

SPLINES WITH NON-NEGATIVE
B-SPLINE COEFFICIENTS

by

C. de Boor¹ and James W. Daniel²

March 1973

CNA-65

Abstract

We consider the question of the approximation of non-negative functions by non-negative splines of order k (degree $< k$) compared with approximation by that subclass of non-negative splines of order k consisting of all those whose B-spline coefficients are non-negative; while approximation by the former gives errors of order h^k , the latter may yield only h^2 . These results are related to certain facts about quasi-interpolants.

Key words: non-negative splines, one-sided approximation, splines, approximation

¹University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. Research supported in part by the Army Research Office.

²University of Texas, Austin, Texas. Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0126-0015, NRO 044-425; reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.

SPLINES WITH NON-NEGATIVE B-SPLINE COEFFICIENTS

by

C. de Boor⁽¹⁾ and James W. Daniel⁽²⁾

1. Introduction

In approximating or representing certain data by a spline function s subject to continuous inequality constraints such as $s(x) \geq g(x)$ on $[0,1]$ for a given function g , the continuous constraints are often difficult to treat numerically. To avoid this problem, one might replace g by an accurate spline approximation s_g and then enforce $s \geq s_g$ by enforcing the still stronger condition $A_j(s) \geq A_j(s_g)$, where for any spline s we denote by $A_j(s)$ the j^{th} coefficient in the representation of s as a linear combination of B-splines; since each B-spline is non-negative, this condition is at least as strong as the condition $s \geq s_g$. One is immediately forced to ask whether or not the approximation properties of the class of splines satisfying $A_j(s) \geq A_j(s_g)$ are as good as those for the class satisfying $s \geq s_g$. In this short note we take the simple case of $s_g \equiv g \equiv 0$ and demonstrate that much approximation power is lost by this computational simplification. As usual, the results can be extended to higher dimension via tensor products.

2. A Constrained Approximation Problem

We shall consider the problem of approximating a given non-negative function f on a closed and bounded interval $[a,b]$ by splines of positive integer order k

¹University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. Research supported in part by the Army Research Office.

²University of Texas, Austin, Texas. Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0126-0015, NRO 044-425; reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.

(degree $< k$); unbounded and open intervals can be treated similarly. Let $\pi := \{x_i\}_0^N$ be a k -extended partition of $[a, b]$, so that $a = x_0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{N-1} < x_N = b$ with no more than k consecutive x_j 's coinciding, i.e., $x_j < x_{j+k}$. Let S_π^k denote the linear space of polynomial splines of order k on π , so that each s in S_π^k is a polynomial of degree less than k in each interval (x_i, x_{i+1}) and $s^{(r)}$ is continuous at x_i for all $r < k - d_i$, where d_i is the frequency with which the number $x = x_i$ appears among the x_j 's. Then the sequence of normalized B-splines $\{N_{j,k} | j = -k+1, -k+2, \dots, N-1\}$ is a basis for S_π^k , where we have augmented π by additional points $x_{-k+1} = \dots = x_{-1} = a$ and $b = x_{N+1} = \dots = x_{N+k-1}$. As usual [Curry-Schoenberg (1966), de Boor (1968)], $N_{i,k}$ denotes the normalized B-spline defined by divided differences: $N_{i,k}(x) := (x_{i+k} - x_i)g_k(x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}; x)$ with $g_k(t; x) = (t-x)_+^{k-1}$. These B-splines are so normalized that $\sum_i N_{i,k}(x) \equiv 1$. Since these B-splines are also non-negative, it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \sum_i A_i N_{i,k}(x) \right| \leq \max_i |A_i|.$$

We wish to approximate the non-negative function f on $[a, b]$ by an element s in S_π^k with the constraint that $A_j(s) \geq 0$ for all j , where $s = \sum_j A_j(s) N_{j,k}$. This, of course, implies that $s(x) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$ since every $N_{i,k}$ is non-negative; in fact, since for $k \geq 2$ the B-splines do not give all the extreme points in the cone of non-negative splines [Burchard (1973)], the condition $A_j(s) \geq 0$ for all j is appreciably stronger than $s \geq 0$. We shall see just how much stronger it is.

For any f in the Sobolev space $W_{\infty}^k[a, b]$, we recall the definition [de Boor-Fix (1973)] of the quasi-interpolant $F_{\pi}f \in S_{\pi}^k$ of f ,

$$(2.2) \quad F_{\pi}f = \sum_i \lambda_i(f) N_{i,k}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_j(f) = \sum_{r < k} (-1)^{k-1-r} \psi_j^{(k-1-r)}(\tau_j) f^{(r)}(\tau_j)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \psi_j(x) = (x_{j+1} - x) \dots (x_{j+k-1} - x) / (k-1)!$$

If τ_j has been chosen to satisfy $x_j^+ \leq \tau_j \leq x_{j+k}^-$ as well as $\tau_j \in [a, b]$, then one has [de Boor-Fix (1973)]

$$(2.5) \quad \|f - F_{\pi}f\|_{\infty} \leq K_0 \|f^{(k)}\|_{\infty} |\pi|^k, \quad |\pi| = \max_i |x_{i+1} - x_i|$$

for some constant K_0 independent of f and π , and

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_j(s) = A_j(s), \text{ implying } F_{\pi}s = s \text{ for } s \text{ in } S_{\pi}^k.$$

From Equation 2.3 for $k \geq 2$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_j(f) = f(\tau_j) + (-1)^{k-2} \psi_j^{(k-2)}(\tau_j) f^{(1)}(\tau_j) + \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1-r} \psi_j^{(k-1-r)}(\tau_j) f^{(r)}(\tau_j) \\ = f(\tau_j) + (-1)^{k-2} \psi_j^{(k-2)}(\tau_j) f^{(1)}(\tau_j) + O(|\pi|^2) \end{cases}$$

Since ψ vanishes at $x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+k-1}$, we know that $\psi^{(k-2)}$ vanishes at the point $\xi_j = (x_{j+1} + \dots + x_{j+k-1}) / (k-1)$ in (x_{j+1}, x_{j+k-1}) ; choose $\tau_j = \xi_j$. Then from Equation 2.7 we have $\lambda_j(f) = f(\tau_j) + O(|\pi|^2)$ if $f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)}$ are all bounded.

Since f is non-negative, a shift in the B-spline coefficients by $O(|\pi|^2)$ produces a new approximation to f with non-negative B-spline coefficients and, by Equation 2.1, only $O(|\pi|^2)$ away from the original high-order approximation. This proves the following.

(2.8) Proposition. Let $f \in W_{\infty}^k[a, b]$ be non-negative, $k \geq 2$. Then there exists a spline s^P in S_{π}^k with non-negative B-spline coefficients and such that $\|s^P - f\|_{\infty} = O(|\pi|^2)$. Equivalently, there exists a quasi-interpolant s whose B-spline coefficients A_j converge to values of f in $[x_j, x_{j+k}]$ at least as fast as $O(|\pi|^2)$.

We now proceed to show that the result in Proposition 2.8 is essentially best possible; since this is clear for $k = 2$, we consider $k > 2$. Consider the function $f(x) = x^2$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$, and consider uniform partitions π_n of width $|\pi_n| = h = \frac{2}{n}$ for integers n . If k is even we consider partitions π_n for which n is also even; for k odd we consider only n odd.

We now provide details for the case in which $k = 2\ell + 2$ is even and $n = 2m$; the argument in the remaining case is similar. Let $j = m - \ell - 1$ and consider $\tilde{\lambda}_j(f)$ with $\tau_j = 0$. Since $f(\tau_j) = f^{(1)}(\tau_j) = f^{(r)}(\tau_j) = 0$ for $r > 2$ and $f^{(2)}(\tau_j) = 2$, we conclude from Equation 2.5 that $\lambda_j(f) = (-1)^{k-3} \cdot 2 \cdot \psi_j^{(k-3)}(0)$. By simple induction, one finds that $\psi_j^{(k-3)}(0) = \frac{h^2}{2\ell(2\ell-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i^2 = \frac{\ell+1}{12} h^2$, so that

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(f) = -\frac{\ell+1}{6} h^2.$$

It is known [de Boor (1968)] that there exists a constant $D_k < \infty$ and independent of π such that $|\lambda_i(s)| \leq D_k \|s\|_{\infty}$ for all i and for all s in S_{π}^k . Note that

$f(x) = x^2$ is in S_π^k for $k > 2$. Let s^P be any spline in S_π^k with non-negative B-spline coefficients, i.e., satisfying $\lambda_i(s^P) \geq 0$ for all i . Then

$$0 < -\lambda_{m-\ell-1}(f) = \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(-f) \leq \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(-f) + \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(s^P) = \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(s^P - f) \leq D_k \|s^P - f\|_\infty.$$

Therefore $\|s^P - f\|_\infty \geq -D_k^{-1} \lambda_{m-\ell-1}(f)$; using Equation 2.9, we now see that Proposition 2.8 is essentially best possible.

(2.10) Proposition. For $f(x) = x^2$ on $[-1, 1]$, π the uniform partition of width $\frac{1}{m}$, and $k = 2\ell + 2$, every spline s^P in S_π^k with positive B-spline coefficients satisfies $\|s^P - f\|_\infty \geq D_k^{-1} |\pi|^2 \frac{\ell+1}{6}$. Equivalently, the B-spline coefficients converge to a value of f no faster than $O(|\pi|^2)$.

Since it is easy to approximate non-negative functions with non-negative splines to $O(|\pi|^k)$ simply by translating the quasi-interpolant by $O(|\pi|^k)$, we see that approximation by splines with non-negative B-spline coefficients may lose much of the approximation power of splines. By arguing essentially as we did for $f(x) = x^2$, it is easy to show that for general non-negative f the precise order of best approximation by elements of S_π^k with non-negative B-spline coefficients is $O(|\pi|^k)$ plus the order of the most negative B-spline coefficient in a quasi-interpolant of f ; as we saw for $f(x) = x^2$, this total error can be as large as $O(|\pi|^2)$, but it is no larger.

3. References

1. de Boor, C. (1968), "On uniform approximation by splines," J. Approx. Theory 1 219-235.
2. de Boor, C., and G. J. Fix (1973), "Spline approximation by quasi-interpolants," J. Approx. Theory 7
3. Burchard, H. (1973), "Extremal positive splines with applications to interpolation and approximation by generalized convex functions," Symposium on Approximation Theory, University of Texas, Austin, Texas.
4. Curry, H. B., and I. J. Schoenberg (1966), "On Polya frequency functions IV: The fundamental spline functions and their limits," J. Anal. Math. 17, 71-107.