

# Comment on “Policies for chemical hazard and risk priority setting: Can persistence, bioaccumulation, toxicity, and quantity information be combined?”

Sierra Rayne<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Chemologica Research, 318 Rose Street, PO Box 74, Mortlach, Saskatchewan, Canada, S0H 3E0*

---

## Keywords:

Risk assessment, Chemical exposure, Persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity, Ionizable compounds

---

In their article, Arnot and Mackay [1] use 200 chemicals from the Canadian Domestic Substances List (DSL) to illustrate a model that integrates persistence, bioaccumulation, toxicity, and quantity information for a specific substance to assess chemical exposure, hazard, and risk. The authors state that “[t]he DSL chemicals used in this study are not expected to appreciably ionize at environmental pH.” The following compounds in the Supporting Information list used by Arnot and Mackay [1] have experimental or estimated  $pK_a$  values suggesting they would - in contrast to the claims of ref. [1] - be “appreciably ionize[d] at environmental pH” (operationally defined as between pH 5.5 [rainwater] and 8.1 [marine systems]): phenobarbital, 7.4 [2]; tetrabromobisphenol A, 7.5 and 8.5 [3]; pentachlorophenol, 4.74 [4]; 3-hydroxy-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 2.8 [5]; 2-aminophenol, 4.78 [6]; 3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-propenoic acid, 4.62 [7]; (3- $\alpha$ ,5)-3-hydroxy-cholan-24-oic acid, 4.75 [8]; 3,5-dichloro-N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2-hydroxybenzamide, 6.78 [8]; 5-chloro-2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)phenol, 8.14 [9]; 4,4'-methylenebis[N-(1-methylpropyl)benzenamine], 5.13 and 5.93 [8]; 4,4'-[1,4-phenylenebis(azo)]bisphenol, 7.94 and 8.56 [8]; 1-[(2-chlorophenyl)diphenylmethyl]-1H-imidazole, 6.12 [10]; and 3,3,5,5-tetramethyl-[1,1-biphenyl]-4,4-diamine, 4.81 [8].

The ionization of these compounds means that the use of  $\log K_{ow}$  (which is pH independent and applies only to a neutral species) for risk assessment needs to be replaced by the use of  $\log D_{ow}$  (which is pH dependent and incorporates partitioning of the neutral and all ionized species at a particular pH). Similarly, any use of air-water partitioning in the risk assessment for such compounds must also explicitly incorporate ionization (see, e.g., ref. [11–14]). In addition, the authors include compounds which are expected to hydrolyze in aquatic systems (e.g., acyl halides, epoxides, various esters). In particular, the following acyl halides are included in the list by Arnot and Mackay [1], along with the estimated half-life in water provided by these authors: 2,4-dichlorobenzoyl chloride, 2,070 hours; benzoyl chloride, 361 hours; 2,5-dichlorobenzoyl

chloride, 2,070 hours; and 3,4-dichlorobenzoyl chloride, 2,070 hours. The hydrolytic half-life of benzoyl chloride is well-established as taking on the order of 16 seconds in aqueous solution [15, 16]. Any substituted derivatives would be expected to have similar half-lives.

In addition, there is a mixture of two compounds as a single entry in the list (i.e., “acetic acid, trichloro-, compd. with N'-(4-chlorophenyl)-N,N-dimethylurea” [CAS 140410]). How does one evaluate the environment fate of such a mixture? The different compounds would dissociate from each other in atmospheric, aquatic, and biological systems, and have their own fates separate from each other. How can such a mixture have a single  $K_{ow}$  value? or half-life in a particular matrix? There are a significant number of such mixtures in the Canadian DSL, which makes the uncritical use of this resource for environmental modeling and risk assessments problematic.

## References

- [1] J. Arnot, D. Mackay, Policies for chemical hazard and risk priority setting: Can persistence, bioaccumulation, toxicity, and quantity information be combined?, *Environmental Science and Technology* 42 (2008) 4648–4654.
- [2] T. Lemke, D. Williams, Foye’s Principles of Medicinal Chemistry, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- [3] International Programme on Chemical Safety, Environmental Health Criteria 172: Tetrabromobisphenol A and Derivatives, World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 1995.
- [4] D. Mackay, W. Shiu, K. Ma, S. Lee, Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals, Second Edition, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2010.
- [5] SIDS Initial Assessment Report: 3-Hydroxy-2-Naphthoic Acid, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development: Paris, France, 2004.
- [6] W. Haynes, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 93rd Edition, Taylor and Francis: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2013.
- [7] E. Serjeant, Dempsey B., Ionisation Constants of Organic Acids in Aqueous Solution. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). IUPAC Chemical Data Series No. 23, Pergamon Press: New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [8] SPARC, September 2009 release w4.5.1522-s4.5.1522, Available online at <http://archemcalc.com/sparc/>.
- [9] Re-registration Eligibility Decision for Triclosan: List B - Case No. 2340, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances:

---

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 306 690 0573. E-mail address: [sierra.rayne@live.co.uk](mailto:sierra.rayne@live.co.uk) (S. Rayne).

United States Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2008.

- [10] OSPAR Background Document on Clotrimazole, OSPAR Commission: London, UK, 2005.
- [11] S. Rayne, Forest K., Performance of the ALOGPS 2.1 program for octanol-water partition coefficient prediction with organic chemicals on the Canadian Domestic Substances List, *Nature Precedings* (2009) doi:10.1038/npre.2010.3882.2.
- [12] S. Rayne, K. Forest, Re-evaluating the list of high-production chemicals predicted to become Arctic contaminants, *Nature Precedings* (2010) doi:10.1038/npre.2010.4436.1.
- [13] S. Rayne, K. Forest, Dow and Kaw,eff vs. Kow and K<sup>o</sup>aw: Acid/base ionization effects on partitioning properties and screening commercial chemicals for long-range transport and bioaccumulation potential, *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A: Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering* 45 (2010) 1550–1594.
- [14] S. Rayne, K. Forest, Comment on “Assessment of chemical screening outcomes based on different partitioning property estimation methods”, *Environment International* 37 (2011) 299–301.
- [15] Benzoyl Chloride, TOXNET Toxicology Data Network, United States National Library of Medicine: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2013.
- [16] Screening Level Hazard Characterization - Benzoyl Chloride, United States Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2012.