the topic, painters are exposed to potential carcinogens including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), benzene, hexavalent chrome, crystalized silica, asbestos, and other agents, and relative levels are estimated within commercial painting processes. However, the cancer risks of occupational exposure to Group 1 carcinogens for lung and bladder cancer in painters per se are not fully assessed in existing Korean articles. Total work duration, potential carcinogens in paint, mixed exposure to paints across various industries such as construction and shipbuilding, exposure periods, latent periods, and other factors should be considered on an individual basis in investigating the work-relatedness of certain types of cancer in commercial painters.

Keywords: Painter, Occupation, Cancer, Work-relatedness

Background

In 1989, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified commercial painting as a cause of occupational exposure in painters to Group 1 carcinogens for lung and bladder cancer [1]. The IARC reaffirmed the increased risk of lung and bladder cancer among painters after verifying the conspiracy on potential carcinogens and work processes in commercial painting in 2010 [2]. In Korea, spray paint was included

on a list of potential carcinogens in 2013. The Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency (KOSHA) and Occupational Lung Diseases Institute have performed several epidemiologic investigations on lung cancer and hematologic malignancy among painters. The investigating teams have suggested that seven cases out of ten investigated cases demonstrate a positive relationship between painting processes and lung cancer.

Comprehensive consideration is necessary to establish guidelines for criteria to evaluate the work-relatedness of cancer risks in painters in Korea. These guidelines should be based on the most reasonable information presently available, taking into account epidemiologic research on the assessment of potential carcinogen exposure among

* Correspondence: cyclor@catholic.ac.kr

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, the Catholic University of Korea, Banpo-daero 222, Seocho-gu, Seoul 06591, Republic of Korea

²Center for Occupational and Environmental Medicine Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, 222 Banpo-Daero Seocho-gu, Seoul 06591, Republic of Korea

painters in Korea and other countries, and compensation data in Korea. Until now, this type of comprehensive evaluation has not been performed in Korea. Therefore, the aim of the present research is to perform a comprehensive review and to suggest criteria for the provision of compensation for occupational neoplasm among painters in Korea.

Review

General characteristics of painting

Painting is the application of specific synthetic materials to the surfaces of products or buildings to protect the objects from corrosion and dirt or to generate cosmetic appeal [1, 2]. The general purposes of painting are protection and plastering. Electrical conduction, semi-conduction, contamination control, fire-retardation, temperature sensing, and magnetic painting are further classified as specific purposes of painting [1, 2].

Paint is comprised of various components with varying purposes. The components of paint are pigments and extenders (fillers), binders (resins), solvents, and additives. Pigments affect the color, viscosity, durability, and chemical properties of paint. Extenders are able to fill in gaps and improve the physical properties of coatings. The main roles of binders are to facilitate the hardening or adhesion of coatings. Solvents are used to mix the

components of paint by dissolving binders. If painting is intended to meet specific purposes of construction, such as the application of biocides or ultraviolet stabilization, then additives are adapted. The typical components of paint are summarized in Table 1 [3].

Literature review of occupational exposure among painters in Korea

The Korean Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (*Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*), the Korean Journal of Preventive Medicine, the Korean Journal of Industrial Health, official reports from KOSHA, and existing epidemiologic investigation reports have been reviewed to estimate the previous exposure status of commercial painters to paint carcinogens in Korea. A total of 31 articles were reviewed and are summarized in Table 2.

The presence and relative levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), benzene, hexavalent chrome, crystalized silica, asbestos, and other carcinogenic agents have been examined and estimated in the context of commercial painting processes [3]. According to a 1995 report on the level of exposure to chrome in factories reporting patients with nasal septal perforation, the level of chrome exposure among the employed spray painters was below the permissible exposure limit (PEL) of

Table 1 The category and type of major components in paints

Category		Major components
Pigments & fillers	Inorganic	Essential elements, clays, calcium carbonate, mica, silicas, talcs, titanium dioxide, and red iron oxide
	Organic	Azo pigments (Benzidine Yellow, etc.)
Binder & resins	Natural resins and oils	Rosin, vegetable and fish oils
	Synthetic resins	Cellulosic, phenolic, alkyl, vinyl, acrylic and methacrylic, polyesters and polyurethane resins, phthalic resins, chlorinated rubber derivatives, styrene-butadiene, silicone oils, and etc.
Additives	Surfactants & disperser	Lecithin, zinc or calcium naphthenate or octoate, oleates, oleic acid, polyphosphates, pyrophosphates, salts of arylalkyl-sulfonic acids and salts of polycarboxylic acids
	Driers	Metal salts of naphthenic acid (lead, calcium, cobalt, manganese, zirconium, zinc, cerium, lanthanum, and etc.), tall oil acid, 2-ethylhexanoic acid and neodecanoic acid, zirconium, calcium and cobalt-zirconium compounds
	Rheological additives	Gum arabic, gum tragacanth, starch, sodium alginate, methyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, polyvinyl alcohol, ammonium caseinate, polyurethane derivatives, polyacrylates, maleic anhydride copolymers, mineral fillers, magnesium montmorillonite clays, pyrogenic silicic acid, polyacrylamides, polyacrylic acid salts, and etc.
	Plasticizers	Dibutyl-, diethyl-, diethylhexyl- and dioctylphthalates, low molecularweight esters of adipic and sebacic acid, tributyl phosphate, castor oil, and polyester resins
	Biocides	Formaldehyde, isothiazolinones and chloroacetamide
	Antiskinning agent	Phenol derivatives, methoxyphenol, ortho-aminophenol, and polyhydroxyphenol
	Corrosion inhibitors	Red lead, zinc, chromium(III), aluminium, calcium and magnesium phosphates
	Nanoparticles	Titanium dioxide, silver or silver compounds, aluminium, oxide, fullerenes
Light stabilizers	2-hydroxybenzophenones, 2-hydroxyphenylbenzotriazoles, oxalanilides, and 2-hydroxyphenyltriazines	
Solvents		Petroleum and coal-tar distillates, alcohols, esters, ketones, glycols, synthesized glycol ethers and esters (mainly ethylene), and propylene glycol derivatives

Table 2 Literature review for potential exposure while painting process in Korea 1989-2010

Author Journal Published year	Industry (or occupation)	Exposure and/or biologic exposure indices (BEI)	Exposure dose GM (GSD or range)	Remark
Kim et al. [24] 1989	Chemical manufacture (23 places) Rubber manufacture(5) Basic metal manufacture(40) 1.painting 2.spray/dry Assembly metal product manufacture(112) Other manufacture(5)	Toluene, xylene Toluene, MEK Toluene N-hexane Toluene, MEK	25, 35 unit: ppm 75, 125 32(20-50) 55 24.5 (7.5-100), 66 (25-175)	Values without range included
Kim et al. [25] 1991	Machinery maintenance Painting (spray)	Toluene, urinary hippuric acid (HA)	20.73 (13.97) ppm, 0.52 (0.23) g/L	Toluene dose in air and Urinary HA
Kim et al. [26] 1991	Vehicle maintenance (4 paintings) Metal painting (7) Wood furniture painting (26) Instrument painting (7)		-organic solvent detection rate from thinner: Toluene (76.4%) - TLV excess By paintings: Instrument painting (71.4%) By components: PAHs (29.5%)	No comment for benzene Available information of paint property, type of organic solvents detected from thinner, and their exposure level.
Jeong et al. [27] 1991	Surveillance program from 1st Jan1990 ~ 31th Dec 1990 404 workers from 5 Painting shops included male more than 10 years : 62.7%, female 1-3 years 60.0%	Toluene MEK Acetone MIBK Methanol Xylene	48.3 (10-85) 151.6 (124-178) 13.7 (8-21) 100 (100) 20.5 (12-21) 60.0 (20-80)	
Lee et al. [28] 1992	Chemical fiber factory	Organic solvent, Urinary HA	2.953 (1.497), $p < 0.01$ unit: g/L	Relation between urinary MA and mental health
Kang et al. [29] 1993	-Low exposure: paint plant, instrument plant, leather plant -Medium exposure: running shoes plant, shoes plant -High exposure: shoes plant boat plant		Toluene level in air < 10 ppm 10-50 ppm > 50 ppm	
Kim et al. [30] 1993	Furniture painting, Metal painting	Toluene mixture urinary NAG urinary MA	AM (SD), GM furniture painting(n = 33); metal painting(n = 18) Toluene: 30.1 (39.4), 18.3; 35.1 (21.0), 30.1 0.44 (0.55), 0.27; 0.46 (0.24), 0.41 Urinary NAG: 57.5 (31.11); 199 (19.7) Urinary MA: 567.1 (721.9); 462.4 (342.7) unit nmolMU/h of incubation/mg creatinine	no GM values of urinary NAG, urinary MA
Roh et al. [31] 1993	Vehicle manufacture (A), Auto mechanic (B)	m + p xylene, o- xylene Urinary m + p Urinary o-xylene	A (n = 151); B (n = 40) Unit (g/L) 0.36 (0.33); 0.29 (0.21) 0.09 (0.12); 0.03 (0.03) 0.21 (0.16); 0.06 (0.08)	Relation between organic solvent component and health effect
Lee et al. [32] 1995	Painting procedure of fishing rod manufacture	p-xylene m-xylene o-xylene toluene	3 places of painting a,b,c. 2.29 0.94 4.74 1.54 0.62 3.20 1.72 0.61 2.66 0.22 0.58 1.22	Surveillance by quantitative analysis of organic solvent

Table 2 Literature review for potential exposure while painting process in Korea 1989-2010 (Continued)

Author Journal Published year	Industry (or occupation)	Exposure and/or biologic exposure indices (BEI)	Exposure dose GM (GSD or range)	Remark
Choi et al. [4] 1997	Paint spray industry Metal manufacture Steel production for container manufacture usage	Total chrome Hexavalent chrome	Exposure time AM: 0.264, PM: 0.318 Automatic spray: 0.001-0.060, Manual spray: 0.029-0.226 mg/m ³	Information of perforation of nasal septum
Hong et al. [33] 1997	Shipbuilding painting - spray (1-3) - brush (4-6)	Volatile coal tar pitch	Worker 1. Worker 2. Worker 3. 0.15 0.12 0.14 (unit mg/m ³) Worker 4. Worker 5. Worker 6. 0.21 0.11 0.10 (unit mg/m ³)	The relation between Coal tar included paint and phototoxic contact dermatitis
Paik et al. [34] 1998	Size of enterprise (large(L) medium (M), small (S)) (L) Elevator painting (17) (M) Vehicle painting(56) (S) Elevator painting (3) 244 samples 170 personal samples (PS) 74 areal samples (AS)	(L)Toluene, Xylene PS (n = 8) AS (n = 9) (M) n-hexane, toluene PS (n = 29) AS (n = 27) (S) Toluene, Xylene PS (n = 3)	Exposure index 0.006 (1.25) 0.005 (1.50) 0.01 (4.00) 0.002 (2.00) 0.35 (1.20)	
Shin et al. [5] 1999	Total 5 shipbuilding plant		Major organic solvent of paint, thinner, and binder → xylene (60% of thinner, average: 67.1%) others: toluene, isopropanol, 2-methoxypropanol etc., xylene: included in every types of paints toluene: amino included paint 10-20%, epoxy included paint: 13.6%, vinyl included paint 14.3% coal tar pitch: 40 types of paints included (13%) lead chromate, zinc potassium chromate included paint: 8% vehicle: epoxy resin(19.9%, mostly) > alkyd resin(16%) > acryl resin(14.2%)	Hazardous components of shipbuilding paint Presentation of silica exposure silica (silicon dioxide) included in extender of 27 out of 309 paints (8.8%)
Won et al. [35] 1999	Metal manufacture 862 place, auto or ship mechanic 485 Electro device manufacture 454, chemical material manufacture 293 small company less than 50 workers	Organic solvent 54 types => type 1 organic solvent 5 types, type 2 organic solvent 31, type 3 organic solvent 2+, non-legal measurement duty material 15, benzene Highest detection rate: toluene (84.8%) > xylene (46.4%) > methyl ethyl ketone (31.1%) > n-hexane 22.7%) > benzene (20.4%)	Organic solvent areal air sample Total work hour in the organic solvent handling workplace: average 505 (8.4) (480-720 min) Average time due to organic solvent usage 437 (28.7) (100-720 min) practical estimate time of organic solvent: average 254 (288.8) (40-382 min)	
Won et al. [36] 2000	Organic solvent 3280 work places 4181 work process		Highest frequency of all work processes spray: ketone: 0.85 times, ester 0.66 brush: Ketone 0.72, Aliphatic hydrocarbon 0.33 mixed: ketone 0.9, Alcohol 0.53 17 ppm 4 ppm 3 ppm	Detection number per single sample according to work process PAHs 1.14~2.39 times detected of all processes:
Joo et al. [37] 2000	Shipbuilding painting 674 workers	xylene, ethyl benzene, ethyl toluene		

Table 2 Literature review for potential exposure while painting process in Korea 1989-2010 (Continued)

Author Journal Published year	Industry (or occupation)	Exposure and/or biological exposure indices (BEI)	Exposure dose GM (GSD or range)	Remark
Koh et al. [38] 2001	Shipbuilding painting 28 workers By process -spray:10 workers -brush: 18 workers By sealing property -inside of the block -outside of the block -in/outside	xylene	12.81 (3.03) unit ppm 11.82 (2.94) - No. spray No. brush 6.41.68 (2.03) 27 15.49 (2.29) 3 5.16 (3.06) 9 2.77 (2.14) 21 10.38 (2.64) 18 16.78 (2.69)	Sample measurement 3 times relatively
Kwon et al. [39] 2001	Auto mechanic workplace (1)	- surfacer solvent based paint (n = 8) toluene, butyl acetate, m-xylene water based paint (n = 7) 2-butoxyl ethanol - top coating, color base,solvent based paint(n = 8) Butyl acetate, m-xylene, toluene - water based painting (n = 8) 2-butoxy ethanol	Unit ppm 27.76 (15.79-35.36), 2.182 (11.71-28.83), 10.9696 (5.96-14.34) 6.91 (5.73-7.92) 24.54 (11.56-32.59), 17.86 (8.50-23.73), 14.88 (7.48-19.12) 4.72 (1.10-11.57)	*different components between solvent- and water-based paints: more diverse organic solvents included in solvent based paint
Kim et al. [40] 2001	Paint remove process	Methylene chloride	Personal sample(n = 14) 30.40 (3.39) Areal sample(n = 2) 2.24 unit ppm	Methylene chloride exposure of paint removal worker
Moon et al. [41] 2001	11 manufacture factories 1267 workers Painting process (442 workers, 34.2% of total workers)	1,2-Dichloroethane Cellosolve N,N-dimethyl furan	2.0 (3.0) 3.0 (1.5) 5.5 (4.4) I-OHP level difference by process (u mol/mol creatinine)	Mixed solvents: used in 20 paint processes (average 12 types)
Jeon et al. [21] 2001	Coal tar pitch included paint Manufacture factory(n = 4) Shipbuilding plant(n = 4) Steel pipe plant (n = 2)	PAHs in air 1-OHP	Before/after work Shipbuilding: brush (n = 35) 12.66 (3.91)/29.06 (2.75) Steel pipe: paint (n = 14) 28.88 (6.80)/78.90 (3.18) Paint manufacture: mixing (n = 8) 1.83 (5.03)/1.96 (6.05) PAHs level by industry (mg/m ³): Shipbuilding plant (n = 66) 0.092 (8.674) Steel pipe plant (n = 20) 0.520 (2.741) Paint manufacture (n = 25) 0.012 (3.685)	
Park et al. [42] 2002	Instrument factory(n = 3), furniture factory(n = 1), other material factory(n = 1): all painting process	MIBK, Toluene, Cellosolve acetate	0.251 (4.4318) 0.2442 (9.2979) 0.3872 (2.5435)	Air level organic solvent
Cho et al. [43] 2002	Auto mechanics (n = 23/ 54 workers) painting process polishing / spraying Average working year 11.4 yr. polishing 3.8 h/day spraying 2.1 h/day	dust Serum Lead lead	(unit mg/m ³) polishing 2.56 (0.73-10.13) 0.34 (0.44-0.91) spraying 0.93 (0.27-2.09) polishing 0.0021(N.D-0.0170) 0.0002 (N.D-0.0007) spraying 0.0009 (N.D-0.0056) 3.5 (1.3-19.7) 1.4 (N.D-5.7)	*serum lead: workers performed polishing and spraying by daily work condition → no distribution by process
Kim et al. [22] 2005	Coal tar included paint process (n = 10) Exposure: 107 coal tar using workers	total PAHs Urinary 1-OHP Naphtol	Exposure (n = 201) Before:after work (umol/mol creatinine)	*smoking history+

Table 2 Literature review for potential exposure while painting process in Korea 1989-2010 (Continued)

Author Journal Published year	Industry (or occupation)	Exposure and/or biological exposure indices (BEI)	Exposure dose GM (GSD or range)	Remark
Lee et al. [23] 2005	Controls:201 office workers Coal tar paint used between 2001.05.29-2002.05.30 Auto mechanics putty process(n = 20, 43 workers: 2005.05-2005.09) working process of each workers depended on daily working condition (no regular process)	total 49 samples urinary MA, urinary MA, urinary mandelic acid included toluene xylene n-buthyl methyl acetate isobutyl ketone methyl ethyl ketone(MEK) styrene	8.89 (5.23); 19.02 (5.23) 26.34 (1.89); 33.08 (2.14) 120.17µg/m3(6862.36) 0.45 (0.50) 2.5 0.10 (0.30) 1.5 52.0 (46.3) 0.8 3.00 (2.45) 100 2.81 (2.26) 100 2.16 (1.59) 150 0.78 (0.42) 50 0.91 (0.29) 200 0.48 (0.50) 50	Smoking and PAH co-exposure: effect of 1-OHP seems to change depending on the level of PAHS
Lee et al. [44] 2005	Paint manufacture (n = 5) (coal tar included) Steel pipe (n = 2) (painting of steel pipe after melting solid coal tar enamel) 44 workers	(PAHS) urinary I-OHP No. < 10 years 20 ≥ 10 years 24 paint manufacture 20 steel pipe 24	13.57 (9.413) 11.89 (6.823) 2.33 (4.409) 51.63 (3.144)	urinary I-OHP was 22 times high in workers of steel pipe painting than of paint manufacture. .
Kim et al. [45] 2006	301 lung cancer patients (2003.11-2004.11, admission in 4 Busan hospitals) *work related case	Exposure duration, exposure material Leather painter: 21 years, furniture painter : 10 years, chrome	1 case 1 case	Specific occupational lung cancer cases in Busan. *2 cases: both probable. *working environment measurement result was limited to access.
Min et al. [46] 2009	Shipbuilding painting process(spraying, brushing, paint equipment blasting, paint quality control)	MA Spraying,brushing Methyl MA 0.228 (0.194) 0.263 (0.247) MA 0.279 (0.417) 0.228 (0.289) Methyl MA blasting MA 0.242 (0.250) 0.207 (0.182) Methyl MA 0.072 (0.144) 0.055 (0.114) Paint quality control 0.165 (0.137) 0.145 (0.467)		unit: g/g creatinine
Sim et al. [47] 2009	Auto mechanic painting process	dust(n = 27) lead (n = 27) toluene(n = 27)	0.38 (1.78) 0.002 (2.29) 1.08 (2.76)	unit: ppm
Cho et al. [48] 2009	shipbuilding painter	Toluene (100) xylene(100) methyl alcohol(200)	Work environment measurement history In 1989, 1991, 1993, and 1994 70-80, 80-90, 18:15-19:39, and 0:41 100-110, 110, 34:737-56:411, and 68:85 70-80, -, -, -, and -	Parkinson disease case report Workplace evaluation of the patient. unit: ppm

Table 2 Literature review for potential exposure while painting process in Korea 1989-2010 (Continued)

Author Journal Published year	Industry (or occupation)	Exposure and/or biologic exposure indices (BEI)	Exposure dose GM (GSD or range)	Remark
Lim et al. [20] 2010	128 lung cancer workers (1999-2005, epidemiologic survey of KOSHA) * work related case: 53 cases Painter included (3 cases, 5.7%)	MIBK (200)	-, 40-50, 1,535, and trace Exposure duration /carcinogen/ lung cancer case 19.8 years (6.3-29.0)/ asbestos/ 33cases 18.7 (6.3-31.9)/ PAHs/ 23 21.4 9.0-40.0/ chrome/ 17 20.5 (10.0-40.0)/ silica/ 14 (total number is more than 53 due to multiple causes of lung cancer)	*occupational lung cancer 53 case, non-occupational lung cancer 75 case. no significant difference among age, smoking history and cell type. ($P > 0.05$) *described exposure material and occupation respectively and no information available of connections of the two categories

0.5 mg/m³ at recorded measurements of 0.246 mg/mg³ in the morning and 0.318 mg/m³ in the afternoon [4]. Research on exposure levels to hazardous materials in paints at five domestic shipyards in 1999 shows that lead chromate and zinc potassium chromate were detected in 8% of paints [5]. The component analysis of that research also reveals that silicon dioxides were detected in 27 samples (8.8%) of painting materials, including extender pigments. In other findings, the geometric means of exposure ranges of asbestos were 1.6 fibers/cm³ and 2.45 fibers/cm³ in automobile repair and ship repair processes, respectively [6]. However, asbestos remains undetected in the products of automobile manufacturing companies after 1998 [7].

Scientific evidence for carcinogenicities

The IARC classifies the occupational exposures of commercial painting as Group 1 carcinogens for lung cancer and bladder cancer [1–3]. Existing epidemiologic studies show consistent causal relationships between occupational exposure in painters and cancers including lung and bladder cancer [3]. A meta-analysis that includes 17 cohort and linkage studies and 29 case-control studies shows that the meta-relative risk (meta-RR) for lung cancer is 1.34 (95% confidence intervals (CIs): 1.23–1.41) [3]. The results of additional meta-analysis including 11 cohort and record-linked studies and 28 case-control studies show a meta-RR for bladder cancer of 1.24 (95% CI: 1.16–1.33) [3]. However, the IARC does not assert that specific components of paints (such as chromate, PAH, benzene, and other agents) significantly increase the incidence or mortality from lung cancer or bladder cancer. The IARC indicates that no data on cancer in experimental animals are available [2]. The working group that has established a special section for “occupational exposure for painters” declares that occupational exposure hazards for painters per se include Group 1 carcinogens for lung and bladder cancer. In addition, the official report contains evidence of other relevant data about specific chemicals in common components of paint (e.g., cadmium, PAH, aromatic azo dyes, and other components) [2].

The Industrial Injuries Advisory Council (IIAC) for occupational cancer risks in commercial painters (among other industrial groups) is the official advisory council for assisting the UK government on prescribed industrial diseases [8]. The IIAC report includes a comprehensive review of epidemiologic data indicating occupational cancer risks and evaluating whether the risks for certain occupational cancers are more than doubled in painters compared to the general population [8]. The council also considers the study design of British doctors Doll and Hill in terms of their criteria on causation [9, 10] in epidemiologic studies published since 1972. The IIAC

review team considers occupational cancer risks for lung and bladder cancer in commercial painters in particular (as opposed to the risks of these occupational cancers in paint manufacturers, for example) in the overall cohort study [8]. In fact, according to the literature, the elevated risks in occupational lung and bladder cancer in painters are not doubled in cases of either lung [11–19] or bladder cancer [14–19] relative to these risks in the general population. Reports of the IIAC specify that crucial confounding factors, such as smoking, might be one reason for the elevated incidence of lung and bladder cancer among painters.

Epidemiologic investigation of claimed cases in Korea

Epidemiologic investigation for the work-relatedness of lung cancer in commercial painters in Korea has been performed in a total of 10 cases (Table 3). Seven painters were approved by investigation board in KOSHA. Significant exposure to potential carcinogens such as hexavalent chromate, asbestos, and crystallized silica has been provided as evidence of the work-relatedness of occupational cancers including lung and bladder cancer in commercial painters.

Discussion

Issues for considering the work-relatedness of cancer in painters

Means of occupational exposure mainly involve the inhalation of gases and vapors from paint components (solvents, additives, pigment dust, and binders), as well as dermal absorption or ingestion [3]. The term professional painters typically does not include paint-product manufacturers or bystanders, but refers only to workers that brush or spray paint onto objects. In interpreting the job of commercial painting, several tasks are involved that should be defined in addition to the painting itself, including clean up and preparation. Accordingly, each task should be evaluated for potential exposures. Although painters engage in the entire process, the act of painting is regarded as the main means of exposure to various hazardous materials [3]. Based on the documentation of the IARC, occupational cancer is restricted to lung cancer and bladder cancer in the present review [1–3]. The IARC declares that the epidemiological evidence on occupational exposure in painters does not specify potential carcinogenic agents in paint [2]. Occupational exposure for painters encompasses the potential carcinogenic risks for lung cancer and bladder cancer. This perspective should be discussed in estimating the relationship between occupational exposure among painters and occupational cancer in Korea on an individual basis. Potential carcinogens, such as hexavalent chromate [4], asbestos [20], crystallized silica [5], and PAH

Table 3 The epidemiologic investigation for the work-relatedness by KOSHA and Occupational Lung Diseases Institute from 2000 to 2012

Deliberate organization	Diagnosis year	age/sex	Industry	Painting work duration (year)	Incubation period(year)	Exposed carcinogen	Approval	Specific remarks
KOSHA	2000	53/F	Shipbuilding	14	14	Coal tar (exposed to PAH)	yes	PAH exposure confirmed
KOSHA	2000	46/M	Vehicle manufacture	12	12	Not confirmed	no	5 years of printing history before painting
KOSHA	2001	39/M	Shipbuilding	7	14	PAH, silica	yes	7 years of grinding after painting in shipbuilding industry
KOSHA	2001	56/M	Home appliance painting	22	22	Not confirmed	no	Hexavalent chrome etc. not confirmed in the paint
KOSHA	2004	45/M	Vehicle manufacture	19	19	Not confirmed	no	
KOSHA	2006	45/M	Auto mechanics	26	26	Hexavalent chrome	yes	Hexavalent chrome confirmed in the paint
OLDI	2010	54/M	Shipbuilding, heavy industry	21	21	crystal quartz	yes	crystal quartz 1.3%-36.9% included in the paint
OLDI	2010	45/M	Vehicle manufacture	15	15	Hexavalent chrome	yes	Bumper polishing,painting: Hexavalent chrome 118.33µg/m3
OLDI	2011	63/M	Metal manufacture	10	10	Zinc chromate	yes	Possibly asbestos included in the filler, Possibility of silica, hexavalent chrome exposure
OLDI	2012	57/M	Boiler manufacture	26	26	Not confirmed	yes	Possibility of welding fume asbestos co-exposure

KOSHA Korean Occupational Safety and Health Agency

OLDI Occupational Lung Diseases Institute

from coal tar [21–23] are found in paint. In addition, exposures within specific industries (such as shipbuilding and construction) should be taken into account. Another consideration in evaluating exposure evidence is the period of exposure. Based on our literature review, coal tar, crystalized silica, and hexavalent chromate were used in workplace paints in Korea until late 1990 [4–6]. Up until the 2000s, the usage of coal tar paint was found in the metal industry [21–23]. Unfortunately, paint containing hexavalent chromate is still currently used in Korea.

Conclusion

Established guidelines according to exposure periods, types of industry, and periodical features of the risks of occupational exposure for painters are currently undefined for occupational lung cancer and bladder cancer among painters in Korea. In addition, no country has defined specific guidelines for occupational cancer among painters. Therefore, total work duration, potential carcinogens in paint, mixed exposure to paints across industries such as construction and shipbuilding, exposure periods, latent periods, and other factors should be

considered on an individual basis in investigating the work-relatedness of certain types of cancer in commercial painters.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

KHR and JPM designed the research. YC, MC, KHR and JPM interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. MJJ devised and supervised the entire process. YC, MC, KHR and LJW critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This manuscript is review article. So, it is not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 5 April 2016 Accepted: 29 January 2018

Published online: 06 February 2018

References

- IARC. Some organic solvents, resin monomers and related compounds, pigments and occupational exposures in paint manufacture and painting. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum. 1989;47:1–442.
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans. Occupational exposure as a painter. In: International Agency for Research on Cancer, editor. Chemical agents and related occupations Volume 100F, A review of human carcinogens. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2012.
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans. Occupational exposure as a painter. In: International Agency for Research on Cancer, editor. Painting, firefighting, and Shiftwork. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2010.
- Choi BS, Lim HS, Cheong HK, Kim DH, Hwang-Bo K, Shin YC. Chromium induced nasal septal perforation among paint spray workers. *DongGuk J Med.* 1997;4:49–62.
- Shin YC, Yi GY. Chemical composition of painting materials used in some Korean shipyards. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 1999;9:73–86.
- Choi JK, Paik DM, Paik NW. The production, the use, the number of workers and exposure level of asbestos in Korea. *Korean Ind Hyg Assoc J.* 1989;8: 242–53.
- Oh DS, Lee YH. Study on analysis for working environmental measurement results of automobile industries. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2004;14:233–42.
- Sorahan T, Cross H, Sadhra S, Ayres J. Occupational cancer risks in commercial painters a review prepared for the industrial injuries advisory council (IIAC). 2010.
- Doll R. Occupational cancer: problems in interpreting human evidence. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 1984;28:291–305.
- Hill AB. The environment and disease: association or causation? *Proc R Soc Med.* 1965;58:295–300.
- Englund A. Cancer incidence among painters and some allied trades. *J Toxicol Environ Health.* 1980;6:1267–73.
- Alexander BH, Checkoway H, Wechsler L, Heyer NJ, Muhm JM, O'Keeffe TP. Lung cancer in chromate-exposed aerospace workers. *J Occup Environ Med.* 1996;38:1253–8.
- Boice JD Jr, Marano DE, Fryzek JP, Sadler CJ, McLaughlin JK. Mortality among aircraft manufacturing workers. *Occup Environ Med.* 1999;56:581–97.
- Chen R, Dick F, Seaton A. Health effects of solvent exposure among dockyard painters: mortality and neuropsychological symptoms. *Occup Environ Med.* 1999;56:383–7.
- Brown LM, Moradi T, Gridley G, Plato N, Dosemeci M, Fraumeni JF Jr. Exposures in the painting trades and paint manufacturing industry and risk of cancer among men and women in Sweden. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2002;44:258–64.
- Guberan E, Usel M, Raymond L, Tissot R, Sweetnam PM. Disability, mortality, and incidence of cancer among Geneva painters and electricians: a historical prospective study. *Br J Ind Med.* 1989;46:16–23.
- Whorton MD, Schulman J, Larson SR, Stubbs HA, Austin D. Feasibility of identifying high-risk occupations through tumor registries. *J Occup Med.* 1983;25:657–60.
- Steenland K, Palu S. Cohort mortality study of 57,000 painters and other union members: a 15 year update. *Occup Environ Med.* 1999;56:315–21.
- Pukkala E, Martinsen JI, Lynge E, Gunnarsdottir HK, Sparen P, Tryggvadottir L, et al. Occupation and cancer - follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic countries. *Acta Oncol.* 2009;48:646–790.
- Lim JW, Park SY, Choi BS. Characteristics of occupational lung cancer from 1999 to 2005. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2010;22:230–9.
- Jeon HK, Kim EA. Carcinogens in coal-tar paint. *Ind Health.* 2001;27:94–10.
- Kim EA, Lee JT, Kwon EH, Lee JS, Lee YH, Kwag HS, et al. Monitoring of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and the metabolites in workers using coal tar paints. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2005;17:161–72.
- Lee KC. A study on styrene exposure to painter in an auto repair shop. *Ind Health.* 2005;21:13–27.
- Kim DH, Sung SW. Status of working environments of some Industries in Taegu, Kyungpook area. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 1989;1:1–23.
- Kim JY, Jung GY, Kim JM, Kim SH, Kim DI, Lee HR, et al. The association between toluene level at the workplace using organic solvents and urinary hippuric acid among. *J Industrial Res Institute.* 1991;3:65–76.
- Kim KJ, Park W, Kim JC. Analysis of thinners and measurement of organic solvents in air of painting workplace. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 1991;1:8–15.
- Jeong KW, Kim DH, Ohm SH, Kim SJ, Kim JH, Moon SS, et al. Hematologic findings & urinalysis of workers exposed to mixed organic solvents. *J Prev Med Public Health.* 1991;24:314–27.
- Lee SH, Yoon NK, Lee JY, Suh SK. Psychiatric symptoms of workers exposed to organic solvents. *J Prev Med Public Health.* 1992;25:1–12.
- Kang SK, Chung HK, Hong JP, Kim KW, Cho YS. A study to the workers exposed to organic solvents by neurobehavioral tests. *J Prev Med Public Health.* 1993;26:210–21.
- Kim JC, Kim JC, Lee KM. NAG activity and urinary excretion of hippuric acid among workers exposed to aromatic organic solvents. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 1993;3:166–76.
- Roh YM, Lee SH, Kim HW, Lee KM, Chung CK, Lee SH. A study on component analysis of organic solvents and their health effect. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 1993;5:88–103.
- Lee JT, Moon DW, Lee H, Kwak MS, Kim DH, Pai KT, et al. Environmental monitoring of occupational exposure to solvent mixtures by simultaneous determination using gaschromatography. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 1995;7:375–89.
- Hong YC, Ha EH, Park HS. Phototoxic dermatitis by coal tar containing paint. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 1997;9:267–74.
- Paik NW, Lee YH, Yoon CS. A study on worker exposure to organic solvents in Korea. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 1998;8:88–94.
- Won JI, Shin CS. A study on analysis of component and the states of measurement of airborne organic solvents in Korea. *Korean J Sanitation.* 1999;14:139–49.
- Won JI, Kim KH, Shin CS. A exposure concentration and composition of organic solvents by the type of workplace in mixed organic solvents use companies. *Korean J Sanitation.* 2000;15:75–87.
- Joo IS, Kim JS, Huh K, Kim JI, Lee KJ, Chung HK, et al. Cognitive impairment and peripheral neuropathy by mixed organic solvents in spray painters working in a shipbuilding industry. *J Korean Neurol Assoc.* 2000;18:311–8.
- Koh SB, Roh YM, Yim HW, Shin YC, Kim SK, Choi HR, et al. The similar exposure group and exposure variation in ship-building painters; focused on xylene exposure. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2001;13:413–22.
- Kwon EH, Kim GS, Oh JR, Choi JK, Jeong YS, Kim EA, et al. A comparison of health hazard effects by solvent-based and water-based painting materials. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2001;11:17–25.
- Kim SW, Lee CY, Min TH. A study on worker exposure to methylene chloride in aircraft paint stripping operation. *Korean J Aersp Environ Med.* 2001;11:20–3.
- Moon DH, Kim JH, Kim PJ, Park MH, Hwang YS, Lee CK, et al. A study on exposure of organic solvents in manufacturing industry. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2001;11:219–28.
- Park JK, Jung SJ, Park JS, Jeong HK. Field comparison of two monitoring methods for airborne organic solvents in the paint spray process. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2002;12:126–34.
- Cho SH, Lee SH. A study on exposure risk of auto-repair shop painters to lead. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2002;12:187–94.
- Lee JS, Kim EA, Lee YH, Moon DH, Kim KJ. Biological monitoring of paint handling workers exposed to PAHs using urinary 1-Hydroxypyrene. *J Korean Soc Occup Environ Hyg.* 2005;15:124–34.
- Kim JI, Kim JH, Kang D, Kim JW, Kim JE, Ahn JH, et al. Epidemiologic characteristics of occupational lung cancer in the Busan area. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2006;18:53–8.
- Min KB, Son JS, Chae CH, Kim YW, Yi CH, Kim CW, et al. A study on olfactory function in painters exposed to organic solvent in a shipyard. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2009;21:246–58.
- Sim SH, Jeong CH, Lim JS, Lee HG, Kim YS. A study of working environment for automotive painting in auto repair shops and workers' exposure to hazardous chemicals. *J Env Hlth Sci.* 2009;35:153–61.
- Cho MH, Ryu HW, Kim EA. One case of parkinson's syndrome in a shipyard painter exposed to mixed organic solvents. *Korean J Occup Environ Med.* 2009;21:192–200.