

On k —Quasi Class Q^* Operators

Valdete Rexhëbeqaj Hamiti*, Shqipe Lohaj, Qefsere Gjonbalaj

Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Prishtina, Prishtinë, Kosova *Corresponding author: valdete_r@hotmail.com

Abstract Let T be a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H. In this paper we introduce a new class of operators: k – quasi class Q^* operators. An operator T is said to be k – quasi class Q^* if it satisfies $\left\|T^*T^k\right\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|T^{k+2}x\right\|^2 + \left\|T^kx\right\|^2\right)$, for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number. We prove the basic properties of this class of operators.

Keywords: k -quasi class Q^* , quasi class Q^* , k -quasi - * - paranormal operators, quasi - * - paranormal operators

Cite This Article: Valdete Rexhëbeqaj Hamiti, Shqipe Lohaj, and Qefsere Gjonbalaj, "On k —Quasi Class Q^* Operators." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 4, no. 4 (2016): 87-91. doi: 10.12691/tjant-4-4-1.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let H be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let L(H) denote the C^* algebra of all bounded operators on H. For $T \in L(H)$, we denote by $\ker T$ the null space, by T(H) the range of T and by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T. The null operator and the identity on H will be denoted by 0 and I, respectively. If T is an operator, then T^* is its adjoint, and $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$. For an operator $T \in L(H)$, as usual $\|T\| = \|T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We shall denote the set of all complex numbers by $\mathbb C$, the set of all non-negative integers by $\mathbb N$ and the complex conjugate of a complex number λ by $\bar{\lambda}$. The closure of a set M will be denoted by \bar{M} . An operator $T \in L(H)$ is a positive operator, $T \geq 0$, if $(Tx,x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. We write r(T) for the spectral radius. It is well known that $r(T) \leq \|T\|$. The operator T is called normaloid if $r(T) = \|T\|$. The operator T is an isometry if $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$, for all $x \in H$. The operator T is called unitary operator if $T^*T = TT^* = I$.

An operator $T \in L(H)$, is said to be paranormal [4], if $||Tx||^2 \le ||T^2x||$ for any unit vector x in H. Further, T is said to be * – paranormal [1,9], if $||T^*x||^2 \le ||T^2x||$ for any unit vector x in H. An operator $T \in L(H)$, is said to be quasi–paranormal operator if $||T^2x||^2 \le ||T^3x|| ||Tx||$, for all $x \in L(H)$.

Mecheri [7] introduced a new class of operators called k – quasi paranormal operators. An operator T is called k – quasi – paranormal if $||T^{k+1}x||^2 \le ||T^{k+2}x|| ||T^kx||$, for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number. An operator T is called quasi – * – paranormal [8,11], if $||T^*Tx||^2 \le ||T^3x|| ||Tx||$, for all $x \in H$.

An operator T is called k - quasi - * - paranormal if $||T^*T^kx||^2 \le ||T^{k+2}x|| ||T^kx||$ for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number, [6].

Shen, Zuo and Yang [13] introduced a new class of operator quasi- * -class A. An operator $T \in L(H)$ is said to be a quasi- * -class A, if $T^*|T^2|T \ge T^*|T^*|^2T$.

Mecheri [12] introduced k -quasi - * -class Apperator. An operator $T \in L(H)$ is said to be a k - quasi - * -class A, if $T^{*k} | T^2 | T^k \ge T^{*k} | T^* |^2 T^k$.

Duggal, Kubrusly, Levan [3] introduced a new class of operators, the class Q. An operator $T \in L(H)$ belongs to class Q if $T^{*2}T^2 - 2T^*T + I \ge 0$, or equivalent $||Tx||^2 \le \frac{1}{2}(||T^2x||^2 + ||x||^2)$, for all $x \in H$.

Senthilkumar, Prasad [10] introduced a new class of operators, the class Q^* . An operator $T \in L(H)$ belongs to class Q^* if $T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \ge 0$, or equivalent $\|T^*x\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}(\|T^2x\|^2 + \|x\|^2)$ for all $x \in H$.

Senthilkumar, Naik and Kiruthika [2] introduced a new class of operators, the quasi class Q^* . An operator $T \in L(H)$ is said to belong to the quasi class Q^* if $T^{*3}T^3 - 2(T^*T)^2 + T^*T \ge 0$, or equivalent $\|T^*Tx\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}(\|T^3x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2)$ for all $x \in H$.

Now we introduce the class of k – quasi class Q^* operators defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. An operator $T \in L(H)$ is said to be of the k –quasi class Q^* if

$$\|T^*T^kx\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2} (\|T^{k+2}x\|^2 + \|T^kx\|^2),$$

for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number.

Remark 1.2. For k = 1, a 1 —quasi class Q^* operators is a quasi class Q^* operators.

2. Main Results

Proposition 2.1. An operator $T \in L(H)$ is of the k –quasi class Q^* , if and only if

$$T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0,$$

where k is a natural number.

Proof: Since T is operator of the k –quasi class Q^* , then

$$2 ||T^*T^kx||^2 \le ||T^{k+2}x||^2 + ||T^kx||^2$$
,

for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number.

$$\left\langle T^{k+2}x, T^{k+2}x \right\rangle - 2\left\langle T^*T^kx, T^*T^kx \right\rangle + \left\langle T^kx, T^kx \right\rangle \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle T^{*(k+2)}T^{k+2}x, x \right\rangle - 2\left\langle \left(T^*T^k\right)^*T^*T^kx, x \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle T^{*k}T^kx, x \right\rangle \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle T^{*(k+2)}T^{k+2}x, x \right\rangle - 2\left\langle T^{*k}TT^*T^kx, x \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle T^{*k}T^kx, x \right\rangle \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle T^{*(k+2)}T^{k+2}x, x \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle T^{*k}\left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I\right)T^kx, x \right\rangle \ge 0,$$

for all $x \in H$, where k is a natural number. The last relation is equivalent to

$$T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0.$$

From the definition of the class Q^* operators, quasi class Q^* operators and the proposition 2.1 we see that every operator of the class Q^* and every operator of the quasi class Q^* is also an operator of the k —quasi class Q^* . Thus, we have the following implication:

class
$$Q^* \subseteq quasi\ class\ Q^* \subseteq k - quasi\ class\ Q^*$$

 $\subseteq (k+1) - quasi\ class\ Q^*$.

Corollary 2.2. A weighted shift operator T with decreasing weighted sequence (α_n) is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* if and only if

$$\alpha_{n+k}^2 \alpha_{n+k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_{n+k-1}^2 + 1 \ge 0$$
,

for all n.

Proof: Since T is a weighted shift operator, its adjoint T^* is also a wighted shift operator, then:

$$\begin{split} T\left(e_{n}\right) &= \alpha_{n}e_{n+1}, \\ T^{*}e_{n} &= \overline{\alpha_{n-1}}e_{n-1}, \\ TT^{*}e_{n} &= \alpha_{n-1}^{2}e_{n}, \\ \left(T^{*2}T^{2}\right)e_{n} &= \alpha_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n+1}^{2}e_{n}. \end{split}$$

Since, T is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* , then after some calculations we have:

$$\begin{split} & T^{*k} \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 \dots \alpha_{n+k-1}^2 \left(\alpha_{n+k}^2 \alpha_{n+k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_{n+k-1}^2 + 1 \right) \ge 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha_{n+k}^2 \alpha_{n+k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_{n+k-1}^2 + 1 \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Now we will give an example of 2 –quasi class Q^* operator which is not 1 –quasi class Q^* operator.

Example 2.3. Consider the operator T in l_2 defined by $T(x) = (0, \alpha_1 x_1, \alpha_2 x_2, \alpha_3 x_3, ...)$, where

$$\alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_n = 1, n \ge 2.$$

Then T is an operator of the 2 –quasi class Q^* , but this operator is not 1 –quasi class Q^* . Given:

$$T(x) = (0, \alpha_1 x_1, \alpha_2 x_2, \alpha_3 x_3, ...),$$

 $T^*(x) = (\alpha_1 x_2, \alpha_2 x_3, \alpha_3 x_4, ...).$

Now from the proposition 2.1 and corollary 2.2, for 2 –quasi class Q^* operator we have:

$$\left\langle T^{*2} \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^2 x, x \right\rangle$$

$$= \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \left(\alpha_3^2 \alpha_4^2 - 2\alpha_2^2 + 1 \right) \|x_1\|^2$$

$$+ \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 \left(\alpha_4^2 \alpha_5^2 - 2\alpha_3^2 + 1 \right) \|x_2\|^2 + \dots = 0.$$

But for 1 –quasi class Q^* operator we have:

$$\begin{split} & \left\langle T^* \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2T T^* + I \right) T x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha_1^2 \left(\alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 - 2\alpha_1^2 + 1 \right) \left\| x_1 \right\|^2 \\ &+ \alpha_2^2 \left(\alpha_3^2 \alpha_4^2 - 2\alpha_2^2 + 1 \right) \left\| x_2 \right\|^2 + \dots < 0. \end{split}$$

In the following we prove that if T is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* and if the range of T^k is dense, then T is an operator of the class Q^* .

Proposition 2.4. Let $T \in L(H)$ be an operator of the k – quasi class Q^* . If T^k has dense range, then T is an operator of the class Q^* .

Proof: Since T^k has dense range, then $\overline{T^k(H)} = H$. Let be $y \in H$. Then there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in H such that $T^k x_n \to y$, $n \to \infty$. Since T is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* , then:

$$\left\langle T^{*k} \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k x_n, x_n \right\rangle \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k x_n, T^k x_n \right\rangle \ge 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the continuity of the inner product, we have

$$\left\langle \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I\right)y, y\right\rangle \ge 0, y \in H.$$

So,

$$T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \ge 0.$$

Therefore T is an operator of the class Q^* .

In the following we give the relations between k –quasi class Q^* and k –quasi – * –paranormal operators.

Hoxha and Braha [[6], Proposition 2.1] prove that an operator $T \in L(H)$ is of the k -quasi - * -paranormal if and only if $T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda TT^* + \lambda^2 \right) T^k \ge 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

From this we have that every k – quasi – * – paranormal is operator of the k – quasi class Q^* . Also, every quasi – * – paranormal is operator of the quasi class Q^* .

Proposition 2.5. Let $T \in L(H)$. If $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T$ is an operator of the k – quasi class Q^* , then T is a k – quasi – * – paranormal operator for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof: Let $\lambda^{-2}T$ be an operator of k – quasi class Q^* , for all $\lambda > 0$, then:

$$\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right)^{*k} \left(\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right)^{*2} \left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right)^{2} - 2\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right) \left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right)^{*} + I\right)$$

$$\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T\right)^{k} \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{-\frac{k}{2}}T^{*k} \left(\lambda^{-2}T^{*2}T^{2} - 2\lambda^{-1}TT^{*} + I\right)\lambda^{-\frac{k}{2}}T^{k} \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+2}}T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^{2} - 2\lambda TT^{*} + \lambda^{2}\right)T^{k} \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^{2} - 2\lambda TT^{*} + \lambda^{2}\right)T^{k} \ge 0, \forall \lambda > 0.$$

By this it is proved that the T is k – quasi – * –paranormal operator.

Remark 2.6. If $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}T$ is an operator of the quasi class Q^* , then T is a quasi—*—paranormal operator for all $\lambda > 0$. **Proposition 2.7.** If $T \in L(H)$ is an operator of the k—quasi class Q^* and T^2 is an isometry, then T is k—quasi—*—paranormal operator.

Proof: Let T be an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* , then:

$$\begin{split} & 2 \left\| T^* T^k x \right\|^2 \le \left\| T^{k+2} x \right\|^2 + \left\| T^k x \right\|^2 \\ & = \left(\left\| T^{k+2} x \right\| - \left\| T^k x \right\| \right)^2 + 2 \left\| T^{k+2} x \right\| \left\| T^k x \right\|. \end{split}$$

Since operator T^2 is an isometry, then $||T^2x|| = ||x||$, for all $x \in H$.

Then,

$$||T^2x|| = ||x||$$

$$\Rightarrow ||T^4x|| = ||T^2x||$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow ||T^{k+2}x|| = ||T^kx||,$$

so we have,

$$||T^*T^kx||^2 \le ||T^{k+2}x|| ||T^kx||, \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

So, T is k – quasi– * –paranormal operator.

In the following we give the relation between k –quasi class Q^* and k –quasi – * –class A operators.

Proposition 2.8. If $T \in L(H)$ belongs to the k -quasi- * -class A, for k a natural number, then T is an operator of k -quasi class Q^* .

Proof: Since T belongs to k – quasi – * – class A operators, we have:

$$T^{*k}\left|T^{2}\right|T^{k}\geq T^{*k}\left|T^{*}\right|^{2}T^{k},$$

where k is a natural number.

Let $x \in H$. Then,

$$\begin{split} & 2 \left\| T^* T^k x \right\|^2 = 2 \left\langle T^* T^k x, T^* T^k x \right\rangle \\ & = 2 \left\langle T^{*k} T T^* T^k x, x \right\rangle \\ & = 2 \left\langle T^{*k} \left| T^* \right|^2 T^k x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq 2 \left\langle T^{*k} \left| T^2 \right| T^k x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq 2 \left\langle \left| T^2 \right| T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle \\ & \leq 2 \left\| \left| T^2 \right| T^k x \right\| . \left\| T^k x \right\| \\ & \leq 2 \left\| T^{k+2} x \right\| . \left\| T^k x \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| T^{k+2} x \right\|^2 + \left\| T^k x \right\|^2 . \end{split}$$

Therefore.

$$2 \|T^*T^kx\|^2 \le \|T^{k+2}x\|^2 + \|T^kx\|^2.$$

Hence, T is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* .

Remark 2.9. If $T \in L(H)$ belongs to the quasi- * -class A, then T is an operator of quasi class Q^* .

In following we give an example of operator T which is operator of the quasi class Q^* , but not quasi— * —class A. **Example 2.10.** Let $K = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} H_n$, where $H_n \cong H$. Given positive operators A, $B \in L(H)$, define the operator $T_{A,B}$ on K as follows:

$$T_{A,B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator $T_{A,B}$ is quasi -* -class A if and only if $AB^2A \ge A^4$.

Let A and B be operator as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Then,

$$A(B^2 - A^2)A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3359... & -0.2265... \\ -0.2265... & 0.8244... \end{pmatrix} \not\geq 0.$$

Hence T is not quasi -* -class A.

Then a computation shows that the operator $T_{A,B}$ is quasi class Q^* if and only if

$$T^* \left(T^{*2} T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T = A \left(B^4 - 2A^2 + I \right) A \ge 0.$$

So.

$$A(B^4 - 2A^2 + I)A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0.$$

Therefore T is operator of the quasi class Q^* .

Proposition 2.11. Let $T \in L(H)$. If $||T^*|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then T is operator of the k -quasi class Q^* .

Proof: From $||T^*|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have $||T^*x||^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, $\forall x \in H$. Then,

$$\left\langle T^*x, T^*x \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle x, x \right\rangle \le 0, \forall x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle \left(I - 2TT^* \right) x, x \right\rangle \ge 0, \forall x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow I - 2TT^* \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0,$$

so T is operator of the k –quasi class Q^* .

Proposition 2.12. If T is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* and if T commutes with an isometric operator S, then TS is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* .

Proof: Let A = TS. Then

$$A^{*k} \left(A^{*2}A^2 - 2AA^* + I \right) A^k$$

$$= (TS)^{*k} \left((TS)^{*2} (TS)^2 - 2(TS)(TS)^* + I \right) (TS)^k$$

$$= (TS)^* (TS)^* ... (TS)^* ((TS)^* (TS)^* (TS)(TS)$$

$$- 2(TS)(TS)^* + I)(TS)(TS) ... (TS)$$

$$= S^*T^*S^*T^* ... S^*T^* \left(S^*T^*S^*T^*TSTS - 2TSS^*T^* + I \right)$$
•TSTS ... TS
$$= S^{*k}T^{*k} (T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I)T^k S^k \ge 0.$$

Hence TS is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* .

Proposition 2.13. Let T be an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* and if T is unitarily equivalent to operator S, then S is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* .

Proof: Since T is unitarily equivalent to operator S, there is an unitary operator U such that $S = U^*TU$.

Since T is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* , then

$$T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} S^{*k} \left(S^{*2}S^2 - 2SS^* + I \right) S^k \\ &= \left(U^*TU \right)^{*k} \left(\left(U^*TU \right)^{*2} \left(U^*TU \right)^2 - 2 \left(U^*TU \right) \left(U^*TU \right)^* + I \right) \\ & \bullet \left(U^*TU \right)^k \\ &= U^*T^*UU^*T^*U \dots U^*T^*U \\ \left(U^*T^*UU^*T^*UU^*TUU^*TU - 2U^*TUU^*T^*U + I \right) \\ & \bullet U^*TUU^*TU \dots U^*TU \\ &= U^*T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^kU \geq 0, \end{split}$$

so, S is an operator of the k –quasi class Q^* .

Proposition 2.14. Let M be a closed T invariant subset of H. Then, the restriction $T_{|M}$ of a k – quasi class Q^* operator T to M is a k – quasi class Q^* operator.

Proof: Let be $u \in M$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \left(T_{|M} \right)^* \left(T_{|M} \right)^k u \right\|^2 = \left\| T^* T^k u \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| T^{k+2} u \right\|^2 + \left\| T^k u \right\|^2 \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \left(T_{|M} \right)^{k+2} u \right\|^2 + \left\| \left(T_{|M} \right)^k u \right\|^2 \right). \end{split}$$

This implies that $T_{|M}$ is an operator of k -quasi class Q^* . **Proposition 2.15.** Let $T \in L(H)$ be a k - quasi class Q^* operator, the range of T^k not to be dense, and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \overline{T^k(H)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}.$$

Then, A is an operator of the class Q^* on $\overline{T^k(H)}$, $C^k = 0$ and $\sigma(T) = \sigma(A) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof: Suppose that T is an operator of k –quasi class Q^* . Since T^k does not have dense range, we can represent T as the upper triangular matrix:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \overline{T^k(H)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}.$$

Since T is an operator of k –quasi class Q^* , we have

$$T^{*k} \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I \right) T^k \ge 0.$$

Therefore

$$\left\langle \left(T^{*2}T^2 - 2TT^* + I\right)x, x\right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \left(A^{*2}A^2 - 2AA^* + I\right)x, x\right\rangle \ge 0,$$

for all $x \in \overline{T^k(H)}$.

Hence

$$A^{*2}A^2 - 2AA^* + I \ge 0.$$

This shows that A is an operator of the class Q^* on $\overline{T^k(H)}$.

Let *P* be the orthogonal projection of *H* onto $\overline{T^k(H)}$. For any

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{T^k(H)} \oplus \ker T^{*k},$$

We have

$$\left\langle C^{k} x_{2}, x_{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle T^{k} \left(I - P \right) x, \left(I - P \right) x \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \left(I - P \right) x, T^{*k} \left(I - P \right) x \right\rangle = 0.$$

Thus $T^{*k} = 0$.

Since,

$$\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(C) = \sigma(T) \cup \theta$$

where ϑ is the union of the holes in $\sigma(T)$, which happen to be a subset of $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(C)$ by [[5], Corollary 7].

Since, $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(C)$ have no interior points, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(C) = \sigma(A) \cup \{0\}$ and $C^k = 0$.

3. Conclusion

In this paper we introduce a new class of operators: k – quasi class Q^* operators. It is proved that the following impication is true

$$classQ^* \subseteq quasi\ classQ^* \subseteq k - quasi\ classQ^*$$

$$\subseteq (k+1) - quasi\ classQ^*.$$

With example it is shown that, exist a 2 -quasi class Q^* operator which is not 1 -quasi class Q^* (Example 2.3). Further, it is proved that if T is an operator of the k -quasi class Q^* and if the range of T^k is dense, then T is an operator of the class Q^* (Proposition 2.4).

It is shown the relation between k –quasi class Q^* and k – quasi – * – paranormal operators (Proposition 2.5, Remark 2.6 and Proposition 2.7). Also it is shown the relation between k – quasi class Q^* and k – quasi – * – class A operators (Proposition 2.8, Remark 2.9 and Example 2.10).

Finally is proved that every operator which satisfy the condition $||T^*|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is operator of the k -quasi class Q^* (Proposition 2.11).

References

[1] S. C. Arora and J. K. Thukral, "On a class of operators," Glasnik Matematicki, 21(41), (1986), 381-386.

- [2] D. Senthilkumar, P. Maheswari Naik and D. Kiruthika, "Quasi classQ*composition operators," *International Journal of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls.* (IJMSEA), ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 5, No IV, July, 2011, pp. 1-9.
- [3] B. P. Duggal, C. S. Kubrusly, and N. Levan, "Contractions of class Qand invariant subspaces," Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42(2005), No. 1, pp. 169-177.
- [4] T. Furuta, "On the class of paranormal operators," *Proc. Jap. Acad.* 43(1967), 594-598.
- [5] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, and W. Y. Lee, "Invertible completions of 2 × 2 upper triangular operator matrices," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128(2000), 119-123.
- [6] Ilmi Hoxha and Naim L. Braha, "A note on k quasi * –paranormal operators," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:350.
- [7] Salah Mecheri, "Bishops property β and Riesz idempotent for k—quasi—paranormal operators," Banach J. Math. Anal., 6(2012), No. 1, 147-154.
- [8] Salah Mecheri, "On quasi * paranormal operators," Banach J. Math. Anal., 3(2012), No.1, 86-91.
- [9] S. M. Patel, "Contributions to the study of spectraloid operators," Ph. D. Thesis, Delhi University, 1974.
- [10] D. Senthilkumar and T. Prasad, "Composition operators of class Q*," Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 21, 1035-1040.
- [11] T. Veluchamy and A. Devika, "Some properties of quasi * paranormal operators," *Journal of Modern Mathematics and Statistics*, 1 (1-4), 35-38, 2007.
- [12] S. Mecheri, "Isolated points of spectrum of k -quasi-*-class A operators," Studia Mathematica, 208(2012), 87-96.
- [13] J. L. Shen, F. Zuo and C. S. Yang, "On operators satisfying $T^*|T^2|T \ge T^*|T^*|^2T$," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, English Series, Nov., Vol. 26(2010), no.11, pp. 2109-2116.