

Implementing Objects

Classes

- Components
 - **fields/instance variables**
 - values differ from object to object
 - usually mutable
 - **methods**
 - values shared by all objects of a class
 - usually immutable
 - **component visibility**: public/private/protected

Code Generation for Objects

- **Methods**
 - Generating method code
 - Generating method calls (dispatching)
 - Constructors and destructors
- **Fields**
 - Memory layout
 - Generating code to access fields
 - Field alignment

Compiling Methods

- Methods look like functions, are type-checked like functions...what is different?
- **Argument list**: implicit receiver argument
- **Calling sequence**: use dispatch vector instead of jumping to absolute address

The Need for Dispatching

- Example:

```


interface Point {
    int getx(); int gety(); float norm(); }
class ColoredPoint implements Point { ...
    float norm() { return sqrt(x*x+y*y); } }
class 3DPoint implements Point { ...
    float norm() { return sqrt(x*x+y*y+z*z); } }

Point p;
if (cond) p = new ColoredPoint();
else p = new 3DPoint();
float n = p.norm();
    
```


- Compiler can't tell what code to run when method is called!

Dynamic Dispatch

- Solution: dispatch vector (dispatch table, selector table...)
 - Entries in the table are pointers to method code
 - Method entry point is computed dynamically!
 - If $T <: S$, then vector for objects of type S is a prefix of vector for objects of type T




Dynamic dispatch (contd.)



Why It Works

- If $S <: T$ and f is a method of an object of type T , then
 - Objects of type S inherit f ; f can be overridden by S
 - Pointer to f has same index in the DV for type T and S !
- Statically generate code to look up pointer to method f
- Pointer values determined dynamically



Dispatch Vector Lookup

- Every method has its own integer index
- Index is used to look up method in dispatch vector


C <: B <: A

A	f
B	f,g,h
C	f,g,h,e

```
interface A {
    void f();    0
}
class B implements A {
    void f() {...} 0
    void g() {...} 1
    void h() {...} 2
}
class C extends B {
    void e() {...} 3
}
```

Dispatch Vector Layouts

- Index of f is the same in any object of type T <: A
- Methods may have multiple implementations
 - For subclasses with unrelated types
 - If subclass overrides method
- To execute a method i:
 - Lookup entry i in vector
 - Execute code pointed to by entry value



Code Generation: Dispatch Vectors

- Allocate one dispatch vector per class
 - Objects of same class execute same method code
- Statically allocate dispatch vectors

```
.data
A_DV: .long _f
```

```
.data
B_DV: .long _f
      .long _g
      .long _h
```

```
.data
C_DV: .long _f
      .long _g
      .long _h
      .long _e
```

Interfaces, Abstract Classes

- Classes define a type and some values (methods)
- Interfaces are pure object types : no implementation
 - no dispatch vector: only a DV layout
- Abstract classes are halfway:
 - define some methods
 - leave others unimplemented
 - no objects (instances) of abstract class
- DV needed only for concrete classes

Method Arguments

- Methods have a special variable (Java, C++: `this`) called the **receiver object**
- Historically (Smalltalk): method calls thought of as messages sent to receivers
- Receiver object is (implicit) argument to method

```
class A {  
  int f(int x, int y)  
  { ... }  
}
```

compile as

```
int f(A this, int x, int y)  
  { ... }
```

Static Methods


- In Java, can declare methods static
 - they have no receiver object
- Called exactly like normal functions
 - don't need to call via dispatch vector
 - don't need implicit extra argument for receiver
- Treated as methods as way of getting functions inside the class scope (access to module internals for semantic analysis)
- Not really methods

Code Generation: Method Calls

- Code for function calls: pre-call + post-call code
- **Pre-function-call code:**
 - Save registers
 - Push parameters
- **Pre-method call:**
 - Save registers
 - Push parameters
 - Push receiver object reference
 - Lookup method in dispatch vector

Example


`o.foo(2,3);`



```
push $3  
push $2  
push %eax  
mov (%eax), %ebx  
call *4(%ebx)  
add $12, %esp
```

Object Layout


- Object consists of:
 - Methods
 - Fields
- Object layout consists of:
 - Pointer to DV, which contains pointers to methods
 - Fields




Allocation of Objects

- Objects can be stack- or heap-allocated

- Stack allocation:
(C++) `Point p;`



- Heap:
(C++) `Point *p = new Point;`
(Java) `Point p = new Point();`




Inheritance and Object Layout


- Method code copied down from superclass if not overridden by subclass
- Fields also inherited (needed by inherited code in general)
- Inheritance: add fields, methods
 - Extend layout
 - Extend dispatch vector
 - A supertype object can be used whenever a subtype object can be used

Inheritance and Object Layout

```
class Shape {
    Point LL, UR;
    void setCorner(int which, Point p);
}
class ColoredRect extends Shape {
    int color;
    void setColor(int col);
}
```



Code Sharing



Field Offsets

- Offsets of fields from beginning of object known statically, same for all subclasses

- Example:

```
class Shape {
    Point LL /* 4 */ , UR; /* 8 */
    void setCorner(int which, Point p);
}
class ColoredRect extends Shape {
    Color c; /* 12 */
    void setColor(Color c_);
}
```


- Offsets known for stack and heap allocated objects

Field Alignment

- In many processors, a 32-bit load must be to an address divisible by 4, address of 64-bit load must be divisible by 8
- In rest (e.g., Pentium), loads are 10x faster if aligned -- avoids extra load

⇒ Fields should be aligned

```
struct {
    int x; char c; int y; char d;
    int z; double e;
}
```



Accessing Fields

- Access fields of current object
 - Access x equivalent to this.x
 - Current method has “this” as argument
- Access fields of other objects
 - Access of the form o.x
- In both cases:
 - Use pointer to object
 - Add offset to the field
- Access o.x depends on the kind of allocation of o
 - Stack allocation: stack access (%ebp + stack offset)
 - Heap allocation: stack access + dereference

Code Generation: Allocation

- Heap allocation: `o = new LenList()`
 - Allocate heap space for object
 - Store pointer to dispatch vector

```
push $16 # 3 fields+DV
call _GC_malloc
mov $LenList_DV, (%eax)
add $4, %esp #pop $16
mov %eax, disp_o(%ebp)
```

- Stack allocation:
 - Push object on stack
 - Pointer to DV on stack

```
sub $16, %esp # 3 fields+DV
mov $LenList_DV, -4(%ebp)
```

Constructors

- Java, C++: classes can declare object constructors that initialize new objects:

```
class LenList {
    int len;
    Cell head, tail;
    LenList() { len = 0; }
}
```

```
...
new LenList();
```

- Need to know when objects are constructed
 - **Heap**: new statement
 - **Stack**: at the beginning of their scope (blocks for locals, procedures for arguments, program for globals)

Compiling Constructors

- Compiled like methods:
 - pseudo-variable "this" passed to constructor
 - return value is "this"

```
o = new LenList();
```

```
push $1 # 3 fields+DV
call _GC_malloc
mov $LenList_DV, (%eax)
add $4, %esp
push %eax
call LenList$constructor
add $4, %esp
mov %eax, disp_o(%ebp)
```

```
LenList() { len = 0; }
```

```
LenList$constructor:
push %ebp
mov %esp,%ebp
mov 8(%ebp), eax
mov $0, 4(%eax)
mov %ebp,%esp
pop %ebp
ret
```

Destructors

- In some languages (e.g., C++), objects can also declare code to execute when objects are destructed

- **Heap**: when invoking delete (explicit de-allocation)
- **Stack**: when scope of variables ends
 - End of blocks for local variables
 - End of program for global variables
 - End of procedure for function arguments

Analysis and Optimizations

- Dataflow analysis reasons about variables and values
- Records (objects) consist of a collection of variables (fields) – analysis must separately keep track of individual fields
- **Difficult analysis for heap-allocated objects**
 - Object lifetime outlives procedure lifetime
 - Need to perform inter-procedural analysis
- **Constructors/destructors:** must take their effects into account

Class Hierarchy Analysis

- **Method calls** = dynamic, via dispatch vectors
 - Overhead of going through DV
 - Prohibits function inlining
 - Makes other inter-procedural analyses less precise
- **Static analysis of dynamic method calls**
 - Determine possible methods invoked at each call site
 - Need to determine principal types of objects at each program point (Class Hierarchy Analysis)
 - If analysis determines object *o* is always of type *T* (not subtype), then it precisely knows the code for *o.foo()*
- **Optimizations:** transform dynamic method calls into static calls, inline method calls

Summary

- Method dispatch accomplished using dispatch vector, implicit method receiver argument
- No dispatch of static methods needed
- Inheritance causes extension of fields as well as methods; code can be shared
- Field alignment: declaration order matters!
- Each real class has a single dispatch vector in data segment: installed at object creation or constructor
- Analysis more difficult in the presence of objects
- Class hierarchy analysis = precisely determine object class