## High Performance Fortran Language Specification

High Performance Fortran Forum

May 3, 1993 Version 1.0 The High Performance Fortran Forum (HPFF), with participation from over 40 organizations, met from March 1992 to March 1993 to discuss and define a set of extensions to Fortran called High Performance Fortran (HPF). Our goal was to address the problems of writing data parallel programs for architectures where the distribution of data impacts performance. While we hope that the HPF extensions will become widely available, HPFF is not sanctioned or supported by any official standards organization.

This is the Final Report, Version 1.0, of the High Performance Fortran Forum. This document contains all the technical features proposed for the language. This copy of the draft was processed by  $IAT_{FX}$  on May 24, 1993.

HPFF encourages requests for interpretation of this document, and comments on the language defined here. We will give our best effort to answering interpretation questions, and general comments will be considered in future HPFF language specifications.

Please send interpretation requests to hpff-interpret@cs.rice.edu. Your request is archived and forwarded to a group of HPFF committee members who attempt to respond to it.

Please send comments on the HPF language to hpff-comments@cs.rice.edu. Your comment is archived. Periodically, the archives are sent to HPFF committee members for their perusal. Where appropriate, comments are forwarded to the hpff-interpret list. HPFF invites comments on the technical content of HPF, as well as on the editorial presentation in the document.

The text of interpretation requests and comments on the language specification become the property of Rice University.

©1993 Rice University, Houston Texas. Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted, provided the Rice University copyright notice and the title of this document appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of Rice University. This material appeared in *Scientific Programming*, vol. 2, no. 1, June 1993.

# Contents

11 12	A	ckno	wledgments	vii
13	1	Ove	erview	1
14		1.1	Goals and Scope of High Performance Fortran	1
15		1.2	Fortran 90 Binding	2
16		1.3	New Features in High Performance Fortran	3
17			1.3.1 Data Distribution Features	3
18			1.3.2 Data Parallel Execution Features	3
19			1.3.3 Extended Intrinsic Functions and Standard Library	<b>3</b>
20			1.3.4 Extrinsic Procedures	4
21			1.3.5 Sequence and Storage Association	4
22		1.4	Fortran 90 and Subset HPF	4
23		1.5	Notation	4
24		1.6	HPF-Conforming and Subset-Conforming	5
25		1.7	Journal of Development	5
26			1.7.1 VIEW Directive	5
27			1.7.2 Nested WHERE Statements	6
28			1.7.3 EXECUTE-ON-HOME and LOCAL-ACCESS Directives	6
29			1.7.4 Elemental Reference of Pure Procedures	6
30			1.7.5 Parallel I/O	6
31 32		1.8	Organization of this Document	7
33	<b>2</b>	Hig	h Performance Fortran Terms and Concepts	9
34		2.1	Fortran 90	9
35		2.2	The HPF Model	10
36			2.2.1 Simple Communication Examples	11
37			2.2.2 Aggregate Communication Examples	13
38			2.2.3 Interaction of Communication and Parallelism	16
39		2.3	Syntax of Directives	19
40				
41	3	Dat	a Alignment and Distribution Directives	<b>21</b>
42		3.1	Model	21
43		3.2	Syntax of Data Alignment and Distribution Directives	23
44		3.3	DISTRIBUTE and REDISTRIBUTE Directives	25
45		3.4	ALIGN and REALIGN Directives	30
46		3.5	DYNAMIC Directive	37
47		3.6	Allocatable Arrays and Pointers	38
48		3.7	PROCESSORS Directive	40

	3.8	TEMPLATE Directive	2 1
	3.9	INHERIT Directive	4 2
	3.10	Alignment, Distribution, and Subprogram Interfaces	5 з
			4
4	Dat	Parallel Statements and Directives 53	5 5
	4.1	The FORALL Statement	5 6
		4.1.1 General Form of Element Array Assignment	3 <sub>7</sub>
		4.1.2 Interpretation of Element Array Assignments	7 8
		4.1.3 Examples of the FORALL Statement	3 9
		4.1.4 Scalarization of the FORALL Statement	1 10
		4.1.5 Consequences of the Definition of the FORALL Statement 63	3 11
	4.2	The FORALL Construct	3 12
		4.2.1 General Form of the FORALL Construct	3 12
		4.2.2 Interpretation of the FORALL Construct	4 14
		4.2.3 Examples of the FORALL Construct	1 14 1 15
		4.2.4 Scalarization of the FORALL Construct	7 10
		4.2.5 Consequences of the Definition of the FORALL Construct 7	1 17
	43	Pure Procedures of the Definition of the FORMEL Construct	)
	4.9	4.3.1 Pure Procedure Declaration and Interface 7	2 18 ) 18
		4.3.2 Pure Precedure Deference	2 19 2
		4.5.2 Further for the former $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{P}_$	5 20 5
		4.3.5 Examples of Fuller focedure Usage	5 21 5
	4 4	The INDEDENDENT Directive	ן ב22
	4.4	1 ne INDEFENDENT       50         4 4 1       Examples of INDEDENDENT	J 23
		4.4.1 Examples of INDEPENDENT $\cdots$ $\cdots$	j 24
		4.4.2 VISUALIZATION OF INDEPENDENT DIRECTIVES	) 25
5	Intr	nsic and Library Procedures 87	7 26
Ŭ	5.1	Notation 8	7
	5.2	System Inquiry Intrinsic Functions	7 28
	5.3	Computational Intrinsic Functions	· 29
	5.0	Library Procedures	> 30 <b>२</b>
	<b>J</b> .T	5.4.1 Mapping Inquiry Subroutines	31 2
		5.4.9 Bit Manipulation Functions	) 32 2
		5.4.2 Array Reduction Functions	י <sub>33</sub> ג
		5.4.4 Array Combining Scatter Functions	34 3
		5.4.4 Array Combining Statter Functions	2) 35 D
		5.4.5 Array Frenx and Sumx Functions $\dots \dots \dots$	J 36
	55	5.4.6 Array Sorting Functions	J 36 5 37
	5.5	5.4.5       Array Frenx and Sumx Functions       90         5.4.6       Array Sorting Functions       91         Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures       92         5.4.6       Summary Frenz         91       Summary Frenz         92       Summary Frenz	) 36 ) 37 ) 38
	5.5	5.4.5       Array Frenx and Sum Functions       90         5.4.6       Array Sorting Functions       91         Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures       91         5.5.1       System inquiry intrinsic functions       91	J         36           D         37           D         38           D         39
	5.5	5.4.5       Array Frenx and Sumix Functions       94         5.4.6       Array Sorting Functions       94         Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures       94         5.5.1       System inquiry intrinsic functions       94         5.5.2       Array location intrinsic functions       94	36       5     37       5     38       5     39       5     40
	5.5	5.4.5       Array Frenx and Sum Functions       94         5.4.6       Array Sorting Functions       94         Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures       94         5.5.1       System inquiry intrinsic functions       94         5.5.2       Array location intrinsic functions       94         5.5.3       Mapping inquiry subroutines       94	
	5.5	5.4.5Array Frenx and Sum Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions96	
	5.5	5.4.5Array Frenx and Sum Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions945.5.5Array reduction functions94	
	5.5	5.4.3Array Frenx and Sunix Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions965.5.5Array reduction functions965.5.6Array combining scatter functions97	
	5.5	5.4.3Array Frenx and Sum Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions965.5.5Array reduction functions965.5.6Array combining scatter functions975.5.7Array prefix and suffix functions97	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	5.5	5.4.3Array Frenx and Sum Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions965.5.5Array reduction functions965.5.6Array combining scatter functions975.5.7Array prefix and suffix functions975.5.8Array sort functions97	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	5.5 5.6	5.4.3Array Frenx and Sum Functions945.4.6Array Sorting Functions94Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures945.5.1System inquiry intrinsic functions945.5.2Array location intrinsic functions945.5.3Mapping inquiry subroutines945.5.4Bit manipulation functions965.5.5Array reduction functions965.5.6Array combining scatter functions975.5.7Array prefix and suffix functions975.5.8Array sort functions975.5.8Array sort functions975.5.9Specifications of Intrinsic Procedures98	

1		5.6.2	MAXLOC(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)
2		5.6.3	MINLOC(ARRAY, DIM, MASK) 100
3		5.6.4	NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS(DIM)
4		5.6.5	PROCESSORS_SHAPE()
5	5.7	Specifi	cations of Library Procedures
6		5.7.1	ALL_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 103
7		572	ALL SCATTER(MASK BASE INDX1 INDXn) 103
8		5.7.3	ALL SUFFIX (MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
9		574	ANY PREFIX(MASK DIM SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE) 104
10		575	ANY SCATTER (MASK BASE INDX1 INDXn) $105$
10		5.7.6	ANY SUFFIX (MASK DIM SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE) 105
10		577	COPV PREFIX(ARRAY DIM SEGMENT)  106
12		578	COPV SCATTER(ARRAY RASE INDX1 INDXn MASK) 106
13		5.7.0	COPV SUFFIX(APPAV DIM SEGMENT)  107
14		5.7.9	$COUNT DEFIN(MARK DIM SEGMENT) \dots 107$
15		5.7.10	$COUNT CLATTED(MACK DACE INDV1 - INDV_{r}) $ 100
16		0.7.11	COUNT_SCALLER(MASK, BASE, INDAL,, INDAL) 108 COUNT CHEEN(MACK, DIM CECMENTE EXCLUCIVE)
17		5.7.12	CDADE DOWN(ADDAN DIM)  (DADE DOWN(ADDAN DIM) 100
18		5.7.13	$GRADE DOWN(ARRAY, DIM)  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  $
19		5.7.14	$GRADE\_UP(ARRAY, DIM) \dots \dots$
20		5.7.15	HPF_ALIGNMENT(ALIGNEE, LB, UB, STRIDE, AXIS_MAP, IDEN-
21			$TITY\_MAP, DYNAMIC, NCOPIES) \dots \dots$
22		5.7.16	HPF_TEMPLATE(ALIGNEE, TEMPLATE_RANK, LB, UB, AXIS_TYPE,
23			AXIS_INFO, NUMBER_ALIGNED, DYNAMIC) 114
24		5.7.17	HPF_DISTRIBUTION(DISTRIBUTEE, AXIS_TYPE, AXIS_INFO,
25			PROCESSORS_RANK, PROCESSORS_SHAPE) 116
26		5.7.18	$IALL(ARRAY, DIM, MASK) \dots \dots$
27		5.7.19	IALL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE). 119
28		5.7.20	IALL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1,, INDXn, MASK) 120
29		5.7.21	IALL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) . 120
30		5.7.22	$IANY(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  121$
31		5.7.23	IANY_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 122
32		5.7.24	IANY_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1,, INDXn, MASK) 122
33		5.7.25	IANY_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE). 123
34		5.7.26	IPARITY(ARRAY, DIM, MASK) 124
35		5.7.27	IPARITY_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)125
36		5.7.28	IPARITY_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1,, INDXn, MASK) . 125
37		5.7.29	IPARITY_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)126
38		5.7.30	LEADZ(I)
39		5.7.31	MAXVAL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)127
40		5.7.32	MAXVAL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1,, INDXn, MASK) . 128
41		5.7.33	MAXVAL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)128
42		5.7.34	MINVAL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)129
43		5.7.35	MINVAL SCATTER (ARRAY BASE INDX1 INDXn. MASK) 129
44		5.7.36	MINVAL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 130
45		5.7.37	PARITY(MASK, DIM)  131
46		5 7 38	PARITY PREFIX(MASK DIM SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE) 131
47		5 7 30	PARITY SCATTER(MASK RASE INDX1 INDXn) $132$
4.8		5 7 40	PARITY SUFFIX(MASK DIM SECMENT FXCIUSIVE) 132
		0.1.70	-11110111 - 50111120(1111013, 121111, 520(11111)1, 120(10))172) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -

		5.7.41 POPCNT(I)	133 1
		5.7.42 POPPAR(I)	133 2
		5.7.43 PRODUCT_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLU-	3
		SIVE)	134 4
		5.7.44 PRODUCT_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)	134 5
		5.7.45 PRODUCT_SUFFIX (ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLU-	6
		SIVE)	135 7
		5746 SUM PREFIX(ARRAY DIM MASK SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE)	135 8
		5.7.47 SUM SCATTER (ARRAY BASE INDX1 INDXn MASK)	136 <sup>9</sup>
		5.7.48 SUM SUFFIX (ARRAY DIM MASK SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE)	130 137 <sup>10</sup>
		5.1.46 SOM_SOTFIX(ARRAT, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLOSIVE) .	101
6	Ext	rinsic Procedures	<b>139</b> 12
	6.1	Overview	139 13
	6.2	Definition and Invocation of Extrinsic Procedures	140 14
	6.3	Requirements on the Called Extrinsic Procedure	142 15
	0.0		16
7	Stor	rage and Sequence Association	143 <sup>17</sup>
	7.1	Storage Association	143 18
		7.1.1 Definitions	143 19
		7.1.2 Examples of Definitions	144 20
		7.1.3 Sequence Directives	145 <sup>21</sup>
		7.1.4 Storage Association Rules	$146^{22}$
		7.1.5 Storage Association Discussion	$146^{-23}$
		7.1.6 Examples of Storage Association	147 24
	7.2	Argument Passing and Sequence Association	148 <sup>25</sup>
		7.2.1 Sequence Association Rules	149 <sup>26</sup>
		7.2.2 Discussion of Sequence Association	149 <sup>27</sup>
		7.2.2 Examples of Sequence Association	149 28
			110 29
8	Sub	set High Performance Fortran	<b>151</b> <sup>30</sup>
	8.1	Fortran 90 Features in Subset High Performance Fortran	151 <sup>31</sup>
	8.2	Discussion of the Fortran 90 Subset Features	$153^{32}$
	8.3	HPF Features Not in Subset High Performance Fortran	154
	8.4	Discussion of the HPF Extension Subset	<sup>34</sup> 154
	0.12		35
Α	$\mathbf{Cod}$	ling Local Routines in HPF and Fortran 90	1 <b>55</b>
	A.1	Conventions for Local Subprograms	156
		A.1.1 Conventions for Calling Local Subprograms	157
		A.1.2 Calling Sequence	157
		A.1.3 Information Available to the Local Procedure	158
	A.2	Local Routines Written in HPF	158
		A.2.1 Restrictions	158 42
		A.2.2 Argument Association	159
		A.2.3 HPF Local Routine Library	161 44
	A 3	Local Routines Written in Fortran 90	164 44
		A 3.1 Argument Association	165 47
	A 4	Example HPF Extrinsic Procedures	165 48
	11.7	Example III Example 100000100	100 10

1	В	$\mathbf{Syn}$	tax Rules	169
2		<b>B</b> .2	High Performance Fortran Terms and Concepts	169
3			B.2.3 Syntax of Directives	169
4		<b>B</b> .3	Data Alignment and Distribution Directives	170
5			B.3.2 Syntax of Data Alignment and Distribution Directives	170
6			B.3.3 DISTRIBUTE and REDISTRIBUTE Directives	170
7			B.3.4 ALIGN and REALIGN Directives	171
8			B.3.5 DYNAMIC Directive	173
9			B.3.7 PROCESSORS Directive	173
10			B.3.8 TEMPLATE Directive	173
11			B.3.9 INHERIT Directive	173
12		<b>B.</b> 4	Data Parallel Statements and Directives	173
13			B.4.1 The FORALL Statement	173
14			B.4.2 The FORALL Construct	174
15			B.4.3 Pure Procedures	174
16			B.4.4 The INDEPENDENT Directive	177
17		B.6	Extrinsic Procedures	177
18			B.6.2 Definition and Invocation of Extrinsic Procedures	177
19		B.7	Storage and Sequence Association	177
20			B.7.1 Storage Association	177
21	a	C		1 70
22	U	Syn	tax Cross-reference	178
23		C.1	Nonterminal Symbols That Are Defined	100
24		$C_2$	Terminal Symbols	100
25		0.5		101
26	Bi	bliog	graphy	183
27				
28				
29				
21				
30				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				

 $^{48}$ 

# Acknowledgments

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

29

30 31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44 Since its introduction over three decades ago, Fortran has been the language of choice for scientific programming for sequential computers. Exploiting the full capability of modern architectures, however, increasingly requires more information than ordinary FORTRAN 77 or Fortran 90 programs provide. This information applies to such areas as:

- Opportunities for parallel execution;
- Type of available parallelism MIMD, SIMD, or some combination;
- Allocation of data among individual processor memories; and
- Placement of data within a single processor.

16The High Performance Fortran Forum (HPFF) was founded as a coalition of industrial 17and academic groups working to suggest a set of standard extensions to Fortran to provide 18 the necessary information. Its intent was to develop extensions to Fortran that provide 19 support for high performance programming on a wide variety of machines, including mas-20 sively parallel SIMD and MIMD systems and vector processors. From its beginning, HPFF 21 included most vendors delivering parallel machines, a number of government laboratories, 22 and many university research groups. Public input was encouraged to the greatest extent 23 possible. The result of this project is this document, intended to be a language specification  $^{24}$ portable from workstations to massively parallel supercomputers while being able to express  $^{25}$ the algorithms needed to achieve high performance on specific architectures. 26

Technical development was carried out by subgroups, and was reviewed by the full committee. Many people served in positions of responsibility:

- Ken Kennedy, Convener and Meeting Chair;
- Charles Koelbel, Executive Director and Head of the FORALL Subgroup;
- Mary Zosel, Head of the Fortran 90 and Storage Association Subgroup;
- Guy Steele, Head of the Data Distribution Subgroup;
- Rob Schreiber, Head of the Intrinsics Subgroup;
- Bob Knighten, Head of the Parallel I/O Subgroup;
- Marc Snir, Head of the Extrinsics Subgroup;
- Joel Williamson and Marina Chen, Heads of the Subroutine Interface Subgroup; and
- David Loveman, Editor.

Geoffrey Fox convened the first HPFF meeting with Ken Kennedy and subsequently led a group to develop benchmarks for HPF. In addition, Clemens-August Thole organized a complementary group in Europe and was instrumental in making this an international effort. Charles Koelbel took notes during every meeting and produced detailed minutes, including summaries of the discussions, that were invaluable to the subgroup heads in preparing successive revisions to the draft proposal. Guy Steele developed  $IAT_EX$  macros for a variety of tasks, including formatting BNF grammar, Fortran code and pseudocode, and commentary material; the document would have been much less aesthetically pleasing without his efforts.

1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

Many companies, universities, and other entities supported their employees' attendance at the HPFF meetings, both directly and indirectly. The following organizations were represented at two or more meetings by the following individuals (not including those present at the first HPFF meeting in January of 1992, for which there is no accurate attendee list):

9 Alliant Computer Systems Corporation ......David Reese 10 Amoco Production Company ......Jerrold Wagener, Rex Page 11 Applied Parallel Research ......John Levesque, Rony Sawdayi, Gene Wagenbreth 12 Archipel ...... Jean-Laurent Philippe 13 CONVEX Computer Corporation ......Joel Williamson 14 1516 Digital Equipment Corporation ......David Loveman 17Fujitsu America ...... Siamak Hassanzadeh, Ken Muira 18 19 GMD-I1.T, Sankt Augustin ...... Clemens-August Thole 20 Hewlett Packard ...... Maureen Hoffert, Tin-Fook Ngai, Richard Schooler 21 IBM ...... Alan Adamson, Randy Scarborough, Marc Snir, Kate Stewart 22 Institute for Computer Applications in Science & Engineering ... Piyush Mehrotra 23  $^{24}$ Lahey Computer .....Lev Dyadkin, Richard Fuhler, Thomas Lahey, Matt Snyder  $^{25}$ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ......Mary Zosel 26 Los Alamos National Laboratory ......Ralph Brickner, Margaret Simmons 27 Louisiana State University ......J. Ramanujam 28 MasPar Computer Corporation ...... Richard Swift 29 Meiko, Inc. .....James Cownie 30 nCUBE, Inc. ......Barry Keane, Venkata Konda 31 Ohio State University ......P. Sadayappan 32 Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology ......Robert Babb II 33 The Portland Group, Inc. ......Vince Schuster 34 Research Institute for Advanced Computer Science ......Robert Schreiber 35 36 Schlumberger ......Peter Highnam 37 38 State University of New York at Buffalo ......Min-You Wu 39 SunPro and Sun Microsystems ..... Prakash Narayan, Douglas Walls 40 Syracuse University ...... Alok Choudhary, Tom Haupt 41TNO-TU Delft ..... Edwin Paalvast, Henk Sips 42 Thinking Machines Corporation ...... Jim Bailey, Richard Shapiro, Guy Steele 43 United Technologies Corporation ......Richard Shapiro 44 University of Stuttgart ......Uwe Geuder, Bernhard Woerner, Roland Zink 45University of Southampton .....John Merlin 46 47Yale University ......Marina Chen, Aloke Majumdar 48 Many people contributed sections to the final language specification and HPF Journal
 of Development, including Alok Choudhary, Geoffrey Fox, Tom Haupt, Maureen Hoffert,
 Ken Kennedy, Robert Knighten, Charles Koelbel, David Loveman, Piyush Mehrotra, John
 Merlin, Tin-Fook Ngai, Rex Page, Sanjay Ranka, Robert Schreiber, Richard Shapiro, Marc
 Snir, Matt Snyder, Guy Steele, Richard Swift, Min-You Wu, and Mary Zosel. Many others
 contributed shorter passages and examples and corrected errors.

Because public input was encouraged on electronic mailing lists, it is difficult, if not
 impossible, to identify all of those who contributed to the discussions; the entire mailing list
 was well over 500 names long. The following list includes some of the active participants in
 the HPFF process not mentioned above:

11	N. Arunasalam	Werner Assmann	Marc Baber
12	Babak Bagheri	Vasanth Bala	Jason Behm
13	Peter Belmont	Mike Bernhardt	Keith Bierman
14	Christian Bishof	John Bolstad	William Camp
15	Duane Carbon	Richard Carpenter	Brice Cassenti
16	Doreen Cheng	Mark Christon	Fabien Coelho
17	Robert Corbett	Bill Crutchfield	J. C. Diaz
18	James Demmel	Alan Egolf	Bo Einarsson
19	Pablo Elustondo	Robert Ferrell	Rhys Francis
20	Hans-Hermann Frese	Steve Goldhaber	Brent Gorda
21	Rick Gorton	Robert Halstead	Reinhard von Hanxleden
22	Hiroki Honda	Carol Hoover	Steven Huss-Lederman
23	Ken Jacobsen	Elaine Jacobson	Behm Jason
24	Alan Karp	Ronan Keryell	Anthony Kimball
25	Ross Knippe	Bruce Knobe	David Kotz
26	Ed Krall	Tom Lake	Peter Lawrence
27	Bryan Lawver	Bruce Leasure	Stewart Levin
28	David Levine	Theodore Lewis	Woody Lichtenstein
29	Ruth Lovely	Doug MacDonald	Raymond Man
30	Stephen Mark	Philippe Marquet	Jeanne Martin
31	Oliver McBryan	Charlie McDowell	Michael Metcalf
32	Charles Mosher	Len Moss	Lenore Mullin
33	Yoichi Muraoka	Bernie Murray	Vicki Newton
34	Dale Nielsen	Kayutov Nikolay	Steve O'Neale
35	Jeff Painter	Cherri Pancake	Harvey Richardson
36	Bob Riley	Kevin Robert	Ron Schmucker
37	J.L. Schonfelder	Doug Scofield	David Serafini
38	G.M. Sigut	Anthony Skjellum	Niraj Srivastava
39	Paul St.Pierre	Nick Stanford	Mia Stephens
40	Jaspal Subhlok	Xiaobai Sun	Hanna Szoke
41	Bernard Tourancheau	Anna Tsao	Alex Vasilevsky
42	Stephen Vavasis	Arthur Veen	Brian Wake
43	Ji Wang	Karen Warren	D.C.B. Watson
44	Matthijs van Waveren	Robert Weaver	Fred Webb
45	Stephen Whitley	Michael Wolfe	Fujio Yamamoto
46	Marco Zagha		

The following organizations made the language draft available by anonymous FTP access and/or mail servers: AT&T Bell Laboratories, Cornell Theory Center, GMD-I1.T (Sankt Augustin), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Rice University, Syracuse University, and Thinking Machines Corporation. These outlets were instrumental in distributing the document.

The High Performance Fortran Forum also received a great deal of volunteer effort in nontechnical areas. Theresa Chatman and Ann Redelfs were responsible for most of the meeting planning and organization, including the first HPFF meeting, which drew over 125 people. Shaun Bonton, Rachele Harless, Rhonda Perales, Seryu Patel, and Daniel Swint helped with many logistical details. Danny Powell spent a great deal of time handling the financial details of the project. Without these people, it is unlikely that HPF would have been completed.

HPFF operated on a very tight budget (in reality, it had no budget when the first meeting was announced). The first meeting in Houston was entirely financed from the conferences budget of the Center for Research on Parallel Computation, an NSF Science and Technology Center. DARPA and NSF have supported research at various institutions that have made a significant contribution towards the development of High Performance Fortran. Their sponsored projects at Rice, Syracuse, and Yale Universities were particularly influential in the HPFF process. Support for several European participants was provided by ESPRIT through projects P6643 (PPPE) and P6516 (PREPARE).

з

## Section 1

# **Overview**

This document specifies the form and establishes the interpretation of programs expressed in the High Performance Fortran (HPF) language. It is designed as a set of extensions and modifications to the established International Standard for Fortran (ISO/IEC 1539:1991(E) and ANSI X3.198-1992), informally referred to as "Fortran 90" ([12]). Many sections of this document reference related sections of the Fortran 90 standard to facilitate its incorporation into new standards, should ISO and national standards committees deem that desirable.

#### 1.1 Goals and Scope of High Performance Fortran

The goals of HPF, as defined at an early HPFF meeting, were to define language extensions and feature selection for Fortran supporting:

- Data parallel programming (defined as single threaded, global name space, and loosely synchronous parallel computation);
- Top performance on MIMD and SIMD computers with non-uniform memory access costs (while not impeding performance on other machines); and
- Code tuning for various architectures.

The FORALL construct and several new intrinsic functions were designed primarily to meet the first goal, while the data distribution features and some other directives are targeted toward the second goal. Extrinsic procedures allow access to low-level programming in support of the third goal, although performance tuning using the other features is also possible.

- A number of subsidiary goals were also established:
- Deviate minimally from other standards, particularly those for FORTRAN 77 and Fortran 90;
- Keep the resulting language simple;
- Define open interfaces to other languages and programming styles;
  - Provide input to future standards activities for Fortran and C;
- Encourage input from the high performance computing community through widely
   distributed language drafts;

3

45

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- Produce validation criteria;
- Present the final proposals in November 1992 and accept the final draft in January 1993;
- Make compiler availability feasible in the near term with demonstrated performance on an HPF test suite; and
- Leave an evolutionary path for research.

These goals were quite aggressive when they were adopted in March 1992, and led to a number of compromises in the final language. In particular, support for explicit MIMD computation, message-passing, and synchronization was limited due to the difficulty in forming a consensus among the participants. We hope that future efforts will address these important issues.

#### 1.2 Fortran 90 Binding

HPF is an extension of Fortran 90. The array calculation and dynamic storage allocation features of Fortran 90 make it a natural base for HPF. The new HPF language features fall into four categories with respect to Fortran 90:

- New directives;
- New language syntax;
- Library routines; and
- Language restrictions.

The new directives are structured comments that suggest implementation strategies or assert facts about a program to the compiler. They may affect the efficiency of the computation performed, but do not change the value computed by the program. The form of the HPF directives has been chosen so that a future Fortran standard may choose to include these features as full statements in the language by deleting the initial comment header.

A few new language features, including the FORALL statement and a few intrinsic functions, are also defined. They were made first-class language constructs rather than comments because they can affect the interpretation of a program, for example by returning a value used in an expression. These are proposed as direct extensions to the Fortran 90 syntax and interpretation.

The HPF library of computational functions defines a standard interface to routines that have proven valuable for high performance computing including additional reduction functions, combining scatter functions, prefix and suffix functions, and sorting functions.

Full support of Fortran sequence and storage association is not compatible with the data distribution features of HPF. Some restrictions on the use of sequence and storage association are defined. These restrictions may in turn require insertion of HPF directives into standard Fortran 90 programs in order to preserve correct semantics.

#### 1.3 New Features in High Performance Fortran

HPF extends Fortran 90 in several areas, including:

- Data distribution features;
- Data parallel execution features;
- Extended intrinsic functions and standard library;
- EXTRINSIC procedures;
- Changes in sequence and storage association.

In addition, a subset of HPF suitable for earlier implementation is defined. The following
 subsections give short overviews of these areas.

In addition to the features that became part of HPF, the HPFF committee considered and rejected many proposals. Suggestions that the committee considered particularly promising for future language efforts to pursue have been collected in a companion document, the HPF Journal of Development [14]. Section 1.7 below gives an overview of this document.

19 20

21

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

#### 1.3.1 Data Distribution Features

Modern parallel and sequential architectures attain their highest speed when the data ac-22 cessed exhibits locality of reference. The sequential storage order implied by FORTRAN 77 23 and Fortran 90 often conflicts with the locality demanded by the architecture. To avoid this,  $^{24}$ HPF includes features which describe the collocation of data (ALIGN) and the partitioning 25of data among memory regions or abstract processors (DISTRIBUTE). Compilers may inter-26 pret these annotations to improve storage allocation for data, subject to the constraint that 27 semantically every data object has a single value at any point in the program. In all cases, 28 users should expect the compiler to arrange the computation to minimize communication 29 while retaining parallelism. Section 3 describes the distribution features. 30

31 32

#### 1.3.2 Data Parallel Execution Features

<sup>33</sup> To express parallel computation explicitly, HPF offers a new statement and a new directive. <sup>34</sup> The FORALL construct expresses assignments to sections of arrays; it is similar in many ways <sup>35</sup> to the array assignment of Fortran 90, but allows more general sections and computations to <sup>36</sup> be specified. The INDEPENDENT directive asserts that the statements in a particular section <sup>37</sup> of code do not exhibit any sequentializing dependences; when properly used, it does not <sup>38</sup> change the semantics of the construct, but may provide more information to the language <sup>39</sup> processor to allow optimizations. Section 4 describes these features.

40 41

42

#### 1.3.3 Extended Intrinsic Functions and Standard Library

Experience with massively parallel machines has identified several basic operations that
are very valuable in parallel algorithm design. The Fortran 90 array intrinsics anticipated
some of these, but not all. HPF adds several classes of parallel operations to the language
definition as intrinsic functions and as standard library functions. In addition, several
system inquiry functions useful for controlling parallel execution are provided in HPF.
Section 5 describes these functions and subroutines.

з

#### 1.3.4 Extrinsic Procedures

Because HPF is designed as a high-level, machine-independent language, there are certain operations that are difficult or impossible to express directly. For example, many applications benefit from finely-tuned systolic communications on certain machines; HPF's global address space does not express this well. Extrinsic procedures define an explicit interface to procedures written in other paradigms, such as explicit message-passing subroutine libraries. Section 6 describes this interface. Annex A gives a specific interface for HPF\_LOCAL routines and for Fortran 90.

#### 1.3.5 Sequence and Storage Association

A goal of HPF was to maintain compatibility with Fortran 90. Full support of Fortran sequence and storage association, however, is not compatible with the goal of high performance through distribution of data in HPF. Some forms of associating subprogram dummy arguments with actual values make assumptions about the sequence of values in physical memory which may be incompatible with data distribution. Certain forms of EQUIVALENCE statements are recognized as requiring a modified storage association paradigm. In both cases, HPF provides a directive to assert that full sequence and storage association for affected variables must be maintained. In the absence of such explicit directives, reliance on the properties of association is not allowed. An optimizing compiler may then choose to distribute any variables across processor memories in order to improve performance. To protect program correctness, a given implementation should provide a mechanism to ensure that all such default optimization decisions are consistent across an entire program. Section 7 describes the restrictions and directives related to storage and sequence association.

#### 1.4 Fortran 90 and Subset HPF

An important goal for HPF is early compiler availability. Because full Fortran 90 compilers may not be available in a timely fashion on all platforms and implementation of some HPF features is more complex than others, we have defined Subset HPF. Users who are most concerned about multi-machine portability may choose to stay within this subset initially. This subset language includes the Fortran 90 array language, dynamic storage allocation, and long names as well as the MIL-STD-1753 features ([27]), which are already commonly used with FORTRAN 77 programs. The subset does not include features of Fortran 90, such as generic functions and free source form, that are not closely related to high performance on parallel machines. Section 8 describes Subset HPF.

#### 1.5 Notation

This document uses the same notation as the Fortran 90 standard. In particular, the same conventions are used for syntax rules. BNF descriptions of language features are given in the style used in the Fortran 90 standard. To distinguish HPF syntax rules from Fortran 90 rules, each HPF rule has an identifying number of the form Hsnn, where s is a one-digit major section number and nn is a one- or two-digit sequence number. The syntax rules are also collected in Annex B. Nonterminals not defined in this document are defined in the Fortran 90 standard. Also note that certain technical terms such as "storage unit" are defined by the Fortran 90 standard; Annex C identifies the Fortran 90 standard.

*Rationale.* Throughout this document, material explaining the rationale for including features, choosing particular feature definitions, and other decisions is set off in this format. Readers interested in the language definition only may wish to skip these sections, while readers interested in language design may want to read them more carefully. (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. Throughout this document, material that is primarily commentary for users (including most examples of syntax and interpretation) is set off in this format. Readers interested in technical material only may wish to skip these sections, while readers wanting a more basic approach may want to read them more carefully. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. Throughout this document, material that is primarily commentary for implementors is set off in this format. Readers interested in the language definition only may wish to skip these sections, while readers interested in compiler implementation may want to read them more carefully. (End of advice to *implementors.*)

18 19 20

21

1

2

3

4

5 6 7

> 8 9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

#### 1.6HPF-Conforming and Subset-Conforming

An executable program is HPF-conforming if it uses only those forms and relationships 22 described in this document and if the program has an interpretation according to this 23 document. A program unit is HPF-conforming if it can be included in an executable program  $^{24}$ in a manner that allows the executable program to be HPF-conforming.  $^{25}$ 

An executable program is Subset-conforming if it uses only the forms and relationships 26 described in this document for Subset HPF (Section 8) and if it has an interpretation 27 under the constraints of Subset HPF. A program unit is Subset-conforming if it can be 28 29 included in an executable program in a manner that allows the executable program to be Subset-conforming. 30

31

(The above definitions were adapted from the Fortran 90 standard.)

32 33

34 35

37

38

#### 1.7 Journal of Development

The HPFF committee considered many proposals, and rejected some that had merit due 36 to external factors (such as lack of agreement in committee). The most promising of these features were collected in the HPF Journal of Development [14]. This section summarizes some of the more detailed proposals.

39 40 41

42

#### 1.7.1 VIEW Directive

One proposal suggested a directive for relating processor arrangements to each other. This 43 ability is extremely useful in certain applications which use interacting one- and two-44 dimensional arrays, and has applications for problems consisting of several disjoint data-45 parallel parts. This feature was carefully discussed, and the committee felt that it was 46 important; however, questions of its implementation complexity eventually caused its rejec-47tion. 48

#### 1.7.2 Nested WHERE Statements

One proposal suggested allowing WHERE statements and constructs to be nested within each other. The committee felt that the feature was useful, but declined to include it in HPF because they felt it was too large a change to make to the base language.

### 1.7.3 EXECUTE-ON-HOME and LOCAL-ACCESS Directives

One proposal suggested a method for specifying the processor(s) to execute a given statement. The same proposal suggested a method for identifying data references which would be mapped to the same processor. In essence, both methods added new directives similar to INDEPENDENT (see Section 4.4). Like INDEPENDENT, these directives provided information that a compiler might find useful in optimizing the program. Although the committee felt this was an important area to investigate, the proposals were rejected due to technical flaws.

#### 1.7.4 Elemental Reference of Pure Procedures

One proposal suggested allowing elemental invocation of pure procedures (see Section 4.3) under certain conditions. The essential idea was that functions with scalar arguments which could be guaranteed to have no side effects could be invoked elementally, as are intrinsic functions such as SIN. The proposal was rejected in a narrow vote, in part because it was seen as too large a change to Fortran 90. After its rejection, the committee voted unanimously to recommend that the ANSI X3J3 committee consider user-defined elemental functions for a future version of Fortran.

#### 1.7.5 Parallel I/O

HPF is primarily designed to obtain high performance on massively parallel computers. Such massively parallel machines also need massively parallel input and output. Accordingly, there were three major proposals to include explicitly parallel I/O features in HPF, as well as several minor variations on the same theme. After much debate, HPFF voted *not* to include I/O extensions in the first version of HPF. Arguments for this position included:

- The diversity of current parallel I/O systems does not suggest any portable abstraction of I/O useful in a language model.
- Fortran I/O is already highly expressive.
- The HPF compiler can optimize the I/O when writing distributed arrays without any extensions to the source language.
- The management of distributed files (and their implementation) is a matter for the operating system, not the language.

Moreover the current lack of extensions does *not* limit features that may be added by system vendors. In particular:

• Vendors are allowed to implement any I/O extensions to the language they may wish. Indeed this would be impossible to prevent. There are simply no special I/O mechanisms mandated by HPF.

 $^{25}$ 

1 2 3 4	• The HPF run-time system may use whatever facilities the operating system provides for accessing "high performance" files, though the HPF language contains no I/O extensions that specifically describe such access.
5	1.8 Organization of this Document
7	Section 1, this section, presents an overview of HPF.
8 9	Section 2 sets out some basics of HPF, including:
10 11	• The reasons for using Fortran 90 as a base language;
12 13	• A partial cost model for HPF programs; and
14 15	• Lexical rules for HPF directives.
16	Section 3 describes the facilities for data partitioning in HPF. These include:
17	• The distribution model;
19 20	• Features for distributing array elements among processors;
21 22	• Features for aligning array elements which are accessed together; and
23 24	• Features for mapping ALLOCATABLE arrays, pointers, and dummy procedure arguments.
25 26	Section 4 describes the explicitly parallel statement types in HPF. These include:
27 28	• The single- and multi-statement forms of the FORALL parallel construct;
29 30	• Pure functions callable from within FORALL; and
31 32	• The INDEPENDENT assertion for loops.
33 34	Section 5 describes new standard functions available in HPF. These include:
35	• Inquiry intrinsic functions to check system and data partitioning status;
36 37 38	• New computational intrinsic functions and extensions to existing intrinsic functions; and
39 40	• A standard library of computational and inquiry functions.
41 42 43	Section 6 describes extrinsic procedures in HPF, particularly the EXTRINSIC procedure interface. The material in Annex A builds on this interface.
44 45	Section 7 describes the treatment of sequence and storage association in HPF. This includes:
46 47	• Limitations on storage association of explicitly distributed variables; and
48	• Limitations on sequence association of explicitly distributed variables.

Section 8 describes Subset HPF, which may be implemented more quickly than full HPF. This includes:	1 2
• A list of Fortran 90 features that are in Subset HPF;	3 4
• A list of HPF features that are <i>not</i> in Subset HPF; and	5
• Discussions of why these decisions were made.	7
Annex A describes a binding for a local execution model for use as an EXTRINSIC option. The model implements the Single Program Multiple Data programming paradigm, which has wide (but not universal) applicability.	8 9 10 11
Annex B collects the grammar and syntactic constraints for HPF defined in the main text of this document.	12 13 14
Annex C cross-references the BNF terminals and nonterminals defined and used in this document.	15 16 17
The Bibliography provides references to various HPF sources:	18 19
• Fortran standards;	20
• Fortran implementations;	21 22
• Books about Fortran 90; and	23 24
• Technical papers.	25
	26 27
	28 29
	30
	31 32
	33
	34 35
	36 37
	38
	39 40
	41
	42 43
	44
	45 46
	47
	48

### Section 2

 $^{24}$ 

# High Performance Fortran Terms and Concepts

This Section presents some rationale for the selection of Fortran 90 as HPF's base language, HPF's model of computation, and the high level syntax and lexical rules for HPF directives.

#### 2.1 Fortran 90

The facilities for array computation in Fortran 90 make it particularly suitable for programming scientific and engineering numerical calculations on high performance computers. Indeed, some of these facilities are already supported in compilers from a number of vendors. The introductory overview in the Fortran 90 standard states:

Operations for processing whole arrays and subarrays (array sections) are included in the language for two principal reasons: (1) these features provide a more concise and higher level language that will allow programmers more quickly and reliably to develop and maintain scientific/engineering applications, and (2) these features can significantly facilitate optimization of array operations on many computer architectures.

— Fortran Standard (page xiii)

Other features of Fortran 90 that improve upon the features provided in FORTRAN 77 include:

• Additional storage classes of objects. The new storage classes such as allocatable, automatic, and assumed-shape objects as well as the pointer facility of Fortran 90 add significantly to those of FORTRAN 77 and should reduce the use of FORTRAN 77 constructs that can lead to less than full computational speed on high performance computers, such as EQUIVALENCE between array objects, COMMON definitions with non-identical array definitions across subprograms, and array reshaping transformations between actual and dummy arguments.

 Support for a modular programming style. The module facilities of Fortran 90 enable the use of data abstractions in software design. These facilities support the specification of modules, including user-defined data types and structures, defined operators on those types, and generic procedures for implementing common algorithms to be used on a variety of data structures. In addition to modules, the definition of interface blocks enables the application programmer to specify subprogram interfaces explicitly, allowing a high quality compiler to use the information specified to provide better checking and optimization at the interface to other subprograms.

• Additional intrinsic procedures. Fortran 90 includes the definition of a large number of new intrinsic procedures. Many of these support mathematical operations on arrays, including the construction and transformation of arrays. Also, there are numerical accuracy intrinsic procedures designed to support numerical programming, and bit manipulation intrinsic procedures derived from MIL-STD-1753.

HPF conforms to Fortran 90 except for additional restrictions placed on the use of storage and sequence association. Because of the effort involved in producing a full Fortran 90 compiler, HPF is defined at two levels: Subset HPF and full HPF. Subset HPF is a subset of Fortran 90 with a subset of the HPF extensions. HPF is Fortran 90 (with the restrictions noted in Section 7) with all of the HPF language features.

#### 2.2 The HPF Model

An important goal of HPF is to achieve code portability across a variety of parallel machines. This requires not only that HPF programs compile on all target machines, but also that a highly-efficient HPF program on one parallel machine be able to achieve reasonably high efficiency on another parallel machine with a comparable number of processors. Otherwise, the effort spent by a programmer to achieve high performance on one machine would be wasted when the HPF code is ported to another machine. Although SIMD processor arrays, MIMD shared-memory machines, and MIMD distributed-memory machines use very different low-level primitives, there is broad similarity with respect to the fundamental factors that affect the performance of parallel programs on these machines. Thus, achieving high efficiency across different parallel machines with the same high level HPF program is a feasible goal. While describing a full execution model is beyond the scope of this language specification, we focus here on two fundamental factors and show how HPF relates to them:

- The parallelism inherent in a computation; and
- The communication inherent in a computation.

The quantitative cost associated with each of these factors is machine dependent; vendors are strongly encouraged to publish estimates of these costs in their system documentation. Note that, like any execution model, these may not reflect all of the factors relevant to performance on a particular architecture.

The parallelism in a computation can be expressed in HPF by the following constructs:

- Fortran 90 array expressions and assignment (including masked assignment in the WHERE statement);
- Array intrinsics, including both the Fortran 90 intrinsics and the new intrinsic functions;
- $\bullet~{\rm The}~{\tt FORALL}~{\rm statement};$  and
- The INDEPENDENT assertion on DO loops.

1

2

З

4 5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

These features allow a user to specify explicitly potential data parallelism in a machineindependent fashion. The purpose of this section is to clarify some of the performance implications of these features, particularly when they are combined with the HPF data distribution features. In addition, **EXTRINSIC** procedures provide an escape mechanism in HPF to allow the use of efficient machine-specific primitives by using another programming paradigm. Because the resulting model of computation is inherently outside the realm of data-parallel programming, we will not discuss this feature further in this section.

A compiler may choose not to exploit information about parallelism, for example because of lack of resources or excessive overhead. In addition, some compilers may detect parallelism in sequential code by use of dependence analysis. This document does not discuss such techniques.

The interprocessor or inter-memory data communication that occurs during the execu-12tion of an HPF program is partially determined by the HPF data distribution directives in 13 Section 3. The compiler will determine the actual mapping of data objects to the physical 14 machine and will be guided in this by the directives. The actual mapping and the com-1.5 putation specified by the program determine the needed actual communication, and the 16 compiler will generate the code required to perform it. In general, if two data references 17in an expression or assignment are mapped to different processors or memory regions then 18 communication is required to bring them together. The following examples illustrate how 19 this may occur. 20

Clearly, there is a tradeoff between parallelism and communication. If all the data are mapped to one processor's local memory, then a sequential computation with no communication is possible, although the memory of one processor may not suffice to store all the program's data. Alternatively, mapping data to multiple processors' local memories may permit computational parallelism but also may introduce communications overhead. The optimal resolution of such conflicts is very dependent on the architecture and underlying system software.

The following examples illustrate simple cases of communication, parallelism, and their 28 interaction. Note that the examples are chosen for illustration and do not necessarily reflect 29 efficient data layouts or computational methods for the program fragments shown. Rather, 30 the intent is to derive lower bounds on the amount of communication that are needed to 31 implement the given computations as they are written. This gives some indication of the 32 maximum possible efficiency of the computations on any parallel machine. A particular 33 system may not achieve this efficiency due to analysis limitations, or may disregard these 34 bounds if other factors determine the performance of the code. 35

36 37

#### 2.2.1 Simple Communication Examples

The following examples illustrate the communication requirements of scalar assignment statements. The purpose is to illustrate the implications of data distribution specifications on communication requirements for parallel execution. The explanations given do not necessarily reflect the actual compilation process.

43

Consider the following statements:

44 REAL a(1000), b(1000), c(1000), x(500), y(0:501)
 45 INTEGER inx(1000)
 46 !HPF\$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
 47 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs :: a, b, inx

<sup>48</sup> !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) ONTO procs :: c

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

In this example, the PROCESSORS directive specifies a linear arrangement of 10 processors. The DISTRIBUTE directives recommend to the compiler that the arrays a, b, and inx should be distributed among the 10 processors with blocks of 100 contiguous elements per processor. The array c is to be cyclically distributed among the processors with c(1), c(11), ..., c(991) mapped onto processor procs(1); c(2), c(12), ..., c(992) mapped onto processor procs(2); and so on. The complete mapping of arrays x and y onto the processors is not specified, but their relative alignment is indicated by the ALIGN directive. The ALIGN statement causes x(i) and y(i+1) to be stored on the same processor for all values of i, regardless of the actual distribution chosen by the compiler for x and y(y(0)and y(1) are not aligned with any element of x). The PROCESSORS, DISTRIBUTE, and ALIGN directives are discussed in detail in Section 3.

In Assignment 1 (a(i) = b(i)), the identical distribution of a and b ensures that for all i, a(i) and b(i) are mapped to the same processor. Therefore, the statement requires no communication.

In Assignment 2 (x(i) = y(i+1)), there is no inherent communication. In this case, the relative alignment of the two arrays matches the assignment statement for any actual distribution of the arrays.

Although Assignment 3 (a(i) = c(i)) looks very similar to the first assignment, the communication requirements are very different due to the different distributions of a and c. Array elements a(i) and c(i) are mapped to the same processor for only 10% of the possible values of i. (This can be seen by inspecting the definitions of BLOCK and CYCLIC in Section 3.) The elements are located on the same processor if and only if  $\lfloor (i-1)/100 \rfloor = (i-1) \mod 10$ . For example, the assignment involves no inherent communication (i.e., both a(i) and c(i) are on the same processor) if i = 1 or i = 102, but does require communication if i = 2.

In Assignment 4 (a(i) = a(i-1) + a(i) + a(i+1)), the references to array a are all on the same processor for about 98% of the possible values of i. The exceptions to this are i = 100k for any k = 1, 2, ..., 9, (when a(i) and a(i-1) are on procs(k) and a(i+1) is on procs(k+1)) and i = 100k + 1 for any k = 1, 2, ..., 9 (when a(i) and a(i+1) are on procs(k+1) and a(i-1) is on procs(k)). Thus, except for "boundary" elements on each processor, this statement requires no inherent communication.

Assignment 5, c(i) = c(i-1) + c(i) + c(i+1), while superficially similar to Assignment 4, has very different communication behavior. Because the distribution of c is CYCLIC rather than BLOCK, the three references c(i), c(i-1), and c(i+1) are mapped to three distinct processors for any value of i. Therefore, this statement requires communication for at least two of the right-hand side references, regardless of the implementation strategy. 46

The final two assignments have very limited information regarding the communication 47 requirements. In Assignment 6 (x(i) = y(i)) the only information available is that x(i) 48

and y(i+1) are on the same processor; this has no logical consequences for the relationship between x(i) and y(i). Thus, nothing can be said regarding communication in the statement without further information. In Assignment 7 (a(i) = a(inx(i)) + b(inx(i))), it can be proved that a(inx(i)) and b(inx(i)) are always mapped to the same processor. Similarly, it is easy to deduce that a(i) and inx(i) are mapped together. Without knowledge of the values stored in inx, however, the relation between a(i) and a(inx(i)) unknown, as is the relationship between a(i) and b(inx(i)).

The inherent communication for a sequence of assignment statements is the union of the communication requirements for the individual statements. An array element used in several statements contributes to the total inherent (i.e. minimal) communication only once (assuming an optimizing compiler that eliminates common subexpressions), unless the array element may have been changed since its last use. For example, consider the code below:

```
13
14
15
```

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

48

```
REAL a(1000), b(1000), c(1000)
!HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) ONTO procs :: a, b, c
...
a(i) = b(i+2)  ! Statement 1
b(i) = c(i+3)  ! Statement 2
b(i+2) = 2 * a(i+2)  ! Statement 3
c(i) = a(i+1) + b(i+2) + c(i+3) ! Statement 4
```

- Statements 1 and 2 each require one array element to be communicated for any value of i.
   Statement 3 has no inherent communication. To simplify the discussion, assume that all four statements are executed on the processor storing the array element being assigned. <sup>1</sup>
   Then, for Statement 4:
  - Element a(i+1) induces communication, since it is not local and was not communicated earlier;
  - Element b(i+2) induces communication, since it is nonlocal and has changed since its last use; and
  - Element c(i+3) does not induce new communication, since it was used in statement 2 and not changed since.

Thus, the minimum total inherent communication in this program fragment is four array elements. It is important to note that this is a minimum. Some compilation strategies may produce communication for element c(i+3) in the last statement.

2.2.2 Aggregate Communication Examples

The following examples illustrate the communication implications of some more complex constructs. The purpose is to show how communication can be quantified, but again the explanations do not necessarily reflect the actual compilation process. It is important to note that the communication requirement for each statement in this section is estimated without considering the surrounding context.

46 Consider the following statements:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is an optimal strategy for this example, although not for all programs.

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

```
REAL a(1000), b(1000), c(1000)
!HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs :: a, b
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) ONTO procs :: c
...
FORALL ( i = 1:1000 ) a(i) = b(i) ! Forall 1
FORALL ( i = 1:1000 ) a(i) = c(i) ! Forall 2
! Forall 3
FORALL ( i = 2:999 ) a(i) = a(i-1) + a(i) + a(i+1)
! Forall 4
FORALL ( i = 2:999 ) c(i) = c(i-1) + c(i) + c(i+1)
```

The FORALL statement conceptually evaluates its right-hand side for all values of its indexes, then assigns to the left-hand side for all index values. These semantics allow parallel execution. Section 4 describes the FORALL statement in detail. The aggregate communication requirements of these statements follow directly from the inherent communication of the corresponding examples in Section 2.2.1.

In Forall 1, there is no inherent communication for any value of i; therefore, there is no communication for the aggregate construct.

In Forall 2, 90% of the references to c(i) are mapped to a processor different from that containing the corresponding a(i). The aggregate communication must therefore transfer 900 array elements. Furthermore, analysis based on the definitions of BLOCK and CYCLIC shows that to update the values of a owned locally, each processor requires data from every other processor. For example, procs(1) must somehow receive:

- Elements {2, 12, 22, ..., 92} from procs(2);
- Elements {3, 13, 23, ..., 93} from procs(3); and
- So on for the other processors.

This produces an all-to-all communication pattern similar to the pattern for transposing a 2-dimensional array with certain distributions. The details of implementing such a pattern are very machine dependent and beyond the scope of this standard.

In Forall 3, the array references are all mapped to the same processor except for the first and last values of i on each processor. The aggregate communication requirement is therefore two array elements per processor (except procs(1) and procs(10)), or 18 elements total. Each processor must receive values from its left and right neighbors (again, except for procs(1) and procs(10)). This leads to a simple shift communication pattern (without wraparound).

In Forall 4, the update of each array element requires two off-processor values, each from a different processor. The total communication volume is therefore 1996 array elements. Further analysis reveals that all elements on processor procs(k) require elements from  $procs(k \oplus 1)$  and  $procs(k \oplus 1)$  (MODULO(k - 2, 10) + 1 and MODULO(k, 10) + 1 respectively, so called "clock arithmetic"). This leads to a massive shift communication pattern (with wraparound).

The aggregate communication for other constructs can be computed similarly. Iterative 47 constructs generate the sum of the inherent communication for nested statements, while 48

39

conditionals require at least the communication needed by the conditional branch that is
 taken. Repeated communication of the same array elements in any construct is not necessary
 unless the values of those elements may change.

Array expressions require an analysis similar to that for FORALL statements. In these cases, the inherent communication for each element of the result can be analyzed and the aggregate formed on that basis. The following statements have the same communication requirements as the above FORALL statements:

```
REAL a(1000), b(1000), c(1000)
9
       !HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
10
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs :: a, b
11
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) ONTO procs :: c
12
13
              ! Assignment 1 (equivalent to Forall 1)
14
              a(:) = b(:)
1.5
16
              ! Assignment 2 (equivalent to Forall 2)
17
              a(1:1000) = c(1:1000)
18
19
              ! Assignment 3 (equivalent to Forall 3)
20
              a(2:999) = a(1:998) + a(2:999) + a(3:1000)
21
22
              ! Assignment 4 (equivalent to Forall 4)
23
              c(2:999) = c(1:998) + c(2:999) + c(3:1000)
24
25
         Some array intrinsics have inherent communication costs as well. For example, consider:
26
27
              REAL a(1000), b(1000), scalar
28
       !HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
29
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs :: a, b
30
              . . .
31
              ! Intrinsic 1
32
              scalar = SUM( a )
33
34
              ! Intrinsic 2
35
              a = SPREAD( b(1), DIM=1, NCOPIES=1000 )
36
37
              ! Intrinsic 3
38
              a = CSHIFT(a,-1) + a + CSHIFT(a,1)
```

In general, the inherent communication derives from the mathematical definition of the 40 function. For example, the inherent communication for computing SUM is one element for 41 each processor storing part of the operand, minus one. (Further communication may be 42needed to store the result.) The optimal communication pattern is very machine-specific. 43 Similar remarks apply to any accumulation operation; prefix and suffix intrinsics may require 44 a larger volume based on the distribution. The SPREAD operation above requires a broadcast 45 from **procs(1)** to all processors, which may take advantage of available hardware. The 46 CSHIFT operations produce a shift communication pattern (with wraparound). This list of 47examples illustrating array intrinsics is not meant to be exhaustive.  $^{48}$ 

There are other examples of situations in which nonaligned data must be communicated:

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

 $^{46}$ 

In the first assignment, the use of different strides in the two references to  $\mathbf{a}$  on the righthand side will cause communication. The second assignment statement requires either a transpose of  $\mathbf{c}$  or  $\mathbf{d}$  or some complex communication pattern overlapping computation and communication.

A REALIGN directive may change the location of every element of the array. This will cause communication of all elements that change their home processor; in some compilation schemes, data will also be moved to new locations on the same processor. The communication volume is the same as an array assignment from an array with the original alignment to another array with the new alignment. The REDISTRIBUTE statement changes the distribution for every array aligned to the operand of the REDISTRIBUTE. Therefore, its cost is similar to the cost of a REALIGN on many arrays simultaneously. Compiler analysis may sometimes detect that data movement is not needed because an array has no values that could be accessed; such analysis and the resulting optimizations are beyond the scope of this document.

#### 2.2.3 Interaction of Communication and Parallelism

The examples in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 were chosen so that parallelism and communication were not in conflict. The purpose of this section is to show cases where there is a tradeoff. The best implementation of all these examples will be machine dependent. As in the other sections, these examples do not necessarily reflect good programming practice.

Analyzing communication as in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 does not completely determine a program's performance. Consider the code:

```
REAL x(100), y(100)
!HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs:: x, y
...
D0 k = 3, 98
        x(k) = y(k) * (x(k-1) + x(k) + x(k+1)) / 3.0
        y(k) = x(k) + (y(k-1) + y(k-2) + y(k+1) + y(k+2)) / 4.0
ENDD0
ENDD0
```

Only a few values need be communicated at the boundary of each processor. However, every iteration of the DO loop uses data computed on previous iterations for the references x(k-1), y(k-1), and y(k-2). Therefore, although there is little inherent communication, the computation will run sequentially.

In contrast, consider the following code:

```
REAL x(100), y(100), z(100)
1
        !HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
2
        !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs:: x, y, z
3
               . . .
4
        !HPF$ INDEPENDENT
5
               DO k = 3, 98
6
                  x(k) = y(k) * (z(k-1) + z(k) + z(k+1)) / 3.0
7
                  y(k) = x(k) + (z(k-1) + z(k-2) + z(k+1) + z(k+2)) / 4.0
8
               ENDDO
9
10
      The INDEPENDENT directive asserts to the compiler that the iterations of the DO loop are
11
      completely independent of each other and none of the data accessed in the loop by an
12
     iteration is written by any other iteration.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the loop has substantial potential
13
      parallelism and is likely to execute much faster than the last example. Section 4 describes
14
      the INDEPENDENT directive in more detail.
15
          Assignment of work to processors may itself require communication. Consider the
16
     following code:
17
               INTEGER indx(1000), inv(1000)
18
        !HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
19
        !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO procs :: indx, inv
20
21
               FORALL ( j = 1:1000 ) inv(indx(j)) = j**2
22
23
      (Here, indx must be a permutation of the integers from 1 to 1000 in order for the FORALL
24
      to be well-defined.) Since the processor owning element inv(indx(j)) depends on the
     values stored in indx, some data must be communicated simply to determine where the
^{25}
26
      results will be stored. Two possible implementations of this are:
^{27}
         • Each processor calculates the squares for elements of indx that it owns and performs
28
           a scatter operation to communicate those values to the elements of inv where the
29
           final results are stored.
30
31
         • Each processor determines the owner of inv(indx(j)) for all elements of indx that
32
           it owns and notifies those processors. Each processor then computes the right-hand
33
           side for all elements for which it received notification.
34
      In either case, nontrivial communication must be performed to distribute the work among
35
      processors. The optimal sharing scheme, its implementation, and its cost will be highly
36
      architecture dependent.
37
          The parallelism in a section of code may conflict with the distribution of data, thus
38
     limiting the overall performance. Consider the following code:
39
               REAL a(1000,1000), b(1000,1000)
40
        !HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
41
        !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK,*) ONTO procs :: a, b
42
43
               . . .
               DO i = 2, 1000
44
                  a(i,:) = a(i,:) - (b(i,:)**2)/a(i-1,:)
45
               ENDDO
46
47
        <sup>2</sup>Many compilers would detect this without the assertion. What cases of implicit parallelism are detected
```



Here, each iteration of the DO loop has a potential parallelism of 1000. However, all elements of a(i,:) and b(i,:) are located on the same processor. Therefore, exploitation of any of the potential parallelism will require scattering the data to other processors. (This is independent of the inherent communication required for the reference to a(i-1,:).) There are several implementation strategies available for the overall computation.

• Redistribute a and b before the DO loop to achieve the effect of

```
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (*,BLOCK) ONTO procs :: a, b
```

Redistribute back to the original distributions after the DO loop. This allows parallel updates of columns of **a**, at the cost of two all-to-all communication operations.

- Group the columns of a into blocks, then operate on the blocks separately. This strategy can produce a pipelined effect, allowing substantial parallelism. It sends many small messages to the neighboring processor rather than one large message.
- Execute the vector operations sequentially. This results in totally sequential operation, but avoids overhead from process start-up and small messages.

This list is not exhaustive. The optimal strategy will be highly machine dependent.

There is often a choice regarding where the result of an intermediate array expression will be stored, and different choices may lead to different communication performance. A straightforward implementation of the following code, for example, would require two transposition (communication) operations:

```
REAL, DIMENSION(100,100) :: x, y, z
!HPF$ ALIGN WITH x :: y, z
...
x = TRANSPOSE(y) + TRANSPOSE(z) + x
```

Despite two occurrences of the TRANSPOSE intrinsic, an optimizing compiler might implement this as:

```
REAL, DIMENSION(100,100) :: x, y, z, t1
!HPF$ ALIGN WITH x :: y, z, t1
...
t1 = y + z
x = TRANSPOSE(t1) + x
```

with only one use of transposition.

Choosing an intermediate storage location is sometimes more complex, however. Consider the following code:

```
REAL a(1000), b(1000), c(1000), d(1000)
42
INTEGER ix(1000)
43
!HPF$ PROCESSORS procs(10)
44
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) ONTO procs:: a, b, c, d, ix
45
...
a = b(ix) + c(ix) + d(ix)
47
```

and the following implementation strategies:

- Evaluate each element of the right-hand side on the processor where it will be stored. This strategy potentially requires fetching three values (the elements of b, c, and d) for each element computed. It always uses the maximum parallelism of the machine.
  - Evaluate each element of the right-hand side on the processor where the corresponding elements of b(ix), c(ix), and d(ix) are stored. Ignoring set-up costs, this potentially communicates one result for each element computed. If the values of ix are evenly distributed, then it also uses the maximum machine parallelism.

On the basis of communication, the second strategy is better by a factor of 3; adding additional terms can make this factor arbitrarily large. However, that analysis does not consider parallel execution costs. If there are repeated values in ix, the second strategy may produce poor load balance. (For example, consider the case of ix(i) = 10 for all i.) Minimizing this cost is a compiler optimization and is outside the scope of this language specification.

22 S.

1 2

з

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

17 18

19

### 2.3 Syntax of Directives

HPF directives are consistent with Fortran 90 syntax in the following sense: if any HPF
 directive were to be adopted as part of a future Fortran standard, the only change necessary
 to convert an HPF program would be to remove the comment character and directive prefix
 from each directive.

24				
25	H201	hpf- $directive$ - $line$	$\mathbf{is}$	$directive$ - $origin\ hpf$ - $directive$
26	H202	directive-origin	is	!HPF\$
27		0	or	CHPF\$
28			or	*HPF\$
29			01	
30	H203	hpf- $directive$	$\mathbf{is}$	specification- $directive$
31			or	executable-directive
32	H204	specification-directive	is	processors-directive
33	11201		or	alian-directive
34			or	distribute-directive
35			01 07	dunamic directive
26			01	inhanit directive
30			or	
37			or	
38			or	combined-directive
39			or	sequence- $directive$
40	H205	executable-directive	is	realian-directive
41	11200		or	redistribute-directive
42			01	independent directive
43			01	independent-directive
44	Const	raint. An hnf-directive.	line	cannot be commentary following another statement on
45	COUP	the same line		cannot be commentary tonowing another statement on
46		the same line.		
47	Const	raint: A specification-a	lirecti	ive may appear only where a <i>declaration-construct</i> may
48		appear.		
		T T		

Constraint: An executable-directive may appear only where an executable-construct may appear. з Constraint: An *hpf-directive-line* follows the rules of either Fortran 90 free form (3.3.1.1) or fixed form (3.3.2.1) comment lines, depending on the source form of the surrounding Fortran 90 source form in that program unit. (3.3)An *hpf-directive* conforms to the rules for blanks in free source form (3.3.1), even in an HPF program otherwise in fixed source form. However an HPF-conforming processor is not required to diagnose extra or missing blanks in an HPF directive. Note that, due to Fortran 90 rules, the *directive-origin* may only be the characters **!HPF\$** in free source form. HPF directives may be continued, in which case each continued line also begins with a directive-origin. No statements may be interspersed within a continued HPF-directive. HPF directive lines must not appear within a continued statement. HPF directive lines may include trailing commentary. An example of an HPF directive continuation in free source form is: !HPF\$ ALIGN ANTIDISESTABLISHMENTARIANISM(I,J,K) & !HPF\$ WITH ORNITHORHYNCHUS\_ANATINUS(J,K,I) An example of an HPF directive continuation in fixed source form follows. Observe that column 6 must be blank, except when signifying continuation. !HPF\$ ALIGN ANTIDISESTABLISHMENTARIANISM(I,J,K) !HPF\$\*WITH ORNITHORHYNCHUS\_ANATINUS(J,K,I) This example shows an HPF directive continuation which is "universal" in that it can be treated as either fixed source form or free source form. Note that the "&" in the first line is in column 73. !HPF\$ ALIGN ANTIDISESTABLISHMENTARIANISM(I,J,K) & !HPF\$&WITH ORNITHORHYNCHUS\_ANATINUS(J,K,I) 

## Section 3

# Data Alignment and Distribution Directives

HPF data alignment and distributions directives allow the programmer to advise the compiler how to assign array elements to processor memories.

#### 3.1 Model

HPF adds directives to Fortran 90 to allow the user to advise the compiler on the allocation of data objects to processor memories. The model is that there is a two-level mapping of data objects to memory regions, referred to as "abstract processors." Data objects  $^{24}$ (typically array elements) are first *aligned* relative to one another; this group of arrays is then  $^{25}$ *distributed* onto a rectilinear arrangement of abstract processors. (The implementation then uses the same number, or perhaps some smaller number, of physical processors to implement  $^{27}$ these abstract processors. This mapping of abstract processors to physical processors is language-processor dependent.) 

The following diagram illustrates the model:



The underlying assumptions are that an operation on two or more data objects is likely to be carried out much faster if they all reside in the same processor, and that it may be possible to carry out many such operations concurrently if they can be performed on different processors.

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

Fortran 90 provides a number of features, notably array syntax, that make it easy for a compiler to determine that many operations may be carried out concurrently. The HPF directives provide a way to inform the compiler of the recommendation that certain data objects should reside in the same processor: if two data objects are mapped (via the two-level mapping of alignment and distribution) to the same abstract processor, it is a strong recommendation to the implementation that they ought to reside in the same physical processor. There is also a provision for recommending that a data object be stored in multiple locations, which may complicate any updating of the object but makes it faster for multiple processors to read the object.

There is a clear separation between directives that serve as specification statements and directives that serve as executable statements (in the sense of the Fortran standards). Specification statements are carried out on entry to a program unit, as if all at once; only then are executable statements carried out. (While it is often convenient to think of specification statements as being handled at compile time, some of them contain specification expressions, which are permitted to depend on run-time quantities such as dummy arguments, and so the values of these expressions may not be available until run time, specifically the very moment that program control enters the scoping unit.)

The basic concept is that every array (indeed, every object) is created with *some* alignment to an entity, which in turn has *some* distribution onto *some* arrangement of abstract processors. If the specification statements contain explicit specification directives specifying the alignment of an array A with respect to another array B, then the distribution of A will be dictated by the distribution of B; otherwise, the distribution of A itself may be specified explicitly. In either case, any such explicit declarative information is used when the array is created.

Advice to implementors. This model gives a better picture of the actual amount of work that needs to be done than a model that says "the array is created in some default location, and then realigned and/or redistributed if there is an explicit directive." Using ALIGN and DISTRIBUTE specification directives doesn't have to cause any more work at run time than using the implementation defaults. (End of advice to implementors.)

In the case of an allocatable object, we say that the object is created whenever it is allocated. Specification directives for allocatable objects (and allocated pointer targets) may appear in the *specification-part* of a program unit, but take effect each time the array is created, rather than on entry to the scoping unit.

Alignment is considered an *attribute* (in the Fortran 90 sense) of a data object. If an 37 object A is aligned (statically or dynamically) with an object B, which in turn is already 38 aligned to an object C, this is regarded as an alignment of A with C directly, with B serving 39 only as an intermediary at the time of specification. (This matters only in the case where 40 B is subsequently realigned; the result is that A remains aligned with C.) We say that A 41is *immediately aligned* with B but *ultimately aligned* with C. If an object is not explicitly 42 aligned with another object, we say that it is ultimately aligned with itself. The alignment 43 relationships form a tree with everything ultimately aligned to the object at the root of the 44 tree; however, the tree is always immediately "collapsed" so that every object is related 45directly to the root. Any object that is not a root can be explicitly realigned but not  $^{46}$ explicitly redistributed. Any object that is a root can be explicitly redistributed but must 47not be explicitly realigned if anything else is aligned to it. 48 Every object which is the root of an alignment tree has an associated *template* or index space. Typically, this template has the same rank and size in each dimension as the object associated with it. (The most important exception to this rule is dummy arguments with the INHERIT attribute, described in Section 3.9.) We often refer to "the template for an array," which means the template of the object to which the array is ultimately aligned. (When an explicit TEMPLATE (see Section 3.8) is used, this may be simply the template to which the array is explicitly aligned.)

The *distribution* step of the HPF model technically applies to the template of an 8 array, although because of the close relationship noted above we often speak loosely of 9 the distribution of an array. Distribution partitions the template among a set of abstract 10 processors according to a given pattern. The combination of alignment (from arrays to 11 templates) and distribution (from templates to processors) thus determines the relationship 12of an array to the processors; we refer to this relationship as the *mapping* of the array. 13 (These remarks also apply to a scalar, which may be regarded as having an index space 14 whose sole position is indicated by an empty list of subscripts.) 15

Every object is created as if according to some complete set of specification directives; 16 if the program does not include complete specifications for the mapping of some object, the 17compiler provides defaults. By default an object is not aligned with any other object; it 18 is ultimately aligned with itself. The default distribution is language-processor dependent, 19 but must be expressible as explicit directives for that implementation. (The distribution of 20 a sequential object must be expressible as explicit directives only if it is an aggregate cover 21 (see Section 7).) Identically declared objects need not be provided with identical default 22 distribution specifications; the compiler may, for example, take into account the contexts in 23 which objects are used in executable code. The programmer may force identically declared 24 objects to have identical distributions by specifying such distributions explicitly. (On the 25other hand, identically declared processor arrangements are guaranteed to represent "the 26 same processors arranged the same way." This is discussed in more detail in Section 3.7.) 27

Once an object has been created, it can be remapped by realigning it or redistributing an object to which it is ultimately aligned; but communication may be required in moving the data around. Redistributing an object causes all objects then ultimately aligned with it also to be redistributed so as to maintain the alignment relationships.

Sometimes it is desirable to consider a large index space with which several smaller arrays are to be aligned, but not to declare any array that spans the entire index space. HPF allows one to declare a TEMPLATE, which is like an array whose elements have no content and therefore occupy no storage; it is merely an abstract index space that can be distributed and with which arrays may be aligned.

By analogy with the Fortran 90 ALLOCATABLE attribute, HPF includes the attribute DYNAMIC. It is not permitted to REALIGN an array that has not been declared DYNAMIC. Similarly, it is not permitted to REDISTRIBUTE an array or template that has not been declared DYNAMIC.

41 42

43

#### 3.2 Syntax of Data Alignment and Distribution Directives

Specification directives in HPF have two forms: specification statements, analogous to the
 DIMENSION and ALLOCATABLE statements of Fortran 90; and an attribute form analogous to
 type declaration statements in Fortran 90 using the "::" punctuation.

The attribute form allows more than one attribute to be described in a single directive. HPF goes beyond Fortran 90 in not requiring that the first attribute, or indeed any of them,

2

3

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

be a type specifier.

For syntactic convenience, the executable directives REALIGN and REDISTRIBUTE also come in two forms (statement form and attribute form) but may not be combined with other attributes in a single directive.

H301	combined- $directive$	is	combined-attribute-list :: $entity$ - $decl$ -list	5
			······································	6
H302	combined - $attribute$	$\mathbf{is}$	ALIGN align-attribute-stuff	7
		or	DISTRIBUTE dist-attribute-stuff	8
		or	DYNAMIC	9
		or	INHERIT	10
		or	TEMPLATE	11
		or	PROCESSORS	12
		or	DIMENSION ( $explicit$ -shape-spec-list )	13
Const	mint: The same comb	ind	attribute must not appear more than once in a given	14

Constraint: The same *combined-attribute* must not appear more than once in a given combined-directive.

Constraint: If the DIMENSION attribute appears in a *combined-directive*, any entity to which it applies must be declared with the HPF TEMPLATE or PROCESSORS type specifier.

The following rules constrain the declaration of various attributes, whether in separate directives or in a combined-directive.

The HPF keywords PROCESSORS and TEMPLATE play the role of type specifiers in declaring processor arrangements and templates. The HPF keywords ALIGN, DISTRIBUTE, DYNAMIC, and INHERIT play the role of attributes. Attributes referring to processor arrangements, to templates, or to entities with other types (such as REAL) may be combined in an HPF directive without having the type specifier appear.

Dimension information may be specified after an object-name or in a DIMENSION attribute. If both are present, the one after the *object-name* overrides the **DIMENSION** attribute (this is consistent with the Fortran 90 standard). For example, in:

!HPF\$ TEMPLATE,DIMENSION(64,64) :: A,B,C(32,32),D

A, B, and D are  $64 \times 64$  templates; C is  $32 \times 32$ .

A comment on asterisks: The asterisk character "\*" appears in the syntax rules for HPF alignment and distribution directives in three distinct roles:

- When a lone asterisk appears as a member of a parenthesized list, it indicates either a collapsed mapping, wherein many elements of an array may be mapped to the same abstract processor, or a replicated mapping, wherein each element of an array may be mapped to many abstract processors. See the syntax rules for *align-source* and align-subscript (see Section 3.4) and for dist-format (see Section 3.3).
- When an asterisk appears before a left parenthesis "(" or after the keyword WITH or ONTO, it indicates that the directive constitutes an assertion about the current mapping of a dummy argument on entry to a subprogram, rather than a request for a desired mapping of that dummy argument. This use of the asterisk may appear only in directives that apply to dummy arguments (see Section 3.10).
- When an asterisk appears in an *align-subscript-use* expression, it represents the usual integer multiplication operator.
#### 3.3 DISTRIBUTE and REDISTRIBUTE Directives 1 2 The DISTRIBUTE directive specifies a mapping of data objects to abstract processors in a З processor arrangement. For example, 4 5 REAL SALAMI(10000) 6 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE SALAMI(BLOCK) 7 specifies that the array SALAMI should be distributed across some set of abstract proces-8 sors by slicing it uniformly into blocks of contiguous elements. If there are 50 processors, 9 the directive implies that the array should be divided into groups of 200 elements, with 10 SALAMI(1:200) mapped to the first processor, SALAMI(201:400) mapped to the second 11 processor, and so on. If there is only one processor, the entire array is mapped to that 12processor as a single block of 10000 elements. 13 The block size may be specified explicitly: 14 15REAL WEISSWURST(10000) 16!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE WEISSWURST(BLOCK(256)) 1718 This specifies that groups of exactly 256 elements should be mapped to successive abstract $1\,9$ processors. (There must be at least $\lfloor 10000/256 \rfloor = 40$ abstract processors if the directive 20 is to be satisfied. The fortieth processor will contain a partial block of only 16 elements, 21 namely WEISSWURST(9985:10000).) 22 HPF also provides a cyclic distribution format: 23 REAL DECK\_OF\_CARDS(52) 24 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE DECK\_OF\_CARDS(CYCLIC) 2526 If there are 4 abstract processors, the first processor will contain DECK\_OF\_CARDS(1:49:4), 27 the second processor will contain DECK\_OF\_CARDS(2:50:4), the third processor will contain 28 DECK\_OF\_CARDS(3:51:4), and the fourth processor will contain DECK\_OF\_CARDS(4:52:4). 29 Successive array elements are dealt out to successive abstract processors in round-robin 30 fashion. 31 Distributions may be specified independently for each dimension of a multidimensional 32 array: 33 34 INTEGER CHESS\_BOARD(8,8), GO\_BOARD(19,19) 35 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CHESS\_BOARD(BLOCK, BLOCK) 36 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE GO\_BOARD(CYCLIC,\*) 37 The CHESS\_BOARD array will be carved up into contiguous rectangular patches, which will 38 be distributed onto a two-dimensional arrangement of abstract processors. The GO\_BOARD 39 array will have its rows distributed cyclically over a one-dimensional arrangement of abstract 40 processors. (The "\*" specifies that GO\_BOARD is not to be distributed along its second axis; 41 thus an entire row is to be distributed as one object. This is sometimes called "on-processor" 42distribution.) 43

The REDISTRIBUTE directive is similar to the DISTRIBUTE directive but is considered executable. An array (or template) may be redistributed at any time, provided it has been declared DYNAMIC (see Section 3.5). Any other arrays currently ultimately aligned with an array (or template) when it is redistributed are also remapped to reflect the new distribution, in such a way as to preserve alignment relationships (see Section 3.4). (This

can require a lot of computational and communication effort at run time; the programmer must take care when using this feature.)

The DISTRIBUTE directive may appear only in the *specification-part* of a scoping unit. The REDISTRIBUTE directive may appear only in the *execution-part* of a scoping unit. The principal difference between DISTRIBUTE and REDISTRIBUTE is that DISTRIBUTE must contain only a *specification-expr* as the argument to a BLOCK or CYCLIC option, whereas in REDISTRIBUTE such an argument may be any integer expression. Another difference is that DISTRIBUTE is an attribute, and so can be combined with other attributes as part of a *combined-directive*, whereas REDISTRIBUTE is not an attribute (although a REDISTRIBUTE statement may be written in the style of attributed syntax, using "::" punctuation).

Formally, the syntax of the DISTRIBUTE and REDISTRIBUTE directives is:

H303	distri	bute - $directive$	$\mathbf{is}$	${\tt DISTRIBUTE} \ \ distributee \ \ dist-directive-stuff$	13
H304	redis	tribute-directive	is or	REDISTRIBUTE distributee dist-directive-stuff REDISTRIBUTE dist-attribute-stuff :: distributee-list	14 15
H305	dist-a	list-directive-stuff		dist-format-clause [ dist-onto-clause ]	16 17
H306	306 dist-attribute-stuff		is or	dist-directive-stuff dist-onto-clause	18 19
H307	7 distributee			object-name template-name	20 21 22
H308	08 dist-format-clause			( dist-format-list ) * ( dist-format-list ) *	23 24 25
H309	)9 dist-format			BLOCK [ ( <i>int-expr</i> ) ] CYCLIC [ ( <i>int-expr</i> ) ] *	26 27 28 29
H310	dist-a	onto-clause	is	ONTO dist-target	30
H311	H311 dist-target			processors-name * processors-name *	31 32 33 34
Const	raint:	An <i>object-name</i> n subobject designa	nenti tor.	oned as a <i>distributee</i> must be a simple name and not a	35 36
Const	raint:	An <i>object-name</i> n ALIGN or REALIGN	nenti I dire	oned as a <i>distributee</i> may not appear as an <i>alignee</i> in an ective.	37 38 39
Const	raint:	A distributee that attribute (see Sec	app tion	ears in a REDISTRIBUTE directive must have the DYNAMIC $3.5$ ).	40 41 42
Const	raint:	If a <i>dist-format-listee</i> .	st is s	specified, its length must equal the rank of each distribu-	43 44
Constraint: If both a <i>dist-format</i> of the <i>dist-format</i> processor arrangen				st and a processors-name appear, the number of elements that are not "*" must equal the rank of the named t.	45 46 47 48

1 2	Constraint:	If a <i>processors-name</i> appears but not a <i>dist-format-list</i> , the rank of each <i>distributee</i> must equal the rank of the named processor arrangement.								
3 4 5	Constraint:	If either the <i>dist-format-clause</i> or the <i>dist-target</i> in a DISTRIBUTE directive begins with "*" then every <i>distributee</i> must be a dummy argument.								
6 7	Constraint:	Neither the dist-format-clause nor the dist-target in a REDISTRIBUTE may begin with "*".								
9 10	Constraint:	Any $int$ -expr appearing in a dist-format of a DISTRIBUTE directive must be a specification-expr.								
11 12	Note th	at the possibility of a <b>DISTRIBUTE</b> directive of the form								
13	!HPF\$ DI	$\texttt{STRIBUTE} \ dist-attribute-stuff :: \ distributee-list$								
15 16	is covered by Exampl	y syntax rule H301 for a <i>combined-directive</i> . es:								
17	!HPF\$ DI	STRIBUTE D1(BLOCK)								
18	!HPF\$ DI	STRIBUTE (BLOCK,*,BLOCK) ONTO SQUARE:: D2,D3,D4								
20 21	The meanings of the alternatives for <i>dist-format</i> are given below. Define the ceiling division function $CD(J,K) = (J+K-1)/K$ (using Fortran integer arith-									
22	metic with truncation toward zero.) Define the sailing remainder function $CP(I, K) = I_{-}K + CP(I, K)$									
23	Define the centring remainder function $GR(J,K) = J-R*GD(J,K)$ . The dimensions of a processor arrangement appearing as a <i>dist-target</i> are said to corre-									
25	spond in left-to-right order with those dimensions of a <i>distributee</i> for which the corresponding									
26	dist-format is not *. In the example above, processor arrangement SQUARE must be two-									
27	dimensional; its first dimension corresponds to the first dimensions of D2, D3, and D4 and									
28	its second dimension corresponds to the third dimensions of D2, D3, and D4.									
30	Let <i>a</i> be	gement in the corresponding dimension. For simplicity assume all dimensions								
31	have a lowe	r bound of 1. Then $BLOCK(m)$ means that a <i>distributee</i> position whose index								
32	along that d	imension is $j$ is mapped to an abstract processor whose index along the corre-								
33	sponding di	mension of the processor arrangement is $CD(j,m)$ (note that $m \times p \ge d$ must								
34	be true), an	d is position number $m+CR(j,m)$ among positions mapped to that abstract								
35	processor. 7	The first distribute position in abstract processor $k$ along that axis is position								
36	number 1+n	n*(k-1).								
37	BLOCK b	by definition means the same as $BLOCK(CD(d, p))$ .								
38	CYCLIC	(m) means that a <i>distributee</i> position whose index along that dimension is								
39	j is mapped	to an abstract processor whose index along the corresponding dimension of $p_{1}$ arrangement is $1+MODIUO(CD(i, m)-1, n)$ . The first distributes position in								
40	abstract processe	cessor k along that axis is position number $1+m*(k-1)$								
42	CYCLIC	by definition means the same as CYCLIC(1)								
43	CYCLIC	(m) and BLOCK(m) imply the same distribution when $m \times p > d$ , but BLOCK(m)								
44	additionally	asserts that the distribution will not wrap around in a cyclic manner, which								
45	a compiler cannot determine at compile time if $m$ is not constant. Note that CYCLIC and									
46	BLOCK (without argument expressions) do not imply the same distribution unless $p \ge d$ , a									
47	degenerate o	case in which the block size is 1 and the distribution does not wrap around.								
48	Suppose	e that we have 16 abstract processors and an array of length 100:								

Distributing the array BLOCK (which in this case would mean the same as BLOCK(7)):

## !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CENTURY(BLOCK) ONTO SEDECIM

results in this mapping of array elements onto abstract processors:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	8	15	22	29	36	43	50	57	64	71	78	85	92	99	
2	9	16	23	30	37	44	51	58	65	72	79	86	93	100	
3	10	17	24	31	38	45	52	59	66	73	80	87	94		
4	11	18	25	32	39	46	53	60	67	74	81	88	95		
5	12	19	26	33	40	47	54	61	68	75	82	89	96		
6	13	20	27	34	41	48	55	62	69	76	83	90	97		
7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	77	84	91	98		

Distributing the array BLOCK(8):

#### !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CENTURY(BLOCK(8)) ONTO SEDECIM

results in this mapping of array elements onto abstract processors:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	9	17	25	33	41	49	57	65	73	81	89	97			
2	10	18	26	34	42	50	58	66	74	82	90	98			
3	11	19	27	35	43	51	59	67	75	83	91	99			
4	12	20	28	36	44	52	60	68	76	84	92	100			
5	13	21	29	37	45	53	61	69	77	85	93				
6	14	22	30	38	46	54	62	70	78	86	94				
7	15	23	31	39	47	55	63	71	79	87	95				
8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96				

Distributing the array BLOCK(6) is not HPF-conforming because  $6 \times 16 < 100$ .

Distributing the array CYCLIC (which means exactly the same as CYCLIC(1)):

#### !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CENTURY(CYCLIC) ONTO SEDECIM

results in this mapping of array elements onto abstract processors:

1	1	2	<b>3</b>	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
4	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
5	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
6	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
7 8	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
9	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96
10	97	98	99	100												
15 16 res 17 18	ults in	n this 2	map	ping o 4	of arr 5	ay ele 6	ement 7	s ont 8	o abs <sup>.</sup> 9	tract 10	proce 11	ssors: 12	13	14	15	16
18	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
19	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	25	28	31	34	37	40	43	46
20	2	5	8	11	14	17	20	23	26	29	32	35	38	41	44	47
22	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48
23	49	52	55	58	61	64	67	70	73	76	79	82	85	88	91	94
24	50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	74	77	80	83	86	89	92	95
25	51	54	57	60	63	66	69	72	75	78	81	84	87	90	93	96
27	97	100														
28	98															
29 30	99															
50	-															

A DISTRIBUTE or REDISTRIBUTE directive must not cause any data object associated with the *distributee* via storage association (COMMON or EQUIVALENCE) to be mapped such that storage units of a scalar data object are split across more than one abstract processor. See Section 7 for further discussion of storage association.

The statement form of a DISTRIBUTE or REDISTRIBUTE directive may be considered an abbreviation for an attributed form that happens to mention only one *alignee*; for example,

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE distributee ( dist-format-list ) ONTO dist-target

is equivalent to 

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ( dist-format-list ) ONTO dist-target :: distributee

Note that, to prevent syntactic ambiguity, the *dist-format-clause* must be present in the statement form, so in general the statement form of the directive may not be used to specify the mapping of scalars. 

If the *dist-format-clause* is omitted from the attributed form, then the language pro-cessor may make an arbitrary choice of distribution formats for each template or array. So the directive 

3

6

8

9 10

11

18

20

 $^{24}$ 

27

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

46

47

48

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONTO P :: D1,D2,D3 means the same as !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONTO P :: D1 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONTO P :: D2 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONTO P :: D3 to which a compiler, perhaps taking into account patterns of use of D1, D2, and D3 within the code, might choose to supply three distinct distributions such as, for example, !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE D1(BLOCK, BLOCK) ONTO P !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE D2(CYCLIC, BLOCK) ONTO P 12 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE D3(BLOCK(43),CYCLIC) ONTO P 13 Then again, the compiler might happen to choose the same distribution for all three arrays. 14 In either the statement form or the attributed form, if the ONTO clause is present, it 15specifies the processor arrangement that is the target of the distribution. If the ONTO clause 16 is omitted, then a language-processor-dependent processor arrangement is chosen arbitrarily 17for each *distributee*. So, for example, 19 REAL, DIMENSION(1000) :: ARTHUR, ARNOLD, LINUS, LUCY !HPF\$ PROCESSORS EXCALIBUR(32) 21 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO EXCALIBUR :: ARTHUR, ARNOLD 22 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) :: LINUS, LUCY 23 causes the arrays ARTHUR and ARNOLD to have the same mapping, so that corresponding elements reside in the same abstract processor, because they are the same size and distributed 25in the same way (BLOCK) onto the same processor arrangement (EXCALIBUR). However, LUCY 26 and LINUS do not necessarily have the same mapping because they might, depending on the implementation, be distributed onto differently chosen processor arrangements; so cor-28

responding elements of LUCY and LINUS might not reside on the same abstract processor. (The ALIGN directive provides a way to ensure that two arrays have the same mapping without having to specify an explicit processor arrangement.)

#### 3.4 ALIGN and REALIGN Directives

The ALIGN directive is used to specify that certain data objects are to be mapped in the same way as certain other data objects. Operations between aligned data objects are likely to be more efficient than operations between data objects that are not known to be aligned (because two objects that are aligned are intended to be mapped to the same abstract processor). The ALIGN directive is designed to make it particularly easy to specify explicit mappings for all the elements of an array at once. While objects can be aligned in some cases through careful use of matching DISTRIBUTE directives, ALIGN is more general and frequently more convenient.

The **REALIGN** directive is similar to the **ALIGN** directive but is considered executable. 43 An array (or template) may be realigned at any time, provided it has been declared DYNAMIC 44 (see Section 3.5) Unlike redistribution (see Section 3.3), realigning a data object does not 45 cause any other object to be remapped. (However, realignment of even a single object, if it is large, could require a lot of computational and communication effort at run time; the programmer must take care when using this feature.)

The ALIGN directive may appear only in the specification-part of a scoping unit. The 1 REALIGN directive is similar but may appear only in the execution-part of a scoping unit. 2 The principal difference between ALIGN and REALIGN is that ALIGN must contain only a 3 specification-expr as a subscript or in a subscript-triplet, whereas in REALIGN such subscripts 4 may be any integer expressions. Another difference is that ALIGN is an attribute, and so 5 can be combined with other attributes as part of a combined-directive, whereas REALIGN is 6 not an attribute (although a REALIGN statement may be written in the style of attributed 7 syntax, using "::" punctuation). 8

9 10 Formally, the syntax of ALIGN and REALIGN is as follows:

H312 align-	-directive	$\mathbf{is}$	ALIGN alignee align-directive-stuff				
H313 realig	n-directive	is or	REALIGN alignee align-directive-stuff REALIGN align-attribute-stuff :: alignee-list				
H314 align-	-directive-stuff	is	( $align-source-list$ ) $align-with-clause$				
H315 align-	-attribute-stuff	is	[ ( align-source-list ) ] align-with-clause				
H316 aligne	ee	is	object-name				
H317 align-source			: * align-dummy				
H318 align-	dummy	is	scalar- $int$ - $variable$				
Constraint:	An <i>object-name</i> n DISTRIBUTE or RE	nent EDIS	noned as an <i>alignee</i> may not appear as a <i>distributee</i> in a <b>TRIBUTE</b> directive.				
Constraint:	Any <i>alignee</i> that tribute (see Section	app on 3.	ears in a REALIGN directive must have the DYNAMIC at- $5$ ).				
Constraint:	The <i>align-source-list</i> (and its surrounding parentheses) must be omitted if the <i>alignee</i> is scalar. (In some cases this will preclude the use of the statement form of the directive.)						
Constraint:	If the align-source	e-list	is present, its length must equal the rank of the alignee.				
Constraint:	An <i>align-dummy</i> must be a named variable.						
Constraint:	An object may no (However, an obj a REALIGN direct DISTRIBUTE or RE	ot ha ect v ive, EDIS	ve both the INHERIT attribute and the ALIGN attribute. with the INHERIT attribute may appear as an <i>alignee</i> in provided that it does not appear as a <i>distributee</i> in a IRIBUTE directive.)				
Note th	at the possibility of	of an	ALIGN directive of the form				
!HPF\$ AL	IGN align-attribute	e-stu	ff :: $alignee$ -list				
is covered by The sta tion of an at	y syntax rule H301 tement form of an ttributed form tha	for ALI thaj	a <i>combined-directive</i> . GN or <b>REALIGN</b> directive may be considered an abbrevia- opens to mention only one <i>alignee</i> :				
!HPF\$ AL	IGN alignee ( alig	n-sc	urce-list ) WITH align-spec				
	H312 align H313 realign H314 align H314 align H315 align H315 align H316 align H317 align Constraint:	<ul> <li>H312 align-directive</li> <li>H313 realign-directive</li> <li>H314 align-directive-stuff</li> <li>H315 align-attribute-stuff</li> <li>H316 alignee</li> <li>H317 align-source</li> <li>H318 align-dummy</li> <li>Constraint: An object-name model</li> <li>Constraint: Any alignee that tribute (see Section</li> <li>Constraint: The align-source-alignee is scalar. form of the direct</li> <li>Constraint: If the align-source</li> <li>Constraint: An object may not (However, an obj a REALIGN direct DISTRIBUTE or RE</li> <li>Note that the possibility of the statement form of an attribute</li> </ul>	H312align-directiveisH313realign-directiveisH314align-directive-stuffisH315align-attribute-stuffisH316aligneeisH317align-sourceisH317align-dummyisConstraint:An object-nameM318align-dummyisConstraint:An object-nameM318align-dummyConstraint:An object-nameMaineMaineConstraint:An object-nameConstraint:The align-source-listConstraint:The align-source-listConstraint:If the align-source-listConstraint:An align-dummyMaineMaineConstraint:An align-dummyMoteAttignMaine				

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

 $^{25}$ 

2627

28

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

is equivalent to !HPF\$ ALIGN ( align-source-list ) WITH align-spec :: alignee If the *align-source-list* is omitted from the attributed form and the *alignees* are not scalar, the *align-source-list* is assumed to consist of a parenthesized list of ":" entries, equal in number to the rank of the alignees. Similarly, if the align-subscript-list is omitted from the align-spec in either form, it is assumed to consist of a parenthesized list of ":" entries, equal in number to the rank of the *align-target*. So the directive !HPF\$ ALIGN WITH B :: A1, A2, A3 means 12 !HPF\$ ALIGN (:,:) WITH B(:,:) :: A1, A2, A3 which in turn means the same as !HPF\$ ALIGN A1(:,:) WITH B(:,:) !HPF\$ ALIGN A2(:,:) WITH B(:,:) !HPF\$ ALIGN A3(:,:) WITH B(:,:) 19 because an attributed-form directive that mentions more than one *alignee* is equivalent to a series of identical directives, one for each *alignee*; all *alignee*s must have the same rank. With this understanding, we will assume below, for the sake of simplifying the description, that an ALIGN or REALIGN directive has a single *alignee*. Each *align-source* corresponds to one axis of the *alignee*, and is specified as either ":" or "\*" or a dummy variable: • If it is ":", then positions along that axis will be spread out across the matching axis of the *align-spec* (see below). • If it is "\*", then that axis is *collapsed*: positions along that axis make no difference 29 in determining the corresponding position within the *align-target*. (Replacing the "\*" 30 with a dummy variable name not used anywhere else in the directive would have the 31 same effect; "\*" is merely a convenience that saves the trouble of inventing a variable name and makes it clear that no dependence on that dimension is intended.) • A dummy variable is considered to range over all valid index values for that dimension of the *alignee*. The WITH clause of an ALIGN has the following syntax: H319 align-with-clause is WITH align-spec H320 align-spec align-target [ ( align-subscript-list ) ]  $\mathbf{is}$ \* align-target [ ( align-subscript-list ) ] or object-name H321 align-target  $\mathbf{is}$ template-name or

H322 align-subscript int-expr is align-subscript-use or subscript-triplet or \* or

1 2	H323	align-subs	script-use	is [ or al	[ int-level-tu lign-subscript	o-expr ] add-op ] align-add-operand -use add-op int-add-operand					
3 4	H324	align-add	-operand	is [ or al	int-add-oper lign-add-oper	ind * ] align-primary and * int-mult-operand					
5 6 7	H325	align-prir	nary	is al or (	lign-dummy align-subscr	pt-use )					
8	H326	int-add-og	perand	is ad	dd-operand						
9 10	H327	int-mult-o	operand	is $m$	ult-operand						
11	H328	int-level-t	two-expr	is $le$	vel-2-expr						
12 13 14	Const	raint: If t be	he <i>align-spe</i> a dummy ar	c in an AL rgument.	IGN directive	begins with "*" then every <i>alignee</i> must					
16	Const	raint: The	e align-spec	in a REAL	IGN may not	begin with "*".					
17 18	Const	raint: Eac	ch <i>align-dun</i>	nmy may a	may appear at most once in an <i>align-subscript-list</i> .						
19 20 21	Const	raint: An alig	align-subsc 1n-dummy.	<i>ript-use</i> ex	xpression ma	y contain at most one occurrence of an					
22 23 24 25 26 27	Constraint: An <i>align-dummy</i> explicitly permit phrased, one ma <i>dummy</i> and then expressions that		<i>ny</i> may n iitted to a nay constr en doing ac at contain	ot appear an ppear by vir uct an <i>align</i> dditive and m no <i>align-dun</i>	hywhere in the <i>align-spec</i> except where sue of the grammar shown above. Para- subscript-use by starting with an <i>align</i> - sultiplicative things to it with any integer <i>amy</i> .						
28 29 30	Const	raint: A s dur	subscript in nmy.	an <i>align</i> -	<i>subscript</i> ma	y not contain occurrences of any <i>align</i> -					
31 32	Const	raint: An inte	<i>int-add-ope</i> eger.	erand, int-	-mult-operand	l, or <i>int-level-two-expr</i> must be of type					
34 35 36 37 38 39	T but th single F K, and	The syntax ne basic ide occurrence or example l M are <i>alig</i>	rules for an ea is simple e of an <i>align</i> e, the follow <i>m-dummy</i> s a	align-sub : an align n-dummy. ving align- and N is no	script-use tal -subscript-us -subscript-use ot an align-d	te account of operator precedence issues, e is intended to be a linear function of a e expressions are valid, assuming that J, ummy:					
40	J	J+1	3-K	2∗M	N*M	100-3*M					
41	– J	+J	-K+3	M+2**3	M+N	-(4*7+IOR(6,9))*K-(13-5/3)					
42	M*2	N*(M-N)	2*(J+1)	5-K <b>+</b> 3	10000-M*3	2*(3*(K-1)+13)-100					
43	Т	he followi	ng expressio	ons are not	t valid <i>align</i>	subscript-use expressions:					
45	⊺ <b>∔</b> ⊺	T – T	3*1/-0*1/	Мж ( № – М	) )*1-3*1-	I 2*(3*(K-1)+13)-K					
47	J*J	J + K	3/K 3/K	2**M	/ ∠~J J~J+ M*K	K-3*M					
48	K-J	IOR(J,1)	) -K/3	 M*(2+M	) M*(M-N)	2**(2*J-3*J+J)					

з

 $^{46}$ 

The align-spec must contain exactly as many subscript-triplets as the number of colons (":") appearing in the align-source-list. These are matched up in corresponding left-to-right order, ignoring, for this purpose, any align-source that is not a colon and any align-subscript that is not a subscript-triplet. Consider a dimension of the alignee for which a colon appears as an align-source and let the lower and upper bounds of that array be LA and UA. Let the corresponding subscript triplet be LT:UT:ST or its equivalent. Then the colon could be replaced by a new, as-yet-unused dummy variable, say J, and the subscript triplet by the expression (J-LA)\*ST+LT without affecting the meaning of the directive. Moreover, the axes must conform, which means that

$$\max(0, UA - LA + 1) = \max(0, \lceil (UT - LT + 1)/ST \rceil)$$

must be true. (This is entirely analogous to the treatment of array assignment.)

To simplify the remainder of the discussion, we assume that every colon in the *align-source-list* has been replaced by new dummy variables in exactly the fashion just described, and that every "\*" in the *align-source-list* has likewise been replaced by an otherwise unused dummy variable. For example,

!HPF\$ ALIGN A(:,\*,K,:,:,\*) WITH B(31:,:,K+3,20:100:3)

may be transformed into its equivalent

```
      !HPF$ ALIGN A(I,J,K,L,M,N) WITH B(I-LBOUND(A,1)+31, &

      !HPF$
      L-LBOUND(A,4)+LBOUND(B,2),K+3,(M-LBOUND(A,5))*3+20)
```

with the attached requirements

Thus we need consider further only the case where every *align-source* is a dummy variable and no *align-subscript* is a *subscript-triplet*.

Each dummy variable is considered to range over all valid index values for the corresponding dimension of the *alignee*. Every combination of possible values for the index variables selects an element of the *alignee*. The *align-spec* indicates a corresponding element (or section) of the *align-target* with which that element of the *alignee* should be aligned; this indication may be a function of the index values, but the nature of this function is syntactically restricted (as discussed above) to linear functions in order to limit the complexity of the implementation. Each *align-dummy* variable may appear at most once in the *align-spec* and only in certain rigidly prescribed contexts. The result is that each *align-subscript* expression may contain at most one *align-dummy* variable and the expression is constrained to be a linear function of that variable. (Therefore skew alignments are not possible.)

An asterisk "\*" as an *align-subscript* indicates a replicated representation. Each element of the *alignee* is aligned with every position along that axis of the *align-target*.

Rationale. It may seem strange to use "\*" to mean both collapsing and replication; the rationale is that "\*" always stands conceptually for a dummy variable that appears nowhere else in the statement and ranges over the set of indices for the indicated dimension. Thus, for example,

1	!HPF\$ ALIGN A(:) WITH D(:,*)
2	
3	means that a copy of A is aligned with every column of D, because it is conceptually
4	equivalent to
5	for every legitimate index i glian $A(\cdot)$ with $D(\cdot, i)$
6	jor every regulation are analy angle R(.) with D(., j)
γ •	just as
9	
10	!HPF\$ ALIGN A(:,*) WITH D(:)
11	
12	is conceptually equivalent to
13	for every legitimate index i gliap $\Lambda(\cdot, i)$ with $D(\cdot)$
14	jor every legitimate mater j, align A(., j) with D(.)
15	Note, however, that while HPF syntax allows
16	, , , , <b>,</b> , <b>,</b>
17	!HPF\$ ALIGN A(:,*) WITH D(:)
18	
20	to be written in the alternate form
21	INDER ALTON A(. I) NITH D(.)
22	INFR ALIGN A(.,J) WITH D(.)
23	it does <i>not</i> allow
24	
25	<pre>!HPF\$ ALIGN A(:) WITH D(:,*)</pre>
26	
27	to be written in the alternate form
28	IUDE ( AITCN A(·) UTTU D(· T)
29	IIFF ALIGN A(.) WIIII D(.,5)
31	because that has another meaning (only a variable appearing in the <i>align-source-list</i>
32	following the <i>alignee</i> is understood to be an <i>align-dummy</i> , so the current value of the
33	variable $J$ is used, thus aligning $A$ with a single column of $D$ ).
34	Replication allows an optimizing compiler to arrange to read whichever copy is closest.
35	(Of course, when a replicated data object is written, all copies must be updated, not
36	just one copy. Replicated representations are very useful for use as small lookup
37	tables, where it is much faster to have a copy in each physical processor but without
38	giving it an extra dimension that is logically unnecessary to the algorithm.) (End of
39	rationale.)
40	By applying the transformations given above all cases of an align subscript may be
41	conceptually reduced to either an <i>int_ernr</i> (not involving an <i>alian_dummu</i> ) or an <i>alian_</i>
43	subscript-use and the alian-source-list may be reduced to a list of index variables with no "*"
44	or ":". An align-subscript-list may then be evaluated for any specific combination of values
45	for the <i>align-dummy</i> variables simply by evaluating each <i>align-subscript</i> as an expression.
46	The resulting subscript values must be legitimate subscripts for the align-target. (This
47	implies that the <i>alignee</i> is not allowed to "wrap around" or "extend past the edges" of an
48	align-target.) The selected element of the alignee is then considered to be aligned with the

indicated element of the *align-target*; more precisely, the selected element of the *alignee* is considered to be ultimately aligned with the same object with which the indicated element of the *align-target* is currently ultimately aligned (possibly itself).

Once a relationship of ultimate alignment is established, it persists, even if the ultimate *align-target* is redistributed, unless and until the *alignee* is realigned by a **REALIGN** directive, which is permissible only if the *alignee* has the **DYNAMIC** attribute.

More examples of ALIGN directives:

INTEGER D1(N)	
LOGICAL D2(N,N)	
REAL, DIMENSION(N,N):: X,A,B,C,AR1,AR2A,P,Q,R	S
HPF\$ ALIGN X(:,*) WITH D1(:)	
HPF\$ ALIGN (:,*) WITH D1:: A,B,C,AR1,AR2A	
HPF\$ ALIGN WITH D2, DYNAMIC:: P,Q,R,S	

Note that, in a *alignee-list*, the alignees must all have the same rank but need not all have the same shape; the extents need match only for dimensions that correspond to colons in the *align-source-list*. This turns out to be an extremely important convenience; one of the most common cases in current practice is aligning arrays that match in distributed ("parallel") dimensions but may differ in collapsed ("on-processor") dimensions:

```
REAL A(3,N), B(4,N), C(43,N), Q(N)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE Q(BLOCK)
!HPF$ ALIGN (*,:) WITH Q:: A,B,C
```

Here there are processors (perhaps  $\mathbb{N}$  of them) and arrays of different sizes (3, 4, 43) within each processor are required. As far as HPF is concerned, the numbers 3, 4, and 43 may be different, because those axes will be collapsed. Thus array elements with indices differing only along that axis will all be aligned with the same element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (and thus be specified as residing in the same processor).

In the following examples, each directive in the group means the same thing, assuming that corresponding axis upper and lower bounds match:

```
!Second axis of X is collapsed
!HPF$ ALIGN X(:,*) WITH D1(:)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,*) WITH D1(J)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,K) WITH D1(J)
!Replicated representation along second axis of D3
!HPF$ ALIGN X(:,:) WITH D3(:,*,:)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,K) WITH D3(J,*,K)
!Transposing two axes
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,K) WITH D2(K,J)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,:) WITH D2(K,J)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(J,:) WITH D2(:,J)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(:,K) WITH D2(:,J)
!HPF$ ALIGN X(:,K) WITH D2(K,:)
!But there isn't any way to get rid of *both* index variables;
! the subscript-triplet syntax alone cannot express transposition.
```

```
!Reversing both axes
1
        !HPF$ ALIGN X(J,K) WITH D2(M-J+1,N-K+1)
2
       !HPF$ ALIGN X(:,:) WITH D2(M:1:-1,N:1:-1)
3
4
       !Simple case
5
       !HPF$ ALIGN X(J,K) WITH D2(J,K)
6
       !HPF$ ALIGN X(:,:) WITH D2(:,:)
7
       !HPF$ ALIGN (J,K) WITH D2(J,K):: X
8
       !HPF$ ALIGN (:,:) WITH D2(:,:):: X
9
       !HPF$ ALIGN WITH D2:: X
10
11
     3.5 DYNAMIC Directive
12
13
     The DYNAMIC attribute specifies that an object may be dynamically realigned or redis-
14
     tributed.
1.5
16
     H329 dynamic-directive
                                   is
                                       DYNAMIC alignee-or-distributee-list
17
     H330 alignee-or-distributee
                                   is
                                       alignee
18
                                   or distributee
19
20
     Constraint: An object in COMMON may not be declared DYNAMIC and may not be aligned to
21
                  an object (or template) that is DYNAMIC. (To get this kind of effect, Fortran 90
22
                  modules must be used instead of COMMON blocks.)
23
     Constraint: An object with the SAVE attribute may not be declared DYNAMIC and may not
24
                  be aligned to an object (or template) that is DYNAMIC.
25
26
          A REALIGN directive may not be applied to an alignee that does not have the DYNAMIC
     attribute. A REDISTRIBUTE directive may not be applied to a distributee that does not have
27
     the DYNAMIC attribute.
28
          A DYNAMIC directive may be combined with other directives, with the attributes stated
29
     in any order, consistent with the Fortran 90 attribute syntax.
30
31
         Examples:
32
       !HPF$ DYNAMIC A,B,C,D,E
33
       !HPF$ DYNAMIC:: A,B,C,D,E
34
       !HPF$ DYNAMIC, ALIGN WITH SNEEZY:: X,Y,Z
35
       !HPF$ ALIGN WITH SNEEZY, DYNAMIC:: X,Y,Z
36
       !HPF$ DYNAMIC, DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK, BLOCK) :: X,Y
37
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK, BLOCK), DYNAMIC :: X,Y
38
     The first two examples mean exactly the same thing. The next two examples mean exactly
39
     the same second thing. The last two examples mean exactly the same third thing.
40
         The three directives
41
42
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE A(64,64),B(64,64),C(64,64),D(64,64)
43
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK, BLOCK) ONTO P:: A,B,C,D
44
       !HPF$ DYNAMIC A,B,C,D
45
     may be combined into a single directive as follows:
46
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE, DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK, BLOCK) ONTO P,
                                                                  &
47
        !HPF$
                DIMENSION(64,64), DYNAMIC :: A,B,C,D
48
```

з

 $^{24}$ 

#### 3.6 Allocatable Arrays and Pointers

A variable with the POINTER or ALLOCATABLE attribute may appear as an *alignee* in an ALIGN directive or as a *distributee* in a DISTRIBUTE directive. Such directives do not take effect immediately, however; they take effect each time the array is allocated by an ALLOCATE statement, rather than on entry to the scoping unit. The values of all specification expressions in such a directive are determined once on entry to the scoping unit and may be used multiple times (or not at all). For example:

```
SUBROUTINE MILLARD_FILLMORE(N,M)
REAL, ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: A, B
!HPF$ ALIGN B(I) WITH A(I+N)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE A(BLOCK(M*2))
N = 43
M = 91
ALLOCATE(A(27))
ALLOCATE(B(13))
```

The values of the expressions N and M\*2 on entry to the subprogram are conceptually retained by the ALIGN and DISTRIBUTE directives for later use at allocation time. When the array A is allocated, it is distributed with a block size equal to the retained value of M\*2, not the value 182. When the array B is allocated, it is aligned relative to A according to the retained value of N, not its new value 43.

Note that it would have been incorrect in the MILLARD\_FILLMORE example to perform the two ALLOCATE statements in the opposite order. In general, when an object X is created it may be aligned to another object Y only if Y has already been created or allocated. The following example illustrates several related cases.

	SUBROUTINE WARREN_HARDING(P,Q)	
	REAL P(:)	
	REAL Q(:)	
	REAL R(SIZE(Q))	
	REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: S(:),T(:)	
!HPF\$	ALIGN P(I) WITH T(I)	!Nonconforming
!HPF\$	ALIGN Q(I) WITH *T(I)	!Nonconforming
!HPF\$	ALIGN R(I) WITH T(I)	!Nonconforming
!HPF\$	ALIGN S(I) WITH T(I)	
	ALLOCATE(S(SIZE(Q)))	!Nonconforming
	ALLOCATE(T(SIZE(Q)))	

The ALIGN directives are not HPF-conforming because the array T has not yet been allocated at the time that the various alignments must take place. The four cases differ slightly in their details. The arrays P and Q already exist on entry to the subroutine, but because T is not yet allocated, one cannot correctly prescribe the alignment of P or describe the alignment of Q relative to T. (See Section 3.10 for a discussion of prescriptive and descriptive directives.) The array R is created on subroutine entry and its size can correctly depend on the SIZE of Q, but the alignment of R cannot be specified in terms of the alignment of T any more  $^{46}$ than its size can be specified in terms of the size of T. It is permitted to have an alignment directive for S in terms of T, because the alignment action does not take place until S is  allocated; however, the first ALLOCATE statement is nonconforming because S needs to be aligned but at that point in time T is still unallocated.

If an ALLOCATE statement is immediately followed by REDISTRIBUTE and/or REALIGN directives, the meaning in principle is that the array is first created with the statically declared alignment, then immediately remapped. In practice there is an obvious optimization: create the array in the processors to which it is about to be remapped, in a single step. HPF implementors are strongly encouraged to implement this optimization and HPF programmers are encouraged to rely upon it. Here is an example:

9		
10		REAL,ALLOCATABLE(:,:) :: TINKER, EVERS
	!HPF\$	DYNAMIC :: TINKER, EVERS
11		REAL, POINTER :: CHANCE(:)
12	ньь.	DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) DVNAMIC ·· CHANCE
13	. ΠΙ Ι Ψ	DISTRIBUTE (DECOR); DINARICO ORANOL
14		
15		READ 6,M,N
1.0		ALLOCATE(TINKER(N*M,N*M))
10	!HPF\$	REDISTRIBUTE TINKER(CYCLIC, BLOCK)
17		ALLOCATE (EVERS(N.N))
18		$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
19	:ΠΓΓΨ	REALIGN EVENS(.,.) WITH TINKER(MM,IM)
20		ALLUCATE(CHANCE(10000))
21	!HPF\$	REDISTRIBUTE CHANCE(CYCLIC)

While CHANCE is by default always allocated with a BLOCK distribution, it should be possible for a compiler to notice that it will immediately be remapped to a CYCLIC distribution. Similar remarks apply to TINKER and EVERS. (Note that EVERS is mapped in a thinlyspread-out manner onto TINKER; adjacent elements of EVERS are mapped to elements of TINKER separated by a stride M. This thinly-spread-out mapping is put in the lower left corner of TINKER, because EVERS(1,1) is mapped to TINKER(M,1).)

An array pointer may be used in REALIGN and REDISTRIBUTE as an *alignee*, *align-target*,
 or *distributee* if and only if it is currently associated with a whole array, not an array section.
 One may remap an object by using a pointer as an *alignee* or *distributee* only if the object
 was created by ALLOCATE but is not an ALLOCATABLE array.

Any directive that remaps an object constitutes an assertion on the part of the programmer that the remainder of program execution would be unaffected if all pointers associated with any portion of the object were instantly to acquire undefined pointer association status, except for the one pointer, if any, used to indicate the object in the remapping directive.

Advice to implementors. If HPF directives were ever to be absorbed as actual Fortran statements, the previous paragraph could be written as "Remapping an object causes all pointers associated with any portion of the object to have undefined pointer association status, except for the one pointer, if any, used to indicate the object in the remapping directive." The more complicated wording here is intended to avoid any implication that the remapping directives, in the form of structured comment annotations, have any effect on the execution semantics, as opposed to the execution speed, of the annotated program.) (End of advice to implementors.)

44 45

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

When an array is allocated, it will be aligned to an existing template if there is an explicit ALIGN directive for the allocatable variable. If there is no explicit ALIGN directive, then the array will be ultimately aligned with itself. It is forbidden for any other object to be ultimately aligned to an array at the time the array becomes undefined by reason of deallocation. All this applies regardless of whether the name originally used in the ALLOCATE statement when the array was created had the ALLOCATABLE attribute or the POINTER attribute.

### 3.7 PROCESSORS Directive

The PROCESSORS directive declares one or more rectilinear processor arrangements, specifying for each one its name, its rank (number of dimensions), and the extent in each dimension. It may appear only in the *specification-part* of a scoping unit. Every dimension of a processor arrangement must have nonzero extent; therefore a processor arrangement cannot be empty.

In the language of section 14.1.2 of the Fortran 90 standard, processor arrangements are local entities of class (1); therefore a processor arrangement may not have the same name as a variable, named constant, internal procedure, etc., in the same scoping unit. Names of processor arrangements obey the same rules for host and use association as other names in the long list in section 12.1.2.2.1 of the Fortran 90 standard.

If two processor arrangements have the same shape, then corresponding elements of the two arrangements are understood to refer to the same abstract processor. (It is anticipated that language-processor-dependent directives provided by some HPF implementations could overrule the default correspondence of processor arrangements that have the same shape.)

If directives collectively specify that two objects be mapped to the same abstract processor at a given instant during the program execution, the intent is that the two objects be mapped to the same physical processor at that instant.

The intrinsic functions NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS and PROCESSORS\_SHAPE may be used to inquire about the total number of actual physical processors used to execute the program. This information may then be used to calculate appropriate sizes for the declared abstract processor arrangements.

H331 processors-directive	is	PROCESSORS processors-decl-list
H332 processors-decl	is	processors-name [ ( explicit-shape-spec-list ) ]
H333 processors-name	is	object-name

Examples:

!HPF\$	PROCESSORS	P(N)	
!HPF\$	PROCESSORS	Q(NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS()),	&
!HPF\$		R(8,NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS()/8)	
!HPF\$	PROCESSORS	BIZARRO(1972:1997,-20:17)	
!HPF\$	PROCESSORS	SCALARPROC	

If no shape is specified, then the declared processor arrangement is conceptually scalar.

Rationale. A scalar processor arrangement may be useful as a way of indicating that certain scalar data should be kept together but need not interact strongly with distributed data. Depending on the implementation architecture, data distributed to onto such a processor arrangement may reside in a single "control" or "host" processor draw the term of the machine has one), or may reside in an arbitrarily chosen processor, or may be the term of term of the term of term of

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

replicated over all processors. For target architectures that have a set of computational processors and a separate scalar host computer, a natural implementation is to map every scalar processor arrangement onto the host processor. For target architectures that have a set of computational processors but no separate scalar "host" computer, data mapped to a scalar processor arrangement might be mapped to some arbitrarily chosen computational processor or replicated onto all computational processors. (*End* of rationale.)

An HPF compiler is required to accept any PROCESSORS declaration in which the product of the extents of each declared processor arrangement is equal to the number of physical processors that would be returned by the call NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS(). It must also accept all declarations of scalar PROCESSOR arrangements. Other cases may be handled as well, depending on the implementation.

For compatibility with the Fortran 90 attribute syntax, an optional "::" may be inserted. The shape may also be specified with the DIMENSION attribute:

```
16
17 !HPF$ PROCESSORS :: RUBIK(3,3,3)
18 !HPF$ PROCESSORS, DIMENSION(3,3,3) :: RUBIK
```

As in Fortran 90, an *explicit-shape-spec-list* in a *processors-decl* will override an explicit DIMENSION attribute:

```
<sup>22</sup> !HPF$ PROCESSORS, DIMENSION(3,3,3) :: &
?<sup>3</sup> !HPF$ RUBIK, RUBIKS_REVENGE(4,4,4), SOMA
```

Here RUBIKS\_REVENGE is  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  while RUBIK and SOMA are each  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ . (By the rules enunciated above, however, such a statement may not be completely portable because no HPF language processor is required to handle shapes of total sizes 27 and 64 simultaneously.)

Returning from a subprogram causes all processor arrangements declared local to that
 subprogram to become undefined. It is not HPF-conforming for any array or template to be
 distributed onto a processor arrangement at the time the processor arrangement becomes
 undefined unless at least one of two conditions holds:

• The array or template itself becomes undefined at the same time by virtue of returning from the subprogram.

• Whenever the subprogram is called, the processor arrangement is always locally defined in the same way, with identical lower bounds, and identical upper bounds.

- 38
  - 39 40 41

42

32 33

34

35

36

37

 $^{24}$ 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

*Rationale.* Note that second condition is slightly less stringent than requiring all expressions to be constant. This allows calls to NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS or PROCESSORS\_SHAPE to appear without violating the condition. (*End of rationale.*)

Variables in COMMON or having the SAVE attribute may be mapped to a locally declared processor arrangement, but because the first condition cannot hold for such variables (they don't become undefined), the second condition must be observed. This allows COMMON variables to work properly through the customary strategy of putting identical declarations in each scoping unit that needs to use them, while allowing the processor arrangements to which they may be mapped to depend on the value returned by NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS. Advice to implementors. It may be desirable to have a way for the user to specify at compile time the number of physical processors on which the program is to be executed. This might be specified either by a language-processor-dependent directive, for example, or through the programming environment (for example, as a UNIX command-line argument). Such facilities are beyond the scope of the HPF specification, but as food for thought we offer the following illustrative hypothetical examples:

It may furthermore be desirable to have a way for the user to specify the precise mapping of the processor arrangement declared in a PROCESSORS statement to the physical processors of the executing hardware. Again, this might be specified either by a language-processor-dependent directive or through the programming environment (for example, as a UNIX command-line argument); such facilities are beyond the scope of the HPF specification, but as food for thought we offer the following illustrative hypothetical example:

```
!PDQ$ PHYSICAL PROCESSORS(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)
!HPF$ PROCESSORS G(8,64,16)
!PDQ$ MACHINE LAYOUT G(:GRAY(0:2),:GRAY(6:11),:BINARY(3:5,12))
```

This might specify that the first dimension of G should use hypercube axes 0, 1, 2 with a Gray-code ordering; the second dimension should use hypercube axes 6 through 11 with a Gray-code ordering; and the third dimension should use hypercube axes 3, 4, 5, and 12 with a binary ordering. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

#### 3.8 TEMPLATE Directive

The TEMPLATE directive declares one or more templates, specifying for each the name, the rank (number of dimensions), and the extent in each dimension. It must appear in the *specification-part* of a scoping unit.

In the language of section 14.1.2 of the Fortran 90 standard, templates are local entities of class (1); therefore a template may not have the same name as a variable, named constant, internal procedure, etc., in the same scoping unit. Template names obey the rules for host and use association as other names in the list in section 12.1.2.2.1 of the Fortran 90 standard.

A template is simply an abstract space of indexed positions; it can be considered as an <sup>46</sup> "array of nothings" (as compared to an "array of integers," say). A template may be used <sup>47</sup> as an abstract *align-target* that may then be distributed. <sup>48</sup>

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

```
H334 template-directive
                                        TEMPLATE template-decl-list
                                    is
1
2
      H335 template-decl
                                        template-name [ ( explicit-shape-spec-list ) ]
                                    is
з
      H336 template-name
                                        object-name
                                    is
4
5
      Examples:
6
7
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE A(N)
8
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE B(N,N), C(N,2*N)
9
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE DOPEY(100,100),SNEEZY(24),GRUMPY(17,3,5)
10
11
          If the "::" syntax is used, then the declared templates may optionally be distributed
12
     in the same combined-directive. In this case all templates declared by the directive must
13
      have the same rank so that the DISTRIBUTE attribute will be meaningful. The DIMENSION
14
      attribute may also be used.
15
16
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE, DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK,*) ::
                                                         &
17
        !HPF$
                                                 WHINEY(64,64), MOPEY(128,128)
18
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE, DIMENSION(91,91) :: BORED, WHEEZY, PERKY
19
20
          Templates are useful in the particular situation where one must align several arrays
      relative to one another but there is no need to declare a single array that spans the entire
21
     index space of interest. For example, one might want four N \times N arrays aligned to the four
22
      corners of a template of size (N + 1) \times (N + 1):
23
24
        !HPF$ TEMPLATE, DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK, BLOCK) :: EARTH(N+1,N+1)
25
               REAL, DIMENSION(N,N) :: NW, NE, SW, SE
26
        !HPF$ ALIGN NW(I,J) WITH EARTH( I , J )
27
        !HPF$ ALIGN NE(I,J) WITH EARTH( I ,J+1)
28
        !HPF$ ALIGN SW(I,J) WITH EARTH(I+1, J )
29
        !HPF$ ALIGN SE(I,J) WITH EARTH(I+1,J+1)
30
31
      Templates may also be useful in making assertions about the mapping of dummy arguments
32
      (see Section 3.10).
33
          Unlike arrays, templates cannot be in COMMON. So two templates declared in different
34
      scoping units will always be distinct, even if they are given the same name. The only way
35
     for two program units to refer to the same template is to declare the template in a module
36
     that is then used by the two program units.
37
          Templates are not passed through the subprogram argument interface. The template
38
      to which a dummy argument is aligned is always distinct from the template to which the
39
      actual argument is aligned, though it may be a copy (see Section 3.9). On exit from a
40
      subprogram, an HPF implementation arranges that the actual argument is aligned with the
41
     same template with which it was aligned before the call.
42
          Returning from a subprogram causes all templates declared local to that subprogram
43
      to become undefined. It is not HPF-conforming for any variable to be aligned to a template
44
      at the time the template becomes undefined unless at least one of two conditions holds:
45
46
         • The variable itself becomes undefined at the same time by virtue of returning from
47
           the subprogram.
^{48}
```

• Whenever the subprogram is called, the template is always locally defined in the same way, with identical lower bounds, identical upper bounds, and identical distribution information (if any) onto identically defined processor arrangements (see Section 3.7).

Rationale. (Note that this second condition is slightly less stringent than requiring all expressions to be constant. This allows calls to NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS or PROCESSORS\_SHAPE to appear without violating the condition.) (End of rationale.)

Variables in COMMON or having the SAVE attribute may be mapped to a locally declared template, but because the first condition cannot hold for such variable (they don't become undefined), the second condition must be observed.

#### 3.9 INHERIT Directive

The INHERIT directive specifies that a dummy argument should be aligned to a copy of the template of the corresponding actual argument in the same way that the actual argument is aligned.

#### H337 inherit-directive is INHERIT dummy-argument-name-list

The INHERIT directive causes the named subprogram dummy arguments to have the INHERIT attribute. Only dummy arguments may have the INHERIT attribute. An object may not have both the INHERIT attribute and the ALIGN attribute. The INHERIT directive may only appear in a *specification-part* of a scoping unit.

The INHERIT attribute specifies that the template for a dummy argument should be inherited, by making a copy of the template of the actual argument. Moreover, the INHERIT attribute implies a default distribution of DISTRIBUTE \* ONTO \*. Note that this default distribution is not part of Subset HPF; if a program uses INHERIT, it must override the default distribution with an explicit mapping directive in order to conform to Subset HPF. See Section 3.10 for further exposition. If an explicit mapping directive appears for the dummy argument, thereby overriding the default distribution, then the actual argument must be a whole array or a regular array section; it may not be an expression of any other form

If none of the attributes INHERIT, ALIGN, and DISTRIBUTE is specified explicitly for a dummy argument, then the template of the dummy argument has the same shape as the dummy itself and the dummy argument is aligned to its template by the identity mapping.

An INHERIT directive may be combined with other directives, with the attributes stated in any order, more or less consistent with Fortran 90 attribute syntax.

Consider the following example:

!HPF\$	REAL DOUGH(100) DISTRIBUTE DOUGH(BLOCK(10)) CALL PROBATE( DOUGH(7:23:2) )
	 SUBROUTINE PROBATE(BREAD) REAL BREAD(9)

#### !HPF\$ INHERIT BREAD

The inherited template of BREAD has shape [100]; element BREAD(I) is aligned with element 5 + 2\*I of the inherited template and, since BREAD does not appear in a prescriptive DISTRIBUTE directive, it has a BLOCK(10) distribution.

#### 3.10 Alignment, Distribution, and Subprogram Interfaces

Mapping directives may be applied to dummy arguments in the same manner as for other variables; such directives may also appear in interface blocks. However, there are additional options that may be used only with dummy arguments: asterisks, indicating that a specification is descriptive rather than prescriptive, and the INHERIT attribute.

First, consider the rules for the caller. If there is an explicit interface for the called subprogram and that interface contains mapping directives (whether prescriptive or de-1.5 scriptive) for the dummy argument in question, the actual argument will be remapped if necessary to conform to the directives in the explicit interface. The template of the dummy will then be as declared in the interface. If there is no explicit interface, then actual argu-ments that are whole arrays or regular array sections may be remapped at the discretion of the language processor; the values of other expressions may be mapped in any manner at the discretion of the language processor. 

Rationale. The caller is required to treat descriptive directives in an explicit interface as if they were prescriptive so that the directives in the interface may be an exact textual copy of the directives appearing in the subprogram. If the *caller* enforces descriptive directives as if they were prescriptive, then the descriptive directives in the *called* routine will in fact be correct descriptions. (*End of rationale.*)

In order to describe explicitly the distribution of a dummy argument, the template that is subject to distribution must be determined. A dummy argument always has a fresh template to which it is ultimately aligned; this template is constructed in one of three ways:

- If the dummy argument appears explicitly as an *alignee* in an ALIGN directive, its template is specified by the *align-target*.
- If the dummy argument is not explicitly aligned and does not have the INHERIT attribute, then the template has the same shape and bounds as the dummy argument; this is called the *natural template* for the dummy.
- If the dummy argument is not explicitly aligned and does have the INHERIT attribute, then the template is "inherited" from the actual argument according to the following rules:
  - If the actual argument is a whole array, the template of the dummy is a copy of the template with which the actual argument is ultimately aligned.
- If the actual argument is a regular array section of array A, then the template of the dummy is a copy of the template with which A is ultimately aligned.
- If the actual argument is any other expression, the shape and distribution of the template may be chosen arbitrarily by the language processor (and therefore the programmer cannot know anything *a priori* about its distribution).

 $^{25}$ 

In all of these cases, we say that the dummy has an *inherited template* rather than a natural template.

Consider the following example:

## LOGICAL FRUG(128),TWIST(128) !HPF\$ PROCESSORS DANCE\_FLOOR(16) !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) ONTO DANCE\_FLOOR::FRUG,TWIST CALL TERPSICHORE(FRUG(1:40:3),TWIST(1:40:3))

The two array sections FRUG(1:40:3) and TWIST(1:40:3) are mapped onto abstract processors in the same manner:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1			25												
	10			34											
		19													
4			28												
	13			37											
		22													
7			31												
	16			40											

However, the subroutine TERPSICHORE will view them in different ways because it inherits the template for the second dummy but not the first:

SUBROUTINE TERPSICHORE(FOXTROT,TANGO) LOGICAL FOXTROT(:),TANGO(:) !HPF\$ INHERIT TANGO

Therefore the template of TANGO is a copy of the 128 element template of the whole array TWIST. The template is mapped like this:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	9	17	25	33	41	49	57	65	73	81	89	97	105	113	121
2	10	18	26	34	42	50	58	66	74	82	90	98	106	114	122
3	11	19	27	35	43	51	59	67	75	83	91	99	107	115	123
4	12	20	28	36	44	52	60	68	76	84	92	100	108	116	124
5	13	21	29	37	45	53	61	69	77	85	93	101	109	117	125
6	14	22	30	38	46	54	62	70	78	86	94	102	110	118	126
7	15	23	31	39	47	55	63	71	79	87	95	103	111	119	127
8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	104	112	120	128

TANGO(I) is aligned with element 3\*I-2 of the template. But the template of FOXTROT has the same size 14 as FOXTROT itself. The actual argument, FRUG(1:40:3) is mapped to the 16 processors in this manner:

Abstract	Elements
processor	of FRUG
1	1, 2, 3
2	4, 5, 6
3	7, 8
4	9,10,11
5	12, 13, 14
6-16	none

It would be reasonable to understand the mapping of the template of FOXTROT to coincide with the layout of the array section:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1			9												
	4			12											
		7													
2			10												
	5			13											
		8													
3			11												
	6			14											

but we shall see that this is not permitted in HPF. Within subroutine **TERPSICHORE** it would be correct to make the descriptive assertion

!Nonconforming

```
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE TANGO *(BLOCK)
```

 $_{30}$  but it would not be correct to declare

```
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE FOXTROT *(BLOCK)
```

Each of these asserts that the template of the specified dummy argument is already dis-tributed BLOCK on entry to the subroutine. The shape of the template for TANGO is [128], inherited (copied) from the array TWIST, whose section was passed as the corresponding actual argument, and that template does indeed have a BLOCK distribution. But the shape of the template for FOXTROT is [14]; the layout of the elements of the actual argument FRUG(1:40:3) (3 on the first processor, 3 on the second processor, 2 on the third processor, on the fourth processor, ...) cannot properly be described as a **BLOCK** distribution of a length-14 template, so the DISTRIBUTE declaration for FOXTROT shown above would indeed be erroneous.

On the other hand, the layout of FRUG(1:40:3) can be described in terms of an alignment to a length-128 template which can be described by an explicit TEMPLATE declaration
 (see Section 3.8), so the directives

```
46 !HPF$ PROCESSORS DANCE_FLOOR(16)
47 !HPF$ TEMPLATE, DISTRIBUTE(BLOCK) ONTO DANCE_FLOOR::GURF(128)
48 !HPF$ ALIGN FOXTROT(J) WITH *GURF(3*J-2)
```

З

could be correctly included in **TERPSICHORE** to describe the layout of **FOXTROT** on entry to the subroutine without using an inherited template.

The simplest case is the use of the INHERIT attribute alone. If a dummy argument has the INHERIT attribute and no explicit ALIGN or DISTRIBUTE attribute, the net effect is to tell the compiler to leave the data exactly where it is—and not attempt to remap the actual argument. The dummy argument will be mapped in exactly the same manner as the actual argument; the subprogram must be compiled in such a way as to work correctly no matter how the actual argument may be mapped onto abstract processors. (It has this effect because an INHERIT attribute on a dummy D implicitly specifies the default distribution

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE D \* ONTO \*

rather than allowing the compiler to choose any distribution it pleases for the dummy argument. The meaning of this implied **DISTRIBUTE** directive is discussed below.)

In the general case of a DISTRIBUTE directive, where every *distributee* is a dummy argument, either the *dist-format-clause* or the *dist-target*, or both, may begin with, or consist of, an asterisk.

- Without an asterisk, a *dist-format-clause* or *dist-target* is prescriptive; the clause describes a distribution and constitutes a request of the language processor to make it so. This might entail remapping or copying the actual argument at run time in order to satisfy the requested distribution for the dummy.
- Starting with an asterisk, a *dist-format-clause* or *dist-target* is descriptive; the clause describes a distribution and constitutes an assertion to the language processor that it will already be so. The programmer claims that, for every call to the subprogram, the actual argument will be such that the stated distribution already describes the mapping of that data. (The intent is that if the argument is passed by reference, no movement of the data will be necessary at run time. All this is under the assumption that the language processor has observed all other directives. While a conforming HPF language processor is not required to obey mapping directives, it should handle descriptive directives with the understanding that their implied assertions are relative to this assumption.)
- Consisting of only an asterisk, a *dist-format-clause* or *dist-target* is transcriptive; the clause says nothing about the distribution but constitutes a request of the language processor to copy that aspect of the distribution from that of the actual argument. (The intent is that if the argument is passed by reference, no movement of the data will be necessary at run time.) Note that the transcriptive case, whether explicit or implicit, is not included in Subset HPF.

It is possible that, in a single DISTRIBUTE directive, the *dist-format-clause* might have an asterisk but not the *dist-target*, or vice versa.

These examples of **DISTRIBUTE** directives for dummy arguments illustrate the various combinations:

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE URANIA (CYCLIC) ONTO GALILEO

The language processor should do whatever it takes to cause URANIA to have a CYCLIC distribution on the processor arrangement GALILEO.

<sup>2</sup> The language processor should do whatever it takes to cause POLYHYMNIA to be distributed <sup>3</sup> onto the processor arrangement ELVIS, using whatever distribution format it currently has <sup>4</sup> (which might be on some other processor arrangement). (You can't say this in Subset HPF.)

#### !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE THALIA \*(CYCLIC) ONTO FLIP

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE POLYHYMNIA \* ONTO ELVIS

The language processor should do whatever it takes to cause THALIA to have a CYCLIC
 distribution on the processor arrangement FLIP; THALIA already has a cyclic distribution,
 though it might be on some other processor arrangement.

HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CALLIOPE (CYCLIC) ONTO \*HOMER

The language processor should do whatever it takes to cause CALLIOPE to have a CYCLIC distribution on the processor arrangement HOMER; CALLIOPE is already distributed onto HOMER, though it might be with some other distribution format.

<sup>15</sup> !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE MELPOMENE \* ONTO \*EURIPIDES 16

MELPOMENE is asserted to already be distributed onto EURIPIDES; use whatever distribution format the actual argument had so, if possible, no data movement should occur. (You can't say this in Subset HPF.)

<sup>20</sup> !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE CLIO \*(CYCLIC) ONTO \*HERODOTUS

<sup>21</sup> <sup>22</sup> CLIO is asserted to already be distributed CYCLIC onto HERODOTUS so, if possible, no data <sup>23</sup> movement should occur.

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE EUTERPE (CYCLIC) ONTO \*

The language processor should do whatever it takes to cause EUTERPE to have a CYCLIC distribution onto whatever processor arrangement the actual was distributed onto. (You can't say this in Subset HPF.)

```
29 !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE ERATO * ONTO *
```

The mapping of ERATO should not be changed from that of the actual argument. (You can't say this in Subset HPF.)

33 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ARTHUR\_MURRAY \*(CYCLIC) ONTO \*

ARTHUR\_MURRAY is asserted to already be distributed CYCLIC onto whatever processor arrangement the actual argument was distributed onto, and no data movement should occur.
 (You can't say this in Subset HPF.)

Please note that DISTRIBUTE ERATO \* ONTO \* does not mean the same thing as

```
38
39
```

37

1

6

10

11

24

!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ERATO \*(\*) ONTO \*

This latter means: ERATO is asserted to already be distributed \* (that is, on-processor) onto whatever processor arrangement the actual was distributed onto. Note that the processor arrangement is necessarily scalar in this case.

One may omit either the *dist-format-clause* or the *dist-target-clause* for a dummy argument. If such a clause is omitted and the dummy argument has the INHERIT attribute, then the compiler must handle the directive as if \* or ONTO \* had been specified explicitly. If such a clause is omitted and the dummy does not have the INHERIT attribute, then the compiler may choose the distribution format or a target processor arrangement arbitrarily. Examples:

#### !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE WHEEL\_OF\_FORTUNE \*(CYCLIC) 1 2 WHEEL\_OF\_FORTUNE is asserted to already be CYCLIC. As long as it is kept CYCLIC, it may 3 be remapped it onto some other processor arrangement, but there is no reason to. 4 5 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONTO \*TV :: DAVID\_LETTERMAN 6 DAVID\_LETTERMAN is asserted to already be distributed on TV in some fashion. The distri-7 bution format may be changed as long as DAVID\_LETTERMAN is kept on TV. (Note that this 8 declaration must be made in attributed form; the statement form 9 10 !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE DAVID\_LETTERMAN ONTO \*TV !Nonconforming 11 12 does not conform to the syntax for a **DISTRIBUTE** directive.) 13 The asterisk convention allows the programmer to make claims about the pre-existing 14 distribution of a dummy based on knowledge of the mapping of the actual argument. But 15 what claims may the programmer correctly make? 16 If the dummy argument has an inherited template, then the subprogram may contain 17directives corresponding to the directives describing the actual argument. Sometimes it is 18 necessary, as an alternative, to introduce an explicit named template (using a TEMPLATE 19 directive) rather than inheriting a template; an example of this (GURF) appears above, near 20 the beginning of this section. 21 If the dummy argument has a natural template (no INHERIT attribute) then things 22 are more complicated. In certain situations the programmer is justified in inferring a pre-23 existing distribution for the natural template from the distribution of the actual's template, $^{24}$ that is, the template that would have been inherited if the INHERIT attribute had been $^{25}$ specified. In all these situations, the actual argument must be a whole array or array 26 section, and the template of the actual must be coextensive with the array along any axes 27

If the actual argument is a whole array, then the pre-existing distribution of the natural template of the dummy is identical to that of the actual argument.

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

having a distribution format other than "\*."

If the actual argument is an array section, then, from each *section-subscript* and the distribution format for the corresponding axis of the array being subscripted, one constructs an axis distribution format for the corresponding axis of the natural template:

- If the *section-subscript* is scalar and the array axis is collapsed (as by an ALIGN directive) then no entry should appear in the distribution for the natural template.
- If the *section-subscript* is a *subscript-triplet* and the array axis is collapsed (as by an ALIGN directive), then \* should appear in the distribution for the natural template.
- If the *section-subscript* is scalar and the array axis corresponds to an actual template axis distributed \*, then no entry should appear in the distribution for the natural template.
- If the *section-subscript* is a *subscript-triplet* and the array axis corresponds to an actual template axis distributed \*, then \* should appear in the distribution for the natural template.
- If the *section-subscript* is a *subscript-triplet l:u:s* and the array axis corresponds to an actual template axis distributed BLOCK(n) (which might have been specified as

```
simply BLOCK, but there will be some n that describes the resulting distribution) and
1
           LB is the lower bound for that axis of the array, then BLOCK(n/s) should appear in
2
           the distribution for the natural template, provided that s divides n evenly and that
3
           l - LB < s.
4
5
         • If the section-subscript is a subscript-triplet l: u: s and the array axis corresponds to
6
           an actual template axis distributed CYCLIC(n) (which might have been specified as
7
           simply CYCLIC, in which case n = 1 and LB is the lower bound for that axis of the
8
           array, then CYCLIC(n/s) should appear in the distribution for the natural template,
9
           provided that s divides n evenly and that l - LB < s.
10
11
      If the situation of interest is not described by the cases listed above, no assertion about the
12
      distribution of the natural template of a dummy is HPF-conforming.
13
          Here is a typical example of the use of this feature. The main program has a two-
14
      dimensional array TROGGS, which is to be processed by a subroutine one column at a time.
15
      (Perhaps processing the entire array at once would require prohibitive amounts of temporary
16
      space.) Each column is to be distributed across many processors.
17
               REAL TROGGS(1024,473)
18
        !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE TROGGS(BLOCK,*)
19
               DO J=1,473
20
                 CALL WILD_THING(TROGGS(:,J))
21
               END DO
22
23
      Each column of TROGGS has a BLOCK distribution. The rules listed above justify the pro-
24
      grammer in saying so:
25
               SUBROUTINE WILD_THING(GROOVY)
26
27
               REAL GROOVY(:)
        !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE GROOVY *(BLOCK) ONTO *
28
29
          Consider now the ALIGN directive. The presence or absence of an asterisk at the start
30
      of an align-spec has the same meaning as in a dist-format-clause: it specifies whether the
31
      ALIGN directive is descriptive or prescriptive, respectively.
32
          If an align-spec that does not begin with * is applied to a dummy argument, the
33
      meaning is that the dummy argument will be forced to have the specified alignment on
34
      entry to the subprogram (which may require temporarily remapping the data of the actual
35
     argument or a copy thereof).
36
          Note that a dummy argument may also be used as an align-target.
37
38
               SUBROUTINE NICHOLAS(TSAR,CZAR)
39
               REAL, DIMENSION(1918) :: TSAR,CZAR
40
        !HPF$ INHERIT :: TSAR
41
        !HPF$ ALIGN WITH TSAR :: CZAR
42
      In this example the first dummy argument, TSAR, is allowed to remain aligned with the
43
      corresponding actual argument, while the second dummy argument, CZAR, is forced to be
44
      aligned with the first dummy argument. If the two actual arguments are already aligned,
45
      no remapping of the data will be required at run time; but the subprogram will operate
46
      correctly even if the actual arguments are not already aligned, at the cost of remapping the
47
      data for the second dummy argument at run time.
48
```

2

з

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

If the *align-spec* begins with "\*", then the *alignee* must be a dummy argument and the directive must be ALIGN and not REALIGN. The "\*" indicates that the ALIGN directive constitutes a guarantee on the part of the programmer that, on entry to the subprogram, the indicated alignment will already be satisfied by the dummy argument, without any action to remap it required at run time. For example:

SUBROUTINE GRUNGE(PLUNGE,SPONGE) REAL PLUNGE(1000),SPONGE(1000) !HPF\$ ALIGN PLUNGE WITH \*SPONGE

This asserts that, for every J in the range 1:1000, on entry to subroutine GRUNGE, the directives in the program have specified that PLUNGE(J) is currently mapped to the same abstract processor as SPONGE(J). (The intent is that if the language processor has in fact honored the directives, then no interprocessor communication will be required to achieve the specified alignment.)

The alignment of a general expression is up to the language processor and therefore unpredictable by the programmer; but the alignment of whole arrays and array sections is predictable. In the code fragment

REAL FIJI(5000),SQUEEGEE(2000) !HPF\$ ALIGN SQUEEGEE(K) WITH FIJI(2\*K) CALL GRUNGE(FIJI(2002:4000:2),SQUEEGEE(1001:))

it is true that every element of the array section SQUEEGEE(1001:) is aligned with the corresponding element of the array section FIJI(2002:4000:2), so the claim made in subroutine GRUNGE is satisfied by this particular call.

It is not permitted to say simply "ALIGN WITH \*"; an *align-target* must follow the asterisk. (The proper way to say "accept any alignment" is INHERIT.)

If a dummy argument has no explicit ALIGN or DISTRIBUTE attribute, then the compiler provides an implicit alignment and distribution specification, one that could have been described explicitly without any "assertion asterisks".

The rules on the interaction of the **REALIGN** and **REDISTRIBUTE** directives with a subprogram argument interface are:

- 1. A dummy argument may be declared DYNAMIC. However, it is subject to the general restrictions concerning the use of the name of an array to stand for its associated template.
- 2. If an array or any section thereof is accessible by two or more paths, it is not HPFconforming to remap it through any of those paths. For example, if an array is passed as an actual argument, it is forbidden to realign that array, or to redistribute an array or template to which it was aligned at the time of the call, until the subprogram has returned from the call. This prevents nasty aliasing problems. An example:

	MODULE FOO	43
	REAL A(10,10)	44
HPF\$	DYNAMIC :: A	45
	END	46
		47
	PROGRAM MAIN	48

i

1		USE FOO	
2		CALL SUB(A(1:5,3:	9))
3		END	
4			
T		SUBBOUTTINE SUB(B)	
5		NGE EUU	
6		DEAL D()	
7		REAL D(:,:)	
8			
9	HPF\$	REDISTRIBUTE A	!nonconforming
10		• • •	
11		END	
12			
13	Situatior	ns such as this are for	bidden, for the same reasons that an assignment to A
14	at the st	tatement marked "no:	nconforming" would also be forbidden. In general, in
15	any situ	ation where assignme	nt to a variable would be nonconforming by reason of
16	aliasing	remanning of that var	iable by an explicit BEALIGN or BEDISTRIBUTE directive
17	is also fo	rbidden	
18	15 4150 10	n bludell.	
19	An overrie	ding principle is that	any mapping or remapping of arguments is not visible
20	to the coller	This is true whether	r such remapping is implicit (in order to conform to
20	proggriptivo di	roctives which may t	homeolyce he explicit or implicit) or explicit (specified
21	by DEALTON of	nectives, which hay t	times) When the submorrow network and the callen
22	DY REALIGN O	r REDISIRIBULE direc	tives). When the subprogram returns and the caller
23	resumes execu	tion, all objects acces	sible to the caller after the call are mapped exactly as
24	they were belo	ore the call. It is not p	ossible for a subprogram to change the mapping of any
25	object in a ma	inner visible to its call	er, not even by means of REALIGN and REDISTRIBUTE.
26	A T * .		
27	Advice to	o implementors. The	ere are several implementation strategies for achieving
28	this beha	avior. For example, o	ne may be able to use a copy-in/copy-out strategy for
29	argumen	ts that require remap	ping on subprogram entry. Alternatively, one may be
30	able to r	emap the actual argu	ment on entry and remap again on exit to restore the
31	original	mapping. (End of adu	ice to implementors.)
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
±1			
48			

## Section 4

10 11

17

18

 $1\,9$ 

20

21

22

23

24

# Data Parallel Statements and Directives

The purpose of the FORALL statement and construct is to provide a convenient syntax for simultaneous assignments to large groups of array elements. Such assignments lie at the heart of the data parallel computations that HPF is designed to express. The multiple assignment functionality it provides is very similar to that provided by the array assignment statement and the WHERE construct in Fortran 90. FORALL differs from these constructs in its syntax, which is intended to be more suggestive of local operations on each element of an array, and in its generality, which allows a larger class of array sections to be specified. In addition, a FORALL may call user-defined functions on the elements of an array, simulating Fortran 90 elemental function invocation (albeit with a different syntax).

<sup>25</sup> HPF defines a new procedure attribute, PURE, to declare the class of functions that <sup>26</sup> may be invoked in this way. Both single-statement and block FORALL forms are defined in <sup>27</sup> this Section, as well as the PURE attribute and constraints arising from the use of PURE.

28 HPF also defines a new directive, INDEPENDENT. The purpose of the INDEPENDENT 29 directive is to allow the programmer to give additional information to the compiler. The 30 user can assert that no data object is defined by one iteration of a DO loop and used (read or 31 written) by another; similar information can be provided about the combinations of index 32 values in a FORALL statement or construct. Such information is sometimes valuable to enable 33 compiler optimizations, but may require knowledge of the application that is available only 34 to the programmer. Therefore, HPF allows a user to specify these assertions, on which the 35 compiler may in turn rely in its translation process. If the assertion is true, the semantics 36 of the program are not changed; if it is false, the program is not HPF-conforming and has 37 no defined meaning. 38

39 40

41

#### 4.1 The FORALL Statement

Fortran 90 places several restrictions on array assignments. In particular, it requires that operands of the right side expressions be conformable with the left hand side array. These restrictions can be relaxed by introducing the element array assignment statement, usually referred to as the FORALL statement. This statement is used to specify an array assignment in terms of array elements or groups of array sections, possibly masked with a scalar logical expression. In functionality, it is similar to array assignment statements and WHERE statements. The FORALL statement essentially preserves the semantics of Fortran 90 array assignments and allows for convenient assignments like 1 2 FORALL ( i=1:n, j=1:m ) a(i,j)=i+j as opposed to standard Fortran 90 a = SPREAD((/(i,i=1,n)/), DIM=2, NCOPIES=m) + & 6 SPREAD((/(i,i=1,m)/), DIM=1, NCOPIES=n) 8 It can also express more general array sections than the standard triplet notation for array 9 expressions. For example, 10 11 FORALL (i = 1:n) a(i,i) = b(i)12 assigns to the elements on the main diagonal of array **a**. 13 14 Rationale. It is important to note, however, that FORALL is not intended to be a 15general parallel construct; for example, it does not express pipelined computations 16 or MIMD computation well. This was an explicit design decision made in order to 17simplify the construct and promote agreement on the statement's semantics. (End of 18 rationale.) 19 20 4.1.1 General Form of Element Array Assignment 21 22 Rule R215 in the Fortran 90 standard for *executable-construct* is extended to include the 23 forall-stmt.  $^{24}$  $^{25}$ H401 forall-stmt FORALL forall-header forall-assignment is 26  ${
m H402}$  for all-header ( forall-triplet-spec-list [ , scalar-mask-expr ] )  $\mathbf{is}$ 2728 Constraint: Any procedure referenced in the scalar-mask-expr of a forall-header must be 29 pure, as defined in Section 4.3. 30 31 *Rationale.* Pure functions are guaranteed to be free of side effects. Therefore, they 32 are safe to invoke in the scalar-mask-expr. 33 Note that functions referenced in the *forall-triplet-spec-list* are not syntactically con-34 strained as the *scalar-mask-expr* is. This is consistent with the handling of bounds 35 expressions in DO loops. (*End of rationale.*) 36 37 H403 forall-triplet-spec is index-name = subscript : subscript [ : stride ] 38 39 Constraint: *index-name* must be a scalar integer variable. 40 Constraint: A subscript or stride in a forall-triplet-spec-list must not contain a reference to 41any *index-name* in the *forall-triplet-spec-list* in which it appears. 42 43 H404 forall-assignment is assignment-stmt 44 or pointer-assignment-stmt 4546 Constraint: Any procedure referenced in a *forall-assignment*, including one referenced by 47a defined operation or assignment, must be pure as defined in Section 4.3. 48

*Rationale.* Pure functions are guaranteed to have no side effects, and thus have an unambiguous meaning when used in a FORALL statement. Experience also suggests that they form a useful class of functions for use in scientific computation, and are particularly useful when applied as data-parallel operations. For these reasons, there was a strong consensus to allow their use in FORALL. More general functions called from FORALL were also considered, but eventually rejected for lack of agreement on their desirability, ease of implementation, or the semantics of complex cases they allowed. (End of rationale.)

To determine the set of permitted values for each *index-name* in the *forall-header*, we introduce some simplifying notation. In the forall-triplet-spec, let

- *m*1 be first *subscript* ("lower bound");
- m2 be second subscript ("upper bound");
- m3 be the *stride*; and

• max be 
$$\left\lfloor \frac{m2-m1+m3}{m3} \right\rfloor$$
.

20 If stride is missing, it is as if it were present with the value 1. Stride must not have the value 0. The set of permitted values is determined on entry to the statement and is  $m_1+(k-1)\times m_3, k=1,2,...,max$ . If  $max \leq 0$  for some index-name, the forall-assignment is not executed.  $^{24}$ 

A FORALL statement assigns to memory locations specified by the *forall-assignment* for permitted values of the *index-name* variables. A program that causes multiple values to be assigned to the same location is not HPF-conforming and therefore has no defined meaning. This is a semantic constraint rather than a syntactic constraint, however; in general, it cannot be checked during compilation.

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

1112

13 14

1516

1718

19

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

#### Interpretation of Element Array Assignments 4.1.2

Execution of an element array assignment consists of the following steps:

- 1. Evaluation in any order of the subscript and stride expressions in the forall-tripletspec-list. The set of valid combinations of index-name values is then the Cartesian product of the sets defined by these triplets.
- 2. Evaluation of the *scalar-mask-expr* for all valid combinations of *index-name* values. The mask elements may be evaluated in any order. The set of *active combinations* of *index-name* values is the subset of the valid combinations for which the mask evaluates to .TRUE.
- 3. Evaluation in any order of the *expr* and all expressions within *variable* (in the case 43 of assignment-stmt) or target and all expressions within pointer-object (in the case 44 of *pointer-assignment-stmt*.) of the *forall-assignment* for all active combinations of 45 *index-name* values. In the case of pointer assignment where the *target* is not a pointer, 46 the evaluation consists of identifying the object referenced rather than computing its 47value.  $^{48}$

4. Assignment of the computed *expr* values to the corresponding *variable* locations (in the case of *assignment-stmt*) or the association of the *target* values with the corresponding *pointer-object* locations (in the case of *pointer-assignment-stmt*) for all active combinations of *index-name* values. The assignments or associations may be made in any order. In the case of a pointer assignment where the *target* is not a pointer, this assignment consists of associating the *pointer-object* with the object referenced.

If the scalar mask expression is omitted, it is as if it were present with the value .TRUE. The scope of an *index-name* is the FORALL statement itself.

A *forall-stmt* is not HPF-conforming if the result of evaluating any expression in the *forall-header* affects or is affected by the evaluation of any other expression in the *forall-header*.

Rationale. This is consistent with the handling of DO loop bounds and strides. Disallowing references to impure functions in a *forall-triplet-spec-list* was suggested, but the analogy to DO bounds was considered too strong to overlook. Note that the *scalar-mask-expr* can only invoke pure functions, which are side-effect free. Therefore, the *scalar-mask-expr* cannot affect the values of the bounds. (*End of rationale.*)

A *forall-stmt* is not HPF-conforming if it causes any atomic data object to be assigned more than one value. A data object is atomic if it contains no subobjects. For the purposes of this restriction, any assignment (including array assignment or assignment to a variable of derived type) to a non-atomic object is considered to assign to all subobjects contained by that object.

*Rationale.* For example, an integer variable is an atomic object, but an array of integers is an object that is not atomic. Similarly, assignment to an array section is equivalent to assignments to each individual element (which may require further reductions when the array contains objects of derived type). This restriction allows cases such as

FORALL ( i = 1:10 ) a(indx(i)) = b(i)

if and only if **indx** contains no repeated values. Note that it restricts **FORALL** behavior, but not syntax. Syntactic restrictions to enforce this behavior would be either incomplete (ie. allow undefined behavior) or exclude conceptually legal programs.

Since a function called from a *forall-asssignment* must be pure, it is impossible for that function's evaluation to affect other expressions' evaluations, either for the same combination of *index-name* values or for a different combination. In addition, it is possible that the compiler can perform more extensive optimizations because all functions are pure. (*End of rationale.*)

### 4.1.3 Examples of the FORALL Statement

## FORALL (j=1:m, k=1:n) x(k,j) = y(j,k) FORALL (k=1:n) x(k,1:m) = y(1:m,k)

These statements both copy columns 1 through n of array y into rows 1 through n of array x. This is equivalent to the standard Fortran 90 statement

З

 $^{24}$ 

#### 4.1. THE FORALL STATEMENT

```
x(1:n,1:m) = TRANSPOSE(y(1:m,1:n))
1
2
       FORALL (i=1:n, j=1:n) x(i,j) = 1.0 / REAL(i+j-1)
3
4
          This FORALL sets array element x(i, j) to the value \frac{1}{i+i-1} for values of i and j between
5
     1 and n. In Fortran 90, the same operation can be performed by the statement
6
7
8
       x(1:n,1:n) = 1.0/REAL( SPREAD((/(i,i=1,n)/),DIM=2,NCOPIES=n) &
9
            + SPREAD((/(j,j=1,n)/),DIM=1,NCOPIES=n) - 1 )
10
11
          Note that the FORALL statement does not imply the creation of temporary arrays and
12
     is much more readable.
13
14
       FORALL (i=1:n, j=1:n, y(i,j).NE.0.0) x(i,j) = 1.0 / y(i,j)
15
16
          This statement takes the reciprocal of each nonzero element of array y(1:n,1:n) and
17
     assigns it to the corresponding element of array x. Elements of y that are zero do not have
18
     their reciprocal taken, and no assignments are made to the corresponding elements of x.
19
     This is equivalent to the standard Fortran 90 statement
20
21
       WHERE (y(1:n,1:n) .NE. 0.0) x(1:n,1:n) = 1 / y(1:n,1:n)
22
23
        TYPE monarch
24
          INTEGER, POINTER :: p
^{25}
       END TYPE monarch
26
       TYPE(monarch) :: a(n)
27
       INTEGER, TARGET :: b(n)
28
29
        ! Set up a butterfly pattern
30
       FORALL (j=1:n) a(j)%p => b(1+IEOR(j-1,2**k))
31
32
          This FORALL statement sets the elements of array a to point to a permutation of the
33
     elements of b. When n = 8 and k = 1, then elements 1 through 8 of a point to elements
34
     3, 4, 1, 2, 7, 8, 5, and 6 of b, respectively. This requires a DO loop or other control flow in
35
     Fortran 90.
36
37
       FORALL (i=1:n) x(indx(i)) = x(i)
38
39
          This FORALL statement is equivalent to the Fortran 90 array assignment
40
41
       x(indx(1:n)) = x(1:n)
42
43
          If indx contains a permutation of the integers from 1 to n, then the final contents of x
44
     will be a permutation of the original values. If indx contains repeated values, neither the
45
     behavior of the FORALL nor the array assignment are defined by their respective standards.
46
47
       FORALL (i=2:4) x(i) = x(i-1) + x(i) + x(i+1)
^{48}
```

If this statement is executed with x = [1.0, 20.0, 300.0, 4000.0, 50000.0]then after execution the new values of array x will be x = [1.0, 321.0, 4320.0, 54300.0, 50000.0]This has the same effect as the Fortran 90 statement x(2:4) = x(1:3) + x(2:4) + x(3:5)Note that it does *not* have the same effect as the Fortran 90 loop D0 i = 2, 4

x(i) = x(i-1) + x(i) + x(i+1) END DO FORALL (i=1:n) a(i,i) = x(i)

This FORALL statement sets the elements of the main diagonal of matrix a to the elements of vector x. This cannot be done by an array assignment in Fortran 90 unless EQUIVALENCE or WHERE is also used.

FORALL (i=1:4) a(i,ix(i)) = x(i)

This FORALL statement sets one element in each row of matrix a to an element of vector x. The particular elements in a are chosen by the integer vector ix. If

x = [10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0]

$$ix = [1, 2, 2, 4]$$

and array a represents the matrix

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

before execution of the FORALL, then a will represent

10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	20.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.0	30.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	40.0

after its execution. This operation cannot be accomplished with a single array assignment in Fortran 90.

FORALL (k=1:9) x(k) = SUM(x(1:10:k))

This FORALL statement computes nine sums of subarrays of x. (SUM is allowed in a FORALL because Fortran 90 intrinsic functions are pure; see Section 4.3.) If before the FORALL

x = [1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0]

then after the FORALL

x = [55.0, 25.0, 22.0, 15.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0, 10.0]

This computation cannot be done by Fortran 90 array expressions alone.

 $^{46}$
4.1.4 Scalarization of the FORALL Statement 1 2 One way to understand the semantics of the FORALL statement is to exhibit a naive trans-З lation to scalar Fortran 90 code. We provide such a translation below. 4 5 Advice to implementors. Note, however, that such a translation is meant for illus-6 tration rather than as the definitive reference to the FORALL semantics of or practical 7 implementation in the compiler. In particular, implementing a FORALL using D0 loops 8 imposes an apparent order on the operations that is not implied by the formal defini-9 tion. Additionally, compiler analysis of particular cases may allow significant simpli-10 fication and optimization. For example, if the array assigned in a FORALL statement 11 is not referenced in any other expression in the FORALL (including its use in functions 12called from the FORALL), it is legal and, on many machines, more efficient to perform 13 the computations and final assignments in a single loop nest. Also note the discussion 14 at the end of this section regarding other difficulties of a Fortran 90 translation. (End 15of advice to implementors.) 1617A *forall-stmt* of the form 18 19FORALL  $(v_1 = l_1 : u_1 : s_1, v_2 = l_1 : u_2 : s_2, \dots, v_n = l_n : u_n : s_n, mask)$   $a(e_1, \dots, e_m) = rhs$ 20 is equivalent to the following code: 21 22 ! Evaluate subscript and stride expressions. 23 ! These assignments may be executed in any order. 24  $templ_1 = l_1$ 25 $tempu_1 = u_1$ 26 27  $temps_1 = s_1$  $templ_2 = l_2$ 28 29  $tempu_2 = u_2$  $temps_2 = s_2$ 30 31 . . .  $templ_n = l_n$ 32  $tempu_n = u_n$ 33  $temps_n = s_n$ 34 35 ! Evaluate the scalar mask expression, and evaluate the 36 ! forall-assignment subexpressions where the mask is true. 37 ! The iterations of this loop nest may be executed in any order. 38 ! The assignments in the loop body may be executed in any order, 39 ! provided that the mask element is evaluated before any other 40 ! expression in the same iteration. 41! The loop body need not be executed atomically. 42 ! The DO statements may be nested in any order 43 D0  $v_1 = templ_1$ ,  $tempu_1$ ,  $temps_1$ 44 D0  $v_2 = templ_2$ ,  $tempu_2$ ,  $temps_2$ 45 46 DO  $v_n = templ_n$ ,  $tempu_n$ ,  $temps_n$ 47 $tempmask(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = mask$  $^{48}$ 

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

32

33 34 35

46

47

48

```
IF (tempmask(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)) THEN
             temprhs(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = rhs
             tempe_1(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = e_1
             tempe_2(v_1, v_2, ..., v_n) = e_2
             tempe_m(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = e_m
          END IF
       END DO
       . . .
  END DO
END DO
! Perform the assignment of these values to the corresponding
! elements of the array on the left-hand side.
! The iterations of this loop nest may be executed in any order.
! The DO statements may be nested in any order.
DO v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
  DO v_2 = templ_2, tempu_2, temps_2
     . .
       DO v_n = templ_n, tempu_n, temps_n
          IF (tempmask(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)) THEN
             a(tempe_1(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n), \ldots, tempe_m(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)) =
                                                                                  &
               temprhs(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)
          END IF
       END DO
     . . .
  END DO
END DO
```

The scalarization of a FORALL statement containing a pointer assignment is similar, replacing the assignments to *temprhs* and *a* with pointer assignments.

Several subtleties are not specified in the above outline Advice to implementors. 36 to promote readability. When rhs is an array-valued expression, then several of the 37 statements cannot be translated directly into Fortran 90. In particular, at least one 38 of the  $e_i$  will be a triplet; both bounds and stride must be saved in  $tempe_i$ , possibly 39 by using derived type assignment or adding a dimension to the data structure. The 40 translation of the subscripts in the final assignment to a must also be generalized to 41 handle triplets. Storage allocation for *tempths* may be complicated by the fact that it 42 must store arrays (possibly with different sizes for different values of  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ ). If the 43 forall-assignment is a pointer-assignment-stmt, then a suitable derived type must be 44 produced for *temprhs*. The assignments to  $tempe_1, \ldots, tempe_m$  must, however, remain 45 true (integer) assignments. Finally, there may also be more than seven indexes; this may forbid a direct translation on implementations that support a limited number of dimensions in arrays. (End of advice to implementors.)

4.1.5

1

2 Rationale. The scalar-mask-expr may depend on the index-name values. This allows 3 a wide range of masking operations. 4 A syntactic consequence of the semantic rule that no two execution instances of the 5 body may assign to the same atomic data object is that each of the *index-name* 6 variables must appear on the left-hand side of a *forall-assignment*. The converse is 7 not true (i.e., using all *index-name* variables on the left-hand side does not guarantee 8 there will be no interference). Because the condition is not sufficient, it does not 9 appear a syntax constraint. This also allows for easier future extensions for private 10 variables or other syntactic sugar. 11 12Right-hand sides and expressions on the left hand side of a *forall-assignment* are 13 defined as evaluated only for combinations of *index-names* for which the *scalar-mask-*14 expr evaluates to .TRUE. This has implications when the masked computation might 15create an error condition. For example, 1617FORALL (i=1:n, y(i).NE.0.0) x(i) = 1.0 / y(i)18 19 does not cause a division by zero. (End of rationale.) 20 21 22 4.2 The FORALL Construct 23 24 The FORALL construct is a generalization of the FORALL statement allowing multiple as- $^{25}$ signments, masked array assignments, and nested FORALL statements and constructs to be 26 controlled by a single *forall-triplet-spec-list*. 27 28 General Form of the FORALL Construct 4.2.1 29 30 Rule R215 of the Fortran 90 standard for executable-construct is extended to include the 31 forall-construct. 32 33 H405 forall-construct is FORALL forall-header 34 forall-body-stmt 35 [ forall-body-stmt ] ... 36 END FORALL 37 H406 forall-body-stmt is forall-assignment 38 **or** where-stmt 39 **or** where-construct 40 **or** forall-stmt 41 **or** for all-construct 42 43 Constraint: Any procedure referenced in a *forall-body-stmt*, including one referenced by a 44 defined operation or assignment, must be pure as defined in Section 4.3. 45 46 Constraint: If a *forall-stmt* or *forall-construct* is nested in a *forall-construct*, then the inner 47FORALL may not redefine any *index-name* used in the outer *forall-construct*.  $^{48}$ 

Consequences of the Definition of the FORALL Statement

з

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

Rationale. These statements are allowed in a FORALL construct because they are defined as forms of assignment in Fortran 90 and HPF. The intent is that *forall-construct*, like *forall-stmt*, is a block assignment rather than a general-purpose "parallel loop." (*End of rationale.*)

To determine the set of permitted values for an *index-name*, we introduce some simplifying notation. In the *forall-triplet-spec*, let

- *m*1 be the first *subscript* ("lower bound");
- m2 be the second subscript ("upper bound");
- m3 be the *stride*; and
- max be  $\left\lfloor \frac{m2-m1+m3}{m3} \right\rfloor$ .

If *stride* is missing, it is as if it were present with the value 1. The set of permitted values is determined on entry to the construct and is  $m1 + (k - 1) \times m3$ , k = 1, 2, ..., max. The expression *stride* must not have the value 0. If for some *index-name* max  $\leq 0$ , no *forall-body-stmt* is executed.

Each assignment nested within a FORALL construct assigns to memory locations specified by the *forall-assignment* for permitted values of the *index-name* variables. A program that causes multiple values to be assigned to the same location by a single statement is not HPF-conforming and therefore has no defined meaning. An HPF-conforming program may, however, assign to the same location in syntactically different assignment statements. This is a semantic constraint rather than a syntactic constraint, however; in general, it cannot be checked during compilation.

### 4.2.2 Interpretation of the FORALL Construct

Execution of a FORALL construct consists of the following steps:

- 1. Evaluation in any order of the *subscript* and *stride* expressions in the *forall-triplet-spec-list*. The set of *valid combinations* of *index-name* values is then the Cartesian product of the sets defined by these triplets.
- 2. Evaluation of the *scalar-mask-expr* for all valid combinations of *index-name* values. The mask elements may be evaluated in any order. The set of *active combinations* of *index-name* values is the subset of the valid combinations for which the mask evaluates to .TRUE.
- 3. Execute the *forall-body-stmts* in the order they appear. Each statement is executed completely (that is, for all active combinations of *index-name* values) according to the following interpretation:
  - (a) Statements in the *forall-assignment* category (i.e. assignment statements and pointer assignment statements) evaluate the *expr* and all expressions within 45 *variable* (in the case of *assignment-stmt*) or *target* and all expressions within 46 *pointer-object* (in the case of *pointer-assignment-stmt*) of the *forall-assignment* 47 for all active combinations of *index-name* values. These evaluations may be done 48

in any order. The *expr* values are then assigned to the corresponding *variable* locations (in the case of *assignment-stmt*) or the *target* values are associated with the corresponding *pointer-object* locations (in the case of *pointer-assignment-stmt*). The assignment or association operations may also be performed in any order.

- (b) Statements in the where-stmt and where-construct categories evaluate their maskexpr for all active combinations of values of index-names. All elements of all masks may be evaluated in any order. The WHERE statement's assignment (or assignments within the WHERE branch of the construct) are then executed in order using the above interpretation of array assignments within the FORALL, but the only array elements assigned are those selected by both the active index-name values and the WHERE mask. Finally, the assignments in the ELSEWHERE branch are executed if that branch is present. The assignments here are also treated as array assignments, but elements are only assigned if they are selected by both the active combinations and by the negation of the WHERE mask.
- (c) Statements in the *forall-stmt* and *forall-construct* categories first evaluate the 17subscript and stride expressions in the forall-triplet-spec-list for all active combi-18 nations of the outer FORALL constructs. The set of valid combinations of index-19 names for the inner FORALL is then the union of the sets defined by these bounds 20 and strides for each active combination of the outer *index-names*, the outer *index* 21 names being included in the combinations generated for the inner FORALL. The 22 scalar mask expression is then evaluated for all valid combinations of the inner 23 FORALL's *index-names* to produce the set of active combinations. If there is no 24 scalar mask expression, it is as if it were present with the constant value .TRUE. 25Each statement in the inner FORALL is then executed for each active combina-26 tion (of the inner FORALL), recursively following the interpretations given in this 27 section. 28
- 29 30

31

40

41

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

If the scalar mask expression is omitted, it is as if it were present with the value .TRUE. The scope of an *index-name* is the FORALL construct itself.

Each *forall-assignment* must obey the same restrictions in a *forall-construct* as in a simple *forall-stmt*. In addition, each *where-stmt* or assignment nested within a *whereconstruct* must obey these restrictions. (Note that any innermost statement within nested **FORALL** constructs must fall into one of these two categories.) For example, an assignment may not cause the same array element to be assigned more than once. Different statements may, however, assign to the same array element, and assignments made in one statement may affect the execution of a later statement.

# 4.2.3 Examples of the FORALL Construct

```
FORALL ( i=2:n-1, j=2:n-1 )
a(i,j) = a(i,j-1) + a(i,j+1) + a(i-1,j) + a(i+1,j)
b(i,j) = a(i,j)
END FORALL
This FORALL is equivalent to the two Fortran 90 statements
```

a(2:n-1,2:n-1) = a(2:n-1,1:n-2)+a(2:n-1,3:n)& 1 +a(1:n-2,2:n-1)+a(3:n,2:n-1) 2 b(2:n-1,2:n-1) = a(2:n-1,2:n-1)з 4 In particular, note that the assignment to array b uses the values of array a computed in 5 the first statement, not the values before the FORALL began execution. 6 7 8 FORALL ( i=1:n-1 ) 9 FORALL ( j=i+1:n ) 10 a(i,j) = a(j,i)11 END FORALL 12 END FORALL 13 14This FORALL construct assigns the transpose of the lower triangle of array a (i.e., the 15section below the main diagonal) to the upper triangle of a. For example, if n = 5 and a 16originally contained the matrix 1718 0.00.00.00.00.019 1.01.01.01.01.020 2.04.08.016.032.021 3.0 $9.0 \quad 27.0$ 81.0 243.022  $16.0 \quad 64.0 \quad 256.0 \quad 1024.0$ 4.023 then after the FORALL it would contain  $^{24}$ 250.01.02.03.04.026 1.04.09.01.016.027 2.04.08.027.064.028 3.0 $9.0 \quad 27.0$ 81.0256.029 4.0  $16.0 \quad 64.0 \quad 256.0$ 1024.030 31 This cannot be done using array expressions without introducing mask expressions. 32 33 FORALL ( i=1:5 ) 34 WHERE ( a(i,:) .NE. 0.0 ) 35 a(i,:) = a(i-1,:) + a(i+1,:)36 ELSEWHERE 37 b(i,:) = a(6-i,:)38 END WHERE 39 END FORALL 40 41This FORALL construct, when executed with the input arrays 42 43  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\ 2.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 3.0 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 \\ 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 \\ 30.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 \\ 40.0 & 40.0 & 40.0 & 40.0 & 40.0 \end{pmatrix}$ 44 45464748

```
will produce as results
1
2
                 a = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 2.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 \\ 4.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 \\ 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 2.0 & 10.0 \\ 20.0 & 20.0 & 0.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 \\ 30.0 & 2.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
З
4
5
6
                                                                                      0.0
                                                                                              0.0
                                                                                                     0.0
7
8
       Note that, as with WHERE statements in ordinary Fortran 90, assignments in the WHERE
9
       branch may affect computations in the ELSEWHERE branch.
10
11
                Scalarization of the FORALL Construct
       4.2.4
12
              Advice to implementors. As with the FORALL statement, the following translations of
13
              FORALL constructs to DO loops are meant to illustrate the meaning, not necessarily to
14
              serve as an implementation guide. The caveats for the FORALL statement scalarization
1.5
              apply here as well. (End of advice to implementors.)
16
17
             A forall-construct of the form:
18
19
          FORALL (... e_1 ... e_2 ... e_n ...)
20
                s_1
21
                s_2
22
                 . . .
23
                s_n
24
          END FORALL
25
26
       where each s_i is a forall-assignment is equivalent to the following code:
^{27}
          temp_1 = e_1
28
          temp_2 = e_2
29
           . . .
30
          temp_n = e_n
31
          FORALL (... temp_1 ... temp_2 ... temp_n ...) s_1
32
          FORALL (... temp_1 ... temp_2 ... temp_n ...) s_2
33
34
          FORALL (... temp_1 ... temp_2 ... temp_n ...) s_n
35
36
       When the s_i are FORALL or WHERE statements or constructs, then the FORALL statements
37
       above must be replaced with FORALL constructs (since FORALL statements can only contain
38
       assignments). The scalarizations below must then be applied to the shortened FORALL
39
       constructs.
40
             A forall-construct of the form:
41
          FORALL ( v_1 = l_1 : u_1 : s_1, mask_1 )
42
             WHERE ( mask_2 )
43
                a(l_2: u_2: s_2) = rhs_1
44
             ELSEWHERE
45
                a(l_3: u_3: s_3) = rhs_2
46
             END WHERE
47
          END FORALL
^{48}
```

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

is equivalent to the following code: ! Evaluate subscript and stride expressions. ! These assignments can be made in any order.  $templ_1 = l_1$  $tempu_1 = u_1$  $temps_1 = s_1$ ! Evaluate the FORALL mask expression. ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order. D0  $v_1 = templ_1$ ,  $tempu_1$ ,  $temps_1$  $tempmask_1(v_1) = mask_1$ END DO ! Evaluate the bounds and masks for the WHERE. ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order. ! The loop body need not be executed atomically. D0  $v_1 = templ_1$ ,  $tempu_1$ ,  $temps_1$ IF  $(tempmask_1(v_1))$  THEN  $tempmask_2(v_1) = mask_2$ END IF END DO ! Evaluate the WHERE branch. ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order. ! The assignments in the loop body may be executed in any order. ! The loop body need not be executed atomically. D0  $v_1 = templ_1$ ,  $tempu_1$ ,  $temps_1$ IF  $(tempmask_1(v_1))$  THEN  $tmpl_2(v_1) = l_2$  $tmpu_2(v_1) = u_2$  $tmps_2(v_1) = s_2$ WHERE (  $tempmask_2(v_1)$  )  $temprhs_1(v_1) = rhs_1$ END WHERE END IF END DO ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order. ! The loop body need not be executed atomically. D0  $v_1 = templ_1$ ,  $tempu_1$ ,  $temps_1$ IF  $(tempmask_1(v_1))$  THEN WHERE (  $tempmask_2(v_1)$  )  $a(tmpl_2(v_1): tmpu_2(v_1): tmps_2(v_1)) = temprhs_1(v_1)$ END WHERE END IF END DO ! Evaluate the ELSEWHERE branch.

```
! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
1
        ! The assignments in the loop body may be executed in any order.
2
        ! The loop body need not be executed atomically.
3
        DO v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
4
           IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
5
             tmpl_{3}(v_{1}) = l_{3}
6
             tmpu_3(v_1) = u_3
7
             tmps_3(v_1) = s_3
8
             WHERE ( .NOT. tempmask_2(v_1) )
9
                temprhs_2(v_1) = rhs_2
10
             END WHERE
11
          END IF
12
        END DO
13
        ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
14
        ! The loop body need not be executed atomically.
1.5
        DO v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
16
           IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
17
             WHERE ( .NOT. tempmask_2(v_1) )
18
                a(tmpl_3(v_1): tmpu_3(v_1): tmps_3(v_1)) = temprhs_2(v_1)
19
             END WHERE
20
           END IF
21
        END DO
22
23
                                        Note that the assignments to tempmask_2 and temprhs_i
            Advice to implementors.
24
            are array assignments and require special treatment (including saving of shape infor-
25
            mation) similar to that for array assignments in the FORALL statement scalarization.
26
            The extension to multiple dimensions (in either the FORALL index space or the array
27
            dimensions) is straightforward. If there are multiple statements in a branch of the
28
            WHERE construct, each statement will generate two loops similar to those shown above.
29
            (End of advice to implementors.)
30
31
           A forall-construct of the form:
32
33
        FORALL ( v_1 = l_1 : u_1 : s_1, mask_1 )
34
          FORALL ( v_2 = l_2 : u_2 : s_2, mask_2 )
35
             a(e_1) = rhs_1
36
             b(e_2) = rhs_2
37
           END FORALL
38
        END FORALL
39
40
     is equivalent to the following Fortran 90 code:
41
42
        ! Evaluate subscript and stride expressions and outer mask.
        ! These assignments may be executed in any order.
43
        templ_1 = l_1
44
        tempu_1 = u_1
45
        temps_1 = s_1
46
        ! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
47
        DO v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
^{48}
```

```
tempmask_1(v_1) = mask_1
                                                                                                1
END DO
                                                                                                2
                                                                                                3
! Evaluate the inner FORALL bounds, etc
                                                                                                4
! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
                                                                                                5
! The assignments in the loop body may be executed in any order,
                                                                                                6
! provided that the mask bounds are computed before the mask itself.
                                                                                                7
! The loop body need not be executed atomically.
                                                                                                8
D0 v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
                                                                                                9
  IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
                                                                                                10
     templ_2(v_1) = l_2
                                                                                                11
     tempu_2(v_1) = u_2
                                                                                                12
     temps_2(v_1) = s_2
                                                                                                13
     DO v_2 = templ_2(v_1), tempu_2(v_1), temps_2(v_1)
                                                                                                14
       tempmask_2(v_1, v_2) = mask_2
                                                                                                15
    END DO
                                                                                                16
  END IF
                                                                                                17
END DO
                                                                                                18
                                                                                                19
! Evaluate first statement
                                                                                                20
! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
                                                                                                21
! The assignments in this loop body may be executed in any order.
                                                                                                22
! The loop body need not be executed atomically.
                                                                                                23
D0 v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
                                                                                                ^{24}
  IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
                                                                                                ^{25}
    DO v_2 = templ_2(v_1), tempu_2(v_1), temps_2(v_1)
                                                                                                26
       IF ( tempmask_2(v_1, v_2) ) THEN
                                                                                                27
          temprhs_1(v_1, v_2) = rhs_1
                                                                                                28
          tmpe_1(v_1, v_2) = e_1
                                                                                                29
       END IF
                                                                                                30
    END DO
                                                                                                31
  END IF
                                                                                                32
END DO
                                                                                                33
! The iterations of this loop may be executed in any order.
                                                                                                34
D0 v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
                                                                                                35
  IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
                                                                                                36
     DO v_2 = templ_2(v_1), tempu_2(v_1), temps_2(v_1)
                                                                                                37
       IF ( tempmask_2(v_1, v_2) ) THEN
                                                                                                38
          a(tmpe_1(v_1, v_2)) = temprhs_1(v_1, v_2)
                                                                                                39
       END IF
                                                                                                40
    END DO
                                                                                                41
  END IF
                                                                                                42
END DO
                                                                                                43
                                                                                                44
! Evaluate second statement.
                                                                                                45
! Ordering constraints are as for the first statement.
                                                                                                46
DO v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
                                                                                                47
  IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
                                                                                                48
```

```
DO v_2 = templ_2(v_1), tempu_2(v_1), temps_2(v_1)
1
                IF ( tempmask_2(v_1, v_2) ) THEN
2
                   temprhs_2(v_1, v_2) = rhs_2
3
                   tmpe_2(v_1, v_2) = e_2
4
                END IF
5
              END DO
6
           END IF
7
        END DO
8
        D0 v_1 = templ_1, tempu_1, temps_1
9
           IF (tempmask_1(v_1)) THEN
10
              DO v_2 = templ_2(v_1), tempu_2(v_1), temps_2(v_1)
11
                IF ( tempmask_2(v_1, v_2) ) THEN
12
                   b(tmpe_2(v_1, v_2)) = temprhs_2(v_1, v_2)
13
                END IF
14
              END DO
1.5
           END IF
16
        END DO
17
```

Again, the extensions to higher dimensions are straightforward, as is the extension to deeper nesting levels.

Advice to implementors. Note that each statement at the deepest nesting level will generate two loops of the types shown. (End of advice to implementors.)

# 4.2.5 Consequences of the Definition of the FORALL Construct

### Rationale.

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

A block FORALL means roughly the same thing as does replicating the FORALL header in front of each array assignment statement in the block, except that any expressions in the FORALL header are evaluated only once, rather than being re-evaluated before each of the statements in the body. The exceptions to this rule are nested FORALL statements and WHERE statements, which introduce syntactic and functional complications into the copying.

- One may think of a block FORALL as synchronizing twice per contained assignment statement: once after handling the right-hand side and other expressions but before performing assignments, and once after all assignments have been performed but before commencing the next statement. In practice, appropriate analysis will often permit the compiler to eliminate unnecessary synchronizations.
- In general, any expression in a FORALL is evaluated only for valid combinations of all surrounding *index-names* for which all the scalar mask expressions are .TRUE.
- <sup>41</sup> Nested FORALL bounds and strides can depend on outer FORALL *index-names*. They
   <sup>42</sup> cannot redefine those names, even temporarily (if they did, there would be no way to
   <sup>43</sup> avoid multiple assignments to the same array element).
- 44 Statements can use the results of computations in lexically earlier statements, includ-45 ing computations done for other name values. However, an assignment never uses a 46 value assigned in the same statement by another *index-name* value combination.
- (End of rationale.)

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

# 4.3 Pure Procedures

A pure function is one that obeys certain syntactic constraints that ensure it produces no side effects. This means that the only effect of a pure function reference on the state of a program is to return a result—it does not modify the values, pointer associations, or data mapping of any of its arguments or global data, and performs no external I/O. A *pure subroutine* is one that produces no side effects except for modifying the values and/or pointer associations of INTENT(OUT) and INTENT(INOUT) arguments. These properties are declared by a new attribute (the PURE attribute) of the the procedure.

A pure procedure (i.e., function or subroutine) may be used in any way that a normal procedure can. However, a procedure is required to be pure if it is used in any of the following contexts:

- The mask or body of a FORALL statement or construct;
- Within the body of a pure procedure; or
- As an actual argument in a pure procedure reference.

### Rationale.

The freedom from side effects of a pure function allows the function to be invoked concurrently in a FORALL without such undesirable consequences as nondeterminism, and additionally assists the efficient implementation of concurrent execution. Syntactic constraints (rather than semantic constraints on behavior) are used to enable compiler checking.

The HPF Journal of Development also proposes allowing elemental invocation of pure procedures with scalar arguments.

(End of rationale.)

### 4.3.1 Pure Procedure Declaration and Interface

If a user-defined procedure is used in a context that requires it to be pure, then its interface must be explicit in the scope of that use, and that interface must specify the PURE attribute. This attribute is specified in the *function-stmt* or *subroutine-stmt* by an extension of rules R1217 (for *prefix*) and R1220 (for *subroutine-stmt*) in the Fortran 90 standard. Rule R1216 (for *function-stmt*) is not changed, but is rewritten here as Rule H409 for clarity.

H407	prefix	is	prefix-spec [ prefix-spec ]	57
	1 J			38
H408	prefix-spec	is	type- $spec$	39
		or	RECURSIVE	40
		or	PURE	41
		or	extrinsic-prefix	42
H409	function- $stmt$	is	[ prefix ] FUNCTION function-name function-stuff	43
H410	function-stuff	is	( $[\mbox{ dummy-arg-name-list }]$ ) $[\mbox{ RESULT ( result-name )}$	] ]
H411	subroutine- $stmt$	is	[ prefix ] SUBROUTINE subroutine-name subroutine-stuff	46
11419		•		47
п412	suorounne-stuff	$\mathbf{1S}$	[ ( [ aummy-arg-usi ] ) ]	48

1	Constraint:	A prefix must contain at most one of each variety of prefix-spec.
2 3	Constraint:	The <i>prefix</i> of a <i>subroutine-stmt</i> must not contain a <i>type-spec</i> .
4 5 7 8 9 10	(For a discu Intrinsion no explicit of (i.e., MVBITS statement fu A proce constraints.	ssion of the <i>extrinsic-prefix</i> (Rule H601), see Section 6.2.) c functions, including the HPF intrinsic functions, are always pure and require declaration of this fact. Intrinsic subroutines are pure if they are elemental 3) but not otherwise. Functions in the HPF library are declared to be pure. A inction is pure if and only if all functions that it references are pure. edure with the <b>PURE</b> attribute is referred to as a "pure procedure" in the following
12 13	4.3.1.1 Pu	re function definition
14 15	The followir standard (de	ng constraints are added to Rule R1215 in Section 12.5.2.2 of the Fortran 90 efining <i>function-subprogram</i> ):
17 18 19	Constraint:	The <i>specification-part</i> of a pure function must specify that all dummy arguments have INTENT(IN) except procedure arguments and arguments with the <b>POINTER</b> attribute.
20 21 22	Constraint:	A local variable declared in the <i>specification-part</i> or <i>internal-subprogram-part</i> of a pure function must not have the SAVE attribute.
23 24 25 26		Advice to users. Note local variable initialization in a type-declaration- stmt or a data-stmt implies the SAVE attribute; therefore, such initializa- tion is also disallowed. (End of advice to users.)
27 28 29 30	Constraint:	The <i>execution-part</i> and <i>internal-subprogram-part</i> of a pure function may not use a dummy argument, a global variable, or an object that is storage associated with a global variable, or a subobject thereof, in the following contexts:
31		• As the assignment variable of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> ;
32 33 34		• As a DO variable or implied DO variable, or as an <i>index-name</i> in a <i>forall-triplet-spec</i> ;
35		• As an <i>input-item</i> in a <i>read-stmt</i> ;
36		• As an <i>internal-file-unit</i> in a <i>write-stmt</i> ;
37		• As an IOSTAT= or SIZE= specifier in an I/O statement.
39		• In an <i>assign-stmt</i> ;
40		• As the <i>pointer-object</i> or <i>target</i> of a <i>pointer-assignment-stmt</i> ;
41 42 43		• As the <i>expr</i> of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> whose assignment variable is of a derived type, or is a pointer to a derived type, that has a pointer component at any level of component selection;
44 45 46		• As an allocate-object or stat-variable in an allocate-stmt or deallocate- stmt, or as a pointer-object in a nullify-stmt; or
47 48		• As an actual argument associated with a dummy argument with INTENT (OUT) or INTENT(INOUT) or with the POINTER attribute.

 $^{24}$  $^{25}$ 

Constraint:	Any procedure referenced in a pure function, including one referenced via a defined operation or assignment, must be pure.	1 2
Constraint:	A dummy argument or the dummy result of a pure function may be explicitly aligned only with another dummy argument or the dummy result, and may not be explicitly distributed or given the INHERIT attribute.	3 4 5 6
Constraint:	In a pure function, a local variable may be explicitly aligned only with another local variable, a dummy argument, or the result variable. A local variable may not be explicitly distributed.	7 8 9
Constraint:	In a pure function, a dummy argument, local variable, or the result variable must not have the DYNAMIC attribute.	10 11 12
Constraint:	In a pure function, a global variable must not appear in a <i>realign-directive</i> or <i>redistribute-directive</i> .	13 14 15
Constraint:	A pure function must not contain a <i>print-stmt</i> , <i>open-stmt</i> , <i>close-stmt</i> , <i>backspace-stmt</i> , <i>endfile-stmt</i> , <i>rewind-stmt</i> , <i>inquire-stmt</i> , or a <i>read-stmt</i> or <i>write-stmt</i> whose <i>io-unit</i> is an <i>external-file-unit</i> or <b>*</b> .	16 17 18
Constraint:	A pure function must not contain a <i>pause-stmt</i> or <i>stop-stmt</i> .	19 20

The above constraints are designed to guarantee that a pure function is free from side effects (i.e., modifications of data visible outside the function), which means that it is safe to reference concurrently, as explained earlier.

### Rationale.

It is worth mentioning why the above constraints are sufficient to eliminate side effects.

The first constraint (requiring explicit INTENT(IN)) declares behavior that is ensured by the following rules. It is not technically necessary, but is included for consistency with the explicit declaration rules for defined operators. Note that POINTER arguments may not have the INTENT attribute; the restrictions below ensure that POINTER arguments also behave as if they had INTENT(IN), for both the argument itself and the object pointed to.

The second constraint (disallowing SAVE variables) ensures that a pure function does not retain an internal state between calls, which would allow side-effects between calls to the same procedure.

The third constraint (the restrictions on use of global variables and dummy arguments) ensures that dummy arguments and global variables are not modified by the function. In the case of a dummy or global pointer, this applies to both its pointer association and its target value, so it cannot be subject to a pointer assignment or to an ALLOCATE, DEALLOCATE, or NULLIFY statement. Incidentally, these constraints imply that only local variables and the dummy result variable can be subject to assignment or pointer assignment.

In addition, a dummy or global data object cannot be the *target* of a pointer assign-ment (i.e., it cannot be used as the right hand side of a pointer assignment to a local pointer or to the result variable), for then its value could be modified via the pointer. (An alternative approach would be to allow such objects to be pointer targets, but 

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

disallow assignments to those pointers; syntactic constraints to allow this would be even more draconian than these.)

In connection with the last point, it should be noted that an ordinary (as opposed to pointer) assignment to a variable of derived type that has a pointer component at any level of component selection may result in a *pointer* assignment to the pointer component of the variable. That is certainly the case for an intrinsic assignment. In that case, the expression on the right hand side of the assignment has the same type as the assignment variable, and the assignment results in a pointer assignment of the pointer components of the expression result to the corresponding components of the variable (see section 7.5.1.5 of the Fortran 90 standard). However, it may also be the case for a *defined* assignment to such a variable, even if the data type of the expression has no pointer components; the defined assignment may still involve pointer assignment variable. Therefore, a dummy or global object cannot be used as the right hand side of any assignment to a variable of derived type with pointer components, for then it, or part of it, might be the target of a pointer assignment, in violation of the restriction mentioned above.

- (Incidentally, the last two paragraphs only prevent the reference of a dummy or global object as the *only* object on the right hand side of a pointer assignment or an assignment to a variable with pointer components. There are no constraints on its reference as an operand, actual argument, subscript expression, etc. in these circumstances.)
- 23 Finally, a dummy or global data object cannot be used in a procedure reference as an 24 actual argument associated with a dummy argument of INTENT(OUT) or INTENT(INOUT) 25or with a dummy pointer, for then it may be modified by the procedure reference. 26 This constraint, like the others, can be statically checked, since any procedure refer-27 enced within a pure function must be either a pure function, which does not modify its 28 arguments, or a pure subroutine, whose interface must specify the INTENT or POINTER 29 attributes of its arguments (see below). Incidentally, notice that in this context it is 30 assumed that an actual argument associated with a dummy pointer is modified, since 31 Fortran 90 does not allow its intent to be specified.
- The fourth constraint (only pure procedures may be called) ensures that all procedures called from a pure function are themselves side-effect free, except, in the case of subroutines, for modifying actual arguments associated with dummy pointers or dummy arguments with INTENT(OUT) or INTENT(INOUT). As we have just explained, it can be checked that global or dummy objects are not used in such arguments, which would violate the required side-effect freedom.
- Constraints 5 and 6 restrict the explicit declaration of the mapping of local variables 39 and the dummy arguments and dummy results. This is because the function may be 40 invoked concurrently, with each invocation active on a subset of processors specific to 41 that invocation, and operating on data that are mapped to that processor subset. In-42 deed, in an optimising implementation, the caller may well automatically arrange the 43 mapping of the actual arguments and result according to the context, e.g. to maximise 44 concurrency in a FORALL, and/or to reduce communication, taking into account the 45 mappings of other arguments, other terms in the expression, the assignment variable, 46 etc. Thus, a dummy argument or result may not appear in a mapping directive that 47fixes its location with respect to the processor array (e.g. it may not be aligned with a  $^{48}$

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

global variable or template, or be explicitly distributed, or given the inherit attribute, all of which would remove the caller's freedom to determine the actual's mapping as described above). The only type of mapping information that may be specified for the dummy arguments and result is their alignment with each other; this will provide useful information to the caller about their required *relative* mappings. For similar reasons, local variables may be aligned with the dummy arguments or result (either directly or through other local variables), but may not have arbitrary mappings.

Constraints 7 and 8 prevent any realignment and redistribution of data within a pure function (another type of side effect).

The penultimate constraint prevents external I/O and file operations, whose order would be non-deterministic in the context of concurrent execution. Note that internal I/O is allowed, provided that it does not modify global variables or dummy arguments.

Finally, the last constraint disallows PAUSE and STOP statements. A PAUSE statement requires input and so is disallowed for the same reason as I/O. A STOP brings execution to a halt, which is a rather drastic side effect.

(End of rationale.)

# 4.3.1.2 Pure subroutine definition

The following constraints are added to Rule R1219 in Section 12.5.2.3 of the Fortran 90 standard (defining *subroutine-subprogram*):

Constraint: The *specification-part* of a pure subroutine must specify the intents of all  $^{24}$ dummy arguments except procedure arguments and arguments that have the 25POINTER attribute. 26 27 Constraint: A local variable declared in the *specification-part* or *internal-function-part* of a 28 pure subroutine must not have the SAVE attribute. 29 30 Constraint: The *execution-part* or *internal-subprogram-part* of a pure subroutine must not 31 use a dummy parameter with INTENT(IN), a global variable, or an object that 32 is storage associated with a global variable, or a subobject thereof, in the 33 following contexts: 34 35 • As the assignment variable of an *assignment-stmt*; 36 • As a DO variable or implied DO variable, or as a *index-name* in a *forall*-37 triplet-spec; 38 39 • As an *input-item* in a *read-stmt*; 40 • As an *internal-file-unit* in a *write-stmt*; 41• As an IOSTAT= or SIZE= specifier in an I/O statement. 42 43 • In an *assign-stmt*; 44 • As the *pointer-object* or *target* of a *pointer-assignment-stmt*; 45• As the *expr* of an *assignment-stmt* whose assignment variable is of a de-46 rived type, or is a pointer to a derived type, that has a pointer component 47at any level of component selection; 48

1 2		• As an allocate-object or stat-variable in an allocate-stmt or deallocate- stmt, or as a pointer-object in a nullify-stmt;				
3 4 5		• As an actual argument associated with a dummy argument with INTENT (OUT) or INTENT(INOUT) or with the POINTER attribute.				
6 7 8	Constraint:	Any procedure referenced in a pure subroutine, including one referenced via a defined operation or assignment, must be pure.				
9 10 11	Constraint:	A dummy argument of a pure subroutine may be explicitly aligned only with another dummy argument, and may not be explicitly distributed or given the INHERIT attribute.				
12 13 14 15	Constraint:	In a pure subroutine, a local variable may be explicitly aligned only with another local variable or a dummy argument. A local variable may not be explicitly distributed.				
16 17 18	Constraint:	In a pure subroutine, a dummy argument or local variable must not have the DYNAMIC attribute.				
19 20 21	Constraint:	In a pure subroutine, a global variable must not appear in a <i>realign-directive</i> or <i>redistribute-directive</i> .				
22 23 24	Constraint:	A pure subroutine must not contain a <i>print-stmt</i> , <i>open-stmt</i> , <i>close-stmt</i> , <i>backspace-stmt</i> , <i>endfile-stmt</i> , <i>rewind-stmt</i> , <i>inquire-stmt</i> , or a <i>read-stmt</i> or <i>write-stmt</i> whose <i>io-unit</i> is an <i>external-file-unit</i> or $*$ .				
25 26	Constraint:	A pure subroutine must not contain a <i>pause-stmt</i> or <i>stop-stmt</i> .				
27 28	Ratior	nale.				
29 30 31	The contions, are pe	onstraints for pure subroutines are based on the same principles as for pure func- except that side effects to INTENT(OUT) and INTENT(INOUT) dummy arguments rmitted. Pointer dummy arguments are always treated as INTENT(INOUT).				
32 33	Pure subroutines are included to allow subroutine calls from pure procedures in a safe way, and to allow <i>forall-assignments</i> to be defined assignments.					
34 35	$(End \ one for a for a$	of rationale.)				
36 37	4.3.1.3 Pu	re procedure interfaces				
38 39 40	To define in to Rule R12	terface specifications for pure procedures, the following constraints are added 04 in Section 12.3.2.1 of the Fortran 90 standard (defining <i>interface-body</i> ):				
41 42 43	Constraint:	An <i>interface-body</i> of a pure procedure must specify the intents of all dummy arguments except $POINTER$ and procedure arguments.				
44 45	The procedure characteristics defined by an interface body must be consistent with the procedure's definition. Regarding pure procedures, this is interpreted as follows:					
46 47 48	• A proc body,	edure that is declared pure at its definition may be declared pure in an interface but this is not required.				

2 3

4

5

6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17 18

19

20 21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

• A procedure that is not declared pure at its definition must not be declared pure in an interface body.

That is, if an interface body contains a PURE attribute, then the corresponding procedure definition must also contain it, though the reverse is not true. When a procedure definition with a PURE attribute is compiled, the compiler may check that it satisfies the necessary constraints.

### 4.3.2 Pure Procedure Reference

To define pure procedure references, the following extra constraint is added to Rules R1209 and R1210 in Section 12.4.1 of the Fortran 90 standard (defining *function-reference* and *call-stmt*):

*Rationale.* This constraint ensures that the purity of a procedure cannot be undermined by allowing it to call a non-pure procedure. (*End of rationale.*)

### 4.3.3 Examples of Pure Procedure Usage

Pure functions may be used in expressions in FORALL statements and constructs, unlike general functions. Several examples of this are given below.

```
! This statement function is pure since it does not reference
! any other functions
REAL myexp
myexp(x) = 1 + x + x*x/2.0 + x*x*x/6.0
FORALL ( i = 1:n ) a(i) = myexp( a(i+1) )
...
! Intrinsic functions are always pure
FORALL ( i = 1:n ) a(i,i) = log( abs( a(i,i) ) )
```

Because a *forall-assignment* may be an array assignment, the pure function can have an array result. Such functions may be particularly helpful for performing row-wise or column-wise operations on an array. The next example illustrates this.

```
INTERFACE
                                                                                    40
  PURE FUNCTION f(x)
                                                                                    41
    REAL, DIMENSION(3) :: f,
                                                                                    42
    REAL, DIMENSION(3), INTENT(IN) :: x
                                                                                    43
  END FUNCTION f
                                                                                    44
END INTERFACE
                                                                                    45
REAL v (3,10,10)
                                                                                    46
                                                                                    47
FORALL (i=1:10, j=1:10) v(:,i,j) = f(v(:,i,j))
                                                                                    48
```

Constraint: In a reference to a pure procedure, a *procedure-name actual-arg* must be the name of a pure procedure.

26

28

30

31

A limited form of MIMD parallelism can be obtained by means of branches within the 1 pure procedure that depend on arguments associated with array elements or their subscripts 2 when the function is called from a FORALL. This may sometimes provide an alternative to 3 using sequences of masked FORALL or WHERE statements with their potential synchronization 4 overhead. The next example suggests how this may be done. 5

```
7
       REAL PURE FUNCTION f (x, i)
8
         REAL, INTENT(IN) :: x
                                         ! associated with array element
9
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: i
                                        ! associated with array subscript
10
         IF (x > 0.0) THEN
                                            ! content-based conditional
11
           f = x * x
12
         ELSE IF (i==1 .OR. i==n) THEN ! subscript-based conditional
13
            f = 0.0
14
         ELSE
1.5
            f = x
16
         ENDIF
17
       END FUNCTION
18
19
       . . .
20
21
       REAL a(n)
22
       INTEGER i
23
         . . .
24
       FORALL (i=1:n) a(i) = f(a(i), i)
25
```

Because pure procedures have no constraints on their internal control flow (except  $^{27}$ that they may not use the STOP statement), they also provide a means for encapsulating more complex operations than could otherwise be nested within a FORALL. For example, the 29 fragment below performs an iterative algorithm on every element of an array. Note that different amounts of computation may be required for different inputs. Some machines may not be able to take advantage of this flexibility. 32

```
33
       PURE INTEGER FUNCTION iter(x)
34
         COMPLEX, INTENT(IN) :: x
35
         COMPLEX xtmp
36
         INTEGER i
37
         i = 0
38
         xtmp = -x
39
         DO WHILE (ABS(xtmp).LT.2.0 .AND. i.LT.1000)
40
            xtmp = xtmp * xtmp - x
41
            i = i + 1
42
         END DO
43
         iter = i
44
       END FUNCTION
45
46
47
          . . .
       FORALL (i=1:n, j=1:m) ix(i,j) = iter(CMPLX(a+i*da,b+j*db))
48
```

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43 44

45

46

47

48

# 4.3.4 Comments on Pure Procedures

### Rationale.

The constraints for a pure procedure guarantee freedom from side-effects, thus ensuring that it can be invoked concurrently at each "element" of an array (where an "element" may itself be a data structure, including an array).

The constraints on pure procedures may appear complicated, but it is not necessary for a programmer to be intimately familiar with them. From the programmer's point of view, these constraints can be summarized as follows: a pure procedure must not contain any operation that could conceivably result in an assignment or pointer assignment to a global variable or INTENT (IN) dummy argument, or perform any I/O or STOP operation. Note the use of the word *conceivably*; it is not sufficient for a pure procedure merely to be side-effect free *in practice*. For example, a function that contains an assignment to a global variable but in a branch that is not executed in any invocation of the function is nevertheless not a pure function. The exclusion of functions of this nature is unavoidable if strict compile-time checking is to be used. In the choice between compile-time checking and flexibility, the HPF committee decided in favor of enhanced checking.

It is expected that most library procedures will conform to the constraints required of pure procedures (by the very nature of library procedures), and so can be declared pure and referenced in FORALL statements and constructs and within user-defined pure procedures. It is also anticipated that most library procedures will not reference global data, whose use may sometimes inhibit concurrent execution.

The constraints on pure procedures are limited to those necessary to check statically for freedom from side effects, processor independence, and for lack of saved internal state. Subject to these restrictions, maximum functionality has been preserved in the definition of pure procedures. This has been done to make function calls in FORALL as widely available as possible, and so that quite general library procedures can be classified as pure.

A drawback of this flexibility is that pure procedures permit certain features whose use may hinder, and in the worst case prevent, concurrent execution in FORALL (that is, such references may have to be implemented by sequentialization). Foremost among these features are the access of global data, particularly distributed global data, and the fact that the arguments and, for a pure function, the result may be pointers or data structures with pointer components, including recursive data structures such as lists and trees. The programmer should be aware of the potential performance penalties of using such features.

(End of rationale.)

### 4.4 The INDEPENDENT Directive

The INDEPENDENT directive can precede a DO loop or FORALL statement or construct. It asserts to the compiler that the operations in the following FORALL statement or construct or iterations in the following DO loop may be executed independently—that is, in any order, or interleaved, or concurrently—without changing the semantics of the program.

The INDEPENDENT directive precedes the DO loop or FORALL for which it is asserting 1 behavior, and is said to apply to that loop or FORALL. The syntax of the INDEPENDENT 2 directive is 3 4 H413 independent-directive is INDEPENDENT [, new-clause ] 5 H414 new-clause is NEW ( variable-list ) 6 7 Constraint: The first non-comment line following an *independent-directive* must be a do-8 stmt, forall-stmt, or a forall-construct. 9 10 Constraint: If the NEW option is present, then the directive must apply to a DO loop. 11Constraint: A variable named in the NEW option or any component or element thereof must 12not: 13 14 • Be a pointer or dummy argument; nor 1.5 Have the SAVE or TARGET attribute. . 16 17When applied to a D0 loop, an INDEPENDENT directive is an assertion by the programmer 18 that no iteration can affect any other iteration, either directly or indirectly. The following 19 operations define such interference: 20 21 • Any two operations that assign to the same atomic object (defined in Section 4.1.2) 22 interfere with each other. (Note the NEW clause below, however.) 23 • An operation that assigns to an atomic object interferes with any operation that uses 24 the value of that object. (Note the NEW clause below, however.) 2526 Rationale. These are the classic Bernstein [5] conditions to enable parallel 27 execution. Note that two assignments of the same value to a variable interfere 28 with each other and thus an INDEPENDENT loop with such assignments is not 29 HPF-conforming. This is not allowed because such overlapping assignments are 30 difficult to support on some hardware, and because the given definition was 31 felt to be conceptually clearer. Similarly, it is not HPF-conforming to assert 32 that assignment of multiple values to the same location is INDEPENDENT, even if 33 the program logically can accept any of the possible values. In this case, both 34 the "conceptually clearer" argument and the desire to avoid nondeterministic 35 behavior favored the given solution. (End of rationale.) 36 37 • Any transfer of control to a branch target statement outside the body of the loop 38 interferes with all other operations in the loop. 39 40 • Any execution of an EXIT, STOP, or PAUSE statement interferes with all other opera-41tions in the loop. 42 Branching (by GOTO or ERR= branches in I/O statements) implies Rationale. 43 that some iterations of the loop are not executed, which is drastic interference 44 with those computations. The same is true for EXIT and the other statements. 45Note that these conditions do not restrict procedure calls in INDEPENDENT loops, 46 except to disallow taking alternate returns to statements outside the loop. (End 47of rationale.)  $^{48}$ 

- A READ operation assigns to the objects in its *input-item-list*; a WRITE or PRINT operation uses the values of the objects on its *output-item-list*. I/O operations may interfere with other operations (including other I/O operations) as per the conditions above.
- An internal READ operation uses its internal file; an internal WRITE operation assigns to its internal file. These uses and assignments may interfere with other operations as outlined above.
- Any two file I/O operations except INQUIRE associated with the same file or unit interfere with each other. Two INQUIRE operations do not interfere with each other; however, an INQUIRE operation interferes with any other I/O operation associated with the same file.

Rationale. Because Fortran carefully defines the file position after a data transfer or file positioning statement, these operations affect the global state of a program. (Note that file position is defined even for direct access files.) Multiple non-advancing data transfer statements affect the file position in ways similar to multiple assignments of the same value to a variable, and is disallowed for the same reason. Multiple OPEN and CLOSE operations affect the status of files and units, which is another global side effect. INQUIRE does not affect the file status, and therefore does not affect other inquiries. However, other file operations may affect the properties reported by INQUIRE. (End of rationale.)

• Any data realignment or redistribution performed in the loop interferes with any access to or any other realignment of the same data.

*Rationale.* REALIGN and REDISTRIBUTE may change the processor storing a particular array element, which interferes with any assignment or use of that element. Similarly, multiple remapping operations may cause the same element to be stored in multiple locations. (*End of rationale.*)

Note that all of these describe interfering behavior; they do not disallow specific syntax. Statements that appear to violate one or more of these restrictions are allowed in an INDEPENDENT loop, if they are not executed due to control flow. These restrictions allow an INDEPENDENT loop to be executed safely in parallel if computational resources are available. The directive is purely advisory and a compiler is free to ignore it if it cannot make use of the information.

The NEW option modifies the INDEPENDENT directive and all surrounding INDEPENDENT directives by asserting that those assertions would be true *if* new objects were created for the named variables for each iteration of the DO loop. Thus, variables named in the *new*-clause behave as if they were private to the body of the DO loop. More formally, it asserts that the remainder of program execution is unaffected if all variables in the *variable-list* and any variables associated with them were to become undefined immediately before execution of every iteration of the loop, and also become undefined immediately after the completion of each iteration of the loop.

### Advice to implementors.

The wording here is similar to the treatment of realignment through pointers in Section 3.6. As with that section, it may be reworded if HPF directives are absorbed as actual Fortran statements.

(End of advice to implementors.)

1 2

з

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

1.5

21

22

28

31

34

36

38 39

40

43

```
Rationale. NEW variables provide the means to declare temporaries in INDEPENDENT
loops. Without this feature, many conceptually independent loops would need sub-
stantial rewriting (including expansion of scalars into arrays) to meet the rather strict
requirements described above. Note that a temporary need only be declared NEW at
the innermost lexical level at which it is assigned, since all enclosing INDEPENDENT
assertions must take that NEW into account. Note also that index variables for nested
DO loops must be declared NEW; the alternative was to limit the scope of an index
variable to the loop itself, which changes Fortran semantics. FORALL indices, however,
are restricted by the semantics of the FORALL; they require no NEW declarations. (End
of rationale.)
```

Advice to users. Section 4.4.1 contains several examples of the syntax and semantics of INDEPENDENT applied to DO loops. (End of advice to users.)

The interpretation of INDEPENDENT for FORALL is similar to that for DO: it asserts that 16no combination of the indexes that INDEPENDENT applies assigns to an atomic storage unit 17that is read by another combination. (Note that an HPF FORALL statement or construct 18 does not allow exits from the construct, etc.) A DO and a FORALL with the same body are 19 equivalent if they both have the INDEPENDENT directive. This is illustrated in Section 4.4.2. 20

```
Examples of INDEPENDENT
4.4.1
```

```
23
       !HPF$ INDEPENDENT
24
       DO i = 2, 99
25
          a(i) = b(i-1) + b(i) + b(i+1)
26
       END DO
27
```

This is one of the simplest examples of an INDEPENDENT loop. (For simplicity, all 29 examples in this section assume there is no storage or sequence association between any 30 variables used in the code.) Every iteration assigns to a different location in the a array, thus satisfying the first condition above. Since no elements of a are used on the right-32 hand side, no location that is assigned in the loop is also read, thus satisfying the second 33 condition. Note, however, that many elements of b are used repeatedly; this is allowed by the definition of INDEPENDENT. The other conditions relate to constructs not used in the 35 loop. In this example, the assertion is true regardless of the values of the variables involved.

```
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT
37
```

```
FORALL (i=2:n) a(i) = b(i-1) + b(i) + b(i+1)
```

This example is equivalent in all respects to the first example.

```
41
       !HPF$ INDEPENDENT
42
       DO i=1, 100
```

```
a(p(i)) = b(i)
```

```
44
        END DO
```

```
45
```

This INDEPENDENT directive asserts that the array p does not have any repeated entries 46 (else they would cause interference when a was assigned). The DO loop is therefore equivalent 47to the Fortran 90 statement  $^{48}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

 $^{46}$ 

```
a(p(1:100)) = b(1:100)
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT, NEW (i2)
D0 i1 = 1,n1
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT, NEW (i3)
D0 i2 = 1,n2
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT, NEW (i4)
D0 i3 = 1,n3
D0 i4 = 1,n4 ! The inner loop is NOT independent!
        a(i1,i2,i3) = a(i1,i2,i3) + b(i1,i2,i4)*c(i2,i3,i4)
        END D0
END D0
END D0
END D0
END D0
```

The inner loop is not independent because each element of a is assigned repeatedly. However, the three outer loops are independent because they access different elements of a. The NEW clauses are required, since the inner loop indices are assigned and used in different iterations of the outermost loops.

```
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT, NEW (j)
D0 i = 2, 100, 2
 !HPF$ INDEPENDENT, NEW(vl, vr, ul, ur)
D0 j = 2 , 100, 2
 vl = p(i,j) - p(i-1,j)
 vr = p(i+1,j) - p(i,j)
 ul = p(i,j) - p(i,j-1)
 ur = p(i,j+1) - p(i,j)
 p(i,j) = f(i,j) + p(i,j) + 0.25 * (vr - vl + ur - ul)
END D0
END D0
END D0
```

Without the NEW option on the j loop, neither loop would be independent, because an interleaved execution of loop iterations might cause other values of vl, vr, ul, and ur to be used in the assignment of p(i, j) than those computed in the same iteration of the loop. The NEW option, however, specifies that this is not true if distinct storage units are used in each iteration of the loop. Using this implementation makes iterations of the loops independent of each other. Note that there is no interference due to accesses of the array p because of the stride of the DO loop (i.e. i and j are always even, therefore i - 1, etc. are always odd.)

```
!HPF$ INDEPENDENT
D0 i = 1, 10
WRITE (iounit(i),100) a(i)
END D0
100 FORMAT ( F10.4 )
```

If iounit(i) evaluates to a different value for every  $i \in \{1, ..., 10\}$ , then the loop writes to a different I/O unit (and thus a different file) on every iteration. The loop is then properly described as independent. On the other hand, if iounit(i) = 5 for all i, then the assertion is in error and the loop is not HPF-conforming.



Figure 4.1: Dependences in DO and FORALL without INDEPENDENT assertions

 $^{27}$ Graphically, the INDEPENDENT directive can be visualized as eliminating edges from a precedence graph representing the program. Figure 4.1 shows some of the dependences that 28 may normally be present in a DO and a FORALL. (Most of the transitive dependences are not 29 shown.) An arrow from a left-hand side node (for example, "lhsa(1)") to a right-hand side 30 31 node ("rhsb(1)") means that the right-hand side computation might use values assigned 32 in the left-hand side node; thus the right-hand side must be computed after the left-hand side completes its store. Similarly, an arrow from a right-hand side node to a left-hand 33 34 side node means that the left-hand side may overwrite a value needed by the right-hand side computation, again forcing an ordering. Edges from the "BEGIN" and to the "END" 35 nodes represent control dependences. The INDEPENDENT directive asserts that the only 36 dependences that a compiler need enforce are those in Figure 4.2. That is, the programmer 37 who uses INDEPENDENT is certifying that if the compiler enforces only these edges, then the 38 resulting program will be equivalent to the one in which all the edges are present. Note that 39 the set of asserted dependences is identical for INDEPENDENT DO and FORALL constructs. 40

The compiler is justified in producing a warning if it can prove that one of these assertions is incorrect. It is not required to do so, however. A program containing any false assertion of this type is not HPF-conforming, thus is not defined by HPF, and the compiler may take any action it deems appropriate.

45

25 26

- 46
- 47



Figure 4.2: Dependences in DO and FORALL with INDEPENDENT assertions

Section 5

# **Intrinsic and Library Procedures**

HPF includes Fortran 90's intrinsic procedures. It also adds new intrinsic procedures in two categories: system inquiry intrinsic functions and computational intrinsic functions.

The definitions of two Fortran 90 intrinsic functions, MAXLOC and MINLOC, are extended by the addition of an optional DIM argument.

In addition to the new intrinsic functions, HPF defines a library module, HPF\_LIBRARY, that must be provided by vendors of any full HPF implementation.

This description of HPF intrinsic and library procedures follows the form and conventions of Section 13 of the Fortran 90 standard. The material of Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.5.7, 13.8.1, 13.8.2, 13.9, and 13.10 is applicable to the HPF intrinsic and library procedures and to their descriptions in this section of the HPF document.

### 5.1 Notation

In the examples of this section, T and F are used to denote the logical values true and false.

28 29 30

31

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

# 5.2 System Inquiry Intrinsic Functions

In a multi-processor implementation, the processors may be arranged in an implementation-dependent multi-dimensional processor array. The system inquiry functions return values related to this underlying machine and processor configuration, including the size and shape of the underlying processor array. NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS returns the total number of processors available to the program or the number of processors available to the program along a specified dimension of the processor array. PROCESSORS\_SHAPE returns the shape of the processor array.

The values returned by the system inquiry intrinsic functions remain constant for the duration of one program execution. Thus, NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS and PROCESSORS\_SHAPE have values that are restricted expressions and may be used wherever any other Fortran 90 restricted expression may be used. In particular, NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS may be used in a specification expression.

The values of system inquiry functions may not occur in initialization expressions, because they may not be assumed to be constants. In particular, HPF programs may be compiled to run on machines whose configurations are not known at compile time.

<sup>47</sup> Note that the system inquiry functions query the physical machine, and have nothing
 <sup>48</sup> to do with any PROCESSORS directive that may occur.

Advice to users. SIZE(PROCESSORS\_SHAPE()) returns the rank of the processor array. References to system inquiry functions may occur in array declarations and in HPF directives, as in:

INTEGER, DIMENSION(SIZE(PROCESSORS\_SHAPE())) :: PSHAPE
!HPF\$ TEMPLATE T(100, 3\*NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS())

(End of advice to users.)

# 5.3 Computational Intrinsic Functions

HPF adds one new intrinsic function, ILEN, which computes the number of bits needed to store an integer value. HPF also generalizes the Fortran 90 MAXLOC and MINLOC intrinsic functions with an optional DIM parameter, for finding the locations of maximum or minimum elements along a given dimension.

# 5.4 Library Procedures

The mapping inquiry subroutines and computational functions described in this section are available in the HPF library module, HPF\_LIBRARY. Use of these procedures must be accompanied by an appropriate USE statement in each scoping unit in which they are used. They are not intrinsic.

# 5.4.1 Mapping Inquiry Subroutines

HPF provides data mapping directives that are advisory in nature. The mapping inquiry subroutines allow the program to determine the actual mapping of an array at run time. It may be especially important to know the exact mapping when an EXTRINSIC subprogram is invoked. For these reasons, HPF includes mapping inquiry subroutines which describe how an array is actually mapped onto a machine. To keep the number of routines small, the inquiry procedures are structured as subroutines with optional INTENT (OUT) arguments.

# 5.4.2 Bit Manipulation Functions

The HPF library includes three elemental bit-manipulation functions. LEADZ computes the number of leading zero bits in an integer's representation. POPCNT counts the number of one bits in an integer. POPPAR computes the parity of an integer.

# 5.4.3 Array Reduction Functions

HPF adds additional array reduction functions that operate in the same manner as the Fortran 90 SUM and ANY intrinsic functions. The new reduction functions are IALL, IANY, IPARITY, and PARITY, which correspond to the commutative, associative binary operations IAND, IOR, IEOR, and .NEQV. respectively.

In the specifications of these functions, the terms "XXX reduction" are used, where XXX 45 is one of the binary operators above. These are defined by means of an example. The IAND 46 reduction of all the elements of array for which the corresponding element of mask is true 47 is the scalar integer computed in result by 48

```
result = IAND_IDENTITY_ELEMENT
1
       D0 i_1 = LBOUND(array,1), UBOUND(array,1)
2
3
            DO i_n = LBOUND(array,n), UBOUND(array,n)
4
              IF ( mask(i_1,i_2,...,i_n) ) &
5
                 result = IAND( result, array(i_1,i_2,...,i_n) )
6
            END DO
7
8
          . . .
       END DO
9
10
11
12
     Here, n is the rank of array and IAND_IDENTITY_ELEMENT is the integer which has all bits
13
     equal to one. (The interpretation of an integer as a sequence of bits is given in Section
14
15
```

13.5.7 of the Fortran 90 standard.) The other three reductions are similarly defined. The identity elements for IOR and IEOR are zero. The identity element for PARITY is .FALSE.

161718

# 5.4.4 Array Combining Scatter Functions

19 These are all generalized array reduction functions in which completely general, but nonover-20 lapping, subsets of array elements can be combined. There is a corresponding scatter func-21 tion for each of the twelve reduction operation in the language. The way the elements of 22 the source array are associated with the elements of the result is described in this section; 23 the method of combining their values is described in the specifications of the individual 24 functions in Section 5.7. 25

26 27

28

These functions all have the form

# XXX\_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)

The allowed values of XXX are ALL, ANY, COPY, COUNT, IALL, IANY, IPARITY, MAXVAL, MINVAL, 29 PARITY, PRODUCT, and SUM. The number of INDX arguments must equal the rank of BASE. 30 Except for COUNT\_SCATTER, ARRAY and BASE are arrays of the same type. For COUNT\_SCATTER, 31 ARRAY is of type logical and BASE is of type integer. The argument MASK is logical, and the 32 INDX arrays are integer. ARRAY, MASK, and all the INDX arrays are conformable. MASK is 33 optional. (For ALL\_SCATTER, ANY\_SCATTER, COUNT\_SCATTER, and PARITY\_SCATTER, the ARRAY 34 must be logical. These functions do not have an optional MASK argument. To conform with 35 the conventions of the F90 standard, the required ARRAY argument to these functions is 36 called MASK in their specifications in Section 5.7.) The result has the same type, kind type 37 parameter, and shape as **BASE**. 38

For every element a in ARRAY there is a corresponding element in each of the INDX 39 arrays. Let  $s_1$  be the value of the element of INDX1 that is indexed by the same subscripts 40 as element a of ARRAY. More generally, for each j = 1, 2, ..., n, let  $s_i$  be the value of the 41element of INDXj that corresponds to element a in ARRAY, where n is the rank of BASE. The 42 integers  $s_i, j = 1, ..., n$ , form a subscript selecting an element of BASE: BASE( $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$ ). 43

Thus the INDX arrays establish a mapping from all the elements of ARRAY onto selected 44 elements of BASE. Viewed in the other direction, this mapping associates with each element 45b of BASE a set S of elements from ARRAY. 46

Because BASE and the result are conformable, for each element of BASE there is a 47corresponding element of the result. 48

З

 $^{46}$ 

If S is empty, then the element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE has the same value as b.

If S is non-empty, then the elements of S will be combined with element b to produce an element of the result. The particular means of combining these values is described in the result value section of the specification of the routine below. As an example, for SUM\_SCATTER, if the elements of S are  $a_1, ..., a_m$ , then the element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE is the result of evaluating SUM(( $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$ ).

Note that, since a scalar is conformable with any array, a scalar may be used in place of an INDX array, in which case one hyperplane of the result is selected. See the example below.

If the optional, final MASK argument is present, then only the elements of ARRAY in positions for which MASK is true participate in the operation. All other elements of ARRAY and of the INDX arrays are ignored and cannot have any influence on any element of the result.

For example, if

A is the array
$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 2 & 3 \\
 4 & 5 & 6 \\
 7 & 8 & 9
 \end{bmatrix}$$
B is the array $\begin{bmatrix}
 -1 & -2 & -3 \\
 -4 & -5 & -6 \\
 -7 & -8 & -9
 \end{bmatrix}$ I1 is the array $\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 2 & 1 & 1 \\
 3 & 2 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$ I2 is the array $\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 2 & 3 \\
 1 & 1 & 2 \\
 1 & 1 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$ 

then

SUM\_SCATTER(A, B, I1, I2) is 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 14 & 6 & 0 \\ 8 & -5 & -6 \\ 0 & -8 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$
;  
SUM\_SCATTER(A, B, 2, I2) is  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ 30 & 3 & -3 \\ -7 & -8 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  
SUM\_SCATTER(A, B, I1, 2) is  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 24 & -3 \\ -4 & 7 & -6 \\ -7 & -1 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  
SUM\_SCATTER(A, B, 2, 2) is  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ -4 & 40 & -6 \\ -7 & -8 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$ ;

If A is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$ , B is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and IND is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then SUM\_SCATTER(A, B, IND, MASK=(A .GT. 0)) is  $\begin{bmatrix} 41 & 52 & 13 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

## 5.4.5 Array Prefix and Suffix Functions

In a scan of a vector, each element of the result is a function of the elements of the vector that precede it (for a prefix scan) or that follow it (for a suffix scan). These functions provide scan operations on arrays and subarrays. The functions all have the form

1	XXX_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)						
2	XXX_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)						
3							
4	The allowed values of XXX are ALL, ANY, COPY, COUNT, IALL, IANY, IPARITY, MAXVAL, MINVAL,						
5	PARITY, PRODUCT, and SUM.						
6	When comments below apply to both prefix and suffix forms of the routines, we will						
7	refer to them as YYYFIX functions.						
8	The arguments DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, and EXCLUSIVE are optional. The COPY_YYYFIX						
9	functions do not have MASK or EXCLUSIVE arguments. The ALL_YYYFIX, ANY_YYYFIX, COUNT						
10	YYYFIX, and PARITY_YYYFIX functions do not have MASK arguments. Their ARRAY argument						
11	must be of type logical; it is denoted MASK in their specifications in Section 5.7.						
12	The arguments MASK and SEGMENT must be of type logical. SEGMENT must have the						
13	same shape as ARRAY. MASK must be conformable with ARRAY. EXCLUSIVE is a logical scalar.						
14	DIM is a scalar integer between one and the rank of ARRAY.						
15							
16	Result Value. The result has the same shape as ARRAY, and, with the exception						
17	of COUNT_YYYFIX, the same type and kind type parameter as ARRAY. (The result of						
18	COUNT_YYYFIX is default integer.)						
19	In every case, every element of the result is determined by the values of certain						
20	selected elements of ARRAY in a way that is specific to the particular function and is						
21	described in its specification. The optional arguments affect the selection of elements						
22	of ARRAY for each element of the result; the selected elements of ARRAY are said to						
23	contribute to the result element. This section describes fully which elements of ARRAY						
24	contribute to a given element of the result.						
25	If no elements of ABBAY are selected for a given element of the result, that result						
26	element is set to a default value that is specific to the particular function and is						
27	described in its specification.						
20							
30	For any given element $r$ of the result, let $a$ be the corresponding element of ARRAY.						
31	Every element of ARRAY contributes to r unless disqualified by one of the following						
32	Tules.						
33	1. If the function is <b>XXX</b> DDEFIX no element that follows <i>a</i> in the arrow element						
34	1. If the function is $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ is the function is $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}$ SUFFIX to element ordering of ABRAY contributes to $\mathbf{r}$ . If the function is $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}$ SUFFIX to element						
35	that precedes <i>a</i> in the array element ordering of ARRAY contributes to <i>r</i>						
36	that precedes a in the array element ordering of Alitar contributes to 7.						
37	2. If the DIM argument is provided, an element $z$ of ARRAY does not contribute						
38	to $r$ unless all its indices, excepting only the index for dimension DIM, are the						
39	same as the corresponding indices of $a$ . (It follows that if the DIM argument is						
40	omitted, then ARRAY, MASK, and SEGMENT are processed in array element order,						
41	as if temporarily regarded as rank-one arrays. If the DIM argument is present,						
42	then a family of completely independent scan operations are carried out along						
43	the selected dimension of AKKAY.)						
44	3. If the MASK argument is provided, an element $z$ of ARRAY contributes to $r$ only if						
45	the element of MASK corresponding to $z$ is true. (It follows that array elements						
46	corresponding to positions where the MASK is false do not contribute anywhere						
47	to the result. However, the result is nevertheless defined at all positions, even						
48	positions where the MASK is false.)						

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

- 4. If the SEGMENT argument is provided, an element z of ARRAY does not contribute if there is some intermediate element w of ARRAY, possibly z itself, with all of the following properties:
  - (a) If the function is XXX\_PREFIX, w does not precede z but does precede a in the array element ordering; if the function is XXX\_SUFFIX, w does not follow z but does follow a in the array element ordering;
  - (b) If the DIM argument is present, all the indices of w, excepting only the index for dimension DIM, are the same as the corresponding indices of a; and
  - (c) The element of SEGMENT corresponding to w does not have the same value as the element of SEGMENT corresponding to a. (In other words, z can contribute only if there is an unbroken string of SEGMENT values, all alike, extending from z through a.)
- 5. If the EXCLUSIVE argument is provided and is true, then a itself does not contribute to r.

These general rules lead to the following important cases:

- Case (i): If ARRAY has rank one, element i of the result of XXX\_PREFIX(ARRAY) is determined by the first i elements of ARRAY; element SIZE(ARRAY) i + 1 of the result of XXX\_SUFFIX(ARRAY) is determined by the last i elements of ARRAY.
- Case (ii): If ARRAY has rank greater than one, then each element of the result of XXX\_PREFIX(ARRAY) has a value determined by the corresponding element a of the ARRAY and all elements of ARRAY that precede a in array element order. For XXX\_SUFFIX, a is determined by the elements of ARRAY that correspond to or follow a in array element order.
- Case (iii): Each element of the result of XXX\_PREFIX(ARRAY,MASK=MASK) is determined by selected elements of ARRAY, namely the corresponding element a of the ARRAY and all elements of ARRAY that precede a in array element order, but an element of ARRAY may contribute to the result only if the corresponding element of MASK is true. If this restriction results in selecting no array elements to contribute to some element of the result, then that element of the result is set to the default value for the given function.
- Case (iv): Each element of the result of XXX\_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM=DIM) is determined by selected elements of ARRAY, namely the corresponding element a of the ARRAY and all elements of ARRAY that precede a along dimension DIM; for example, in SUM\_PREFIX(A(1:N,1:N), DIM=2), result element  $(i_1, i_2)$  could be computed as SUM(A( $i_1, 1 : i_2$ )). More generally, in SUM\_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM), result element  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{DIM}, \ldots, i_n$  could be computed as SUM(ARRAY( $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{DIM}, \ldots, i_n$ )). (Note the colon before  $i_{DIM}$  in that last expression.)
- Case (v): If ARRAY has rank one, then element i of the result of XXX\_PREFIX(ARRAY, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is determined by the first i 1 elements of ARRAY.
- Case (vi): The options may be used in any combination.

2

3

Advice to users. A new segment begins at every *transition* from false to true or true to false; thus a segment is indicated by a maximal contiguous subsequence of like logical values:

```
4
                (/T,T,T,F,T,F,F,F,T,F,F,T/)
5
                   -----
                                                        seven segments
6
7
             (End of advice to users.)
8
9
             Rationale.
10
             One existing library delimits the segments by indicating the start of each segment.
11
             Another delimits the segments by indicating the stop of each segment. Each method
12
             has its advantages. There is also the question of whether this convention should
13
             change when performing a suffix rather than a prefix. HPF adopts the symmetric
14
             representation above. The main advantages of this representation are:
1.5
16
              (A) It is symmetrical, in that the same segment specifier may be meaningfully used
17
                   for prefix and suffix without changing its interpretation (start versus stop).
18
              (B) The start-bit or stop-bit representation is easily converted to this form by us-
19
                   ing PARITY_PREFIX or PARITY_SUFFIX. These might be standard idioms for a
20
                   compiler to recognize:
21
22
                      SUM_PREFIX(FO0,SEGMENT=PARITY_PREFIX(START_BITS))
23
                      SUM_PREFIX(FOO,SEGMENT=PARITY_SUFFIX(STOP_BITS))
24
                      SUM_SUFFIX(FO0,SEGMENT=PARITY_SUFFIX(START_BITS))
25
                      SUM_SUFFIX(FOO,SEGMENT=PARITY_PREFIX(STOP_BITS))
26
             (End of rationale.)
27
28
               Examples. The examples below illustrate all possible combinations of optional
29
               arguments for SUM_PREFIX. The default value for SUM_YYYFIX is zero.
30
              Case (i): SUM_PREFIX((/1,3,5,7/)) is \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.
31
32
              Case (ii): If B is the array \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix},
SUM_PREFIX(B) is the array \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 14 & 30 \\ 5 & 19 & 36 \\ 12 & 27 & 45 \end{bmatrix}
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
              Case (iii): If A is the array [ 3 5 -2 -1 7 4 8 ],
40
                           then SUM_PREFIX(A, MASK = A .LT. 6) is [3 \ 8 \ 6 \ 5 \ 5 \ 9 \ 9].
41
42
              Case (iv): If B is the array \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, then SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=1) is the array
43
44
45
                            \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 12 & 15 & 18 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \text{SUM\_PREFIX(B, DIM=2) is the array } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 4 & 9 & 15 \\ 7 & 15 & 24 \end{bmatrix}.
46
47
^{48}
```

Case (v): SUM_PREFIX((/1,3,5,7/), EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.)	is [ 0	14	. 9]	•	1
					2
1 2 3 4 5					3
Case (vi): If B is the array $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ ,		М	is the	arra	y 4
11 12 13 14 15					5
ר ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד ד	י די	ן א			6
FFTT and Sis the array FT	 ттг	- - 		the	n. 7
	 	· -	,	01101	.1.
		· · ]			9
SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=2, MASK=M, SEGMENT=S, EXCLUSIVE=.TF	RUE.)	is			10
	Γο	1 0	3	7	11
	0	0 0	0	9	• 12
	0 1	1 11	24	24	
SUM PREFIX (B DIM=2 MASK=M SEGMENT=S EXCLUSIVE= E	ר - ר אופיי (	 ie		1	14
JOM_I REFIX(D, DIM-2, MASK-M, SEGMENT-5, EKCEOSIVEF	1 1	יי יי	7	12	14
	0	า ผ	à	19	15
	11 1	1 2/	24	24	• 16
L		1 24	24	24	17
	0	13	6	10	18
SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=2, MASK=M, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is	0	0 0	8	17	· 19
	0 1	1 11	24	24	20
]	1	36	10	15	21
SUM PREFIX(B. DIM=2. MASK=M. EXCLUSIVE=.FALSE.) is	0	08	17	27	22
	11 1	1 24	24	24	23
L					24
	0	1 0	3	7	25
SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=2, SEGMENT=S, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is	0	07	0	9	· 26
	0 1	1 23	36	50	27
SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=2, SEGMENT=S, EXCLUSIVE=.FALSE.) is				-	28
	1	33	7	12	29
	6	7 15	9	19	• 30
	11 2	3 36	50	65	31
-	Го	13	6	10	32
SUM PREFIX (B DIM=2 EXCLUSIVE= TRUE ) is	0	 6 13	21	30	33
		1 23	36	50	• 34
Г	L°Ť			1	35
	1	36	10	15	36
SUM_PREFIX(B, DIM=2, EXCLUSIVE=.FALSE.) is	61	3 21	30	40	• 37
l	11 2	3 36	50	65	
	Γc	11	0 0	0	30
SUM_PREFIX(B, MASK=M, SEGMENT=S, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is	C	11	04	5	
	C	11	8 0	0	40
SUM PREFIX(B. MASK=M. SEGMENT=S. EXCLUSIVE= FALSE ) is	L			_	41
	, 1 1	33	4	5 ]	42
	0 1		13	15	43
	11 1	3 21	<u>10</u>	<u>_</u>	• 44
L		~ ~		_ ` _	45
	0 1	2 14	38	51	46
SUM_PREFIX(B, MASK=M, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is		4 17	42	56	• 47
	1 1	4 25	51	66	48

1		1	14	17	42	56	
2	SUM_PREFIX(B, MASK=M, EXCLUSIVE=.FALSE.) is	1	14	25	51	66	.
3		12	14	38	51	66	
4			Γo	11	0 0	0 0	1
5	SUM_PREFIX(B, SEGMENT=S, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is		0	13	0 4	45	
6			0	20	8 (	0 0	
7		Γı	13	З	4	5	1
8	SUM DREFTY (R SECMENT=S FYCIUSIVE= FAISE );	6	20	8	13	15	
9	SOME MEFIX(D, SEGMENT-S, EXCLOSIVEFRESE.) 18		32	21	14	15	•
10							] 1
11		0	18	39	63	90	
12	SUM_PREFIX(B, EXCLUSIVE=.TRUE.) is	1	20	42	67	95	.
13		[ 7	27	50	76	105	
14		1	20	42	67	95	]
15	SUM_PREFIX(B, EXCLUSIVE=.FALSE.) is	7	27	50	76	105	
16		18	39	63	90	120	
17	L					-	1

### 5.4.6 Array Sorting Functions

181920

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

26  $^{27}$ 28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35 36

37

HPF includes procedures for sorting multidimensional arrays. These are structured as functions that return sorting permutations. An array can be sorted along a given axis, or the whole array may be viewed as a sequence in array element order. The sorts are stable, allowing for convenient sorting of structures by major and minor keys.

### 5.5 Generic Intrinsic and Library Procedures

For all of the intrinsic and library procedures, the arguments shown are the names that must be used for keywords when using the keyword form for actual arguments. Many of the argument keywords have names that are indicative of their usage, as is the case in Fortran 90. See Section 13.10 of the standard.

```
5.5.1 System inquiry intrinsic functions
```

38	NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS(DIM)	The number of executing processors
39	Optional DIM	
40	PROCESSORS_SHAPE()	The shape of the executing processor array
41		1 01 0
42		
43	5.5.2 Array location intrinsic	functions
44		
45	MAXLOC(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)	Location of a maximum value in an array
46	Optional DIM, MASK	
47	MINLOC(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)	Location of a minimum value in an array
48	Optional DIM, MASK	

 $^{48}$ 

5.5.3 Mapping inquiry subroutines

Optional DIM, MASK

IPARITY(IARRAY, DIM, MASK)

PARITY(MASK, DIM)

Optional DIM

Optional DIM, MASK

HPF_ALIGNMENT(ALIGNEE, LB DYNAMIC, NCOPIE Optional LB, UB, STRI HPF_TEMPLATE(ALIGNEE, TEM NUMBER_ALIGNED, Optional TEMPLATE_RAN NUMBER_ALIGNED, HPF_DISTRIBUTION(DISTRIBU PROCESSORS_SHAP Optional AXIS_TYPE, A	, UB, STRIDE, AXIS_MAP, IDENTITY_MAP, & S) DE, AXIS_MAP, IDENTITY_MAP, DYNAMIC, NCOPIES PLATE_RANK, LB, UB, AXIS_TYPE, AXIS_INFO, & DYNAMIC) K, LB, UB, AXIS_TYPE, AXIS_INFO, DYNAMIC TEE, AXIS_TYPE, AXIS_INFO, PROCESSORS_RANK, & E) XIS_INFO, PROCESSORS_RANK, PROCESSORS_SHAPE
5.5.4 Bit manipulation funct	ons
ILEN(I) LEADZ(I) POPCNT(I) POPPAR(I)	Bit length (intrinsic) Leading zeros Number of one bits Parity
5.5.5 Array reduction functio	ns
IALL(IARRAY, DIM, MASK) Optional DIM, MASK IANY(IARRAY, DIM, MASK)	Bitwise logical AND reduction Bitwise logical OR reduction

Bitwise logical EOR reduction

Logical EOR reduction
```
5.5.6 Array combining scatter functions
1
^{2}
      ALL_SCATTER(MASK, BASE, INDX1 ..., INDXn)
3
      ANY_SCATTER(MASK, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn)
4
      COPY_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
5
            Optional MASK
6
      COUNT_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
7
            Optional MASK
8
      IALL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
9
            Optional MASK
10
      IANY_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
11
            Optional MASK
12
      IPARITY_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
13
            Optional MASK
14
      IALL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
15
            Optional MASK
16
      MAXVAL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
17
            Optional MASK
18
      MINVAL_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
1\,9
            Optional MASK
20
      PARITY_SCATTER(MASK, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn)
21
      PRODUCT_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
22
            Optional MASK
23
      SUM_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)
^{24}
            Optional MASK
^{25}
26
     5.5.7 Array prefix and suffix functions
^{27}
      ALL_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
28
            Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
29
      ALL_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
30
            Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
31
      ANY_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
32
            Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
33
      ANY_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
34
            Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

	COPY_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, SEGMENT)	1
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT	2
	COPY_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, SEGMENT)	3
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT	4
	COUNT_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	5
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	6
	COUNT_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	7
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	8
	IALL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	9
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	10
	IALL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	11
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	12
	IANY_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	13
	$\operatorname{Optional}$ DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	14
	IANY_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	15
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	16
	IPARITY_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	17
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	18
	IPARITY_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	19
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	20
	MAXVAL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	21
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	22
	MAXVAL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	23
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	24
	MINVAL_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	25
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	26
	MINVAL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	27
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	28
	PARITY_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	29
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	30
	PARITY_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	31
	Optional DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	32
	PRODUCT_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	34
	PRODUCT_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	35
	Optional DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	36
	SUM_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	37
	Optional DIM. MASK. SEGMENT. EXCLUSIVE	38
	SUM SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM. MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	39
	Optional DIM MASK SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE	40
		40
		41
<b>г</b> г о		42
5.5.8	o Array sort tunctions	43
		44

GRADE_DOWN(ARRAY,DIM)	Permutation that sorts into descending order
$\operatorname{Optional} \mathtt{DIM}$	
GRADE_UP(ARRAY,DIM)	Permutation that sorts into ascending order
Optional DIM	

1	5.6	Specifications of Intrinsic	Procedures
2 3	5.6.1	ILEN(I)	
4 5		<b>Description.</b> Returns representation of an inte	one less than the length, in bits, of the two's-complement ger.
7		Class. Elemental function	on.
8 9		Argument. I must be a	of type integer.
10 11		Result Type and Type Parameter. Same as I.	
12 13 14		<b>Result Value.</b> If I is negative, ILEN(I) has the	nonnegative, ILEN(I) has the value $\lceil \log_2(I+1) \rceil$ ; if I is ne value $\lceil \log_2(-I) \rceil$ .
15 16 17 18		<b>Examples.</b> ILEN(4) = 3. ILEN(-4) = 2. $2**ILEN(N-1)$ rounds N up to a power of 2 (for N > 0), whereas $2**(ILEN(N)-1)$ rounds N down to a power of 2. Compare with LEADZ.	
19 20 21 22 23		The value returned is one of I, as the following ex is 0100. The leading zer represents $-4$ .	e less than the length of the two's-complement representation plains. The shortest two's-complement representation of 4 to is the required sign bit. In 3-bit two's complement, 100
24	5.6.2	MAXLOC(ARRAY, DIM	I, MASK)
25 26		<b>Optional Arguments.</b>	DIM, MASK
Description. Determine the locations of the first elements of AR DIM having the maximum value of the elements identified by MA		e the locations of the first elements of ARRAY along dimension n value of the elements identified by MASK.	
30 31		Class. Transformational	l function.
32 33		Arguments.	
34		ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.
35 36 37 38 39		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.
40 41		MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.
43 44 45 46		<b>Result Type, Type Pa</b> If DIM is absent the result otherwise, the result is a $\ldots, d_n$ , where $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$	<b>trameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type default integer. t is an array of rank one and size equal to the rank of ARRAY; n array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $(d_1, \ldots, d_{DIM-1}, d_{DIM+1}, d_n)$ is the shape of ARRAY.
47 48		Result Value.	

- Case (i): The result of executing S = MAXLOC(ARRAY) + LBOUND(ARRAY) 1 is a rank-one array S of size equal to the rank n of ARRAY. It is such that ARRAY(S(1), ..., S(n)) has the maximum value of all of the elements of ARRAY. If more than one element has the maximum value, the element whose subscripts are returned is the first such element, taken in array element order. If ARRAY has size zero, the result is processor dependent.
- Case (ii): The result of executing S = MAXLOC(ARRAY, MASK) + LBOUND(ARRAY) 1 is a rank-one array S of size equal to the rank n of ARRAY. It is such that ARRAY(S(1), ..., S(n)) corresponds to a true element of MASK, and has the maximum value of all such elements of ARRAY. If more than one element has the maximum value, the element whose subscripts are returned is the first such element, taken in array element order. If there are no such elements (that is, if ARRAY has size zero or every element of MASK has the value false), the result is processor dependent.
- Case (iii): If ARRAY has rank one, the result of MAXLOC(ARRAY, DIM [,MASK]) is a scalar S such that ARRAY(S + LBOUND(ARRAY,1) 1) corresponds to a true element of MASK (if MASK is present) and has the maximum value of all such elements (all elements if MASK is absent). It is the smallest such subscript. Otherwise, the value of element  $(s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n)$  of MAXLOC(ARRAY, DIM [,MASK]) is equal to MAXLOC(ARRAY,  $s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n$ ] [,MASK = MASK $(s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n$ ]]).

### Examples.

- Case (i): The value of MAXLOC((/ 5, -9, 3 /)) is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- Case (ii): MAXLOC(C, MASK = C .LT. O) finds the location of the first element of C that is the maximum of the negative elements.
- Case (iii): The value of MAXLOC((/ 5, -9, 3 /), DIM=1) is 1. If B is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -9 \\ 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , MAXLOC( B, DIM = 1 ) is  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and MAXLOC( B, DIM = 2 ) is  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that this is true even if B has a declared lower bound other than 1.

# 5.6.3 MINLOC(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)

### **Optional Arguments.** DIM, MASK

**Description.** Determine the locations of the first elements of ARRAY along dimension DIM having the minimum value of the elements identified by MASK.

Class. Transformational function.

Arguments.

ARRAY

must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.

1 2 3 4	DIM (optiona	1)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.
5 6	MASK (option	.al)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.
7 8 9 10 11	<b>Result Typ</b> If DIM is absorb otherwise, th $\ldots, d_n$ ), when	e, Type Para ent the result i ne result is an ere $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$	<b>ameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type default integer. is an array of rank one and size equal to the rank of ARRAY; array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $(d_1, \ldots, d_{DIM-1}, d_{DIM+1}, d_{DIM+1})$ is the shape of ARRAY.
12	Result Val	ue.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Case (i): T r: A o w e	'he result of ex ank-one array RRAY(S(1), f ARRAY. If mo vhose subscrip lement order.	xecuting $S = MINLOC(ARRAY) + LBOUND(ARRAY) - 1$ is a r S of size equal to the rank $n$ of ARRAY. It is such that $\dots$ , $S(n)$ has the minimum value of all of the elements ore than one element has the minimum value, the element ots are returned is the first such element, taken in array If ARRAY has size zero, the result is processor dependent.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Case (ii): T 1 t a o r a M	'he result of ex is a rank-one hat ARRAY(S( nd has the mi ne element ha eturned is the re no such ele ASK has the va	decuting $S = MINLOC(ARRAY, MASK) + LBOUND(ARRAY) - e array S of size equal to the rank n of ARRAY. It is such 1),, S(n)) corresponds to a true element of MASK, inimum value of all such elements of ARRAY. If more than as the minimum value, the element whose subscripts are e first such element, taken in array element order. If there ements (that is, if ARRAY has size zero or every element of alue false), the result is processor dependent.$
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Case (iii): E st t: s o M M E	ARRAY has recalar S such the such the element of uch elements (cript. Otherwork) INLOC(ARRAY, INLOC(ARRAY, MASK = MAS)	Tank one, the result of MINLOC(ARRAY, DIM [,MASK]) is a hat ARRAY(S + LBOUND(ARRAY,1) - 1) corresponds to a E MASK (if MASK is present) and has the minimum value of all (all elements if MASK is absent). It is the smallest such sub- ise, the value of element $(s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n)$ , DIM [,MASK]) is equal to $Y((s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n))$ K( $(s_1, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n)$ )]).
38	Examples.		
39 40	Case (i): T	he value of M	INLOC((/ 5, -9, 3 /)) is [ 2 ].
41 42	Case (ii): M C	INLOC(C, MAS that is the m	SK = C .GT. 0) finds the location of the first element of ninimum of the positive elements.
43 44 45 46 47 48	Case (iii): T [ a N	$\begin{bmatrix} he value of M \\ 1 & 3 & -9 \\ 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \\ nd MINLOC(E) \\ Note that this \\ \end{bmatrix}$	<pre>MINLOC((/ 5, -9, 3 /), DIM=1) is 2. If B is the array MINLOC( B, DIM = 1 ) is [ 1 2 1 ] 3, DIM = 2 ) is [ 3 1 ]. is true even if B has a declared lower bound other than 1.</pre>

5.6.4	NUMBER_OF_PROCESS	ORS(DIM)	1		
	Optional Argument. D	IM	2		
	<b>Description.</b> Returns the number of processors a processor array.	ne total number of processors available to the program or available to the program along a specified dimension of the	3 4 5 6 7		
	Class. System inquiry function.				
	Arguments.		10		
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ where n is the rank of the processor array.	11 12 13 14		
			15		
	Result Type, Type Par	rameter, and Shape. Default integer scalar.	16		
	<b>Result Value.</b> The result has a value equal to the extent of dimension DIM of the processor-dependent hardware processor array or, if DIM is absent, the total number of elements of the processor-dependent hardware processor array. The result is always greater than zero.				
	<b>Examples.</b> For a computer with 8192 processors arranged in a 128 by 64 rectangular grid, the value of NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS() is 8192; the value of NUMBER_OF_PROCES-SORS(DIM=1) is 128; and the value of NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS(DIM=2) is 64. For a single-processor workstation, the value of NUMBER_OF_PROCESSORS() is 1; since the rank of a scalar processor array is zero, no DIM argument may be used.				
5.6.5	PROCESSORS_SHAPE(		29		
	Description. Returns the shape of the implementation-dependent processor array.				
	Class. System inquiry function.				
	Arguments. None				
	<b>Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.</b> The result is a default integer array of rank one whose size is equal to the rank of the implementation-dependent				
	processor array.				
	<b>Result Value.</b> The value of the result is the shape of the implementation-dependent processor array.				
	<b>Example.</b> In a computer of PROCESSORS_SHAPE() is sors arranged in a 128 by [128,64]. For a single proc (the size-zero array of ran	with 2048 processors arranged in a hypercube, the value s [2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]. In a computer with 8192 proces- 64 rectangular grid, the value of PROCESSORS_SHAPE() is cessor workstation, the value of PROCESSORS_SHAPE() is [] k one).	43 44 45 46 47 48		

5.7 Specifications of Library Procedures		Procedures
5.7.1	ALL_PREFIX(MASK, D	IM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
	Optional Arguments.	DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
	<b>Description.</b> Compute MASK.	s a segmented logical AND scan along dimension $\tt DIM$ c
	Class. Transformational	function.
	Arguments.	
	MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.
	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape a MASK.
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.
	Result Type, Type Pa	arameter, and Shape. Same as MASK.
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possil $r$ by the rules stated in S	r of the result has the value ALL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) wher bly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute t fection 5.4.5.
	Example. ALL_PREFIX( $\begin{bmatrix} T & F & T & T \end{bmatrix}$ .	(/T,F,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is
5.7.2	ALL_SCATTER(MASK,	BASE,INDX1,, INDXn)
	<b>Description.</b> Scatters index arrays INDX1,, 2 corresponding element of are true.	elements of MASK to positions of the result indicated b INDXn. An element of the result is true if and only if th f BASE and all elements of MASK scattered to that position
	Class. Transformational	function.
	Arguments.	
	MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.
	BASE	must be of type logical with the same kind type paramete as MASK. It must not be scalar.
	INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with MASK. Th number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.
	Result Type, Type Pa	arameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.

3 4

5

6

8 9

**Result Value.** The element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE has 1 the value ALL(  $(/a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$ ), where  $(a_1, ..., a_m)$  are the elements of MASK associated with b as described in Section 5.4.4. Example. ALL\_SCATTER( (/T, T, T, F/), (/T, T, T/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/) ) is | T F T | . 5.7.3 ALL\_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) **Optional Arguments.** DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE 10 11 **Description.** Computes a reverse, segmented logical AND scan along dimension 12 DIM of MASK. 13 Class. Transformational function. 14 15Arguments. 16 17must be of type logical. It must not be scalar. MASK 18 DIM (optional) must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the 19 range  $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK. 20 21 **SEGMENT** (optional) must be of type logical and must have the same shape as 22 MASK. 23 **EXCLUSIVE** (optional) must be of type logical and must be scalar. 24  $^{25}$ **Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.** Same as MASK. 2627**Result Value.** Element r of the result has the value  $ALL((/ a_1, ..., a_m /))$  where 28  $(a_1,\ldots,a_m)$  is the (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute to 29 r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5. 30 Example. ALL\_SUFFIX( (/T,F,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is 31 32 FFTTT. 33 34 5.7.4 ANY\_PREFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 35 **Optional Arguments.** DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE 36 37 **Description.** Computes a segmented logical OR scan along dimension DIM of MASK. 38 39 Class. Transformational function. 40 41Arguments. 42 MASK must be of type logical. It must not be scalar. 43 44 DIM (optional) must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the 45range  $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.  $^{46}$ SEGMENT (optional) must be of type logical and must have the same shape as 47MASK. 48

1		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.
2 3		Result Type, Type Par	rameter, and Shape. Same as MASK.
4 5 6 7		<b>Result Value.</b> Element $r$ $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possible $r$ by the rules stated in Sec.	r of the result has the value ANY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where ly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute to ection 5.4.5.
8 9 10		$ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Example.} \ \mathtt{ANY\_PREFIX}(\\ \left[ \ \mathtt{F} \ \mathtt{T} \ \mathtt{T} \ \mathtt{F} \ \mathtt{F} \end{array} \right]. \end{array} $	(/F,T,F,F,F/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is
11 12	5.7.5	ANY_SCATTER(MASK,	BASE,INDX1,, INDXn)
13 14 15 16 17		<b>Description.</b> Scatters edindex arrays INDX1,, Incorresponding element of true.	elements of MASK to positions of the result indicated by NDXn. An element of the result is true if and only if the BASE or any element of MASK scattered to that position is
18 19		Class. Transformational	function.
20		Arguments.	
21 22		MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.
23 24		BASE	must be of type logical with the same kind type parameter as MASK. It must not be scalar.
25 26 27 28		INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with MASK. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.
29 30		Result Type, Type Par	rameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.
31 32 33		<b>Result Value.</b> The element the value ANY( $(/a_1, a_2,, a_{sociated})$ with b as described.	ent of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE has $, a_m, b/$ ) ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements of MASK ibed in Section 5.4.4.
34 35 36 37		Example. ANY_SCATTER( $\begin{bmatrix} T & F & T \end{bmatrix}$ .	(/T, F, F, F/), (/F, F, T/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/) ) is
38	5.7.6	ANY_SUFFIX(MASK, DI	IM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
39 40		Optional Arguments. I	DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE
41 42 43		<b>Description.</b> Computes a of MASK.	a reverse, segmented logical OR scan along dimension $\tt DIM$
44 45		Class. Transformational	function.
46		Arguments.	
47 48		MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.

DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.
SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as $\tt MASK.$
EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.

Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as MASK.

**Result Value.** Element r of the result has the value ANY((/  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  /)) where  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$  is the (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.

Example. ANY\_SUFFIX( (/F,T,F,F,F/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is T T F F F.

# 5.7.7 COPY\_PREFIX(ARRAY, DIM, SEGMENT)

# **Optional Arguments.** DIM, SEGMENT

Description. Computes a segmented copy scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.

Class. Transformational function.

Arguments.		24
ARRAY	may be of any type. It must not be scalar	25
	may be of any type. It mast not be search.	26
DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the	27
	range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	28
SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as	29
(optional)	ARRAY.	30
		31

Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.

**Result Value.** Element r of the result has the value  $a_1$  where  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$  is the set, in array element order, of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.

```
Example. COPY_PREFIX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is 
[ 1 1 1 4 4 ].
```

# 5.7.8 COPY\_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)

# **Optional Argument. MASK**

**Description.** Scatters elements of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result indicated by index arrays INDX1, ..., INDXn. Each element of the result is equal to one of the elements of ARRAY scattered to that position or, if there is none, to the corresponding element of BASE.

1		Class. Transformational function.	
2		Arguments.	
4		ARRAY	may be of any type. It must not be scalar.
5 6		BASE	must be of the same type and kind type parameter as ARRAY.
7 8 9 10		INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.
11 12		MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.
13		Result Type, Type Par	rameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.
14 15 16		<b>Result Value.</b> Let $S$ be <b>BASE</b> as described in Secio	the set of elements of ARRAY associated with element $b$ of n 5.4.4.
17 18		If $S$ is empty, then the ele has the same value as $b$ .	ment of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE
19 20 21		If $S$ is non-empty, then the <b>BASE</b> is the result of choos choice is to be made; the result of the	he element of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of sing one element from $S$ . HPF does not specify how the mechanism is processor dependent.
22 23 24 25		Example. COPY_SCATTE $[x, y, 9]$ , where x is a $\{3, 4\}$ .	R((/1, 2, 3, 4/), (/7, 8, 9/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is member of the set $\{1, 2\}$ and y is a member of the set
26 27	5.7.9	COPY_SUFFIX(ARRAY,	DIM, SEGMENT)
28		Optional Arguments.	DIM, SEGMENT
30 31		<b>Description.</b> Computes ARRAY.	a reverse, segmented copy scan along dimension $\mathtt{DIM}$ of
32		Class. Transformational	function.
33 34		Arguments.	
35		ARRAY	may be of any type. It must not be scalar.
36 37 38		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.
39 40		SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.
41		Result Type, Type Par	rameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.
42 43 44 45		<b>Result Value.</b> Element set, in array element orde rules stated in Section 5.4	r of the result has the value $a_m$ where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the r, of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the .5.
46 47 48		Example. COPY_SUFFIX( $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .	(/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is

5.7.10	COUNT_PREFIX(MAS	K, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	1
	Optional Arguments. DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE		
	Description. Computes a segmented COUNT scan along dimension DIM of MASK.		
	Class. Transformational f	unction.	5
	Arguments.		7
	MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar	8
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.	10 11
	<b>SEGMENT</b> (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as MASK.	12 13 14
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	15
	Result Type, Type Par and of the same shape as 1	ameter, and Shape. The result is of type default integer	16 17 18
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value $COUNT((/a_1,, a_m/))$ where $(a_1,, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.		
	Example. COUNT_PREFIX( (/F,T,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [ 0 1 2 1 2 ].		
5.7.11	COUNT_SCATTER(MA	SK,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn)	26
	<b>Description.</b> Scatters ele arrays INDX1,, INDXn. H element of BASE and the m	ments of MASK to positions of the result indicated by index Cach element of the result is the sum of the corresponding umber of true elements of MASK scattered to that position.	27 28 29 30
	Class. Transformational function.		
	Arguments.		33
	MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.	34
	BASE	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	36
	INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with MASK. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	37 38 39 40
	Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.		
	<b>Result Value.</b> The element of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE has the value $b + \text{COUNT}((a_1, a_2,, a_m/))$ , where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements of MASK associated with $b$ as described in Section 5.4.4.		
	Example. COUNT_SCATT: $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .	ER((/T, T, T, F/),(/1, -1, 0/),(/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is	46 47 48

 $^{48}$ 

1	5.7.12 COUNT_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) Optional Arguments. DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE			
2 3				
4 5 6		<b>Description.</b> Computes MASK.	a reverse, segmented COUNT scan along dimension DIM of	
7 8		Class. Transformational f	unction.	
9		Arguments.		
10		MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.	
12 13		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.	
14 15 16		SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as MASK.	
17 18		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
19 20 21		<b>Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type default integer and of the same shape as MASK.		
22 <b>Result Value.</b> Element $r$ of23where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possi24tribute to $r$ by the rules stated		<b>Result Value.</b> Element where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the tribute to r by the rules st	r of the result has the value COUNT((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contated in Section 5.4.5.	
26 27 28		Example. COUNT_SUFFIX $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .	( (/T,F,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	
29 30	5.7.13	3 GRADE_DOWN(ARRAY,DIM)		
31		<b>Optional Argument. DIM</b> <b>Description.</b> Produces a permutation of the indices of an array, sorted by descending array element values.		
32 33 34				
35 36		Class. Transformational f	unction.	
37 38		Arguments.		
39 40		ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or character.	
41 42 43 44		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where <i>n</i> is the rank of ARRAY. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.	
45 46 47 48		Result Type, Type Para If DIM is present, the result has shape (/ SIZE(SHAPE	ameter, and Shape. The result is of type default integer. t has the same shape as ARRAY. If DIM is absent, the result (ARRAY)), PRODUCT(SHAPE(ARRAY)) /).	

# Result Value.

Case (i): The result of  $S = GRADE_DOWN(ARRAY)$  has the property that if one computes the rank-one array B of size PRODUCT(SHAPE(ARRAY)) by FORALL (K=1:SIZE(B,1)) B(K)=ARRAY(S(1,K),S(2,K),...,S(N,K)) where N has the value SIZE(SHAPE(ARRAY)), then B is sorted in descending order; moreover, all of the columns of S are distinct, that is, if  $j \neq m$  then ALL(S(:,j) .EQ. S(:,m)) will be false. The sort is stable; if  $j \leq m$  and B(j) = B(m), then ARRAY(S(1,j),S(2,j),...,S(n,j)) precedes ARRAY(S(1,m),S(2,m),...,S(n,m)) in the array element ordering of ARRAY.

Case (ii): The result of R = GRADE\_DOWN(ARRAY,DIM=K) has the property that if one computes the array  $B(i_1, i_2, ..., i_k, ..., i_n) =$ ARRAY $(i_1, i_2, ..., R(i_1, i_2, ..., i_k, ..., i_n), ..., i_n)$  then for all  $i_1, i_2, ..., (omit <math>i_k), ..., i_n$ , the vector  $B(i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$  is sorted in descending order; moreover,  $R(i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$  is a permutation of all the integers in the range LBOUND(ARRAY,K): UBOUND(ARRAY,K). The sort is stable; that is, if  $j \le m$ and  $B(i_1, i_2, ..., j_1, ..., i_n) = B(i_1, i_2, ..., m, ..., i_n)$ , then  $R(i_1, i_2, ..., j_1, ..., i_n) \le R(i_1, i_2, ..., m, ..., i_n)$ .

# Examples.

Case (i): GRADE\_DOWN( (/30, 20, 30, 40, -10/) ) is a rank two array of shape  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  with the value  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . (To produce a rank-one result, the optional DIM = 1 argument must be used.) If A is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , then GRADE\_DOWN(A) has the value  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Case (ii): If A is the array  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , then GRADE\_DOWN(A, DIM = 1) has the value  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

# 5.7.14 GRADE\_UP(ARRAY,DIM)

### **Optional Argument.** DIM

**Description.** Produces a permutation of the indices of an array, sorted by ascending array element values.

Class. Transformational function.

Arguments.

1	ARRAY		must be of type integer, real, or character.
2 3 4 5	DIM (optic	onal)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where <i>n</i> is the rank of <b>ARRAY</b> . The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummu argument
6			dummy argument.
7	Result T	уре, Туре Раз	rameter, and Shape. The result is of type default integer.
8	If DIM is p	resent, the resu	llt has the same shape as ARRAY. If DIM is absent, the result
9	has shape	(/ SIZE(SHAP)	E(ARRAY)), PRODUCT(SHAPE(ARRAY)) /).
10		7 1	
11	Result V	alue.	
12	Case (i):	The result of	$S = GRADE_UP(ARRAY)$ has the property that if one com-
13		putes the rank	k-one array B of size PRODUCT(SHAPE(ARRAY)) by
14		FORALL (K=1:	:SIZE(B,1)) B(K)=ARRAY(S(1,K),S(2,K),,S(N,K))
15		where $N$ has the	ne value $SIZE(SHAPE(ARRAY))$ , then B is sorted in ascending
16		order; moreov	rer, all of the columns of S are distinct, that is, if $j \neq m$ then
17		ALL(S(:, $j$ ) .	.EQ. S(:,m)) will be false. The sort is stable; if $j \leq m$
18		and $B(j) = H$	B(m), then ARRAY( $S(1,j)$ , $S(2,j)$ ,, $S(n,j)$ ) precedes
19		ARRAY (S ( $1,m$	),S(2,m),,S(n,m)) in the array element ordering of
20		ARRAY.	
21	Case (ii):	The result of	$R = GRADE_UP(ARRAY, DIM=K)$ has the property that if one
22	0 (	computes the	array $B(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_n) =$
20		ARRAY $(i_1, i_2,$	$ \mathbf{R}(i_1, i_2,, i_k,, i_n),, i_n)$
24		then for all $i_1$ ,	$(i_1, i_2, \dots, (omit \ i_k), \dots, i_n)$ , the vector $B(i_1, i_2, \dots, \dots, i_n)$ is
25		sorted in asce	nding order; moreover, $R(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ is a permuta-
20		tion of all the	integers in the range
28		LBOUND(ARRAY	Y,K):UBOUND(ARRAY,K). The sort is stable; that is, if $j \leq m$
29		and $B(i_1, i_2,$	$(, j,, i_n) = B(i_1, i_2,, m,, i_n)$ , then
30		$R(i_1, i_2, \ldots, j,$	$\ldots, i_n$ ) $\leq \mathbb{R}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, m, \ldots, i_n)$ .
31	_		
32	Example	s.	
33	Case (i):	GRADE_UP( (/	/30. 20. 30. 4010/) ) is a rank two array of shape
34	0 ( -) -	[15] wi	ith the value $\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ (To produce a rank-one
35		result the opt	tional DIM $-1$ argument must be used )
36		result, the opt	[]
37		T	
38		If A is the arra	$ay \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,
39			
40		then GRADE HE	P(A) has the value 1 3 3 1 2 2 3 2 1
41			$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
42			[1 9 2]
43	Case (ii).	If A is the arr:	$av \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
44	Cuse (11).		$\begin{bmatrix} ay \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,
45			
46		thon CDADE III	$P(A  \text{DTM} = 1) \text{ has the value} \qquad \qquad$
47		ULEL GRADE_UP	(A, DIM - I) has the value $[3 2 2].$
48			

# 5.7.15 HPF\_ALIGNMENT(ALIGNEE, LB, UB, STRIDE, AXIS\_MAP, IDENTITY\_MAP, DYNAMIC, NCOPIES)

# **Optional Arguments.** LB, UB, STRIDE, AXIS\_MAP, IDENTITY\_MAP, DYNAMIC, NCOPIES

**Description.** Returns information regarding the correspondence of a variable and the *align-target* (array or template) to which it is ultimately aligned.

Class. Mapping inquiry subroutine.

# Arguments.

ALIGNEE	may be of any type. It may be scalar or array valued. It must not be an assumed-size array. It must not be a structure component. If it is a member of an aggregate variable group, then it must be an aggregate cover of the group. (See Section 7 for the definitions of "aggregate variable group" and "aggregate cover.") It must not be a pointer that is disassociated or an allocatable array that is not allocated. It is an INTENT (IN) argument.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
	If ALIGNEE is a pointer, information about the alignment of its target is returned. The target must not be an assumed-size dummy argument or a section of an assumed- size dummy argument. If the target is (a section of) a member of an aggregate variable group, then the mem- ber must be an aggregate cover of the group. The target must not be a structure component, but the pointer may be.	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
LB (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of ALIGNEE. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. The first element of the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned to the $LB(i)$ <sup>th</sup> align-target element along the axis of the align-target associated with the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE. If the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is a collapsed axis, $LB(i)$ is processor dependent.	28 29 30 31 32 33 34
UB (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of ALIGNEE. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. The last element of the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned to the $UB(i)$ <sup>th</sup> align-target element along the axis of the align-target associated with the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE. If the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is a collapsed axis, $UB(i)$ is processor dependent.	35 36 37 38 39 40 41 41
STRIDE (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of ALIGNEE. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. The i <sup>th</sup> element of STRIDE is set to the stride used in aligning the elements of ALIGNEE along its i <sup>th</sup> axis. If the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is a collapsed axis, STRIDE(i) is zero.	43 44 45 46 47 48

1 2 3 4 5 6	AXIS_MAP (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of ALIGNEE. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. The i <sup>th</sup> element of AXIS_MAP is set to the <i>align-target</i> axis associated with the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE. If the i <sup>th</sup> axis of ALIGNEE is a collapsed axis, AXIS_MAP(i) is 0.			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IDENTITY_MAP (opti	onal) must be scalar and of type default logical. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. It is set to true if the ultimate <i>align</i> - <i>target</i> associated with ALIGNEE has a shape identical to ALIGNEE, the axes are mapped using the identity per- mutation, and the strides are all positive (and therefore equal to 1, because of the shape constraint); otherwise it is set to false. If a variable has not appeared as an <i>alignee</i> in an ALIGN or REALIGN directive, and does not have the INHERIT attribute, then IDENTITY_MAP must be true; it can be true in other circumstances as well.			
18 19 20 21 22	DYNAMIC (optional)	must be scalar and of type default logical. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. It is set to true if ALIGNEE has the DYNAMIC attribute; otherwise it is set to false. If ALIGNEE has the pointer attribute, then the result applies to ALIGNEE itself rather than its target.			
23 24 25 26 27	NCOPIES (optional)	must be scalar and of type default integer. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. It is set to the number of copies of ALIGNEE that are ultimately aligned to <i>align-target</i> . For a non-replicated variable, it is set to one.			
28 29 30	Examples. If ALIC are set.	NEE is scalar, then no elements of LB, UB, STRIDE, or AXIS_MAP			
31	Given the declaration	DIIS			
32 33 34 35	REAL PI = 3.14 POINTER P_TO_A DIMENSION A(10	15927 (:) ,10),B(20,30),C(20,40,10),D(40)			
36	HPF\$ TEMPLATE T(40,	20)			
37	HPF\$ DYNAMIC A	TTU T(1+3+T 2·20·2)			
38 39	HPF\$ ALIGN A(I,.) W	ггφ АLIGN Α(I,:) WIIΠ I(I+3*I,2:20:2) PF\$ AI.TGN C(T.*.]) WITH T(I 21-T)			
40	HPF\$ ALIGN D(I) WIT	PF\$ ALIGN D(I) WITH T(I,4)			
41	HPF\$ PROCESSORS PRO	CS(4,2), SCALARPROC			
42	!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE T(B	LOCK,BLOCK) ONTO PROCS			
43	!HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE B(C	YCLIC,BLOCK) ONTO PROCS			
44	HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE ONT	) SCALARPROC :: PI			
45	P_TO_A => A(3:	9:2, 6)			
46 47	assuming that the actual m	appings are as the directives specify the results of HPF ALIGNMENT			
	anning shat the actual in				

9

10

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37 38

	А	В	С	D	P_TO_A
LB	[4, 2]	[1, 1]	[1, N/A, 1]	[1]	[10]
UB	[31, 20]	[20, 30]	[20, N/A, 10]	[40]	[28]
STRIDE	[3, 2]	[1, 1]	[-1, 0, 1]	[1]	[6]
AXIS_MAP	[1, 2]	[1, 2]	[2, 0, 1]	[1]	[1]
IDENTITY_MAP	false	true	false	false	false
DYNAMIC	true	false	false	false	false
NCOPIES	1	1	1	1	1

where "N/A" denotes a processor-dependent result. To illustrate the use of NCOPIES, consider:

INGICAL BUZU(20,20) BUNALD MCDUNALD(20)	11
LUDE¢ TEMDIATE EMMETT KELLV(100 100)	12
HPR ILMPLAIL LMMEII_KELLY(100,100)	13
!HPF\$ ALIGN RONALD_MCDONALD(I) WITH BOZO(I,*)	14
!HPF\$ ALIGN BOZO(J,K) WITH EMMETT_KELLY(J,5*K)	15
	15
CALL HPF_ALIGNMENT(RUNALD_MCDUNALD, NCUPIES = NC) sets NC to 20. Now consider:	16
LOCICAL BOZO (20. 20) BONALD MCDONALD (20)	17
LUGICAL BUZU(20,20), RUNALD_MCDUNALD(20)	18
!HPF\$ TEMPLATE WILLIE_WHISTLE(100)	19
!HPF\$ ALIGN RONALD_MCDONALD(I) WITH BOZO(I,*)	20
!HPF\$ ALIGN BOZO(J,*) WITH WILLIE_WHISTLE(5*J)	20
	21
CALL HPF_ALIGNMENT(RONALD_MCDONALD, NCOPIES = NC) sets NC to one.	22
	23
5.7.16 HPE TEMPLATE (ALIGNEE TEMPLATE RANK LB UB AXIS TYPE AXIS	24
	25

5 INFO, NUMBER\_ALIGNED, DYNAMIC)

**Optional Arguments.** LB, UB, AXIS\_TYPE, AXIS\_INFO, NUMBER\_ALIGNED, TEMPLATE\_RANK, DYNAMIC

**Description.** The HPF\_TEMPLATE subroutine returns information regarding the ultimate align-target associated with a variable; HPF\_TEMPLATE returns information concerning the variable from the template's point of view (assuming the alignment is to a template rather than to an array), while HPF\_ALIGNMENT returns information from the variable's point of view.

Class. Mapping inquiry subroutine.

#### Arguments.

ALIGNEE may be of any type. It may be scalar or array valued. 39 It must not be an assumed-size array. It must not be a 40 structure component. If it is a member of an aggregate 41 variable group, then it must be an aggregate cover of the  $^{42}$ group. (See Section 7 for the definitions of "aggregate 43 variable group" and "aggregate cover.") It must not be a 44 pointer that is disassociated or an allocatable array that 45is not allocated. It is an INTENT (IN) argument. 46 47

If ALIGNEE is a pointer, information about the alignment of its target is returned. The target must not be an 48

1 2 3 4 5 6		assumed-size dummy argument or a section of an assumed- size dummy argument. If the target is (a section of) a member of an aggregate variable group, then the mem- ber must be an aggregate cover of the group. The target must not be a structure component, but the pointer may be.
7 8 9 10 11	TEMPLATE_RANK (optional)	must be scalar and of type default integer. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. It is set to the rank of the ultimate <i>align-target</i> . This can be different from the rank of the ALIGNEE, due to collapsing and replicating.
12 13 14 15 16 17	LB (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of the <i>align-target</i> to which ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned; this is the value returned in TEMPLATE_RANK. It is an INTENT (OUT) argu- ment. The i <sup>th</sup> element of LB contains the declared <i>align-</i> <i>target</i> lower bound for the i <sup>th</sup> template axis.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UB (optional)	must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of the <i>align-target</i> to which ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned; this is the value returned in TEMPLATE_RANK. It is an INTENT (OUT) argu- ment. The i <sup>th</sup> element of UB contains the declared <i>align-</i> <i>target</i> upper bound for the i <sup>th</sup> template axis.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	AXIS_TYPE (optional)	must be a rank one array of type default character. It may be of any length, although it must be of length at least 10 in order to contain the complete value. Its elements are set to the values below as if by a char- acter intrinsic assignment statement. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of the <i>align-target</i> to which ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned; this is the value returned in TEMPLATE_RANK. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. The i <sup>th</sup> element of AXIS_TYPE contains information about the i <sup>th</sup> axis of the <i>align-target</i> . The following values are de- fined by HPF (implementations may define other values):
36 37 38 39 40		'NORMAL' The <i>align-target</i> axis has an axis of ALIGNEE aligned to it. For elements of AXIS_TYPE assigned this value, the corresponding element of AXIS_INFO is set to the number of the axis of ALIGNEE aligned to this <i>align-target</i> axis.
41 42 43 44 45 46		'REPLICATED' ALIGNEE is replicated along this <i>align-tar-</i> <i>get</i> axis. For elements of AXIS_TYPE assigned this value, the corresponding element of AXIS_INFO is set to the number of copies of ALIGNEE along this <i>align-</i> <i>target</i> axis.
47 48		'SINGLE' ALIGNEE is aligned with one coordinate of the align-target axis. For elements of AXIS_TYPE assigned

this value, the corresponding element of AXIS\_INFO is set to the *align-target* coordinate to which ALIGNEE is aligned.

- AXIS\_INFO (optional) must be of type default integer and of rank one. Its size must be at least equal to the rank of the *align-target* to which ALIGNEE is ultimately aligned; this is the value returned in TEMPLATE\_RANK. It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. See the description of AXIS\_TYPE above.
- NUMBER\_ALIGNED (optional) must be scalar and of type default integer. It is an<br/>INTENT (OUT) argument. It is set to the total number<br/>of variables aligned to the ultimate align-target. This is<br/>the number of variables that are moved if the align-target<br/>is redistributed.DYNAMIC (optional)must be scalar and of type default logical. It is an INTENT<br/>(OUT) argument. It is set to true if the align-target has

**Example.** Given the declarations in the example of Section 5.7.15, and assuming that the actual mappings are as the directives specify, the results of HPF\_TEMPLATE are:

the DYNAMIC attribute, and to false otherwise.

	А	С	D
LB	[1, 1]	[1, 1]	[1, 1]
UB	[40, 20]	[40, 20]	[40, 20]
AXIS_TYPE	['NORMAL',	['NORMAL',	['NORMAL',
	'NORMAL']	'NORMAL']	'SINGLE']
AXIS_INFO	[1, 2]	[3, 1]	[1, 4]
NUMBER_ALIGNED	3	3	3
TEMPLATE_RANK	2	2	2
DYNAMIC	false	false	false

# 5.7.17 HPF\_DISTRIBUTION(DISTRIBUTEE, AXIS\_TYPE, AXIS\_INFO, PROCESSORS\_ RANK, PROCESSORS\_SHAPE)

**Optional Arguments.** AXIS\_TYPE, AXIS\_INFO, PROCESSORS\_RANK, PROCESSORS\_SHAPE

**Description.** The HPF\_DISTRIBUTION subroutine returns information regarding the distribution of the ultimate *align-target* associated with a variable.

Class. Mapping inquiry subroutine.

#### Arguments.

DISTRIBUTEE may be of any type. It may be scalar or array valued. 44 It must not be an assumed-size array. It must not be a 45 structure component. If it is a member of an aggregate 46 variable group, then it must be an aggregate cover of the 47 group. (See Section 7 for the definitions of "aggregate 48

1 2 3		variable group" and "aggregate cover.") It must not be a pointer that is disassociated or an allocatable array that is not allocated. It is an INTENT (IN) argument.
<b>4</b> 5		If DISTRIBUTEE is a pointer, information about the dis- tribution of its target is returned. The target must not
6		be an assumed-size dummy argument or a section of an
7		assumed-size dummy argument. If the target is (a sec-
8		tion of) a member of an aggregate variable group, then
9		the member must be an aggregate cover of the group.
10		The target must not be a structure component, but the
11		pointer may be.
12	AXIS_TYPE (optional)	must be a rank one array of type default character. It
14	``````````````````````````````````````	may be of any length, although it must be of length
15		at least 9 in order to contain the complete value. Its
16		elements are set to the values below as if by a char-
17		acter intrinsic assignment statement. Its size must be
18		at least equal to the rank of the <i>align-target</i> to which
19		DISTRIBUTEE is ultimately aligned; this is the value re-
20		turned by HPF_TEMPLATE in TEMPLATE_RANK). It is an
21		INTENT (UUT) argument. Its i <sup>ch</sup> element contains infor-
22		target. The following values are defined by HPE (imple
23		montations may define other values):
24		mentations may denne other varies).
25		'BLOCK' The axis is distributed BLOCK. The correspond-
26		ing element of AXIS_INFO contains the block size.
27		'COLLAPSED' The axis is collapsed (distributed with the
28		" $*$ " specification). The value of the corresponding
30		element of AXIS_INFO is processor dependent.
31		'CYCLIC' The axis is distributed CYCLIC. The correspond-
32		ing element of AXIS_INFO contains the block size.
33	AXIS_INFO (optional)	must be a rank one array of type default integer, and size
34	( 1)	at least equal to the rank of the <i>align-target</i> to which
35		DISTRIBUTEE is ultimately aligned (which is returned by
36		HPF_TEMPLATE in TEMPLATE_RANK). It is an INTENT (OUT)
37		argument. The $i^{th}$ element of AXIS_INFO contains the
38		block size in the block or cyclic distribution of the $i^{th}$ axis
39		of the ultimate <i>align-target</i> of <b>DISTRIBUTEE</b> ; if that axis
40		is a collapsed axis, then the value is processor dependent.
41	PROCESSORS_RANK (optiona	al) must be scalar and of type default integer. It is set
42		to the rank of the processor arrangement onto which
43		DISTRIBUTEE is distributed. It is an INTENT (OUT) ar-
44		gument.
45	PRACESSARS SHADE (option	al) must be a rank one array of type default integer and
47	THE (Option	of size at least equal to the value $m$ returned in PROCES
48		SORS RANK It is an INTENT (OUT) argument. Its first m
		COULTRAIN. IT IS ON TAILAI (COT) argument. Its mist Ht

elements are set to the shape of the processor arrangement onto which DISTRIBUTEE is mapped. (It may be necessary to call HPF\_DISTRIBUTION twice, the first time to obtain the value of PROCESSORS\_RANK in order to allocate PROCESSORS\_SHAPE.)

**Example.** Given the declarations in the example of Section 5.7.15, and assuming that the actual mappings are as the directives specify, the results of HPF\_DISTRIBUTION are:

	А	В	PI
AXIS_TYPE	['BLOCK', 'BLOCK']	['CYCLIC', 'BLOCK']	[]
AXIS_INFO	[10, 10]	[1, 15]	[]
PROCESSORS_SHAPE	[4, 2]	[4, 2]	[ ]
PROCESSORS_RANK	2	2	0

# 5.7.18 IALL(ARRAY, DIM, MASK)

### **Optional Arguments.** DIM, MASK

**Description.** Computes a bitwise logical AND reduction along dimension DIM of ARRAY.

Class. Transformational function.

Arguments.	
ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.
DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where <i>n</i> is the rank of <b>ARRAY</b> . The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.
MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.

**Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.** The result is of type integer with the same kind type parameter as ARRAY. It is scalar if DIM is absent or if ARRAY has rank one; otherwise, the result is an array of rank n - 1 and shape

 $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{DIM-1}, d_{DIM+1}, \ldots, d_n)$  where  $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  is the shape of ARRAY.

#### Result Value.

- Case (i): The result of IALL(ARRAY) is the IAND reduction of all the elements of ARRAY. If ARRAY has size zero, the result is equal to a processor-dependent integer value x with the property that IAND(I, x) = I for all integers I of the same kind type parameter as ARRAY. See Section 5.4.3.
- Case (ii): The result of IALL(ARRAY, MASK=MASK) is the IAND reduction of all the elements of ARRAY corresponding to the true elements of MASK; if MASK contains no true elements, the result is equal to a processor-dependent integer value x (of the same kind type parameter as ARRAY) with the property that IAND(I, x) = I for all integers I.

1	Case (iii):	If ARRAY has ra	ank one, IALL(ARRAY, DIM=1 [,MASK]) has a value equal	
2		to that of IALL	(ARRAY [, MASK]). Otherwise, the value of element	
3		$(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{DI})$	$\frac{M-1}{SDIM+1}, \dots, \frac{Sn}{SDIM+1} \rightarrow \frac{SDIM+1}{SDIM+1} \rightarrow \frac{Sn}{SDIM+1}$	
4 5		$\Gamma$ MASK = MASK	$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_D, m-1, \dots, s_D, m+1, \dots, s_n)$	
6			$(o_1, o_2, \ldots, o_{DIM-1}, \cdot, o_{DIM+1}, \ldots, o_n)$	
7	Examples	5.		
9	Case (i):	The value of I	ALL((/7, 6, 3, 2/)) is 2.	
10 11 12	Case (ii):	The value of $IA$ odd elements o	ALL(C, MASK = BTEST(C,O)) is the IAND reduction of the f C.	
13 14	Case (iii):	If <b>B</b> is the array	y $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ , then IALL(B, DIM = 1) is $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$	
15 16		and IALL(B, D	$IM = 2) is \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 3 \end{array} \right].$	
<sup>17</sup>		FEIX(ARRAV	DIM MASK SEGMENT EXCLUSIVE)	
18 <b>J.7.19</b>			DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLOSIVE)	
20	Optional	Arguments. D	IM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	
21				
22	Descripti	<b>on.</b> Computes a	$\iota$ segmented bitwise logical AND scan along dimension DIM	
23	of ARRAY.			
24	<b>A</b> 1			
25	Class. Tra	ansformational f	unction.	
26				
27	Arguments.			
28 29	ARRAY		must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	
30 31	DIM (option	nal)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	
33 34	MASK (opti	onal)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	
35	SEGMENT (	optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as	
36	<b>`</b>	1 /	ARRAY.	
37				
38	EXCLUSIVE	(optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
40				
41	Result Ty	ype, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	
42	D 14 W			
43	Result va	alue. Element $r$	of the result has the value IALL $(7 a_1, \ldots, a_m f)$ where ly empty) set of elements of APPAV selected to contribute	
44	$(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$	$_{i}$ ) is the (possible possible poss	Section 5.4.5	
45	107 DY 1110	, raito statua III	beenon 5.7.5.	
46	Example	TALL PREFIX	(/1.3.2.4.5/). SEGMENT= (/F.F.F.T.T/) ) is	
47	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	4 4	(, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, /, ) Diginari (/1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, /, / 10	
48		].		

#### IALL\_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK) 5.7.20 1 2 **Optional Argument.** MASK 3 **Description.** Scatters elements of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result 4 indicated by index arrays INDX1, ..., INDXn. The j<sup>th</sup> bit of an element of the result is 5 1 if and only if the j<sup>th</sup>bits of the corresponding element of BASE and of the elements 6 of ARRAY scattered to that position are all equal to 1. 7 8 Class. Transformational function. 9 10 Arguments. 11 12must be of type integer. It must not be scalar. ARRAY 13 BASE must be of type integer with the same kind type param-14 eter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar. 1516 INDX1,..., INDXn must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of 1718 BASE. 19 MASK (optional) must be of type logical and must be conformable with 20 ARRAY. 21 22 **Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.** Same as BASE. 23 **Result Value.** The element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE 24 has the value IALL( $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$ ), where $(a_1, ..., a_m)$ are the elements of 25ARRAY associated with b as described in Section 5.4.4. 2627 Example. IALL\_SCATTER((/1, 2, 3, 6/), (/1, 3, 7/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is 28 027. 29 30 5.7.21 IALL\_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 31 32 **Optional Arguments.** DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE 33 34 **Description.** Computes a reverse, segmented bitwise logical AND scan along di-35 mension DIM of ARRAY. 36 37 Class. Transformational function. 38 39 Arguments. 40 ARRAY must be of type integer. It must not be scalar. 41 42 must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the DIM (optional) range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY. 43 44 MASK (optional) must be of type logical and must be conformable with 45ARRAY. 46 must be of type logical and must have the same shape as SEGMENT (optional) 47ARRAY. 48

1	EXCLUSIVE	E(optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.
2 3	Result T	ype, Type Pa	rameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.
4 5 6 7	<b>Result V</b> $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ to r by the	<b>alue.</b> Element <i>r</i> <sub>n</sub> ) is the (possib e rules stated in	of the result has the value IALL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where dy empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute Section 5.4.5.
8 9 10	Example $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	. IALL_SUFFIX( 4 5].	(/1,3,2,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is
<sup>11</sup> 12 5.7.2	2 IANY(A	RRAY, DIM, M	ASK)
13 14	Optional	Arguments. I	DIM, MASK
15 16 17	Descripti ARRAY.	on. Computes	a bitwise logical OR reduction along dimension $\mathtt{DIM}$ of
18 19	Class. Tr	ansformational	function.
20	Argumen	nts.	
21	ARRAY		must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.
23 24 25 26	DIM (optio	nal)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.
27 28 29	MASK (opti	ional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.
30 31 32 33 34	Result T the same h rank one; $(d_1, d_2, \ldots)$	<b>ype, Type Pa</b> kind type param otherwise, the r , $d_{DIM-1}, d_{DIM}$	<b>rameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type integer with neter as ARRAY. It is scalar if DIM is absent or if ARRAY has esult is an array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $_{+1}, \ldots, d_n$ where $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ is the shape of ARRAY.
35	Result V	alue.	
36 37 38 39	Case (i):	The result of ARRAY. If ARRA tion 5.4.3.	IANY(ARRAY) is the IOR reduction of all the elements of AY has size zero, the result has the value zero. See Sec-
40 41 42	Case (ii):	The result of elements of AR contains no tru	IANY(ARRAY, MASK=MASK) is the IOR reduction of all the CRAY corresponding to the true elements of MASK; if MASK ne elements, the result is zero.
43 44 45 46	Case (iii):	If ARRAY has r to that of IANY $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{DI})$	ank one, IANY(ARRAY, DIM=1 [,MASK]) has a value equal $(ARRAY [,MASK])$ . Otherwise, the value of element $M_{-1}, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n$ of IANY(ARRAY, DIM=1 [,MASK]) is
47 48		equal to IANY( [,MASK = MASK	ARRAY $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \dots, s_n)$ $((s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \dots, s_n)])$

	Examples	5.		1
	Case (i):	The value of $II$	ANY((/9, 8, 3, 2/)) is 11.	2
	Case (ii):	The value of $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{A}}$ odd elements of	ANY(C, MASK = BTEST(C,O)) is the IOR reduction of the f C.	4 5
	Case (iii):	If B is the array	$ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{array} \right],  \text{then IANY(B, DIM = 1) is} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 7 & 7 \end{array} \right] $	6 7 8
		and IANY(B, D	$IM = 2$ ) is $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .	9 10
5.7.23	IANY_PI	REFIX(ARRAY,	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	11
	Optional	Arguments. D	IM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	12 13
	<b>Descripti</b> of ARRAY.	<b>on.</b> Computes a	a segmented bitwise logical OR scan along dimension $\tt DIM$	14 15 16
	Class. Tra	ansformational f	unction.	17 18
	Argumen	ts.		19 20
	ARRAY		must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	21
	DIM (option	nal)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	22 23 24
	MASK (opti	onal)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	25 26
	SEGMENT (	optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	27 28 29
	EXCLUSIVE	E(optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	30
	Result T	ype, Type Par	cameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	31 32 33
	<b>Result Va</b> $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ to r by the	alue. Element $r_{i}$ ) is the (possible rules stated in	of the result has the value IANY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where ly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute Section 5.4.5.	34 35 36
	Example. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$	IANY_PREFIX( 27].	(/1,2,3,2,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	37 38 39 40
5.7.24	IANY_SC	CATTER(ARRA	Y,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)	41
	Optional	Argument. MA	Śĸ	42 43
	-	<b>0</b> 1		44
	Descripti	on. Scatters ele	ments of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result	45
	is 1 if and	only if the j <sup>th</sup> b	it of the corresponding element of BASE or of any of the	46 47
	elements o	f ARRAY scattere	d to that position is equal to 1.	48

1	Class. Transformational function.		
2 3	Arguments.		
4	ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	
5 6	BASE	must be of type integer with the same kind type param- eter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar.	
7 8 9 10	INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	
11 12	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	
13	Result Type, Type Pa	rameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.	
15 16 17	<b>Result Value.</b> The elem has the value IANY( $(/a_1$ ARRAY associated with $b$ a	nent of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE $(a_2,, a_m, b/)$ ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements of s described in Section 5.4.4.	
19 20 21	Example. IANY_SCATTE $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .	R((/1, 2, 3, 6/), (/1, 3, 7/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is	
<sup>22</sup> 5.7.25	5 IANY_SUFFIX(ARRAY,	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	
23 24	Optional Arguments.	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	
25 26 27	<b>Description.</b> Computes sion DIM of ARRAY.	a reverse, segmented bitwise logical OR scan along dimen-	
28	Class. Transformational function.		
29 30	Arguments.		
31	ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	
32 33 34	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	
35 36	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	
37 38	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	
39 40	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
41	Result Type, Type Pa	rameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	
43 44 45	<b>Result Value.</b> Element $r$ $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possible to $r$ by the rules stated in	of the result has the value IANY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where bly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute a Section 5.4.5.	
46 47 48	Example. IANY_SUFFIX( $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .	(/4,2,3,2,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	

5.7.26	IPARITY	(ARRAY, DIM,	, MASK)	1
	Optional Arguments. DIM, MASK			2 3
	Description. Computes a bitwise logical exclusive OR reduction along dimension DIM of ARRAY. Class. Transformational function. Arguments.			4 5 6
				7
				9
	ARRAY		must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	10
	DIM (optional)		must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.	12 13 14 15 16
	MASK (optio	onal)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	17 18
<b>Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type integer the same kind type parameter as ARRAY. It is scalar if DIM is absent or if ARRAY rank one; otherwise, the result is an array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{DIM-1}, d_{DIM+1}, \ldots, d_n)$ where $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ is the shape of ARRA			<b>rameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type integer with leter as ARRAY. It is scalar if DIM is absent or if ARRAY has esult is an array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $_{+1}, \ldots, d_n$ where $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ is the shape of ARRAY.	20 21 22 23
	Result Va	Result Value.		
	Case (i):	The result of I of ARRAY. If ARI tion 5.4.3.	PARITY(ARRAY) is the IEOR reduction of all the elements RAY has size zero, the result has the value zero. See Sec-	26 27 28 29
	Case (ii):	The result of I the elements of contains no true	PARITY(ARRAY, MASK=MASK) is the IEOR reduction of all ARRAY corresponding to the true elements of MASK; if MASK ne elements, the result is zero.	30 31 32
	Case (iii):	If ARRAY has ran to that of IPAR $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DIN})$ is equal to IPAR [,MASK = MASK	nk one, IPARITY(ARRAY, DIM=1 [,MASK]) has a value equal MTY(ARRAY [,MASK]). Otherwise, the value of element $M_{-1}, s_{DIM+1}, \dots, s_n$ of IPARITY(ARRAY, DIM=1 [,MASK]) RITY(ARRAY( $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \dots, s_n$ ) $S(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \dots, s_n)$	33 34 35 36 37 38
	Examples			39 40
	Case $(i)$ :	The value of $IP$	PARITY((/13, 8, 3, 2/)) is 4.	41 42
	Case (ii):	The value of IP the odd elemen	PARITY(C, MASK = BTEST(C,O)) is the IEOR reduction of the of C.	43 44
	Case (iii):	If B is the array and IPARITY(B	y $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then IPARITY(B, DIM = 1) is $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ B, DIM = 2) is $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .	45 46 47 48

1	5.7.27	IPARITY_PREFIX(ARR	AY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	
2		Optional Arguments. D	IM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	
4 5		<b>Description.</b> Computes mension DIM of ARRAY.	a segmented bitwise logical exclusive OR scan along di-	
7		Class. Transformational f	unction.	
9		Arguments.		
10 11		ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	
12 13		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	
14 15		MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	
17 18		SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	
19		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
20 21 22		Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.		
23 24 25		<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value IPARITY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.		
26 27 28 29		Example. IPARITY_PREF: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .	IX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	
30	5.7.28	IPARITY_SCATTER(AF	RAY,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)	
31 32		Optional Argument. MA	SK	
<b>Description.</b> Scatters elements of ARRAY selected by MASK to poindicated by index arrays INDX1,, INDXn. The j <sup>th</sup> bit of an element of and only if there are an odd number of ones among the j <sup>th</sup> bits of element of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that point of the selement of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that point of the selement of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that point of the selement of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that point of the selement of the selemen		ments of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result NDX1,, INDXn. The $j^{th}$ bit of an element of the result is 1 odd number of ones among the $j^{th}$ bits of the corresponding lements of ARRAY scattered to that position.		
38 39		Class. Transformational f	unction.	
40 41		Arguments.		
42		ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	
43 44 45		BASE	must be of type integer with the same kind type parameter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar.	
46 47 48		INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	

	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	1 2
	Result Type, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.	3 4
	<b>Result Value.</b> The elem has the value IPARITY( (, of ARRAY associated with b	ent of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE $(a_1, a_2,, a_m, b/)$ ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements as described in Section 5.4.4.	5 6 7 8
	Example. IPARITY_SCATT $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ .	TER((/1,2,3,6/), (/1,3,7/), (/1,1,2,2/)) is	9 10 11
5.7.29	IPARITY_SUFFIX(ARRA	Y, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	12
	Optional Arguments. D	IM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	14 15
	<b>Description.</b> Computes along dimension DIM of AR	a reverse, segmented bitwise logical exclusive OR scan RAY.	16 17 18
	<b>Class.</b> Transformational f	unction.	19
	Arguments.		20
	ARRAY	must be of type integer. It must not be scalar.	22 23
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	24 25
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	26 27 28
	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	29 30
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	31 32
	Result Type, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	33
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element $r$ where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the ( tribute to $r$ by the rules st	• of the result has the value IPARITY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to conated in Section 5.4.5.	35 36 37
	Example. IPARITY_SUFFI $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .	X( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	38 39 40 41
5.7.30	LEADZ(I)		42
	Description. Return the	number of leading zeros in an integer.	43 44
	<b>Class.</b> Elemental function		45 46
		· · · · ,	47
	Argument. 1 must be of	type integer.	48

1	Result Type and Type Parameter. Same as I.		
2			
3	Result Value. The resul	t is a count of the number of leading 0-bits in the integer	
4	I. The model for the inter	pretation of an integer as a sequence of bits is in Section	
5	13.5.7 of the Fortran 90 Standard. LEADZ(0) is BIT_SIZE(I). For nonzero I, if th		
6	leftmost one bit of I occurs in position $k-1$ (where the rightmost bit is bit 0) then		
7	LEADZ(I) is BIT_SIZE(I)	- k.	
8			
9	Examples IFAD7(3) has	the value RIT SIZE(3) - 2 For scalar I IEADZ(I) FO	
10	MINVAI((/(I) I=0) BI	T SIZE(I) /) MASK=M ) where $M = (/ (BTEST(I)))$	
11	I = RTT STZE(T) = 1  0 = 1	$TRIF (1) \land given integer I may produce different$	
12	$J = DII \_ DIZE(I) I, U, I$	panding on the number of hits in the representation of the	
13	integer ( $PIT SIZE(I)$ ) Th	pending on the number of bits in the representation of the	
14	hit Compare with LIEN	lat is because LEADZ counts bits from the most significant	
15	bit. Compare with ILEN.		
16			
17 5.7.31	MAXVAL_PREFIX(ARR	AY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	
18	, ,	,	
19	Optional Arguments. I	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE	
20			
21	<b>Description.</b> Computes a	a segmented MAXVAL scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.	
22			
23	<b>Class.</b> Transformational f	function.	
24			
25	A non monto		
26	Arguments.		
27		must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar	
28	ARRAI	must be of type integer of feat. It must not be scalar.	
29	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the	
30		range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	
31		<u> </u>	
32	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with	
33		ARRAY.	
34	CEGMENT (setions1)	must be afternal and must been the same above as	
35	SEGMENI (Optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as	
36		ARRAI.	
37	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
38			
39	Decult Type Type Dec	amoton and Shana Sama as ADDAY	
40	Kesun Type, Type Fal	rameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	
41			
42	Result Value. Element	r of the result has the value MAXVAL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /))	
4.3	where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (	possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to con-	
44	tribute to $r$ by the rules st	tated in Section 5.4.5.	
16			
47	Example. MAXVAL_PREFI	X( (/3,4,-5,2,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	
	34425.		
40	L J		

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

112

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

26 27

28

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

#### 5.7.32 MAXVAL\_SCATTER(ARRAY, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn, MASK)

# **Optional Argument.** MASK

**Description.** Scatters elements of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result indicated by index arrays INDX1, ..., INDXn. Each element of the result is assigned the maximum value of the corresponding element of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that position.

Class. Transformational function.

#### Arguments.

ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.	12
BASE	must be of the same type and kind type parameter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar.	13 14 15
INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	16 17 18
MASK $(optional)$	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	19 20 21

## Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.

**Result Value.** The element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE has the value MAXVAL(  $(/a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$  ), where  $(a_1, ..., a_m)$  are the elements of ARRAY associated with b as described in Section 5.4.4.

Example. MAXVAL\_SCATTER((/1, 2, 3, 1/), (/4, -5, 7/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is **4 3 7**.

#### MAXVAL\_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE) 5.7.33

# **Optional Arguments.** DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE

**Description.** Computes a reverse, segmented MAXVAL scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.

Class. Transformational function.

#### Arguments.

		40
ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.	41
DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the	42
	range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	43
MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with	44
		45
	HILLAT.	$^{46}$
$\texttt{SEGMENT} (  ext{optional} )$	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as	47
	ARRAY.	48

1		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.		
2 3		Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.			
4 5 6 7		<b>Result Value.</b> Element where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the ( tribute to r by the rules st	r of the result has the value MAXVAL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to conated in Section 5.4.5.		
8 9 10		Example. MAXVAL_SUFFINE $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .	K( (/3,4,-5,2,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is		
11 12	5.7.34	MINVAL_PREFIX(ARRA	Y, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)		
13		Optional Arguments. D	IM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE		
14		<b>Description.</b> Computes a	a segmented MINVAL scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.		
16 17		Class. Transformational f	unction.		
18 19		Arguments.			
20		ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.		
21 22 23		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.		
24 25		MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with $\ensuremath{\mathtt{ARRAY}}.$		
26 27 28		<b>SEGMENT</b> (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as $\ensuremath{\mathtt{ARRAY}}.$		
29		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.		
30 31		Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.			
32 33 34 35		<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value MINVAL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.			
36 37 38		Example. MINVAL_PREFINE $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .	K( (/1,2,-3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is		
39 40	5.7.35	MINVAL_SCATTER(AR	RAY,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)		
41 42		Optional Argument. MA	SK		
43 44 45 46		<b>Description.</b> Scatters ele indicated by index arrays the maximum value of the scattered to that position.	ments of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result INDX1,, INDXn. Each element of the result is assigned corresponding element of BASE and the elements of ARRAY		
47 48		Class. Transformational f	unction.		

	Arguments.		1	
	ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.	2	
	BASE	must be of the same type and kind type parameter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar.	3 4 5	
	INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	6 7 8	
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	9 10 11	
	Result Type, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.	12 13	
	<b>Result Value.</b> The elem has the value MINVAL( ( $/a$ ARRAY associated with $b$ as	ent of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE $a_1, a_2,, a_m, b/$ ) ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements of described in Section 5.4.4.	14 15 16	
	Example. MINVAL_SCATTER((/ 1,-2,-3,6 /), (/ 4,3,7 /), (/ 1,1,2,2 /)) is [-2 -3 7].			
5.7.36	MINVAL_SUFFIX(ARRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)			
	Optional Arguments. DIM. MASK. SEGMENT. EXCLUSIVE			
	<b>Description.</b> Computes a reverse, segmented MINVAL scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.			
	Class. Transformational function.			
	Arguments.			
	ARRAY	must be of type integer or real. It must not be scalar.	30 31	
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	32 33	
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	34 35	
	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	36 37 38	
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	39	
	<b>Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape.</b> Same as ARRAY.			
			42	
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value MINVAL((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.			
			- <del>-</del> J	

Example. MINVAL\_SUFFIX( (/1,2,-3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [-3 -3 -3 4 5].

1	5.7.37	PARITY	(MASK, DIM)	
2 3		Optional	Argument. DI	М
4 5		<b>Descripti</b> dimension	on. Determine DIM.	whether an odd number of values are true in MASK along
7		Class. Tr	ansformational f	unction.
8 9		Argumen	its.	
10		MASK		must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.
12 13 14 15		DIM (optio	nal)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where <i>n</i> is the rank of MASK. The corresponding actual argument must not be an optional dummy argument.
16 17 18 19 20		Result T the same frank one; $(d_1, d_2, \ldots)$	<b>ype, Type Par</b> kind type param otherwise, the re , d <sub>DIM-1</sub> , d <sub>DIM</sub> -	<b>rameter, and Shape.</b> The result is of type logical with neter as MASK. It is scalar if DIM is absent or if MASK has esult is an array of rank $n - 1$ and shape $_{+1}, \ldots, d_n$ where $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ is the shape of MASK.
21 22		Result V	alue.	
23 24		Case (i):	The result of PA MASK. If MASK ha	ARITY (MASK) is the .NEQV. reduction of all the elements of as size zero, the result has the value false. See Section 5.4.3.
25 26 27 28 29		Case (ii):	If MASK has ran PARITY(MASK). $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{DII})$ PARITY(MASK(s	k one, PARITY(MASK, DIM=1) has a value equal to that of Otherwise, the value of element $M_{-1}, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n$ ) of PARITY(MASK, DIM=1) is equal to $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{DIM-1}, \vdots, s_{DIM+1}, \ldots, s_n$ )
30 31		Examples	5.	
32		Case (i):	The value of $PA$	ARITY((/T, T, T, F/)) is true.
34 35 36		Case (ii):	If B is the array and PARITY(B,	$y \begin{bmatrix} T & T & F \\ T & T & T \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then PARITY(B, DIM = 1) is } \begin{bmatrix} F & F & T \end{bmatrix}$ $DIM = 2) \text{ is } \begin{bmatrix} F & T \end{bmatrix}.$
37 38	E 7 20			
39	5.7.30	Ontional	Arguments D	T, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)
41 42 43		Descripti DIM of MAS	on. Computes SK.	a segmented logical exclusive OR scan along dimension
44 45		Class. Tr	ansformational f	unction.
46		Argumen	ıts.	
48		MASK		must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.

DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.
<b>SEGMENT</b> (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as MASK.
EXCLUSIVE ( optional )	must be of type logical and must be scalar.

Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as MASK.

**Result Value.** Element r of the result has the value PARITY((/  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  /)) where  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$  is the (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.

```
Example. PARITY_PREFIX( (/T,F,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is 
[T T F T F].
```

# 5.7.39 PARITY\_SCATTER(MASK, BASE, INDX1, ..., INDXn)

**Description.** Scatters elements of MASK to positions of the result indicated by index arrays INDX1, ..., INDXn. An element of the result is true if and only if the number of true values among the corresponding element of BASE and the elements of MASK scattered to that position is odd.

Class. Transformational function.

Angumenta

Aiguments.	
MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.
BASE	must be of type logical with the same kind type parameter as MASK. It must not be scalar.
INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with MASK. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.

Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.

**Result Value.** The element of the result corresponding to the element b of BASE has the value PARITY(  $(/a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$ ), where  $(a_1, ..., a_m)$  are the elements of MASK associated with b as described in Section 5.4.4.

Example. PARITY\_SCATTER((/ T,T,T,T /), (/ T,F,F /), (/ 1,1,1,2 /)) is [F T F].

# 5.7.40 PARITY\_SUFFIX(MASK, DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)

### **Optional Arguments.** DIM, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE

**Description.** Computes a reverse, segmented logical exclusive OR scan along dimension DIM of MASK.
1		Class. Transformational function.		
2 3		Arguments.		
4		MASK	must be of type logical. It must not be scalar.	
5 6 7		DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of MASK.	
8 9		<b>SEGMENT</b> (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as $\tt MASK.$	
10 11		EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	
12		Result Type, Type Par	cameter, and Shape. Same as MASK.	
13 14 15 16		<b>Result Value.</b> Element where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the tribute to r by the rules st	r of the result has the value PARITY((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) (possibly empty) set of elements of MASK selected to contated in Section 5.4.5.	
17 18 19		Example. PARITY_SUFFINE $\begin{bmatrix} F T T F T \end{bmatrix}$ .	X( (/T,F,T,T,T/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is	
20	5.7.41	POPCNT(I)		
22 23		Description. Return the	number of one bits in an integer.	
24		Class. Elemental function	1.	
25 26		Argument. I must be of	type integer.	
27 28		Result Type and Type	Parameter. Same as I.	
29 30 31		<b>Result Value.</b> POPCNT(I) is the number of one bits in the binary representation of the integer I. The model for the interpretation of an integer as a sequence of bits is in Section 13.5.7 of the Fortran 90 Standard.		
32 33 34		<pre>Example. POPCNT(I) = scalar I.</pre>	COUNT((/ (BTEST(I,J), J=0, BIT_SIZE(I)-1) /)), for	
35 36	5.7.42	POPPAR(I)		
37 38		Description. Return the	parity of an integer.	
39		Class. Elemental function	1.	
40 41		Argument. I must be of	type integer.	
42 43		Result Type and Type	Parameter. Same as I.	
44 45 46		<b>Result Value.</b> POPPAR(I if there are an even numb sequence of bits is in Section	) is 1 if there are an odd number of one bits in I and zero per. The model for the interpretation of an integer as a on 13.5.7 of the Fortran 90 Standard.	
47 48		Example. For scalar I, P	OPPAR(x) = MERGE(1,0,BTEST(POPCNT(x),0)).	

5.7.43	PRODUCT_PREFIX(AR	RAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)	1		
	<b>Optional Arguments.</b> DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE				
	Description. Computes a segmented PRODUCT scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.				
	<b>Class.</b> Transformational function				
	Arguments.				
	ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or complex. It must not be scalar.	9 10		
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	11 12 13		
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	14 15		
	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	16 17 18		
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	19		
	Result Type, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	20 21		
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value PRODUCT((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.				
	Example. PRODUCT_PREFIX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [ 1 2 6 4 20 ].				
5.7.44	PRODUCT_SCATTER(ARRAY,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)				
	Optional Argument. MASK				
	<b>Deceription</b> Scatters elements of APPAY selected by MACK to positions of the result				
	indicated by index arrays INDX1,, INDXn. Each element of the result is equal to the product of the corresponding element of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered				
	to that position.				
	Class. Transformational function.				
	Arguments.				
	ARRAY	must be of type integer real or complex. It must not be	41		
		scalar.	42		
	BVCL	must be of the same type and kind type parameter as ABRAY. It must not be scalar.			
	DRDL				
		must be of the intervention of the literation of the second secon			
	111 JAI,, 111 JAII	number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	46 47 48		

1 2	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.		
3 4	Result Type, Type Pa	rameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.		
5 6 7 8	<b>Result Value.</b> The element of ARRAY associated with	ment of the result corresponding to the element $b$ of BASE $(/a_1, a_2,, a_m, b/)$ ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements $b$ as described in Section 5.4.4.		
9 10 11	<b>Example.</b> PRODUCT_SCAT is $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & -15 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ .	TTER((/ 1,2,3,1 /), (/ 4,-5,7 /), (/ 1,1,2,2 /))		
12 13	5.7.45 PRODUCT_SUFFIX(A	RRAY, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)		
14 15	<b>Optional Arguments.</b>	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE		
16 17	<b>Description.</b> Computes ARRAY.	a reverse, segmented $\tt PRODUCT$ scan along dimension $\tt DIM$ of		
18 19	Class. Transformational	function.		
20 21	Arguments.			
22 23	ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or complex. It must not be scalar.		
24 25 26	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.		
27 28	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.		
29 30 31	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.		
32	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.		
33 34	Result Type, Type Pa	arameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.		
35 36 37 38	<b>Result Value.</b> Element where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the tribute to r by the rules	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value PRODUCT((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.		
39 40 41	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Example.} \ \mathtt{PRODUCT\_SUF} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 6 & 6 & 3 & 20 & 5 \end{array} \right]. \end{array} $	Example. PRODUCT_SUFFIX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [ 6 6 3 20 5 ].		
42 43	5.7.46 SUM_PREFIX(ARRAY	, DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)		
44 45	<b>Optional Arguments.</b>	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE		
46	<b>Description.</b> Computes	a segmented SUM scan along dimension DIM of ARRAY.		
47 48	Class. Transformational	function.		

	Arguments.		1		
	ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or complex. It must not be scalar.	2 3		
	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.	4 5 6		
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	7 8		
	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.	9 10		
	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.	11		
	Result Type, Type Par	ameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.	13		
	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value SUM((/ $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ /)) where $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.				
	Example. SUM_PREFIX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [13649].				
5.7.47	SUM_SCATTER(ARRAY,BASE,INDX1,, INDXn, MASK)				
	Optional Argument. MASK				
	<b>Description.</b> Scatters elements of ARRAY selected by MASK to positions of the result indicated by index arrays INDX1,, INDXn. Each element of the result is equal to the sum of the corresponding element of BASE and the elements of ARRAY scattered to that position				
	Class. Transformational function.				
	Arguments.				
	ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or complex. It must not be scalar.	31 32		
	BASE	must be of the same type and kind type parameter as ARRAY. It must not be scalar.	33 34 35		
	INDX1,,INDXn	must be of type integer and conformable with ARRAY. The number of INDX arguments must be equal to the rank of BASE.	36 37 38		
	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.	39 40		
	Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as BASE.				
	<b>Result Value.</b> The element of the result corresponding to the element <i>b</i> of BASE has the value SUM( $(/a_1, a_2,, a_m, b/)$ ), where $(a_1,, a_m)$ are the elements of ARRAY				

the value SUM(  $(/a_1, a_2, ..., a_m, b/)$ ), where  $(a_1, ..., a_m)$  are the elements of associated with b as described in Section 5.4.4.

Example. SUM\_SCATTER((/1, 2, 3, 1/), (/4, -5, 7/), (/1, 1, 2, 2/)) is [7 -1 7].

1	5.7.48 SUM_SUFFIX(ARRAY,	DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE)		
2	Optional Arguments. I	Optional Arguments. DIM, MASK, SEGMENT, EXCLUSIVE		
3		- F ·······		
4 5	<b>Description.</b> Computes	a reverse, segmented SUM scan along dimension DIM of		
6	AKKAY.			
7 8	Class. Transformational	Class. Transformational function.		
9	Arguments.			
10 11 12	ARRAY	must be of type integer, real, or complex. It must not be scalar.		
13 14	DIM (optional)	must be scalar and of type integer with a value in the range $1 \leq \text{DIM} \leq n$ , where n is the rank of ARRAY.		
15 16 17	MASK (optional)	must be of type logical and must be conformable with ARRAY.		
18 19	SEGMENT (optional)	must be of type logical and must have the same shape as ARRAY.		
20 21	EXCLUSIVE (optional)	must be of type logical and must be scalar.		
22 23	Result Type, Type Par	Result Type, Type Parameter, and Shape. Same as ARRAY.		
24 25 26 27	<b>Result Value.</b> Element $r$ $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is the (possib to $r$ by the rules stated in	<b>Result Value.</b> Element r of the result has the value $SUM((/ a_1,, a_m /))$ where $(a_1,, a_m)$ is the (possibly empty) set of elements of ARRAY selected to contribute to r by the rules stated in Section 5.4.5.		
28 29 30	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Example.} \ \mathtt{SUM\_SUFFIX}(\\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 6 & 5 & 3 & 9 & 5 \end{array}\right]. \end{array} \end{array} $	Example. SUM_SUFFIX( (/1,2,3,4,5/), SEGMENT= (/F,F,F,T,T/) ) is [ 6 5 3 9 5 ].		
31				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
45				
46				
47				
48				

### SECTION 5. INTRINSIC AND LIBRARY PROCEDURES

 $^{48}$ 

## Section 6

 $^{24}$ 

## **Extrinsic Procedures**

This chapter defines the mechanism by which HPF programs may call non-HPF subprograms as *extrinsic procedures*. It provides the information needed to write an explicit interface for a non-HPF procedure. It defines the means for handling distributed and replicated data at the interface. This allows the programmer to use non-Fortran language facilities, perhaps to descend to a lower level of abstraction to handle problems that are not efficiently addressed by HPF, to hand-tune critical kernels, or to call optimized libraries. This interface can also be used to interface HPF to other languages, such as C.

Advice to implementors. Annex A describes a suggested approach to supporting the coding of single-processor "node" code in single-processor Fortran 90 or in a single-processor subset of HPF; the idea is that only data that is mapped to a given physical processor is accessible to it. This allows the programming of MIMD multiprocessor machines in a single-program multiple-data (SPMD) style. (End of advice to implementors.)

6.1 Overview

It may be desirable for an HPF program to call a procedure written in a language other than HPF. Such a procedure might be written in any of a number of languages:

• A single-thread-of-control language not unlike HPF, where *one* copy of the procedure is conceptually executing and there is a single locus of control within the program text.

• A multiple-thread-of-control language, perhaps with dynamic assignment of loop iterations to processors or explicit dynamic process forking, where again there is, at least initially (upon invocation) *one* copy of the procedure that is conceptually executing but which may spawn multiple loci of control, possibly changing in number over time, within the program text.

Any programming language targeted to a single processor, with the understanding that many copies of the procedure will be executed, one on each processor; this is frequently referred to as SPMD (Single Program, Multiple Data) style. We refer to a procedure written in this fashion as a *local* procedure.

з

 $^{24}$ 

A local procedure might be written in Fortran 77, Fortran 90, C, Ada, or Pascal, for example. A particularly interesting possibility is that a local procedure might be written in HPF! Not all HPF facilities may be used in writing local code, because some facilities address the question of executing on multiple processors and local code by definition runs on a single processor. See Annex A.

A called procedure that is written in a language other than HPF, whether or not it uses the local procedure execution model should be declared **EXTRINSIC** within an HPF program that calls it. The **EXTRINSIC** prefix declares what sort of interface should be used when calling indicated subprograms.

### 6.2 Definition and Invocation of Extrinsic Procedures

An explicit interface must be provided for each extrinsic procedure entry in the scope where it is called, using an interface block. This interface defines the "HPF view" of the extrinsic procedure.

H601	extrinsic- $prefix$	is	EXTRINSIC (	extrinsic-kind-keyword )
H602	$extrinsic{-kind{-keyword}}$	is	HPF	
		or	HPF_LOCAL	

An extrinsic-prefix may appear in a subroutine-stmt or function-stmt (as defined in the Fortran 90 standard) in the same place that the keyword **RECURSIVE** might appear. See Section 4.3 for the extended forms of the grammar rules for function-stmt and subroutine-stmt covering this case.

The *extrinsic-kind-keyword* indicates the kind of extrinsic interface to be used. (It may be helpful to think of this name as being to the subprogram calling interface what a KIND parameter is for a numeric type. However, an *extrinsic-kind* is not integer-valued; it is merely a keyword.) HPF defines two such keywords: HPF and HPF\_LOCAL. The keyword HPF\_LOCAL is intended for use in calling routines coded in the "local HPF" style described in Annex A. The keyword HPF refers to the interface normally used for calling ordinary HPF routines. Thus writing EXTRINSIC(HPF) in an HPF program has exactly the same effect as not using an EXTRINSIC specifier at all.

Rationale. HPF defines the extrinsic-kind-keyword HPF primarily to set an example for other programming languages that might adopt this style of interface specification. For example, in an extended Fortran 90 compiler it would not be redundant to specify EXTRINSIC(HPF), though it might be redundant to specify EXTRINSIC(F90). In a C compiler it would not be redundant to specify extrinsic(hpf). (End of rationale.)

A subprogram with an extrinsic interface lies outside the scope of HPF. However, explicit interfaces to such subprograms must conform to HPF. Note that any particular HPF implementation is free to support any selection of extrinsic kind keywords, or none at all except for HPF itself. Examples:

1		INTERFACE	
2		EXTRINSIC(HPF_LOCAL) FUNCTION BAGEL(X)	
3		REAL X(:)	
4		REAL BAGEL(100)	
5	!HPF\$	DISTRIBUTE (CYCLIC) :: X, BAGEL	
6		END FUNCTION	
7		END INTERFACE	
8			
9		INTERFACE OPERATOR (+)	
10		EXTRINSIC(C_LOCAL) FUNCTION LATKES(X, Y) RESULT(Z)	
11		REAL, DIMENSION(:,:) :: X	
12		REAL, DIMENSION(SIZE(X,1), SIZE(X,2)) :: Y, Z	
13	!HPF\$	ALIGN WITH X :: Y, Z	
14	!HPF\$	DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK, BLOCK) X	
15		END FUNCTION	
16		END INTERFACE	
17			
18		INTERFACE KNISH	
19			
20		FUNCTION RKNISH(X) !normal HPF interface	
21		REAL X(:), RKNISH	
22		END RKNISH	
23			
24		EXTRINSIC(SISAL) FUNCTION CKNISH(X) !extrinsic interface	
25		COMPLEX X(:), CKNISH	
26		END CKNISH	
27			
28		END INTERFACE	
29	In tl	ne last interface block, two external procedures, one of them extrinsic and one not	
30	are assoc	iated with the same generic procedure name, which returns a scalar of the same	
31	type as i	ts array argument	
32	The	intent is that a call to an extrinsic subprogram behaves as observed by a calling	
33	nrogram	coded in HPF exactly as if the subprogram has been coded in HPF	
34	program		
35	Ad	vice to implementors. This is an obligation placed on the implementation of the	
36	$\operatorname{int}$	erface and perhaps on the programmer when coding an extrinsic routine. However,	
37	it i	s also desirable to grant a certain freedom of implementation strategy so long as the	
38	obl	igation is satisfied. To this end an implementation may place certain restrictions	
39	on the programmer: moreover, each <i>extrinsic-kind-keyword</i> may call for a different set		
40	of	restrictions.	
41	For	example an implementation on a parallel processor may find it convenient to	
42	ron	licate scalar arguments so as to provide a convion every processor. This is permitted	
43	so	long as this process is invisible to the caller. One way to achieve this is to place a	
44	res	triction on the programmer: on return from the subprogram all the copies of this	
40	sca	lar argument must have the same value. This implies that if the dummy argument	
40	has	INTENT (OUT), then all copies must have been updated consistently by the time of	
11 I	11.001		

subprogram return. (End of advice to implementors.)

 $^{48}$ 

6.3 Requirements on the Called Extrinsic Procedure	1
HPF requires a called extrinsic procedure to satisfy the following bel	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ \text{navioral requirements:} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \end{array}$
1. The overall implementation must behave as if all actions of the subprogram invocation are completed before any action of the su and as if all actions of the subprogram are completed before as following the subprogram invocation is executed.	e caller preceding the bprogram is executed; ny action of the caller 8
2. IN/OUT intent restrictions declared in the interface for the extr be obeyed.	insic subroutine must <sup>9</sup> 10
3. Replicated variables, if updated, must be updated consistent a variable accessible to a local subprogram has a replicated updated by (one or more copies of) the local subroutine, then cated data must have identical values when the last processor procedure.	ly. More precisely, if 12 representation and is 13 all copies of the repli-14 returns from the local 15
4. No HPF variable is modified unless it could be modified by an the same explicit interface.	HPF procedure with <sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup>
5. When a subprogram returns and the caller resumes execution, to the caller after the call are mapped exactly as they were bef	all objects accessible <sup>20</sup> ore the call. <sup>21</sup>
Advice to implementors. Note that, as with a non-extrinsic (that is, ordinary HPF arguments may be copied or remapped in any way, so long on return from the subprogram. (End of advice to implementors.)	<ul> <li><sup>23</sup></li> <li><sup>24</sup></li> <li><sup>25</sup></li> <li><sup>26</sup></li> <li><sup>27</sup></li> </ul>
6. Exactly the same set of processors are visible to the HPF en after the subprogram call.	vironment before and 29 30
The call to an extrinsic procedure that fulfills these rules is semi- the execution of an ordinary HPF procedure.	antically equivalent to 32 33 avtrincic interfaces 34
Annex A has examples of the use of local subprograms through	extiniste interfaces. 35 36
	31 38 39
	40
	42
	44
	45 46
	47 48

## Section 7

# Storage and Sequence Association

HPF allows the mapping of variables across multiple processors in order to improve parallel performance. FORTRAN 77 and Fortran 90 both specify relationships between the storage for data objects associated through COMMON and EQUIVALENCE statements, and the order of array elements during association at procedure boundaries between actual arguments and dummy arguments. Otherwise, the location of data is not constrained by the language.

COMMON and EQUIVALENCE statements constrain the alignment of different data items based on the underlying model of storage units and storage sequences:

> Storage association is the association of two or more data objects that occurs when two or more storage sequences share or are aligned with one or more storage units.

— Fortran Standard (14.6.3.1)

The model of storage association is a single linearly addressed memory, based on the tradi tional single address space, single memory unit architecture. This model can cause severe
 inefficiencies on architectures where storage for variables is mapped.

Sequence association refers to the order of array elements that Fortran requires when an array expression or array element is associated with a dummy array argument:

The rank and shape of the actual argument need not agree with the rank and shape of the dummy argument, ... — Fortran Standard (12.4.1.4)

As with storage association, sequence association is a natural concept only in systems with a linearly addressed memory.

As an aid to porting FORTRAN 77 codes, HPF allows codes that rely on sequence and storage association to be valid in HPF. Some modification to existing FORTRAN 77 codes may nevertheless be necessary. This chapter explains the relationship between HPF data mapping and sequence and storage association.

7.1 Storage Association

### 7.1.1 Definitions

COMMON blocks are either sequential or nonsequential, as determined by either explicit
 directive or compiler default. A sequential COMMON block has a single common block
 storage sequence (5.5.2.1).

2.	An <i>aggregate variable group</i> is a collection of variables whose individual storage sequences are parts of a single storage sequence.	1 2
	Variables associated by EQUIVALENCE statements or by a combination of EQUIVALENCE	3
	and COMMON statements form an aggregate variable group. The variables of a sequential	4
	COMMON block form a single aggregate variable group.	5
3.	The <i>size</i> of an aggregate variable group is the number of storage units in the group's	7
	storage sequence (14.6.3.1).	8
4.	If there is a member in an aggregate variable group whose storage sequence is totally	9
	associated $(14.6.3.3)$ with the storage sequence of the aggregate variable group, that	11
	variable is called an <i>aggregate cover</i> .	12
5.	Variables are either <i>sequential</i> or <i>nonsequential</i> . A variable is <i>sequential</i> if and only if	13
	any of the following holds:	14
	(a) it appears in a sequential COMMON block:	15
	(b) it is a member of an aggregate variable group:	17
	(a) it is an assumed size arrow:	18
	(c) it is an assumed-size array;	19
	(d) it is a component of a derived type with the Fortran 90 SEQUENCE attribute; or	20
	(e) it is declared to be sequential in an HPF SEQUENCE directive.	21
	A sequential variable can be storage associated or sequence associated; nonsequential	22
	variables cannot.	24
6	A COMMON block contains a sequence of <i>components</i> . Each component is either an	25
0.	aggregate variable group, or a variable that is not a member of any aggregate variable	26
	group. Sequential COMMON blocks contain a single component. Nonsequential COMMON	21
	blocks may contain several components that may be nonsequential or sequential vari-	29
	ables or aggregate variable groups.	30
7.	A variable is <i>explicitly mapped</i> if it appears in an HPF alignment or distribution	31
	directive within the scoping unit in which it is declared; otherwise it is <i>implicitly</i>	32
	mapped.	33
- 1 0		35
7.1.2	Examples of Definitions	36
	IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z)	37
	COMMON /FOO/ A(100), B(100), C(100), D(100), E(100)	38
	DIMENSION X(100), Y(150), Z(200)	39
		40 41
!E	xample 1:	42
	EQUIVALENCE ( A(1), Z(1) )	43
! F	our components: (A, B), C, D, E	44
!S	izes are: 200, 100, 100, 100	45
קו	rample 2:	46
: Ը	EQUIVALENCE ( B(100), Y(1) )	47 48
	· ····································	

```
!Three components A, (B, C, D), E
1
        !Sizes are: 100, 300, 100
2
3
        !Example 3:
4
              EQUIVALENCE (E(1), Y(1))
5
        !Five components: A, B, C, D, E
6
        !Sizes are: 100, 100, 100, 100, 150
7
8
        !Example 4:
9
              EQUIVALENCE ( A(51), X(1) ) ( B(100), Y(1) )
10
        !Two components (A, B, C, D), E
11
        !Sizes are: 400, 100
12
13
        !Example 5:
14
              EQUIVALENCE ( A(51), X(1) ) ( C(80), Y(1) )
1.5
        !Two components: (A, B), (C, D, E)
16
        !Sizes are: 200, 300
17
18
        !Example 6:
19
              EQUIVALENCE (Y(100), Z(1))
20
        !One aggregate variable group (Y, Z), not involving the COMMON block.
21
        !Size is 299
22
23
        !Example 7:
24
        !HPF$ SEQUENCE /FOO/
25
        !The COMMON has one component, (A, B, C, D, E)
26
        !Size is 500
27
28
     In Examples 1-6, COMMON block /FOO/ is nonsequential. Aggregate variable groups are shown
29
     as components in parentheses. Aggregate covers are Z in Example 1 and Y in Example 3.
30
31
32
     7 1 3 Sequence Directives
33
     A SEQUENCE directive is defined to allow a user to declare explicitly that variables or COMMON
34
     blocks are to be treated by the compiler as sequential. (COMMON blocks are by default non-
35
     sequential. Variables are nonsequential unless Definition 5 applies.) Some implementations
36
     may supply an optional compilation environment where the SEQUENCE directive is applied
37
     by default. For completeness in such an environment, HPF defines a NO SEQUENCE directive
38
     to allow a user to establish that the usual nonsequential default should apply to a scoping
39
     unit, or selected variables and COMMON blocks within the scoping unit.
40
41
     H701 sequence-directive
                                    is
                                        SEQUENCE [ :: ] association-name-list ]
42
                                    or NO SEQUENCE [ [ :: ] association-name-list ]
43
     H702 association-name
                                    is
                                        variable-name
44
                                    or / common-block-name /
45
46
     Constraint: The result variable of an array-valued function that is not an intrinsic function
47
```

is a nonsequential array. It may not appear in any HPF SEQUENCE directive.

48

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Constraint: A variable or COMMON block name may appear at most once in a *sequencedirective* within any scoping unit.

### 7.1.4 Storage Association Rules

- 1. A sequence-directive with an empty association-name-list is treated as if it contained the name of all implicitly mapped variables and COMMON blocks in the scoping unit which cannot otherwise be determined to be sequential or nonsequential by their language context.
- 2. A sequential variable may not be explicitly mapped unless it is a scalar or rank-one array that is an aggregate cover. If there is more than one aggregate cover for an aggregate variable group, only one may be explicitly mapped.
- 3. No explicit mapping may be given for a component of a derived type having the Fortran 90 SEQUENCE attribute.
- 4. If a COMMON block is nonsequential, then all of the following must hold:
  - (a) Every occurrence of the COMMON block has exactly the same number of components with each corresponding component having a storage sequence of exactly the same size;
  - (b) If a component is a nonsequential variable in *any* occurrence of the COMMON block, then it must be nonsequential with identical type, shape, and mapping attributes in *every* occurrence of the COMMON block;
  - (c) If a component is sequential and explicitly mapped (either a variable or an aggregate variable group with an explicitly mapped aggregate cover) in any occurrence of the COMMON block, then it must be sequential and explicitly mapped with identical mapping attributes in *every* occurrence of the COMMON block. In addition, the type and shape of the explicitly mapped variable must be identical in all occurrences; and
  - (d) Every occurrence of the COMMON block must be nonsequential.

### 7.1.5 Storage Association Discussion

Advice to users. Under these rules, variables in a COMMON block can be mapped as long as the components of the COMMON block are the same in every scoping unit that declares the COMMON block. Rules 4 and 5 also allow variables involved in an EQUIVALENCE statement to be mapped by the mechanism of declaring a rank-one array to cover exactly the aggregate variable group and mapping that array.

Since an HPF program is nonconforming if it specifies any mapping that would cause a scalar data object to be mapped onto more than one abstract processor, there is a constraint on the sequential variables and aggregate covers that can be mapped. In particular, programs that direct double precision or complex arrays to be mapped such that the storage units of a single array element are split because of some EQUIVALENCE statement or COMMON block layout are nonconforming.

Correct FORTRAN 77 or Fortran 90 programs will not necessarily be correct without modification in HPF. As the examples in the next section illustrate, use of 48

1 2 3 4 5	EQUIVALENCE with COMMON blocks can impact mappability of the variables in subtle ways. To allow maximum optimization for performance, the HPF default for variables is to consider them mappable. In order to get correct separate compilation for sub- programs that use COMMON blocks with different aggregate variable groups in different scoping units, it will be necessary to insert the HPF SEQUENCE directive.
6 7 8	As a check-list for a user to determine the status of a variable or COMMON block, the following questions can be applied, in order:
9 10 11	• Does the variable appear in some explicit language context which dictates se- quential (e.g. EQUIVALENCE) or nonsequential (e.g. array-valued function result variable)?
12	• If not, does the variable appear in an explicit mapping directive?
13 14	• If not, does the variable or COMMON block name appear in the list of names on a SEQENCE or NO SEQUENCE directive?
16	• If not, does the scoping unit contain a nameless SEQUENCE or NO SEQUENCE?
17 18	• If not, is the compilation affected by some special implementation-dependent environment which dictates that names default to SEQUENCE?
19 20 21 22	• If not, then the compiler will consider the variable or COMMON block name non- sequential and is free to apply data mapping optimizations disregarding Fortran sequence and storage association.
23 24	(End of advice to users.)
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Advice to implementors. In order to protect the user and to facilitate portability of older codes, two implementation options are strongly recommended. First, every implementation should supply some mechanism to verify that the type and shape of every mappable array and the sizes of aggregate variable groups in COMMON blocks are the same in every scoping unit unless the COMMON blocks are declared to be sequential. This same check should also verify that identical mappings have been selected for the variables in COMMON blocks. Implementations without interprocedural information can use a link-time check. The second implementation option recommended is a mechanism to declare that variables and COMMON blocks for a given compilation should be considered sequential unless declared otherwise. The purpose of this feature is to permit compilation of large old libraries or subprograms where storage association is known to exist without requiring that the code be modified to apply the HPF SEQUENCE directive to every COMMON block. (End of advice to implementors.)
40	(.1.0 Examples of Storage Association
41 42 43	IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z) COMMON /FOO/ A(100), B(100), C(100), D(100), E(100) DIMENSION X(100), Y(150), Z(200), ZZ(300)
44	
45 46	EQUIVALENCE ( A(1), Y(1) )
40	Sizes are: 200, 100, 100.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

48

```
EQUIVALENCE ( B(100), Y(1) ), ( B(1), ZZ(1) )
!Aggregate variable group is mappable only by mapping ZZ.
!ZZ is an aggregate cover for B, C, D, and Y.
!Sizes are: 100, 300, 100.
      EQUIVALENCE ( E(1), Y(1) )
!Aggregate variable group is mappable by mapping Y.
!Sizes are: 100, 100, 100, 100, 150.
      COMMON /TWO/ A(20,40),E(10,10),G(10,100,1000),H(100),P(100)
      REAL COVER(200)
      EQUIVALENCE (COVER(1), H(1))
!HPF$
        SEQUENCE A
!HPF$
        ALIGN E ...
        DISTRIBUTE COVER (CYCLIC(2))
!HPF$
```

Here A is sequential and implicitly mapped, E is explicitly mapped, G is implicitly mapped, the aggregate cover of the aggregate variable group (H, P) is explicitly mapped. /TWO/ is a nonsequential COMMON block.

In another subprogram, the following declarations may occur:

```
COMMON /TWO/ A(800), E(10,10), G(10,100,1000), Z(200)
!HPF$
        SEQUENCE A, Z
!HPF$
        ALIGN E ...
!HPF$
        DISTRIBUTE Z (CYCLIC(2))
```

There are four components of the same size in both occurrences. Components one and four are sequential. Components two and four are explicitly mapped, with the same type, shape and mapping attributes.

The first component, A, must be declared sequential in both occurrences because its shape is different. It may not be explicitly mapped in either because it is not rank-one or scalar in the first.

E and G must agree in type and shape in both occurrences. E must have the same explicit mapping and G must have no explicit mapping in both occurrences, since they are nonsequential variables.

The fourth component must have the same explicit mapping in both occurrences, and must be made sequential explicitly in the second.

#### Argument Passing and Sequence Association 7.2

For actual arguments in a procedure call, Fortran 90 allows an array element (scalar) to be 41 associated with a dummy argument that is an array. It furthermore allows the shape of a 42 dummy argument to differ from the shape of the corresponding actual array argument, in 43 effect reshaping the actual argument via the subroutine call. Storage sequence properties of 44 Fortran are used to identify the values of the dummy argument. This feature, carried over 45from FORTRAN 77, has been widely used to pass starting addresses of subarrays, rows  $^{46}$ or columns of a larger array, to procedures. For HPF arrays that are potentially mapped 47across processors, this feature is not fully supported.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

### 7.2.1 Sequence Association Rules

1. When an array element or the name of an assumed-size array is used as an actual argument, the associated dummy argument must be a scalar or specified to be a sequential array.

An array-element designator of a nonsequential array must not be associated with a dummy array argument.

- 2. When an actual argument is an array or array section and the corresponding dummy argument differs from the actual argument in shape, then the dummy argument must be declared sequential and the actual array argument must be sequential.
- 3. A variable of type character (scalar or array) is nonsequential if it conforms to the requirements of Definition 5 of Section 7.1.1. If the length of an explicit-length character dummy argument differs from the length of the actual argument, then both the actual and dummy arguments must be sequential.
- 15 16 17

### 7.2.2 Discussion of Sequence Association

When the shape of the dummy array argument and its associated actual array argument differ, the actual argument must not be an expression. There is no HPF mechanism for declaring that the value of an array-valued expression is sequential. In order to associate such an expression as an actual argument with a dummy argument of different rank, the actual argument must first be assigned to a named array variable that is forced to be sequential according to Definition 5 of Section 7.1.1.

24 25 26

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

40

41

### 7.2.3 Examples of Sequence Association

<sup>27</sup> Given the following subroutine fragment:

```
SUBROUTINE HOME (X)
DIMENSION X (20,10)
```

By rule 1

CALL HOME (ET (2,1))

is legal only if X is declared sequential in HOME and ET is sequential in the calling routine. Likewise, by rule 2

CALL HOME (ET)

requires either that ET and X are both sequential arrays or that ET is dimensioned exactly the same as X.

Rule 3 addresses a special consideration for variables of type character. Change of the length of character variables across a call, as in

```
42 CHARACTER (LEN=44) one_long_word
43 one_long_word = 'Chargoggagoggmanchaugagoggchaubunagungamaugg'
44 CALL webster(one_long_word)
45
46 SUBROUTINE webster(short_dictionary)
47 CHARACTER (LEN=4) short_dictionary (11)
48 !Note that short_dictionary(3) is 'agog', for example
```

is conceptually legal in FORTRAN 77 and Fortran 90. In HPF, both the actual argument and dummy argument must be sequential. (By the way, "Chargoggagoggmanchaugagog-gchaubunagungamaugg" is the original Nipmuc name for what is now called "Lake Webster" in Massachusetts.)  $^{24}$  $^{34}$  $^{46}$  $^{48}$ 

Section 8

# Subset High Performance Fortran

This chapter presents a subset of HPF capable of being implemented more rapidly than the full HPF. A subset implementation will provide a portable interim HPF capability. Full HPF implementations should be developed as rapidly as possible. The definition of the subset language is intended to be a minimal requirement. A given implementation may support additional Fortran 90 and HPF features.

### 8.1 Fortran 90 Features in Subset High Performance Fortran

The items listed here are the features of the HPF subset language. For reference, the section numbers from the Fortran 90 standard are given along with the related syntax rule numbers:

- All FORTRAN 77 standard conforming features, except for storage and sequence association. (See Section 7 for detailed discussion of the exception.)
- The Fortran 90 definitions of MIL-STD-1753 features:
  - DO WHILE statement (8.1.4.1.1 / R821)
  - END DO statement (8.1.4.1.1 / R825)
  - IMPLICIT NONE statement (5.3 / R540)
  - INCLUDE line (3.4)
    - scalar bit manipulation intrinsic procedures: IOR, IAND, NOT, IEOR, ISHFT, ISHFTC, BTEST, IBSET, IBCLR, IBITS, MVBITS (13.13)
      - binary, octal and hexadecimal constants for use in DATA statements (4.3.1.1 / R407 and 5.2.9 / R533)
- Arithmetic and logical array features:
- array sections (6.2.2.3 / R618-621)
  subscript triplet notation (6.2.2.3.1)
  vector-valued subscripts (6.2.2.3.2)
  array constructors limited to one level of implied DO (4.5 / R431)
  arithmetic and logical operations on whole arrays and array sections (2.4.3, 2.4.5, and 7.1)

- array assignment (2.4.5, 7.5, 7.5.1.4, and 7.5.1.5) 1 - masked array assignment (7.5.3)2 \* WHERE statement (7.5.3 / R738) \* block WHERE . . . ELSEWHERE construct (7.5.3 / R739) - array-valued external functions (12.5.2.2) 6 - automatic arrays (5.1.2.4.1)8 - ALLOCATABLE arrays and the ALLOCATE and DEALLOCATE statements (5.1.2.4.3, 9 6.3.1 / R622, and 6.3.3 / R631) 10 - assumed-shape arrays (5.1.2.4.2 / R516) 11 12 • Intrinsic procedures: 13 The list of intrinsic functions and subroutines below is a combination of (a) routines 14 which are entirely new to Fortran and (b) routines that have always been part of 15Fortran, but now have been extended to new argument and result types. The new 16 or extended definitions of these routines are part of the subset. If a FORTRAN 77 17routine is not included in this list, then only the original FORTRAN 77 definition is 18 part of the subset. 19 20 For all of the intrinsics that have an optional argument DIM, only actual argument 21 expressions for DIM that are initialization expressions and hence deliver a known shape 22 at compile time are part of the subset. The intrinsics with this constraint are marked 23 with *in the list below*. 24 - the argument presence inquiry function: **PRESENT** (13.10.1) 2526 - all the numeric elemental functions: ABS, AIMAG, AINT, ANINT, CEILING, CMPLX, 27 CONJG, DBLE, DIM, DPROD, FLOOR, INT, MAX, MIN, MOD, MODULO, NINT, REAL, SIGN 28 (13.10.2)29 - all mathematical elemental functions: ACOS, ASIN, ATAN, ATAN2, COS, COSH, EXP. 30 LOG, LOG10, SIN, SINH, SQRT, TAN, TANH (13.10.3)31 - all the bit manipulation elemental functions : BTEST, IAND, IBCLR, IBITS, IBSET, 32 IEOR, IOR, ISHFT, ISHFTC, NOT (13.10.10) 33 34 - all the vector and matrix multiply functions: DOT\_PRODUCT, MATMUL (13.10.13) 35 - all the array reduction functions:  $ALL_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ ,  $ANY_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ ,  $COUNT_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ ,  $MAXVAL_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ ,  $MINVAL_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ , 36 **PRODUCT**<sup>†</sup>, **SUM**<sup>†</sup>(13.10.14) 37 - all the array inquiry functions: ALLOCATED, LBOUND<sup>†</sup>, SHAPE, SIZE<sup>†</sup>, 38  $UBOUND^{\dagger}(13.10.15)$ 39 - all the array construction functions: MERGE, PACK, SPREAD<sup>†</sup>, UNPACK (13.10.16) 40 41 - the array reshape function: **RESHAPE** (13.10.17)42 - all the array manipulation functions: CSHIFT<sup>†</sup>, EOSHIFT<sup>†</sup>, TRANSPOSE (13.10.18) 43 - all array location functions: MAXLOC<sup>†</sup>, MINLOC<sup>†</sup>(13.10.19) 44 - all intrinsic subroutines: DATE\_AND\_TIME, MVBITS, RANDOM\_NUMBER, RANDOM\_SEED, 4546 SYSTEM\_CLOCK (3.11) 47• Declarations: 48

1 2 3	- Type declaration statements, with all forms of <i>type-spec</i> except <i>kind-selector</i> and TYPE(type-name), and all forms of <i>attr-spec</i> except <i>access-spec</i> , TARGET, and POINTER. (5.1 / R501-503, R510)
<b>4</b> 5	- attribute specification statements: ALLOCATABLE, INTENT, OPTIONAL, PARAMETER, SAVE $(5.2)$
6 7 ●	Procedure features:
8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>INTERFACE blocks with no generic-spec or module-procedure-stmt (12.3.2.1)</li> <li>optional arguments (5.2.2)</li> <li>keyword argument passing (12.4.1 /R1212)</li> </ul>
12 •	Syntax improvements:
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>long (31 character) names (3.2.2)</li> <li>lower case letters (3.1.7)</li> <li>use of "_" in names (3.1.3)</li> <li>"!" initiated comments, both full line and trailing (3.3.2.1)</li> </ul>
<sup>19</sup> 8.2	Discussion of the Fortran 90 Subset Features
21 22 23	<i>Rationale.</i> There are many Fortran 90 features which are useful and relatively easy to implement, but are not included in the subset language. Features were selected for the subset language for several reasons.
24 25 26 27	The MIL-STD-1753 features have been implemented so widely that many users have forgotten that they are not part of FORTRAN 77. They are included in the HPF subset.
28 29 30 31	The biggest addition to FORTRAN 77 in the HPF subset language is the inclusion of the array language. A number of vendors have identified the usefulness of array operations for concise expression of parallelism and already support these features. However, the character array language is not part of the subset.
32 33 34	The new storage classes such as allocatable, automatic, and assumed-shape objects are included in the subset. They provide an important alternative to the use of storage association features such as EQUIVALENCE for memory management.
35 36 37 38	Interface blocks have been added to the subset in order to facilitate use of the HPF directives across subroutine boundaries. The interface blocks provide a mechanism to specify the expected mapping of data, in addition to the types and intents of the arguments.
<ol> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ol>	There were other Fortran 90 features considered for the subset. Some features such as CASE or NAMELIST were recognized as popular features of Fortran 90, but had no direct bearing on high performance. Other features such as support for double precision complex (via KIND) or procedureless MODULES were rejected because of the perception that the additional implementation complexity might delay release of subset compilers. It was not a goal of HPFF to define an "ideal" subset of Fortran 90 for all purposes.
46 47 48	Additional syntactic improvements are included, such as long names and the "!" form of comments, because of their general usefulness in program documentation, including the description of HPF itself. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{25}$ 

### 8.3 HPF Features Not in Subset High Performance Fortran

All HPF directives and language extensions are included in the HPF subset language with the following exceptions:

- The REALIGN, REDISTRIBUTE, and DYNAMIC directives;
- The INHERIT directive used with a *dist-format-clause* or *dist-target* that is transcriptive ("lone star") either explicitly or implicitly;
- The PURE function attribute;
- The *forall-construct*;
- The HPF library and the HPF\_LIBRARY module;
- Actual argument expressions corresponding to optional DIM arguments to the Fortran 90 MAXLOC and MINLOC intrinsic functions that are not initialization expressions; and
- The EXTRINSIC function attribute.

### 8.4 Discussion of the HPF Extension Subset

Rationale. The data mapping features of the HPF subset are limited to static mappings, plus the possible remapping of arguments across the interface of subprogram boundaries. Since the subset language does not include MODULES, and COMMON block variables cannot be remapped, this restriction only impacts remapping of local variables and additional remapping of arguments, after the subprogram boundary. The INHERIT directive may be used in the subset, but the user must provide an explicit descriptive or prescriptive distribution for the dummy argument in question.

Only the simplest version of FORALL statement is required in the subset. Note that the omission of the PURE attribute from the subset means that only HPF and Fortran 90 intrinsic functions can be called from the FORALL statement. No other subprograms can be called.

Only the intrinsics which are useful for declaration of variables and mapping inquiries are included in the subset. The full set of extended operations proposed for the HPF library is not required and since MODULE is not part of the subset, the HPF\_LIBRARY module is also not part of the subset. The extrinsic interface attribute is also not in the subset. This includes any specific extrinsic models such as the model described in the Annex A.

All of these HPF language reductions are made in the spirit of allowing vendors to produce a usable subset version of HPF quickly so that initial experimentation with the language can begin. This list of HPF features excluded from the subset should not be interpreted as requiring implementors to omit the features from the subset. Implementations with as many HPF features as possible are encouraged. The list does, however, establish the features a user should avoid if an HPF application is expected to be moved between different HPF subset implementations. (*End of rationale.*)

### Annex A

10 11

 $^{24}$ 

# Coding Local Routines in HPF and Fortran 90

This annex defines a mechanism for coding single-processor "node" code in single-processor Fortran 90 or in a single-processor subset of HPF; the idea is that only data that is mapped to a given physical processor is accessible to it. This allows the programming of MIMD multiprocessor machines in a single-program multiple-data (SPMD) style. Implementationspecific libraries may be provided to facilitate communication between the physical processors that are independently executing this code, but the specification of such libraries is outside the scope of HPF and outside the scope of this annex.

The EXTRINSIC mechanism, which allows an HPF programmer to declare a calling interface to a non-HPF subprogram, is described in Section 6 of the HPF specification.

From the caller's standpoint, an invocation of an extrinsic procedure from a "global" HPF program has the same semantics as an invocation of a regular procedure. The callee may see a different picture. This annex describes a particular set of conventions for coding callees in the "local" style in which a copy of the subprogram executes on each processor (of which there may be one or many).

30 An extrinsic procedure can be defined as explicit SPMD code by specifying the local 31 procedure code that is to execute on each processor. HPF provides a mechanism for defining 32 local procedures in a subset of HPF that excludes only data mapping directives, which are 33 not relevant to local code. If a subprogram definition or interface uses the extrinsic-kind-34 keyword HPF\_LOCAL, then an HPF compiler should assume that the subprogram is coded as 35 a local procedure. Because local procedures written in HPF are thus syntactically distin-36 guished, they may be intermixed unambiguously with global HPF code if the implementor 37 of an HPF language processor chooses to support such intermixing.

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

This annex is divided into three parts:

- 1. The contract between the caller and a callee that is a local procedure, that is, defined as explicit Single Program Multiple Data (SPMD) code.
- 2. A specific version of this interface for the case where the callee is a local procedure coded in HPF (*extrinsic-kind-keyword* HPF\_LOCAL). Such local procedures may be compiled separately or included as part of the text of a global HPF program.
- A specific version of this interface for the case where extrinsic procedures are defined
   as explicit SPMD code with each local procedure coded in Fortran 90 (the *extrinsic-kind-keyword* might be, for instance, F90\_L0CAL). Ideally these local procedures may

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

 $^{42}$ 

43

44

45

46

47

48

be separately compiled by a Fortran 90 compiler and then linked with HPF code, though this depends on implementation details.

#### A 1 Conventions for Local Subprograms

All HPF arrays accessible to an extrinsic procedure (arrays passed as arguments) are logically carved up into pieces; the local procedure executing on a particular physical processor sees an array containing just those elements of the global array that are mapped to that physical processor.

It is important not to confuse the extrinsic procedure, which is conceptually a single procedural entity called from the HPF program, with the local procedures, which are executed on each node, one apiece. An *invocation* of an extrinsic procedure results in a separate invocation of a local procedure on each processor. The *execution* of an extrinsic procedure consists of the concurrent execution of a local procedure on each executing processor. Each local procedure may terminate at any time by executing a **RETURN** statement. However, the extrinsic procedure as a whole terminates only after every local procedure has terminated; in effect, the processors are synchronized before return to a global HPF caller.

It is technically feasible to define extrinsic procedures in any other parallel language that maps to this basic SPMD execution model, or in any sequential language, including single-processor Fortran 90, with the understanding that one copy of the sequential code is executed on each processor. The extrinsic procedure interface is designed to ease implementation of local procedures in languages other than HPF; however, it is beyond the scope of the HPF specification or this annex to dictate implementation requirements for such languages or implementations. Nevertheless, a suggested way to use Fortran 90 to define local procedures is discussed in Section A.3.

With the exception of returning from a local procedure to the global caller that initiated local execution, there is no implicit synchronization of the locally executing processors. A local procedure may use any control structure whatsoever. To access data outside the processor requires either preparatory communication to copy data into the processor before running the local code, or communication between the separately executing copies of the local procedure. Individual implementations may provide implementation-dependent means for communicating, for example through a message-passing library or a shared-memory mechanism. Such communication mechanisms are beyond the scope of this specification. Note, however, that many useful portable algorithms that require only independence of control structure can take advantage of local routines, without requiring a communication facility.

This model assumes only that array axes are mapped independently to axes of a rectangular processor grid, each array axis to at most one processor axis (no "skew" distributions) and no two array axes to the same processor axis. This restriction suffices to ensure that each physical processor contains a subset of array elements that can be locally arranged in a rectangular configuration. (Of course, to compute the global indices of an element given its local indices, or vice versa, may be quite a tangled computation—but it will be possible.)

It is recommended that if, in any given implementation, an interface kind does not obey the conventions described in the section, then the name of that interface kind should not end in "LOCAL".

З

 $16 \\ 17$ 

### A.1.1 Conventions for Calling Local Subprograms

The default mapping of scalar dummy arguments and of scalar function results is such that the argument is replicated on each physical processor. These mappings may, optionally, be explicit in the interface, but any other explicit mapping is not HPF conforming.

As in the case of non-extrinsic subprograms, actual arguments may be mapped in any way; if necessary, they are copied automatically to correctly mapped temporaries before invocation of and after return from the extrinsic procedure.

A.1.2 Calling Sequence

The actions detailed below have to occur prior to the invocation of the local procedure on each processor. These actions are enforced by the compiler of the calling routine, and are not the responsibility of the programmer, nor do they impact the local procedure. (The next section discusses restrictions on the local procedure.)

- 1. The processors are synchronized. In other words, all actions that logically precede the call are completed.
- 2. Each actual argument is remapped, if necessary, according to the directives (explicit or implicit) in the declared interface for the extrinsic procedure. Thus, HPF mapping directives appearing in the interface are binding—the compiler must obey these directives in calling local extrinsic procedures. (The reason for this rule is that data mapping is explicitly visible in local routines). Actual arguments corresponding to scalar dummy arguments are replicated (by broadcasting, for example) in all processors.
- 3. If a variable accessible to the called routine has a replicated representation, then all copies are updated prior to the call to contain the correct current value according to the sequential semantics of the source program.

After these actions have occurred, the local procedure is invoked on each processor. The information available to the local invocation is described below in Section A.1.3.

The following actions must occur before control is transferred back to the caller.

- 1. All processors are synchronized after the call. In other words, execution of every copy of the local routine is completed before execution in the caller is resumed.
- 2. The original distribution of arguments (and of the result of an extrinsic function) is restored, if necessary.

Advice to implementors. An implementation might check, before returning from the local subprogram, to make sure that replicated variables have been updated consistently by the subprogram. However, there is certainly no requirement—perhaps not even any encouragement—to do so. This is merely a tradeoff between speed and, for instance, debuggability. (End of advice to implementors.)

### A.1.3 Information Available to the Local Procedure

The local procedure invoked on each processor is passed a *local argument* for each *global argument* passed by the caller to the (global) extrinsic procedure interface. Each global argument is a distributed HPF array or a replicated scalar. The corresponding local argument is the part of the global array stored locally, or the local copy of a scalar argument. An array actual argument passed by an HPF caller is called a *global array*; the subgrid of that global array passed to one copy of a local routine (because it resides in that processor) is called a *local array*.

If the extrinsic procedure is a function, then the local procedure is also a function. Each local invocation of that function will return the local part of the extrinsic function return value. If the extrinsic function is scalar-valued then the implicit mapping of the return value is replicated. Thus, all local functions must return the same value. If one desires to return one, possibly distinct, value per processor, then the extrinsic function must be declared to return a distributed rank-one array of size NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS.

The run-time interface should provide enough information that each local function can discover for each local argument the mapping of the corresponding global argument, translate global indices to local indices, and vice-versa. A specific set of procedures that provide this information is listed in Section A.2.3. The manner in which this information is made available to the local routine depends on the implementation and the programming language used for the local routine.

### A.2 Local Routines Written in HPF

This section provides a specific design for providing the required information to local procedures in the case these procedures are written in HPF.

Local procedures may be declared within an HPF program (and be compiled by an HPF compiler). The *subroutine-stmt* or *function-stmt* that begins the subprogram must contain the prefix EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL).

### A.2.1 Restrictions

There are some restrictions on what HPF features may be used in writing a local, perprocessor procedure.

A local HPF program unit may invoke other local program units or internal procedures, but it may not invoke an ordinary, "global" HPF routine. If a global HPF program calls local subprogram A with an actual array argument X, and A receives a portion of array X as dummy argument P, then A may call another local subprogram B and pass P or a section of P as an actual argument to B.

A local HPF program unit may not access global HPF data other than data that is 40 accessible, either directly or indirectly, via the actual arguments. In particular, a local HPF 41 program unit does not have access to global HPF COMMON blocks; COMMON blocks appearing 42 in local HPF program units are not identified with global HPF COMMON blocks. The same 43 name may not be used to identify a COMMON block both within a local HPF program unit 44 and an HPF program unit in the same executable program. 45

Local program units can use all HPF constructs except for DISTRIBUTE, REDISTRIBUTE, 46 ALIGN, REALIGN, and INHERIT directives. The distribution query library subroutines HPF\_ 47 ALIGNMENT, HPF\_TEMPLATE, and HPF\_DISTRIBUTION may be applied to local arrays. Their 48 outcome is the same as for a global array that happens to have all its elements on a single
 node.

Scalar dummy arguments must be mapped so that each processor has a copy of the argument. This holds true, by convention, if no mapping is specified for the argument in the interface. Thus, the constraint disallows only explicit alignment and distribution directives in an explicit interface that imply that a scalar dummy argument is not replicated on all processors.

8 9 An EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine may not be RECURSIVE.

An EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine may not have alternate returns.

An EXTRINSIC (HPF\_LOCAL) routine may not be invoked, either directly or indirectly,
 in the body of a FORALL construct or in the body of an INDEPENDENT loop.

The attributes (type, kind, rank, optional, intent) of the dummy arguments must match the attributes of the corresponding dummy arguments in the explicit interface. A dummy argument of an EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine may not be a procedure name.

A dummy argument of an EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine may not have the POINTER
 attribute.

17

A dummy argument of an EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine must be nonsequential.

A dummy array argument of an EXTRINSIC (HPF\_LOCAL) routine must have assumed
 shape, even when it is explicit shape in the interface. Note that, in general, the shape of a
 dummy array argument differs from the shape of the corresponding actual argument, unless
 there is a single executing processor.

Explicit mapping directives for dummy arguments and function result variables may not appear in a local procedure, although they may appear (in the case of the result of an array-valued function, they must appear) in the required explicit interface accessible to the caller.

A local procedure may have several ENTRY points. A global HPF caller must contain a
 separate extrinsic interface for each entry point that can be invoked from the HPF program.

29 30

### A.2.2 Argument Association

<sup>31</sup> If a dummy argument of an EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine is an array, then the corre-<sup>32</sup> sponding dummy argument in the specification of the local procedure must be an array of <sup>33</sup> the same rank, type, and type parameters. When the extrinsic procedure is invoked, the <sup>34</sup> local dummy argument is associated with the local array that consists of the subgrid of the <sup>35</sup> global array that is stored locally. This local array will be a valid HPF array.

<sup>36</sup> If a dummy argument of an EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) routine is a scalar then the cor-<sup>37</sup> responding dummy argument of the local procedure must be a scalar of the same type. <sup>38</sup> When the extrinsic procedure is invoked then the local procedure is passed an argument <sup>39</sup> that consists of the local copy of the replicated scalar. This copy will be a valid HPF scalar.

If an EXTRINSIC (HPF\_LOCAL) routine is a function, then the local procedure is a function
 that returns a scalar of the same type and type parameters, or an array of the same rank,
 type, and type parameters, as the HPF extrinsic function. The value returned by each local
 invocation is the local part of the value returned by the HPF invocation.

- <sup>44</sup> Each physical processor has at most one copy of each HPF variable.
  - Consider the following extrinsic interface:
    - INTERFACE
- 47 48

45

46

EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) FUNCTION MATZOH(X, Y) RESULT(Z)

```
REAL, DIMENSION(:,:) :: X
                                                                                      1
          REAL, DIMENSION(SIZE(X,1)) :: Y, Z
                                                                                      2
!HPF$
          ALIGN WITH X(:,*) :: Y(:), Z(:)
                                                                                      3
!HPF$
          DISTRIBUTE X(BLOCK, CYCLIC)
                                                                                      4
        END FUNCTION
                                                                                      5
      END INTERFACE
                                                                                      6
                                                                                      7
                                                                                      8
 The corresponding local HPF procedure is specified as follows.
                                                                                      9
                                                                                     10
                                                                                     11
                                                                                     12
      EXTRINSIC(HPF_LOCAL) FUNCTION MATZOH(XX, YY) RESULT(ZZ)
      REAL, DIMENSION(:,:) :: XX
                                                                                     13
      REAL, DIMENSION(5 : SIZE(XX,1)+4) :: YY, ZZ
                                                                                     14
                                                                                     15
      NX1 = SIZE(XX, 1)
      LX1 = LBOUND(XX, 1)
                                                                                     16
      UX1 = UBOUND(XX, 1)
                                                                                     17
                                                                                     18
      NX2 = SIZE(XX, 2)
                                                                                     19
      LX2 = LBOUND(XX, 2)
                                                                                     20
      UX2 = UBOUND(XX, 2)
                                                                                     21
      NY = SIZE(YY, 1)
      LY = LBOUND(YY, 1)
                                                                                     22
                                                                                     23
      UY = UBOUND(YY, 1)
                                                                                     ^{24}
      . . .
                                                                                     25
      END FUNCTION
                                                                                     26
                                                                                     27
```

Assume that the function is invoked with an actual (global) array X of shape  $3 \times 3$  and an actual vector Y of length 3 on a 4-processor machine, using a  $2 \times 2$  processor arrangement (assuming one abstract processor per physical processor).

28

29

30

31

32

47

48

Then each local invocation of the function MATZOH receives the following actual arguments:

Processor $(1,1)$	Processor $(1,2)$
X(1,1) X(1,3) X(2,1) X(2,3)	X(1,2) X(2,2)
Y(1) Y(2)	Y(1) Y(2)
Processor $(2,1)$	Processor $(2,2)$
X(3,1)  X(3,3)	X(3,2)
Y(3)	Y(3)

Here are the values to which each processor would set NX1, LX1, UX1, NX2, LX2, UX2, NY, LY, and UY:

1	Processor $(1,1)$	Processor $(1,2)$		
2	NX1 = 2 $LX1 = 1$ $UX1 = 2$	NX1 = 2  LX1 = 1  UX1 = 2		
3	NX2 = 2 $LX2 = 1$ $UX2 = 2$	NX2 = 1 $LX2 = 1$ $UX2 = 1$		
4	NY = 2 $LY = 5$ $UY = 6$	NY = 2 $LY = 5$ $UY = 6$		
5 6	Processor $(2,1)$	Processor $(2,2)$		
7	$\mathbf{NX1} = 1  \mathbf{LX1} = 1  \mathbf{UX1} = 1$	$\mathbf{NX1} = 1  \mathbf{LX1} = 1  \mathbf{UX1} = 1$		
8	NX2 = 2 $LX2 = 1$ $UX2 = 2$	NX2 = 1 $LX2 = 1$ $UX2 = 1$		
9	NY = 1 $LY = 5$ $UY = 5$	NY = 1 $LY = 5$ $UY = 5$		
10	The return array 77 is distributed ident	ically to $\mathbf{VV}$ . Processors (1.1) and (1.2) should		
11	return identical rank one arrays of size 2: nr	can to $(1,1)$ and $(1,2)$ should return identical		
12	rank one arrays of size 1	(2,1) and $(2,2)$ should return identical		
13	An actual argument to an extrinsic proc	edure may be a pointer. Since the correspond-		
14	ing dummy argument may not have the POINTER attribute, the dummy argument becomes			
15	associated with the target of the HPF global pointer. In no way may a local pointer become			
16	pointer associated with a global HPF target. Therefore, an actual argument may not be of			
17	a derived-type containing a pointer compone	ent		
18	a derived type containing a pointer compone	/10.		
19	Rationale. It is expected that global I	pointer variables will have a different represen-		
20	tation from that of local pointer variables, at least on distributed memory machines,			
21	because of the need to carry additional information for global addressing. This restric-			
22	tion could be lifted in the future. $(Enally in the future)$	l of rationale.)		
23	Other in guing intrincies, such as ALLOCAT	TED on DECENT should also hahave as supported		
24	Note that when a global array is passed to a	Logal routing some processors may receive an		
25	Note that when a global array is passed to a	and has SIZE zero		
26	empty subarray. Such argument is PRESENT	and has SIZE zero.		
27 28	A.2.3 HPF Local Routine Library			
29 30	Local HPF procedures can use any HPF intr	rinsic or library procedure.		
31	Advice to implementors The argum	ents to such procedures will be local arrays		
32	Depending on the implementation, the a	actual code for the intrinsic and library routines		
33	used by local HPF procedures may or	may not be the same code used when called		
34	from global HPF code. ( <i>End of advice</i>	to implementors.)		
35	0	1 )		
36	In addition, several local library procedu	ares are provided to query the global mapping		
37	of an actual argument to an extrinsic func	tion. These library procedures take as input		
38	the name of a dummy argument and return	information on the corresponding global HPF		
39	actual argument. They may be invoked only	by a local procedure that was directly invoked		
40	by global HPF code. If module facilities	are available, they reside in a module called		
41	HPF_LOCAL_LIBRARY; a local routine that call	s them should include the statement		
42	USE HPF LOCAL LIBRARY			
43		c		
44	or some functionally appropriate variant the	reot.		
45	The local HPF library also provides a ne	w derived type PROCID, to be used for processor		
46	identifiers. Each physical processor has a di	stinct identifier of type PROCID. It is assumed		

identifiers. Each physical processor has a distinct identifier of type PROCID. It is assumed
that a function is available to find the identifier of each executing processor—the syntax for
calling such a function is beyond the scope of this document.

Advice to implementors.

It is likely that in many implementations type **PROCID** will be effectively identical to type **INTEGER**.

(End of advice to implementors.)

### A.2.3.1 GLOBAL\_ALIGNMENT(ARRAY, ...)

This has the same interface and behavior as the HPF inquiry subroutine HPF\_ALIGNMENT, but it returns information about the *global* HPF array actual argument associated with the local dummy argument ARRAY, rather than returning information about the local array.

### A.2.3.2 GLOBAL\_DISTRIBUTION(ARRAY, ...)

This has the same interface and behavior as the HPF inquiry subroutine HPF\_DISTRIBUTION, but it returns information about the *global* HPF array actual argument associated with the local dummy argument ARRAY, rather than returning information about the local array.

### A.2.3.3 GLOBAL\_TEMPLATE(ARRAY, ...)

This has the same interface and behavior as the HPF inquiry subroutine HPF\_TEMPLATE, but it returns information about the *global* HPF array actual argument associated with the local dummy argument ARRAY, rather than returning information about the local array.

### A.2.3.4 ABSTRACT\_TO\_PHYSICAL(ARRAY, INDEX, PROC)

**Description.** Returns processor identification for the physical processor associated with a specified abstract processor relative to a global actual argument array.

Class. Subroutine.		31
		32
Arguments		33
menus.		34
ARRAY	may be of any type: it must be a dummy array that is	35
111010211	associated with a global HPF array actual argument. It	36
	is an INTENT(IN) argument.	37
		38
INDEX	must be a rank-1 integer array containing the coordinates	39
	of an abstract processor in the processors arrangement	40
	onto which the global HPF array is mapped. It is an	41
	INTENT(IN) argument. The size of INDEX must equal the	42
	rank of the processors arrangement.	43
		44
PROC	must be scalar and of type PROCID. It is an INTENT(OUT)	45
	argument. It receives the identifying value for the physi-	46
	cal processor associated with the abstract processor spec-	47
	ified by INDEX.	48

1	A.2.3.5 PHYSICAL	TO_ABSTRACT(ARRAY, PROC, INDEX)		
2 3 4	<b>Description.</b> Returns coordinates for an abstract processor, relative to a global actual argument array, corresponding to a specified physical processor.			
5 6	Class. Subroutine.			
7 8	Arguments.	Arguments.		
9 10 11	ARRAY	may be of any type; it must be a dummy array that is associated with a global HPF array actual argument. It is an INTENT(IN) argument.		
12 13 14 15	PROC	must be scalar and of type PROCID. It is an INTENT(IN) argument. It contains an identifying value for a physical processor.		
16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX	must be a rank-1 integer array. It is an INTENT(OUT) ar- gument. The size of INDEX must equal the rank of the processor arrangement onto which the global HPF array is mapped. INDEX receives the coordinates within this processors arrangement of the abstract processor associ- ated with the physical processor specified by PROC.		
22 23 24 25 26	This procedure c between abstract pro is one-to-many an eq	can be used only on systems where there is a one-to-one correspondence cessors and physical processors. On systems where this correspondence uivalent, system-dependent procedure should be provided.		
27	A.2.3.6 LOCAL_TO	D_GLOBAL(ARRAY, L_INDEX, G_INDEX)		
28 29 30 31	<b>Description.</b> Converts a set of local coordinates within a local dummy array to an equivalent set of global coordinates within the associated global HPF actual argument array.			
32 33	Class. Subroutine.			
34 35	Arguments.			
36 37 38	ARRAY	may be of any type; it must be a dummy array that is associated with a global HPF array actual argument. It is an INTENT(IN) argument.		
39 40 41 42 43	L_INDEX	must be a rank-1 integer array whose size is equal to the rank of ARRAY. It is an INTENT(IN) argument. It contains the coordinates of an element within the local dummy array ARRAY.		
44 45 46 47 48	G_INDEX	must be a rank-1 integer array whose size is equal to the rank of ARRAY. It is an INTENT(OUT) argument. It receives the coordinates within the global HPF array actual argument of the element identified within the local array by L_INDEX.		

#### GLOBAL\_TO\_LOCAL(ARRAY, G\_INDEX, L\_INDEX, LOCAL) A.2.3.7 1 2 **Optional arguments.** L\_INDEX, LOCAL 3 4 **Description.** Converts a set of global coordinates within a global HPF actual 5 argument array to an equivalent set of local coordinates within the associated local 6 dummy array. 7 Class. Subroutine. 8 9 Arguments. 10 11 ARRAY may be of any type; it must be a dummy array that is 12 associated with a global HPF array actual argument. It 13 is an INTENT(IN) argument. 14 G\_INDEX must be a rank-1 integer array whose size is equal to the 15rank of ARRAY. It is an INTENT(IN) argument. It contains 16the coordinates of an element within the global HPF ar-17ray actual argument associated with the local dummy 18 array ARRAY. 19 20 must be a rank-1 integer array whose size is equal to the L\_INDEX (optional) 21 rank of ARRAY. It is an INTENT(OUT) argument. It re-22 ceives the coordinates within the local dummy array of 23 the element identified within the global actual argument $^{24}$ array by G\_INDEX. However, the values in L\_INDEX are un-25defined if the value returned (or that would be returned) 26 in LOCAL is false. 27 LOCAL (optional) must be scalar and of type LOGICAL. It is an INTENT(OUT) 28 argument. It is set to .TRUE. if the local array contains 29 a copy of the global array element and to .FALSE. oth-30 erwise. 31 32 Local Routines Written in Fortran 90 A.3 33

The suggested interface to local SPMD routines written in Fortran 90 is the same as that for HPF local routines, with these few exceptions:

• Only Fortran 90 constructs should be used; it may not be possible to use extensions peculiar to HPF such as FORALL and the HPF library routines.

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- It is recommended that Fortran 90 language processors to be used for this purpose be extended to support the HPF local distribution query routines GLOBAL\_ALIGNMENT, GLOBAL\_TEMPLATE, and GLOBAL\_DISTRIBUTION and the PROCID derived type as described in Section A.2.3. It is also recommended that these facilities be defined in a Fortran 90 module named HPF\_LOCAL\_LIBRARY.
- Assuming that the intent is to compile such routines with a non-HPF Fortran 90 compiler, the Fortran 90 program text should be in separate files rather than incorporated into HPF source code.

• The suggested extrinsic kind keyword for this calling interface is F90\_LOCAL.

The restrictions listed in Section A.2.1 ought to apply as well to local routines written in Fortran 90.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 2

3

A.3.1 Argument Association

If a dummy argument in the HPF explicit extrinsic interface is an array, then the corresponding dummy argument in the specification of the local procedure must be an array of the same rank, type, and type parameters. When the extrinsic procedure is invoked, the local dummy argument is associated with the local array that consists of the subgrid of the global array that is stored locally. This local array will be a valid Fortran 90 array.

If a dummy argument in the HPF explicit extrinsic interface is a scalar then the corresponding dummy argument of the local procedure must be a scalar of the same type. When the extrinsic procedure is invoked then the local procedure is passed an argument that consists of the local copy of the replicated scalar. This copy will be a valid Fortran 90 scalar.

If an HPF explicit extrinsic interface defines a function, then the local procedure should be a Fortran 90 function that returns a scalar of the same type and type parameters, or an array of the same rank, type, and type parameters, as the HPF extrinsic function. The value returned by each local invocation is the local part of the value returned by the HPF invocation.

23 24

25

### A.4 Example HPF Extrinsic Procedures

The first example shows an INTERFACE block, call, and subroutine definition for matrix multiplication:

```
28
```

```
29
30
```

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

```
The NEWMATMULT routine computes C=A*B. A copy of row A(I,*) and
Ţ
i
     column B(*,J) is broadcast to the processor that computes C(I,J)
ļ
    before the call to NEWMATMULT.
      INTERFACE
        EXTRINSIC(HPF_LOCAL) SUBROUTINE NEWMATMULT(A, B, C)
          REAL.
                 DIMENSION(:,:), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                 A. B
          REAL,
                DIMENSION(:,:), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 С
          ALIGN A(I,J) WITH *C(I,*)
!HPF$
!HPF$
          ALIGN B(I,J) WITH *C(*,J)
```

END SUBROUTINE NEWMATMULT END INTERFACE

... CALL NEWMATMULT(A,B,C)

43 ...
44
45 ! The Local Subroutine Definition:
46 ! Each processor is passed 3 arrays of rank 2. Assume that the
47 ! global HPF arrays A,B and C have dimensions LxM, MxN and LxN,

```
<sup>48</sup> ! respectively. The local array CC is (a copy of) a rectangular
```

3

4

6

8

9

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

4546

47

48

subarray of C. Let I1, I2, ..., Ir and J1, J2, ..., Js be, i. 1 respectively, the row and column indices of this subarray at a i I. processor. Then AA is (a copy of) the subarray of A with row I. indices I1,..., Ir and column indices 1,..., M; and BB is (a copy of) the subarray of B with row indices 1,...,M and column i ŗ indices J1,...,Js. C may be replicated, in which case copies i of C(I,J) will be consistently updated at various processors. 7 EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) SUBROUTINE NEWMATMULT(AA, BB, CC) REAL, DIMENSION(:,:), INTENT(IN) :: AA, BB 10 REAL, DIMENSION(:,:), INTENT(OUT) :: CC 11 !HPF\$ ALIGN AA(I,J) WITH \*CC(I,\*) 12 !HPF\$ ALIGN BB(I,J) WITH \*CC(\*,J) 13 INTEGER I,J 14 15 i loop uses local indices 16 17DO I = LBOUND(CC, 1), UBOUND(CC, 1)18 DO J = LBOUND(CC,2), UBOUND(CC,2) 19  $CC(I,J) = DOT_PRODUCT(AA(I,:), BB(:,J))$ 20 END DO 21 END DO 22 RETURN 23 END  $^{24}$  $^{25}$ The second example shows an INTERFACE block, call, and subroutine definition for sum 26 reduction: 27

Ţ The SREDUCE routine computes at each processor the sum of Į. the local elements of an array of rank 1. It returns an i array that consists of one sum per processor. The sum reduction is completed by reducing this array of partial ŗ sums. The function fails if the array is replicated. i. (Replicated arrays could be handled by a more complicated code.) i INTERFACE EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) FUNCTION SREDUCE(A) RESULT(R) REAL, DIMENSION(NUMBER\_OF\_PROCESSORS()) :: R !HPF\$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) :: R REAL, DIMENSION(:), INTENT(IN) :: A END FUNCTION SREDUCE END INTERFACE TOTAL = SUM(SREDUCE(A)). . . ! The Local Subroutine Definition EXTRINSIC(HPF\_LOCAL) FUNCTION SREDUCE(AA) RESULT R

```
REAL, DIMENSION(:) :: R
1
       !HPF$ DISTRIBUTE (BLOCK) :: R
2
              REAL, DIMENSION(:), INTENT(IN) :: AA
3
4
              INTEGER COPIES
5
6
              CALL GLOBAL_ALIGNMENT(AA, NUMBER_OF_COPIES = COPIES)
7
              IF (COPIES > 1) CALL ERROR()
                                                                ! array is replicated
8
       ! Additional code to check that template is not replicated
9
              . . .
1\,0
       ! Array is not replicated -- compute local sum
11
              R(1) = SUM(AA)
12
              RETURN
13
              END
14
15
16
17
18
1\,9
20
21
22
23
^{24}
^{25}
26
^{27}
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
^{48}
```
## Annex B

 $^{48}$ 

## Syntax Rules

B.2 High Performance Fortran Terms and Concepts

B.2.3 Syntax of Directives

17	H201	hpf-d	irective-line	is	directive-origin hpf-directive
19 20 21	H202	direct	tive-origin	is or or	!HPF\$ CHPF\$ *HPF\$
22 23 24	H203	hpf-d	irective	is or	specification- $directiveexecutable$ - $directive$
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	H204	specij	fication-directive	is or or or or or or	processors-directive align-directive distribute-directive dynamic-directive inherit-directive template-directive combined-directive sequence-directive
33 34 35 36	H205	execu	table-directive	is or or	realign-directive redistribute-directive independent-directive
37 38 39	Constr	raint:	An <i>hpf-directive-la</i> the same line.	ine (	cannot be commentary following another statement on
40 41 42	Constr	raint:	A specification-dia appear.	recti	ve may appear only where a <i>declaration-construct</i> may
43 44 45	Constr	raint:	An <i>executable-dire</i> appear.	ectiv	e may appear only where an <i>executable-construct</i> may
46 47	Constr	raint:	An <i>hpf-directive-la</i> or fixed form (3.3	<i>ine</i> f 3.2.1	ollows the rules of either Fortran 90 free form $(3.3.1.1)$ ) comment lines, depending on the source form of the

surrounding Fortran 90 source form in that program unit. (3.3)

B.3	Data A	lignment and Di	stribu	ution Directives	1
B.3.2	Synta	ax of Data Alignr	nent	and Distribution Directives	2 3
H301	combi	ned- $directive$	is	combined-attribute-list :: $entity$ - $decl$ -list	4
H302	combi	ned-attribute	is or or or or or	ALIGN align-attribute-stuff DISTRIBUTE dist-attribute-stuff DYNAMIC INHERIT TEMPLATE PROCESSORS DIMENSION ( explicit-shape-spec-list )	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
Const	raint:	The same <i>combi</i> <i>combined-directiv</i>	ned-a e.	uttribute must not appear more than once in a given	13 14 15
Const	raint:	If the <b>DIMENSION</b> it applies must be ifier.	attril e decl	bute appears in a <i>combined-directive</i> , any entity to which ared with the HPF TEMPLATE or PROCESSORS type spec-	16 17 18 19
B.3.3	DIST	RIBUTE and RE	DIST	RIBUTE Directives	20
H303	distrib	oute - $directive$	is	DISTRIBUTE distributee dist-directive-stuff	21
H304	redist	ribute- $directive$	is or	REDISTRIBUTE distributee dist-directive-stuff REDISTRIBUTE dist-attribute-stuff :: distributee-list	23 24
H305	dist-d	irective-stuff	is	dist-format-clause [ dist-onto-clause ]	25 26
H306	dist-a	ttribute-stuff	is or	dist-directive-stuff dist-onto-clause	27 28
H307	distril	putee	is or	object-name template-name	29 30 31
H308	dist-fo	ormat-clause	is or or	( dist-format-list ) * ( dist-format-list ) *	32 33 34
H309	dist-fo	prmat	is or or	BLOCK [ ( <i>int-expr</i> ) ] CYCLIC [ ( <i>int-expr</i> ) ] *	35 36 37 38
H310	dist-o	nto-clause	is	ONTO dist-target	39
H311	dist-ta	urget	is or or	processors-name * processors-name *	40 41 42 43
Const	raint:	An <i>object-name</i> 1 subobject designa	nenti ator.	oned as a <i>distributee</i> must be a simple name and not a	44 45
Const	raint:	An <i>object-name</i> n ALIGN or REALIGN	nenti N dire	oned as a <i>distributee</i> may not appear as an <i>alignee</i> in an ective.	46 47 48

#### B.3. DATA ALIGNMENT AND DISTRIBUTION DIRECTIVES

Constraint: A distributee that appears in a REDISTRIBUTE directive must have the attribute (see Section 3.5). Constraint: If a dist-format-list is specified, its length must equal the rank of each tee.					
10 11	Constraint:	If a <i>processors-na</i> <i>tributee</i> must equ	<i>me :</i> al th	appears but not a <i>dist-format-list</i> , the rank of each <i>dis</i> - ne rank of the named processor arrangement.	
12 13 14	Constraint:	If either the <i>dist</i> begins with "*" t	- <i>forr</i> hen	<i>nat-clause</i> or the <i>dist-target</i> in a DISTRIBUTE directive every <i>distributee</i> must be a dummy argument.	
15 16 17	Constraint:	Neither the <i>dist-fe</i> with "*".	orma	ut-clause nor the dist-target in a REDISTRIBUTE may begin	
18 19 20	Constraint:	Any int-expr app specification-expr.	earir	ng in a $\mathit{dist-format}$ of a <code>DISTRIBUTE</code> directive must be a	
21 22	B.3.4 ALI	GN and REALIGN	Dire	ectives	
23	H312 align-	-directive	is	ALIGN alignee align-directive-stuff	
24 25 26	H313 realig	n-directive	is or	REALIGN alignee align-directive-stuff REALIGN align-attribute-stuff :: alignee-list	
27	H314 align-	-directive-stuff	is	( align-source-list ) align-with-clause	
28 29	H315 align-	-attribute-stuff	is	[ ( align-source-list ) ] align-with-clause	
30	H316 align	ee	is	object-name	
31	H317 align-	-source	is	:	
33			or	*	
34	11010 <i>L</i>	,	or		
35 36	H318 align-	-dummy	15	scalar-int-variable	
37 38	Constraint:	An <i>object-name</i> n DISTRIBUTE or RE	nent EDIS	ioned as an <i>alignee</i> may not appear as a <i>distributee</i> in a <b>TRIBUTE</b> directive.	
39 40 41	Constraint:	Any <i>alignee</i> that tribute (see Section	app on 3.	ears in a REALIGN directive must have the DYNAMIC at5).	
42 43 44 45	Constraint:	The <i>align-source-</i> <i>alignee</i> is scalar. form of the direct	list ( (In ive.)	and its surrounding parentheses) must be omitted if the some cases this will preclude the use of the statement	
46	Constraint:	If the <i>align-source</i>	e-list	t is present, its length must equal the rank of the alignee.	
47 48	Constraint:	An align-dummy	mus	t be a named variable.	

Constraint: An object may not have both the INHERIT attribute and the ALIGN attribute. (However, an object with the INHERIT attribute may appear as an *alignee* in a REALIGN directive, provided that it does not appear as a *distributee* in a DISTRIBUTE or REDISTRIBUTE directive.)

					5
H319	align	with-clause	is	WITH align-spec	6
H320	align-	-spec	is or	align-target [ ( align-subscript-list ) ] * align-target [ ( align-subscript-list ) ]	7 8
H321	align-	target	is or	object-name template-name	9 10 11
H322	align-	-subscript	is or or or	int-expr align-subscript-use subscript-triplet *	12 13 14 15
H323	align-	-subscript-use	is or	[ [ int-level-two-expr ] add-op ] align-add-operand align-subscript-use add-op int-add-operand	16 17 18
H324	align-	add-operand	is or	[ int-add-operand * ] align-primary align-add-operand * int-mult-operand	19 20
H325	align	-primary	is or	align-dummy ( align-subscript-use )	21 22 23
H326	int-a	dd-operand	is	add-operand	24
H327	int-m	ult-operand	is	mult-operand	25
H328	int-le	vel-two-expr	is	level-2-expr	26 27
Const	raint:	If the <i>align-spec</i> in be a dummy argument	an . ment	ALIGN directive begins with "*" then every <i>alignee</i> must	28 29 30
Const	raint:	The <i>align-spec</i> in a	a RE.	ALIGN may not begin with "*".	31 32
Const	raint:	Each align-dummy	/ ma	y appear at most once in an <i>align-subscript-list</i> .	33 34
Const	raint:	An align-subscript align-dummy.	t-use	expression may contain at most one occurrence of an	35 36 37
Const	raint:	An align-dummy explicitly permittee phrased, one may dummy and then d expressions that co	may ed to cons oing onta	not appear anywhere in the <i>align-spec</i> except where appear by virtue of the grammar shown above. Para- struct an <i>align-subscript-use</i> by starting with an <i>align-</i> additive and multiplicative things to it with any integer in no <i>align-dummy</i> .	38 39 40 41 42 43
Const	raint:	A <i>subscript</i> in an <i>dummy</i> .	alig	<i>n-subscript</i> may not contain occurrences of any <i>align</i> -	44 45
Const	raint:	An <i>int-add-operar</i> integer.	nd, i	nt-mult-operand, or int-level-two-expr must be of type	46 47 48

#### B.4. DATA PARALLEL STATEMENTS AND DIRECTIVES

B.3.5 DYNAMIC Direc	ive
H329 dynamic-directive	is DYNAMIC alignee-or-distributee-list
H330 alignee-or-distribu	ee is alignee or distributee
Constraint: An object in an object (o modules mu	COMMON may not be declared DYNAMIC and may not be aligned to template) that is DYNAMIC. (To get this kind of effect, Fortran 90 t be used instead of COMMON blocks.)
Constraint: An object w be aligned to	th the SAVE attribute may not be declared DYNAMIC and may not an object (or template) that is DYNAMIC.
B.3.7 PROCESSORS [	irective
H331 processors-directiv	is PROCESSORS processors-decl-list
H332 processors-decl	is $processors$ -name [ ( $explicit$ -shape-spec-list ) ]
H333 processors-name	is object-name
B.3.8 TEMPLATE Dire	ctive
H334 template-directive	is TEMPLATE template-decl-list
H335 template-decl	is template-name [ ( explicit-shape-spec-list ) ]
H336 template-name	is object-name
B.3.9 INHERIT Directi	e
${ m H337}~~inherit$ -directive	is INHERIT dummy-argument-name-list
B.4 Data Parallel State	nents and Directives
B.4.1 The FORALL Sta	tement
H401 forall-stmt	is FORALL forall-header forall-assignment
H402 forall-header	is (forall-triplet-spec-list [, scalar-mask-expr])
Constraint: Any procedu pure, as defi	re referenced in the $scalar$ -mask- $expr$ of a forall-header must be led in Section 4.3.
H403 forall-triplet-spec	is index-name = subscript : subscript [ : stride ]
Constraint: index-name	nust be a scalar integer variable.
Constraint: A subscript any index-no	r <i>stride</i> in a <i>forall-triplet-spec-list</i> must not contain a reference to <i>me</i> in the <i>forall-triplet-spec-list</i> in which it appears.
H404 forall-assignment	is assignment-stmt or pointer-assignment-stmt
Constraint: Any procedu a defined op	re referenced in a <i>forall-assignment</i> , including one referenced by ration or assignment, must be pure as defined in Section 4.3.

B.4.2 The	FORALL Construct	ct		1
H405 forall	-construct	is	FORALL forall-header forall-body-stmt [ forall-body-stmt ] END FORALL	2 3 4 5 6
H406 forall	-body-stmt	is or or or or	forall-assignment where-stmt where-construct forall-stmt forall-construct	7 8 9 10 11
Constraint:	Any procedure ref defined operation	eren or a	ced in a <i>forall-body-stmt</i> , including one referenced by a ssignment, must be pure as defined in Section 4.3.	12 13 14
Constraint:	If a <i>forall-stmt</i> or <i>j</i> FORALL may not re	<i>foral</i> edefi	<i>ll-construct</i> is nested in a <i>forall-construct</i> , then the inner ne any <i>index-name</i> used in the outer <i>forall-construct</i> .	15 16 17
B.4.3 Pure	e Procedures			18 19
H407 prefix	r	is	prefix-spec [ prefix-spec ]	20
H408 prefix	x-spec	is or or or	type-spec RECURSIVE PURE extrinsic-prefix	21 22 23 24 25
H409 funct	ion- $stmt$	is	[ prefix ] FUNCTION function-name function-stuff	26
H410 funct	ion-stuff	is	( [ $dummy$ -arg-name-list ] ) [ RESULT ( $result$ -name )	27
H411 subro	putine-stmt	is	$[ \ prefix \ ] \ \texttt{SUBROUTINE} \ subroutine-name \ subroutine-stuff$	28 29
H412 subro	outine-stuff	is	[ ( [ dummy-arg-list ] ) ]	30 31
Constraint:	A prefix must cont	ain	at most one of each variety of <i>prefix-spec</i> .	32
Constraint:	The <i>prefix</i> of a <i>sub</i>	brou	tine-stmt must not contain a type-spec.	33 34
Constraint:	The specification-p ments have INTEN POINTER attribute	part T(IN	of a pure function must specify that all dummy argu- I) except procedure arguments and arguments with the	35 36 37 38
Constraint:	A local variable do of a pure function	eclaı mus	red in the <i>specification-part</i> or <i>internal-subprogram-part</i> st not have the SAVE attribute.	39 40 41
	A  dvice  to  use stmt  or  a  dat tion is also di	ers. a-ste isalle	Note local variable initialization in a $type$ -declaration- mt implies the SAVE attribute; therefore, such initializa- owed. (End of advice to users.)	42 43 44 45
Constraint:	The <i>execution-par</i> use a dummy argu ated with a global	t an imer var	d <i>internal-subprogram-part</i> of a pure function may not it, a global variable, or an object that is storage associ- iable, or a subobject thereof, in the following contexts:	46 47 48

1		• As the assignment variable of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> ;
2 3		• As a DO variable or implied DO variable, or as an <i>index-name</i> in a <i>forall-triplet-spec</i> :
4		<ul> <li>As an <i>input-item</i> in a <i>read-stmt</i>:</li> </ul>
5		• As an internal-file-unit in a write-stmt:
6		• As an INSTATE or SIZE specifier in an I/O statement
7		• As an iosian state of Size specifier in an 1/0 statement.
o 9		• In an assign-simi, $A \to A $
10		• As the <i>pointer-object</i> or <i>target</i> of a <i>pointer-assignment-stmt</i> ;
11 12		• As the <i>expr</i> of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> whose assignment variable is of a derived type, or is a pointer to a derived type, that has a pointer component at any level of component selection;
13 14 15		• As an allocate-object or stat-variable in an allocate-stmt or deallocate- stmt, or as a pointer-object in a nullify-stmt; or
16 17		• As an actual argument associated with a dummy argument with INTENT (OUT) or INTENT(INOUT) or with the POINTER attribute.
18 19 20	Constraint:	Any procedure referenced in a pure function, including one referenced via a defined operation or assignment, must be pure.
21 22 23	Constraint:	A dummy argument or the dummy result of a pure function may be explicitly aligned only with another dummy argument or the dummy result, and may not be explicitly distributed or given the INHERIT attribute.
24 25 26 27	Constraint:	In a pure function, a local variable may be explicitly aligned only with another local variable, a dummy argument, or the result variable. A local variable may not be explicitly distributed.
28 29 30	Constraint:	In a pure function, a dummy argument, local variable, or the result variable must not have the DYNAMIC attribute.
31 32	Constraint:	In a pure function, a global variable must not appear in a <i>realign-directive</i> or <i>redistribute-directive</i> .
33 34 35 36	Constraint:	A pure function must not contain a <i>print-stmt</i> , <i>open-stmt</i> , <i>close-stmt</i> , <i>backspace-stmt</i> , <i>endfile-stmt</i> , <i>rewind-stmt</i> , <i>inquire-stmt</i> , or a <i>read-stmt</i> or <i>write-stmt</i> whose <i>io-unit</i> is an <i>external-file-unit</i> or $*$ .
37	Constraint:	A pure function must not contain a <i>pause-stmt</i> or <i>stop-stmt</i> .
39 40 41	Constraint:	The <i>specification-part</i> of a pure subroutine must specify the intents of all dummy arguments except procedure arguments and arguments that have the <b>POINTER</b> attribute.
42 43 44	Constraint:	A local variable declared in the <i>specification-part</i> or <i>internal-function-part</i> of a pure subroutine must not have the SAVE attribute.
45 46 47 48	Constraint:	The <i>execution-part</i> or <i>internal-subprogram-part</i> of a pure subroutine must not use a dummy parameter with INTENT(IN), a global variable, or an object that is storage associated with a global variable, or a subobject thereof, in the following contexts:

	• As the assignment variable of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> ;	1					
	• As a DO variable or implied DO variable, or as a <i>index-name</i> in a <i>forall-</i>	2					
	triplet-spec;	3					
	• As an <i>input-item</i> in a <i>read-stmt</i> ;	4					
	• As an <i>internal-file-unit</i> in a <i>write-stmt</i> :	6					
	= 1	7					
	• As an IUSIAI = or SIZE = specifier in an I/O statement.	8					
	• In an assign-stmt;	9					
	• As the <i>pointer-object</i> or <i>target</i> of a <i>pointer-assignment-stmt</i> ;	10					
	• As the <i>expr</i> of an <i>assignment-stmt</i> whose assignment variable is of a de-	12					
	rived type, or is a pointer to a derived type, that has a pointer component at any level of component selection;	$13 \\ 14$					
	• As an allocate-object or stat-variable in an allocate-stmt or deallocate-	15					
	stmt, or as a pointer-object in a nullify-stmt;	16					
	• As an actual argument associated with a dummy argument with INTENT	17					
	(OUT) or INTENT(INOUT) or with the POINTER attribute.	19					
		20					
Constraint:	Any procedure referenced in a pure subroutine, including one referenced via a	21					
	defined operation or assignment, must be pure.						
Constraint:	A dummy argument of a pure subroutine may be explicitly aligned only with	23 24					
	another dummy argument, and may not be explicitly distributed or given the INHERIT attribute.						
a		27					
Constraint:	another local variable or a dummy argument. A local variable may not be	28					
	explicitly distributed.						
	1 0	31					
Constraint:	In a pure subroutine, a dummy argument or local variable must not have the	32					
	DYNAMIC attribute.	33					
Constraint:	In a pure subroutine, a global variable must not appear in a <i>realign-directive</i>	34					
-	or redistribute-directive.	35					
		37					
Constraint:	A pure subroutine must not contain a <i>print-stmt</i> , <i>open-stmt</i> , <i>close-stmt</i> , <i>backspace-</i>	38					
	stmt, endfile-stmt, rewind-stmt, inquire-stmt, or a read-stmt or write-stmt whose io-unit is an external file-unit or *	39					
		40					
Constraint:	A pure subroutine must not contain a <i>pause-stmt</i> or <i>stop-stmt</i> .	41					
		43					
Constraint:	An <i>interface-body</i> of a pure procedure must specify the intents of all dummy	44					
	arguments except PUINTER and procedure arguments.	45					
Constraint	In a reference to a nure procedure a procedure name actual are must be the	46					
	name of a pure procedure.	47 48					

#### B.6. EXTRINSIC PROCEDURES

1	B.4.4 The INDEPENDENT	Directive
2	H413 independent-directive	is INDEPENDENT [ , new-clause ]
4	H414 new-clause	is NEW ( variable-list )
5 6 7	Constraint: The first non-con stmt, forall-stmt,	nment line following an <i>independent-directive</i> must be a <i>do</i> - or a <i>forall-construct</i> .
8 9	Constraint: If the NEW option	is present, then the directive must apply to a $\tt DO$ loop.
10 11	Constraint: A <i>variable</i> named not:	in the $\texttt{NEW}$ option or any component or element thereof must
12	• Be a pointer	or dummy argument: nor
14	• Have the SA	VE or TARGET attribute.
15 16	B.6 Extrinsic Procedures	
17	Dio Extinisie i locedures	
18	B.6.2 Definition and Invocat	ion of Extrinsic Procedures
20	H601 extrinsic-prefix	${f is}$ EXTRINSIC ( $extrinsic-kind-keyword$ )
21	H602 extrinsic-kind-keyword	is HPF
22 23	5	or HPF_LOCAL
24 25	B.7 Storage and Sequence A	Association
26	B.7.1 Storage Association	
27 28 29	H701 sequence-directive	<pre>is SEQUENCE [ [ :: ] association-name-list ] or NO SEQUENCE [ [ :: ] association-name-list ]</pre>
30	H702 association-name	is variable-name
31 32		or / common-block-name /
33	Constraint: The result variable	e of an array-valued function that is not an intrinsic function
34	is a nonsequentia	l array. It may not appear in any HPF SEQUENCE directive.
35	Constraint: A variable or CO	MMON block name may appear at most once in a sequence
36 37	directive within a	ny scoping unit.
38		
39		
40		
41		
43		
44		
45		
46 47		
48		

## Annex C

# Syntax Cross-reference

### C.1 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Defined

			15
Symbol	Defined	${f Referenced}$	16
add- $op$	R710	H323	17
add-operand	m R706	H326	18
a lign-add-oper and	H324	H323 H324	19
a lign-attribute-stuff	H315	H302 H313	20
a lign-directive	H312	H204	21
align-directive-stuff	H314	H312 H313	22
align-dummy	H318	H317 H325	23
align-primary	H325	H324	24
a lign-source	H317	H314 H315	25
align-spec	H320	H319	26
a lign-subscript	H322	H320	27
a lign-subscript-use	H323	H322 H323 H325	28
align-target	H321	H320	29
a lign-with-clause	H319	H314 H315	30
alignee	H316	H312 H313 H330	31
a lignee- $or$ - $distributee$	H330	H329	32
allocate-object	R625		33
allocate-stmt	R622		34
array-constructor	R431		35
array-spec	R512		36
assign-stmt	R838		37
assignment- $stmt$	m R735	H404	38
$association\-name$	${ m H702}$	H701	39
call- $stmt$	R1210		40
combined- $attribute$	H302	H301	41
combined- $directive$	H301	H204	42
data- $stmt$	R529		43
deallocate-stmt	R631		44
directive- $origin$	H202	H201	45
${\it dist-attribute-stuff}$	H306	H302 H304	46
dist- $directive$ - $stuff$	H305	H303 H304 H306	47
dist-format	H309	H308	48

1	dist-format-clause	H308	H305		
2	dist-onto-clause	H310	H305	H306	
3	dist-target	H311	H310		
4	distribute- $directive$	H303	H204		
5	distributee	H307	H303	H304	H330
6	dummy-arg	R1221	H412		
7	dynamic-directive	H329	H204		
8	end-function-stmt	R1218			
9	end-subroutine-stmt	R1222			
10	entity- $decl$	R504	H301		
11	executable- $construct$	R215			
12	executable - $directive$	H205	H203		
13	execution- $part$	R208			
14	explicit-shape-spec	R513	H302	H332	H335
15	expr	R723			
16	extrinsic-kind-keyword	H602	m H601		
17	extrinsic-prefix	H601	H408		
18	for all-assignment	H404	${ m H}401$	H406	
19	for all - body - stmt	H406	${ m H}405$		
20	forall-construct	${ m H}405$	H406		
21	forall-header	H402	H401	H405	
22	forall-stmt	H401	${ m H}406$		
23	forall-triplet-spec	${ m H}403$	H402		
24	function-reference	R1209			
25	function- $stmt$	${ m H}409$			
26	function-stuff	H410	${ m H}409$		
27	$function\-subprogram$	R1215			
28	hpf- $directive$	H203	H201		
29	hpf- $directive$ - $line$	H201			
30	independent- $directive$	H413	H205		
31	inherit- $directive$	H337	H204		
32	input- $item$	R914			
33	int- $add$ - $operand$	H326	H323	H324	
34	int- $expr$	R728	H309	H322	
35	int-level-two-expr	H328	H323		
36	int- $mult$ - $operand$	H327	H324		
37	int- $variable$	R607	H318		
38	interface-body	R1204			
39	internal-subprogram-part	R210			
40	level-2- $expr$	m R707	H328		
41	mask- $expr$	R741	H402		
42	mult- $operand$	m R705	H327		
43	name list-group-object	m R737			
44	namelist- $stmt$	R543			
45	new- $clause$	H414	H413		
46	nullify-stmt	R629			
47	output-item	R915			
48	pause- $stmt$	$\mathbf{R844}$			

prefix         R630         2           prefix         H407         H409         H411         3           prefix-spee         H408         H407         4           processors-decl         H332         H331         5           processors-anne         H333         H311         H332         7           read-stmt         R737         7         7         7           section-subscript         R618         11         1204         1203         13           sectification-directive         H204         H203         14 <t< th=""><th>pointer-<math>assignment</math>-<math>stmt</math></th><th>m R736</th><th>H404</th><th>1</th></t<>	pointer- $assignment$ - $stmt$	m R736	H404	1
prefix       H407       H408       H411       prefix-spec       H408       H401       4         processors-directive       H332       H331       H204       6         processors-directive       H331       H204       6         processors-directive       H331       H204       6         processors-name       H333       H311       H332       7         read/smn       R737       7       7       7         read/smn       R737       7       7       7         section-subscript       R618       11       12       12       12         specification-directive       H204       H203       13       14	pointer-object	R630		2
prefix-spec       II-408       II-407       1         processors-directive       II-331       II-204       6         processors-directive       II-331       II-204       6         processors-name       H-333       H-311       H-332       7         read-stmt       R-37       8       8       7         read-stmt       R-37       8       8       8       11         read-stmt       R-37       8       8       11       12       13       14       12       12       12       12       12       12       13       14       12       12       12       12       12       12       13       13       14	prefix	H407	H409 H411	3
processors-directive         H331         1431           processors-alme         H331         H204         6           processors-anne         H333         H311         H332         7           read-stmt         R737         7         7         7           readispi-directive         H313         H205         9         9           section-subscript         R618         7         7         7           sequence-directive         H701         H204         1203         13           specification-cepr         R734         7         14         <	prefix-spec	H408	${ m H}407$	4
processors-directive H331 H204 processors-name H333 H311 H332 7 processors-name H333 H311 H332 7 read-stmt R737 read-stmt R737 read-stmt R737 read-stmt R131 H205 redistribute-directive H304 H205 sequence-directive H304 H205 sequence-directive H701 H204 sequence-directive H701 H204 specification-acpr R734 specification-expr R734 specification-expr R734 stop-stmt R842 stride R620 H403 subortite-stmt H411 subscript R617 H403 subscript R617 H403 subscript-triptet R619 H322 target R737 target R737 target R737 target R737 target R502 H408 surviable R601 H414 subscript R501 target R601 H414 subscript R501 target R737 target R737 target R738 H406 subrate R738 H406 subrate R738 H406 subrate R737 target R738 H406 subrate R738 H406 subrate R738 H406 subrate R738 H406 subrate R737 target R738 H406 subrate R737 target R737	processors- $decl$	H332	H331	5
processors-name         H 333         H 311         H 332         7           read-statt         R 737         8           read/stribute-directive         H 304         H 205         8           section-subscript         R 618         11         12           section-subscript         R 619         12         12         12           specification-directive         H 204         H 203         13         14         14           specification-expr         R 734         14	processors- $directive$	H331	H204	6
read-stmt       R737         real-stmt       R737         real-stmt-directive       H313       H205         section-subscript       R618         sequence-directive       H701       H204         specification-directive       H204       H203         specification-expr       R734       H303         specification-part       R204       H403         stat-variable       R620       H403         subroutine-stmt       H411       H411         subscript       R617       H403         subscript       R617       H403         subscript-triplet       R619       H322         target       R737       22         target       R737       23         target       R737       24         template-direl       H335       H344         template-directive       H334       H204         template-directive       H334       H204         template-directive       H334       H204         template-directive       H334       H204         template-directive       R360       H408         waitabe       R601       H414       24         waitabe	processors-name	H333	H311 H332	7
realign-directive       H313       H205       predistribute-directive       H304       H205       predistribute-directive       H304       H205       H305       H305       H306       H307       H3121       H335       H34       H406	read- $stmt$	R737		8
redistribute-directive       H304       H205       10         section-subscript       R618       11         sequence-directive       H701       H204       12         specification-directive       H204       H203       13         specification-expr       R734       14       14         specification-part       R204       13       14         stat-variable       R623       16       15         stat-variable       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       18       19         subscript       R617       H403       11       19         subscript-triplet       R617       H403       11       19         subscript-triplet       R617       H403       11       10         subscript-triplet       R617       H403       11       10         template-directive       H336       H307       H321       H335       28         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       28         template-name       R601       H414       29       28         variable       R601       H414       29       29         wher	realign- $directive$	H313	H205	9
section-subscript R618 sequence-directive H701 H204 specification-directive H701 H204 specification-cepr R734 specification-cepr R734 specification-part R204 stat-variable R623 stat-variable R620 H403 suborutine-stmt R812 stride R620 H403 suborutine-stmt H411 suborutine-stmt H411 suborutine-stmt R617 H403 subscript R619 H322 target R737 template-decl H335 H334 template-directive H334 H204 sequence-directive H336 H307 H321 H335 sequence-directive R739 H406 seriable R601 H414 subre-construct R739 H406 seriable R601 H414 seriable R6	redistribute- $directive$	H304	H205	10
sequence-directive       H701       H204       12         specification-directive       H204       H203       13         specification-expr       R734       14         specification-expr       R734       14         stal-variable       R623       16         stal-variable       R620       H403       17         subroutine-stmt       H411       18       17         subroutine-stmf       H412       H411       20         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       22         target       R737       23       24         template-decl       H335       H334       204         template-decl       H336       H307       H321       H335         type-spec       R502       H408       28       29         variable       R601       H414       29       29         write-stmt       R738       H406       33       36         write-stmt       R738       H406       36       36         write-stmt       R737       37       36       36         C.2       Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined	section- $subscript$	R618		11
specification-directive       H204       H203       13         specification-expr       R734       14         specification-expr       R734       15         specification-expr       R734       15         specification-expr       R734       16         specification-expr       R134       16         stat-variable       R623       17         stopsitum       R842       17         stride       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       19         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       22         target       R737       22       22         template-decl       H335       H334       204         template-decl       H336       H307       H321       1335       28         type-declaration-stmt       R502       H408       28       28       28         variable       R601       H414       29       39       39       39       39       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       <	sequence-directive	H701	H204	12
specification-expr         R734         14           specification-part         R204         15           stat-variable         R623         16           stat-variable         R642         17           stride         R620         H403         18           subroutine-stmt         H411         19         19           subroutine-stmt         H411         19         19           subscript         R617         H403         20           target         R737         22         22           target         R737         23         24           template-directive         H335         H334         204         25           template-directive         H336         H307         H321         H335         26           type-spec         R502         H408         26         27         27         27           weriable         R601         H414         26         26         27         27         27           subrowtine-stmt         R738         H406         36         37         37         36           C.2         Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined         36         37         37         37	specification- $directive$	H204	H203	13
specification-part       R204       15         stat-variable       R623       16         stop-stmt       R842       17         stride       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       18       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       18       18         subscript       R617       H403       12         subscript-triplet       R619       H322       12         target       R737       12       19         template-directive       H334       H204       26         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335         type-declaration-stmt       R501       19       12       19         type-spec       R502       H408       28       28         wariable       R601       H414       29       29         warie-stmt       R739       H406       30       30         warie-stmt       R737       32       34       34         warie-stmt       R737       34       34       35         C.2       Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined       36       36         submuty-argument-name       H3	specification- $expr$	R734		14
stat-variable       R623       16         stop-stmt       R842       17         stride       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       19       19         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       22         target       R737       25       22         target       R737       25       26         template-decl       H335       H334       204         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-spec       R502       H408       28       28       28         wariable       R601       H414       29       29       20       20         common-block-name       R738       H406       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31       31 </td <td>specification-<math>part</math></td> <td>R204</td> <td></td> <td>15</td>	specification- $part$	R204		15
stop-stmt       R842       17         stride       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stmt       H411       19         subroutine-stuff       H412       H411       20         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       22         target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       204         template-drective       H336       H307       H321       H335       28         type-declaration-stmt       R501       77	stat-variable	R623		16
stride       R620       H403       18         subroutine-stuff       H411       19         subroutine-stuff       H411       19         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript-triplet       R619       H322       22         target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       24         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       28         type-declaration-stmt       R501       40       29       27       27         type-spec       R502       H408       28       28       29       29       29       29       20	stop-stmt	R842		17
subroutine-stmt       H411       10         subroutine-stuff       H412       H411       20         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript       R617       H403       22         target       R617       H322       22         target       R737       23         target       R737       23         target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       204         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       28         type-spec       R501       27       27       28       29 <td>stride</td> <td>R620</td> <td>H403</td> <td>18</td>	stride	R620	H403	18
subroutine-stuff       H412       H411       20         subscript       R617       H403       21         subscript-triplet       R619       H322       22         target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       24         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       27       29	subroutine- $stmt$	H411		19
subscript         R617         H403         21           subscript-triplet         R619         H322         22           target         R737         23           template-decl         H335         H334         24           template-decl         H335         H334         24           template-decl         H336         H307         H321         H335           type-dcclaration-stmt         R501         27         27           type-spec         R502         H408         28         28           variable         R601         H414         29         29           where-construct         R739         H406         30           where-stmt         R737         32         34           C.2         Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined         36         36           Symbol         Referenced         36         36           common-block-name         H702         36         36           dummy-argument-name         H410         36         36           indez-name         H409         37         37         37           indez-name         H403         36         37         33         336         <	subroutine- $stuff$	H412	H411	20
subscript-triplet       R619       H322       22         target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       24         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-directive       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       27       27       27         type-declaration-stmt       R501       28	subscript	R617	H403	21
target       R737       23         template-decl       H335       H334       24         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       28       27       28       28       28       28       28       28       28       28       28       28       29       28       29       28       29       28       29       28       28       29       28       28       29       28       28       29       28       29       28       29       28       29       28       29       28       29       29       29       29       29       28       29 </td <td>subscript-triplet</td> <td>R619</td> <td>H322</td> <td>22</td>	subscript-triplet	R619	H322	22
template-decl       H335       H334       24         template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       27       27       27       27       27       27       27       28       28       29       26       27       27       28       28       27       29       26       26       26       27       28       27       29       28       27       27       28       27       29       28       27       28       27       27       28       27       28       27       28       27       28       27       28       27       28       28       27       28       27       28       27       28       27       28       28       27       28	target	R737		23
template-directive       H334       H204       25         template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       27       27         type-spec       R502       H408       28       28         variable       R601       H414       29       30         where-construct       R739       H406       30         where-stmt       R738       H406       31         write-stmt       R737       32         C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined         Symbol       Referenced         common-block-name       H702         dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-arg-name       H403       43         index-name       H409       43         object-name       H403       43         object-name       H400       44         subroutine-name       H410       46         variable-name       H411       46	template-decl	H335	H334	24
template-name       H336       H307       H321       H335       26         type-declaration-stmt       R501       27       28       27       28         type-spec       R502       H408       28       28       28       29       28       29       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28       28       27       28	template-directive	H334	H204	25
type-declaration-stmt       R501       27         type-spec       R502       H408       28         variable       R601       H414       29         where-construct       R739       H406       30         where-stmt       R738       H406       31         write-stmt       R737       32         C.2       Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined       36         Symbol       Referenced       37         common-block-name       H702       39         dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H409       41         subroutine-name       H307       H316       H321         subroutine-name       H410       45         subroutine-name       H410       45         subroutine-name       H410       45         subroutine-name       H411       46         wariable-name       H411       46	template-name	H336	H307 H321 H335	26
R502       H408       28         variable       R601       H414       29         where-construct       R739       H406       30         where-stmt       R738       H406       31         write-stmt       R737       32         C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined         Symbol       Referenced         common-block-name       H702         dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45       47       47	type-declaration-stmt	R501		27
VariableR601H41429where-constructR739H40630where-stmtR738H40631write-stmtR73732C.2Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined36SymbolReferenced38common-block-nameH702dummy-arg-nameH41040dummy-argument-nameH33741function-nameH40942index-nameH307H316uidex-nameH40043object-nameH41045subroutine-nameH41146variable-nameH41146	type-spec	R502	H408	28
where-construct       R739       H406       30         where-stmt       R738       H406       31         write-stmt       R737       32         C.2       Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined       36         Symbol       Referenced       37         common-block-name       H702       39         dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         variable-name       H411       46       44       46       47	variable	R601	H414	29
where-stmt       R738       H406       31         write-stmt       R737       32         second       8       34         C.2       Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined       35         Symbol       Referenced       36         common-block-name       H702       39         dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45       46       46         variable-name       H411       46       47       47	where- $construct$	R739	H406	30
write-stmt     R737     32       Same     33       C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined     35       Symbol     Referenced     36       common-block-name     H702     39       dummy-arg-name     H410     40       dummy-argument-name     H337     41       function-name     H403     42       object-name     H307     H316       subroutine-name     H410     45       subroutine-name     H411     46       variable-name     H702     47	where- $stmt$	R738	H406	31
C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined Common-block-name Common-block-name H1702 H110 H110 H111 H11 H10 H11 H10 H11 H10 H11 H11	write-stmt	m R737		32
C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined Common-block-name Common-block-name H702 H400 H410 H409 H409 H409 H403 H409 H403 H403 H403 H403 H403 H404 H404 H404				33
C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined Common-block-name Common-block-name H702 H400 H40 H409 H409 H409 H403 H409 H403 H403 H403 H403 H403 H404 H404 H404				34
C.2 Nonterminal Symbols That Are Not Defined 36 Symbol Referenced 38 common-block-name H702 39 dummy-arg-name H410 40 dummy-argument-name H337 41 function-name H409 42 index-name H403 43 object-name H403 43 object-name H410 45 subroutine-name H410 46 subroutine-name H411 46				35
SymbolReferenced37common-block-nameH70239dummy-arg-nameH41040dummy-argument-nameH33741function-nameH40942index-nameH40343object-nameH307H316H321result-nameH41045subroutine-nameH41146variable-nameH70247	C.2 Nonterminal Symbols	5 That Are No	ot Defined	36
SymbolReferenced38common-block-nameH70239dummy-arg-nameH41040dummy-argument-nameH33741function-nameH40942index-nameH40343object-nameH307H316H321result-nameH41045subroutine-nameH41146variable-nameH70247				37
common-block-nameH70239dummy-arg-nameH41040dummy-argument-nameH33741function-nameH40942index-nameH40343object-nameH307H316H321result-nameH41045subroutine-nameH41146variable-nameH70247	Symbol		$\mathbf{Referenced}$	38
dummy-arg-name       H410       40         dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H409       42         index-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45       46       47         variable-name       H702       47       48	$common\-block\-name$		H702	39
dummy-argument-name       H337       41         function-name       H409       42         index-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45       46         variable-name       H702       47       48	dummy- $arg$ - $name$		H410	40
function-name       H409       42         index-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45       46         variable-name       H702       47       48	dummy- $argument$ - $name$		H337	41
index-name       H403       43         object-name       H307       H316       H321       H333       H336       44         result-name       H410       45       45         subroutine-name       H411       46         variable-name       H702       47	function-name		H409	42
object-name       H 307       H 316       H 321       H 333       H 336       44         result-name       H 410       45         subroutine-name       H 411       46         variable-name       H 702       47	index-name		H403	43
result-name H410 45 subroutine-name H411 46 variable-name H702 47	object-name		H307 H316 H321 H333 H336	10
subroutine-name H411 46 variable-name H702 47	result-name		H410	45
variable-name H702 47	subroutine-name		H411	46
48	variable-name		H702	47
70				48

1	C.3 Terminal Symbols							
2	Symbol	Refe	renced					
3	INDER	H202	enceu					
4	(	H302	H308	H309	H314	H315	H320	H325
5		H332	H335	H402	H410	H412	H414	H601
6	)	H302	H308	H309	H314	H315	H320	H325
7	/	H332	H335	H402	H410	H412	H414	H601
8	*	H308	H309	H311	H317	H320	H322	H324
9	* HPF\$	H202	11909	11011	11011	11020	11022	11021
10		H402	H413					
11	, /	H 702	11 1 1 0					
12	·	H317	H403					
13	· · ·	H301	H304	H313	H701			
14	=	H403	11901	11010	11101			
15	AT.TGN	H302	H312					
16	BIOCK	H309						
17	CHPF\$	H202						
18	CYCLIC	H309						
19	DIMENSION	H302						
20	DISTRIBUTE	H302	H303					
21	DYNAMIC	H302	H329					
22	END	H405						
23	EXTRINSIC	H601						
24	FORALL	H401	H405					
25	FUNCTION	H409						
20	HPF	H602						
21	HPF_LOCAL	H602						
20	INDEPENDENT	H413						
30	INHERIT	H302	H337					
31	NEW	H414						
3.0	NO	${ m H701}$						
33	ONTO	H310						
34	PROCESSORS	H302	H331					
35	PURE	H408						
36	REALIGN	H313						
37	RECURSIVE	H408						
38	REDISTRIBUTE	H304						
39	RESULT	H410						
40	SEQUENCE	${ m H701}$						
41	SUBROUTINE	H411						
42	TEMPLATE	H302	H334					
43	WITH	H319						
44								
45								
46								

 $^{48}$ 

## **Bibliography**

 $^{25}$ 

- [1] Jeanne C Adams, Walter S. Brainerd, Jeanne T. Martin, Brian T. Smith, and Jerrold L. Wagener. *Fortran 90 Handbook*, Intertext-McGraw Hill, 1992.
- [2] Eugene Albert, Joan D. Lukas, and Guy L. Steele, Jr. "Data Parallel Computers and the FORALL Statement", Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, October 1991.
- [3] American National Standards Institute, Inc., 1430 Broadway, New York, NY. American National Standard Programming Language FORTRAN, ANSI X3.9-1978, approved April 3, 1978.
- [4] American National Standards Institute, Inc., 1430 Broadway, New York, NY. American National Standard for Information Systems Programming Language FORTRAN, S8 (X3.9-198x) Revision of X3.9-1978, Draft S8, Version 104, April 1987.
- [5] Bernstein, A. J. "Analysis of Programs for Parallel Processing", *IEEE Transactions on Electronic Computers*, Vol. 15, pp 757-762, 1966.
- [6] P. Brezany, M. Gerndt, P. Mehrotra and H. Zima. Concurrent File Operations in a High Performance Fortran
- [7] Barbara Chapman, Piyush Mehrotra, and Hans Zima. Programming in Vienna Fortran, Scientific Programming 1,1, August 1992, Also published as: ACPC/TR 92-3, Austrian Center of Parallel Computation, March 1992.
- [8] M. Chen and J. Wu. Optimizing Fortran 90 Programs for Data Motion on Massively Parallel Systems, Yale University, YALEU/DCS/TR-882, New Haven, CT, 1991.
- [9] M. Chen and J. Cowie. "Prototyping Fortran 90 Compilers for Massively Parallel Machines", SIGPLA N92, 1992.
- [10] Digital Equipment Corporation, Maynard, Massachusetts. DECmpp 12000 Sx High Performance Fortran Reference Manual, February, 1993, [AA-PMAHC-TE].
- [11] Geoffrey Fox, Seema Hiranandani, Ken Kennedy, Charles Koelbel, Uli Kremer, Chau Wen Tseng, and Min-You Wu. Fortran D Language Specification. Report COMP TR90 141 (Rice) and SCCS-42c (Syracuse), Department of Computer Science, Rice University,
   Houston, Texas, and Syracuse Center for Computational Science, Syracuse University,
   Syracuse, New York, April 1991.
- <sup>48</sup> [12] ISO. Fortran 90, May 1991. [ISO/IEC 1539: 1991 (E) and now ANSI X3.198-1992].

[13] High Performance Fortran Forum. High Performance Fortran Language Specification, version 1.0 CRPC-TR92225, Center for Research on Parallel Computation, Rice Uni- versity, Houston, TX, 1992 (revised May. 1993).	1 2 3
[14] High Performance Fortran Forum. High Performance Fortran Journal of Development CRPC-TR93300, Center for Research on Parallel Computation, Rice University, Hous- ton, TX, May. 1993.	4 5 6 7
[15] C. Koelbel and P. Mehrotra. "An Overview of High Performance Fortran", Fortran Forum, Vol. 11, No. 4, December, 1992.	8 9 10
[16] David B. Loveman. "High Performance Fortran", IEEE Parallel & Distributed Tech- nology, Vol. 1, No. 1, February 1993.	11 12
[17] David B. Loveman. "Element Array Assignment - the FORALL Statement", Third Workshop on Compilers for Parallel Computers, Vienna, Austria, July 6-9, 1992.	13 14 15
[18] MasPar Computer Corporation, 749 North Mary Avenue, Sunnyvale, California. Mas- Par Fortran Reference Manual, May 1991. [Software Version 1.1, 9303-0000, Rev. A2].	16 17
[19] Piyush Mehrotra and J. Van Rosendale. "Programming Distributed Memory Archi- tectures Using Kali", In: Nicolau, A. et al. (Eds): Advances in Languages and Compilers for Parallel Processing, pp.364-384, Pitman/MIT-Press, 1991.	19 20 21
[20] Andrew Meltzer, Douglas M. Pase, and Tom MacDonald. Basic Features of the MPP Fortran Programming Model, Cray Research, Inc, Eagan, Minnesota, August 19, 1992.	22 23 24
[21] John Merlin. Techniques for the Automatic Parallelisation of 'Distributed Fortran 90', Technical Report SNARC 92-02, Dept. of Electronics and Comp. Science, Univ. of Southampton, November 1991.	25 26 27
[22] Michael Metcalf and John Reid. Fortran 90 Explained, Oxford University Press, 1990.	28 29
[23] Robert E. Millstein. "Control Structures in ILLIAC IV Fortran", Communications of the ACM, 16(10):621-627, October 1973.	30 31 32
[24] Douglas M. Pase, Tom MacDonald, and Andrew Meltzer. MPP Fortran Programming Model, Cray Research, Inc, Eagan, Minnesota, August 26, 1992.	33 34
[25] Guy L. Steele Jr. "High Performance Fortran: Status Report", em Workshop on Lan- guages, Compilers, and Runtime Environments for Distributed-Memory Multiproces- sors, ACM SIGPlan Notices, Vol. 28, No. 1, January 1993.	35 36 37 38
[26] Thinking Machines Corporation, Cambridge, Massachusetts. CM Fortran Reference Manual, July 1991.	39 40 41
[27] US Department of Defense. Military Standard, MIL-STD-1753: FORTRAN, DoD Supplement to American National Standard X3.9-1978, November 9, 1978.	42 43
[28] Hans Zima, Peter Brezany, Barbara Chapman, Piyush Mehrotra, and Andreas Schwald. Vienna Fortran - a Language Specification, ICASE Interim Report 21, ICASE NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665, March 1992.	44 45 46 47 48